



Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive

DSpace Repository

Acquisition Research Program

Acquisition Research Symposium

2021-05-21

DE Metrics: Categorize the Benefits and Value of Digital Engineering

McDermott, Tom

Monterey, California. Naval Postgraduate School

http://hdl.handle.net/10945/68206

This publication is a work of the U.S. Government as defined in Title 17, United States Code, Section 101. Copyright protection is not available for this work in the United States.

Downloaded from NPS Archive: Calhoun



Calhoun is the Naval Postgraduate School's public access digital repository for research materials and institutional publications created by the NPS community. Calhoun is named for Professor of Mathematics Guy K. Calhoun, NPS's first appointed -- and published -- scholarly author.

> Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School 411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle Monterey, California USA 93943

http://www.nps.edu/library



DE Metrics: Categorizing the Benefits and Value of Digital Engineering



Tom McDermott, Deputy Director and CTO, SERC Stevens Institute of Technology

Dr. Eileen Van Aken, Dr. Alejandro Salado, Kaitlin Henderson (Va Tech) Dr. Nicole Hutchison, Dr. Annie Yu, Megan Clifford, Neal Chen (Stevens)

This material is based upon work supported, in whole or in part, by the U.S. Department of Defense through the Systems Engineering Research Center (SERC) under Contract H98230-08-D-0171. The SERC is a federally funded University Affiliated Research Center (UARC) managed by Stevens Institute of Technology consisting of a collaborative network of over 20 universities. More information is available at <u>www.SERCuarc.org</u>



SERC Research Program on DE Metrics





Summary DE Success Measures Framework

Models are used to inform enterprise and program decision making		An enduring authoritative source of trut used over th lifecycle	g, e h is ne	Use tee inno im eng pra	chnological vation to prove ineering actices	ai si co	Infrastructure nd environments upport improved mmunication and collaboration	Transform culture and workforce engineering across the lifecycle		
Quality: • Reduce Errors/Defects • Improve System Quality • Increase Traceability • Reduce Cost					 Knowledge Transfer: Better knowledge capture Better accessibility of information Increased communication Improved collaboration 					
Velocity/Agility: • Reusability • Increase Consistency • Increase Efficiency • Support Integration • Reduce Effort/Time		 User Experies Manage Co Improved S Understand Automation Multiple view Early V&V 		perience Complex d System anding ion viewpoint V	e: kity ts	Adoption: • Tool Infrastructure • Methods/Processes • Roles/Skills • Training/Tools • Leadership support • Resources				



INCOSE Model-Based Capabilities Matrix

INCOSE • Released January 2020 by INCOSE

INCOSE Model-Based Capabilities Matrix and User's Guide

Version 1.0, January 2020

Framework for assessing organizational maturity

Model-Based								
Capability Stages	Stage 0	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4			
Ioois & IT Intrastructure								
			Various organizations working on different parts of model. Full model	Partial On-line, real-time				
	E-mail,	System Model	integrated by a single	collaboration amongst	On-line, real-time collaboration			
Collaboration	telecom.	File Exchange.	organizations.	distributed teams	amongst distributed teams			
Disparate Database/Tool interoperability	None	Tool-to-Tool, ad hoc interoperability	Partial Federated Database Management System (FDBMS)	Main tools interoperable. Supporting tools interact through file transfer.	Fully Federated w/ standard "plug-and-play" interfaces. Data is interchanged among tools			
	Databases/to	Inter- Database/Tool Data Item	Inter-Database/Tool Data	Inter-Database/Tool Data Item associations among all data items defined,	Inter-Database/Tool Data Item associations among all data items defined, captured, managed, and traceable where changes in one data source			
Inter-Database/Tool	ols are	associations	Item associations defined,	captured, managed, and	alerts owners of other data			
Data Item Associations	independent	defined	captured, managed	traceable	sources of intended updates			
User IF,				UI draws from multiple	UI supports Interrogation;			
Viewpoint/Views	N/A	Doc Gen	UI draws from Model app	models/DBs	multiple configs			



RESULTS OF THE SERC | INCOSE | NDIA MBSE MATURITY SURVEY ARE IN

June 10, 2020

https://sercuarc.org/results-of-the-sercincose-ndia-mbse-maturity-survey-are-in/









June 8, 2020 – Summary Report Task Order WRT-1001: Digital Engineering Metrics Supporting Technical Report SERC-2020-SR-003 View the DE Metrics Summary Report (June 8, 2020)

March 19, 2020 — Benchmarking the Benefits and Current Maturity of Model-Based Systems Engineering across the Enterprise Results of the MBSE Maturity Survey / Part 1: Executive Summary

View the SERC-2020-SR-001 report on the results of the MBSE Maturity Survey

June 8, 2020 – Task Order WRT-1001: Digital Engineering Metrics Technical Report SERC-2020-TR-002 View the Digital Engineering Metrics Full Technical Report







Top-cited Adoption Obstacles vs. Enablers vs. Changes







- Causal Analysis of benefits and adoption data
- Link primary benefits to measures
- Used to scope detailed measurement specifications

8



- Higher level support for automation use of tools and methods that automate previously manual tasks and decisions
- —Early V&V moving tasks into earlier developmental phases that would have required effort in later phases
- —Strengthened testing using data & models to increase test coverage in any phase
- —Higher level support for integration using data and models to both support integration of information and to support system integration tasks
- —Better accessibility of info (ASOT) increasing access to digital data & models to more people involved in program decisions
- —Reusability reusing existing data, models, and knowledge in new development
- Increased traceability formally linking requirements, design, test, etc. via models
- Multiple viewpoints of model presentation of data and models in the language and context of those that need access



Example Causal Pathway





DE Metrics Working Group



Chair: Joe Bradley joseph.bradley@mainsailgroup.com

- Chartered to standardize DE metrics specification
- Based on the Practical Software Measurement (PSM) methodology
- Government/ Industry consensusbased



DE Metrics WG Sample

Information Categories		Measurable Concept	Project Information Need	Enterprise Information Need				Potential Measu	Potential Measures				
Size and Stability		Functional Size and Stability *	What % of system elements have been modeled vs. plan?	Is architectural integrity and traceability improved using digital engineering methods relative to traditional approaches?			Architectural com traceability (% of	Architectural completeness: System element coverage and traceability (% of functions allocated to system model elements)					
		Defects Detect											
Product	— 08 — 07 — 06 cts — 07	59 61 66 48	70 68 63 61	56	and removing defects earlier using digital ethods (models, simulations) relative to hods?			Defect detection a Defect containmen Defect saves - def phase (particularly	Defect detection and removal profiles Defect containment vs. escape ratios across life cycle activities Defect saves - defects found that would have impacted a later design				
G 40		3	5 37		Defect Resolution Lag Time								
	^L 20 – 10 –				250 -	252							
	0 —	1 2 Defects Dete	3 4 5 Iteration cted Defects Resolved	6	- 200		138	150					
	-		compared to traditional approaches? Are post-review actions closing faster	uiscrepane	9 150 - 9 0 100 -			96					
Proces Perfori	s nance	Process Efficiency - Automation	How much of the digital engineering design and	How muc developn	50 -				15	8	4 e		
Periormance			development process can be automated to reduce effort and shorten cycle times? (e.g., system artifacts automatically generated using digital models) How much task time can be saved through the automation o digital engineering tasks?	effort and 0 1 artifacts a Nu models) What percentage of system artifacts are automatically generated from digital models? What is the savings in labor costs for automatically generating model-driven digital artifacts vs. traditional methods? Will automation encourage greater tool use?			2 3 4 5 Not Resolved Sumber of Iterations to Resolve Labor cost for generating model-driven artifacts \$ savings in labor costs for generating model-driven artifacts vs. traditional methods (recommend effort not cost)						



Questions?