Burgess Rosemary (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-2664-4395) Scheffer Ingrid (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-2311-2174) Berkovic Samuel (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-4580-841X)

SCN1A variants in vaccine-related febrile seizures: a prospective study

Damiano JA^{1*}; Deng L^{2,3*}; Li WH^{1,4}; Burgess R¹; Schneider AL¹, Crawford NW^{5,6}; Buttery J^{6,7};

Gold M⁸; Richmond P^{9, 10}; Macartney KK^{2, 3}; Hildebrand MS^{1,6}; Scheffer IE^{1, 5, 6, 11}, Wood N^{2, 3};

Berkovic SF¹.

- 1. Department of Medicine, University of Melbourne, Austin Hospital, Heidelberg, Australia
- 2. National Centre for Immunisation Research and Surveillance, The Children's Hospital at Westmead, Sydney, Australia
- 3. Children's Hospital Westmead Clinical School, The University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia;
- 4. Department of Neurology, Children's Hospital of Fudan University, Shanghai, China
- 5. Department of Paediatrics, University of Melbourne, Royal Children's Hospital, Parkville, Australia
- 6. Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Parkville, Australia
- 7. Infection and Immunity, Monash Children's Hospital, Department of Paediatrics Monash Centre for Health Care Research and Implementation, Monash University, Clayton, Australia
- 8. Discipline of Paediatrics, School of Medicine, Women's and Children's Hospital, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia
- 9. Vaccine Trials Group, Wesfarmer's Centre of Vaccines and Infectious Disease, Telethon Kids Institute, and Dept of General Paediatrics, Perth Children's Hospital, Nedlands, Australia
- 10. Division of Paediatrics, School of Medicine, University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia
- 11. The Florey Institute of Neurosciences and Mental Health, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia *These authors contributed equally to this study

Key Words: Febrile seizures; fever; vaccination; SCN1A; Dravet syndrome; GEFS+

Manuscript word count: 2920 Abstract word count: 233 Number of tables: 5 Number of figures: 1 Number of references: 39 Running Head: *SCN1A* variants in vaccine-related febrile seizures

Corresponding author: Prof. Samuel F. Berkovic Epilepsy Research Centre, L2 Melbourne Brain Centre 245 Burgundy Street Heidelberg, VIC 3084, Australia. Tel: +61 9035 7093 Fax: +61 9496 2291

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1002/ana.25650

Email: s.berkovic@unimelb.edu.au

Abstract

Objective: Febrile seizures may follow vaccination. Common variants in the sodium channel gene, *SCN1A*, are associated with febrile seizures and rare pathogenic variants in *SCN1A* cause the severe developmental and epileptic encephalopathy Dravet Syndrome. Following vaccination, febrile seizures may raise the spectre of poor outcome and inappropriately implicate vaccination as the cause. We aimed to determine the prevalence of *SCN1A* variants in children having their first febrile seizure either proximal to vaccination, or unrelated to vaccination compared to controls.

Methods: We performed *SCN1A* sequencing, blind to clinical category, in a prospective cohort of children presenting with their first febrile seizure as vaccine proximate (n=69), or as non-vaccine proximate (n=75), and children with no history of seizures (n=90) recruited in Australian paediatric hospitals.

Results: We detected two pathogenic variants in vaccine proximate cases (p.R568X and p.W932R), both of whom developed Dravet syndrome, and one in a non-vaccine proximate case (p.V947L) who had Febrile seizures plus from 9 months. All had generalised tonic-clonic seizures lasting longer than 15 minutes. We also found enrichment of a reported risk allele, rs6432860-T, in children with febrile seizures compared to controls (Odds Ratio 1.91 [95% Cl 1.31- 2.81]).

Interpretation: Pathogenic *SCN1A* variants may be identified in infants with vaccine proximate febrile seizures. As early diagnosis of Dravet syndrome is essential for optimal management and outcome. *SCN1A* sequencing in infants with prolonged febrile seizures, proximate to vaccination, should become routine.

Vaccination is a highly effective public health intervention that has led to a dramatic reduction in childhood morbidity and mortality from many infectious diseases. While vaccines have an excellent safety profile and usually only cause mild adverse reactions such as a fever, some individuals experience more serious adverse events, such as febrile seizures (FS).

FS following pertussis and measles-mumps-rubella (MMR) containing vaccines, as well as influenza vaccines in combination with pneumococcal vaccines, are well recognised, albeit uncommon (1-4). While epidemiological studies show that the vast majority of children with a history of FS develop normally (5, 6), a small proportion develop epilepsies (7), including the severe developmental and epileptic encephalopathy (DEE), Dravet syndrome (8, 9).

Pathogenic variants in the sodium channel alpha-1 subunit gene, *SCN1A*, cause Dravet syndrome in at least 80% of cases (8) and in 20% of cases of the milder syndrome of Genetic Epilepsy with Febrile Seizures plus (GEFS+) (10, 11). Vaccinations have been implicated in triggering earlier seizure onset in children with epilepsy with Dravet syndrome (12-15). We found that 30% (12/40) of a cohort of children with Dravet syndrome and *SCN1A* mutations had their first seizure within 2 days after vaccination (13). In terms of the frequency of *SCN1A*-associated Dravet syndrome amongst children with vaccination-related seizures, Verbeek *et al.* retrospectively identified 15/1269 (1.2%) children with Dravet syndrome presenting with seizures following vaccination in the first 2 years of life (16). Thus, rare variants in *SCN1A* are associated with genetic epilepsies and DEEs that present with FS. Conversely, common variants have been implicated in the pathogenesis of FS alone, with a common *SCN1A* exonic variant (rs6432860) associated with increased risk of FS in general, but not with MMR-related FS (17).

Aside from these retrospective studies, little is known about genetic variants in children with Vaccineproximate Febrile Seizures (VP-FS) and if FS differ from those triggered by another cause. It is also

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

unknown whether the common rs6432860 variant, only identified in one population to date, is also a risk factor in non-Danish subjects with FS.

This study is the first to prospectively identify the presence and proportion of sodium channel variants among infants with VP-FS or Non-vaccine proximate Febrile Seizures (NVP-FS, compared with controls who have no history of seizures.

Methods

Study design and participants

This prospective study was conducted across four Australian tertiary paediatric hospitals that participate in the Paediatric Active Enhanced Disease Surveillance (PAEDS) network (18): The Children's Hospital at Westmead Sydney, Royal Children's Hospital Melbourne, Princess Margaret Hospital for Children Perth and Women's and Children's Hospital Adelaide. Participant recruitment occurred between 1 May 2013 and 20 April 2016.

From May 2013 to June 2014, children presenting with FS at these sites were identified through daily surveillance nurse screening of emergency presentations or hospital admissions coded with the ICD, Tenth Revision, Australian Modification (ICD-10-AM) diagnosis code for FS (code R56.0) as part of a larger cohort study (19). All VP-FS cases aged < 30 months from this larger cohort study were invited to participate in this prospective study and equivalent numbers of NVP-FS cases of similar age was invited. Due to low numbers of VP-FS presentations during the initial recruitment period, additional VP-FS cases were recruited from July 2014 to April 2016 through outpatient attendance to Specialist Immunisation Clinics at any of the participating hospitals for review of a FS following vaccination or through VP-FS reports to the Serious Adverse Events following Vaccination in the Community service responsible for the recording and follow-up of all adverse events following immunisation in Victoria.

Vaccine exposure was confirmed using immunisation records obtained from the Australian Immunisation Register, a national population-level register (20)

We defined a first FS case in this study as a child aged 30 months or less at the time of their first FS, the seizure fulfilled the Brighton Collaboration case definition as verified by clinician review of hospital records (21) and was associated with a temperature of ≥38°C measured by the parents or documented in the medical records in a child with no previous history of seizures. To capture all seizures associated with a fever following vaccination, including those following the 6-week and 4-month vaccination time points, a lower age limit restriction was not used in this study. FS were categorised as VP-FS, defined as within 48 hours of an inactivated vaccine, or between 5-14 days of a live vaccine or within 14 days of a combination of inactivated and live vaccine. NVP-FS were defined as a FS outside of this period. Immunisation records obtained from the Australian Immunisation Register were used to confirm all vaccine exposures. (20)

Control participants were defined as children aged 12 to 42 months with no personal or family history of febrile or afebrile seizures. They were recruited through friends of children with FS already recruited into the study, children participating in other clinical trials at each recruitment site, and advertisements placed in local community newspapers, childcare centres and hospital notices. Children were excluded from the study if they had a pre-existing diagnosis of developmental delay, intellectual disability, medical or genetic condition that may affect cognition.

Thus, the phenotypic data allowed classification of participants into three groups: VP-FS, NVP-FS and aged matched controls without febrile seizures. This study was approved by the Sydney Children's Hospital Network Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC/14/SCHN/135).

Clinical details and follow up

For FS cases, initial seizure details were collected from medical records and parent/carer interviews. Cases were contacted 12 to 24 months following the initial FS. Data on the occurrence, type (febrile or afebrile) and frequency of subsequent seizures following the initial FS and developmental progression were obtained from parent/carer interview and review of medical records, where available. Participants' development, executive function and behaviour were formally assessed using standardised assessment tools 12 to 24 months following their initial FS. Participants were assessed using Bayley Scales for Infant and Toddler Development, Third Edition, Woodcock-Johnson Tests of Achievement, Third Edition, Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function and Preschool Version and Child Behaviour Checklist – Preschool. Outcomes of these assessments will be reported separately. Additional history regarding subsequent developmental progression was obtained via medical records for cases with SCN1A variants.

DNA extraction

For gene variant screening, whole blood was obtained and genomic DNA extracted using QIAamp DNA Maxi Kits (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). In some cases, saliva samples was obtained using Oragene kits and genomic DNA extracted using prepIT-L2P kits (DNA Genotek Inc., Ottawa, ON, Canada).

PCR and Sanger Sequencing

Coding regions of *SCN1A* (Chromosome 2: 165,984,641-166,149,214; NM_001165963; ENST00000303395.8) including splice sites and up to 200 base pairs of intronic sequence were sequenced. Amplicons were PCR amplified using gene-specific primers designed to the reference human gene transcript (22). Primer sequences are available upon request. Amplification reactions were cycled using a standard protocol on a Veriti Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA). Bidirectional sequencing of all exons and flanking regions including splice sites was completed with a BigDye TM v3.1 Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems) according to the

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

manufacturer's instructions. Sequencing products were resolved using a 3730xl DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). All sequencing chromatograms were compared to published cDNA sequence and flanking intronic sequences. Nucleotide changes were detected using Codon Code Aligner (CodonCode Corporation, Dedham, MA). Molecular analysis was performed blind to the patients' clinical status.

Variant Classification

Each variant detected in *SCN1A* was classified into pathogenic, likely pathogenic, uncertain significance, likely benign and benign, according to according to American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG) consensus guidelines (23).

The following online genetic databases were used to help determine classification of variants: Database of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (dbSNP)(24), ClinVar(25), Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD)(26), and Guangzhou Medical University Institute of Neuroscience *SCN1A* Mutation Database(27).

Statistical analysis

The three groups were compared using Pearson's chi-square or Fisher's exact test for categorical values and independent t-test for parametric continuous values. The primary outcome measure was the proportion of pathogenic and likely pathogenic *SCN1A* variants between groups compared using Fisher's Exact test. Pearson's chi-square or Fisher's Exact tests were also used to compare allele frequencies and genotype differences for synonymous and intronic variants between all three groups and between all FS and controls. The Bonferroni-Holm method was applied to control the error rate for multiple comparisons.

Results

Study cohort

Of the 269 participants initially recruited, 35 were excluded: 26 for history of previous FS; 6 for no DNA sample collected or no consent given for genetic testing; 1 for lack of documented fever on case review; and 2 for withdrawal from the study. Of the remaining 234 subjects, 69 had VP-FS, 75 had NVP-FS, and 90 were controls. (Figure 1)

There were no differences in proportion of FS cases with a family history of FS or epilepsy between VP-FS and NVP-FS groups. Participants with VP-FS were younger at time of first FS than those with NVP-FS (12.8 months vs 14.3 months, p=0.05) and more frequently had complex FS, defined by one of three criteria: lasting more than 15 minutes, focal features or more than one FS in 24 hours (39.1% vs. 22.7%, p=0.03) (Table 1). There was no difference in the proportion of patients' with recurrent FS or afebrile seizures over a similar follow-up period (VP-FS vs NVP-FS: 37.7% vs 34.7%, p=0.66 for FS; 11.6% vs. 5.3%, p=0.17 for afebrile seizures; follow-up 16.1 (SD 4.8) vs 17.2 (SD 3.2) months, p=0.09)

Variant Detection

We detected 90 variants in *SCN1A* in the 234 subjects. The variants comprised of 1 nonsense (stop gain), 8 missense, 9 synonymous and 28 intronic variants; 44 variants were observed more than once. Table 2 shows the distribution of variants according to clinical group and ACMG guidelines (23).

There were three pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants found. Two were in the VP-FS group (2.9%) and one in the NVP-FS group (1.3%), with no difference between the three groups. Case 1 with VP-FS had a recurrent nonsense mutation, p.R568X,,that was pathogenic in a patient with Dravet syndrome (28). Case 2 with VP-FS and 3 with NVP-FS had novel missense variants, p.W932R and p.V947L, respectively, both classified as "likely pathogenic" (variant details according to ACMG guidelines in footnotes to Table 3)

Three missense changes were classified as 'unknown significance' p.A1161T (rs201079458); p.E1957G (rs121918802); p.T1250M (rs140731963)], which all were previously reported with a minor allele frequency (MAF) <0.01 in gnomAD, each had low predictions of functional effect from *in silico* tools or are reported as inherited (29); (30); (31). In our study, all three were found in the NVP-FS group and none of the cases had a family history of FS; segregation data was not available. A further three missense variants (p. R542Q (rs121918817), p. A1067T (rs2298771), p. T1174S (rs121918799), were classified as 'likely benign' or 'benign'.

The remaining variants of unknown significance comprised; three previously unreported intronic variants (c.4339-96delC; c.1-182delT; c.4339-110 delT) and a further nine rare intronic changes (rs571600918, rs749370340, rs73969742, rs549232924, rs75022359, rs76220226, rs8191989, rs773635222, rs148640356). We also identified six synonymous variants (rs140237315, rs141051370, rs374087499, rs144679294, rs569598595, rs145101180) with a minor allele frequency (MAF) <0.01 according to the Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC) database (26). The significance of these rare variants to FS is unknown.

Common Variant Burden

Three common coding variants c.3199G>A; p. A1067T (rs2298771), c.1212 A>G; p. V404V (rs7580482), c.2292; T>C;p. V764V (rs6432860) and two intronic variants, one c.603-91G>A (rs3812718) previously implicated as a risk allele for FS in a genome-wide study (17), and one in close proximity c.603-106 T>G (rs3812719), were investigated for differences in allele frequencies and genotype frequency between the groups (Table 4).The synonymous change, c.2292; C>T; p.V764V, rs6432860, was more frequently found in FS cases compared to controls (OR 1.91 [95% CI 1.31- 2.81]; p=0.004). There was, however, no difference in frequency between the VP-FS and NVP-FS groups (Table 5).

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

SCN1A pathogenic variant cases: phenotype and outcome

The phenotypes of the three children with pathogenic or likely pathogenic *SCN1A* variants are described in Table 3. The two VP-FS cases had seizure onset within 24 hours of receiving their 4-month vaccinations with Infanrix Hexa® (hexavalent vaccine with diphtheria, tetanus, acellular pertussis, hepatitis B, inactivated poliovirus and haemophilus influenza type B), Prevenar13® (13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine) and Rotarix® (oral live-attenuated rotavirus vaccine). Both had prolonged generalised tonic-clonic seizures (GTCS), lasting 30 and 15 minutes respectively, and developed later seizure types that were not vaccine-proximate, including myoclonic, absence, hemiclonic, generalised clonic seizures and status epilepticus in the first two years of life. Case 1 with nonsense mutation, p.R568X, had developmental stagnation from 12 to 18 months with subsequent regression and developmental delay. Case 2 with the novel p.W932R mutation, had significant speech delay with no language or social-emotional developmental progression from age 18 months. The classical electro-clinical history led to a diagnosis of Dravet syndrome in both children. Both cases have subsequently received further vaccinations under close medical supervision, with regular anti-pyretic and benzodiazepine administration following vaccination in addition to their regular anti-epileptic medication, without experiencing a seizure.

The NVP-FS case with a "likely pathogenic", novel variant, p.V947L, was a dizygous twin who had his first FS at 9 months; a 57 minute episode of tonic-clonic status epilepticus in the context of an upper respiratory tract infection. He proceeded to have frequent (up to 10 per year) tonic-clonic seizures, many but not all associated with fever. His co-twin did not have seizures, but their father had a history of frequent FS. His last known seizure was at age 5 years. Bayleys-III assessment at 18 months revealed mild fine motor delay and language delay. The diagnosis was febrile seizures plus (FS+), in the setting of a family with GEFS+ (10, 11).

Discussion

This is the first prospective study examining the frequency of *SCN1A* variants in children with FS triggered by vaccination compared those with FS unrelated to vaccination, and controls with no history of seizures. Of 144 patients with FS, only three (2%) had pathogenic variants in *SCN1A*. There was no statistical difference in the frequency of pathogenic *SCN1A* variants between the groups from our cohort. It is of clinical relevance, however, that all three infants with pathogenic variants had prolonged FS and the two infants with vaccine-proximate FS both developed the features of Dravet syndrome. The third child with FS *unrelated* to vaccination had complex FS and afebrile seizures with a diagnosis of FS+. Our data suggest that a prolonged VP-FS in the first 6 months of life, lasting 15 minutes or more, in the presence of a pathogenic *SCN1A* variant, is suggestive of Dravet syndrome.

These findings are congruent with the retrospective analysis of a Dutch passive reporting database (16) which found that 1.2% (15/1269) of children with seizures, including febrile, afebrile and unclassified seizures, after vaccination in the first 2 years of life had *SCN1A*-related Dravet syndrome. Our two Dravet syndrome patients presented with prolonged seizures at 4 months occurring within 24 hours of vaccination, similar to the Dutch cases. The younger age at presentation of these children, compared to the median onset of FS at age 18 months, mirrors our finding of vaccine-proximate onset in Dravet syndrome being associated with seizure onset at 4 months rather than the mean onset of Dravet syndrome of 6 months (8, 13). The reported vaccine-related first seizures in Verbeek's study involved whole-cell pertussis vaccines, whereas the *SCN1A*-related Dravet cases in our cohort had their first FS following acellular vaccines, suggesting that the genetic immunological interaction may be independent of the type of pertussis vaccine involved. While a follow up study by Verbeek *et al.*(32) showed a reduction in risk of subsequent vaccine related seizures with acellular pertussis vaccines, as with the general paediatric population (33) , the type of vaccine does not appear to affect the initial vaccine related seizure presentation in children with Dravet syndrome.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

12

In addition to the pathogenic and likely pathogenic variants identified, we confirmed a higher frequency of the common *SCN1A* variant allele, c.2292; C>T; p.V764V in FS cases compared to controls. This FS risk allele was first identified in a Danish genome-wide association study (17) and we are the first to confirm the association of this allele to FS outside of a Danish population. As our study only examined *SCN1A* variants, we could not verify the other loci reported to be associated with MMR-related FS and FS.

This study has some limitations. With the yield of pathogenic variants that we found, our sample size was not powered to detect a significant difference between the groups using Fisher's Exact test in the frequency of *SCN1A* variants. The *SCN1A* mutation rate may also be underestimated as Sanger sequencing cannot reliably detect intragenic deletions (34) and mosaicism rates below 20% that have been previously found in *SCN1A*-associated FS(35). Other genes associated with FS including other sodium channel genes (*SCN1B*, *SCN8A*, and *SCN2A*), the γ 2-subunit of gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptor subunit (*GABRG2*) (36), and Protocadherin 19 (*PCDH19*) were not examined.

Our prospective study suggests that in an infant with vaccine proximate, prolonged FS, the detection of a pathogenic *SCN1A* variant should raise the suspicion of Dravet syndrome. Given that a higher rate of seizures with subsequent vaccinations occurs in Dravet syndrome (32), screening for SCN1A variants in children 12 months and under with prolonged VP-FS, should be considered for early diagnosis and optimal management. Early initiation and appropriate choice of anti-epileptic medication for children with Dravet syndrome can lead to better long-term outcomes (37, 38). As children receive multiple vaccines in the first 18 months of life, early identification of this at-risk group can also assist in the planning of safe administration of subsequent vaccinations in these children to reduce the risk of vaccine-preventable diseases and associated complications.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Acknowledgements

We thank the patients and families for their participation in this study. We thank all the research assistants and nurses involved in the coordination and data collection for this study: the late Karen Orr, Rosemary Joyce, Alissa McMinn, Annette Alafaci, Mary Walker, Emily Watson, Daniela Calderisi, Rachel West, Jane Jones and Heidi Hutton. We thank Rebekah Stubbs and Timothy Green (Epilepsy Research Centre, University of Melbourne) for performing the genomic DNA extractions.

This study is supported by National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) (Project Grant ID 1049557); NHMRC Program Grant (1091593) to S.F.B and I.E.S, a Practitioner Fellowship (1006110) to I.E.S, a Project Grant (1129054) to S.F.B, NHMRC Career Development Fellowship (1063629) to N.W, University of Sydney Research Training Program scholarship to L.D. and a Project Grant (1079058) and a R.D Wright Career Development Fellowship (1063799) to M.S.H.

Author contribution

NW, SFB and IES contributed to the conception and design of the study. JAD, LD, LWH, RB, ALS, NWC, JB, MG, PR, KKM and MSH contributed to the acquisition and analysis of data. J.A.D. and L.D. drafted the text, figure and tables with support from SFB, NW and IES.

Potential conflict of interest

S.F.B and I.E.S's institution (University of Melbourne) receives payments for a patent for *SCN1A* testing held by Bionomics Inc and licensed to various diagnostic companies. The remaining authors have no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Barlow WE, Davis RL, Glasser JW, Rhodes PH, Thompson RS, Mullooly JP, et al. The risk of seizures after receipt of whole-cell pertussis or measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine. The New England journal of medicine. 2001;345(9):656-61.

2. Gold MS, Effler P, Kelly H, Richmond PC, Buttery JP. Febrile convulsions after 2010 seasonal trivalent influenza vaccine: implications for vaccine safety surveillance in Australia. The Medical journal of Australia. 2010;193(9):492-3.

3. Tse A, Tseng HF, Greene SK, Vellozzi C, Lee GM. Signal identification and evaluation for risk of febrile seizures in children following trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine in the Vaccine Safety Datalink Project, 2010-2011. Vaccine. 2012;30(11):2024-31.

4. Macartney KK, Gidding HF, Trinh L, Wang H, McRae J, Crawford N, et al. Febrile seizures following measles and varicella vaccines in young children in Australia. Vaccine. 2015;33(11):1412-7.

5. Verity CM, Greenwood R, Golding J. Long-term intellectual and behavioral outcomes of children with febrile convulsions. The New England journal of medicine. 1998;338(24):1723-8.

6. Chang YC, Guo NW, Huang CC, Wang ST, Tsai JJ. Neurocognitive attention and behavior outcome of school-age children with a history of febrile convulsions: a population study. Epilepsia. 2000;41(4):412-20.

7. Annegers JF, Hauser WA, Shirts SB, Kurland LT. Factors prognostic of unprovoked seizures after febrile convulsions. The New England journal of medicine. 1987:493.

8. Marini C, Mei D, Temudo T, Ferrari AR, Buti D, Dravet C, et al. Idiopathic epilepsies with seizures precipitated by fever and SCN1A abnormalities. Epilepsia. 2007;48(9):1678-85.

9. von Spiczak S, Helbig I, Drechsel-Baeuerle U, Muhle H, van Baalen A, van Kempen MJ, et al. A retrospective population-based study on seizures related to childhood vaccination. Epilepsia. 2011;52(8):1506-12.

10. Zhang YH, Burgess R, Malone JP, Glubb GC, Helbig KL, Vadlamudi L, et al. Genetic epilepsy with febrile seizures plus: Refining the spectrum. Neurology. 2017;89(12):1210-9.

11. Myers KA, Scheffer IE, Berkovic SF, Commission IG. Genetic literacy series: genetic epilepsy with febrile seizures plus. Epileptic disorders : international epilepsy journal with videotape. 2018;20(4):232-8.

12. Berkovic SF, Harkin L, McMahon JM, Pelekanos JT, Zuberi SM, Wirrell EC, et al. Denovo mutations of the sodium channel gene SCN1A in alleged vaccine encephalopathy: a retrospective study. Lancet Neurol. 2006;5(6):488-92.

13. McIntosh AM, McMahon J, Dibbens LM, Iona X, Mulley JC, Scheffer IE, et al. Effects of vaccination on onset and outcome of Dravet syndrome: a retrospective study. Lancet Neurol. 2010;9(6):592-8.

14. Zamponi N, Passamonti C, Petrelli C, Veggiotti P, Baldassari C, Verrotti A, et al. Vaccination and occurrence of seizures in SCN1A mutation-positive patients: a multicenter Italian study. Pediatric neurology. 2014;50(3):228-32.

15. Wong PT, Wong VC. Prevalence and Characteristics of Vaccination Triggered Seizures in Dravet Syndrome in Hong Kong: A Retrospective Study. Pediatric neurology. 2016;58:41-7.

16. Verbeek NE, van der Maas NA, Jansen FE, van Kempen MJ, Lindhout D, Brilstra EH. Prevalence of SCN1A-related dravet syndrome among children reported with seizures following vaccination: a population-based ten-year cohort study. PloS one. 2013;8(6):e65758.

17. Feenstra B, Pasternak B, Geller F, Carstensen L, Wang T, Huang F, et al. Common variants associated with general and MMR vaccine-related febrile seizures. Nature genetics. 2014;46(12):1274-82.

18. Zurynski Y, McIntyre P, Booy R, Elliott EJ, Group PI. Paediatric active enhanced disease surveillance: a new surveillance system for Australia. J Paediatr Child Health. 2013;49(7):588-94.

19. Deng L, Gidding H, Macartney K, Crawford N, Buttery J, Gold M, et al. Postvaccination Febrile Seizure Severity and Outcome. Pediatrics. 2019;143(5).

20. Hull BP, Hendry AJ, Dey A, Beard FH, Brotherton JM, McIntyre PB. Immunisation coverage annual report, 2014. Communicable diseases intelligence quarterly report. 2017;41(1):E68-E90.

21. Bonhoeffer J, Menkes J, Gold MS, de Souza-Brito G, Fisher MC, Halsey N, et al. Generalized convulsive seizure as an adverse event following immunization: case definition and guidelines for data collection, analysis, and presentation. Vaccine. 2004;22(5-6):557-62.

22. Gene. Bethesda (MD): National Center for Biotechnology Information, National Library of Medicine. ; 2018 [Available from: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene</u>.

23. Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genetics in medicine : official journal of the American College of Medical Genetics. 2015;17(5):405-24.

24. Database of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (dbSNP). Bethesda (MD): National Center for Biotechnology Information, National Library of Medicine. ; 2018 [Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP/.

25. Landrum MJ, Lee JM, Benson M, Brown GR, Chao C, Chitipiralla S, et al. ClinVar: improving access to variant interpretations and supporting evidence. Nucleic acids research. 2018;46(D1):D1062-d7.

26. Lek M, Karczewski KJ, Minikel EV, Samocha KE, Banks E, Fennell T, et al. Analysis of protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706 humans. Nature. 2016;536(7616):285-91.

27. SCN1A Mutation Database. Guangzhou, China.: Institute of Neuroscience and The Second Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, Key Laboratory of Neurogenetics and Channelopathies of Guangdong Province and the Ministry of Education of China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Neurogenetics and Channelopathies.; 2014 [Available from: http://www.caae.org.cn/gzneurosci/scn1adatabase/index.php.

28. Ohmori I, Ohtsuka Y, Ouchida M, Ogino T, Maniwa S, Shimizu K, et al. Is phenotype difference in severe myoclonic epilepsy in infancy related to SCN1A mutations? Brain & development. 2003;25(7):488-93.

29. Orrico A, Galli L, Grosso S, Buoni S, Pianigiani R, Balestri P, et al. Mutational analysis of the SCN1A, SCN1B and GABRG2 genes in 150 Italian patients with idiopathic childhood epilepsies. Clinical genetics. 2009;75(6):579-81.

30. Escayg A, Heils A, MacDonald BT, Haug K, Sander T, Meisler MH. A novel SCN1A mutation associated with generalized epilepsy with febrile seizures plus--and prevalence of variants in patients with epilepsy. American journal of human genetics. 2001;68(4):866-73.

31. Wallace RH, Hodgson BL, Grinton BE, Gardiner RM, Robinson R, Rodriguez-Casero V, et al. Sodium channel alpha1-subunit mutations in severe myoclonic epilepsy of infancy and infantile spasms. Neurology. 2003;61(6):765-9.

32. Verbeek NE, van der Maas NA, Sonsma AC, Ippel E, Vermeer-de Bondt PE, Hagebeuk E, et al. Effect of vaccinations on seizure risk and disease course in Dravet syndrome. Neurology. 2015;85(7):596-603.

33. Le Saux N, Barrowman NJ, Moore DL, Whiting S, Scheifele D, Halperin S, et al. Decrease in hospital admissions for febrile seizures and reports of hypotonic-hyporesponsive episodes presenting to hospital emergency departments since switching to acellular pertussis vaccine in Canada: a report from IMPACT. Pediatrics. 2003;112(5):e348.

34. Marini C, Scheffer IE, Nabbout R, Mei D, Cox K, Dibbens LM, et al. SCN1A duplications and deletions detected in Dravet syndrome: implications for molecular diagnosis. Epilepsia. 2009;50(7):1670-8.

35. Myers CT, Hollingsworth G, Muir AM, Schneider AL, Thuesmunn Z, Knupp A, et al. Parental Mosaicism in "De Novo" Epileptic Encephalopathies. New England Journal of Medicine

2018. p. 1646-8.

36. Audenaert D, Van Broeckhoven C, De Jonghe P. Genes and Loci Involved in Febrile Seizures and Related Epilepsy Syndromes. Human mutation. 2006(5):391.

37. Wirrell EC, Laux L, Donner E, Jette N, Knupp K, Meskis MA, et al. Original Article: Optimizing the Diagnosis and Management of Dravet Syndrome: Recommendations From a North American Consensus Panel. Pediatric neurology. 2017;68:18-34.e3.

38. Cetica V, Chiari S, Mei D, Parrini E, Grisotto L, Marini C, et al. Clinical and genetic factors predicting Dravet syndrome in infants with SCN1A mutations. Neurology. 2017(11):1037.

39. Zuberi SM, Brunklaus A, Birch R, Reavey E, Duncan J, Forbes GH. Genotypephenotype associations in SCN1A-related epilepsies. Neurology. 2011;76(7):594-600.

Figure legend

Figure 1: Study cohort

Table Legends

Table 1: Clinical details for Vaccine Proximate-FS (VP-FS), Non-Vaccine Proximate-FS (NVP-FS) and control groups

FS=febrile seizure, AFS=afebrile seizure, SD=standard deviation, NA=not applicable Complex FS = febrile seizure > 15 minutes, focal seizure or repeat seizure within 24h of initial

*Where there is no value for control group, p value compares VP-FS and NVP-FS groups only

Table 2: SCN1A variants by group allocation and variant class

VP-FS=vaccine proximate febrile seizure, NVP-FS=non-vaccine proximate febrile seizure ACMG= American College of Medical Genetics

* Variants of unknown significance were all intronic in VP-FS and control groups; NVP-FS group had three missense, 1 synonymous and four intronic variants

Table 3: Clinical characteristics of participants with pathogenic/likely pathogenic variants

FS=febrile seizure, VP-FS=vaccine proximate FS, NVP-FS=non-vaccine proximate FS, FS+ = febrile seizures plus, DTPa-IPV-HepB-HiB=hexavalent diphtheria, tetanus, acellular pertussis, inactivated polio, hepatitis B, haemophilus influenza B vaccine, PCV13=13 valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine, GTCS=generalised tonic-clonic seizures; GCS=generalised clonic seizures; M=myoclonic seizures; Ab=absences; SE=status epilepticus; H=hemiclonic; NA=not applicable.

+ Classification according to ACMG guidelines (23) is listed and qualifying criteria specified # Null variant, previously reported (8, 29)

* Novel missense change at an amino acid residue where a different missense change determined to be pathogenic has been seen before (39); located in a mutational hot spot; absent from controls

^Located in a mutational hot spot; absent from controls; Multiple lines of computational evidence support a deleterious effect on the gene, Missense variant in a gene that has a low rate of benign missense variation and in which missense variants are a common mechanism of disease

Table 4: Allele frequency differences for the 5 common single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) detected within SCN1A

^a Includes both VP-FS and NVP-FS cases

*p value corrected for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni method using 5 tests

Table 5: Allele frequency comparisons for single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) c.2292; C>T; p.V764V; rs6432860 according to clinical groups assignment

FS=febrile seizure, VP-FS=vaccine proximate FS, NVP-FS=non-vaccine proximate FS *p value corrected for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni method using 4 tests

If this message is not eventually replaced by the proper contents of the document, your PDF viewer may not be able to display this type of document.

You can upgrade to the latest version of Adobe Reader for Windows®, Mac, or Linux® by visiting http://www.adobe.com/go/reader_download.

For more assistance with Adobe Reader visit http://www.adobe.com/go/acrreader.

If this message is not eventually replaced by the proper contents of the document, your PDF viewer may not be able to display this type of document.

You can upgrade to the latest version of Adobe Reader for Windows®, Mac, or Linux® by visiting http://www.adobe.com/go/reader_download.

For more assistance with Adobe Reader visit http://www.adobe.com/go/acrreader.

If this message is not eventually replaced by the proper contents of the document, your PDF viewer may not be able to display this type of document.

You can upgrade to the latest version of Adobe Reader for Windows®, Mac, or Linux® by visiting http://www.adobe.com/go/reader_download.

For more assistance with Adobe Reader visit http://www.adobe.com/go/acrreader.



Instructions

24

utho

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

ldentifying information.

The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Crawford

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent

1



Section 1. Identifying Infor	mation		
1. Given Name (First Name) Nigel	2. Surnan Crawforc	ne (Last Name) J) 3. Date 15-October-2019
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes	Vo No	Corresponding Author's Name Samuel F Berkovic
5. Manuscript Title SCN1A variants in vaccine-related feb	rile seizures:	a prospective	e study
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you ANA-19-1109	know it)		
	ne la contante atra e la contante dara e la contante dara e la contante dara e		
Section 2. The Work Under	Considerat	ion for Pub	plication
Did you or your institution at any time rec any aspect of the submitted work (includin statistical analysis, at a)	ceive payment ng but not lim	or services fro ited to grants,	om a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) fo , data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation,
Are there any relevant conflicts of inte	erest?	′es 🖌 No	
Section 3. Relevant financia	l activities	outside th	e submitted work.
Place a check in the appropriate boxes of compensation) with entities as desc clicking the "Add +" box. You should r	s in the table cribed in the eport relation	to indicate v instructions. nships that w	whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by vere present during the 36 months prior to publication .
Are there any relevant conflicts of inte	erest?	es 🖌 No	
Section 4. Intellectual Prope	erty Pate	nts & Copy	rights
Do you have any patents, whether pla	nned, pendii	ng or issued,	broadly relevant to the work? Yes 🖌 No
Irawford			



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Crawford has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.

Crawford

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

3



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent



		Section 1.	Identifying Inform	nation						
		1. Given Name (Fi Lucy	rst Name)	2. Surnan Deng	ne (Last Name)			3. Date 14-October-201	9	
-		4. Are you the cor	responding author?	Yes	✓ No	Correspond Samuel F	ding Author' Berkovic	's Name		
	C	5. Manuscript Title SCN1A variants i	e in vaccine-related febril	e seizures:	a prospective	study				
	U,	6. Manuscript Iden ANA-19-1109	ntifying Number (if you kr	now it)						
		Section 2.	The Work Under C	onsiderat	ion for Publ	ication				
	Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, e any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes No						oundation, etc. preparation,	.) for		
		If yes, please fill out the appropriate information below. If you have more than one entity press the "ADD" button to add a Excess rows can be removed by pressing the "X" button.						ton to add a r	row.	
1		Name of Institut	tion/Company	Grant?	Personal No Fees?	on-Financial Support?	Other?	Comments		
	<u> </u>	University of Sydney		\checkmark			R s	Research Training Progra cholarship	am	
	C									
_		Section 3.	Relevant financial	activities	outside the	submitted	work.			
		Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to publication . Are there any relevant conflicts of interest? Yes Vo								
<	1									
		Section 4.	Intellectual Prope	ʻty Pate	nts & Copyri	ghts				
		Do you have any	patents, whether plan	ned, pendi	ng or issued, b	oroadly releva	nt to the w	vork? Yes 🖌	No	



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Deng reports grants from University of Sydney, during the conduct of the study.

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit <u>http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback</u> to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.



This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.



Insunuctions

α

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent



)	Section 1. Identifying Inform	ation							
)	1. Given Name (First Name) Michael	2. Surname (Last Name) Gold		3. Date 21-October-2019					
	4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes	Vo No	Corresponding Author's Name Samuel F Berkovic					
)	5. Manuscript Title SCN1A variants in vaccine-related febril	e seizures:	: a prospective	study					
)	6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you know it) ANA-19-1109								
)									
	Section 2. The Work Under C	omstateres	tion for Pub	lication					
1	Did you or your institution at any time rece any aspect of the submitted work (including statistical analysis, etc.)?	ive paymen J but not lin	nt or services fro nited to grants, e	m a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation,					
)	Are there any relevant conflicts of intere	est?	Yes 🖌 No						
	Section 3. Relevant financial	activitie	s outside the	submitted work.					
	Place a check in the appropriate boxes of compensation) with entities as descr clicking the "Add +" box. You should re	in the tabl ibed in the port relation	e to indicate w e instructions. onships that w	whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by Pere present during the 36 months prior to publication .					
)	Are there any relevant conflicts of inter-	est?	Yes 🖌 No						
)	Section 4. Intellectual Prope	riy - Pati	ents & Copy	rights					
)	Do you have any patents, whether plan	ined, penc	ding or issued,	broadly relevant to the work? Yes 🖌 No					
ı.									



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Gold has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.

Author Manuscript

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

If this message is not eventually replaced by the proper contents of the document, your PDF viewer may not be able to display this type of document.

You can upgrade to the latest version of Adobe Reader for Windows®, Mac, or Linux® by visiting http://www.adobe.com/go/reader_download.

For more assistance with Adobe Reader visit http://www.adobe.com/go/acrreader.



Section 1. Identifying Inf	ormation						
1. Given Name (First Name) Jim	2. Surname (Last Name) Buttery	3. Date 21/10/2019					
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Samuel F Berkovic					
5. Manuscript Title SCN1A variants in vaccine-related f	ebrile seizures: a prospective s	study					
6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you know it) ANA-19-1109							
Section 2. The Work Unde	er Consideration for Publi	cation					
statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of in Section 3. Relevant finance	nterest? Yes 🖌 No	submitted work.					
Place a check in the appropriate bo of compensation) with entities as d clicking the "Add +" box. You shoul	xes in the table to indicate wh escribed in the instructions. Us d report relationships that we	ether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount se one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by re present during the 36 months prior to publication .					
Are there any relevant conflicts of in	nterest? 🗍 Yes 🖌 No						
Section 4. Intellectual Pro	perty Patents & Copyrig	ghts					
Do you have any patents, whether p	planned, pending or issued, br	oadly relevant to the work? 🗌 Yes 🖌 No					

-

Buttery



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.

Buttery

Nanus

UTDO

Section 6.

3



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent

Macartney

+Author Manuscrip

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.



,	Section 1. Identifying Inform	ation						
)	1. Given Name (First Name) Kristine	2. Surname (Last Name) Macartney		3. Date 15-October-2019				
	4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🗸 No	Corresponding Author's Nam Samuel F Berkovic	e				
)	5. Manuscript Title SCN1A variants in vaccine-related febril	le seizures: a prospective s	tudy					
)	6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you know it) ANA-19-1109							
5	Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication							
5	Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?							
	Section 3. Relevant financial	activities outside the s	submitted work.					
	Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to publication							
)	Are there any relevant conflicts of intere	est? ☐Yes 🖌 No						
1								
	Section 4. Intellectual Proper	rty Patents & Copyrig	ghts					
)	Do you have any patents, whether plan	ned, pending or issued, br	roadly relevant to the work?	Yes 🖌 No				
÷								

Author Manuscript

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Macartney has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.

Macartney

Author Manuscript

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting , lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent

Richmond



	Section 1. Identifying Inform	ation				
01	1. Given Name (First Name) Peter	2. Surnan Richmon	ne (Last Name) Id		3. Date 15-October-2019	
	4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes	✓ No	Corresponding Author's Nar Samuel F Berkovic	ne	
$\overline{\bigcirc}$	5. Manuscript Title SCN1A variants in vaccine-related febril	e seizures:	a prospective st	udy		
S	6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you kn ANA-19-1109	ow it)				
	Costion 2					
	Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication					
σ	any aspect of the submitted work (including statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of intere	but not lim st?	ited to grants, dat 'es 🖌 No	a monitoring board, study de	sign, manuscript preparation,	
\leq						
	Section 3. Relevant financial	activities	outside the s	ubmitted work.		
	Place a check in the appropriate boxes in of compensation) with entities as descri clicking the "Add +" box. You should rep	n the table bed in the port relatio	to indicate whe instructions. Us nships that wer	ther you have financial rela e one line for each entity; a e present during the 36 m	ationships (regardless of amount dd as many lines as you need by a onths prior to publication .	
\geq	Are there any relevant conflicts of intere	st?	′es 🖌 No			
Ì	Section 4. Intellectual Proper	ty Pate	nts & Copyrig	hts		
	Do you have any patents, whether plann	ned, pendi	ng or issued, bro	badly relevant to the work?	Yes 🖌 No	



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6.

Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Richmond has nothing to disclose.

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit <u>http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback</u> to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.

Richmond

If this message is not eventually replaced by the proper contents of the document, your PDF viewer may not be able to display this type of document.

You can upgrade to the latest version of Adobe Reader for Windows®, Mac, or Linux® by visiting http://www.adobe.com/go/reader_download.

For more assistance with Adobe Reader visit http://www.adobe.com/go/acrreader.

If this message is not eventually replaced by the proper contents of the document, your PDF viewer may not be able to display this type of document.

You can upgrade to the latest version of Adobe Reader for Windows®, Mac, or Linux® by visiting http://www.adobe.com/go/reader_download.

For more assistance with Adobe Reader visit http://www.adobe.com/go/acrreader.

	VD 50		• • •	
	VP-FS	NVP-FS	Control	
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	p*
n	69	75	90	
Sex (male)	37 (53.6%)	32 (42.7%)	55 (61.1%)	0.06
Family history FS				
FS	25 (36.2%)	25 (33.3%)	NA	0.72
Epilepsy	9 (13.0%)	13 (17.3%)	NA	0.47
First FS				
Age (months)	12.8 (SD 3.8)	14.3 (SD 5.2)	NA	0.05
Complex FS	27 (39.1%)	17 (22.7%)	NA	0.03
FS recurrence				
Follow up duration (months)	16.1 (SD 4.8)	17.2 (SD 3.2)	NA	0.09
FS recurrence	26 (37.7%)	26 (34.7%)	NA	0.71
AFS following initial FS	8 (11.6%)	4 (5.3%)	NA	0.23

Table 1: Clinical details for Vaccine Proximate-FS (VP-FS), Non-Vaccine Proximate-FS (NVP-FS) and control groups

FS=febrile seizure, AFS=afebrile seizure, SD=standard deviation, NA=not applicable

Complex FS = febrile seizure > 15 minutes, focal seizure or repeat seizure within 24h of initial *Where there is no value for control group, p value compares VP-FS and NVP-FS groups only

Table 2: SCN1A variants by group allocation and variant class

ACMG Variant Class(23)	VP-FS (n=69)	NVP-FS (n=75)	Control (n=90)
Pathogenic	1	0	0
Likely pathogenic	1	1	0
Unknown significance*	4	8	4
Likely benign	2	2	4
Benign	20	22	21

VP-FS=vaccine proximate febrile seizure, NVP-FS=non-vaccine proximate febrile seizure ACMG= American College of Medical Genetics

* Variants of unknown significance were all intronic in VP-FS and control groups; NVP-FS group had three missense, 1 synonymous and four intronic variants

Table 3: Clinical characteristics of participants with pathogenic/likely pathogenic variants

Case	Group	roup First FS		Vaccine	Later	ter Epilepsy	SCN1A	
(sex)		Age (months)	Duration (minutes)	Description	 (seizure onset time post- vaccination) 	seizures	syndrome	variant
1 M	VP-FS	4.0	30	GTCS	DTPa-IPV- HepB-HiB, PCV13, rotavirus (10 hours)	M, Ab, GTCS	Dravet	c.1702C>T; p.R568X Pathogenic #
2 M	VP-FS	4.4	15	GTCS	DTPa-IPV- HepB-HiB, PCV13, rotavirus (18 hours)	M, GCS, GTCS, H, SE	Dravet	c.2794 T>A; p.W932R Likely Pathogenic*
3 M	NVP- FS	9.7	57	GTCS	NA	GTCS	FS+	c.2839 G>T; p.V947L Likely Pathogenic ^

FS=febrile seizure, VP-FS=vaccine proximate FS, NVP-FS=non-vaccine proximate FS, FS+ = febrile seizures plus

DTPa-IPV-HepB-HiB=hexavalent diphtheria, tetanus, acellular pertussis, inactivated polio, hepatitis B, haemophilus influenza B vaccine

PCV13=13 valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine

GTCS=generalised tonic-clonic seizures; GCS=generalised clonic seizures; M=myoclonic seizures; Ab=absences; SE=status epilepticus; H=hemiclonic; NA=not applicable.

[†]Classification according to ACMG guidelines (23) is listed and qualifying criteria specified

Null variant, previously reported (8, 29)

* Novel missense change at an amino acid residue where a different missense change determined to be pathogenic has been seen before (39); located in a mutational hot spot; absent from controls

*Located in a mutational hot spot; absent from controls; Multiple lines of computational evidence support a deleterious effect on the gene, Missense variant in a gene that has a low rate of benign missense variation and in which missense variants are a common mechanism of disease

			Minor Allele Frequ	iency (MAF)	
Variant	Location	Rs number	Febrile Seizures ^a	Controls	p*
c.2292; C>T; p.V764V	Exon 13	rs6432860	148/288 (0.51)	64/180 (0.36)	0.004
c.1212 A>G; p.V404V	Exon 9	rs7580482	137/288 (0.48)	67/180 (0.37)	0.14
c.3199 G>A; p. A1067T	Exon 16	rs2298771	75/288 (0.26)	54/180 (0.30)	1.00
c.603-91 G>A	Intron 4	rs3812718	117/288 (0.41)	81/180 (0.45)	1.00
c.603-106 T>G	Intron 4	rs3812719	55/288 (0.19)	53/180 (0.29)	0.50

Table 4: Allele frequency differences for the 5 common single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) detected within *SCN1A*

^a Includes both VP-FS and NVP-FS cases

*p value corrected for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni method using 5 tests

Table 5: Allele frequency comparisons for single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) c.2292; C>T; p.V764V; rs6432860 according to clinical groups assignment

	Minor Allele Frequency (MAF)					
Analyses	Cases (%)	Controls	OR (95% CI)	p*		
VP-FS vs. controls	74/138 (53.6%)	64/180 (35.5%)	2.10 (1.33 - 3.30)	0.17		
NVP-FS vs. controls	74/150 (49.3%)	64/180 (35.5%)	1.77 (1.13-2.75)	0.40		
VP-FS vs. NVP-FS	74/138 (53.6%)	74/150 (49.3%)	1.19 (0.75-1.89)	1.00		
All FS vs. controls	148/288 (51.4%)	64/180 (35.6%)	1.91 (1.31- 2.81)	0.003		

FS=febrile seizure, VP-FS=vaccine proximate FS, NVP-FS=non-vaccine proximate FS *p value corrected for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni method using 4 tests



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent



	Section 1. Identifying Inform	ation					
2	1. Given Name (First Name) Wenhui	2. Surnam Li	ne (Last Name)	3. Date 17-October-2019			
	4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes	✓ No	Corresponding Author's Name Samuel F Berkovic			
)	5. Manuscript Title SCN1A variants in vaccine-related febril	e seizures:	a prospective s	tudy			
)	6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you know it) ANA-19-1109						
	Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication						
5	Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc.) for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc.)? Are there any relevant conflicts of interest?						
	Section 3. Relevant financial	activities	outside the	submitted work.			
-	Place a check in the appropriate boxes in the table to indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need by clicking the "Add +" box. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to publication .						
	Are there any relevant conflicts of intere	est?	′es 🖌 No				
	Section 4. Intellectual Proper	ty Pate	nts & Copyri	ghts			
5	Do you have any patents, whether plan	ned, pendi	ng or issued, b	roadly relevant to the work? 🗌 Yes 🖌 No			
1							

Li



Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Li has nothing to disclose.

Section 5

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.

Li



Instructions

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. It contains programming that allows appropriate data display. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. The form is in six parts.

Identifying information.

The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party -- that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation or commercial sponsor, check "Yes".

Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work. For example, if your article is about testing an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) antagonist in lung cancer, you should report all associations with entities pursuing diagnostic or therapeutic strategies in cancer in general, not just in the area of EGFR or lung cancer.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. If there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations or academic institutions, need not be disclosed. For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

Intellectual Property.

This section asks about patents and copyrights, whether pending, issued, licensed and/or receiving royalties.

Relationships not covered above.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

Definitions.

Entity: government agency, foundation, commercial sponsor, academic institution, etc.

Grant: A grant from an entity, generally [but not always] paid to your organization

Personal Fees: Monies paid to you for services rendered, generally honoraria, royalties, or fees for consulting, lectures, speakers bureaus, expert testimony, employment, or other affiliations

Non-Financial Support: Examples include drugs/equipment supplied by the entity, travel paid by the entity, writing assistance, administrative support, etc.

Other: Anything not covered under the previous three boxes Pending: The patent has been filed but not issued Issued: The patent has been issued by the agency Licensed: The patent has been licensed to an entity, whether earning royalties or not Royalties: Funds are coming in to you or your institution due to your patent

Wood



Nicholas	2. Surname (Last Name) Wood	3. Date 17-October-2019
4. Are you the corresponding author?	Yes 🖌 No	Corresponding Author's Name Samuel F Berkovic
5. Manuscript Title SCN1A variants in vaccine-related fel	orile seizures: a prospective	study
5. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you ANA-19-1109	ı know it)	
Section 2. The Work Under	Consideration for Pub	lication
Did you or your institution at any time reany aspect of the submitted work (includ	eceive payment or services fro ing but not limited to grants,	m a third party (government, commercial, private foundation, etc data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation,
Are there any relevant conflicts of int	erest? 🖌 Yes 🗌 No	
f yes, please fill out the appropriate i Excess rows can be removed by press	nformation below. If you h sing the "X" button.	ave more than one entity press the "ADD" button to add a r
lame of Institution/Company	Grant? Personal N	on-Financial
	Fees?	Support? Comments
tional Health and Medical Research Cour stralia	ncil 🖌 🗌	Support? Other: Comments Project grant support
tional Health and Medical Research Cour stralia	ncil 🔽 🗌	Support? Other: Comments Project grant support
tional Health and Medical Research Cour stralia Section 3. Relevant financi	ncil 🔽 🗖	Support? Comments Project grant support submitted work.
tional Health and Medical Research Cour stralia Section 3. Place a check in the appropriate box of compensation) with entities as des clicking the "Add +" box. You should	Fees?	Support? Other Comments Project grant support Project grant support e submitted work. whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amore Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need there present during the 36 months prior to publication.
tional Health and Medical Research Cour stralia Section 3. Place a check in the appropriate box of compensation) with entities as des clicking the "Add +" box. You should Are there any relevant conflicts of int	The set of	Support? Other Comments Project grant support Project grant support e submitted work. whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amound the second
tional Health and Medical Research Cour stralia Section 3. Relevant financi Place a check in the appropriate boxy of compensation) with entities as des clicking the "Add +" box. You should Are there any relevant conflicts of int	The set of	Support? Other Comments Project grant support Project grant support e submitted work. whether you have financial relationships (regardless of among Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need there present during the 36 months prior to publication.
tional Health and Medical Research Cour stralia Section 3. Relevant financi Place a check in the appropriate boxe of compensation) with entities as des clicking the "Add +" box. You should Are there any relevant conflicts of int Section 4. Intellectual Prop	The set of	Support? Other • Comments Project grant support Project grant support • submitted work. whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amo Use one line for each entity; add as many lines as you need there present during the 36 months prior to publication. rights



Section 5. Relationships not covered above

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

✓ No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest

At the time of manuscript acceptance, journals will ask authors to confirm and, if necessary, update their disclosure statements. On occasion, journals may ask authors to disclose further information about reported relationships.

Section 6. Disclosure Statement

Based on the above disclosures, this form will automatically generate a disclosure statement, which will appear in the box below.

Dr. Wood reports grants from National Health and Medical Research Council Australia, during the conduct of the study; .

Evaluation and Feedback

Please visit http://www.icmje.org/cgi-bin/feedback to provide feedback on your experience with completing this form.

Wood

Author Manuscript

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

University Library



A gateway to Melbourne's research publications

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Damiano, JA; Deng, L; Li, W; Burgess, R; Schneider, AL; Crawford, NW; Buttery, J; Gold, M; Richmond, P; Macartney, KK; Hildebrand, MS; Scheffer, IE; Wood, N; Berkovic, SF

Title:

SCN1A Variants in vaccine-related febrile seizures: A prospective study

Date:

2019-12-12

Citation:

Damiano, J. A., Deng, L., Li, W., Burgess, R., Schneider, A. L., Crawford, N. W., Buttery, J., Gold, M., Richmond, P., Macartney, K. K., Hildebrand, M. S., Scheffer, I. E., Wood, N. & Berkovic, S. F. (2019). SCN1A Variants in vaccine-related febrile seizures: A prospective study. ANNALS OF NEUROLOGY, 87 (2), pp.281-288. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.25650.

Persistent Link:

http://hdl.handle.net/11343/286735

File Description: Accepted version