Marine alien species of South Africa — status and impacts

TB Robinson^{1*}, CL Griffiths¹, CD McQuaid² and M Rius²

¹Centre for Invasion Biology, Zoology Department and Marine Biology Research Institute, University of Cape Town, Private Bag, Rondebosch 7701, South Africa

² Department of Zoology and Entomology, Rhodes University, PO Box 94, Grahamstown 6140, South Africa

* Corresponding author, e-mail: trobins@botzoo.uct.ac.za

The current status of marine alien species along the South African coast is reviewed and the ecological and economic impacts of these invasions are discussed. In all, 10 confirmed extant alien and 22 cryptogenic species are recorded from the region. All 10 alien species support well-established populations and the majority of these remain restricted in distribution to sheltered bays, estuaries and harbours. Only one species, the Mediterranean mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*, has spread extensively along the coast and caused significant ecological impacts. These include the competitive displacement of indigenous species and a dramatic increase in intertidal mussel biomass. These changes have also increased available habitat for many infaunal species and resulted in enhanced food supply for intertidal predators. Considerable economic benefits have also resulted from this invasion because *M. galloprovincialis* forms the basis of the South African mussel culture industry.

Keywords: Carcinus maenas, Crassostrea gigas, marine alien species, Mytilus galloprovincialis

Introduction

Marine organisms have been accidentally and/or intentionally moved around the world's oceans since people first began navigating the seas (Carlton 1987, 1999), and the increase in volume and speed of transoceanic travel during the previous century (as well as the increased use of ballast water) has seen a concurrent rise in the rate of introductions (Carlton and Geller 1993, Carlton 1996, Ruiz et al. 1997, 2000, Cohen and Carlton 1998, Mack et al. 2000). This increase in prevalence of invasions of the nearshore environment in recent years has stimulated considerable research into both the mechanisms of anthropogenic dispersal of marine organisms, and the ecological and economic impacts of such invasions (Carlton 1987, Fraser and Gilliam 1992, Minchin 1996, Crooks and Khim 1999, Ruiz et al. 2000, Lewis et al. 2003). Most of this research has, however, focused on Australia, the United States of America and Europe (Orensanz et al. 2002), with comparatively little published data regarding marine invasions in other areas, particularly Africa.

Whereas several papers note the presence of, or examine aspects of the biology of, individual marine alien species in South Africa, we are aware of only three sources that attempt to list marine alien species from the region. Griffiths *et al.* (1992) list marine alien species known at that time, but several of these species no longer support extant populations, and several new invasions of other species have since occurred. Griffiths (2000) and Awad (2002) also provide lists of species, but these reports are not widely available and were merely based on the earlier list. Therefore, despite their recent date of publication, these reports contain dated information. None of the above sources deal with cryptogenic species in the region.

In this paper, the current status of marine alien species along the South African coast is reviewed, and for the first time cryptogenic species are considered in the region. The current distributions and known ecological and economic impacts of these invasions are discussed in an attempt to set a baseline against which future expansions and population changes can be measured.

Material and Methods

Existing records of marine alien species in South Africa were extracted from the literature (see below). In cases where the distribution and status of a species were last assessed over 10 years ago, a directed survey was undertaken to establish its present status. Three species fell within this category — the European shore-crab *Carcinus maenas*, the Medite-rranean mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* and the Australian whelk *Bedeva paivae*. The methods employed during these surveys are described below. For detailed methods used in the assessment of the other species considered in this paper see the primary works listed in Tables 1, 2 and 3.

C. maenas

Both intertidal and subtidal habitats were sampled for *C. maenas*. All intertidal sites where *C. maenas* was recorded

by le Roux et al. (1990) were searched by four researchers for 30 minutes each. Searches were also undertaken at several sites beyond the known range of C. maenas that offered an appropriate habitat for this species. Subtidal areas along the open coast were surveyed by divers, whereas baited traps (18.8l volume) made of 1.5-cm mesh were used to detect crabs within harbours. Because the sibling species C. aestuarii was recorded in Table Bay Harbour by Geller et al. (1997), three defining morphometric characteristics - carapace width-to-length ratio, male pleo-pod orientation and the shape of the frontal margin between the eyes (Behrens Yamada and Hauck 2001) — were used in combination to distinguish between the two species in the field. Where C. maenas was found within a harbour area, the size of the population was estimated using the mark-recapture method. Marking was continued until the percentage of crabs recaptured was >10%.

M. galloprovincialis

To investigate the current status of this mussel, the South African coast was divided into 100-km sampling areas extending east and west of Cape Point (Figure 1). Within each of the areas, three rocky-shore sites were randomly

selected and sampled. At each site, the mussel bed was divided into three vertical zones: low-mussel zone (i.e. approx. Mean Low Water Spring - Mean Low Water Neap); mid-mussel zone (i.e. approx. Mean Low Water Neap -Mean High Water Neap); high-mussel zone (i.e. approx. Mean High Water Neap - lower balanoid zone). The width of each of these zones was recorded and six replicate measures of mussel percentage cover were taken in each zone, using randomly placed 0.5-m² guadrat. In addition, all mussels were removed from six 0.01-m² quadrats (two in each mussel zone), from areas with 100% mussel cover. All M. galloprovincialis in the latter samples were separated out and weighed. The mean percentage cover of M. galloprovincialis was combined with measures of the mean biomass per 0.01m² to obtain a measure of biomass m⁻² of shore in each of the mussel zones. The Coastal Sensitivity Atlas of southern Africa (Jackson and Lipschitz 1984) was then used to measure the total length of rocky shore in each 100-km sampling area. The mean biomass per m² of shore in each mussel zone was multiplied by the area covered by that zone, thus allowing the calculation of total biomass supported in each mussel zone in each sampling area. These area totals were summed, giving an estimate of total M. galloprovincialis biomass supported on the West and South coasts respectively.



Figure 1: Map of the South African coast showing place names mentioned in the text

B. paivae

This whelk was first recorded in South Africa in 1968, when a thriving colony in the Buffalo River mouth (the location of East London Harbour) was first observed (Kilburn and Rippey 1982). In order to assess the current status of *B. paivae*, the coast surrounding the river mouth was divided into 2-km areas (spanning a total of 10km either side of the Buffalo River), in which three sampling sites were randomly chosen. At each site, a 0.5-m² quadrat was used to run three transects from MLWS to MHWS. The number of individuals in each quadrat was recorded.

Cryptogenic species

Previous considerations of marine alien species in South Africa have paid little attention to the conceptual category of cryptogenic species. In this study, species have been allocated to this grouping if all of the following criteria were met:

- i the species has a substantiated presence in South African waters;
- ii the species has a well-established global range or a range crossing known biogeographic boundaries;
- iii the species exhibits life-history characteristics that facilitate dispersal via human mediated vectors; and
- iv there are, or were, such vectors in South African waters.

Results

Known introductions

A list of species known to be introduced to the region and

which presently support extant populations is given in Table 1. Further information about each species is provided below.

Ascidians

Ciona intestinalis is the earliest known accidental introduction to South African shores (Millar 1955). At present, it occurs in harbours along the entire coast (Monniot *et al.* 2001), where it is a dominant fouling organism. This distribution pattern suggests that shipping has been the dispersal vector for this species. Despite *C. intestinalis* being well documented and common, the ecological impacts of this invasion have not been quantified. Economic impacts have, however, been reported by mussel farmers who spend up to R100 000 per annum in Saldanha Bay in an effort to maintain their mussel ropes free of this ascidian, which grows mainly towards the lower sections of mussel ropes, smothering mussels and reducing growth and survival (Heasman 1996, T Tonin, Mariculture Development Services, pers. comm.).

A review of South African ascidians by Monniot *et al.* (2001) documented two introduced species, *Clavelina lapadiformis* and *Diplosoma listerianum*. *C. lapadiformis* appears to be limited to Knysna Estuary and Port Elizabeth Harbour, and it seems likely that the two populations represent a spread of the species rather than two separate invasions. Such dispersal may have been aided by mariculture operations that translocate oysters between these localities. In contrast, *D. listerianum* is widely distributed in all harbours between Saldanha Bay and Port Elizabeth. This may indicate numerous invasions or intraregional transport between harbours. The ecological

| Та | b | le | 1 | : | Invasive | species | along | the | South | African | coast |
|----|---|----|---|---|----------|---------|-------|-----|-------|---------|-------|
|----|---|----|---|---|----------|---------|-------|-----|-------|---------|-------|

| Species name | Common name | First record | Present distribution | Known impacts | Source |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Ciona intestinalis | Ascidian | 1955 | Harbours along the whole South African coast | Significant economic impact — negatively affects mussel culture industry | Millar (1955), Monniot <i>et al</i> . (2001) |
| Clavelina lapadiformis | Ascidian | 2001 | Knysna Estuary and Port Elizabeth Harbour | | Monniot <i>et al.</i> (2001) |
| Diplosoma listerianum | Ascidian | 2001 | All harbours from Saldanha Bay to Port Elizabeth | l | Monniot <i>et al.</i> (2001) |
| Metridium senile | Anemone | 1995 | Cape Town Harbour | | Griffiths et al. (1996) |
| Sagartia ornata | Anemone | 2002 | Langebaan Lagoon | | Acuna <i>et al.</i> (2004), Robinson <i>et al.</i> (2004) |
| Carcinus maenas | Crab | 1983 | West Coast between Table Bay Harbour and Hou Bay Harbour | Potential ecological and t economic impacts | le Roux <i>et al.</i> (1990), Griffiths <i>et al.</i> (1992) |
| Littorina saxatilis | Periwinkle | 1974 | Langebaan Lagoon and Knysna Lagoon | | Day (1974), Hughes (1979) |
| Mytilus galloprovincialis | Mussel | 1979 | Entire West Coast, South Coast up to 20km west of East London | Significant ecological and economic impacts | Hockey and van Erkom Schurink (1992), Griffiths <i>et al.</i> (1992), Branch and Steffani (2004) |
| Crassostrea gigas | Oyster | 2001 | Breede, Goukou and Knysna estuaries | | Robinson et al. (in press) |
| Schimmelmannia elegans | Red algae | 2002 | Cape Town Harbour | | de Clerck <i>et al.</i> (2002) |

and economic impacts of the presence of these ascidians in South African waters are presently unknown, but because both are relatively small encrusting species and appear to occur at relatively low densities, it is unlikely that they have significant ecological effects.

In 1995, the anemone *Metridium senile* was reported from Table Bay Harbour, where it occurred in densities of up to about 10 individuals m⁻² (Griffiths *et al.* 1996). The ecological impacts of the invasion are at present unmeasured, but are unlikely to be significant because this anemone remains confined to the harbour.

Sagartia ornata is widely distributed throughout western Europe, the United Kingdom and the Mediterranean (Manuel 1981), and was first recorded in South Africa in 2002 (Acuna *et al.* 2004). At present, this species is reported only from the intertidal zone within Langebaan Lagoon, where it occurs in densities of up to 426 ± 81 (SD) individuals m⁻² in *Spartina. maritima* beds and on rocks covered by sand (Robinson *et al.* 2004). This is in contrast to its habitat along British coasts, where it occurs in crevices on rocky shores and on kelp holdfasts (Gibson *et al.* 2001). There is therefore the potential for this species to spread extensively along the South African coast, which offers cold water and vast kelp beds typical of its home range. The ecological influences of this invasion are likely to be restricted to local effects on small invertebrate prey.

Decapods

South African populations of the European shore-crab Carcinus maenas were first detected in Table Bay Harbour in 1983 (Joska and Branch 1986). It has been proposed that these crabs reached the port via fouling of international oil exploration vessels, which have docked within the harbour since 1969 (le Roux et al. 1990). By 1990, this species had been recorded at seven intertidal sites along the west coast of South Africa, six in the vicinity of Cape Town and the other in Saldanha Bay (le Roux et al. 1990, Figure 1). The present study recorded no intertidal range extension, but the species was recorded in Hout Bay Harbour for the first time. This lack of intertidal range expansion by C. maenas is probably a reflection of the wave-exposed nature of South African shores, and the crab's apparent inability to inhabit waveexposed habitats (Crothers 1968). Mark-recapture experiments suggested substantial subtidal populations of 133 568 individuals (95% confidence limits = 97 694-166 862) and 9 180 individuals (95% confidence limits = 5 870- 12 003) in Table Bay Harbour and Hout Bay Harbour respectively. Because small rock lobster vessels often move between these harbours, it is highly likely that adult crabs from Table Bay Harbour were inadvertently transported to Hout Bay by these boats. Despite extensive subtidal sampling within Saldanha Bay (baited traps, grabs and dredges), no subtidal specimens of this species have ever been recorded (Robinson et al. 2004). Given the reputation of C. maenas as a highly successful invasive species, the lack of a well-established population within Saldanha Bay 12 years after its initial discovery there (le Roux et al. 1990) is curious. An extensive invasion of this area would be potentially disastrous for the local biota, which is likely to be highly vulnerable to predation by C. maenas (le Roux et al. 1990).

Gastropods

Littorina saxatilis, a small intertidal periwinkle, was first recorded in South Africa in 1974 (Day 1974). The only known populations occur in two discrete locations: Langebaan Lagoon and Knysna Estuary (Hughes 1979, Figure 1), and it has been proposed that these introductions may have resulted from early European shipping (Knight et al. 1987, McQuaid 1996). Despite occurring in crevices on rocky shores within its home range (Gibson et al. 2001), along the South African coast L. saxatilis is restricted to sheltered salt marshes and lagoons, where it is found on the stems of the cord grass S. marimitma. In 2002, densities of up to 433 ± 123 (SD) individuals m⁻² were recorded in Langebaan Lagoon (Robinson et al. 2004). The present status of the Knysna population is unknown. Despite its 20year presence along the South African coast, this species has remained geographically restricted. No ecological effects of the invasion are known, although these small gastropods could form an abundant food source for wading birds and crabs (Robinson et al. 2004).

Bivalves

The most significant invasion along the South African coast is that of the Mediterranean mussel M. galloprovincialis. Although first noted in Saldanha Bay in 1979 (Branch and Steffani 2004), genetic confirmation of this species identification was only published in 1984 (Grant et al. 1984), by which time the species was already the dominant intertidal mussel along sections of the west coast. M. galloprovincialis first appeared on the south coast of the country in 1989 (McQuaid and Phillips 2000) as an isolated population in Port Elizabeth Harbour, where it was introduced for mariculture. Subsequently, this population was removed and the small populations it had spawned died out. Natural spread from the West Coast began about the same time (Phillips 1994), and during the present survey it was recorded along the entire west coast of South Africa, with populations extending eastwards around Cape Point and intermittently as far as Kidds Beach, i.e. 20km west of East London, (Figure 1). This species presently occupies a total of 2 050km of the South African coast (Figure 2), with a total standing stock of 35 403.7 tons (± 9 099.6 tons SD), 88% of which is on the West Coast (31 054.5 tons ± 6 730.0 tons SD).

The ecological effects of the *M. galloprovincialis* invasion are wide-ranging and have been most profound on the West Coast. In comparison with the indigenous mussels Choromytilus meridionalis and Aulacomya ater, M. galloprovincialis exhibits a heightened growth rate, fecundity and tolerance to desiccation (van Erkom Schurink and Griffiths 1990, 1991, 1992, Hockey and van Erkom Schurink 1992). Consequently, there has been an upshore movement in the centre of distribution of intertidal mussel beds, because this species has dominated local mussels along the West Coast (Hockey and van Erkom Schurink 1992). It is only in sandinundated areas that C. meridionalis remains dominant. Coupled with the fact that *M. galloprovincialis* beds consist of multiple layers and support a higher biomass per m² than the single-layered beds of indigenous mussels, the increased vertical range of M. galloprovincialis beds has led



Figure 2: Mean (± SD) biomass (kg m⁻²) supported by *M.* galloprovincialis in the (a) high, (b) mid and (c) low mussel zones in each 100-km area around the South African coast

to a massive increase in mussel biomass along the South African west coast (Griffiths et al. 1992), and a simultaneous rise in density of associated infauna (Hammond and Griffiths 2004). This effect may be related not only to species, but also to inshore productivity: in contrast to the situation on the West Coast, M. galloprovincialis on the more oligotrophic South Coast forms mono-layered beds (Phillips 1994). M. galloprovincialis is immune to the trematode parasites that are common in indigenous mussels and that reduce both individual growth rates and population reproductive output by castrating females (Calvo-Ugarteburu and McQuaid 1998a, 1998b). On the South Coast, this mussel has not yet completely replaced the indigenous mussel Perna perna. Instead, the two exhibit spatial segregation, with P. perna dominating the low shore, *M. galloprovincialis* the high shore and an overlap zone between the two (CDM unpublished data)

The most important predator of *M. galloprovincialis* along the West Coast is the whelk *Nucella cingulata* (Branch and Steffani 2004). However, owing to the extremely high rate of recruitment of this mussel (up to 20 000 recruits m⁻², Harris et al. 1998) and the relatively low numbers of N. cingulata, whelk predation is unable to control South African M. galloprovincialis populations (Branch and Steffani 2004). These high rates of recruitment have also allowed M. galloprovincialis to dominate primary rock surfaces at the expense of various competitively inferior limpet species. By excluding Scutellastra granularis from open rock, M. galloprovincialis has reduced the number of individuals occurring directly on rock, but at the same time has increased the overall density of this species by providing a favourable settlement and recruitment substratum for juveniles (Hockey and van Erkom Schurink 1992). Associated with this increase in density, S. granularis has shown a decrease in mean size, as the maximum size of limpets within the mussel beds is limited by the size of the host mussels (Griffiths et al. 1992). A second limpet species. Scutellastra argenvillei, has also been significantly affected by the invasion of M. galloprovincialis, although the strength of the interaction between these two species is mediated by wave action (Steffani and Branch 2003a, 2003b). On exposed shores, M. galloprovincialis displaces S. argenvillei and dominates the primary substratum, whereas on semiexposed shores the mussel becomes relatively scarce and S. argenvillei maintains dominance of open rock space (Steffani and Branch 2003a, 2003b). Additional impacts on S. argenvillei include reductions in reproductive output and mean size of those individuals which now occur on mussels (Griffiths et al. 1992, Branch and Steffani 2004).

M. galloprovincialis has also affected some sandy shores, though to a lesser degree. In 1992, *M. galloprovincialis* invaded the centre banks of Langebaan Lagoon, an important marine conservation area along the West Coast. There it considerably altered the natural community composition by inducing a replacement of sandbank communities by those more typical of rocky shores (Robinson and Griffiths 2002). Interestingly, after supporting a biomass of 7.7 tons in 1998 (Robinson *et al.* 2004), the beds present on the centre banks decreased in size by 88% by 2001 (Hanekom and Nel 2002), and by 2003 only empty shells remained (TBR and CLG, unpublished data). The reason for this decline remains unclear.

Despite the many negative ecological impacts resulting from this invasion, one species, the near-threatened African black oystercatcher *Haematopus moquini*, has benefited from the presence of the mussel. This endemic intertidal forager has shown a shift in diet since the arrival of *M. galloprovincialis*, and now feeds predominantly on the foreign mussel (Hockey and van Erkom Schurink 1992). Concurrent with this change in diet has come a dramatic increase in breeding success of *H. moquini* as a result of increased food supply (Hockey and van Erkom Schurink 1992). From an economic perspective, the invasion of *M. galloprovincialis* has had considerably positive impacts, because the entire mussel culture industry in South Africa is based on this alien species.

In line with global trends, the South African oyster industry is based on the Japanese oyster *Crassostrea gigas*, which was first introduced into Knysna Estuary in the early 1950s (de Moor and Bruton 1988). On account of the difficulties in inducing predictable spawning and subsequent settlement under South African conditions, the industry is fuelled by spat imported from Chile, the United Kingdom and France. Because C. gigas has appeared unable to complete its life cycle under local environmental conditions, this species was not previously considered likely to become invasive along South African shores (Griffiths et al. 1992). However, in 2001, oysters unlike any indigenous species - were recorded in estuaries along the South Coast. Robinson et al. (in press) subsequently confirmed the identification of these oysters as C. gigas, and documented populations of 184 206 ± 21 058.9 (SE), 876 ± 604.2 (SE) and 1 228 ± 841.8 (SE) individuals in the Breede, Goukou and Knysna estuaries respectively. To date, however, this species has not been recorded on the open coast, and the invasion appears to be restricted to estuarine environments. At present, the rate of spread and ecological impacts of this invasion are undocumented. Similar invasions elsewhere have resulted in a variety of serious impacts, including the simultaneous introduction of associated fauna (Kaiser et al. 1998), the introduction of disease organisms (Ford 1992), genetic pollution of local ovster species (Gaffnev and Allen 1992, 1993) and the reduction of indigenous oyster populations to threatened levels (Mann et al. 1991).

Algae

Only a single alien algal species, *Schimmelmannia elegans*, is known from South Africa. First recorded in the 'Kelp Tank' of the Two Oceans Aquarium in Cape Twn in 2002 (de Clerck *et al.* 2002), this species was also found growing below a water outlet where aquarium water enters Cape Town Harbour. Previously only known from the islands of Tristan da Cunha and Nightingale (de Clerck *et al.* 2002), this alga has no history as an invasive species. Its status as alien in South Africa is, however, well established, because it has not been detected in extensive surveys of the West Coast conducted by Stegenga *et al.* (1997) and Bolton (1999). Owing to its very limited distribution, it is unlikely that *S. elegans* presently exerts any significant ecological or economic impacts.

Phytoplankton entering Saldanha Bay via shipping ballast water was considered by Marangoni *et al.* (2001). However, despite listing 173 taxa, this paper offers no classification of species as alien or indigenous.

Cryptogenic species

Applying the selected criteria to South African marine fauna and flora lists resulted in 22 species from the region being classified as cryptogenic (Table 2). It should be stated, however, that such lists are dependant on the current taxonomic knowledge of the different groups. This is strongly reflected in the dominance of Table 2 by amphipods, one of the better studied marine taxa in South Africa. It is therefore predicted that, as the taxonomic knowledge base of South African marine organisms improves, many more species will be added to this list.

The ascidian *B. leachi* has previously been categorised as a South African cryptogenic (Griffiths *et al.* 2004), but has been excluded from the present list. This is owing to its absence from collections made by Monniot *et al.* (2001), who concluded that the original identification of this species in South Africa was in fact a misidentification of the congeneric species *Botrylloides gregalis*.

The amphipod *Maera grossimana* is a common fouling species and is listed as cryptogenic in many regions of the world (Orensanz *et al.* 2002), but the species has not been added to the South African list despite species records for the region. Again, this relates to the probably incorrect identification of this species in southern Africa (Karaman and Ruffo 1971). Should the identification of this species be confirmed it would most certainly be added to future lists of cryptogenics in the region.

Species to be removed from lists of alien species

Previous papers dealing with alien species in southern Africa have listed various dubious records of non-indigenous species (Griffiths *et al.* 1992, Griffiths 2000, de Clerck *et al.* 2002, Awad 2002). These unsubstantiated and one-off records are listed in Table 3. These species no longer support extant populations, or were originally incorrectly listed as alien. In order to keep the records of South African marine alien species current, such species need to be removed from all future lists.

Decapods

The cryptic green crab *Carcinus aestuarii* was first detected in Table Bay Harbour by Geller *et al.* (1997). Despite this species constituting 7.7% of a random sample of 52 *Carcinus* individuals considered in that study, no *C. aestuarii* were recorded among 4 600 individuals captured in the harbour during the present survey for *C. maenas*. This species was also absent from the collection of 500 crabs made in Hout Bay Harbour, and was not recorded during intertidal searches along the Cape Peninsula.

The bristle crab *Pilumnus hirsutus* was first noted by Branch and Branch (1981) as a species of probable alien origin. The indigenous status of this species appeared suspect owing to its restricted distribution within Langebaan Lagoon on the temperate West Coast, which contrasts with the species native Indo-West Pacific range. However, Compton (2001) confirmed the native status of this species when its fossils were recorded in Holocene deposits in the Lagoon.

Gastropods

The present survey for the whelk *B. paivae* revealed no individuals within 10km of the river mouth. This species is therefore taken to be extinct along the South African coast.

The red abalone *Haliotis rufescenens* was introduced into Saldanha Bay in 1988 by local mariculture operations (Griffiths 2000). All individuals died, however, before being released into the open-water culture system (Griffiths 2000).

Bivalves

The commercially cultured European flat oyster *Ostrea edulis* and the Portuguese oyster *Crassostrea angulata* were introduced into Knysna Estuary in the late 1940s (Korringa 1956), but shortly thereafter both populations became extinct, and neither species has been recorded subsequently.

In 1988 the Manila clam *Tapes philippinarum* was imported into Saldanha Bay as a mariculture species. However, the

| Table 2: Cryptogenic species along the South African |
|------------------------------------------------------|
|------------------------------------------------------|

| | Common | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Species name | grouping | South African distribution | Global distribution | Source |
| Bugula neritina | Bryozoa | Entire coast | Cosmopolitan | Day (1974), Branch <i>et al.</i> (1994), Ruiz <i>et al.</i> (2000) |
| Membranipora membranacea | Bryozoa | Saldanha Bay to Durban | Cosmopolitan | Branch <i>et al.</i> (1994), Ruiz <i>et al.</i> (2000), Gibson <i>et al.</i> (2001) |
| Cliona spp | Sponge | Entire coast | Cosmopolitan | Day (1974) |
| Obelia dichotoma | Hydroid | Entire coast | Cosmopolitan | Branch et al. (1994) |
| Obelia geniculata | Hydroid | Entire coast | Cosmopolitan | Branch et al. (1994) |
| Balanus amphitrite | Barnacle | Entire coast except north of St Helena Bay | Cosmopolitan | Branch <i>et al.</i> (1994), Eno <i>et al.</i> (1997), Orensanz <i>et al.</i> (2002) |
| Caprella equilibra | Amphipod | Entire coast | Cosmopolitan | Griffiths (1976) |
| Caprella penantis | Amphipod | Entire coast | Circumtropical | Griffiths (1976) |
| Cerapus tubularis | Amphipod | Entire coast, except north of Saldanha Bay | Circumtropical | Griffiths (1976) |
| Chelura terebrans | Amphipod | Saldanha Bay to Port Elizabeth | Cosmopolitan | Bousfield (1973), Griffiths (1976) |
| Corophium acherusicum | Amphipod | Entire coast, except north of Durban | Circumtropical | Bousfield (1973), Griffiths (1976) |
| Cymadusa filosa | Amphipod | Entire coast | Circumtropical | Griffiths (1976) |
| Ericthonius brasiliensis | Amphipod | Entire coast, except north of the Olifants River Mouth | Circumtropical | Bousfield (1973), Griffiths (1976) |
| Ischyrocerus anguipes | Amphipod | Entire coast | Circumtropical | Bousfield (1973), Griffiths (1976) |
| Jassa marmorata | Amphipod | KwaZulu-Natal coast, Table Bay Harbour | Cosmopolitan | Griffiths (1976), Conlan (1990) |
| Jassa morinoi | Amphipod | KwaZulu-Natal coast, Port Elizabeth, False Bay | Cosmopolitan | Conlan (1990) |
| Jassa slatteryi | Amphipod | Langebaan Lagoon, False Bay, Knysna Estuary | Cosmopolitan | Conlan (1990) |
| Limnoria quadripunctata | lsopod | Table Bay to Port Elizabeth | Britain, Holland, California, Chile, St Paul and Amsterdam islands | Kensley (1978) |
| Sphaeroma terebrans | Isopod | Knysna Estuary eastwards | Cosmopolitan | Kensley (1978) |
| Bankia carinata | Shipworm | East of Goukou Estuary | Indo-Pacific, Europe, western Atlantic | Kilburn and Rippey (1982) |
| Marthasterias glacialis | Starfish | St Helena Bay to Port Elizabeth | Britain, Mediterranean, Cape Verde Islands | Branch <i>et al.</i> (1994), Gibson <i>et al.</i> (2001) |
| Antithamnionella spirographidis | Algae | Langebaan Lagoon | Warm, temperate European coasts, Mediterranean, northern Pacific, southern Australia | Stegenga <i>et al.</i> (1997), de Clerck <i>et al.</i> (2002) |
| Antithamnionella ternifolia | Algae | West Coast | Warm, temperate European coasts, Mediterranean, northern Pacific, southern Australia | de Clerck <i>et al.</i> (2002) |

indigenous eagleray *Myliobatis aquilla* consumed all individuals shortly after they were released from quarantine. This species has not been reintroduced, and no naturalised population was ever established.

Discussion

In all, 10 alien marine species are well established along the South African coast (Table 1). Whereas the majority of these remain restricted to harbours (e.g. *C. intestinalis*, *C. maenas*, *M. senile*) and sheltered lagoons or estuaries (e.g. *C. gigas*, *L. saxatilis*, *S. ornata*), a single species, *M. galloprovincialis*, has spread extensively and now covers 2 050km of South African shores.

The small number of alien species recorded along the South African coast represents a considerably lower preva-

lence of non-indigenous marine species than has been reported for other regions of the world. For example, in an analysis of exotic marine organisms off North America, Ruiz et al. (2000) recorded 298 species. On a smaller scale, 30, 51, 99, 150 and 180 marine alien species have been reported from Hawaii, Great Britain, San Francisco Bay (USA), Chesapeake Bay (USA) and Port Phillip Bay (Australia) respectively (Eno et al. 1997, Cohen and Carlton 1998, Ruiz et al. 1999, Defelice et al. 2001, Hewitt et al. 2004). The relatively low number of alien species in southern Africa should, however, be treated with reserve, because the true pervasiveness of invasions in the region may be obscured by several external factors. First, large areas of the South African coast remain unexplored with regards to nonindigenous species, with the Indian Ocean coast in particular having received little consideration. Second, the taxonomy

| | Common | | | |
|------------------------|------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| Species name | name | First record | Reason for removal | Source |
| Polydora spp. | Polychaete | 1988 | Unsubstantiated record | de Moor and Bruton (1988) |
| Carcinus aestuarii | Crab | 1997 | Population extinct | Geller et al. (1997) |
| Pilumnus hirsutus | Crab | 1981 | Confirmation of native status | Compton (2001) |
| Bedeva paivae | Whelk | 1968 | Population extinct | Kilburn and Rippey (1982), Griffiths <i>et al.</i> (1992) |
| Thais haemastoma | Whelk | 1975 | Single record | Kilburn and Rippey (1982) |
| Latiaxis mawae | Whelk | 1985 | Only shell found | Griffiths (2000) |
| Harpa ventricosa | Whelk | 1985 | Only shell found | Griffiths (2000) |
| Urosalpinx spp. | Whelk | 1988 | Unsubstantiated record | de Moor and Bruton (1988) |
| Haliotis rufescenens | Abalone | 1988 | No naturalised populations and no longer cultured | Griffiths (2000) |
| Ostrea edulis | Oyster | Late 1940s | Population extinct | Korringa (1956) |
| Crassostrea angulata | Oyster | Late 1940s | Population extinct | Korringa (1956) |
| Tapes philippinarum | Clam | 1988 | No naturalised populations and no longer cultured | Griffiths (2000) |
| Bonnemaisonia hamifera | Algae | 1938 | Single record | de Clerck et al. (2001) |

Table 3: Species to be removed from lists of South African marine alien species

of marine groups is poorly developed within South Africa (Griffiths 1999, Linder and Griffiths 1999). At present, only four full-time professional marine invertebrate taxonomists are working within South Africa, and research is restricted to seaweeds and the phyla Porifera and Mollusca. It is highly likely that the number of alien species recorded along the South African coast will increase as more surveys are undertaken and additional taxa are investigated.

Acknowledgements — This research was funded by the National Research Foundation and Marine and Coastal Management, through a grant from the Sea and the Coast Programme, as well as through a grant to the Centre for Invasion Biology. MR was supported by a Spanish scholarship from Ministerio Asuntos Exteriores – Agencia Española de Cooperación Internacional. We thank the numerous undergraduate students who helped with the *Carcinus maenas* mark-recapture study, and S Bownes for help in the field.

References

- ACUNA, F. H., EXCOFFON, A. C. and C. L. GRIFFITHS 2004 First record and redescription of the introduced sea anemone *Sagartia ornata* (Holdsworth, 1855) (Cnidaria: Actiniaria: Sagartiidae) from South Africa. *Afr. J. Zool.* **39**: 314–318.
- AWAD, A. A. 2002 Introduced marine species across southern Africa. In *Invasive Alien Species in Southern Africa: National Reports and Directory of Resources*. McDonald, A. W., Reaser, J. K., Bright, C., Neville, L. E., Howard, G. W., Murphy, S. J., Preston, G. (Eds). The Global Invasive Species Programme, Cape Town, South Africa: 86–90.
- BEHRENS YAMADA, S. and L. HAUCK 2001 Field identification of the European green crab species: *Carcinus maenas* and *Carcinus aestuarii*. J. Shellfish Res. 20: 905–912.
- BOLTON, J. J. 1999 Seaweed systematics and diversity in South Africa: an historical account. Trans. R. Soc. S. Afr. 54: 167–177.
- BOUSFIELD, E. L. 1973 Shallow-water Gammaridean Amphipoda of New England. London; Cornell University Press: 312 pp.
- BRANCH, G. M. and M. L. BRANCH 1981 The Living Shores of Southern Africa. Cape Town; Struik: 272 pp. + 60 pp. Plates.
- BRANCH, G. M., GRIFFITHS, C. L., BRANCH, M. L. and L. E. BECKLEY 1994 — Two Oceans. A Guide to the Marine Life of Southern Africa. Cape Town; David Philip: 360 pp.

- BRANCH, G. M. and C. N. STEFFANI 2004 Can we predict the effects of alien species? A case-history of the invasion of South Africa by *Mytilus galloprovincialis* (Lamarck). *J. expl mar. Biol. Ecol.* **300**: 189–215.
- CALVO-UGARTEBURU, G. and C. D. McQUAID 1998a Parasitism and introduced species: epidemiology of trematodes in the intertidal mussels *Perna perna* and *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. *J. expl mar. Biol. Ecol.* **220**: 47–65.
- CALVO-UGARTEBURU, G. and C. D. McQUAID 1998b Parasitism and invasive species: effects of digenetic trematodes on mussels. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **169**: 149–163.
- CARLTON, J. T. 1987 Patterns of transoceanic marine biological invasions in the Pacific Ocean. Bul. mar. Sci. 41: 452–465.
- CARLTON, J. T. 1996 Pattern, process, and prediction in marine invasion ecology. *Biol. Conserv.* 78: 97–106.
- CARLTON, J. T. 1999 Molluscan invasions in marine and estuarine communities. *Malacologia* **41**: 439–454.
- CARLTON, J. T. and J. B. GELLER 1993 Ecological roulette: the global transport of nonindigenous marine organisms. *Science* **261**: 78–82.
- COHEN, A. N. and J. T. CARLTON 1998 Accelerated invasion rate in a highly invaded estuary. *Science* 279: 555–558.
- COMPTON, J. S. 2001 Holocene sea-level fluctuations inferred from the evolution of depositional environments of the southern Langebaan Lagoon salt marsh, South Africa. *The Holocene* **11**: 395–405.
- CONLAN, K. E. 1990 Revision of the crustacean amphipod genus Jassa Leach (Corophiidae: Ischyroceridae). Can. J. Zool. 68: 2031–2075.
- CROOKS, J. A. and H. S. KHIM 1999 Architectural vs biological effects of a habitat-altering, exotic mussel, *Musculista senhousia*. *J. expl mar. Biol. Ecol.* 240: 53–75.
- CROTHERS, J. H. 1968 The biology of the shore crab *Carcinus* maenas (L.). 2. The life of the adult crab. *Fld Stud.* **2**(5): 597–614.
- DAY, J. H. 1974 A Guide to Marine Life on South African Shores, 2nd ed. Cape Town; Balkema: 300 pp.
- DE CLERCK, O., ANDERSON R. J., BOLTON J. J. and D. ROBERTSON-ANDERSON 2002 — Schimmelmannia elegans (Gloiosiphoniaceae, Rhodophyta): South Africa's first introduced seaweed? *Phycologia* 41: 184–190.
- DEFELICE, R. C., ELDREDGE, L. G. and J. T. CARLTON 2001 — Nonindigenous marine invertebrates. In A Guidebook of Introduced Marine Species in Hawaii. Eldredge, L. G. and C. M.

Smith (Eds). Bishop Museum Technical Report 21: 70 pp.

- DE MOOR, I. J. and M. N. BRUTON 1988 Atlas of alien and translocated indigenous aquatic animals in southern Africa. *Rep.* S. Afr. natn. scient. Progms 144: 310 pp.
- ENO, N. C., CLARK, R. A. and W. G. SANDERSON (Eds) 1997 — Non-native marine species in British waters: a review and directory. Peterborough; Joint Nature Conservation Committe: 136 pp.
- FORD, S. E. 1992 Avoiding the transmission of disease in commercial culture of mollusks, with special reference to *Perkinsus marinus* (Dermo) and *Haplosporidium nelsoni* (MSX). *J. Shellfish Res.* **11**: 539–546.
- FRASER, D. F. and J. F. GILLIAM 1992 Nonlethal impacts of predator invasion: Facultative suppression of growth and reproduction. *Ecology* **73**: 959–970.
- GAFFNEY, P. M. and S. K. ALLEN 1992 Genetic effects of introduction and transfer of mollusks. J. Shellfish Res. 11: 535–538.
- GAFFNEY, P. M. and S. K. ALLEN 1993 Hybridization among Crassostrea species: a review. Aquaculture 116: 1–13.
- GELLER, J. B., WALTON, E. D., GROSHOLZ, E. D. and G. M. RUIZ 1997 — Cryptic invasions of the crab *Carcinus* detected by molecular phylogeography. *Mol. Ecol.* 6: 901–906.
- GIBSON, R., HEXTALL, B. and A. ROGERS 2001 Photographic Guide to the Sea and Shore Life of Britain and North-West Europe. New York; Oxford University Press: 436 pp.
- GRANT, W. S., CHERRY, M. I. and A. T. LOMBARD 1984 A cryptic species of *Mytilus* (Mollusca: Bivalvia) on the west coast of South Africa. S. Afr. J. mar. Sci. 2: 149–162.
- GRIFFITHS, C. L. 1976 Guide to the Benthic Marine Amphipods of Southern Africa. Cape Town; Trustees of the South African Museum: 106 pp.
- GRIFFITHS, C. L. 1999 Crustacean systematics in South Africa – status and historical overview. Trans. R. Soc. S. Afr. 54:43–52.
- GRIFFITHS, C. L. 2000 Overview on current problems and future risks. In *Best Management Practices for Preventing and Controlling Invasive Alien Species*. Preston, G., Brown, G. and E. van Wyk (Eds). Cape Town; The Working for Water Programme: 235–241
- GRIFFITHS, C. L., HOCKEY, P. A. R., VAN ERKOM SCHURINK, C. and P. J. LE ROUX 1992 — Marine invasive aliens on South African shores: implications for community structure and trophic functioning. In *Benguela Trophic Functioning*. Payne, A. I. L., Brink, K. H., Mann, K. H. and R. Hilborn (Eds). S. Afr. J. mar. Sci. **12**: 713–722.
- GRIFFITHS, C. L., KRUGER, L. M. and EWART C. SMITH 1996 — First record of the sea anemone *Metridium senile* from South Africa. S. Afr. J. Zool. **31**: 157–158.
- GRIFFITHS, C. L., VAN SITTERT, L., BEST, P. B., BROWN, A. C., CLARK, B. M., COOK, P. A., CRAWFORD, R. J. M., DAVID, J. H. M., DAVIES, B. R., GRIFFITHS, M. H., HUTCHINGS, K., JERARDINO, A., KRUGER, N., LAMBERTH, S., LESLIE, R. W., MELVILLE-SMITH, R., TARR, R. and C. D. VAN DER LINGEN 2004 — Impacts of human activities on marine animal life in the Benguela: a historical overview. In Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review 42. Gibson, R. N., Atkinson, R. J. A. and J. D. M. Gordon (Eds). Aberdeen; University Press: 303–392.
- HAMMOND, W. and C. L. GRIFFITHS 2004 Influence of wave exposure on South African mussel beds and their associated infaunal communities. *Mar. Biol.* 144: 547–552.
- HANEKOM, N. and P. NEL 2002 Invasion of sandflats in Langebaan Lagoon, South Africa, by the alien mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*: size, composition and decline of the populations. *Afr. Zool.* **37**: 197–208.

HARRIS, J. M., BRANCH, G. M., ELLIOTT, B. L., CURRIE, B.,

DYE, A. H., MCQUAID, C. D., TOMALIN, B. J. and C. VELAS-QUEZ 1998 — Spatial and temporal variability in recruitment of intertidal mussels around the coast of Southern Africa. *S. Afr. J. Zool.* **33**: 1–11.

- HEASMAN, K. G. 1996 The influence of oceanographic conditions and culture method on the dynamics of mussel farming in Saldanha Bay, South Africa. M.Sc. thesis, Department of Zoology and Entomology, Rhodes University: 89 pp.
- HEWITT, C. L., CAMPBELL, M. L., THRESHER, R. E., MARTIN, R. B., BOYD, S., COHEN, B. F., CURRIE, D. R., KEOUGH, M. J., LEWIS, J. A., LOCKETT, M. M., MAYS, N., MCARTHUR, M. A., O'HARA, T. D., POORE, G. C. B., ROSS, D. J., STOREY, M. J., WATSON, J. E. and R. S. WILSON 2004 — Introduced and cryptogenic species in Port Phillip Bay, Victoria, Australia. *Mar. Biol.* 144: 183–202.
- HOCKEY, P. A. R. and C. VAN ERKOM SCHURINK 1992 The invasive biology of the mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* on the southern African coast. *Trans. R. Soc. S. Afr.* 48: 123–139.
- HUGHES, R. N., 1979 South African populations of *Littorina* rudis. Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 65: 119–126.
- JACKSON, L. F. and S. LIPSCHITZ 1984 *Coastal Sensitivity Atlas of Southern Africa.* Pretoria; Department of Transport: vii + 69pp.
- JOSKA, M. A. P. and G. M. BRANCH 1986 The European shorecrab another alien invader? *Afr. Wildl.* **40**(2): 63–65.
- KAISER, M. J., LAING, I., UTTING, D. S. and G. M. BURNELL 1998 — Environmental impacts of bivalve mariculture. J. Shellfish Res. 17: 59–66.
- KARAMAN, G. and S. RUFFO 1971 Contributo alla conoscenza delle specie Mediterranee del genere Maera (Crustacea Amphipoda). Dalle Memorie del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale Verona 30: 113–176.
- KENSLEY, B. 1978 Guide to the Marine Isopods of Southern Africa. Cape Town; Trustees of the South African Museum: 173 pp.
- KILBURN, R. and E. RIPPEY 1982 Sea Shells of Southern Africa. Johannesburg; Macmillan: vi + 249 pp.
- KNIGHT, A. J., HUGHES, R. N. and R. D. WARD 1987 A striking example of founder effect in the mollusc *Littorina saxatilis*. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **32**: 417–426.
- KORRINGA, P. 1956 Oyster culture in South Africa. Hydrographical, biological and ostreological observations in the Knysna Lagoon, with notes on conditions in other South African waters. *Investl Rep. Div. Fish. S. Afr.* **20**: 84 pp.
- LE ROUX, P. J., BRANCH, G. M. and M. A. P. JOSKA 1990 On the distribution, diet and possible impact of the invasive European shore crab *Carcinus maenas* (L.) along the South African coast. S. *Afr. J. mar. Sci.* **9**: 85–93.
- LEWIS, P. N., HEWITT, C. L., RIDDLE, M. and A. McMINN 2003 — Marine introductions in the Southern Ocean: an unrecognized hazard to biodiversity. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **46**: 213–223.
- LINDER, H. P., and C. L. GRIFFITHS 1999 Introduction and overview. *Trans. R. Soc. S. Afr.* **54**: 1–4.
- MACK, R. N., SIMBERLOFF, D., LONSDALE, W. M., EVANS, H., CLOUT, M. and F. A. BAZZAZ 2000 — Biotic invasions: Causes, epidemiology, global consequences, and control. *Ecol. Appl.* **10**: 689–710.
- MANN, R., BURRESON, E. and P. BAKER 1991 The decline of the Virginia oyster fishery in Chesapeake Bay: considerations for introduction of a non-endemic species, *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg 1793). J. Shellfish Res. **10**: 379–388.
- MANUEL, R. L. 1981 *British Anthozoa*. London; Linnean Society; 241 pp.
- MARANGONI, C., PIENAAR, R. N. and S. D. SYM 2001 Possible introduction of alien phytoplankton via shipping ballast water: a South African perspective. S. Afr. J. Bot. 67: 465–474.

- McQUAID, C. D. 1996 Biology of the gastropod family Littorinidae. II Role in the ecology of intertidal and shallow marine ecosystems. In *Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review* 42. Gibson, R. N., Atkinson, R. J. A. and J. D. M. Gordon (Eds). Aberdeen; University Press: 263–302.
- McQUAID, C. D. and T. E. PHILLIPS 2000 Limited wind-driven dispersal of mussel larvae: *in situ* evidence from the plankton and the spread of the invasive species *Mytilus galloprovincialis* in South Africa. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **201**: 211–220.
- MILLAR, R. H. 1955 On a collection of ascidians from South Africa. Proc. R. Soc. Lon. 125: 169–221.
- MINCHIN, D. 1996 Management of the introduction and transfer of marine mollusks. Aquat. Conserv: Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst. 6: 229–244.
- MONNIOT, C., MONNIOT, F., GRIFFITHS, C. L. and M. SCHLEYER 2001 — South African ascidians. Ann. S. Afr. Mus. 108: 1–141.
- ORENSANZ, J. M., SCHWINDT, E., PASTORINO, G., BORTOLUS, A., CASAS, G., DARRIGRAN, G., ELIAS, R., LOPEZ GAPPA, J. J., OBENAT, S., PASCUAL, M., PENCHASZADEH, P., LUZ PIRIZ, M., SCARABINO, F., SPIVAK, E. D. and VALLARINO, E. A. 2002 — No longer the pristine confines of the worlds ocean: a survey of exotic marine species in the southwestern Atlantic. *Biol. Invasions* 4: 115–143.
- PHILLIPS, T. E. 1994 Dispersal, settlement and recruitment: their influence on the dynamics of intertidal mussels. Ph.D. thesis, Department of Zoology and Entomology, Rhodes University: 246 pp.
- ROBINSON, T. B. and C. L. GRIFFITHS 2002 Invasion of Langebaan Lagoon, South Africa, by *Mytilus galloprovincialis* – effects on natural communities. *Afr. Zool.* 37: 151–158.
- ROBINSON, T. B., GRIFFITHS, C. L. and N. KRUGER 2004 Distribution and status of marine invasive species in and bordering the West Coast National Park. *Koedoe* 47: 79–87.
- ROBINSON, T. B., GRIFFITHS, C. L. TONIN, A., BLOOMER, P. and M. P. HARE (in press) — Naturalized populations of *Crassostrea gigas* along the South African coast: distribution,

abundance and population structure. J. Shellfish Res.

- RUIZ, G. M., CARLTON, J. T., GROSHOLZ, E. D. and A. H. HINES 1997 — Global invasions of marine and estuarine habitats by non-indigenous species: mechanisms, extent and consequences. *Am. Zool.* **37**: 621–632.
- RUIZ, G. M., FOFONOFF, P. W., CARLTON, J. T., WONHAM, M. J. and A.H. HINES 2000 — Invasion of coastal marine communities in North America: apparent patterns, processes and biases. *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **31**: 481–531.
- RUIZ, G. M., FOFONOFF, P. W., HINES, A. H. and E. D. GROSHOLZ 1999 Non-indigenous species as stressors in estuarine and marine communities: assessing invasion impacts and interactions. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **44**: 950–972.
- STEFFANI, C. N. and G. M. BRANCH 2003a Spatial comparisons of populations of an indigenous limpet *Scutellastra argenvillei* and an alien mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* along a gradient of wave energy. *Afr. J. mar. Sci.* **25**: 195–212.
- STEFFANI, C. N. and G. M. BRANCH 2003b Temporal changes in an interaction between an indigenous limpet *Scutellastra argenvillei* and an alien mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*: effects of wave exposure. *Afr. J. mar. Sci.* **25**: 213–229.
- STEGENGA, H., BOLTON, J. J. and R. J. ANDERSON 1997 Seaweeds of the South African west coast. *Contributions from* the Bolus Herbarium 18: 1–655.
- VAN ERKOM SCHURINK, C. and C. L. GRIFFITHS 1990 Marine mussels of southern Africa – their distribution patterns, standing stocks, exploitation and culture. J. Shellfish Res. 9: 75–85.
- VAN ERKOM SCHURINK, C. and C. L. GRIFFITHS 1991 A comparison of reproductive cycles and reproductive output in four southern African mussel species. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 76: 123–134.
- VAN ERKOM SCHURINK, C. and C. L. GRIFFITHS 1992 Physiological energetics of four South African mussel species in relation to size, ration and temperature. *Comp. Bioch. Physiol.* **101**: 779–789.