





Genome Sequences of Seven *Megrivirus* Strains from Chickens in The Netherlands

🗓 Kirsty T. T. Kwok,a Myrna M. T. de Rooij,b Aniek B. Messink,b 🗓 Inge M. Wouters,b 🗓 Marion P. G. Koopmans,a 🗓 My V. T. Phana

^aDepartment of Viroscience, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

ABSTRACT We report seven chicken megrivirus genome sequences identified in chicken fecal samples from a broiler farm in The Netherlands. The sequences were determined using metagenomic sequencing and would expand our understanding of the genome diversity of megriviruses.

Viruses in the *Picornaviridae* family possess a positive-sense single-stranded RNA genome and cause a broad range of diseases in humans and animals (1). Among the 63 genera in this family, at least 15 genera, including *Megrivirus*, have been identified from avian sources (2). Megriviruses have been detected in both healthy and diseased poultry (3, 4) and are suspected to cause transmissible viral proventriculitis in chickens (5) and hepatitis in turkeys (6). Here, we report 7 nearly complete megrivirus genome sequences identified from 7 out of 8 pooled chicken fecal samples that we sequenced as part of a virome profiling study of farm animals. The samples consisted of pooled fresh fecal droppings from 3 to 4 chicks (*Gallus gallus domesticus*), collected in the same flock during weeks 4 and 5 of the production cycle from a broiler farm in June 2019 in The Netherlands (Table 1). No clinical signs in the examined flock were reported at the time of sampling.

The genome sequences of the virus were generated using metagenomic sequencing. The fecal suspension (30% [wt/vol] in phosphate-buffered saline) was centrifuged for 10 min at $10,000 \times g$. The supernatant was collected and treated with TURBO DNase (Invitrogen). Virion-protected nucleic acid was extracted using the QIAamp viral RNA minikit (Qiagen). Reverse transcription was performed using nonribosomal random hexamers (7) and SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen), followed by second-strand cDNA synthesis using Klenow fragment 3'-5' exo- (New England BioLabs). The resulting DNA was subjected to library preparation using a Nextera XT DNA library preparation kit (Illumina) following the manufacturer's instructions. The library was then purified and size selected using AMPure XP magnetic beads (Beckman Coulter). The final library was sequenced in paired-end format on the Illumina MiSeq platform using reagent kit v3 (600 cycles; Illumina). The total number of reads generated per sample ranged between 2,020,090 and 4,765,832. The raw reads were analyzed using the automated pipeline Genome Detective Virus Tool v1.126 (8), which utilizes Trimmomatic (9) for adapter and quality trimming, DIAMOND (10) for viral read identification, and metaSPAdes (11) for de novo assembly of the sorted viral reads. De novo-assembled genome sequences were inspected and annotated using Geneious v2020.2.3 (12). The lengths of the 7 nearly complete megrivirus genomes range from 8,993 to 9,592 nucleotides (nt). The depths of coverage range from $34 \times$ to $576 \times$. According to BLAST searches, these strains shared 83% to 84% identity at the nucleotide level with chicken picornavirus 5 isolate 27C from Hong Kong (2008; GenBank accession number KF979336), chicken megrivirus strain MG9567 from Brazil (2012; MH806866), and Picornaviridae sp. isolates w3chi090pic1 and w3chi091pic1 from China

Citation Kwok KTT, de Rooij MMT, Messink AB, Wouters IM, Koopmans MPG, Phan MVT. 2020. Genome sequences of seven *Megrivirus* strains from chickens in The Netherlands. Microbiol Resour Announc 9:e01207-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.01207-20.

Editor Simon Roux, DOE Joint Genome Institute

Copyright © 2020 Kwok et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Kirsty T. T. Kwok, tt.kwok@erasmusmc.nl.

Received 27 October 2020 Accepted 2 November 2020 Published 19 November 2020

blnstitute for Risk Assessment Sciences (IRAS), Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

Kwok et al.

TABLE 1 Sequence data for 7 megrivirus strains

			No. of					
Sample	Production	Total no.	megrivirus-	Depth of	Sequence	G + C	GenBank	SRA accession
identifier	wk no.	of reads	specific reads	coverage (×)	length (nt)	content (%)	accession no.	no.
V_M_013	4	2,595,998	38,347	576	9,590	45.4	MW054505	SRX9349804
V_M_014	4	4,046,054	2,292	33	9,410	45.1	MW054506	SRX9349805
V_M_015	4	4,765,832	33,371	446	9,566	45.3	MW054507	SRX9349806
V_M_016	4	4,172,232	23,041	307	9,592	45.4	MW054508	SRX9349807
V_M_017	5	2,020,090	4,993	86	9,561	45.2	MW054509	SRX9349808
V_M_018	5	3,901,518	2,401	34	8,993	45.4	MW054510	SRX9349809
V_M_019	5	4,286,694	6,302	88	9,294	45.2	MW054511	SRX9349810

(2018; MT138368 and MT138369, respectively). These reported genome sequences shared 92% to 93% identity at the amino acid (aa) level when comparing viral polyprotein amino acid sequences. The pairwise nucleotide difference of the complete coding region among the 7 strains ranges from 2 to 18 nt; the pairwise amino acid difference ranges from 0 to 4 aa.

To conclude, we report 7 megrivirus genome sequences identified in The Netherlands. The prevalence of these viruses might have been overlooked in the poultry population thus far. Future studies should investigate the prevalence and diversity of megriviruses and their potential clinical implications.

Data availability. The genome sequences described in this study have been deposited in GenBank under the accession numbers MW054505 to MW054511. The raw reads are available in the SRA under the BioProject accession number PRJNA670873.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Human Genomics Facility of the Genetic Laboratory of the Department of Internal Medicine at Erasmus Medical Center for providing sequencing services and Pelle van der Wal and Gaby van Dijk for providing sequencing support. We thank the participating poultry farmers for their cooperation.

This study was supported by ZonMW TOP project 91217040 (K.T.T.K., M.M.T.D.R., and M.P.G.K.) and a Marie Sklodowska-Curie Individual Fellowship, funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement number 799417; M.V.T.P.). Sample collection was funded with intramural funds from the University of Utrecht (M.M.T.D.R., A.B.M., and I.M.W.).

REFERENCES

- 1. Cathcart AL, Baggs EL, Semler BL. 2015. Picornaviruses: pathogenesis and molecular biology. In Reference module in biomedical sciences. Elsevier, Irvine, CA.
- 2. Lefkowitz EJ, Dempsey DM, Hendrickson RC, Orton RJ, Siddell SG, Smith DB. 2018. Virus taxonomy: the database of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV). Nucleic Acids Res 46:D708-D717. https:// doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx932.
- 3. Boros Á, Pankovics P, Adonyi Á, Fenyvesi H, Day JM, Phan TG, Delwart E, Reuter G. 2016. A diarrheic chicken simultaneously co-infected with multiple picornaviruses: complete genome analysis of avian picornaviruses representing up to six genera. Virology 489:63-74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .virol.2015.12.002.
- 4. Kwok KTT, Nieuwenhuijse DF, Phan MVT, Koopmans MPG. 2020. Virus metagenomics in farm animals: a systematic review. Viruses 12:107. https://doi.org/10.3390/v12010107.
- 5. Kim H-R, Yoon S-J, Lee H-S, Kwon Y-K. 2015. Identification of a picornavirus from chickens with transmissible viral proventriculitis using metagenomic analysis. Arch Virol 160:701-709. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-014-2325-7.
- 6. Honkavuori KS, Shivaprasad HL, Briese T, Street C, Hirschberg DL, Hutchison SK, Lipkin WI. 2011. Novel picornavirus in turkey poults with hepatitis, California, USA. Emerg Infect Dis 17:480–487. https://doi.org/10 .3201/eid1703.101410.

- 7. Endoh D, Mizutani T, Kirisawa R, Maki Y, Saito H, Kon Y, Morikawa S, Hayashi M. 2005. Species-independent detection of RNA virus by representational difference analysis using non-ribosomal hexanucleotides for reverse transcription. Nucleic Acids Res 33:e65. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gni064.
- 8. Vilsker M, Moosa Y, Nooij S, Fonseca V, Ghysens Y, Dumon K, Pauwels R, Alcantara LC, Vanden Eynden E, Vandamme A-M, Deforche K, de Oliveira T. 2019. Genome Detective: an automated system for virus identification from high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics 35:871-873. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty695.
- 9. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114-2120. https://doi.org/10 .1093/bioinformatics/btu170.
- 10. Buchfink B, Xie C, Huson DH. 2015. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. Nat Methods 12:59-60. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3176.
- 11. Nurk S, Meleshko D, Korobeynikov A, Pevzner PA. 2017. MetaSPAdes: a new versatile metagenomic assembler. Genome Res 27:824-834. https:// doi.org/10.1101/gr.213959.116.
- 12. Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, Buxton S, Cooper A, Markowitz S, Duran C, Thierer T, Ashton B, Meintjes P, Drummond A. 2012. Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics 28:1647-1649. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199.