Effect of Mydriasis-caused Intraocular Pressure Changes on Corneal Biomechanical Metrics

YuFeng Ye^{1†}, Yi Li^{2†}, ZeHui Zhu¹, Anas Ziad Masoud Abu Said¹, Kevin Nguelemo Mayopa¹, Stephen Akiti¹, ChengYi Huang¹, Bernardo T Lopes³, Ashkan Eliasy³, YuanYuan Miao¹, JunJie Wang^{1,4}, XiaoBo Zheng^{1,4}, ShiHao Chen^{1,4*}, FangJun Bao ^{1,4*}, Ahmed Elsheikh^{3,5,6}

YuFeng Ye and Yi Li have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship.

Affiliations

- ¹ Eye Hospital, WenZhou Medical University, Wenzhou 325027, China
- ² North HuaShan Hospital, FuDan University, Shang Hai 201907, China
- ³ School of Engineering, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3GH, UK
- ⁴ The institute of ocular biomechanics, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou 325027, China
- ⁵ National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre for Ophthalmology, Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust and UCL Institute of Ophthalmology, London, UK
- ⁶ Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Biomedical Engineering, Beihang University, Beijing, 100083, China

Conflict of Interest

Prof Elsheikh is a consultant to Oculus Optikger äte GmbH

Running Title (max. 5 words)

Mydriasis-caused IOP Changes on Biomechanics

Financial Support

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China

(31771020, 82001924), Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China

under Grant (LY20H120001, LQ20A020008), the Projects of Medical and Health

Technology Development Program in Zhejiang Province (2019RC056, 2018KY541,

2016ZHB012), Science and Technology Plan Project of Wenzhou Science and

Technology Bureau (Y2020354, Y20180172, Y20170198, Y20170792) and the

General Projects of Department of Education of Zhejiang Province (Y201839651).

Co-Corresponding author

Professor ShiHao Chen

No. 270 Xueyuan West Road, WenZhou City, ZheJiang Prov, 325027, China

e-mail: chenshihao73@126.com

Tel: 86-577-88068862

Fax: 86-577-88824115

Corresponding author

Dr. FangJun Bao

No. 270 Xue Yuan West Road, WenZhou City, ZheJiang Prov, 325027, China

e-mail: bfjmd@126.com

2

Tel: 86-577-88067937

Fax: 86-577-88832083

Highlights:

Most corneal biomechanical metrics, especially SP-A1 and DA provided by Corvis ST, proved to be correlated with IOP in a study of matched clinical data obtained before and after dilation.

Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate the dependence of biomechanical metrics on intraocular pressure (IOP)

Methods: 233 refractive surgery patients were included in this study – all were examined 3 times with the Corvis ST before and after dilation, and the differences (Δ) in the main device parameters were assessed. The data collected included the biomechanically corrected IOP (bIOP), the central corneal thickness (CCT) and six dynamic corneal response (DCR) parameters, namely DA, DARatio2mm, IIR, SP-A1, CBI and SSI. Participants were divided into three groups according to the changes in patients' bIOP after mydriasis.

Results: Intra-operator repeatability was generally high in most of the DCR parameters obtained before and after dilation. The mean changes in bIOP and CCT after dilation were -0.12 ± 1.36 mmHg and 1.95 ± 5.23 μ m, respectively. Only Δ DARatio2mm, Δ IIR and Δ CBI exhibited a statistically significant correlation with Δ CCT (p < 0.05). The changes in all DCR parameters, especially Δ DA and Δ SP-A1 were also correlated with Δ bIOP (p < 0.01) – a 1-mmHg change in bIOP was associated, on average, with 5.612 and -0.037 units of change in SP-A1 and DA, respectively. In contrast, the weakest correlation with Δ bIOP was exhibited by Δ SSI.

Conclusions: Most corneal DCR parameters, provided by the Corvis ST, were correlated with IOP, and more weakly with CCT. Changes experienced in CCT and IOP should therefore be considered in studies on corneal biomechanics and how it is affected by disease progression and surgical or medical procedures.

Keywords: biomechanical metrics; intraocular pressure; mydriasis, stiffness parameter at first applanation, stress-strain index

Introduction

To focus light rays on the retina, the cornea needs to remain transparent and maintain a suitable shape that stays stable with the diurnal changes in intraocular pressure (IOP). This is made possible by the cornea's microstructure, which confers the tissue with complex biomechanical properties ¹. Corneal biomechanics has been a hot topic in ophthalmology research due to its prospective applications in the diagnosis, management and treatment of several clinical conditions, including keratoconus ². Understanding corneal biomechanical properties is also of great importance in the planning of refractive surgery, where these properties can help identify patients at high risk of developing iatrogenic ectasia after laser vision correction ³.

The in-vivo evaluation of corneal biomechanical behavior has recently become possible through the introduction of the Ocular Response Analyzer (ORA) and Corvis ST (CVS). Of the two devices, Corvis ST provides more information on corneal biomechanical response, based on ultra-high-speed Scheimpflug technology which records the entire process of corneal deformation and provides measurements such as the stiffness parameter at first applanation (SP A1) and the integrated inverse radius (IIR). While these biomechanical metrics have been shown to have strong correlation with the cornea's overall stiffness, they are not independent parameters, but influenced by stiffness-unrelated events such as the diurnal variation in the IOP ⁴.

One of these events is mydriasis; an integral part of the pre-refractive surgery evaluation of patients. Qian et al, reported 35% of patients had a post-dilation variation in IOP of more than 2 mm Hg – 31.1% of these patients experienced significant IOP increases after mydriasis, while the other 68.9% showed significant decreases ⁵. These changes may have had an effect on the Corvis ST biomechanical metrics. This study aims to identify the influence of changes in IOP caused by mydriasis on these metrics and evaluate the repeatability of these effects.

Methods

Study design

The study followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Eye Hospital, Wenzhou Medical University, China. The records of patients seeking myopic or astigmatic correction were examined at the corneal refractive surgery center and evaluated for inclusion in this study. The inclusion criteria were: the presence of myopia with astigmatism not exceeding 3.25 D, and with manifest spherical equivalent ≥-10.00 D; and absence of ocular diseases (other than refractive errors). All 233 patients included underwent complete ophthalmic examination, and those wearing soft contact lenses were asked to suspend their use for two weeks prior to the examination. Informed consent was provided by all participants to use their data in research.

Mydriasis test

As part of the routine posterior segment examination, each participant received Mydrin-P (0.5% tropicamide and 0.5% phenylephrine hydrochloride; Santen Pharmaceuticals, Japan) three times (one drop each time) with 10 min between applications. The 233 participants included were divided into three groups (Group I, Group D and Group S) according to the patients' biomechanically corrected IOP (bIOP) value (obtained with the Corvis ST) after mydriasis test. If the bIOP value fell after the mydriasis test by more than (Sw_{pre} + Sw_{pos})/2 (where Sw_{pre} and Sw_{pos} meant the within-subject standard deviation before and after mydriasis test), the subject was included in Group D (47 subjects). If the bIOP value increased by more than (Sw_{pre} + Sw_{pos})/2 after the mydriasis test, the subject was included in Group I (33 subjects), and all remaining subjects were included in Group S (153 subjects).

Biomechanical evaluation

All Corvis ST (CVS) exams were taken in the sitting position, and all participants underwent measurements in a single session (≤ 2 hours). CVS measurements were taken at two stages: pre- and post-dilation (before and after the mydriasis test, respectively). In each stage, measurements were repeated every 2 minutes until three satisfactory readings were obtained with the difference between the lowest and highest readings being ≤ 2 mmHg (i.e. a total of 6 readings per subject). The same experienced clinician (WC) took all measurements, using the same instruments throughout, to limit potential variability associated with either the instrument or the operator. The Corvis ST was calibrated by the clinic's technician before the study was started.

Central corneal thickness (CCT) and six dynamic corneal response (DCR) parameters that have been consistently linked to corneal stiffness ^{6,7} were recorded at both preand post-dilation stages from Corvis ST. These parameters included the deformation amplitude (DA), the ratio between DA values at the apex and 2 mm from the apex (DARatio2mm) and the integrated inverse radius (IIR, the integrated sum of inverse concave radius values between first and second applanation events). The stiffness parameter at first applanation (SP-A1) was also included, and represented the difference between the adjusted air puff pressure at first applanation (AdjAP1) and bIOP divided by the defection amplitude at the first applanation (A1DeflAmp) ⁸.

$$SP-A1 = \left(adjAP1 - bIOP\right) / \left(A1DeflAmp\right) \qquad Equation \ 1$$
 Also included were the Corvis biomechanical index (CBI) and the stress-strain index

(SSI) which was developed to evaluate the material stiffness of corneal tissue ⁹.

Statistical analyses

Repeatability of the three CVS measurements was assessed using the within-subject standard deviation (Sw), within-subject coefficient of variation (CoV) and intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC), and was used to evaluate the reliability of CVS measurements both before and after dilation. The average of the 3 readings considered

in each stage was used for statistical analysis using SPSS (version 20.0, IBM, Inc.). The min sample size was calculated based on paired-sample t-tests with the help of G*Power (version 3.1.2, Franz Faul, University Kiel, Germany), and at least 30 participants were required for each subgroup. Paired-sample T tests analyzed the differences between pre- and post-dilation biometric values, while Groups I, D and S were compared via One-way ANOVA. Δ meant the differences between before and after mydriasis test. The relationship between Δ DA, Δ DARatio2mm, Δ IIR, Δ SP-A1, Δ CBI, Δ SSI and differences in CCT or bIOP pre- versus post-dilation were assessed using Pearson's or Spearman's correlation factor for normally and non-normally distributed data, respectively. A stepwise approach to multiple linear regression analysis was used to identify associations between Δ CCT and Δ bIOP on one hand, and the changes in ocular biomechanical metrics pre- and post-dilation on the other. p values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

The mean age of all participants was 26.3 ± 7.1 years and was not significantly different among the three study groups (p = 0.590). Corvis ST results before and after mydriasis, along with the repeatability measures, are displayed in Table 1. The data indicated that there was generally high intra-operator repeatability in most the DCR parameters recorded. For bIOP, within-subject standard deviation (Sw) was 0.93 mmHg before mydriasis and similar after (0.96 mmHg). The coefficient of variation (CoV) was approximately 6% (5.99% before mydriasis and 6.29% after). 20.2% of subjects showed an increase in bIOP measurement post-dilation (2.01 ±1.50 mmHg, $1.00 \sim 9.37$ mmHg, Group I), 14.2% exhibited a decrease post-dilation (-1.85 ±0.80 mmHg, -0.97 \sim -5.4 mmHg, Group D), and the remaining 65.6% had stable bIOP readings (-0.06 ±0.50 mmHg, -0.93 ~ 0.93 mmHg, Group S).

One of the parameters, CCT, showed excellent repeatability (ICC \geq 0.90), while all others had good repeatability (ICC \geq 0.75). Most DCR parameters presented CoV for

repeatability below 5%. SP-A1 and SSI had slightly higher CoV (5.83%/6.47% and 6.12%/5.80%, respectively, before and after pupil dilation). As the mean CBI was very low (~0.32), the CoV was not calculated. Repeatability of the biomechanical metrics was similar at the pre- and post-dilation stages.

The CVS metrics collected before and after the mydriasis test are presented in Tables 1-2 and Figure 1. CCT, DARatio2mm and CBI changed statistically significantly after mydriasis, while the other five DCR parameters remained stable. Before dilation, all metrics except bIOP, DA and SP-A1 were similar across the three patient groups (p > 0.05), while statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) were observed in bIOP, DA, IIR, SP-A1 and SSI after dilation. bIOP, DA, IIR and SP-A1 changed significantly after the mydriasis test in Groups D and I while remaining stable in Group S. DARatio2mm and CBI also changed significantly in all three groups after dilation. Further, the corresponding changes in CCT were significant in Groups D and S, while SSI only varied significantly in Group D.

In both pre and post dilation stages, all metrics except SSI were statistically different in male and female populations (all p < 0.05), but the differences in most metrics became non-significant (p > 0.05) after correction for CCT and bIOP. Changes in all DCR parameters were correlated with Δ bIOP (p < 0.01); only Δ DARatio2mm, Δ IIR and Δ CBI exhibited a correlation with Δ CCT (Table 3 and Figure 2). Δ bIOP was most closely correlated with Δ DA and Δ SP-A1 (r = -0.857 and 0.856, respectively), and least correlated with Δ SSI (r = 0.414).

In addition, multiple linear regression analyses were conducted (see Table 4). Correlation between $\Delta bIOP$ and the six DCR parameters was analyzed based on a second-order polynomial regression. ΔDA and $\Delta SP-A1$ were highly correlated with $\Delta bIOP$ ($R^2 = 0.677$ and 0.737, respectively), while there was minimal correlation between $\Delta bIOP$ and each of ΔCBI and ΔSSI ($R^2 = 0.173$ and 0.033, respectively). Results of the analysis indicated that a 1-mmHg change in bIOP was associated with

-0.037, -0.056, -0.198 and 5.612 units of change in DA, DARatio2mm, IIR and SP-A1, respectively.

Discussion

Corneal biomechanics is a subject of tremendous clinical research interest in modern ophthalmology. Knowledge of corneal biomechanics is useful in several clinical applications, including management of glaucoma and ectasia risk profiling ^{3, 10}. The integration of tomographic and biomechanical data has demonstrated potential to improve the accuracy of detection of ectatic disease and identify susceptibility to develop this complication after laser vision correction ¹¹. Despite substantial developments over the last two decades, *in vivo* characterization of dynamic corneal biomechanical response remains influenced by IOP, as observed by Bao ⁴ and Roberts ¹². This new study uses the IOP changes observed after pupil dilation to assess the effects of these changes on the corneal biomechanical metrics provided by the Corvis ST.

Previous studies showed that most corneal biomechanical metrics provided by ORA and Corvis ST were related to IOP and CCT ^{4, 12}. In the present study, it was observed that DA, DARatio2mm, IIR and SP-A1 may be correlated with the overall stiffness of the cornea, which is dependent on CCT but also directly influenced by IOP. Meanwhile, we have confirmed that these DCR parameters are influenced by the biomechanically corrected IOP to different extents. Our results showed that a 1-mmHg change in bIOP can induce -0.037, -0.056, -0.198 and 5.612 units of change in DA, DARatio2mm, IIR and SP-A1, respectively, and that the correlation between each of these metrics and bIOP was significant. Likewise, SSI, which was designed to represent the tissue's material stiffness and was intended to be independent of IOP ⁹, was also dependent on IOP, although to a lesser extent than other parameters.

Previous reports of these relationship were mixed, the DA was correlated with CCT

and IOP ¹³⁻¹⁵, the DARatio2mm had high correlation with CCT and IOP ⁶, and the IIR correlated with CCT ⁶ while not with IOP. In other studies, DA, DARatio2mm, IIR and SP-A1 were all correlated with CCT and IOP ¹⁶, CBI was correlated with IOP and CCT ¹⁷, and SSI was correlated with IOP but not with CCT ¹⁸. The studies noted above were cross-sectional, and the variation range in both subjects and biometric parameters was large, which may have influenced the correlation results. In our longitudinal study, the changes in corneal biomechanical metrics caused, in the same patient, by dilation were used to evaluate the relationships between the DCR parameters and both CCT and IOP. The study was designed as self-matched (between a short period before and after dilation) to exclude the effects of potential confounding factors such as race, gender, age, CCT and IOP.

Recently, SP-A1, was introduced as a clinical metric with strong association with corneal stiffness. Considerable discussion has ensued in the literature around this novel parameter and its application in the diagnosis of corneal diseases like keratoconus 17 . In this study, a strong positive correlation (r = 0.856, p < 0.001) was observed between the changes in SP-A1 and those in bIOP associated with dilation, suggesting that an increase in IOP would induce higher corneal stiffness. This finding has important clinical applications, for instance in detecting subclinical keratoconus in those due to undergo refractive surgeries. The difference in the Stiffness Parameter, SP-A1, between subclinical keratoconus and healthy eyes is within 15-20 $^{19, 20}$, a gap which can be covered by an IOP change of 3-4 mmHg. Therefore, a higher bIOP may influence the effectiveness of SP-A1 in detecting keratoconus.

Although our results showed that all six dynamic corneal response parameters were dependent on bIOP. This is compatible with research done by Herber et al, who found that CBI were minimally influenced by bIOP ²¹. And contradicted with earlier statement in which SSI was reported to be independent on IOP ⁹. The limited correlation between CBI and SSI on one hand, and bIOP on the other, suggests the potential of these novel parameters for use in clinical practice.

Some studies have shown that IOP fluctuates during the 24-hour cycle. Chun et al confirmed that while IOP fluctuations can vary, phasing over a 24-hour period is relatively consistent ²², and others have reported that IOP fluctuation was between 3 and 5 mmHg in healthy subjects ²³. The dependence of DCR parameters on IOP can then lead to changes in these metrics with the IOP diurnal fluctuation affecting their ability to detect corneal diseases such as keratoconus. Precautions were therefore taken to limit the possible influence of IOP diurnal fluctuations in this study, including ensuring that all exams were performed within a 2-hour time period. The strong repeatability of the readings and the relatively low CoV for CCT suggested the limited impact of diurnal fluctuations both before and after mydriasis.

The components of Mydrin-P (tropicamide and phenylephrine hydrochloride) have been shown to cause increases in CCT although the underlying mechanism is unclear ^{24, 25}. On the other hand, changes in IOP with pupil dilation may come from different sources. First, Phenylephrine can cause an increase in arterial blood pressure ²⁶, possibly leading to a similar effect in IOP. Second, pupil dilation may induce pigment liberation and the subsequent obstruction of the trabecular meshwork, which may hinder aqueous outflow and increase IOP ²⁷. In contrast, uveoscleral outflow facilitation and inflow decrease can also occur after dilation, leading to a decrease in IOP ^{28, 29}. These conflicting factors can therefore cause an increase or a decrease in IOP as has been observed in our study.

One limitation of the study is the potential, unquantified pharmacologic effect of mydriasis on corneal microstructure and consequently corneal biomechanical properties. However, the small change in CCT after mydriasis (1.95 \pm 5.23 µm) indicated that the possible effect of mydriasis may have been limited, and further study is required to consider the role of patient-specific factors in these relationships.

In conclusion, most corneal DCR parameters have been shown to be strongly related

to IOP. This study focused on the correlation of biomechanical parameters and IOP and analyzed the repeatability of CVS measurement. The data collected confirmed our group's previous conclusions, that comparing research groups based on Corvis ST with different IOPs and CCTs may lead to possible misinterpretations if both or one of which are not considered in the analysis; the results also validate the stability of several new corneal parameters. Importantly, most parameters provided by Corvis ST, including some stiffness parameters, are influenced by IOP.

Author Contributions

YFY and YL conducted the research. YFY, YL, SHC, FJB and AE interpreted data and drafted the manuscript. ZHZ, AZMAS, KNM, SA, CYH, BTL, AE, YYM, JJW, XBZ performed interpretation of data, collected clinical data and performed statistical analysis. SHC, FJB and AE developed the concept, design the project and supervised the entire research and secured funding. All authors reviewed the manuscript and provided final approval.

References

- 1. Meek KM, Knupp C. Corneal structure and transparency. Prog Retin Eye Res 2015;49:1-16.
- 2. Rabinowitz YS. Keratoconus. Surv Ophthalmol 1998;42:297-319.
- 3. Esporcatte LPG, Salomao MQ, Lopes BT, et al. Biomechanical diagnostics of the cornea. *Eye and vision* 2020;7:9.
- 4. Bao F, Deng M, Wang Q, et al. Evaluation of the relationship of corneal biomechanical metrics with physical intraocular pressure and central corneal thickness in ex vivo rabbit eye globes. *Exp Eye Res* 2015;137:11-17.
- 5. Qian CX, Duperre J, Hassanaly S, Harissi-Dagher M. Pre- versus post-dilation changes in intraocular pressure: their clinical significance. *Can J Ophthalmol* 2012;47:448-452.
- 6. Vinciguerra R, Elsheikh A, Roberts CJ, et al. Influence of Pachymetry and Intraocular Pressure on Dynamic Corneal Response Parameters in Healthy Patients. *J Refract Surg* 2016;32:550-561.
- 7. Roberts CJ, Mahmoud AM, Bons JP, et al. Introduction of Two Novel Stiffness Parameters and Interpretation of Air Puff-Induced Biomechanical Deformation Parameters With a Dynamic Scheimpflug Analyzer. *J Refract Surg* 2017;33:266-273.
- 8. Reinstein DZ, Archer TJ, Randleman JB. Mathematical model to compare the relative tensile strength of the cornea after PRK, LASIK, and small incision lenticule extraction. *J Refract Surg* 2013;29:454-460.
- 9. Eliasy A, Chen KJ, Vinciguerra R, et al. Determination of Corneal Biomechanical Behavior in-vivo for Healthy Eyes Using CorVis ST Tonometry: Stress-Strain Index. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* 2019;7:105.

- 10. Zimprich L, Diedrich J, Bleeker A, Schweitzer JA. Corneal Hysteresis as a Biomarker of Glaucoma: Current Insights. *Clin Ophthalmol* 2020;14:2255-2264.
- 11. Ambrosio R, Jr., Lopes BT, Faria-Correia F, et al. Integration of Scheimpflug-Based Corneal Tomography and Biomechanical Assessments for Enhancing Ectasia Detection. *J Refract Surg* 2017;33:434-443.
- 12. Roberts CJ. Concepts and misconceptions in corneal biomechanics. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2014;40:862-869.
- 13. Hon Y, Lam AKC. Corneal Deformation Measurement Using Scheimpflug Noncontact Tonometry. *Optom Vis Sci* 2013;90:E1-E8.
- 14. Huseynova T, Waring GOt, Roberts C, Krueger RR, Tomita M. Corneal biomechanics as a function of intraocular pressure and pachymetry by Dynamic Infrared Signal and Scheimpflug Imaging Analysis in normal eyes. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2014;S0002-9394:00814-00813.
- 15. Ali NQ, Patel DV, McGhee CN. Biomechanical responses of healthy and keratoconic corneas measured using a noncontact scheimpflug-based tonometer. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2014;55:3651-3659.
- 16. Sedaghat MR, Momeni-Moghaddam H, Azimi A, et al. Corneal Biomechanical Properties in Varying Severities of Myopia. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* 2020;8:595330.
- 17. Yang K, Xu L, Fan Q, Zhao D, Ren S. Repeatability and comparison of new Corvis ST parameters in normal and keratoconus eyes. *Scientific reports* 2019;9:15379.
- 18. Liu G, Rong H, Pei R, et al. Age distribution and associated factors of cornea biomechanical parameter stress-strain index in Chinese healthy population. 2020;20:436.
- 19. Koc M, Aydemir E, Tekin K, Inanc M, Kosekahya P, Kiziltoprak H. Biomechanical Analysis of Subclinical Keratoconus With Normal Topographic, Topometric, and Tomographic Findings. *J Refract Surg* 2019;35:247-252.
- 20. Ren S, Xu L, Fan Q, Gu Y, Yang K. Accuracy of new Corvis ST parameters for detecting subclinical and clinical keratoconus eyes in a Chinese population. *Scientific reports* 2021;11:4962.
- 21. Herber R, Ramm L, Spoerl E, Raiskup F, Pillunat LE, Terai N. Assessment of corneal biomechanical parameters in healthy and keratoconic eyes using dynamic bidirectional applanation device and dynamic Scheimpflug analyzer. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2019;45:778-788.
- 22. Zhu R, Zheng X, Guo L, et al. Biomechanical Effects of Two Forms of PGF2 α on Ex-vivo Rabbit Cornea. *Curr Eye Res* 2020;1-9.
- 23. Luebke J, Bryniok L, Neuburger M, et al. Intraocular pressure measurement with Corvis ST in comparison with applanation tonometry and Tomey non-contact tonometry. *Int Ophthalmol* 2019;39:2517-2521.
- 24. Zeng Y, Gao JH. Effects of Mydrin eye-drops on central corneal thickness values in adult patients with myopia. *Clin Exp Optom* 2017;100:151-154.
- 25. Gao L, Fan H, Cheng AC, Wang Z, Lam DS. The effects of eye drops on corneal thickness in adult myopia. *Cornea* 2006;25:404-407.
- 26. Stavert B, McGuinness MB, Harper CA, Guymer RH, Finger RP. Cardiovascular Adverse Effects of Phenylephrine Eyedrops: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA ophthalmology* 2015;133:647-652.
- 27. Kristensen P. Mydriasis-induced pigment liberation in the anterior chamber associated with acute rise in intraocular pressure in open-angle glaucoma. *Acta Ophthalmol (Copenh)* 1965;43:714-724.
- 28. Valle O. Effect of cyclopentolate on the aqueous dynamics in incipient or suspected open-angle

glaucoma. Acta ophthalmologica Supplement 1974;123:52-60.

29. Tan GS, Wong CY, Wong TY, et al. Is routine pupil dilation safe among asian patients with diabetes? *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2009;50:4110-4113.

Figure Captions:

Figure 1 CVS metrics collected before and after the mydriasis test in three groups

Figure 2 Scatter diagram and linear fit for the differences in DCR parameters recorded before and after the mydriasis test and the corresponding differences in bIOP and CCT

Table Captions:

- **Table 1** Repeatability of Corvis ST parameters
- Table 2 CVS metrics collected before and after the mydriasis test
- **Table 3** Correlation between differences in DCR parameters recorded before and after the mydriasis test and the corresponding differences in bIOP and CCT
- **Table 4** Multiple linear regression analysis results between of correlation between Δ bIOP, Δ CCT and six DCR parameters