

Limited Index of Clinical Suspicion and Underdiagnosis of Histopathologically Documented Invasive Mold Infections

Grégoire Caudron de Coquereumont,^{1,a} Jade Couchepin,^{1,a} Jean Y. Perentes,² Thorsten Krueger,² Alban Lovis,³ Samuel Rotman,^{4,*} and Frederic Lamoth^{1,5}

¹Infectious Diseases Service, Department of Medicine, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland, ²Service of Thoracic Surgery, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland, ³Service of Pulmonology, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland, ⁴Service of Clinical Pathology, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland, and ⁵Institute of Microbiology, Department of Laboratories, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

Invasive mold infections (IMIs) are difficult to diagnose. This analysis of histopathologically proven IMIs at our institution (2010–2019) showed that 11/41 (27%) of them were not suspected at the time of biopsy/autopsy (9/17, 53% among autopsies). The rate of missed diagnosis was particularly high (8/16, 50%) among nonhematologic cancer patients.

Keywords. aspergillosis; autopsy; biopsy; lymphoma; mucormycosis; mycelia; solid tumor.

Invasive mold infections (IMIs), such as invasive aspergillosis or mucormycosis, are life-threatening complications in severely immunocompromised individuals, such as hematologic cancer patients or transplant recipients, who represent the classical high-risk population [1–3]. However, the development of novel immunomodulatory drugs for the treatment of cancer or autoimmune disorders has expanded the spectrum of immunocompromised patients who are at risk of developing IMIs [4–8]. The diagnosis of IMI is challenging because of the nonspecificity of clinical signs and the low yield of conventional culture methods. Nonculture diagnostic tools, such as fungal biomarkers (eg, galactomannan or (1→3)-β-D-glucan) and polymerase chain reaction (PCR), are adjunctive tools for the diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis, but their sensitivity is not optimal and could be even lower among patients receiving prophylactic or empirical

antifungals [9–11]. The diagnosis of mucormycosis and other rare mold infections is even more challenging because of the lack of specific fungal biomarkers [12–14]. The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer and the Mycoses Study Group Education and Research Consortium (EORTC/MSGERC) has established standard definitions to assess the presumption of IMIs with a scale of probability (possible, probable, or proven) on the basis of host, clinical, and mycological criteria [15, 16]. However, a substantial proportion of IMIs can still remain undiagnosed. The aim of this study was to assess the proportion of histopathologically proven IMIs that were not suspected by the clinicians and/or not retrospectively classified as at least possible IMI according to EORTC/MSGERC definitions at the time of biopsy/autopsy.

METHODS

This was a retrospective study conducted at Lausanne University Hospital (Switzerland), a 1500-bed hospital including an onco-hematology unit and a transplantation center. High-risk onco-hematology patients are managed according to a preemptive approach (bi-weekly serum galactomannan screening and computed tomography scan for persistent or relapsing neutropenic fever) without administration of antimold prophylaxis.

All deep tissue biopsy or autopsy reports mentioning the presence of mycelial elements were identified by keyword search (eg, “mycelia,” “fungal,” “*Aspergillus*,” “*Mucor*,” “hyphae”) over a 10-year period (2010–2019). The biopsy/autopsy reports were checked for the presence of angio-invasion and/or tissue destruction/necrosis. Cases with histopathologic description consistent with chronic pulmonary aspergillosis or localized tracheobronchitis were excluded. The clinical history was obtained from the electronic medical records, including the following elements: underlying diseases and factors of immunosuppression, clinical signs/symptoms of infection, radiological and microbiological results, antifungal therapy, and outcome. IMIs were classified as proven, probable, possible, or “no IMI” according to the EORTC/MSGERC criteria of 2008 and the updated version of 2020 [16] by 2 investigators taking into account the clinical and microbiological data preceding the autopsy/biopsy results. Clinical suspicion of IMI according to the attending physician’s appreciation was assessed at the time of histopathology sampling on the basis of the notes from the medical records and consultants’ reports.

RESULTS

A total of 107 histopathology reports mentioning the presence of fungal elements were selected. Among them, 66 were

Received 3 February 2021; editorial decision 29 March 2021; accepted 31 March 2021.

^aEqual contribution

Correspondence: Frederic Lamoth, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois, Rue du Bugnon 46, 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland (frederic.lamoth@chuv.ch).

Open Forum Infectious Diseases® 2021

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com
DOI: 10.1093/ofid/ofab174

identified (41 chronic pulmonary aspergillosis, 5 *Aspergillus* tracheobronchitis, 8 yeast infections, and 12 for lacking data or refusal of consent). Of the 41 histopathology reports of angioinvasive mold infection, 17 (41%) were autopsies, and the remaining cases were lung tissue samples obtained by open surgery (n = 14) or transbronchial/transparietal biopsy (n = 10). The characteristics of these 41 cases are described in Table 1. At the time of biopsy/autopsy, 7/41 (17%) patients had no host criteria, and 10/41 (24%) cases were not recognized as IMIs according to the updated EORTC/MSGERC definitions [16]. Using the older definitions [15] resulted in a slightly higher proportion of cases without host criteria and without IMI diagnosis (22% and 29%, respectively). All IMI cases who did not meet the EORTC/MSGERC criteria were non-neutropenic patients except 1 (ie, drug-induced neutropenia).

The notes in the medical records showed that an antimold active drug has been initiated for IMI suspicion in 21/41 (51%) cases before histopathology sampling. In 9/41 (22%) cases, IMI has been suspected by the clinicians, but antifungal therapy was not initiated because suspicion was low (n = 2), the therapeutic project was palliative (n = 2) or it was decided to perform the diagnostic biopsy before starting antifungals (n = 5). In 11/41 (27%) cases, there was no antifungal treatment and no suspicion of IMI preceding the biopsy/autopsy. The rate of unsuspected IMI was significantly higher in nonhematologic cancer patients (50% vs 12% in hematologic cancer patients; $P = .01$) and in non-neutropenic patients (45% vs 5% in neutropenic patients; $P = .005$). The analysis restricted to autopsy results showed that 9/17 (53%) IMI cases were not suspected ante mortem.

The overall characteristics of these 11 unsuspected IMI cases are shown in Table 2, and an individual description is provided in Supplementary Table 1. In 9 cases, IMI was a casual finding at autopsy. Solid tumor was the most frequent underlying condition (n = 4), followed by nonactive or occult lymphoma (n = 2) and auto-immune disorders (n = 2). Notably, 5 patients had no EORTC/MSGERC host criteria [16]. Three cases could be retrospectively classified as possible IMI, while 8 cases were considered “no IMI” according to EORTC/MSGERC criteria at the time of autopsy/biopsy. In most of these unsuspected IMI cases (7/11), the pathogenic mold could not be specified (ie, histopathological finding only).

The mortality rate was 68% (22 of 38 evaluable cases) and tended to be higher among patients for whom IMI diagnosis was not suspected (82% vs 48%; $P = .08$).

DISCUSSION

Diagnosis of IMI remains difficult. While clinicians are aware of this complication in classical high-risk populations, such as hematologic cancer patients and transplant recipients, IMI can be underdiagnosed among patients who are supposed to be at lower risk. Our analysis of 41 histopathologically proven IMI patients shows that 27% of cases were not suspected by

Table 1. Characteristics of Patients and Invasive Mold Infections

	n = 41
Demographic characteristics	
Male/female	27 (66)/14 (34)
Age, y	61 (8–83)
Main underlying diseases	
Acute leukemia ^a	16 (39)
Other hematologic cancer ^b	5 (12)
Allogeneic HSCT	4 (10)
Solid organ transplantation ^c	3 (7)
Auto-immune disorders ^d	6 (15)
Solid tumors ^e	5 (12)
Other ^f	2 (5)
Immunosuppressive conditions ^g	
Neutropenia ^h	19 (46)
Corticosteroid treatment ⁱ	11 (27)
Calcineurin inhibitors	6 (15)
Other immunosuppressive drugs ^j	7 (17)
Recent anticancer chemotherapy	22 (54)
Documented site of infection	
Lung only/disseminated (lung + other) ^k	32 (78)/9 (22)
Type of IMI	
Invasive aspergillosis ^l	21 (51)
Invasive mucormycosis ^m	10 (24)
Mixed invasive aspergillosis/mucormycosis ⁿ	2 (5)
Other invasive mold infection ^o	2 (5)
Unspecified mold infection ^p	6 (15)
EORTC/MSGERC criteria (before histopathology) ^q	
Host criteria 2008/2020	32 (78)/34 (83)
Clinical and radiological criteria 2008/2020	33 (80)/33 (80)
Mycological criteria 2008/2020	17 (41)/16 (39)
IMI 2008: probable/possible/no criteria	14 (34)/15 (37)/12 (29)
IMI 2020: probable/possible/no criteria	14 (34)/17 (41)/10 (24)
Clinical appreciation (before histopathology)	
Clinical suspicion of IMI	30 (73)
Mold-active antifungal therapy initiated ^r	21 (51)

Numbers are total No. (%) or median (range).

Abbreviations: EORTC/MSGERC, European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer and the Mycoses Study Group Education and Research Consortium; HSCT, hematopoietic stem cell transplantation; IMI, invasive mold infection.

^aAcute myeloid leukemia (12), acute lymphoid leukemia (4).

^bLymphoma (2), chronic myeloid leukemia (1), multiple myeloma (1), myelodysplastic syndrome (1).

^cLung transplantation (2), heart transplantation (1).

^dHemophagocytic syndrome (2), disseminated lupus (1), rheumatoid arthritis (1), myasthenia gravis (1), idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (1).

^ePrimary tumor site: cerebral (2), lung (1), thyroid (1), multimetastatic cancer of unknown origin (1).

^fMultiple pulmonary infarcts (1), drug-induced neutropenia (1).

^gMore than 1 possible.

^hNeutrophils $<500/\text{mm}^3$ for >10 days in the past 60 days.

ⁱ ≥ 0.3 mg/kg prednisone-equivalent for ≥ 3 weeks in the past 60 days.

^jMycophenolate mofetil (3), tyrosine kinase inhibitors (2), anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF) alpha (1), pomalidomide (1).

^kMore than 1 possible: brain (3), heart (3), thyroid (3), spleen (2), liver (2), intestine (1), kidney (1), skin (1).

^l*A. fumigatus* (18), *A. flavus* (2), mixed *A. fumigatus* and *A. flavus* (1).

^m*Rhizomucor* spp. (5), *Rhizopus* spp. (1), *Lichtheimia* spp. (1), mixed *Rhizomucor* spp. and *Rhizopus* spp. (1), unspecified “*Mucorales*” (large nonseptate hyphae at histopathology without microbiological documentation) (2).

ⁿ*A. fumigatus* and *Lichtheimia* spp., presumed *Aspergillus* spp. (positive GM only), and *Rhizomucor* spp.

^o*Conidiobolus* spp., *Hormoglyphiella aspergillata*.

^pSeptate branched hyphae at histopathology without microbiological documentation.

^qAccording to EORTC/MSGERC criteria of 2008 and 2020 [15, 16].

^rAmphotericin B formulations or mold-active triazoles (voriconazole, posaconazole, isavuconazole).

Table 2. Characteristics of the Histopathologically Proven IMI Cases That Were not Suspected Before Biopsy/Autopsy

	n = 11
Underlying conditions	
Solid-tumor ^a	4 (36)
Hematologic cancer	3 (27)
Lymphoma ^b	2
Allogeneic HSCT	1
Auto-immune disorders ^c	2 (18)
Solid-organ transplantation (heart)	1 (9)
Drug-induced neutropenia	1 (9)
Immunosuppressive conditions^d	
Neutropenia > 10 days ^e	1 (9)
Neutropenia < 10 days ^e	1 (9)
Long-course corticosteroid treatment ^f	2 (18)
Short-course corticosteroid treatment ^g	2 (18)
Other immunosuppressive drugs ^h	3 (27)
Recent anti-cancer chemotherapy	2 (18)
None	3 (27)
EORTC/MSGERC criteria (before histopathology)ⁱ	
Host criteria	6 (55)
Clinical and radiological criteria	4 (36)
Mycological criteria	1 (9) ^j
IMI: possible/no criteria	3 (27) / 8 (73)
Mold pathogen identified on histopathology sample	
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>	3 (27)
<i>Rhizopus</i> spp.	1 (9)
" <i>Aspergillus</i> -like" hyphae ^k	6 (55)
" <i>Mucorales</i> -like" hyphae ^l	1 (9)
Causes of death	
n = 9	
Attributed to IMI ^m	8 (89)
Other cause ⁿ	1 (11)

Numbers are N total (percentage).

IMI: invasive mold infections, HSCT: hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, EORTC/MSGERC: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer and the Mycoses Study Group Education and Research Consortium.

^aPrimary tumor site: cerebral (2), lung (1), multimetastatic cancer of unknown origin discovered at autopsy (1).

^bLymphoma in remission (1), casual autopsy finding of low-grade lymphoma (1).

^cRheumatoid arthritis (1), idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (1).

^dMore than one possible.

^eNeutropenia defined as neutrophil count < 500/mm³ in the past 60 days.

^f≥0.3 mg/kg prednisone-equivalent for ≥3 weeks in the past 60 days.

^gAny corticosteroid therapy during the past 10 days not fulfilling the definitions of long-course corticosteroid therapy (above).

^hCalcineurin inhibitor (1), mycophenolate mofetil (1), anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha (1).

ⁱAccording to EORTC/MSGERC criteria of 2008 and 2020 [15, 16]. Of note, assessment according to the 2008 and 2020 criteria was concordant in all cases.

^jOne positive culture for a single colony of "not yet identified" mold in a bronchial aspirate at day -2 before death (considered as contaminant or ignored by the clinician).

^kthin septate hyphae evoking *Aspergillus*.

^llarge non-septate hyphae evoking *Mucorales*.

^mAt least partially considered as a cause of death based on autopsy report.

ⁿSuicide (IMI was a casual finding at autopsy).

clinicians, and this proportion was as high as 50% among nonhematologic cancer patients. The mortality rate was higher among these unsuspected IMI cases. Indeed, most of them were casual autopsy findings. Interestingly, solid tumors and lymphoma were the predominant underlying conditions, including cancers that were occult or considered in remission before

autopsy. Treatment with corticosteroids (including short-course treatments) or other immunomodulators was also relatively frequent among these missed cases.

Studies comparing clinical diagnosis with the gold standard of histopathologically proven IMI are scarce. Some studies have assessed the prevalence of IMI in autopsy reports [17–20]. Analyses limited to onco-hematological patients showed a decreased incidence of IMI autopsy findings over the last decades, which suggests a better recognition of the disease in this population [17, 20]. However, other studies emphasized the substantial proportion of IMI autopsy findings among nonhematologic cancer patients [19, 21]. Tejerina et al. observed that 60% of invasive aspergillosis at intensive care units (ICUs) was not identified ante mortem [21]. The ICU population represents a particular setting for which the EORTC/MSGERC criteria are not appropriate because host criteria are often absent. Other adapted criteria, such as those of Blot et al. or those specific to influenza or coronavirus disease 2019 should be applied in this setting [22–24]. Of note, only 1 ICU patient in our cohort did not match EORTC/MSGERC criteria but fulfilled the Blot criteria of putative IA ante mortem.

IMI definitions have been proposed by the EORTC/MSGERC experts panel and have been updated over time [15, 16, 25]. These criteria were initially intended for clinical trials but could serve as an adjunctive tool in clinical practice. In the present case series, we found that the criteria of probable/possible IMI had a similar sensitivity compared with clinical appreciation for the early identification of subsequently histopathologically proven IMI (71%, 76%, and 73% for the 2008 and 2020 definitions and the clinical assessment, respectively), which may suggest that clinicians use these definitions in routine practice, in particular among high-risk neutropenic and/or hematologic cancer patients. Indeed, most of the misclassified IMI cases were non-neutropenic patients. The performance of the EORTC/MSGERC criteria is notoriously lower in this population, as radiological signs are less specific and serum galactomannan is less sensitive [14, 26, 27].

The most important updates of the 2020 definitions consist of the expanded spectrum of host criteria and the inclusion of PCR as a mycological criterion [16]. Indeed, 83% of the patients in our study met the 2020 host criteria, compared with 78% for the 2008 criteria. However, the more stringent 2020 criteria about the galactomannan cutoffs may have counterbalanced the gain of PCR with a similar proportion of probable IMI cases (34%) using both definitions.

Besides the inherent limitations related to the small sample size and monocentric design, it should be mentioned that retrospective interpretation of pathology reports may be hampered by incomplete description. Moreover, different histologic patterns of IMI without clear evidence of angio-invasion may be observed in non-neutropenic patients [28]. Doubtful IMI cases were excluded from our analysis, which may suggest that the proportion of missed IMI cases could even be higher.

In conclusion, this study shows that up to one-quarter of histopathologically documented IMIs are missed by clinicians. Particular attention should be paid to patients usually classified as low risk (eg, solid tumors or lymphomas) or those on immunosuppressive therapies for auto-immune disorders.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary materials are available at *Open Forum Infectious Diseases* online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the staff of the Service of Clinical Pathology of Lausanne, who performed the autopsies and the preparation and analyses of the histopathological samples. We thank Dr. Igor Letovanec and Mrs. Nathalie Piazzon for their help in the extraction of histopathology reports.

Financial support. There was no specific funding for this study.

Potential conflicts of interest. Dr. Lamoth declares research funding from Novartis, MSD, and Pfizer and participation in advisory boards for Gilead. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

Author contributions. G.C.d.C.: data collection, data analyses, writing of manuscript. J.C.: data collection, data analyses, review and editing of manuscript. J.P., T.K., and A.L.: data collection, review and editing of manuscript. S.R.: data collection, data analyses, review and editing of manuscript. F.L.: study design, data collection, data analyses, writing of manuscript.

Patient consent. This study was approved by “La Commission cantonale d'éthique de la recherche sur l'être humain (CER-VD)” under project ID 2019–02162 with a waiver of patient consent for retrospective use of clinical data under article 34 of “La loi sur la recherche sur l'être humain” (LRH) of the Swiss federal law, unless there was no attestation of refusal of general consent.

References

1. Corzo-León DE, Satlin MJ, Soave R, et al. Epidemiology and outcomes of invasive fungal infections in allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients in the era of antifungal prophylaxis: a single-centre study with focus on emerging pathogens. *Mycoses* **2015**; 58:325–36.
2. Kontoyiannis DP, Marr KA, Park BJ, et al. Prospective surveillance for invasive fungal infections in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients, 2001–2006: overview of the Transplant-Associated Infection Surveillance Network (TRANSNET) database. *Clin Infect Dis* **2010**; 50:1091–100.
3. Pappas PG, Alexander BD, Andes DR, et al. Invasive fungal infections among organ transplant recipients: results of the Transplant-Associated Infection Surveillance Network (TRANSNET). *Clin Infect Dis* **2010**; 50:1101–11.
4. Baddley JW. Clinical risk factors for invasive aspergillosis. *Med Mycol* **2011**; 49:S7–S12.
5. Chamilos G, Lionakis MS, Kontoyiannis DP. Call for action: invasive fungal infections associated with ibrutinib and other small molecule kinase inhibitors targeting immune signaling pathways. *Clin Infect Dis* **2018**; 66:140–8.
6. Cornillet A, Camus C, Nimubona S, et al. Comparison of epidemiological, clinical, and biological features of invasive aspergillosis in neutropenic and nonneutropenic patients: a 6-year survey. *Clin Infect Dis* **2006**; 43:577–84.
7. Garbino J, Fluckiger U, Elzi L, et al. Survey of aspergillosis in non-neutropenic patients in Swiss teaching hospitals. *Clin Microbiol Infect* **2011**; 17:1366–71.
8. Lewis RE, Kontoyiannis DP. Invasive aspergillosis in glucocorticoid-treated patients. *Med Mycol* **2009**; 47:S271–81.

9. Couchepin J, Brunel AS, Jatou K, et al. Role of bi-weekly serum galactomannan screening for the diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis in haematological cancer patients. *Mycoses* **2018**; 61:350–4.
10. Lamoth F, Calandra T. Early diagnosis of invasive mould infections and disease. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **2017**; 72:i19–28.
11. Marr KA, Balajee SA, McLaughlin L, et al. Detection of galactomannan antigenemia by enzyme immunoassay for the diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis: variables that affect performance. *J Infect Dis* **2004**; 190:641–9.
12. Cornely OA, Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Arenz D, et al; Mucormycosis ECMM MSG Global Guideline Writing Group. Global guideline for the diagnosis and management of mucormycosis: an initiative of the European Confederation of Medical Mycology in cooperation with the Mycoses Study Group Education and Research Consortium. *Lancet Infect Dis* **2019**; 19:e405–21.
13. Cornely OA, Cuenca-Estrella M, Meis JF, Ullmann AJ. European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) Fungal Infection Study Group (EFISG) and European Confederation of Medical Mycology (ECMM) 2013 joint guidelines on diagnosis and management of rare and emerging fungal diseases. *Clin Microbiol Infect* **2014**; 20:1–4.
14. Lamoth F, Alexander BD. Nonmolecular methods for the diagnosis of respiratory fungal infections. *Clin Lab Med* **2014**; 34:315–36.
15. De Pauw B, Walsh TJ, Donnelly JP, et al; European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer/Invasive Fungal Infections Cooperative Group; National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Mycoses Study Group (EORTC/MSG) Consensus Group. Revised definitions of invasive fungal disease from the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer/Invasive Fungal Infections Cooperative Group and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Mycoses Study Group (EORTC/MSG) consensus group. *Clin Infect Dis* **2008**; 46:1813–21.
16. Donnelly JP, Chen SC, Kauffman CA, et al. Revision and update of the consensus definitions of invasive fungal disease from the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer and the Mycoses Study Group Education and Research Consortium. *Clin Infect Dis* **2020**; 71:1367–76.
17. Alsharif M, Cameron SE, Young JA, et al. Time trends in fungal infections as a cause of death in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients: an autopsy study. *Am J Clin Pathol* **2009**; 132:746–55.
18. Lehrnbecher T, Frank C, Engels K, et al. Trends in the postmortem epidemiology of invasive fungal infections at a university hospital. *J Infect* **2010**; 61:259–65.
19. Shimodaira K, Okubo Y, Nakayama H, et al. Trends in the prevalence of invasive fungal infections from an analysis of annual records of autopsy cases of Toho University. *Mycoses* **2012**; 55:435–43.
20. Lewis RE, Cahyame-Zuniga L, Leventakos K, et al. Epidemiology and sites of involvement of invasive fungal infections in patients with haematological malignancies: a 20-year autopsy study. *Mycoses* **2013**; 56:638–45.
21. Tejerina EE, Abril E, Padilla R, et al. Invasive aspergillosis in critically ill patients: an autopsy study. *Mycoses* **2019**; 62:673–9.
22. Blot SI, Taccone FS, Van den Abele AM, et al; AspICU Study Investigators. A clinical algorithm to diagnose invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in critically ill patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* **2012**; 186:56–64.
23. Koehler P, Bassetti M, Chakrabarti A, et al. Defining and managing COVID-19-associated pulmonary aspergillosis: the 2020 ECMM/ISHAM consensus criteria for research and clinical guidance. *Lancet Infect Dis*. **In press**.
24. Verweij PE, Rijnders BJA, Brüggemann RJM, et al. Review of influenza-associated pulmonary aspergillosis in ICU patients and proposal for a case definition: an expert opinion. *Intensive Care Med* **2020**; 46:1524–35.
25. Ascioglu S, Rex JH, de Pauw B, et al. Defining opportunistic invasive fungal infections in immunocompromised patients with cancer and hematopoietic stem cell transplants: an international consensus. *Clin Infect Dis* **2002**; 34:7–14.
26. Bergeron A, Porcher R, Sulhian A, et al. The strategy for the diagnosis of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis should depend on both the underlying condition and the leukocyte count of patients with hematologic malignancies. *Blood* **2012**; 119:1831–7; quiz 956.
27. Nucci M, Nouér SA, Grazziotti M, et al. Probable invasive aspergillosis without prespecified radiologic findings: proposal for inclusion of a new category of aspergillosis and implications for studying novel therapies. *Clin Infect Dis* **2010**; 51:1273–80.
28. Stergiopoulou T, Meletiadis J, Roilides E, et al. Host-dependent patterns of tissue injury in invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. *Am J Clin Pathol* **2007**; 127:349–55.