Cell-Free Characterization of Coherent Feed-Forward Loop-Based Synthetic Genetic Circuits

Pascal A. Pieters, Bryan L. Nathalia, Ardjan J. van der Linden, Peng Yin, Jongmin Kim,* Wilhelm T. S. Huck,* and Tom F. A. de Greef*



forward architectures to fulfill essential signal processing functions that aid in the interpretation of various types of inputs through noise-filtering, fold-change detection and adaptation. Although it has been demonstrated computationally that a coherent feed-forward loop (CFFL) can function as noise filter, a property essential to decoding complex temporal signals, this motif has not been extensively characterized experimentally or integrated into larger networks. Here we use post-transcriptional regulation to implement and characterize a synthetic CFFL in an *Escherichia coli* cell-free transcription-translation system and build larger composite feedforward architectures. We employ microfluidic flow reactors to probe the response of the CFFL circuit using both persistent and



short, noise-like inputs and analyze the influence of different circuit components on the steady-state and dynamics of the output. We demonstrate that our synthetic CFFL implementation can reliably repress background activity compared to a reference circuit, but displays low potential as a temporal filter, and validate these findings using a computational model. Our results offer practical insight into the putative noise-filtering behavior of CFFLs and show that this motif can be used to mitigate leakage and increase the fold-change of the output of synthetic genetic circuits.

KEYWORDS: coherent feed-forward loop, cell-free systems, synthetic biology, post-transcriptional regulation, temporal decoding

A multitude of critical biological functions in cells, such as growth and differentiation, are regulated by dedicated genetic circuits.^{1,2} Synthetic equivalents of these circuits have been developed, including toggle switches,³ oscillators,⁴ and logic gates,⁵ which has driven the development of more complex synthetic modules. For example, bistable switches have been utilized to create complex finite-state machines,⁶ genetic AND-logic gates have been employed to generate synthetic T cell-based therapies,^{7,8} and a feedback motif has been used to create a multilayered cell structure through a synthetic differentiation circuit.⁹ Nevertheless, the topology of a genetic circuit does not necessarily dictate a single unique function, since circuits can have multiple functions depending on variations in parameters^{10–12} and network motifs in general can produce diverse behavior,¹³ with only some constraints posed by their topologies.^{14,15} It is therefore crucial to construct and study genetic circuits to elucidate their range of functions and their behavior in larger networks.

When implementing synthetic networks of increasing sizes, undesired interactions with host organism machinery and excessive load on the host can impede the function of the synthetic circuit.¹⁶ The use of *in vitro* transcription and translation (TXTL) reactions eliminates the need for a host

organism and provides a biomolecular breadboarding environment to rapidly construct synthetic genetic networks.^{17–20} TXTL reactions provide a flexible environment to dynamically vary inputs and circuit parameters without the need for extensive bacterial cloning and culture cycles.¹⁸ A toolbox of genetic elements has been created through extensive characterization of the TXTL reaction mixture alongside *E. coli* and phage-derived transcriptional regulators.^{17,20} This TXTL toolbox has been successfully utilized to rapidly construct and study gene cascades,¹⁷ incoherent feed-forward loops,^{17,21} a negative feedback loop²² and oscillators.^{23–26} Although the

toolbox extends the range of available genetic elements through inclusion of native *E. coli* transcription factors, which would severely interfere with an *E. coli* host cell when utilized *in vivo*, the number of available regulatory elements is still limited and does not scale up easily for the construction of

Received: January 18, 2021 Published: June 1, 2021





Figure 1. General concept of the construction and characterization of synthetic circuits based on CFFLs. (a) Schematic drawings, where nodes are genes or RNA genes and arrows indicate interactions, of a CFFL and a composite network consisting of two CFFLs joined on their intermediate node (inset). (b) Schematic representation of all DNA species and the DNA, RNA, and protein level interactions that constitute the CFFL. The three main components are the toehold switch, marked by the 5'-adjacent RNA stem loop, and its corresponding RNA trigger (orange), the *E. coli* σ^{28} -factor (blue), and fluorescent output protein (green). (c) The toehold switch, which requires a matching RNA trigger to unfold the stem loop that obscures the RBS of a gene, was expressed in TXTL reactions. End point eGFP concentrations (after 14 h of incubation) for the toehold switch DNA construct (2 nM) in the presence of on-target trigger DNA (10 nM), off-target trigger DNA (10 nM), and without trigger. (d) Time traces of eGFP production for the CFFL (pink, N = 3). Expression without a trigger construct (gray, N = 3) and with an off-target trigger (blue, N = 3) are plotted as negative controls. Light traces are distinct experiments and the thick darker traces are the averages. All DNA constructs and concentrations are summarized in Table S3.

topologically complex genetic networks. To resolve this, an additional regulatory layer can be introduced by utilizing post-transcriptional interactions such as riboswitches. In bacteria, small RNAs form a class of regulators that extend the complexity of genetic networks beyond transcriptional regulation.²⁷ For synthetic circuits, toehold switch riboregulators, which can be forward-engineered, provide a wide dynamic range, and are highly orthogonal, offer the potential to construct larger synthetic genetic networks.^{28–30}

Here, we successfully construct a modular synthetic gene network based on translational regulation using toehold switch riboregulators in TXTL. We design and build a coherent feedforward loop (CFFL) with RNA as top regulator, modeled after sRNA-based CFFLs found in nature (Figure 1a).³¹⁻³⁴ The CFFL is a network motif highly abundant in both bacterial and mammalian regulatory networks³⁵ and can display temporal filtering through sign-sensitive delay, where short inputs do not provoke a response while long-lived, persistent inputs are capable of generating a strong response.³⁶⁻³⁸ This property is essential for circuits experiencing a noisy input signal but can also serve to decode the temporal information that is encoded in various stimuli in cells.³⁹⁻⁴³ However, due to the dynamic nature of this function, it has remained difficult to systematically analyze temporal properties of CFFLs, with experimental characterization being limited to a narrow subset of its behavior.³⁸ Next to temporal filtering, it has been postulated that CFFLs can suppress leaky expression in a

network, resulting in a higher fold-change of the circuit output. 31

We use the modularity of our design to implement several CFFL variants with orthogonal post-transcriptional toehold switch-based regulation²⁸ and, inspired by naturally occurring feed-forward architectures⁴⁴ and recent advances in scaling up synthetic circuits,45 combine the CFFL variants into a topologically more complex feed-forward circuit. We characterize both the background suppression and temporal filtering functions of the synthetic CFFL circuit using a microfluidic semicontinuous flow reactor to sustain prolonged TXTL reactions, $^{24-26,46}$ complemented with *in silico* experiments. Our analysis reveals that the synthetic CFFL can effectively reduce background expression of components, increasing the fold-change of circuits for a wide range of circuit parameters. In agreement with recent computational studies that show low robustness of temporal filtering in CFFLs,³⁶ the synthetic CFFL is not a potent noise filter, since the response of the circuit to time-varying inputs is similar to a reference cascade. We identify that a more ultrasensitive response is required in the circuit component responsible for the delay in signal propagation, in order to develop a CFFL circuit that is able to serve as noise filter. Our results provide a foundation to construct modular synthetic gene networks based on translational regulation, demonstrated by the creation of complex CFFL-based circuits, and offer renewed insight into the signal processing functions of feed-forward loops.



Figure 2. Construction of a composite CFFL using new synthetic CFFL variants. (a) Schematic drawing of the formation of a composite CFFL that shares the intermediate σ^{28} -factor protein (middle), using two new CFFL variants (CFFL 2 and 3). (b) End point fluorescent protein concentrations (after 14 h incubation) of CFFL (CFFL 1), a variant with a distinct switch (CFFL 2), and a CFFL with eCFP as output protein (CFFL 3). For CFFL 1 and 3, which comprise switch/trigger A, trigger B (DNA X2) constructs were used as off-target control and for CFFL 2 trigger A (DNA X1) was taken as the off-target trigger. Note that the input and intermediate constructs for CFFL 1 and 3 are equal. (c) Schematic representation of all DNA species and the DNA, RNA, and protein level interactions that form the composite CFFL with a shared intermediate σ^{28} -factor protein (middle), using CFFL 2 (left) and CFFL 3 (right). (d) End point eGFP and eCFP concentrations of the composite CFFL with both input DNA constructs present, with either of the inputs or without input. (e) End point concentrations for the composite CFFL when one of the σ^{28} -producing DNA constructs is omitted. Concentrations of DNA species in panels d and e are as shown in panel b. In case an off-target trigger was used, its DNA concentration was 10 nM, equal to the DNA concentration for on-target triggers. All experiments were performed in triplicate, and the DNA constructs and concentrations are summarized in Table S3.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The structurally simplest CFFL motif consists of three genes that interact to enable a signal to propagate from the input either directly or via an intermediate gene to the output gene (Figure 1a). In this circuit, the dissimilarity between the two pathways allows for propagation of the signal with different time scales. The delay generated by the presence of an intermediate gene largely determines the difference in time scales, whereas the mechanism by which the two pathways are integrated controls which aspect of the output is governed by the induced delay.³⁸

In Vitro Implementation of a Synthetic CFFL. We designed a synthetic type 1 coherent feed-forward loop, representing the most commonly observed subtype of CFFLs,³⁷ with AND-gate logic integrating the two branches of the circuit. In our design, the *E. coli* σ^{28} -factor, which has been successfully used in various TXTL-based genetic circuits,^{23,26} is employed as intermediate species. The highly programmable toehold switch and trigger RNA–RNA post-transcriptional interactions are used to implement AND-type behavior (Figure 1b).²⁸ Additionally, the RNA trigger is utilized to activate translation of the sigma-factor, creating a CFFL with an RNA species as a top regulator, mimicking naturally occurring RNA regulatory circuits.^{31,33}

Genes for the synthetic CFFL were constructed using a Golden Gate assembly based cloning method,¹⁸ enabling rapid prototyping of various promoter, toehold switch, and protein

combinations (Figure S1). First, fragments of the CFFL were constructed and characterized in isolation. When a toehold switch and trigger pair were expressed in TXTL, we initially observed high background expression, but were able to largely eliminate this by optimizing RNA stability and removing inframe start codons not regulated by the toehold switch (Figure S2). Batch expression from the toehold switch construct in the presence of the cognate RNA trigger is comparable to expression from an eGFP reference construct with a highly efficient ribosome binding site (RBS), whereas background expression in the absence of a trigger is an order of magnitude lower (Figure 1c; Figure S3). As expected, expression increased monotonically for increasing concentrations of both switch and trigger DNA.

We assessed whether expression from a P70a promoter was impacted by competition between the *E. coli* sigma factor σ^{28} and the housekeeping σ^{70} -factor for the RNA polymerase, but found no decrease in expression level from the constitutive promoter (Figure S4). The toehold switch was subsequently utilized as a translational regulator for σ^{28} , resulting in a cascade that constitutes one branch of the CFFL. Upon expression in batch TXTL reactions, we observed a significant increase in cascade activation only when the on-target RNA trigger was produced (Figure S5). Next, this cascade was extended to contain a toehold switch in the S'-UTR of the eGFP output construct, resulting in the synthetic CFFL motif. When expressing the CFFL constructs in TXTL, eGFP expression only increased drastically in the presence of the pubs.acs.org/synthbio

a) Amplitude and Delay Characterization

b) CFFL Intermediate Node



Figure 3. End point and kinetic characterization of the CFFL implemented using TXTL batch reactions. (a) Schematic representation of the four characteristics determined for each circuit and parameter combination, plotted on the axes of a spider plot. The end point concentration of the output protein with circuit input (ON state; left axis) or without circuit input (OFF state; bottom axis). The ratio between those measurements gives the ON/OFF ratio (top axis). Lastly, the time until 50% of the end point concentration of output protein is reached serves as a temporal measure of the circuit (t_{50} ; right axis). (b) End point concentrations, t_{50} and trade-offs for the CFFL with varying concentrations of σ^{28} -encoding DNA construct (N = 3 for all concentrations in both the ON and OFF state, except the ON state with 2 nM DNA Y1, for which N = 4). The highest ON/OFF ratio of 75x is reached for 0.3 nM σ^{28} -encoding DNA construct. (c) Trade-offs for varying concentrations of output DNA construct of the CFFL, where all ON/OFF ratios range between 4x and 7x. (d) Trade-offs of the CFFL variants used in the composite CFFL (solid lines) and the trade-offs observed for both outputs of the circuit (dashed lines). The dashed green line represents the characteristics of the eGFP output with or without the input on the same side of the network (yellow shaded side), whereas the dashed blue line shows the characteristics of the eCFP output for its corresponding input trigger. All DNA constructs and concentrations are summarized in Table S3.

cognate RNA trigger (Figure 1d; Figure S6). In the absence of trigger, some leakage from the switch was observed and slight crosstalk with a randomly selected off-target trigger was detected. In conjunction with the CFFL, a reference motif was designed by removing the interaction between the input RNA trigger and the intermediate σ^{28} -factor construct (Figure S7a). This circuit represents a simple signal transducing network with only a single path from input to output, to which the CFFL circuit characteristics can fairly be compared.³⁷ Similar to the CFFL, the reference motif was confirmed to only activate when the DNA construct of the on-target RNA trigger was present in the TXTL reactions (Figure S7b). These circuits collectively constitute a flexible system to build and analyze CFFL-based networks.

Composite CFFL Circuits. Feed-forward loops are often organized in constitutions of multiple interconnected loops of different architectural principles that can have distinct information processing functions, although their general biological function remains unclear.⁴⁴ To demonstrate the feasibility of implementing these CFFL-based circuits with increased topological complexity in TXTL reactions, we constructed two new CFFL variations (CFFL 2 and 3) based on our initial design (CFFL 1) and merged them into a composite 5-node CFFL design (Figure 2a). First, we implemented an orthogonal CFFL circuit (CFFL 2) by replacing the toehold switch and trigger (switch/trigger A) of the initial design with a largely orthogonal switch and trigger

pair (switch/trigger B), selected from two switch/trigger pairs evaluated for their dynamic range in TXTL, to create trigger B input construct **X2**, Switch B- σ^{28} intermediate **Y2**, and Switch B-eGFP output construct **Z2**. Second, we constructed a CFFL variant with eCFP as output protein while maintaining the switch/trigger A combination (CFFL 3), containing the switch A-eGFP output DNA construct **Z3**. Both alternative implementations achieved comparable expression levels and retained a clear distinction between on and off states (Figure 2b).

Using CFFL variants 2 and 3, we constructed a composite CFFL with two inputs and outputs and with the σ^{28} gene as a shared intermediate node (a multi-input, multi-output CFFL; Figure 2c). Although not explored here, the design of the CFFL system allows for the modular substitution of the intermediate σ^{28} gene by other σ -factors 17 to create the remaining composite CFFLs described by Gorochowski et al.44 with distinct intermediate genes. We evaluated the composite CFFL by expressing all DNA constructs of CFFL 2 and CFFL 3 (Figure 2d) in a single TXTL reaction while monitoring the eGFP and eCFP output fluorescence. The observed expression levels mostly equaled the individual CFFL circuits, ruling out large contributions of the synergistic production of σ .²⁸ The higher eCFP expression level when DNA X2 was omitted suggests a slight depletion of resources when the full circuit and both inputs are present.⁴⁷ When we omitted either one of the input triggers, expression of the corresponding fluorescent

pubs.acs.org/synthbio



Figure 4. Semicontinuous flow reactions with time-varying inputs for the CFFL and reference circuits. (a) Schematic drawing of a microfluidic semicontinuous flow reactor and its operation. In addition, an exemplar brightfield image and fluorescence micrographs of a channel in the reactor are shown. (b) eGFP output time traces of flow reactions of the CFFL (left) and reference motif (right). Initially, no DNA encoding for the RNA input trigger was present. After either 3 or 4 h, trigger DNA was added to the reactors to immediately reach a final concentration of 10 nM and was subsequently maintained at that concentration (colored lines). Negative controls, where no input DNA was added to the reactions are shown in gray. In addition, the trade-offs in characteristics of the flow reactions are plotted in a spider plot (CFFL in pink, reference motif in blue). The CFFL reaches a maximum ON/OFF ratio of 19x for 1 nM σ^{28} -producing construct. (c) Time-varying inputs and corresponding CFFL circuit outputs (pink). A persistent input and corresponding output is shown in all plots as reference (gray). All DNA constructs and concentrations are summarized in Table S3, and the procedures to construct the time-dependent input pulses are described in Table S4.

protein dropped significantly, while omission of both inputs yielded background levels of all outputs, indicating that the output RNA constructs are correctly activated by their cognate triggers. The σ^{28} protein that serves as intermediate for both sides of the composite circuit was produced in excess, since removal of either of the σ^{28} -encoding DNA constructs did not lower the output levels of the circuit (Figure 2e). Nevertheless, the production of σ^{28} by one of the inputs can drive the production of RNA for the opposite output construct, as revealed by combinatorial evaluation of circuit components (Figure S8). In summary, we demonstrate that composite feedforward organizations can be readily implemented using our synthetic CFFL design, and the composite CFFL with a shared intermediate node displayed selective activation of each output by its cognate input while simultaneously being coupled to the opposite input.

Characteristics of the Synthetic CFFL and Composite CFFL. We have demonstrated that the synthetic CFFL can propagate an input signal and can be used to implement topologically more complex feed-forward circuits. To assess if the circuit can display information processing functionalities associated with a CFFL network motif,^{31,37,38} and whether these functionalities are retained in the composite CFFL network, we observed the CFFL, reference motif, and composite CFFL over a range of circuit parameters. A range of relative expression levels of the circuit components was sampled by varying the concentration of the DNA species. The response of the circuits was analyzed using four circuit characteristics (Figure 3a). First, to quantify the repression of background expression by the CFFL-based circuits, end point expression levels after 12 h with and without input trigger were determined (ON and OFF state). Additionally, the ratio between these two measures, the ON/OFF ratio, was computed as a measure of the relative change in output upon circuit activation. TXTL batch reactions are unsuitable for the full assessment of temporal filtering behavior of the synthetic CFFL due to the inability to apply time-varying inputs. Nevertheless, a characteristic time scale of the response of the circuit can be determined and used to estimate for which range of input pulse durations the behavior is expected to manifest. We therefore computed, t_{50} , the time until half of the maximum output was reached as a measure of the characteristic circuit time-scale.

A wide range of σ^{28} expression levels was probed by varying the concentration of the DNA construct coding for the E. coli sigma factor (DNA Y1), while keeping other concentrations fixed. The CFFL was observed over time in the presence and absence of trigger DNA (DNA X1) to determine all its characteristics (Figure 3b). We observed a decrease in activation delay (t_{50}) for increasing DNA Y1 concentration, suggesting that σ^{28} expression is a key parameter to determine the dynamic behavior of the circuit. End point expression exhibited an increase for higher DNA Y1 concentrations, before plateauing and subsequently slightly decreasing. This behavior suggests that the σ^{28} protein concentration reaches a saturated regime and subsequent addition of more DNA merely limits the expression capacity available to the output protein, resulting in an inefficient use of resources and a decrease in circuit output. We observed similar behavior when varying the σ^{28} expression levels in the reference motif, except



Figure 5. Computational analysis of the semicontinuous flow reactions with transient inputs. (a) Maximum circuit responses for the CFFL (left plots) and reference motif (right plot) for no input, inputs of 15 min, 30 min, 1 h, 2 h (dots; N = 3 for all experiments except Y1 = 0.5 nM, and 15 min input pulse duration for Y1 = 1 nM and Y1 = 5 nM, for which N = 1) and a constitutive input (dashed lines). Error bars represent the standard deviation of the experiments that were performed in triplicate. The ODE model fit to this flow data (eqs S1–14; Table S2) is shown as a black solid line. (b) ODE model predictions for the ON/OFF ratio of the CFFL (pink) and reference motif (blue) under continuous flow conditions. The concentrations of the σ^{28} -producing constructs were varied over the approximate range of experimental conditions and display comparable ON/OFF ratios to the experiments. (c) ODE model predictions for the temporal ultrasensitivity of the CFFL (pink) and reference motif (blue) under continuous flow conditions. The concentration of the σ^{28} -producing construct of each circuit was varied over a wide range of concentrations to explore the various behaviors that could be achieved using the circuits, but would be time-consuming to explore *in vitro*. All DNA constructs and concentrations are summarized in Table S3, and the procedures to construct the time-dependent input pulses are described in Table S4.

that the background expression decreased less compared to the CFFL when the σ^{28} expression strength was lowered (Figure S9). As a result, the CFFL motif displayed a higher ON/OFF ratio, reaching a value of 75x compared to 9x in the reference circuit.

Upon varying the concentration of output DNA species (DNA **Z1**), the delay in activation remained relatively constant, while the end point concentration and background end point concentration changed proportionally, with minimal changes to the ON/OFF ratio (Figure 3c). This further confirms that the σ^{28} DNA concentration is the main parameter influencing the temporal behavior of the CFFL, and the DNA **Z1** concentration can merely be used to scale the circuit output. Moreover, the same intermediate DNA species dictates the fold-change of the circuit, since only when background expression from the σ^{28} DNA construct does not significantly activate the cognate promoter on the output DNA construct, can the overall leakage be minimized.

To investigate whether the same behavior persists in composite CFFL-based networks, we repeated the analysis on the composite CFFL with a shared intermediate node (Figure 3d). Since this network features two inputs and two outputs, the end point and transient characteristics were determined for each output in the presence and absence of the trigger that directly regulates the respective output (DNA X2, coding for trigger B, for the eGFP output and DNA X3, coding for trigger A, for the eCFP output; Figure 3d). We observed that the ON/OFF ratio of both outputs of the composite circuit is greatly reduced compared to CFFL 2 and 3, since the overall background expression of σ^{28} increased due to the presence of two σ^{28} -producing constructs, which propagates into the background level of the output proteins. As a result, the background suppression behavior of the synthetic CFFL does not directly translate to the composite CFFL. Overall, our

modular toehold switch-based CFFL system enabled the rapid analysis of a topologically complex synthetic CFFL circuit.

Time-Varying Circuit Inputs. The characterization of the temporal behavior of CFFLs requires the introduction of input pulses of varying durations, which requires a method to dynamically add and eliminate DNA species in TXTL reactions. Here, we utilized semicontinuous microfluidic flow reactors^{24,25,46} in combination with TXTL reactions to implement and characterize the synthetic CFFL 1, for which we performed the most extensive characterization in batch reactions, and its reference motif, taking advantage of the controlled inflow and outflow capabilities of the reactors to automatically change the inflow composition to create variable length DNA inputs (Figure 4a). After 3 or 4 h of preequilibration without input DNA species, during which all constitutive and background expression equilibrated, persistent step inputs were applied to both the CFFL and reference circuits (Figure 4b). Like our analysis under batch conditions, end point and temporal characteristics were determined. We again observed that background expression in the absence of input is higher in the reference circuit, resulting in a larger ON/OFF ratio for the CFFL. The more efficient repression of background expression in the CFFL can be attributed to the sequential stages of repression achieved by the two toehold switches (Figure S10). Subsequently, DNA input pulses of lengths ranging from 15 min to 2 h were applied to the CFFL to probe for noise-filtering behavior (Figure 4c). Square input pulses were emulated by initially supplying a high concentration of input DNA to create an immediate onset of signal, followed by a variable amount of regular concentration input steps to maintain a high input (Table S4). Finally, the input signal was terminated through omission of DNA X1 from the inflow mixture, leading to a decrease of input trigger DNA concentration that was governed by the refresh rate of the microfluidic flow reactors ($t_{1/2} = 25$ min).



Figure 6. In silico parameter sampling of the CFFL ODE model. (a) Schematic drawing of the sampling method and analysis procedures, shown for a 2-dimensional space for clarity. Latin hypercube sampling was used to create 10^5 samples of the 13-dimensional logarithmic parameter space. For each parameter sample, the model was evaluated, and the temporal ultrasensitivity was computed. To determine the robustness of the temporal ultrasensitivity behavior, for each temporal ultrasensitivity value the fraction of samples that displayed temporal ultrasensitivity of at least that value was computed. Additionally, the samples were filtered based on the computed properties. An initial selection was performed to create a collection of reasonable parameter values (pre). Subsequently, the samples were selected based on the computed temporal ultrasensitivity (post). These two collections were used to analyze parameter value distributions. (b) The fraction of parameter samples that satisfied a minimum temporal ultrasensitivity threshold. A fraction of 0.3%, 8.5%, and 21% displayed a temporal ultrasensitivity of at least 0.5, 0.2, and 0.1, respectively. (c) The pre- and post-distributions of parameter values for a selection of parameters (see Figure S11 for all parameters and Table S2 for parameter descriptions and units). The dashed green lines show the parameter values used to simulate the experimental data in this research (Figure 4a). A large increase in values between the pre- and post-sets can be observed for the σ^{28} -binding cooperativity (quantified by the Hill coefficient $N_{\sigma28}$), which indicates that there is a preference for a high Hill coefficient when the temporal ultrasensitivity is high. (d) Maximum output amplitudes of simulations of the CFFL and reference motif for a range of input pulse lengths, normalized to the maximum output for a step function input. Simulations with Hill coefficients 1, 2, and 4 demonstrate that the sharpness of the pulse length response of the CFFL increases for higher Hill

We applied varying input pulse durations to the reference motif and CFFL, using multiple σ^{28} DNA concentrations, and determined the maximum GFP output for each input pulse as a measure of circuit response (Figure 5a). Short inputs elicited a response in both the CFFL and reference motif, and we observed no clear indication of noise-filtering, although the higher ON/OFF ratio of the CFFL results in a lower output for short inputs. On the basis of our observation that the σ^{28} DNA concentration is the main contributor to the dynamic behavior of the CFFL in batch TXTL reactions, we next examined the influence of DNA Y1 concentration on the characteristics of CFFL 1 in semicontinuous flow reactions. While slightly different response dynamics were observed for varying σ^{28} expression strengths, short inputs still propagated through the CFFL motif. Taken together, these experimental results demonstrate that although the synthetic RNA-based CFFL does not display additional noise-filtering characteristics over the reference circuit for a wide range of circuit parameters, it can be utilized to suppress background expression and yield a high fold-change.

Computational Analysis of CFFL Properties. To demonstrate that the observed experimental behaviors are general properties of the synthetic CFFL, we constructed ordinary differential equation (ODE) models of the CFFL and reference motif and parametrized the models using outputs of the flow reactor experiments and previously determined parameter values (solid lines in Figure 5a, Supplementary Methods, Table S2).²⁶ The models were analyzed to predict the ON/OFF ratio of the circuit output for varying concentrations of σ^{28} encoding DNA in both circuits, including low concentrations that were shown to produce low outputs under batch conditions and are therefore difficult to analyze using flow reactor experiments (Figure 5b). The CFFL consistently produced a higher ON/OFF ratio than the reference circuit.

We further investigated the CFFL through the ODE model and determined temporal ultrasensitivities for varying concentrations of σ^{28} DNA (Figure 5c). The temporal ultrasensitivity measures how sharp the transition from 10% to 90% of the maximum output is with respect to the input pulse duration $(d_{10} \text{ and } d_{90}$, respectively; eq S15).³⁶ Direct determination of d_{10} and d_{90} from the flow reactor experiments is hampered by the relatively low resolution of the pulse duration domain, which is resolved by utilizing the ODE model to simulate a large amount of input pulse durations input (100 values between 0.01 h and 20 h evenly distributed on a logarithmic scale) for each condition. Temporal sensitivity quantifies filtering of short-lived inputs, since the transition from a low to high output for a change in input duration should be sharp to create a noise filter which blocks short-lived inputs while retaining a high output for all other signals. The synthetic CFFL displayed very low levels of temporal ultrasensitivity, which were only slightly higher than the reference motif, peaking in a narrow range of σ^{28} DNA concentrations around 0.2 nM.

We explored the temporal ultrasensitivity of the CFFL circuit further by modeling the circuit for a wide range of parameter values using Latin Hypercube sampling (Figure 6a; Table S2). The model displayed high temporal ultrasensitivity (>0.5) for only 0.3% of the parameter samples (Figure 6b). This observation is in line with a recent computational analysis, which revealed that the temporal ultrasensitivity of CFFL motifs has low robustness and is only significant in a small subset of circuit parameters.³⁶ To investigate whether our synthetic CFFL could be improved to display stronger noisefiltering behavior, the sampled parameter space was filtered based on the computed temporal ultrasensitivity and statistics of the values of the selected parameter sets were determined. The parameter sets enriched for a high temporal ultrasensitivity were mainly associated with a high Hill-coefficient of the σ^{28} and DNA interaction (Figure 6c). Therefore, when incorporating a CFFL in synthetic genetic networks to increase tolerance to noise, one of the many known prokaryotic genetic regulators that binds more cooperatively to DNA⁴⁸ should be utilized as delay element (Figure 6d). Alternatively, the sharpness of the σ^{28} binding curve could be increased using molecular titration with the anti- σ^{28} factor (FlgM) to achieve a similar effect.⁴⁹ Nevertheless, adoption of our synthetic CFFL motif in synthetic circuits can prove to be beneficial in eliminating background expression and improving their foldchange.

METHODS

Preparation of DNA Templates. DNA constructs were created with golden gate assembly (GGA) using the overlapping sequences adapted from Sun et al.¹⁸ (Figure S1). The pBEST vector was a gift from Richard Murray and Vincent Noireaux (Addgene plasmid #45779) and was made suitable for GGA cloning using Gibson assembly (NEB Gibson Assembly Master Mix) of PCR products of the vector (NEB Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase) using primers pBEST GA 1 F, pBEST GA 1 R, pBEST GA 2 F, and pBEST GA 2 R (Table S1). Promoters, UTR1, coding sequences and terminators were ordered from IDT as gBlock fragments or amplified from the pBEST vector using PCR. Toehold switch and trigger sequences were taken from previous studies in the group of Dr. P. Yin (Switch A is unpublished and Switch B is Switch 1 of the second generation in the research by Green et al.;28 related toehold switch plasmids can be obtained from Addgene (https://www. addgene.org/Alexander Green/) and PCR amplified. PCR products were gel purified using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen) and added in equimolar amounts to GGA assembly reactions with BSAI-HF (NEB), T4 ligase (Promega), and the T4 ligase buffer. GGA reactions were performed in a thermocycler according to a standard GGA protocol.⁵⁰ The GGA products were transformed into NovaBlue cells (Merck), from which the plasmids were purified using the QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (Qiagen), and the DNA sequences were confirmed using Sanger sequencing.

Linear DNA templates for expression in TXTL reactions were created by PCR using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB) with primers pBEST_LinL_F and pBEST_LinL_R (Table S1) and subsequent purification using QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen).

Preparation of Cell Lysate. The E. coli cell lysate was prepared from the RNase E deficient BL21 STAR (DE3) (ThermoFisher Scientific) cells that were transformed with the pRARE vector from BL21 Rosetta (Merck). The lysate was prepared according to previously published protocols,^{17,19} with slight adaptations. The E. coli strain was grown in 2xYT medium supplemented with 40 mM potassium phosphate dibasic and 22 mM potassium phosphate monobasic until an OD₆₀₀ of 1.7 was reached. The cultures were spun down and washed thoroughly with S30A buffer (14 mM magnesium Lglutamate, 60 mM potassium L-glutamate, 50 mM Tris, titrated to pH 8.2 using glacial acetic acid), before being resuspended in 0.9 mL of S30A buffer per gram of dry pellet. The cell suspension was lysed using a French press at 16000 lb pressure in two passes and spun down. The supernatant was incubated at 37 °C for 1.5 h and spun down. The supernatant was dialyzed into S30B buffer (14 mM magnesium L-glutamate, 150 mM potassium L-glutamate, titrated to pH 8.2 using 2 M Tris) in two steps for 3 h total and spun down again. The supernatant was aliquotted, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C.

The energy mixture was prepared according to the protocol previously described by Sun et al.,¹⁹ and a constant distribution amino acid solution was prepared.⁵¹

Preparation of TXTL Reactions. The cell lysate (33% of total reaction volume) was combined with the energy mixture, amino acid solution (37.5 mM), magnesium L-glutamate (8 mM), PEG-8000 (2%), GamS protein (3 μ M; prepared as described by Sun et al.¹⁸) and Milli-Q to form the 1.54x TXTL reaction mixture (65% of total reaction volume). The remaining volume (35%) of the reactions was used to add the linear DNA constructs of the gene networks and supplemented to the final volume with Milli-Q water. The DNA constructs and their concentrations in each experiment are summarized in Table S3.

Batch TXTL Reactions. Batch TXTL reactions were prepared in total volumes of 10 μ L and transferred to 384-wells Nunc plates. The reactions were incubated at 29 °C and eGFP and optionally eCFP fluorescence was measured on a Saffire II (Tecan), Spark 10 M (Tecan), or Synergy H1M (Biotek) plate reader for at least 14 h. The plate readers were calibrated using a titration range of purified eGFP protein. For the composite CFFL, where there are two outputs, eGFP and eCFP concentration ranges were measured in both the eGFP and eCFP measurement channels to determine the crosstalk between the two measurements.

Microfluidic Device Fabrication and Flow TXTL Reactions. The microfluidic semicontinuous flow reactors were produced using standard soft lithography methods.^{24,46}

Semicontinuous flow TXTL reactions were performed according to the protocol previously described by van der Linden et al.,⁴⁶ with adapted Labview control software to

CONCLUSION

enable configuration of time-varying input signals. The 1.54xTXTL reaction mixture was stored on a water-cooled Peltier element during the experiment to maintain reactivity of the solution, whereas other reactants were stored in tubing in the incubation chamber (29 °C). The input RNA trigger DNA template was mixed with a DNA-hexachlorofluorescein conjugate (IDT; input ref hex, Table S1) to monitor and verify the applied circuit input pulses. Concentrations of the DNA constructs used are summarized in Table S3. Reactions were conducted for 11 h, during which 40% of each reactor was refreshed 15 min with 65% TXTL reaction mixture and 35% DNA or Milli-Q. To create an input that resembles a square pulse function, an initial step containing 2.5x of the final input concentration of DNA X1 was flushed in, after which all subsequent steps contained the regular input DNA concentration. The DNA solutions and operation sequences used to construct the time-dependent input pulses are described in Table S4. The devices were monitored on an Eclipse Ti-E inverted microscope (Nikon). Reactor channels were automatically detected in the obtained images using a custom Matlab (Mathworks) script (available upon request), and the average fluorescence of a 50×100 pixel rectangle at the center of a channel was calculated to represent the output fluorescence. After 11 h the reactions were terminated and the microfluidic reactors were flushed with Milli-Q water. Optionally, microfluidic devices were cleaned for reuse through repeated flushing with a Terg-a-zyme enzyme detergent solution (Alconox).

Parameter Fitting and Sampling. The ODE models of the CFFL and reference motif (Supplementary Methods, eqs S1-S14) were implemented in Matlab (Mathworks) and numerically solved using the ode15s solver. We utilized the lsqnonlin solver using the trust-region-reflective algorithm to parametrize the ODE models. The model parameters were simultaneously fitted on a logarithmic scale to all flow reactor experimental data (Figure 4c), with 10^3 Latin hypercube samples provided to the solver as initial parameter sets to prevent the fit from being only locally optimal. The fitted parameters and the resulting parameter set that was used to perform further *in silico* experiments are provided in Table S2.

To screen the behavior of the CFFL outside of the experimental parameter regime, Latin hypercube sampling (lhsdesign) was employed to generate 10⁵ parameter samples of a wide range of parameter values in logarithmic space (see Table S2 for the parameters and their ranges). The CFFL ODE model was evaluated for all parameter samples to map the temporal ultrasensitivity of the CFFL. The network was simulated without input for 10 h, then 20 different time durations with input (0.01-20 evenly distributed on a logarithmic scale), and finally 4 h without input. From the maximum eGFP outputs of these 20 simulated experiments the temporal ultrasensitivity was computed (eq S15). Parameter samples that resulted in a model that could not be correctly solved by ode15s were excluded from the analysis. To determine parameter values corresponding to a high temporal ultrasensitivity score, two stages of selection of the parameter samples were applied. First, samples were selected for a maximum eGFP output between 1 nM and 1 mM and a minimum increase of 5% of the maximum output upon the addition of an input trigger. The subsequent selection was conducted based on a minimum temporal ultrasensitivity of 0.2. The sampling and selection procedures are illustrated in Figure 6a and Figure S11a.

In this work, we reveal that toehold switch post-transcriptional regulators can be used to construct modular synthetic genetic networks in TXTL. We combined toehold switches with an E. coli sigma-factor to build a synthetic CFFL and a composite architecture based on naturally occurring organizations of feedforward loops.⁴⁴ The characteristics of the synthetic CFFL and composite CFFL were determined under batch TXTL conditions and in a semicontinuous microfluidic flow reactor. By comparing the circuit to a reference motif, we found that the synthetic CFFL could reduce background expression levels, thus increasing the fold-change of the circuit output. We utilized the flexibility of the microfluidic flow reactor to apply time-varying inputs to the synthetic CFFL, but could not identify temporal filtering in the circuit. In silico parameter sampling corroborated the observation that this behavior occurs for a small subset of circuit parameters.³⁴

Since orthogonal alternative versions of the toehold switch^{28,29} and *E. coli* sigma factor^{17,52} are well characterized, we envision that all organizations of two CFFLs are suitable for implementation using our synthetic CFFL design, which enables characterization of topologically complex CFFLbased circuits that have as yet remained unexplored. Additionally, the modularity of the synthetic CFFL combined with the use of post-transcriptional regulation facilitates integration with existing genetic networks that are based on transcriptional control to provide repression of background expression to these networks. Whereas previous analyses of regulatory networks have focused on transcriptional interactions,³⁵ recent work has shown that small RNAs (sRNA) play key roles in bacterial regulatory networks,^{27,31,53} and sRNA-based feed-forward loops have been identified.^{32,34,53,54} As such, our CFFL-based circuits with RNA species as top regulators can provide a starting point for the characterization of these naturally occurring regulatory elements. Complemented by studies of the integration of synthetic genetic circuits into topologically more complex systems⁵⁵ and the role of translational control in regulatory networks of cells,³¹ this work provides insight into the function of CFFLs and their application in synthetic biology.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acssynbio.1c00024.

Description of the models used in this work; additional figures; primer sequences; model parameter values; construct concentrations of all experiments (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

- Jongmin Kim Division of Integrative Biosciences and Biotechnology, Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang, Gyeongbuk 37673, Republic of Korea; Ocid.org/ 0000-0002-2713-1006; Email: jongmin.kim@ postech.ac.kr
- Wilhelm T. S. Huck Institute for Molecules and Materials, Radboud University, 6525 AJ Nijmegen, The Netherlands; orcid.org/0000-0003-4222-5411; Email: w.huck@ science.ru.nl
- **Tom F. A. de Greef** Laboratory of Chemical Biology and Institute for Complex Molecular Systems, Department of

ACS Synthetic Biology

Biomedical Engineering and Computational Biology Group, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Eindhoven University of Technology, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands; Institute for Molecules and Materials, Radboud University, 6525 AJ Nijmegen, The Netherlands; Center for Living Technologies, Eindhoven-Wageningen-Utrecht Alliance, Eindhoven, The Netherlands; orcid.org/0000-0002-9338-284X; Email: t.f.a.d.greef@tue.nl

Authors

- Pascal A. Pieters Laboratory of Chemical Biology and Institute for Complex Molecular Systems, Department of Biomedical Engineering and Computational Biology Group, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Eindhoven University of Technology, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands; orcid.org/0000-0003-2032-0100
- Bryan L. Nathalia Computational Biology Group, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Eindhoven University of Technology, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands
- Ardjan J. van der Linden Laboratory of Chemical Biology and Institute for Complex Molecular Systems, Department of Biomedical Engineering and Computational Biology Group, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Eindhoven University of Technology, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands
- Peng Yin Wyss Institute for Biologically Inspired Engineering, Harvard University, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-2769-6357

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acssynbio.1c00024

Author Contributions

P.A.P. designed the experiments, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. B.L.N. performed experiments and analyzed the data. A.v.d.L. and P.A.P. designed and fabricated the microfluidic setup. P.Y. and J.K. provided key constructs and provided critical input for the experiments. T.F.A.d.G. conceived, designed, and supervised the study, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. W.T.S.H. revised the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Emilien Dubuc, Maaruthy Yelleswarapu, and Roel Maas for helpful discussions. W.T.S.H. was supported by a TOPPUNT grant from The Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO). T.F.A.d.G. was supported by the NWO-VIDI grant from The Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO, 723.016.003). P.A.P., A.J.v.d.L., and T.F.A.d.G. were supported by an ERC starting grant by the European Research Council (project No. 677313 BioCircuit) and funding from the Ministry of Education, Culture and Science (Gravity programs, 024.001.035 and 024.003.013). J.K. was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2019R1A2C1086830) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT). P.Y. was supported by NSF CBET-1729397.

REFERENCES

(1) Alon, U. (2007) An Introduction to Systems Biology: Design Principles of Biological Circuits, 1st ed., Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL. (2) Davidson, E. H. (2006) The Regulatory Genome: Gene Regulatory Networks in Development and Evolution, Elsevier, Acad. Press, Amsterdam.

(3) Gardner, T. S., Cantor, C. R., and Collins, J. J. (2000) Construction of a genetic toggle switch in Escherichia coli. *Nature* 403, 339–342.

(4) Elowitz, M. B., and Leibler, S. (2000) A synthetic oscillatory network of transcriptional regulators. *Nature* 403, 335–338.

(5) Siuti, P., Yazbek, J., and Lu, T. K. (2013) Synthetic circuits integrating logic and memory in living cells. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 31, 448–452.

(6) Andrews, L. B., Nielsen, A. A. K., and Voigt, C. A. (2018) Cellular checkpoint control using programmable sequential logic. *Science* 361, No. eaap8987.

(7) Nissim, L., Wu, M.-R., Pery, E., Binder-Nissim, A., Suzuki, H. I., Stupp, D., Wehrspaun, C., Tabach, Y., Sharp, P. A., and Lu, T. K. (2017) Synthetic RNA-Based Immunomodulatory Gene Circuits for Cancer Immunotherapy. *Cell* 171, 1138–1150.

(8) Roybal, K. T., Rupp, L. J., Morsut, L., Walker, W. J., McNally, K. A., Park, J. S., and Lim, W. A. (2016) Precision Tumor Recognition by T Cells With Combinatorial Antigen-Sensing Circuits. *Cell 164*, 770–779.

(9) Toda, S., Blauch, L. R., Tang, S. K. Y., Morsut, L., and Lim, W. A. (2018) Programming self-organizing multicellular structures with synthetic cell-cell signaling. *Science* 361, 156–162.

(10) Goentoro, L., Shoval, O., Kirschner, M. W., and Alon, U. (2009) The Incoherent Feedforward Loop Can Provide Fold-Change Detection in Gene Regulation. *Mol. Cell 36*, 894–899.

(11) Perez-Carrasco, R., Barnes, C. P., Schaerli, Y., Isalan, M., Briscoe, J., and Page, K. M. (2018) Combining a Toggle Switch and a Repressilator within the AC-DC Circuit Generates Distinct Dynamical Behaviors. *Cell Syst.* 6, 521–530.

(12) Wall, M. E., Dunlop, M. J., and Hlavacek, W. S. (2005) Multiple Functions of a Feed-Forward-Loop Gene Circuit. *J. Mol. Biol.* 349, 501–514.

(13) Ma, W., Trusina, A., El-Samad, H., Lim, W. A., and Tang, C. (2009) Defining Network Topologies that Can Achieve Biochemical Adaptation. *Cell* 138, 760–773.

(14) Ahnert, S. E., and Fink, T. M. A. (2016) Form and function in gene regulatory networks: the structure of network motifs determines fundamental properties of their dynamical state space. *J. R. Soc., Interface 13*, 20160179.

(15) Payne, J. L., and Wagner, A. (2015) Function does not follow form in gene regulatory circuits. *Sci. Rep. 5*, 13015.

(16) Borkowski, O., Ceroni, F., Stan, G.-B., and Ellis, T. (2016) Overloaded and stressed: whole-cell considerations for bacterial synthetic biology. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 33, 123–130.

(17) Garamella, J., Marshall, R., Rustad, M., and Noireaux, V. (2016) The All E. coli TX-TL Toolbox 2.0: A Platform for Cell-Free Synthetic Biology. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 5, 344–355.

(18) Sun, Z. Z., Yeung, E., Hayes, C. A., Noireaux, V., and Murray, R. M. (2014) Linear DNA for Rapid Prototyping of Synthetic Biological Circuits in an *Escherichia coli* Based TX-TL Cell-Free System. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 3, 387–397.

(19) Sun, Z. Z., Hayes, C. A., Shin, J., Caschera, F., Murray, R. M., and Noireaux, V. (2013) Protocols for Implementing an Escherichia coli Based TX-TL Cell-Free Expression System for Synthetic Biology. *J. Visualized Exp.*, 50762.

(20) Shin, J., and Noireaux, V. (2012) An E. coli Cell-Free Expression Toolbox: Application to Synthetic Gene Circuits and Artificial Cells. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 1, 29–41.

(21) Guo, S., and Murray, R. M. (2019) Construction of Incoherent Feedforward Loop Circuits in a Cell-Free System and in Cells. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 8, 606–610.

(22) Patel, A., Murray, R. M., and Sen, S. (2020) Assessment of Robustness to Temperature in a Negative Feedback Loop and a Feedforward Loop. *ACS Synth. Biol. 9*, 1581–1590.

(23) Karzbrun, E., Tayar, A. M., Noireaux, V., and Bar-Ziv, R. H. (2014) Programmable on-chip DNA compartments as artificial cells. *Science* 345, 829–832.

(24) Niederholtmeyer, H., Stepanova, V., and Maerkl, S. J. (2013) Implementation of cell-free biological networks at steady state. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110*, 15985–15990.

(25) Niederholtmeyer, H., Sun, Z. Z., Hori, Y., Yeung, E., Verpoorte, A., Murray, R. M., and Maerkl, S. J. (2015) Rapid cell-free forward engineering of novel genetic ring oscillators. *eLife* 4, 4.

(26) Yelleswarapu, M., van der Linden, A. J., van Sluijs, B., Pieters, P. A., Dubuc, E., de Greef, T. F. A., and Huck, W. T. S. (2018) Sigma Factor-Mediated Tuning of Bacterial Cell-Free Synthetic Genetic Oscillators. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 7, 2879–2887.

(27) Wagner, E. G. H., and Romby, P. (2015) Small RNAs in Bacteria and Archaea, in *Advances in Genetics*, pp 133–208. Elsevier, Amsterdam.

(28) Green, A. A., Silver, P. A., Collins, J. J., and Yin, P. (2014) Toehold Switches: De-Novo-Designed Regulators of Gene Expression. *Cell* 159, 925–939.

(29) Green, A. A., Kim, J., Ma, D., Silver, P. A., Collins, J. J., and Yin, P. (2017) Complex cellular logic computation using ribocomputing devices. *Nature* 548, 117–121.

(30) Lehr, F.-X., Hanst, M., Vogel, M., Kremer, J., Göringer, H. U., Suess, B., and Koeppl, H. (2019) Cell-Free Prototyping of AND-Logic Gates Based on Heterogeneous RNA Activators. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 8, 2163–2173.

(31) Nitzan, M., Rehani, R., and Margalit, H. (2017) Integration of Bacterial Small RNAs in Regulatory Networks. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* 46, 131–148.

(32) Papenfort, K., Espinosa, E., Casadesús, J., and Vogel, J. (2015) Small RNA-based feedforward loop with AND-gate logic regulates extrachromosomal DNA transfer in *Salmonella. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U. S. A. 112, E4772–E4781.

(33) Tej, S., Gaurav, K., and Mukherji, S. (2019) Small RNA driven feed-forward loop: critical role of sRNA in noise filtering. *Phys. Biol. 16*, 046008.

(34) Thomason, M. K., Fontaine, F., De Lay, N., and Storz, G. (2012) A small RNA that regulates motility and biofilm formation in response to changes in nutrient availability in Escherichia coli: sRNA regulator of motility and biofilm formation. *Mol. Microbiol.* 84, 17–35.

(35) Milo, R. (2002) Network Motifs: Simple Building Blocks of Complex Networks. *Science 298*, 824–827.

(36) Gerardin, J., Reddy, N. R., and Lim, W. A. (2019) The Design Principles of Biochemical Timers: Circuits that Discriminate between Transient and Sustained Stimulation. *Cell Syst.* 9, 297–308.

(37) Mangan, S., and Alon, U. (2003) Structure and function of the feed-forward loop network motif. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 100*, 11980–11985.

(38) Mangan, S., Zaslaver, A., and Alon, U. (2003) The Coherent Feedforward Loop Serves as a Sign-sensitive Delay Element in Transcription Networks. *J. Mol. Biol.* 334, 197–204.

(39) Purvis, J. E., Karhohs, K. W., Mock, C., Batchelor, E., Loewer, A., and Lahav, G. (2012) p53 Dynamics Control Cell Fate. *Science* 336, 1440–1444.

(40) Purvis, J. E., and Lahav, G. (2013) Encoding and Decoding Cellular Information through Signaling Dynamics. *Cell* 152, 945–956.

(41) Yosef, N., and Regev, A. (2011) Impulse Control: Temporal Dynamics in Gene Transcription. *Cell* 144, 886–896.

(42) Albeck, J. G., Mills, G. B., and Brugge, J. S. (2013) Frequency-Modulated Pulses of ERK Activity Transmit Quantitative Proliferation Signals. *Mol. Cell* 49, 249–261.

(43) Behar, M., Dohlman, H. G., and Elston, T. C. (2007) Kinetic insulation as an effective mechanism for achieving pathway specificity in intracellular signaling networks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 104*, 16146–16151.

(44) Gorochowski, T. E., Grierson, C. S., and di Bernardo, M. (2018) Organization of feed-forward loop motifs reveals architectural principles in natural and engineered networks. *Sci. Adv. 4*, No. eaap9751.

(45) Nielsen, A. A. K., Der, B. S., Shin, J., Vaidyanathan, P., Paralanov, V., Strychalski, E. A., Ross, D., Densmore, D., and Voigt, C. A. (2016) Genetic circuit design automation. *Science* 352, No. aac7341.

(46) van der Linden, A. J., Yelleswarapu, M., Pieters, P. A., Swank, Z., Huck, W. T. S., Maerkl, S. J., and de Greef, T. F. A. (2019) A Multilayer Microfluidic Platform for the Conduction of Prolonged Cell-Free Gene Expression. *J. Visualized Exp.*, No. e59655.

(47) Stögbauer, T., Windhager, L., Zimmer, R., and Rädler, J. O. (2012) Experiment and mathematical modeling of gene expression dynamics in a cell-free system. *Integr. Biol.* 4, 494–501.

(48) Stanton, B. C., Nielsen, A. A. K., Tamsir, A., Clancy, K., Peterson, T., and Voigt, C. A. (2014) Genomic mining of prokaryotic repressors for orthogonal logic gates. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 10, 99–105.

(49) Buchler, N. E., and Louis, M. (2008) Molecular Titration and Ultrasensitivity in Regulatory Networks. J. Mol. Biol. 384, 1106–1119.

(50) Engler, C., Kandzia, R., and Marillonnet, S. (2008) A One Pot, One Step, Precision Cloning Method with High Throughput Capability. *PLoS One 3*, No. e3647.

(51) Caschera, F., and Noireaux, V. (2015) Preparation of amino acid mixtures for cell-free expression systems. *BioTechniques* 58, 40–43.

(52) Bervoets, I., Van Brempt, M., Van Nerom, K., Van Hove, B., Maertens, J., De Mey, M., and Charlier, D. (2018) A sigma factor toolbox for orthogonal gene expression in Escherichia coli. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, 2133–2144.

(53) Beisel, C. L., and Storz, G. (2011) The Base-Pairing RNA Spot 42 Participates in a Multioutput Feedforward Loop to Help Enact Catabolite Repression in Escherichia coli. *Mol. Cell* 41, 286–297.

(54) Mank, N. N., Berghoff, B. A., and Klug, G. (2013) A mixed incoherent feed-forward loop contributes to the regulation of bacterial photosynthesis genes. *RNA Biol.* 10, 347–352.

(55) Purnick, P. E. M., and Weiss, R. (2009) The second wave of synthetic biology: from modules to systems. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 10, 410–422.