# The cooling phase of Type I X-ray bursts observed with *RXTE* in 4U 1820–30 does not follow the canonical $F \propto T^4$ relation

Federico García,<sup>1 $\star$ †</sub> Guobao Zhang<sup>2</sup> and Mariano Méndez<sup>2</sup></sup>

<sup>1</sup>Instituto Argentino de Radioastronomía (CCT La Plata, CONICET), C.C.5, (1894) Villa Elisa, Buenos Aires, Argentina <sup>2</sup>Kapteyn Astronomical Institute, University of Groningen, PO Box 800, NL-9700 AV Groningen, the Netherlands

Accepted 2012 December 7. Received 2012 November 9; in original form 2012 September 12

# ABSTRACT

We analysed the complete set of bursts from the neutron star low-mass X-ray binary 4U 1820–30 detected with the *Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer (RXTE)*. We found that all are photospheric radius expansion bursts, and have similar duration, peak flux and fluence. From the analysis of time-resolved spectra during the cooling phase of the bursts, we found that the relation between the bolometric flux and the temperature is very different from the canonical  $F \propto T^4$  relation which is expected if the apparent emitting area on the surface of the neutron star remains constant. The flux–temperature relation can be fitted using a broken power law, with indices  $v_1 = 2.0 \pm 0.3$  and  $v_2 = 5.72 \pm 0.06$ . The departure from the  $F \propto T^4$  relation during the cooling phase of the X-ray bursts in 4U 1820–30 could be due to changes in the emitting area of the neutron star while the atmosphere cools down, variations in the colour-correction factor due to chemical evolution, or the presence of a source of heat, e.g. residual hydrogen nuclear burning, playing an important role when the burst emission ceases.

Key words: stars: individual: 4U 1820-30 - stars: neutron - X-rays: binaries - X-rays: bursts.

#### **1 INTRODUCTION**

Accreting neutron stars (NSs) in low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) show episodes of unstable thermonuclear burning of hydrogen and helium on their surfaces (e.g. Lewin, van Paradijs & Taam 1993; Strohmayer & Bildsten 2006; Galloway et al. 2008). These episodes are called Type I X-ray bursts. Although the vast majority of the energy released in LMXBs originates in the accretion process, capable of radiating  $\sim$ 300 MeV per accreted baryon, the unstable fusion process ( $\leq$ 5 MeV per nucleon) during an X-ray burst can increase the luminosity of these objects by a factor of  $\sim 10$  in about 0.5–5 s. After that fast rise, the X-ray flux decreases again exponentially within 10-100 s. The typical total energy emitted during an X-ray burst is  $\sim 10^{39}$  erg. Some of these bursts are strong enough to reach the Eddington luminosity. In those cases, the radiation pressure is high enough to trigger the expansion of the outer layers of the NS atmosphere, in a so-called photospheric radius expansion (PRE) burst (Basinska et al. 1984). The hypothesis that the bursts originate at the NS surface is supported by the fact that the inferred emission area during the bursts matches the expected surface area of a standard NS (i.e.  $\sim 400 \text{ km}^2$ ), if a symmetric cooling phase is assumed (Fryxell & Woosley 1982; Bildsten 1995; Spitkovsky, Levin & Ushomirsky

\*E-mail: fgarcia@iar-conicet.gov.ar †Fellow of CONICET. 2002; Strohmayer & Bildsten 2006). This emitting area can be estimated from the time-resolved spectral analysis during the cooling phase of the bursts.

The X-ray bursting LMXB 4U 1820-30 is an ultracompact X-ray binary, with an orbital period of 11.4 min (Stella, White & Priedhorsky 1987), consisting of a NS and a hydrogen-exhausted white dwarf of 0.06–0.08 M<sub>☉</sub> (Rappaport et al. 1987). 4U 1820–30 belongs to the globular cluster NGC 6624, which is located at a distance of 7.6  $\pm$  0.4 kpc (Kuulkers et al. 2003). Thermonuclear X-ray bursts in this LMXB were discovered by Grindlay et al. (1976). The source also displays kilohertz quasi-periodic oscillations (Smale, Zhang & White 1997; Zhang et al. 1998). Until 2007 June, five Type I X-ray bursts - all PRE bursts - were detected by the Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer (RXTE) (Galloway et al. 2008). From timeresolved spectra of three of these five bursts, Güver et al. (2010) found that, during the cooling phase, the bolometric flux was proportional to the temperature to the fourth power, and from this they estimated the mass and radius of the NS in 4U 1820-30. Strohmayer & Brown (2002) also discovered a superburst in this source, presumably due to the burning of a deep carbon layer. In order to explain the characteristics of these X-ray bursts, Cumming (2003) developed models of a NS accreting He-rich material.

In this paper, we study the complete sample of X-ray bursts, 16 in total, in 4U 1820–30 detected with *RXTE*. We explore the properties of these bursts and we focus on their behaviour during the cooling phase. Finally, we compare our results with those from

Zhang, Méndez & Altamirano (2011) on the cooling phase of 4U 1636–56, and with recent NS atmosphere models developed by Suleimanov et al. (2011).

## 2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

We analysed all archival data from the RXTE Proportional Counter Array (PCA) of 4U 1820-30. These observations cover the period from 1996 May to 2011 April. The PCA detector consists of five collimated proportional counter units (PCUs) sensitive in the 2-60 keV energy range. In order to detect the X-ray bursts in the data set, we generated 1-s time-resolution light curves from the Standard 1 mode (data packed in 0.125-s time-resolution bins without energy resolution) and we analysed each light curve to search for three consecutive points that were  $8\sigma$  above the average count rate of the observation. We have chosen this value to distinguish real bursts from fluctuations in the persistent emission. We confirmed each burst detection by inspecting all the Standard 1 light curves in the whole data set by eye. We used Standard 2 mode data (16 s time resolution and 129 energy channels) to produce X-ray colours of the source, following the procedure described in Zhang et al. (2009). We selected four energy bands: A = 2.0-3.5 keV, B = 3.5-6 keV, C = 6.0-9.7 keV and D = 9.7-16.0 keV interpolating in channel space, and we defined soft and hard colours as count rate ratios, SC = B/A and HC = D/C, respectively. We also defined the X-ray intensity, I<sub>X</sub>, as the 2.0-16.0 keV count rate. The count rates in each energy band were normalized using the PCA data of the Crab source obtained close in time to our observations.

To study in detail each detected X-ray burst, we used the PCA event mode data, E\_125us\_64M\_0\_1s, where data are binned in 64 energy channels in the 2-60 keV energy range, with 125 µs time resolution. For the time-resolved analysis of the bursts, we extracted spectra using the 64 channels in time intervals going from 0.125 s at the peak to 1-2 s at the tail of the burst. We corrected each spectrum for dead time using the methods supplied by the *RXTE* team<sup>1</sup> and we generated response matrix files for the PCUs active during each observation containing bursts. We fitted the data using XSPEC version 12.7.0 (Arnaud 1996), assuming a 0.5 per cent systematic error, as suggested by the *RXTE* calibration team.<sup>2</sup> For all time-resolved spectra (250 in total) we fitted the data from 2.5 to 20 keV. An artefact in the binning scheme of the event mode data leads to zero counts in one channel per PCU unit (Jahoda et al. 1996). This is normally accounted for in the response matrix files. We found, however, that, if only one PCU was on during an observation, a single channel with zero counts disrupted the fits. In our case, this happened in a handful of spectra in which only PCU2 was on, and channel 11 had zero counts. For this reason we ignored channel 11 ( $E \sim 4$  keV) in all our spectra. We ended up with 25 channels in the 2.5-20 keV range for each spectrum. We extracted an  $\sim 100$  s spectrum from the persistent emission before and after each burst and then used this, independently, as background for the burst spectra, following the standard procedure in X-ray burst analysis (e.g. Kuulkers et al. 2002). We fitted the spectra using a blackbody model (bbodyrad) times a component that accounts for the interstellar absorption towards the source (tbabs) fixing the hydrogen column density,  $N_{\rm H}$ . The model for the interstellar absorption uses the abundances of Wilms, Allen & McCray (2000)

and the cross-sections of Verner et al. (1996). The spectral model consists of two free parameters: the blackbody temperature,  $kT_{bb}$ , in keV units (where k is the Boltzmann constant) and the blackbody normalization,  $N_{bb} = (R_{bb}/d_{10})^2$ , where  $R_{bb}$  is the blackbody radius in km and  $d_{10}$  is the distance to the source in units of 10 kpc. These parameters allow us to estimate the evolution of the bolometric flux during the bursts using, e.g. equation (3) of Galloway et al. (2008),  $F = 0.001\ 076N_{bb}(kT_{bb})^4\ 10^{-8}\ {\rm erg\ cm^{-2}\ s^{-2}}$ .

This procedure fails if the blackbody observed during the burst comes from the same blackbody that produces the persistent emission before the burst, because the difference between two blackbody spectra is not a blackbody (van Paradijs & Lewin 1986). However, in practice, the deviations from a Planckian distribution become important only if the net emission is weak. Therefore, this issue may be important only at the very beginning or at the tail of the bursts.

To test whether using the parameters of the fitting of the net spectrum instead of the total spectrum - which includes modelling the persistent emission during the burst and subtracting the instrumental background - could affect our final results, we proceeded as follows: we fitted the persistent spectrum before each burst using an absorbed blackbody plus a power-law model. Then, for the bursting time, we fixed the power-law index and normalization to the best-fitting values obtained for the persistent emission and we fitted only the blackbody parameters. The results from this procedure are consistent with those we obtained by fitting the net spectrum within errors, using the persistent emission either before or after each burst as background. To study whether possible variations in the persistent emission during the bursting time could change our results, we also fitted the spectra leaving free the power-law component. The results of this analysis are fully consistent within the errors with those found by fitting the net spectra. We also evaluated the contribution of the persistent emission to the net spectra during bursting time; we found that, for every spectrum, the blackbody contributes at least 25 per cent of the total emission in the 2.5-20 keV energy band and in 75 per cent of the cases the blackbody contribution in this energy range is larger than 50 per cent. In the rest of this work, we show the results from the standard procedure.

In all spectra, the number of counts per channel in the source and in the background is high enough to justify the use of minimum  $\chi^2$  (Pearson 1900) to find the best-fitting parameters. Therefore, in contrast to Güver et al. (2010), we did not rebin the spectra in energy. (We note also that the original binning scheme of the event mode data that we used in the spectral analysis oversamples the intrinsic spectral resolution of the PCA by a factor of 1–3, depending on the energy, and hence rebinning is neither required nor desirable.) For the sake of comparison, we also fitted all the spectra using the C statistics defined by Cash (1979), which is an XSPEC implementation of the maximum-likelihood estimate for the case of data following Poisson statistics. We found consistent results with both methods, and therefore here we report the results from the minimum  $\chi^2$ method. In the plots, we show the 1 $\sigma$  errors ( $\Delta \chi^2 = 1$ ).

#### **3 RESULTS**

#### 3.1 X-ray burst detection and colour analysis

We identified 16 short bursts (20-30 s), and the presence of one superburst (>3000 s), previously reported by Strohmayer & Brown (2002) in all the *RXTE* archival data. In Table 1 we summarize the main properties of the X-ray bursts. The starting time of the bursts was defined when a continuous increase in the PCA count rate persisted for at least 0.25 s within each detected burst (see

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/xte/whatsnew/deadtime.html <sup>2</sup> http://www.universe.nasa.gov/xrays/programs/rxte/pca/doc/rmf/ pcarmf-11.7/

Table 1.	X-ray	bursts	in 4	4U	1820 -	-30	detected	with	RXTE.
----------	-------	--------	------	----	--------	-----	----------	------	-------

	Observation ID	Starting time (MJD)	Duration (s)	SC <sup>a</sup> (Crab)	HC <sup>a</sup> (Crab)	I <sub>X</sub> <sup>a</sup> (Crab)
†	20075-01-05-00	50570.73116038	~26	1.11(1)	0.91(1)	0.1263(3)
	30057-01-04-08G	51430.0461502	>3000 <sup>b</sup>	1.08(4)	0.80(4)	0.112(1)
†	40017-01-24-00	52794.73816848	$\sim 28$	1.19(2)	1.00(1)	0.0894(4)
t	70030-03-04-01	52802.07560482	$\sim 26$	1.15(2)	0.98(1)	0.0914(4)
†	70030-03-05-01	52805.89569741	$\sim 25$	1.19(1)	1.01(1)	0.1238(4)
t	90027-01-03-05	53277.43859093	$\sim 23$	1.09(1)	0.89(1)	0.1317(5)
	94090-01-01-02	54948.82125875	$\sim 28$	1.15(1)	0.98(1)	0.1130(4)
	94090-01-01-05	54950.70282704	$\sim 30$	1.22(2)	1.01(1)	0.1012(4)
	94090-01-02-03	54956.77474255	$\sim 25$	1.26(2)	1.09(2)	0.0949(4)
	94090-01-02-02	54958.73999718	$\sim 31$	1.27(2)	1.10(1)	0.0912(4)
	94090-01-04-00	54978.32150760	$\sim 30$	1.33(2)	1.11(2)	0.1052(5)
	94090-01-04-01	54978.49491038	$\sim 29$	1.30(2)	1.14(1)	0.1048(5)
	94090-01-05-00	54981.18730621	$\sim 26$	1.29(2)	1.12(1)	0.1130(5)
	94090-02-01-00	54994.53419278	$\sim 21$	1.18(1)	1.02(1)	0.1855(6)
	94090-02-01-00	54994.61302380	$\sim 22$	1.19(1)	0.99(1)	0.1905(7)
	96090-01-01-00G	55624.88068005	$\sim 25$	1.22(2)	1.01(1)	0.0940(4)
	96090-01-01-020	55626.77361987	$\sim 21$	1.11(1)	0.83(1)	0.1707(6)

<sup>*a*</sup>Soft/hard colours and intensity of the persistent emission just before the X-ray burst, in Crab units.

<sup>b</sup>Superburst (see Strohmayer & Brown 2002).

† X-ray bursts analysed by Güver et al. (2010).



**Figure 1.** Colour–colour diagram of all *RXTE* observations of 4U 1820–30. The grey points correspond to the whole set of observations with 256 s time resolution. The colour of the persistent emission previous to the 16 X-ray bursts is indicated with the red crosses. The colour of the persistent emission previous to the superburst is indicated with a blue star. The soft and hard colours are in Crab units.

Section 2), while the ending time was defined as the time when the burst count rate returned to within  $3\sigma$  of the flux of the persistent emission before the onset of the burst. We also show in the table the burst duration – the difference between the ending and starting times – and the soft and hard colours and the intensity of the persistent emission before each burst, derived from the Standard 2 mode data of the PCA.

Figs 1 and 2, respectively, show the position of the source in the colour–colour and hardness–intensity diagrams before each burst. No bursts were detected for a persistent HC < 0.8 or for  $I_X > 0.2$  Crab, where the source is bright and soft and the accretion rate is likely high (Hasinger & van der Klis 1989).



**Figure 2.** Hardness–intensity diagram of all *RXTE* observations of 4U 1820–30. The symbols are the same as in Fig. 1. The intensity and hard colour are in Crab units.

## 3.2 Time-resolved spectral analysis of the X-ray bursts

In Fig. 3 we show the results of the fits to one of the X-ray bursts detected in 4U 1820–30. Because all the bursts in our data set are very similar, we only show one of them as an example to illustrate the observed burst characteristics and the analysis process. In the top panel, we present the Standard 1 high-time-resolution light curve, starting 1 s before the onset of the burst. When the burst ignites, the light curve shows a very high and narrow peak lasting for 1–2 s. After that feature, the count rate starts to increase again reaching a maximum of ~5000 counts s<sup>-1</sup> in 2–3 s, and finally it decays exponentially until the persistent count rate is recovered in 15–25 s. In the next four panels, we present the evolution of the best-fitting parameters  $kT_{bb}$  and  $N_{bb}$ , the bolometric flux derived from the blackbody model and the reduced  $\chi^2$  residuals of the best-fitting model for 23 degrees of freedom, respectively. The distribution of the best-fitting  $\chi^2$  of the 250 spectra is consistent with the expected



**Figure 3.** The X-ray burst in 4U 1820–30 detected in ObsID 96090-01-01-00G. The panels from the top to bottom correspond to the Standard 1 mode (0.125 s time resolution) X-ray light curve (starting 1 s before the burst detection), blackbody temperature,  $kT_{bb}$ , normalization,  $N_{bb}$ , bolometric flux, *F*, and reduced  $\chi^2$  for 23 degrees of freedom of the best fit to the time-resolved spectra (starting from the onset of the burst), with  $1\sigma$  error bars. The vertical dotted line indicates the touchdown point, which defines the starting of the cooling phase of the PRE burst.

 $\chi^2$  distribution. A Kolmogorov–Smirnov test gives a probability of 0.09, which is not enough to disprove the hypothesis that the bestfitting  $\chi^2$  are drawn from the expected  $\chi^2$  distribution for 23 degrees of freedom. During the spectral fitting, we considered two different values for the hydrogen column density, following Costantini et al. (2012) and Güver et al. (2010):  $N_{\rm H} = 0.16$  and  $0.25 \times 10^{22}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. The best-fitting spectral parameters obtained for these column densities are fully consistent with each other, because in the 2.5–20 keV energy band, absorption is not very significant. From now on, we show results obtained assuming  $N_{\rm H} = 0.25 \times 10^{22}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>.

At the beginning of the burst, the blackbody temperature,  $kT_{bb}$ , starts at ~3 keV and decreases rapidly, while the blackbody normalization,  $N_{bb}$ , which is proportional to the inferred emitting area, increases very fast. Then,  $kT_{bb}$  starts to increase again as  $N_{bb}$  decreases, until the touchdown point is reached. At this point, the  $N_{bb}$ is minimum and the temperature is maximum. Thus, we define this time as the start of the cooling phase. This common behaviour seen in all bursts indicates that they are all PRE. The high and narrow peak observed in the Standard 1 light curves at the start of the burst (Keek 2012) is due to the fact that the temperature decreases enough to move the Planckian flux distribution to the soft band, outside the energy range where the PCA is sensitive (Tawara, Hayakawa & Kii 1984; in't Zand & Weinberg 2010).

In order to study the properties of the whole sample of bursts, we analysed the behaviour of four parameters inferred from the X-ray analysis with respect to the position of the bursts in the colour–colour diagram. These parameters are: the temperature,  $kT_{\text{peak}}$ , and flux,  $F_{\text{peak}}$ , at the touchdown point, the fluence, B, defined as the total energy per unit area emitted during the burst,  $B = \int F(t)dt$ , and the decay time-scale,  $\tau$ , obtained from the fit of an exponential function to the flux during the cooling phase of the burst. We divided the bursts in two groups, based on the hard colour of the persistent emission at the time of the burst: HC > 1.05 and HC < 1.05. The statistical analysis of both subsamples shows that both populations are indistinguishable through these parameters (see also in't Zand et al. 2012).

#### 3.3 Flux-temperature relation during the cooling phase

In Fig. 4 we explore the relation between the bolometric flux, F, and the blackbody temperature,  $kT_{bb}$ , during the cooling phase of all the busts. The diagonal lines in the plot represent lines of constant radius, R = 4, 6 and 8 km, respectively, assuming a distance d =7.6 kpc to the source. Besides the fact that the dispersion is high at low flux levels, all bursts follow the same trend in this diagram (the spread in the plot is consistent with the statistical errors of the flux and temperature). Since the flux-temperature relation of all bursts is consistent with being the same, we binned the data sorted by flux into 25 points, and we calculated the weighted average of the flux and temperature within each bin. We also binned the data sorted by temperature, and we used different binning factors, but in all cases we obtained consistent results. In Fig. 5 we plot the flux-temperature relation for the rebinned data. From this plot it is apparent that the cooling phase of the X-ray bursts in 4U 1820-30 does not follow the expected  $F \propto (kT_{\rm bb})^4$  relation for an ideal blackbody of constant emitting area. Fitting the data with a single power law,  $F \propto (kT_{bb})^{\nu}$ , gives  $\nu = 4.95 \pm 0.03$ , but the fit is not good, with  $\chi^2 = 499$  for 23 degrees of freedom. A broken power law fits the data better (although formally it is not a good fit), with  $\chi^2 = 44$  for 21 degrees of freedom, resulting in power-law indices



**Figure 4.** Merged plot of the cooling phase of the 16 X-ray bursts of 4U 1820–30 detected with *RXTE*. The three diagonal lines are lines of constant radius, corresponding, respectively, to three blackbodies of R = 4, 6 and 8 km, assuming a distance of 7.6 kpc to the source. We show the typical error bars for three different bolometric flux levels at the right-hand side of the plot.



**Figure 5.** Same data as in Fig. 4, rebinned into 25 points. The data are fitted by a broken power law with indices  $v_1 = 2.0 \pm 0.3$  and  $v_2 = 5.72 \pm 0.06$  above and below the break, respectively. The break is at  $kT_{bb} = 2.43 \pm 0.02$  keV.

before and after the break,  $v_1 = 2.0 \pm 0.3$  and  $v_2 = 5.72 \pm 0.06$ , respectively, and a break at  $kT_{\rm bb} = 2.43 \pm 0.02$  keV (see Fig. 5).

We also studied the flux-temperature relation dividing the bursts into two groups: HC > 1.05 and HC < 1.05, as in the previous subsection. After rebinning both sets of data into 25 points, we plotted their respective cooling phases and confirmed that both curves are consistent within errors, which lends support to the idea that the full sample of bursts in 4U 1820–30 is homogeneous.

# **4 DISCUSSION**

We present, for the first time, a homogeneous analysis of the cooling phase of all the thermonuclear X-ray bursts detected with RXTE in the ultracompact LMXB 4U 1820-30. The sample contains 16 PRE bursts with a duration of 20-30 s, all of them detected when the source was in the hard state or in the transition from the hard to the soft state, at relatively low luminosity. The touchdown temperatures, bolometric peak fluxes, fluences and time-scales of the exponential cooling tails are consistent with being the same in all bursts in the sample, supporting the idea that the bursts in 4U 1820-30 are very similar between them. Furthermore, contrary to what is expected for a constant-radius blackbody, the cooling phase of the X-ray bursts does not follow the  $F \propto (kT_{\rm bb})^4$  relation. In fact, the relation between the bolometric flux and the blackbody temperature is fitted better by a broken power law of indices  $2.0 \pm 0.3$  and  $5.72 \pm 0.06$ than with a single power law. The observed deviation from the  $F \propto$  $(kT_{bb})^4$  relation complicates the use of the cooling phase of X-ray bursts to infer the mass and radius of the NS in 4U 1820-30.

The global trend that we found for the cooling phase of the X-ray bursts in 4U 1820–30 is similar to that of the hard non-PRE bursts in 4U 1636–53 (Zhang et al. 2011). In both cases, the bolometric flux decays faster than what is expected for a blackbody with constant radius. This is reflected by the value of the power-law index ( $v_2 = 5.72 \pm 0.06$ ) that best fits the cooling phase of the bursts below  $kT_{bb} \sim 2.43$  keV (see Fig. 5), and also in the best-fitting value for a single power law ( $v = 4.95 \pm 0.03$ ) to the whole cooling-phase data. Taking into account the whole set of bursts in 4U 1820–30 observed with *RXTE*, there is no region of the *F* versus  $kT_{bb}$  diagram that can be fitted by a power law with an index equal to 4. Our results are at variance with those of Güver et al. (2010), who analysed five bursts from 4U 1820–30 observed with

RXTE (indicated with the † symbol in Table 1). Güver et al. (2010) showed that during the cooling phase, three of these bursts followed the relation  $F \propto (kT_{bb})^4$ , whereas they discarded the other two bursts because, according to their own explanation, these bursts showed significant and continuous fluctuations in the apparent radius. The three bursts analysed by Güver et al. (2010) are included in Fig. 4, where it is apparent that none of the bursts in 4U 1820-30 follows the  $F \propto (kT_{\rm bb})^4$  relation, even in the narrow flux range (1.0–6.0)  $\times 10^{-8}$  erg cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> that Güver et al. used. We fitted a power law to these three bursts in this flux range obtaining an index of  $4.8 \pm 0.16$  (1 $\sigma$  error;  $\chi^2 = 15$  for 16 degrees of freedom), which is significantly different from 4. Fitting a power law to the whole sample of bursts in the same flux range gives an index of 4.68  $\pm$ 0.08 (1 $\sigma$  error;  $\chi^2 = 162$  for 98 degrees of freedom). We cannot explain the difference between our results and those of Güver et al. (2010), given that, as described in Section 2, we analysed the data as they did. We note, however, that from our analysis, all bursts in 4U 1820–30 observed with RXTE are consistent with following the same trend in the flux-temperature diagram during the cooling phase, not only including the three bursts studied by Güver et al., but also those two bursts that they discarded.

The departure from the  $F \propto (kT_{bb})^4$  relation during the cooling phase of the X-ray bursts in 4U 1820–30 could be due to three different – but not mutually exclusive – reasons: (i) the non-constancy of the true emitting area of the NS during this phase; (ii) changes of the colour-correction factor,  $f_c = T_{bb}/T_{eff}$ , which accounts for the hardening of the spectrum due to (Compton) electron scattering in the NS atmosphere (London, Taam & Howard 1986) during the cooling phase; and (iii) the presence of a source of heat that increases the observed temperature, e.g. residual hydrogen nuclear burning during the cooling phase of the bursts. A final possibility is that the real underlying spectra are not blackbodies.

If  $f_c$  remains constant during the cooling phase, variations in  $R_{bb}$  could be explained by changes in the true emitting area of the NS. In that case, if a hotspot is formed away from the rotation pole, it should be possible to observe burst oscillations (see e.g. Strohmayer & Bildsten 2006). However, no oscillations have been detected in the bursts of 4U 1820–30 (Galloway et al. 2008; Watts 2012). Alternatively, if the emitting area does not change, variations in  $f_c$  could explain these differences. In terms of the spectral parameters, the colour-correction factor can be expressed as

$$f_{\rm c} = \frac{T_{\rm bb}}{T_{\rm eff}} = \sqrt{\frac{R_{\infty}}{R_{\rm bb}}} = \sqrt{\frac{R_{\infty}}{d\sqrt{\frac{F}{\sigma}T_{\rm bb}^4}}} = \sqrt{\frac{R(1+z)}{d\sqrt{\frac{Fk^4}{\sigma}}}} kT_{\rm bb},$$
(1)

where  $R_{\infty}$  is the NS radius seen by a distant observer, z is the gravitational redshift at the surface of the NS, d is the distance to the source, R is the radius of the NS, and  $\sigma$  is the Stefan–Boltzmann constant.

In Fig. 6 we show the plot of the inferred  $f_c$  as a function of the luminosity in Eddington units. In the plot, we obtained the colour-correction factor from the spectral parameters, assuming R = 14 km, z = 0.19 and d = 7.6 kpc. To estimate the luminosity in Eddington units, we assumed that the flux reaches the Eddington limit at the touchdown point in each burst, and further that the emission is isotropic. Hence, we averaged the peak flux of the 16 bursts, obtaining  $F_{\rm Edd} = 6 \times 10^{-8}$  erg cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. In the plot we also show the theoretical models of  $f_c$  from Suleimanov et al. (2011) that correspond to atmospheres with three different chemical compositions: pure hydrogen, solar abundance and pure helium, respectively. Starting at near-Eddington luminosities, as the flux decreases, the inferred  $f_c$  slightly decreases until it reaches a



**Figure 6.** Average colour-correction factor,  $f_c$ , as a function of luminosity in Eddington units for the 16 X-ray bursts in 4U 1820–30. The points correspond to the whole sample of bursts in 25 bins, using the data in Fig. 5 and equation (1). The dashed lines correspond to models of  $f_c$  for three different chemical compositions of the NS atmosphere (Suleimanov et al. 2011), indicated in the legend.

minimum value  $f_c \sim 1.4$  close to  $L/L_{Edd} = 0.6$ . After that, the inferred colour-correction factor starts to increase again, doing so even faster for  $L/L_{Edd} < 0.2$ , in the cooling tail of the bursts, close to the level of the persistent emission, where the errors in the fitted spectral parameters become important and the models are less accurate. Besides the fact that the models do not fit the data well, which prevents us from constraining the atmosphere composition, our results are incompatible with a solar-type atmosphere because the data do not show any dip at  $L/L_{Edd} < 0.2$ . At the beginning of the cooling, the data are consistent with models with He-rich composition, which agrees with the chemical composition of the accreted material (Rappaport et al. 1987) deduced from the properties of the white dwarf companion. Moreover, the shape of  $f_c$  in this plot is similar to that of the hard non-PRE bursts in 4U 1636–53 (Zhang et al. 2011).

We note also that the distribution of  $kT_{bb}$  at constant bolometric flux levels broadens close to the tail of the bursts (Fig. 4). This could be due to differences in the underlying emission coming from the NS surface. This emission can be due to persistent accretion playing an important role when the burst emission ceases, or due to the burning of a small residual fraction of hydrogen, not fully burned before the touchdown, during the tail of the bursts. Hydrogen burning is slower than helium burning because the  $\beta$  decay within the nuclear chain is driven by a weak force, thus having a longer time-scale than the triple- $\alpha$  process. The possibility that this source of heat operates during the cooling phase of the X-ray bursts (Cumming 2003) could explain the power-law index larger than 4 that best fits the relation between the flux and the blackbody temperature.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the anonymous referee for constructive comments that helped improve the paper. FG was supported by a fellowship from COSPAR and the Kapteyn Astronomical Institute. FG thanks the staff from the Kapteyn Astronomical Institute for their kind hospitality during his fruitful visit to the Institute. This research has made use of data obtained from the High Energy Astrophysics Science Archive Research Center (HEASARC), provided by NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center. This research made use of NASA's Astrophysics Data System.

#### REFERENCES

- Arnaud K. A., 1996, in Jacoby G. H., Barnes J., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 101, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems V. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 17
- Basinska E. M., Lewin W. H. G., Sztajno M., Cominsky L. R., Marshall F. J., 1984, ApJ, 281, 337
- Bildsten L., 1995, ApJ, 438, 852
- Cash W., 1979, ApJ, 228, 939
- Costantini E. et al., 2012, A&A, 539, A32
- Cumming A., 2003, ApJ, 595, 1077
- Fryxell B. A., Woosley S. E., 1982, ApJ, 261, 332
- Galloway D. K., Muno M. P., Hartman J. M., Psaltis D., Chakrabarty D., 2008, ApJS, 179, 360
- Grindlay J., Gursky H., Schnopper H., Parsignault D. R., Heise J., Brinkman A. C., Schrijver J., 1976, ApJ, 205, L127
- Güver T., Wroblewski P., Camarota L., Özel F., 2010, ApJ, 719, 1807
- Hasinger G., van der Klis M., 1989, A&A, 225, 79
- in't Zand J. J. M., Weinberg N. N., 2010, A&A, 520, A81
- in't Zand J. J. M., Homan J., Keek L., Palmer D. M., 2012, A&A, 547, A47
- Jahoda K., Swank J. H., Giles A. B., Stark M. J., Strohmayer T., Zhang W., Morgan E. H., 1996, in Siegmund O. H., Gummin M. A., eds, Proc. SPIE Vol. 2808, EUV, X-Ray, and Gamma-Ray Instrumentation for Astronomy VII. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 59
- Keek L., 2012, ApJ, 756, 130
- Kuulkers E., Homan J., van der Klis M., Lewin W. H. G., Méndez M., 2002, A&A, 382, 947
- Kuulkers E., den Hartog P. R., in't Zand J. J. M., Verbunt F. W. M., Harris W. E., Cocchi M., 2003, A&A, 399, 663
- Lewin W. H. G., van Paradijs J., Taam R. E., 1993, Space Sci. Rev., 62, 223
- London R. A., Taam R. E., Howard W. M., 1986, ApJ, 306, 170
- Pearson K., 1900, Phil. Mag. Ser. 5, 50, 302
- Rappaport S., Ma C. P., Joss P. C., Nelson L. A., 1987, ApJ, 322, 842
- Smale A. P., Zhang W., White N. E., 1997, ApJ, 483, L119
- Spitkovsky A., Levin Y., Ushomirsky G., 2002, ApJ, 566, 1018
- Stella L., White N. E., Priedhorsky W., 1987, ApJ, 315, L49
- Strohmayer T., Bildsten L., 2006, in Lewin W. H. G., van der Klis M., eds, Compact Stellar X-Ray Sources. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, p. 113
- Strohmayer T. E., Brown E. F., 2002, ApJ, 566, 1045
- Suleimanov V., Poutanen J., Revnivtsev M., Werner K., 2011, ApJ, 742, 122
- Tawara Y., Hayakawa S., Kii T., 1984, PASJ, 36, 845
- van Paradijs J., Lewin H. G., 1986, A&A, 157, L10
- Verner D. A., Ferland G. J., Korista K. T., Yakovlev D. G., 1996, ApJ, 465, 487
- Watts A. L., 2012, ARA&A, 50, 609
- Wilms J., Allen A., McCray R., 2000, ApJ, 542, 914
- Zhang W., Smale A. P., Strohmayer T. E., Swank J. H., 1998, ApJ, 500, L171
- Zhang G., Méndez M., Altamirano D., Belloni T. M., Homan J., 2009, MNRAS, 398, 368
- Zhang G., Méndez M., Altamirano D., 2011, MNRAS, 413, 1913

This paper has been typeset from a  $T_EX/IAT_EX$  file prepared by the author.