1	Generation of lactose and protease positive probiotic Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus GG by
2	conjugation with Lactococcus lactis NCDO 712
3	
4	Running title: Improvement of L. rhamnosus GG for growth in milk
5	
6	Nazar Hussain ^{a,b,c,*} , Ran Li ^{c*} , Timo M. Takala ^c , Muhammad Tariq ^{a,b} , Arsalan H. Zaidi ^{a,b} , Per E.J.
7	Saris ^{c#}
8	
9	^a National Probiotic Lab, (HBD), National Institute for Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
10	(NIBGE), Faisalabad 38000, Pakistan
11	^b Pakistan Institute of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Nilore 45650, Islamabad, Pakistan
12	^c Department of Microbiology, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 56, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland
13	*Nazar Hussain and Ran Li contributed equally to this work. Author order was determined by
14	drawing straws.
15	
16	[#] Corresponding author: Per E.J. Saris, Department of Microbiology, University of Helsinki, P.O.
17	Box 56, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland. E-mail: per.saris@helsinki.fi
18	
19	Keywords: Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus GG, lactose, protease, conjugation, probiotic

20 Abstract

Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus GG (LGG) is the most studied probiotic bacterium in the world. It 21 is used as a probiotic supplement in many foods, including various dairy products. However, LGG 22 grows poorly in milk, as it neither metabolizes the main milk carbohydrate lactose, nor degrades 23 the major milk protein casein effectively. In this study, we made L. rhamnosus GG lactose and 24 protease positive by conjugation with the dairy *Lactococcus lactis* strain NCDO 712 carrying the 25 26 lactose-protease plasmid pLP712. A lactose hydrolyzing transconjugant colony was obtained on 27 agar containing lactose as the sole source of carbohydrates. By microscopic analysis and PCR with LGG- and pLP712-specific primers, the transconjugant was confirmed to be originated from LGG, 28 29 and to carry the plasmid pLP712. The transconjugant was named L. rhamnosus LAB49. Isolation of plasmids revealed that not only pLP712, but also other plasmids had been transferred from L. 30 lactis into LGG during conjugation. With plasmid-specific PCR primers, four additional 31 lactococcal plasmids were detected in LAB49. Proteolytic activity assay and SDS-PAGE analysis 32 33 verified that L. rhamnosus LAB49 effectively degraded β -case in. In contrast to its parental strain LGG, the ability of LAB49 to metabolize lactose and degrade casein enabled strong and fast 34 growth in milk. As strains with new properties made by conjugation are not regarded as GMOs, L. 35 *rhamnosus* LAB49 could be beneficial in dairy fermentations as a probiotic starter culture. 36

37 Importance

38 Probiotic strain Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus GG (LGG) is widely sold on market as a probiotic or added as supplement in dairy foods because of its benefits in human health. However, due to 39 the deficiency of lactose and casein utilization, LGG does not grow well in milk. On the other 40 hand, lactose intolerance and cow's milk protein allergy are the two major problems related to 41 milk consumption. One option to help with these two conditions is the use of probiotic or lactose 42 and casein hydrolyzing bacteria in dairy products. The purpose of this study was to equip LGG 43 with lactose/casein hydrolyzing ability by bacterial conjugation. As a result, we generated a non-44 GMO LGG derivative with improved properties and better growth in milk. 45

46 INTRODUCTION

Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus GG (LGG; ATCC 53103) is a well characterized probiotic strain 47 widely used in various foods (1, 2). LGG was originally isolated from feces of healthy human (3), 48 and over the last three decades, it has been extensively studied for its probiotic properties and 49 impact on human health (4, 5). The strain has strong adhesion ability to the intestinal mucosa, and 50 51 it has been used for prevention and treatment of diarrhea, gastrointestinal infections, and to boost 52 up the host immune responses against allergic reactions (6). LGG is documented to maintain a good microbial balance of bacteria in the human gut by preventing the growth of harmful bacteria 53 (7). 54

Lactococcus lactis subsp. *cremoris* NCDO 712 is a dairy strain originally isolated from cheese
starter culture. The strain carries six plasmids, namely pSH71 (2.1 kb), pSH72 (3.6 kb), pSH73
(8.7 kb), pSH74 (15.5 kb), pNZ712 (49.8 kb) and pLP712 (55.4 kb) (8). Plasmid pLP712 provides *L. lactis* NCDO 712 the ability to grow in milk, as it contains the gene encoding serine protease
PrtP for casein degradation, as well as the genes for lactose catabolism (Fig. 1) (9, 10).

60 Bovine milk contains about 4.8% lactose, while human milk contains up to 7% lactose (11). Lactose is an important energy source for breast-fed human infants, constituting around 40% of 61 the total daily energy intake (12). After weaning, around 70% of the world population experiences 62 63 a decline in lactose hydrolyzing enzyme activity in small intestine due to genetic factors, leading to lactose intolerance (13-15). Besides lactose intolerance, cow's milk protein allergy (CMPA) is 64 a common food allergy with an estimated prevalence of 2%-3% worldwide (16). One option to 65 reduce the symptoms of lactose intolerance and CMPA is the use of probiotic or lactose and/or 66 casein hydrolyzing bacteria in dairy products (11, 17). These bacteria increase the overall 67 hydrolytic capacity of the small intestine that promotes the lactose digestion (18). In addition, 68

bacteria in fermented products can decrease the lactose concentration and increase active lactase enzyme entering the intestine (19). LGG is used as a probiotic supplement in various dairy products, such as fermented and pasteurized milk, cheese and yogurt. However, LGG does not grow well in milk (20, 21), due to the lack of its capacity to hydrolyze lactose and casein, the main nutrients in milk (22-24). The inability to grow in milk limits the possible uses of LGG as a starter strain.

75 The properties of probiotics can be modified and improved by genetic engineering. LGG has been made lactose positive by fixing the two mutations in its lactose operon (25). Even though the 76 resulting lactose hydrolyzing LGG did not carry foreign genetic material, the strain is regarded as 77 78 genetically modified organism (GMO) in the EU, because it was made by using recombinant DNA techniques. The EU legislation considers relevant "the way" the modification was performed. Use 79 of GMOs in food is heavily regulated and not popular among consumers in the EU (26-28). 80 Therefore, modifications and improvements of food bacteria should be performed by non-GMO 81 82 transformation methods, which include e.g., transduction and conjugation of natural phages, plasmids, or transposons (28). Regarding lactic acid bacteria, the lactose-protease plasmid pLP712 83 84 in L. lactis NCDO 712 has been transferred into lactose deficient strains by conjugation (29). Even 85 though conjugation can occur between different bacterial species and even between different 86 genera, pLP712 has only been transferred within the species L. lactis. Regarding LGG, the only 87 published study about conjugation is the unsuccessful transfer of vancomycin resistance between LGG and enterococci (30). There are no reports about effective conjugation in LGG. 88

In this study, we generated proteolytic and lactose positive LGG strain by conjugation of the
lactose-protease plasmid pLP712 from *L. lactis*. The resulting transconjugant strain *L. rhamnosus*

- 91 LAB49 effectively hydrolyzed lactose and β -casein, and it grew well in milk. Hence, *L. rhamnosus*
- 92 LAB49 can be regarded as an upgraded food-grade and non-GMO derivative of LGG.

93 MATERIALS AND METHODS

94 **Bacterial strains and growth media**

Lactococcus lactis subsp. *cremoris* strain NCDO 712 carrying the lactose-protease plasmid
pLP712 (Fig. 1) (9) was used as the plasmid donor strain, and the lactose negative *Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus* strain GG (LGG; ATCC 53103) (3) was used as the recipient strain
in mating experiment. LGG was routinely cultured in Man, Rogosa, Sharpe (MRS; Merck,
Darmstadt, Germany) media at 37 °C. *L. lactis* NCDO 712 (9) was grown at 30 °C in M17 media
supplemented with 0.5% (w/v) glucose.

101 Conjugation

102 L. lactis NCDO 712 and LGG were mated for the transfer of the conjugative plasmid pLP712. 103 Prior to conjugation, both strains were grown in their respective media overnight. From each culture 1.5 ml were centrifuged ($4300 \times g$), the cells were washed with 1.5 ml of 0.85% NaCl, and 104 resuspended in 1.5 ml MRS broth. Donor NCDO 712 (75 µl) and recipient LGG (750 µl) 105 suspensions were added into 3 ml MRS broth. The mixture was incubated for 4 h at 37 °C. Then, 106 107 200 µl of broth was spread in triplicate onto transconjugant selective agar made of modified MRS 108 (mMRS; MRS without glucose and meat extract) supplemented with 1% lactose, 100 µg/ml vancomycin, and 50 µg/ml bromocresol purple (BCP). Vancomycin prevents the growth of 109 Lactococcus, whereas LGG does not utilize lactose. Fermenting of lactose produces lactic acid, 110 111 which lowers the pH of the media and thus changes the color of BCP from purple to yellow. The plates were incubated for 24-48 h at 37 °C in an anaerobic jar. Obtained colonies were streaked 112 onto new selective agar plates to obtain pure cultures, and further cultured and maintained in 113 mMRS broth media with 1% lactose. Transconjugant strain was preserved in respective media 114 containing 20% (v/v) glycerol and stored in cryovials at -80 °C. 115

116 Confirmation of conjugation: Strain and plasmid-specific PCR analysis

117 Transconjugant strain was confirmed by colony PCR using primers specific to L. rhamnosus GG (31, 32) or pLP712 (Table 1). The LGG-specific spaC primers amplify an 801 bp fragment from 118 the pilus gene *spaC*. The other LGG-specific primer pair (A and B) amplify a 757 bp fragment 119 containing the hypothetical conserved protein gene LGG 00154. Physion High-Fidelity DNA 120 polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was used for PCR amplification. The 121 122 reaction mixture (25 µl) contained 20 pmol of each primer, Phusion HF Buffer, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 123 0.5 U Phusion DNA polymerase, and cells from single colony as template. PCR amplifications were performed in Eppendorf Mastercycler (Hamburg, Germany) using the following thermal 124 125 cycling profile: initial denaturation 98 °C for 30 s, 35 cycles of 98 °C for 10 s, 60 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for either 30 s (LGG specific PCR) or 60 s (pLP712 specific PCR), and a final extension 126 step of 72 °C for 5 min. PCR products (5 μ l) were separated by electrophoresis in 1% (w/v) agarose 127 gel containing 0.5 µg/ml ethidium bromide, and the DNA was visualized by ChemiDoc™ MP 128 129 Imaging System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The size markers used were 100 bp and 1 kb GeneRuler DNA ladder (Thermo Fisher Scientific). 130

131 Plasmid analyses and identifications

Plasmid DNA was isolated from *Lacticaseibacillus* strains according to the method by Anderson and McKay (1983) (33) with modifications described by Wan et al. (34). Isolated DNA was run in agarose gel to analyze the plasmid profile. The size marker used was 1 kb GeneRuler DNA ladder (Thermo Fisher Scientific). PCR primers specific to different *L. lactis* NCDO 712 plasmids were used to identify the conjugated plasmids (Table 1). The primer sequences were obtained from the study by Tarazanova et al. (8). As the PCR with the published primers to detect pSH74 did not work, new pSH74 specific primers were designed. PCR conditions and cycles were the same as above; the extension time at 72 °C was 1 min for amplicons from plasmids pNZ712 and pSH71
(primers *repAC*-FW/RV), 30 s for pSH72 and pSH73, and 20 s for pSH74.

141 Plasmid stability

To evaluate the segregational stability of pLP712 in *L. rhamnosus* LAB49 without selection pressure by lactose, fresh culture was sequentially inoculated in MRS broth at 0.1% inoculum and incubated at 37 °C for 16 h. After every passage, up to 10 passages (approximately 100 generations) in the absence of lactose, the cultures were serially diluted and plated onto MRS agar. Next day, on average 80 single colonies were randomly picked and transferred onto mMRSlactose-BCP agar plates and checked for their lactose fermentation ability after incubation for 24 h at 37 °C.

149 **Protease activity assays**

Proteolytic activity was determined by using two different assays. Lactose negative LAB49 colonies from the plasmid stability test were examined for protease activity by an agar halo method based on Vandenberg et al (35). First, white Lac⁻ colonies from mMRS-lactose-BCP plates were transferred into MRS broth and incubated o/n at 37 °C. Then, three µl of the cultures were spotted on the indicator agar made of mMRS without triammonium citrate but supplemented with 2% skim milk. The indicator plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Hydrolysis of milk casein can be seen as a clear halo around the bacterial spot.

To show the actual casein degradation, another protease activity assay was performed according to the method described by Vukotic et al. with slight modifications (36). Protease production was induced by culturing the cells on milk citrate agar plates [containing 4.4% reconstituted milk, 0.1% yeast extract, 0.8% sodium citrate, 0.5% glucose, and 1.5% agar (w/v)] for 48 hours at 30 °C. The 161 cells were resuspended in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), and adjusted to approximately 10⁹ CFU/ml. β-casein (~24 kDa) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) solution (5 mg/ml) was 162 prepared by dissolving in same buffer. Cell suspension was mixed with β -case solution at a ratio 163 1:1 (v/v) and incubated for 4 h at 30 $^{\circ}$ C, after which the cells were removed by centrifugation at 164 13 000 \times g for 10 min. Clear supernatant was used for SDS-PAGE analysis. The supernatant was 165 166 mixed with sample loading buffer (125 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 4% SDS, 0.01 mM EDTA, 5% 2mercaptoethanol, 25% glycerol, and 0.07% bromophenol blue) at a ratio 1:1 (v/v) and heated at 167 100 °C for 5 minutes. Heated samples were loaded 10 µl/well and the gel (15%) was run for 20 h 168 at 20 mA. Same concentration of β -case in solution without cell treatment was used as a reference 169 to compare the bands. To confirm that β -case in was degraded by the activity of a protease, 170 phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), a protease inhibitor (2 mM), was added in β -casein 171 solution and cell suspension mixture before overnight incubation in a separate experiment. 172

173 Growth in milk

174 To perceive the difference between the growth of the two strains in milk, LAB49 and LGG were pre-cultured for 20 h in milk (4.8% lactose, Valio Ltd., Helsinki, Finland), or milk with 2% 175 176 glucose, respectively. After that, 10 ml of milk was seeded (1.5% inoculum) with the pre-cultures. 177 Inoculated milks were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h, during which samples were taken every hour from 1 to 12 h, and at 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, and 24 h to determine the CFU/ml by plate counting. 178 Growth rate was expressed as generation time (GT), which was derived by using the equation GT 179 180 = t/n, where t = time interval in minutes, and n = number of generations, calculated with the formula n = (logb - logB)/log2, where B = number of bacteria at the beginning of the time interval, 181 182 and b = number of bacteria at the end of the time interval (37). Milk coagulation was observed visually. Sterilized milk without bacterial inoculums was used as negative control. 183

185 **RESULTS**

186 Conjugation of pLP712 into L. rhamnosus GG

187 Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus GG is a lactose negative strain. The first aim in this work was to make it lactose positive by conjugal transfer of the lactose-protease plasmid pLP712 from 188 Lactococcus lactis NCDO 712. As LGG is endogenously resistant to vancomycin, whereas NCDO 189 712 is sensitive, transconjugants were selected on agar plates containing vancomycin and lactose 190 as selective agents, supplemented with BCP indicating acid production from lactose. 191 192 Approximately 15 vancomycin resistant, lactose hydrolyzing yellow colonies appeared on each selective agar plates, giving a transfer frequency of approximately 4×10^{-8} progeny per recipient. 193 One colony was chosen for closer analyses. By phase contrast microscopy it was seen that the 194 195 chosen strain was rod-shaped bacteria forming long chains, typical to L. rhamnosus GG. The strain was streaked on selective agar plate to confirm its lactose utilization capacity (Fig. 2). Wild-type 196 LGG did not change the purple color of the agar by acid production, due to the lack of lactose 197 digesting ability. The transconjugant changed the color from purple to yellow, due to lactic acid 198 production by lactose fermentation. The putative transconjugant was named L. rhamnosus LAB49. 199 Molecular techniques were applied to confirm that LAB49 was a derivative of L. rhamnosus GG 200 201 and that it contained pLP712. Two pairs of previously published strain-specific PCR primers for 202 identifying LGG were used. Both primer pairs gave amplifications of expected size (801 bp and 203 757 bp) from LGG and the transconjugant, but not from L. lactis (Fig. 3). The presence of the 204 lactose-protease plasmid pLP712 in LAB49 was then examined with lactococcal prtP-specific 205 primers. Correct 2.5 kb bands were amplified from LAB49 and L. lactis NCDO 712, but not from

LGG (Fig. 3). These results confirmed that the transconjugant undoubtedly originated from LGG,
and that the plasmid pLP712 had successfully been conjugated from its native carrier *L. lactis*NCDO 712 into LGG.

209 Identification of plasmids in *L. rhamnosus* LAB49

To visualize the plasmid pLP712 in the transconjugant, plasmids of L. rhamnosus LAB49 were 210 isolated and compared to the plasmid profiles of LGG and L. lactis NCDO 712. In the results of 211 212 plasmid electrophoresis, there were no plasmid bands from the wild type LGG, as anticipated, and 213 only one band representing the chromosomal DNA could be seen in gel (Fig. 4, lane 3). As also 214 expected based on previous studies (9) plasmid isolation from NCDO 712 resulted in several plasmid bands of different sizes, representing the six plasmids of the strain (Fig. 4, lane 2). 215 216 Interestingly, the transconjugant strain LAB49 also carried many plasmids, obviously both large 217 and small, as many bands were seen in plasmid gel (Fig. 4, lane 1). Apparently, conjugation of 218 pLP712 had mobilized other plasmids, which were co-transferred to L. rhamnosus GG without 219 any selection pressure. To identify, which plasmids had been transferred, PCR with plasmid 220 specific primers was conducted. Four additional lactococcal plasmids were found and identified to 221 be pSH71 (2062 bp), pSH72 (3597 bp), pSH73 (8663 bp), and pNZ712 (49.83 kb) (Fig. 5). Hence, 222 of all six plasmids present in NCDO 712, five were transferred by conjugation, and only the plasmid pSH74 (15.52 kb) could not be detected in LAB49. 223

224 Stability of the plasmid pLP712 in LAB49

The stability of pLP712 in *L. rhamnosus* LAB49 under non-selective conditions was determined. LAB49 was grown in MRS broth containing 2% glucose for about 100 generations, and the lactose utilization was tested at about every 10 generations. After 90 generations only 2% of the cells retained the lactose fermenting ability, and after 100 generations all tested colonies (n=80) were lactose negative (Fig. 6A). The results indicated that the plasmid pLP712 is either easily lost in *L. rhamnosus* LAB49 without selection pressure by lactose, or that the plasmid easily makes
rearrangements causing mutations or deletion of lactose genes. To verify this, 20 lactose negative
colonies were examined for protease activity on 2% milk agar. All the tested colonies showed clear
proteolytic activity, indicating that the LAB49 cells still carried the gene *prtP* and hence the
plasmid pLP712, but the lactose genes in the plasmid had been mutated or deleted (Fig. 6B).

235

236 **Proteolytic activity**

As L. rhamnosus GG does not effectively degrade β-casein, we tested whether the lactococcal PrtP 237 protease encoded by the gene *prtP* in the plasmid pLP712 would make LGG proteolytically more 238 239 active. To test the phenotype, proteolytic activity assay was performed for digestion of β -casein. 240 The protease production was first induced on milk citrate agar, after which the cells were incubated with β -case n. SDS-PAGE analysis confirmed that β -case n was fully digested in four hours by 241 LAB49 and NCDO 712, but not at all by LGG (Fig. 7). When the protease inhibitor PMSF was 242 243 added to cell-case in mixture, β -case in was not degraded by any strain even after 16 h incubation. This verified that the observed casein degradation was indeed a result of a protease activity, 244 obviously by the lactococcal protease PrtP. In conclusion, the ability of L. rhamnosus LAB49 to 245 246 hydrolyze casein showed that the plasmid conjugation had made the strain proteolytic, and that LAB49 could probably degrade proteins in milk, thus improving its growth. 247

248 Growth in milk

Next, as LAB49 utilized lactose and casein, we wanted to determine whether these propertiesimprove the growth in milk, compared to LGG. As shown in Fig. 8, the transconjugant *L*.

rhamnosus LAB49 grew well in milk, reaching stationary phase (approximately 10⁹ CFU/ml) in 251 11-12 hours after inoculation. Its strongest exponential growth phase took place about 2-4 hours 252 after inoculation, and a generation time calculated at that 2-h time period was about 40 minutes. 253 254 During the first 4 h time period from the inoculation, there were 4.6 generations, giving a single generation time of 52 minutes. As expected, the parental strain LGG could not properly grow in 255 milk, and thus its generation time was not calculated. Apparent coagulation of milk with LAB49 256 started in a few hours after inoculation, and the milk was fully coagulated after 12 h incubation. 257 Quite the opposite, LGG-milk was still liquid after 24 h at 37 °C (results not shown). To sum up, 258 259 the new abilities to metabolize lactose and degrade casein enabled good growth of LAB49 in milk.

260 Discussion

Lactose and casein are the main nutrients in milk. The most studied probiotic lactic acid bacterium in the world, *L. rhamnosus* GG, grows poorly in milk, as it is not able to hydrolyze lactose or casein. In this study, we have given LGG the ability to thrive in milk by transferring the lactoseprotease plasmid pLP712 from *L. lactis* NCDO 712 via conjugation. With the aid of pLP712, the LGG transconjugant strain LAB49 could hydrolyze both lactose and casein, and thus the transconjugant grew considerably better in milk than the parental LGG.

The plasmid pLP712 has earlier been transferred by conjugation only into other strains of L. lactis 267 (39, 40). Here we present the intergeneric conjugation of pLP712. Transfer frequency (4×10^{-8}) 268 progeny per recipient) from L. lactis to L. rhamnosus was somewhat lower than what has been 269 described with conjugation of pLP712 between L. lactis strains (about 10⁻⁷ progeny per recipient) 270 271 (9, 38). Successful conjugation of LGG has not been reported before, as the only published trial 272 has been the unsuccessful transfer of vancomycin resistance from LGG to *Enterococcus* (30). This 273 result cannot be regarded as a disappointment, as it emphasizes the safety of LGG. Vancomycin 274 resistance in LGG is a chromosomally encoded property, and thus it is not easily transferred 275 horizontally. However, mobile DNA elements, such as conjugative plasmids, may also mobilize 276 chromosomal genes (41). Consequently, it is possible that the plasmids in LAB49 could mobilize the chromosomal D-alanine-D-alanine ligase gene ddlA, presumably behind the intrinsic 277 vancomycin resistance. Still, most if not all of the naturally vancomycin resistant lactobacilli carry 278 279 endogenous mobile DNA elements, transposases, insertion sequences and conjugative plasmids (42). Even though it has been suggested that probiotics may spread vancomycin resistance (43), 280 281 their mobile DNA elements have not been shown to trigger mobilization of the *ddlA* gene and transfer vancomycin resistance, and thus such an incident could be considered unlikely. 282

283 Instead, properties encoded by plasmids, including those in non-conjugative plasmids, are much more likely to be transferred. In our work here, three non-conjugative plasmids, namely pSH71, 284 285 pSH72, and pSH73 were mobilized with the conjugative plasmids pLP712 and pNZ712. Transfer of pNZ712 can, however, also be considered as co-conjugation, as there was no selection pressure 286 for its transfer. Non-conjugative plasmids without selection pressure for their transfer have been 287 288 shown to co-transfer easily with conjugative plasmids. For instance, in the study by Barry et al. (40), non-conjugative plasmids were transferred along with the conjugative ones in all 143 289 290 conjugation trials made. Thus, mobilization of non-transmissible plasmids seems to be a common 291 phenomenon. Interestingly, the only plasmid not transferred from L. lactis NCDO 712 into LGG, was pSH74 carrying the spa genes for a pilus structure (8). Both NCDO 712 and LGG carry similar 292 pili (44). Even though it has been shown that the NCDO 712 pilus contributes the conjugation 293 efficiency, its precise function in DNA exchange is not known. Regarding LGG, its pili are 294 295 associated to its probiotic nature, e.g. adhesive interactions with intestinal epithelial cells (45). The 296 fact that the conjugated plasmids have provided several new features to LAB49 compared to LGG, may raise a concern about the probiotic capacity of LAB49. Hence, it would be good to investigate 297 whether LAB49 still holds the probiotic activity of LGG, e.g. the adherence to epithelial cells. 298

Many studies have reported the structural instability of the plasmid pLP712, particularly occurring during conjugation (10, 46). The deletions and DNA rearrangements in pLP712 seem to happen spontaneously, often resulting in lactose or protease deficient transconjugants. Apparently, the deletions are caused by the numerous insertion sequence elements and transposase genes present in the plasmid (Fig. 1). Different ways for lactose gene deletions by transposases in pLP712 have been described previously (10). Despite the recognized structural instability, pLP712 has been reported to be segregationally stable in *L. lactis*. As shown in the study by O'Sullivan et al. (39), 306 after 75 generations in non-selective medium, no loss of pLP712 was observed in L. lactis transconjugant, as confirmed by plasmid isolations. In the present study here, the lactose 307 metabolism of the transconjugant LAB49 was stable when the strain was grown with lactose, but 308 without the selection pressure by lactose, the capacity to utilize lactose was completely gone in 309 about 100 generations. However, when examining the proteolytic activity of the lactose negative 310 311 colonies after 100 generations in non-selective conditions, we found that all of them exhibited protease activity, indicating the presence of pLP712. The proteolytic halo observed in Fig. 6B 312 313 showed that the loss of lactose metabolism was not caused by plasmid disappearance, but rather the plasmid underwent structural changes resulting in mutations or deletion of lactose genes, 314 possibly in the way shown by Wegmann et al. (10). Our results hence confirmed the previous 315 findings that pLP712 is segregationally stable, but structurally unstable. Nevertheless, as long as 316 the strain is cultured with lactose, the selection pressure will ensure that the lactose genes are 317 functional. 318

319 For an unknown reason, LGG does not hydrolyze casein, which affects its growth in milk (44). The casein deficiency of LGG has been proposed to be caused by the lack of the gene 320 LC705_02680 encoding a subtilisin-like serine protease containing an LPXTG motif, present in 321 322 the proteolytic L. rhamnosus strain LC705 (44). However, LGG carries intact prtP and prtM genes 323 encoding subtilisin-like cell envelope serine protease and its maturation protein, respectively. The 324 proteins are identical (99% identity) with those of L. rhamnosus LC705, but only 74-78% identical with those encoded by pLP712. Thus, hypothetically, PrtP may be the responsible enzyme for 325 326 casein degradation in L. lactis, but not in L. rhamnosus, and in the latter the additional protease encoded by the gene LC705_02680 is needed for casein hydrolysis. On the other hand, it is not 327 known whether prtP or prtM are expressed in LGG. A possible reason for the LGG's inability to 328

degrade case in can be a fatal mutation in the intergenic *prt* promoter region. The *prt* promoters in 329 L. lactis and L. rhamnosus LC705 have been shown to be inducible in media with low peptide 330 concentrations and rich in partially hydrolyzed casein (44, 47). The DNA sequences of the prtMP 331 genes in LC705 and LGG are 98% identical, whereas the intergenic 284/285-bp regulatory region 332 is less identical, differing by 16 base pairs (94% identical). This suggests that the *prt* promoters in 333 334 LGG have mutated and may not be fully functional. It would require further studies to confirm whether the case of LGG is due to the lack of additional protease or a mutation in the 335 promoter region. Nevertheless, as shown in this study, heterologous lactococcal PrtP produced in 336 LGG restores its casein hydrolyzing phenotype. 337

338 LGG carries the genes *lacTEGF* for the lactose phosphotransferase system (44). Two mutations in the Lac-PTS operon make LGG lactose deficient: a nonsense mutation in the phospho- β -339 galactosidase gene *lacG*, and a 4-bp deletion creating a frameshift in the antiterminator gene *lacT*. 340 These mutations have been fixed by spontaneous mutagenesis with the help of a *lacT* expression 341 342 plasmid, resulting in lactose positive LGG (25). Because the strain was created by using a recombinant plasmid, even though absent in the resulting strain, it is regarded as a GMO in the 343 344 EU, and thus its use in food is strongly regulated and restricted as well as widely disliked by 345 consumers (26, 27). On the contrary, the lactose positive LGG generated in our work here is not 346 regarded as a GMO in the EU, because conjugation is an accepted non-GMO method for bacterial 347 strain modifications (28). This is because conjugation is not recombinant DNA technique, but it happens in nature between bacteria (48). Of course, to be regarded as a safe genetic modification, 348 349 the DNA to be conjugated must be endogenous DNA from food-grade bacteria, and it should not carry antibiotic resistance genes (49). All the six plasmids in L. lactis NCDO 712 fulfill these 350 criteria. 351

L. rhamnosus LAB49 grew well in milk and it also coagulated milk thoroughly, apparently due to proteolytic digestion of casein and lactic acid production from lactose. The growth of LAB49 in milk was also expressed as generation time, calculated to be about 40 minutes at its lowest in early log-phase, and 52 minutes during the first 4-h period. Similar generation time of LGG has previously been determined in MRS (3), being 45 minutes during the first four hours after inoculation. In this work, it was not meaningful to calculate generation time for LGG, as it did not grow properly in milk.

The phenotype of L. rhamnosus LAB49 showed that the properties of probiotic L. rhamnosus GG 359 can be modified by conjugation, as the transconjugant L. rhamnosus LAB49 could utilize lactose, 360 degrade casein and grow better in milk than the parental strain. Therefore, compared to LGG, L. 361 rhamnosus LAB49 has new alternative possibilities in dairy applications, for instance in helping 362 with the symptoms of lactose intolerance or CMPA. Probiotics, particularly lactobacilli and 363 bifidobacteria, have been used for prevention or treatment of CMPA among infants. The use of 364 wild type L. rhamnosus GG for CMPA in animal models has provided positive effects and 365 alleviated allergic reactions (50, 51). As shown in this paper, LAB49 hydrolyzes casein, and thus 366 it would be interesting to investigate the efficacy of L. rhamnosus LAB49 in reducing the 367 368 symptoms of CMPA.

369

370

371 Acknowledgment

Ran Li is the recipient of the CSC fellowship (China Scholarship Council, Grant. 201706910094).

373 **References**

374	1.	Doron S, Snydman DR, Gorbach SL. 2005. Lactobacillus GG: bacteriology and clinical
375		applications. Gastroenterol Clin N 34: 483-498.

- Silva M, Jacobus N, Deneke C, Gorbach S. 1987. Antimicrobial substance from a human
 Lactobacillus strain. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 31: 1231-1233.
- Gorbach SL, Goldin BR. 1989. *Lactobacillus* strains and methods of selection. US Patent
 US4839281A.
- 4. Kalliomäki M, Salminen S, Poussa T, Arvilommi H, Isolauri E. 2003. Probiotics and
- 381 prevention of atopic disease: 4-year follow-up of a randomised placebo-controlled trial.
- 382 Lancet 361: 1869-1871.
- 3835.Szajewska H, Mrukowicz JZ. 2001. Probiotics in the treatment and prevention of acute
- infectious diarrhea in infants and children: a systematic review of published randomized,

double-blind, placebo-controlled trials. J Pediatr Gastr Nutr 33: S17-S25.

- 386 6. Segers ME, Lebeer S. 2014. Towards a better understanding of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*387 GG-host interactions. Microb Cell Fact 13: 1-16.
- 388 7. Lee YK, Salminen S. 2009. Handbook of probiotics and prebiotics. John Wiley & Sons.
- 389 8. Tarazanova M, Beerthuyzen M, Siezen R, Fernandez-Gutierrez MM, de Jong A, van der
- 390 Meulen S, Kok J, Bachmann H. 2016. Plasmid complement of *Lactococcus lactis*
- 391 NCDO712 reveals a novel pilus gene cluster. PLoS One 11: e0167970.
- 392 9. Gasson MJ. 1983. Plasmid complements of *Streptococcus lactis* NCDO 712 and other
- lactic streptococci after protoplast-induced curing. J Bacteriol 154: 1-9.

394	10.	Wegmann U, Overweg K, Jeanson S, Gasson M, Shearman C. 2012. Molecular
395		characterization and structural instability of the industrially important composite
396		metabolic plasmid pLP712. Microbiology 158: 2936-2945.
397	11.	Oak SJ, Jha R. 2019. The effects of probiotics in lactose intolerance: A systematic
398		review. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 59:1675-1683.
399	12.	Grenov B, Briend A, Sangild PT, Thymann T, Rytter MH, Hother A-L, Mølgaard C,
400		Michaelsen KF. 2016. Undernourished children and milk lactose. Food Nutr Bull 37: 85-
401		99.
402	13.	Miller GD, Jarvis JK, McBean LD. 2006. Handbook of dairy foods and nutrition. CRC
403		press.
404	14.	Suchy FJ, Brannon PM, Carpenter TO, Fernandez JR, Gilsanz V, Gould JB, Hall K, Hui
405		SL, Lupton J, Mennella J. 2010. National Institutes of Health consensus development
406		conference: lactose intolerance and health. Ann Intern Med 152: 792-796.
407	15.	Liebert A, López S, Jones BL, Montalva N, Gerbault P, Lau W, Thomas MG, Bradman
408		N, Maniatis N, Swallow DM. 2017. World-wide distributions of lactase persistence
409		alleles and the complex effects of recombination and selection. Hum Genet 136: 1445-
410		1453.
411	16.	Sicherer SH. 2011. Epidemiology of food allergy. J Allergy Clin Immunol 127: 594-602.
412	17.	Qamer S, Deshmukh M, Patole S. 2019. Probiotics for cow's milk protein allergy: a
413		systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Eur J Pediatr 178: 1139-1149.
414	18.	Dhama K, K Latheef S, K Munjal A, Khandia R, A Samad H, MN Iqbal H, K Joshi S.
415		2016. Probiotics in curing allergic and inflammatory conditions-research progress and
416		futuristic vision. Recent Pat Inflamm Allergy Drug Discov 10: 105-118.

417	19.	He T, Priebe M, Zhong Y, Huang C, Harmsen H, Raangs G, Antoine JM, Welling G,
418		Vonk R. 2008. Effects of yogurt and bifidobacteria supplementation on the colonic
419		microbiota in lactose - intolerant subjects. J Appl Microbiol 104: 595-604.
420	20.	Liptáková D, Valík L, MedveĎová A. 2008. Characterization of the growth of
421		Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG in milk at suboptimal temperatures. J Food Nutr Res 47:
422		60-67.
423	21.	Samona A, Robinson R. 1994. Effect of yogurt cultures on the survival of bifidobacteria
424		in fermented milks. Int J Dairy Technol 47: 58-60.
425	22.	Ibarra A, Acha R, Calleja M-T, Chiralt-Boix A, Wittig E. 2012. Optimization and shelf
426		life of a low-lactose yogurt with Lactobacillus rhamnosus HN001. J Dairy Sci 95: 3536-
427		3548.
428	23.	Ceapa C, Lambert J, van Limpt K, Wels M, Smokvina T, Knol J, Kleerebezem M. 2015.
429		Correlation of Lactobacillus rhamnosus genotypes and carbohydrate utilization
430		signatures determined by phenotype profiling. Appl Environ Microbiol 81: 5458-5470.
431	24.	Douillard FP, Ribbera A, Järvinen HM, Kant R, Pietilä TE, Randazzo C, Paulin L, Laine
432		PK, Caggia C, von Ossowski I. 2013. Comparative genomic and functional analysis of
433		Lactobacillus casei and Lactobacillus rhamnosus strains marketed as probiotics. Appl
434		Environ Microbiol 79: 1923-1933.
435	25.	Heidtman MI, Merighi M, McCoy JM. 2015. Strains of Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG
436		capable of growth on lactose and the uses thereof. US Patent US20150044330A1.
437	26.	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. 2009. Directive 2009/41/EC
438		of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 May 2009 on the contained use of
439		genetically modified micro-organisms. Off J Eur Union 125: 75-97.

27. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. 2018. Commission Directive 440 (EU) 2018/350 of 8 March 2018 amending Directive 2001/18/EC of the European 441 Parliament and of the Council as regards the environmental risk assessment of genetically 442 modified organisms. Off J Eur Union 67: 30-45. 443 Börner RA, Kandasamy V, Axelsen AM, Nielsen AT, Bosma EF. 2019. Genome editing 28. 444 445 of lactic acid bacteria: opportunities for food, feed, pharma and biotech. FEMS Microbiol Lett 366: i30-i41 446 Gasson MJ. 1990. In vivo genetic systems in lactic acid bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev 7: 29. 447 43-60. 448 Tynkkynen S, Singh KV, Varmanen P. 1998. Vancomycin resistance factor of 449 30. Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG in relation to enterococcal vancomycin resistance (van) 450 genes. Int J Food Microbiol 41: 195-204. 451 de Vos WM, Palva A, Palva I, Reunanen J, Von Ossowski I, Satokari R, Vesterlund S, 31. 452 Kankainen M, Salusjärvi T, Tynkkynen S. 2013. Novel peptides and methods for 453 producing them. US Patent US20100196524A1. 454 32. Ahlroos T, Tynkkynen S. 2009. Quantitative strain - specific detection of Lactobacillus 455 rhamnosus GG in human faecal samples by real - time PCR. J Appl Microbiol 106: 506-456 514. 457 Anderson DG, Mckay LL. 1983. Simple and rapid method for isolating large plasmid 458 33. DNA from lactic streptococci. Appl Environ Microbiol 46: 549-552. 459 Wan X, Li R, Saris PE, Takala TM. 2013. Genetic characterisation and heterologous 460 34. expression of leucocin C, a class IIa bacteriocin from *Leuconostoc carnosum* 4010. Appl 461 Microbiol Biotechnol 97: 3509-3518. 462

- 463 35. Vandenberg DJC, Smits A, Pot B, Ledeboer AM, Kersters K, Verbakel JMA, Verrips
- 464 CT. 1993. Isolation, screening and identification of lactic-acid bacteria from traditional 465 food fermentation processes and culture collections. Food Biotechnol 7:189-205.
- 466 36. Vukotic G, Mirkovic N, Jovcic B, Miljkovic M, Strahinic I, Fira D, Radulovic Z, Kojic
- 467 M. 2015. Proteinase PrtP impairs lactococcin LcnB activity in *Lactococcus lactis*
- 468 BGMN1-501: new insights into bacteriocin regulation. Front Microbiol 6: 92.
- 469 37. Zierdt CH, Swan JC. 1981. Generation time and growth-rate of the human intestinal
 470 parasite *Blastocystis hominis*. J Protozool 28:483-485.
- 471 38. Gasson MJ, Davies FL. 1980. High-frequency conjugation associated with *Streptococcus*472 *lactis* donor cell aggregation. J Bacteriol 143: 1260-1264.
- 473 39. O'Sullivan DJ, Klaenhammer TR. 1998. Control of expression of LlaI restriction in
 474 *Lactococcus lactis*. Mol Microbiol 27: 1009-1020.
- 475 40. Barry KE, Wailan AM, Sheppard AE, Crook D, Vegesana K, Stoesser N, Parikh HI,
- 476 Sebra R, Mathers AJ. 2019. Don't overlook the little guy: An evaluation of the frequency
- 477 of small plasmids co-conjugating with larger carbapenemase gene containing plasmids.
- 478 Plasmid 103: 1-8.
- 479 41. Frost LS, Leplae R, Summers AO, Toussaint A. 2005. Mobile genetic elements: The
 480 agents of open source evolution. Nat Rev Microbiol 3:722-732.
- 481 42. Ceapa C, Davids M, Ritari J, Lambert J, Wels M, Douillard FP, Smokvina T, de Vos
- 482 WM, Knol J, Kleerebezem M. 2016. The variable regions of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*
- 483 genomes reveal the dynamic evolution of metabolic and host-adaptation repertoires.
- 484 Genome Biol Evol 8:1889-1905.

485	43.	Topcuoglu S, Gursoy T, Ovali F, Serce O, Karatekin G. 2015. A new risk factor for
486		neonatal vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus colonisation: bacterial probiotics. J Matern
487		Fetal Neonatal Med 28:1491-1494.
488	44.	Kankainen M, Paulin L, Tynkkynen S, von Ossowski I, Reunanen J, Partanen P, Satokari
489		R, Vesterlund S, Hendrickx AP, Lebeer S, de Keersmaecker SC, Vanderleyden J,
490		Hamalainen T, Laukkanen S, Salovuori N, Ritari J, Alatalo E, Korpela R, Mattila-
491		Sandholm T, Lassig A, Hatakka K, Kinnunen KT, Karjalainen H, Saxelin M, Laakso K,
492		Surakka A, Palva A, Salusjarvi T, Auvinen P, de Vos WM. 2009. Comparative genomic
493		analysis of Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG reveals pili containing a human-mucus binding
494		protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci 106: 17193-17198.
495	45.	Lebeer S, Claes I, Tytgat HLP, Verhoeven TLA, Marien E, von Ossowski I, Reunanen J,
496		Palva A, de Vos WM, De Keersmaecker SCJ, Vanderleyden J. 2012. Functional analysis
497		of Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG pili in relation to adhesion and immunomodulatory
498		interactions with intestinal epithelial cells. Appl Environ Microbiol 78:185-193.
499	46.	Gasson MJ, Swindell S, Maeda S, Dodd HM. 1992. Molecular rearrangement of lactose
500		plasmid DNA associated with high-frequency transfer and cell aggregation in
501		Lactococcus lactis 712. Mol Microbiol 6: 3213-3223.
502	47.	Marugg JD, van Kranenburg R, Laverman P, Rutten G, De Vos W. 1996. Identical
503		transcriptional control of the divergently transcribed <i>prtP</i> and <i>prtM</i> genes that are
504		required for proteinase production in Lactococcus lactis SK11. J Bacteriol 178: 1525-
505		1531.

506	48.	Toomey N, Monaghan Á, Fanning S, Bolton DJ. 2009. Assessment of horizontal gene
507		transfer in lactic acid bacteria-A comparison of mating techniques with a view to
508		optimising conjugation conditions. J Microbiol Methods 77: 23-28.
509	49.	Landete JM. 2017. A review of food-grade vectors in lactic acid bacteria: from the
510		laboratory to their application. Crit Rev Biotechnol 37: 296-308.
511	50.	Thang CL, Baurhoo B, Boye JI, Simpson BK, Zhao X. 2011. Effects of Lactobacillus
512		rhamnosus GG supplementation on cow's milk allergy in a mouse model. Allergy
513		Asthma Clin Immunol 7: 20.
514	51.	Basturk A, Isik İ, Atalay A, Yılmaz A. 2020. Investigation of the efficacy of
515		Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG in infants with cow's milk protein allergy: a randomised

516 double-blind placebo-controlled trial. Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins 12: 138-143.

517 Tables

Primers	Sequence 5' end to 3' end	Reference	Size	Use
spaC-FW	CCAAATTGGCAACAGACCTT	(31)	801 hn	LGG-
spaC-RV	GCCATCTGGTGCTTTTGTTT	(31)	801 Up	specific
GG-spec-A	CGCCCTTAACAGCAGTCTTC	(32)	757 hn	LGG-
GG-spec-B	GCCCTCCGTATGCTTAAACC	(32)	737 Op	specific
<i>prtP</i> -FW	CTCGAGGCTAGCTCGTTTGATTTAATTGTG	This study	2550 bp	pLP712
prtP-RV	CCAGAATTCGGGCCCTATTCTTCACGTTGTTTCCG			specific
<i>repAC</i> -FW	V CGTTTCTGAGACGTTTTAGCG	This study	1680 bp	pSH71
repAC-RV	AAATAAAAGCCCCCTTCGACT	This study		specific
pSH72-FW	GCTTTTTCGTTGGTTTGCTC	(9)	166 hn	pSH72
pSH72-RV	GCCCAAAATAGTGGGTTAGTG	(8)	400 bp	specific
pSH73-FW	TTTCAGTAGAAGGCCAAACAAC	(9)	803 hn	pSH73
pSH73-RV	TGCAAATTTATCTACAAAGGCTTG	(8)	803 Up	specific
pSH74-newFW	GTGGATGAACAAACAAAATACG	This study	105 hn	pSH74
pSH74-newRV	GGATTGTGTCGATTTGCTTTACGC	This study	195 op	specific
pNZ712-FW	CACTCTAGTTTCCTACCTTCGTTGCAAGC	(8)	1120 bp	pNZ712
pNZ712-RV	GCTATACTTATACGGAGGATTAGCACTGG			specific

TABLE 1 PCR primers used in this study



FIG 1 Plasmid pLP712. Genes relevant in this study are shown as arrows with different colours: lactose genes *lacR* and *lacABCDFEGX* are marked in green, protease and maturation genes *prtP* and *prtM* are marked in blue, replication and plasmid partitioning genes *repA*, *repC* and *parAB* are marked in red, and transposases are marked in grey. Other ORFs are marked as empty arrows with locus numbers or known gene abbreviations. The plasmid map was created by SnapGene® (Insightful Science, snapgene.com; GSL Biotech LLC, San Diego, CA, USA), and the sequence was extracted from NCBI GenBank database (accession number: FJ649478) (10).



FIG 2 Growth of LGG and LAB49 on mMRS-lactose-BCP plates. LGG, *L. rhamnosus* GG with no acid
production and colour change. LAB49, the transconjugant *L. rhamnosus* LAB49 has produced acid from
lactose, causing colour change from purple to yellow.



FIG 3 Verification of *L. rhamnosus* LAB49 as a derivative of *L. rhamnosus* GG, and the presence of
pLP712 in LAB49 by PCR with *spaC*-, LGG- and pLP712-specific primers. Lanes 1, 4, 7: *L. rhamnosus*LAB49; lanes 2, 5, 8: *L. rhamnosus* GG; lanes 3, 6, 9: *L. lactis* NCDO 712. Lanes 1-3, LGG-specific *spaC*primers amplifying 801-bp PCR product; lanes 4-6, LGG-specific primers amplifying 757-bp PCR product;
lanes 7-9, pLP712-specific primers amplifying 2.5 kb fragment of *L. lactis prtP* gene. M1, 100 bp
GeneRuler DNA ladder. M2, 1 kb GeneRuler DNA ladder.



568	FIG 4 Plasmid profiles of L. rhamnosus LAB49, L. lactis NCDO 712, and L. rhamnosus GG. Lanes: 1, L.
569	rhamnosus LAB49; 2, L. lactis NCDO 712; 3, L. rhamnosus GG. Chr, chromosomal DNA band; LAB49
570	and NCDO 712 carry several plasmids, whereas no plasmids can be seen in L. rhamnosus GG. M, 1 kb
571	GeneRuler DNA ladder.



FIG 5 Identification of plasmids by PCR from isolated DNA of L. rhamnosus LAB49, L. lactis NCDO 712, and L. rhamnosus GG. Lanes 1, 4, 7, 10, 13: L. rhamnosus LAB49 as PCR template; lanes 2, 5, 8, 11, 14: L. lactis NCDO 712 as PCR template; lanes 3, 6, 9, 12, 15: L. rhamnosus GG as PCR template. Plasmid specific primers were used to identify pSH71 (lanes 1-3), pSH72 (lanes 4-6), pSH73 (lanes 7-9), pNZ712 (lanes 10-12), and pSH74 (lanes 13-15). All plasmids were detected from NCDO 712, whereas pSH74 was missing in LAB49. No plasmids were detected from LGG. M1, 1 kb GeneRuler DNA ladder. M2, 100 bp GeneRuler DNA ladder.



В

A



FIG 6 A, Percentage of lactose positive *L. rhamnosus* LAB49 colonies as a function of generations grown
in non-selective conditions. LAB49 was cultured in MRS without lactose for 100 generations. Every 10
generations, colonies were tested for lactose utilization. LAB49 completely loses the capacity to ferment

lactose in 100 generations in non-selective conditions. B, Protease activity test for the lactose negative
LAB49 colonies. 1-5: lactose negative *L. rhamnosus* LAB49 grown for 100 generations in non-selective
media; 712: *L. lactis* NCDO712; 49: *L. rhamnosus* LAB49; LGG: *L. rhamnosus* GG. The clear zone around
spotted bacteria demonstrate proteolytic activity. The protease positive but lactose negative phenotype of
LAB49 indicates that the plasmid pLP712 is still present in the cells, and that pLP712 is segregationally
stable but structurally unstable.



FIG 7 Proteolytic activity assay (β-casein degradation). Lane 1, 2 and 3: β-casein incubated with *L. lactis*NCDO 712, *L. rhamnosus* LAB49, and *L. rhamnosus* GG, respectively; Lane 4: β-casein without bacterial
incubation; lanes 5, 6, and 7: β-casein and PMSF incubated with *L. lactis* NCDO 712, *L. rhamnosus* LAB49,
and *L. rhamnosus* GG, respectively; M: Protein Ladder-Mid-range molecular weight (10-180 kDa)



FIG 8 Growth of *L. rhamnosus* LAB49 and LGG in milk. LAB49 grows well and reaches
stationary phase in about 12 hours. The growth of LGG is poor. Error bars show standard deviation
of the mean from three parallel cultures.