393–409

doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddab023 Advance Access Publication Date: 30 January 2021 Association Studies Article

ASSOCIATION STUDIES ARTICLE

OXFORD

Genome-wide association study of circulating interleukin 6 levels identifies novel loci

Tarunveer S. Ahluwalia^{1,2,*,†}, Bram P. Prins³, Mohammadreza Abdollahi³, Nicola J. Armstrong⁴, Stella Aslibekyan⁵, Lisa Bain⁶, Barbara Jefferis⁷, Jens Baumert⁸, Marian Beekman⁹, Yoav Ben-Shlomo¹⁰, Joshua C. Bis¹¹, Braxton D. Mitchell¹², Eco de Geus^{13,14}, Graciela E. Delgado¹⁵, Diana Marek¹⁶, Joel Eriksson¹⁷, Eero Kajantie^{18,19}, Stavroula Kanoni²⁰, John P. Kemp^{21,22}, Chen Lu²³, Riccardo E. Marioni^{24,25}, Stela McLachlan²⁶, Yuri Milaneschi²⁷, Ilja M. Nolte³, Alexandros M. Petrelis²⁸, Eleonora Porcu²⁹, Maria Sabater-Lleal^{30,31}, Elnaz Naderi³, Ilkka Seppälä³², Tina Shah³³, Gaurav Singhal³⁴, Marie Standl⁸, Alexander Teumer^{35,‡}, Anbupalam Thalamuthu³⁶, Elisabeth Thiering^{8,37}, Stella Trompet^{38,39}, Christie M. Ballantyne⁴⁰, Emelia J. Benjamin^{41,42}, Juan P. Casas⁴³, Catherine Toben³⁴, George Dedoussis⁴⁴, Joris Deelen^{9,45}, Peter Durda⁴⁶, Jorgen Engmann³³, Mary F. Feitosa⁴⁷, Harald Grallert^{8,48}, Ann Hammarstedt⁴⁹, Sarah E. Harris^{25,50}, Georg Homuth⁵¹, Jouke-Jan Hottenga^{13,14}, Sirpa Jalkanen^{52,53}, Yalda Jamshidi⁵⁴, Magdalene C. Jawahar³⁴, Tine Jess⁵⁵, Mika Kivimaki⁵⁶, Marcus E. Kleber¹⁵, Jari Lahti^{57,58}, Yongmei Liu⁵⁹, Pedro Marques-Vidal^{60,61}, Dan Mellström¹⁷, Simon P. Mooijaart³⁹, Martina Müller-Nurasyid^{62,63,¶}, Brenda Penninx²⁷, Joana A. Revez^{6,||}, Peter Rossing^{1,64}, Katri Räikkönen⁵⁸, Naveed Sattar⁶⁵, Hubert Scharnagl⁶⁶, Bengt Sennblad^{30,67}, Angela Silveira³⁰, Beate St Pourcain^{22,68,69}, Nicholas J. Timpson²², Julian Trollor^{36,70}, CHARGE Inflammation Working Group³, Jenny van Dongen^{13,14}, Diana Van Heemst⁴⁰, Sophie Visvikis-Siest²⁸, Peter Vollenweider^{60,61}, Uwe Völker⁵², Melanie Waldenberger⁸, Gonneke Willemsen^{13,14}, Delilah Zabaneh⁷¹, Richard W. Morris⁷², Donna K. Arnett⁷³, Bernhard T. Baune^{74,75,76},

⁺Tarunveer S. Ahluwalia, http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7464-3354

[‡]Alexander Teumer, http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8309-094X

[¶]Martina Müller-Nurasyid, http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3793-5910 ^{||}Joana A. Revez, http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3204-5396

Received: December 2, 2020. Revised: December 2, 2020. Accepted: January 4, 2021

[©] The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Dorret I. Boomsma^{13,14}, Yen-Pei C. Chang¹², Ian J. Deary^{25,50}, Panos Deloukas^{20,77}, Johan G. Eriksson^{78,79}, David M. Evans^{21,22}, Manuel A. Ferreira⁶, Tom Gaunt^{80,81}, Vilmundur Gudnason^{82,83}, Anders Hamsten³⁰, Joachim Heinrich^{8,84,85}, Aroon Hingorani³³, Steve E. Humphries³³, J. Wouter Jukema^{39,86}, Wolfgang Koenig^{87,88,89}, Meena Kumari^{56,90}, Zoltan Kutalik^{16,91}, Deborah A. Lawlor^{80,81}, Terho Lehtimäki³², Winfried März^{15,66,92}, Karen A. Mather^{36,93}, Silvia Naitza²⁹, Matthias Nauck^{94,95}, Claes Ohlsson¹⁷, Jackie F. Price²⁶, Olli Raitakari^{96,97,98}, Ken Rice⁹⁹, Perminder S. Sachdev^{36,100}, Eline Slagboom^{9,45}, Thorkild I.A. Sørensen^{101,102}, Tim Spector¹⁰³, David Stacey¹⁰⁴, Maria G. Stathopoulou²⁸, Toshiko Tanaka¹⁰⁵, S. Goya Wannamethee⁷, Peter Whincup¹⁰⁶, Jerome I. Rotter¹⁰⁷, Abbas Dehghan¹⁰⁸, Eric Boerwinkle^{109,110}, Bruce M. Psaty^{11,111}, Harold Snieder³ and Behrooz Z. Alizadeh^{3,*}

¹Steno Diabetes Center Copenhagen, Gentofte DK2820, Denmark, ²Department of Biology, The Bioinformatics Center, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen DK2200, Denmark, ³Department of Epidemiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen 9700 RB, The Netherlands, ⁴Mathematics and Statistics, Murdoch University, Perth 6150, Australia, ⁵Department of Epidemiology, University of Alabama at Birmingham School of Public Health, Birmingham, Alabama 35233, USA, ⁶QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane 4006, Australia, ⁷Department of Primary Care & Population Health, UCL Institute of Epidemiology & Health Care, University College London, London NW3 2PF, UK, ⁸Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München—German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg 85764, Germany, ⁹Department of Biomedical Data Sciences, Section of Molecular Epidemiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden 2300 RC, The Netherlands, ¹⁰Population Health Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 2PS, UK, ¹¹Cardiovascular Health Research Unit, Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98101, USA, ¹²Department of Medicine, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21202, USA, ¹³Department of Biological Psychology, Behaviour and Movement Sciences, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam 1081 BT, The Netherlands, ¹⁴Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Amsterdam University Medical Center, Amsterdam 1105 AZ, The Netherlands, ¹⁵Vth Department of Medicine (Nephrology, Hypertensiology, Rheumatology, Endocrinology, Diabetology), Medical Faculty Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, Mannheim 68167, Germany, ¹⁶SIB Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Lausanne 1015, Switzerland, ¹⁷Department of Internal Medicine and Clinical Nutrition, Sahlgrenska Academy, Centre for Bone and Arthritis Research (CBAR), University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg 41345, Sweden, ¹⁸Chronic Disease Prevention Unit, National Institute for Health and Welfare, PO Box 30, Helsinki 00271, Finland, ¹⁹Hospital for Children and Adolescents, Helsinki University Central Hospital and University of Helsinki, Helsinki 00014, Finland, ²⁰William Harvey Research Institute, Barts & the London Medical School, Queen Mary University of London, London EC1M 6BQ, UK, ²¹The University of Queensland Diamantina Institute, The University of Queensland, Woolloongabba, Queensland 4102, Australia, ²²MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit, Population Health Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 2BN, UK, ²³Department of Biostatistics, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA 02118, USA, ²⁴Centre for Genomic and Experimental Medicine, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH4 2XU, UK, ²⁵Centre for Cognitive Ageing and Cognitive Epidemiology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH8 9JZ, UK, ²⁶Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH8 9AG, UK, ²⁷Department of Psychiatry, Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam 1081 HJ, The Netherlands, ²⁸Université de Lorraine, Inserm, IGE-PCV, Nancy 54000, France, ²⁹Istituto di Ricerca Genetica e Biomedica, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Monserrato (CA) 09042, Italy, ³⁰Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine Solna, Center for Molecular Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm 17176, Sweden, ³¹Unit of Genomics of Complex Diseases, Institut d'Investigació Biomèdica Sant Pau (IIB-Sant Pau), Barcelona 08041, Spain, ³²Department of Clinical Chemistry, Fimlab

Laboratories, and Finnish Cardiovascular Research Center-Tampere, Faculty of Medicine and Health Technology, Tampere University, Tampere 33520, Finland, ³³Institute of Cardiovascular Science, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, UK, ³⁴Discipline of Psychiatry, Adelaide Medical School, University of Adelaide, Adelaide 5005, Australia, ³⁵Institute for Community Medicine, University Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald 17475, Germany, ³⁶Centre for Healthy Brain Ageing, School of Psychiatry, University of New South Wales, Sydney 2052, Australia, ³⁷Division of Metabolic Diseases and Nutritional Medicine, Ludwig-Maximilians-University of Munich, Dr. von Hauner Children's Hospital, Munich 80337, Germany, ³⁸Department of Cardiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden 2300 RC, The Netherlands, ³⁹Section of Gerontology and Geriatrics, Department of Internal Medicine, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden 2333 ZA, The Netherlands, ⁴⁰Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030, USA, ⁴¹National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute's and Boston University's Framingham Heart Study, Framingham, MA 01702, USA, ⁴²Section of Cardiovascular Medicine and Preventive Medicine, Department of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA 02118, USA, ⁴³Massachusetts Veterans Epidemiology Research and Information Center (MAVERIC), VA Boston Healthcare System, Boston, MA 02130, USA, ⁴⁴Department of Nutrition-Dietetics, Harokopio University, Athens 17671, Greece, ⁴⁵Max Planck Institute for Biology of Ageing, Cologne 50931, Germany, ⁴⁶Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Larner College of Medicine, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT 05405, USA, ⁴⁷Division of Statistical Genomics, Department of Genetics, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110-1093, USA, ⁴⁸German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD), Neuherberg 85764, Germany, ⁴⁹The Lundberg Laboratory for Diabetes Research, Department of Molecular and Clinical Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy at the University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg SE-41345, Sweden, ⁵⁰Department of Psychology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH8 9JZ, UK, ⁵¹Interfaculty Institute for Genetics and Functional Genomics, University Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald 17475, Germany, ⁵²MediCity Research Laboratory, University of Turku, Turku 20520, Finland, ⁵³Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, University of Turku, Turku 20520, Finland, ⁵⁴Genetics Research Centre, Molecular and Clinical Sciences Institute, St George's University of London, London SW17 0RE, UK, ⁵⁵Department of Epidemiology Research, Statens Serum Institute, Copenhagen DK2300, Denmark, ⁵⁶Department of Epidemiology & Public Health, UCL Institute of Epidemiology & Health Care, University College London, London WC1E 7HB, UK, ⁵⁷Turku Institute for Advanced Studies, University of Turku, Turku 20014, Finland, ⁵⁸Department of Psychology and Logopedics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki 00014, Finland, ⁵⁹Department of Epidemiology and Prevention, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC 27157, USA, ⁶⁰Department of Internal Medicine, Lausanne University Hospital (CHUV), Lausanne 1011, Switzerland, ⁶¹University of Lausanne, Lausanne 1011, Switzerland, ⁶²IBE, Faculty of Medicine, Ludwig Maximilians University (LMU) Munich, Munich 81377, Germany, ⁶³Institute of Medical Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Informatics (IMBEI), University Medical Center, Johhanes Gutenberg University, Mainz 55101, Germany, ⁶⁴Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen DK2200, Denmark, ⁶⁵BHF Glasgow Cardiovascular Research Centre, Faculty of Medicine, Glasgow G12 8TA, UK, ⁶⁶Clinical Institute of Medical and Chemical Laboratory Diagnostics, Medical University of Graz, Graz 8036, Austria, ⁶⁷Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, National Bioinformatics Infrastructure Sweden, Science for Life Laboratory, Uppsala University, Uppsala 75124, Sweden, ⁶⁸Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics, Nijmegen XD 6525, The Netherlands, ⁶⁹Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud University, Nijmegen 6525 AJ, The Netherlands, ⁷⁰Department of Developmental Disability Neuropsychiatry, School of Psychiatry, University of New South Wales, Sydney 2031, Australia, ⁷¹Department of Genetics, Environment and Evolution, University College London Genetics Institute, London WC1E 6BT, UK, ⁷²Department of Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1UD, UK, ⁷³Dean's Office, College of Public Health, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40536, USA, ⁷⁴Department of Psychiatry, Melbourne Medical School, The University of Melbourne, Parkville 3000, Australia, ⁷⁵Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Muenster, Muenster 48149, Germany, ⁷⁶The Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health, The University of Melbourne, Parkville 3000, Australia, ⁷⁷Centre for Genomic Health, Queen Mary University of London, London EC1M 6BQ, UK, ⁷⁸National Institute for Health and Welfare, University of Helsinki, Helsinki 00014, Finland, ⁷⁹Department of General Practice and Primary Health Care, University of Helsinki, Helsinki 00014, Finland, ⁸⁰MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit at the University of Bristol, Bristol BS6 2BN, UK, ⁸¹Population Health Science, Bristol

Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 2BN, UK, ⁸²Icelandic Heart Association, Kópavogur 201, Iceland, ⁸³Faculty of Medicine, University of Iceland, Reykjavik 101, Iceland, ⁸⁴Institute and Clinic for Occupational, Social and Environmental Medicine, University Hospital, LMU Munich, Munich 81377, Germany, ⁸⁵Allergy and Lung Health Unit, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne 3010, Australia, ⁸⁶Durrer Center for Cardiogenetic Research, Amsterdam 1105 AZ, The Netherlands, ⁸⁷Deutsches Herzzentrum München, Technische Universität München, Munich 80636, Germany, ⁸⁸DZHK (German Centre for Cardiovascular Research), partner site Munich Heart Alliance, Munich 80336, Germany, ⁸⁹Institute of Epidemiology and Medical Biometry, University of Ulm, Ulm 89081, Germany, ⁹⁰Institute for Social and Economic Research, University of Essex, Colchester CO4 3SQ, Germany, ⁹¹University Center for Primary Care and Public Health, University of Lausanne, Lausanne 1010, Switzerland, ⁹²SYNLAB Academy, SYNALB Holding Deutschland GmbH, Mannheim 68163, Germany, ⁹³Neuroscience Research Australia, Sydney 2031, Australia, ⁹⁴Institute of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, University Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald 17475, Germany, ⁹⁵DZHK (German Center for Cardiovascular Research), partner site Greifswald, Greifswald 17475, Germany, ⁹⁶Centre for Population Health Research, University of Turku, Turku University Hospital, Turku 20520, Finland, ⁹⁷Research Centre of Applied and Preventive Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Turku, Turku 20520, Finland, ⁹⁸Department of Clinical Physiology and Nuclear Medicine, Turku University Hospital, Turku 20014, Finland, ⁹⁹Department of Biostatistics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA, ¹⁰⁰Neuropsychiatric Institute, Prince of Wales Hospital, Sydney 2031, Australia, ¹⁰¹Novo Nordisk Foundation Center For Basic Metabolic Research, Section of Metabolic Genetics, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen DK2200, Denmark, ¹⁰²Department of Public Health, Section on Epidemiology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen DK1014, Denmark, ¹⁰³Department of Twin Research and Genetic Epidemiology, King's College London, London SE1 7EH, UK, ¹⁰⁴MRC/BHF Cardiovascular Epidemiology Unit, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB1 8RN, UK, ¹⁰⁵Longitudinal Study Section, Translational Gerontology Branch, National Institute on Aging, Baltimore, MD 21224, USA, ¹⁰⁶Population Health Research Institute, St George's, University of London, London SW17 0RE, UK, ¹⁰⁷Department of Pediatrics, The Institute for Translational Genomics and Population Sciences, The Lundquist Institute at Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, CA 90502, USA, ¹⁰⁸Department of Epidemiology, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam 3000 CA, The Netherlands, ¹⁰⁹Human Genetics Center, School of Public Health, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX 77030, USA, ¹¹⁰Human Genome Sequencing Center, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030, USA and ¹¹¹Departments of Epidemiology and Health Services, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98101, USA

*To whom correspondence should be addressed at: Steno Diabetes Center Copenhagen, Gentofte, and Department of Biology, The Bioinformatics Center, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark. Email: tarun.veer.singh.ahluwalia@regionh.dk (Tarunveer S. Ahluwalia); Department of Epidemiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands. Email: b.z.alizadeh@umcg.nl (Behrooz Z. Alizadeh)

Abstract

Interleukin 6 (IL-6) is a multifunctional cytokine with both pro- and anti-inflammatory properties with a heritability estimate of up to 61%. The circulating levels of IL-6 in blood have been associated with an increased risk of complex disease pathogenesis. We conducted a two-staged, discovery and replication meta genome-wide association study (GWAS) of circulating serum IL-6 levels comprising up to 67 428 ($n_{discovery} = 52\,654$ and $n_{replication} = 14\,774$) individuals of European ancestry. The inverse variance fixed effects based discovery meta-analysis, followed by replication led to the identification of two independent loci, IL1F10/IL1RN rs6734238 on chromosome (Chr) 2q14, ($P_{combined} = 1.8 \times 10^{-11}$), HLA-DRB1/DRB5 rs660895 on Chr6p21 ($P_{combined} = 1.5 \times 10^{-10}$) in the combined meta-analyses of all samples. We also replicated the *IL6R* rs4537545 locus on Chr1q21 ($P_{combined} = 1.2 \times 10^{-122}$). Our study identifies novel loci for circulating IL-6 levels uncovering new immunological and inflammatory pathways that may influence IL-6 pathobiology.

Interleukin 6 (IL-6) is a multifunctional cytokine, which is involved in a wide range of immunomodulatory processes, from cellular migration and adhesion to proliferation and maturation (1,2). Interleukins are involved in immune cell differentiation and activation (3). IL-6 is synthesized by a variety of different immune cells such as monocytes (4), B cells (5) and T cells (6) and also non-immune cells such as epithelial and smooth muscle cells (7), adipocytes (8), endothelial cells (9) and osteoblasts (10).

Several factors have been implicated in circulating IL-6 levels. We have previously demonstrated that IL-6 levels decrease with age in children and increase with age in adults (11). Also, increased levels of IL-6 have been observed in various diseases, not surprisingly in autoimmune diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis (12) and systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis (13), but also cardio-metabolic diseases like type 2 diabetes (14), heart failure, coronary heart disease (15) and atherosclerosis (16), as well in cancers (17), atopic dermatitis (18) and psychological disorders like depression (19). Due to its implications in the pathogenesis of different disorders, Il-6 has been used as an appropriate choice for drug targeting and used as a monitoring biomarker of disease progression and response to treatments (20). The most illustrious IL-6 inhibitor is tocilizumab (21), a monoclonal antibody binding the IL-6 receptor, which is already in use for treating patients with allergic asthma (22), and immune system disorders like rheumatoid arthritis (23) and systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis (24), with high efficacy with some initial benefits towards respiratory illnesses like COVID-19 (25).

IL-6 baseline levels are heritable with estimates from twin studies ranging between 15 and 61% (26-29). However, efforts to identify genetic variants associated with levels of IL-6 constituted relatively small-scale GWAS (30-33) or sequencing-based candidate gene association studies (34). To date, variants in the IL-6 receptor gene (IL-6R) and the gene encoding histo-blood group ABO system transferase (ABO) have been identified as statistically significant for an association to IL6-levels. Also, the genetic risk score constructed of IL-6 variants identified in the study by Shah and colleagues explained up to 2% of the variation in IL-6 levels (33), leaving a substantial part of its heritability unexplained. These seemingly sparse results and limited findings could be due to limitations in the study power caused by low sample size or a great inter-individual variability of IL-6 levels. One may speculate a substantial increase in the study size by increasing the number of participants, which would very likely lead to the identification of additional variants explaining IL-6 levels (35-37).

The current study is the (till date) largest meta GWAS study including 67428 individuals of European ancestry to identify genetic variants explaining the levels of circulating IL-6 and to understand underlying genetic mechanisms implicated in the pathophysiology of this cytokine.

Results

A total of 52 654 individuals of European descent from 26 cohorts were included in the discovery GWAS meta-analysis with up to 2454025 autosomal SNPs passing quality control. Four cohorts (ALSPAC, MONICA/KORA, NTR and SardiNIA) identified genomewide significant associations in the ABO region, whereas none of the other 22 cohorts did, either individually or combined. These cohorts conditioned their results on their relevant top-SNP in ABO, the results of which were included in the discovery metaanalyses. The overall genomic control inflation factor (λ_{GC} after correction) at the discovery stage meta-analysis was 1.0.

We identified 94 variants that were genome-wide significantly ($P_{discovery} < 5.0 \times 10^{-8}$; Supplementary Material, Table S1) associated with IL-6 levels, representing two independent genetic loci on chromosomes 1q21 and 6p21. Two common SNPs (rs4537545 and rs660895), one per locus, Chr. 1q21 (IL6R), and Chr. 6p21 (HLA-DRB1/HLA-DRB5), showed the most significant association with IL-6 levels (index SNPs) and the third SNP (rs6734238) mapped on Chr. 2q14 (IL1F10/IL1RN) locus showed suggestive ($5.0 \times 10^{-8} < P_{discovery} < 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$) association in addition to 5 other loci (LHFPL3, LZTS1, GPC5/GPC6, USP32/APPBP2, STAU1; Supplementary Material, Table S2). Manhattan and QQ plots have been depicted in Figures 1A and 1B.

The minor alleles of ILGR rs4537545*T (β =0.091; $P_{\rm discovery}$ =8.39 \times 10⁻⁸⁵), IL1F10/IL1RN rs6734238*G (β =0.025; $P_{\rm discovery}$ =1.45 \times 10⁻⁷) and HLA-DRB1/5 rs660895*G (β =0.036; $P_{\rm discovery}$ =1.80 \times 10⁻⁹) associated with increased circulating IL-6 levels (Table 1). Two additional genome-wide significant SNPs in the IL1R locus, rs11265618 (β =0.047; $P_{\rm discovery}$ =1.21 \times 10⁻¹⁵) and rs10796927 (β =0.034; $P_{\rm discovery}$ =1.24 \times 10⁻¹¹), in low LD (r^2 <0.25) with the lead SNP rs4537545 were carried forward for replication, and later conditional analysis as they seemed potential candidates as independent signals.

Overall, 12 SNPs spanning over 9 loci at a $P_{discovery} < 1 \times 10^{-5}$ in the discovery GWAS meta-analyses were selected for the replication stage (Supplementary Material, Table S2). This included the three index SNPs, two additional SNPs from the 1q21 locus (GWS but in low LD, $r^2 < 0.25$ with index SNP) plus an additional set of seven statistically suggestive SNPs with a P-value of $5 \times 10^{-8} < P < 1 \times 10^{-5}$ in the discovery meta-analyses (either in low LD, $r^2 < 0.25$ with the index SNP or independent loci). Additionally, 3 SNPs as negative controls and 3 SNPs in LD ($r^2 > 0.25$) with the Chr.1 index SNP, to control for possible genotyping errors of index SNP across replication cohorts, were also added to the replication list, yielding 18 SNPs for replication stage (Supplementary Material, Table S3).

Three loci including Chr.1q21 IL6R, Chr.6p21 HLA-DRB1/5 and Chr.2q14 ILF10/IL1RN replicated at $P_{replication} < 0.05$, reaching GWS; 1q21 rs4537545, $P_{combined} = 1.20 \times 10^{-122}$; 6p21 rs660895, $P_{combined} = 1.55 \times 10^{-10}$; and 2q14 rs6734238, $P_{combined} = 1.84 \times 10^{-11}$ in the combined meta-analyses (Table 1 and Supplementary Material, Table S3). Locus zoom plots available in Figures 1C, 1D, and 1E. The two additional signals at Chr.1q21 IL6R locus were replicated at $P_{replication} = 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$ for rs11265618 and P = 0.03 for rs10796927, reaching $P_{combined} = 2.5 \times 10^{-9}$ and $P_{combined} = 4.1 \times 10^{-13}$, respectively (Supplementary Material, Table S3). The conditional analysis confirmed that rs11265618 and rs10796927 SNPs were not independent from (Supplementary Material, Table S4) but were driven by the index rs4537545 SNP.

In both, discovery and replication association analyses, the effect directionality was generally consistent across individual studies for GWS variants, while there was some evidence of borderline heterogeneity in one of the two novel loci (I^2 (P value) < 0.05) during the discovery and combined meta-analysis (Table 1, Fig. 2). The imputation quality scores (r^2) for the GWS (index) SNPs for each cohort (discovery and replication) are available in Supplementary Material, Table S5. The other seven SNPs that showed suggestive association in the discovery stage, and expectedly the negative control SNPs did not reach GWS in the combined meta-analyses (Supplementary Material, Table S3).

	•		,				•				
Chr	Chr Lead SNP (rsID) BP (Hg19)	BP (Hg19)	Effect/Other allele	EAF	Beta (SE)	Pdiscovery	$P_{ m replication}$	$P_{combined}$	Annotation	Nearest Genes	$P_{\rm het}$ (I ²)
Novel Loci	oci										
2q14	2q14 rs6734238	113841030	G/A	0.42	0.025 (0.005)	$1.45 imes 10^{-7}$	$3.24 imes 10^{-5}$	$1.84 imes 10^{-11}$	Intergenic	IL1F10/IL1RN	0.03 (32)
6p21	ip21 rs660895	32 577 380	G/A	0.19	0.036 (0.006)	$1.80 imes 10^{-9}$	$3.38 imes 10^{-2}$	$1.55 imes 10^{-10}$	Intergenic	HLA-DRB5/DRB1	0.08 (26)
Replica	Replicated Known Locus										
1q21	lq21 rs4537545	154418879	T/C	0.39	0.091 (0.005)	8.39×10^{-85}	$7.88 imes 10^{-37}$	1.20×10^{-122}	Intronic	ILGR	<0.01 (72)
Index SI phase, g	VPs that reached $P < 5 \times$ iven for the effect allele.	10 ⁻⁸ in the combine EAF: effect allele fre	Index SNPs that reached P < 5 × 10 ⁻⁸ in the combined analysis from each locus are reported here. Sample sizes: discovery cohorts, n = 52.654; replication cohorts, n = 14.774; combined, n = 67.428. The effect sizes (<i>b</i>) in the discovery phase, given for the effect allele. EAF: effect allele frequency; effect sizes and standard error (SE) values are based on natural log transformed IL6 (pg/ml) levels. Phet: combined meta-analysis heterogeneity P value; 12: heterogeneity	s are reporte andard errc	ed here. Sample size r (SE) values are bas	s: discovery cohorts ed on natural log tra	s, n = 52 654; replicati ansformed IL6 (pg/m	on cohorts, n = 14 77/ l) levels. Phet: combi	4; combined, n=67 4 ned meta-analysis ŀ	.28. The effect sizes (β) ir neterogeneity P value; 1 ² :	the discovery heterogeneity
measure.											

Table 1. Novel and replicated loci associated with circulating IL-6 levels at $P < 5.0 \times 10^{-8}$ in the combined GWAS meta analyses

The three GWAS index SNPs when combined explained approximately 1.06% of the variance in circulating levels of IL-6 using data from the NESDA cohort. The phenotypic variance explained by all the common variants was estimated to be 4.45% using the SumVg method (38).

Replication of other known/suggestive loci for IL-6

IL6R was the only IL6 known locus that we replicate at GWS. IL1RN and HLA-DRB1, our primary findings have been reported as suggestive loci ($1 \times 10^{-6} < P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$) by Shah *et al.* while some known/suggestive IL6 loci (ABO, BUD13, TRIB3 and SEZ6L) did not replicate (P_{discovery} > 0.05) in the current study.

SNP functionality

We looked up SNPs in LD with the index SNPs from the immunologically associated loci including *IL*-6R, rs4537545, 1q21; *IL*1F10, *IL*1RN, rs6734238, 2q14, intergenic; and *HLA*-DRB1/DRB5, rs660895, 6p21, intergenic. The search for functional/missense variants in high LD ($r^2 > 0.8$) with the lead SNPs led to the identification of only one nonsynonymous rs2228145 SNP in LD ($r^2 = 0.95$) with the rs4537545 index SNP from the *IL*6R locus. We used the Combined Annotation-Dependent Depletion (CADD) database to identify the functionality, i.e. deleterious, disease causal, pathogenicity, of rs2228145 in *IL*6R. CADD is an integrative annotation based on multiple genomic features scored into a single metric (39). *IL*6R missense the rs2228145 variant has a CADD score of 15.98 (https://cadd.gs.washington.edu).

Associations with other traits and gene expression data

Genome-wide significant associations between IL6-associated top SNPs and other traits, and gene expression, data were mined using the Pheno Scanner v2 database (accessed, October 2020).

GWAS-based IL1F10/IL1RN rs6734238*G allele has been associated with increased levels of serum C-reactive protein (CRP) and decreased fibrinogen levels, and blood cell traits in recent GWAS reports (40,41) (PMID:27863252; Supplementary Material, Table S6).

HLA-DRB1/DRB5 rs660895*G allele is associated with increased risk of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) in Europeans and Asians (42), IgA nephropathy in Asians (43), while the decreased risk of ulcerative colitis and inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) (44). IL-6R rs4537545*T allele has been associated with increased circulating CRP levels (45), a decreased risk of RA (42) in mixed ancestries, while an increased risk of diabetes and asthma from the UK Biobank Neale's lab rapid GWAS (See Web Resources; Supplementary Material, Table S6). IL6R rs4537545T* allele is also associated with C-reactive protein, allergic disease, rheumatoid arthritis and coronary artery disease (Supplementary Material, Table S6).

Gene expression. IL1F10/IL1RN rs6734238 is associated with IL1F10/IL1RN expression levels in the skin, peripheral blood and whole blood (P < 5.0×10^{-8} ; Supplementary Material, Table S7). HLA-DRB1/DRB5 rs660895 has been associated with HLA-DRB1/DRB5/DRB6/DQB1/DQB2 expression levels in multiple tissues including peripheral blood, whole blood, monocytes, adipose tissue, thyroid, tibial artery, coronary artery, heart, lung, brain, colon, skeletal muscle, tibial nerve, skin and lymphoblastoid cell lines (P < 5.0×10^{-8} ; Supplementary Material, Table S7). IL6R rs4537545 SNP is also associated with IL6R expression levels

in peripheral and whole blood (P $< 5.0 \times 10^{-8};$ Supplementary Material, Table S7).

Power estimates

Based on power calculator and assumptions mentioned under methods section, the estimated power for the 2 novel index SNPs was 98.3% rs6734238 (effect allele frequency, EAF: 0.42), and 76.9% rs660895 (EAF: 0.19), respectively.

Discussion

We performed the largest (to date) GWAS meta-analysis for circulating IL-6 levels, which includes 66 341 individuals of European ancestry. We identified three loci associated with levels of circulating of IL-6 in the general population amongst which two are novel (Chr6p21, and Chr2q14), located in/nearby genes (HLA-DRB1 and IL1RN/IL-38) with inflammatory roles explaining up to 1.06% variance.

The strongest associated SNP, interleukin 6 receptor (IL-6R) rs4537545 at the 1q21 locus, is in high LD ($r^2 = 0.95$) with a missense IL-6R SNP rs2228145 (D358A) that results in an amino acid substitution at position 358 (Asp \rightarrow Ala) on the extracellular domain of IL-6R and a high CADD score suggesting that the variant is pathogenic or functional or deleterious (among top 10% variants of the genome). The missense SNP is known to impair the responsiveness of cells targeted by IL-6 (46) by reducing IL-6R expression on cell surfaces (47), and increasing levels of soluble IL-6R in individuals homozygous for this mutation (48,49). Recently it has been demonstrated that increased levels of sIL-6R induced by this variant can be explained by ectodomain shedding off IL-6R, a mechanism in which membrane-associated proteins are rapidly converted into soluble effectors whereby simultaneously cell surface expression of the same protein is reduced (50). Increased levels of sIL-6R may act as a counterbalance to limit exaggerated IL-6 signaling and may explain the protective effect of the 358A allele for various cardiovascular diseases including coronary artery disease (CAD) (51-53), atrial fibrillation (54), lung function in asthmatics (55) and abdominal aortic aneurysm (56) as well as RA (57). However, in contrast with this finding, the IL-6-sIL-6R complex itself is capable of transducing IL-6 signaling to non-IL-6R expressing cells, known as trans-signaling (58), and it is this mechanism, as opposed to classic signaling, that is linked to chronic inflammatory disorders including IBD and RA (59). Blocking IL-6 signaling cascades can be achieved by using an IL-6R specific inhibitor in the form of a monoclonal antibody, tocilizumab, which is a widely used therapy in the treatment of RA. Several variants in IL-6R, including rs2228145, may assist in the prediction of patient response to tocilizumab in RA (60). The rs4537545*T allele that is associated with IL6 levels is known to associate with increased circulating CRP levels (61) and a decreased risk of RA (42) in studies comprising mixed ancestries. Moreover, this SNP has been associated with IL6R expression in peripheral blood, skin, brain and adipose tissue (Supplementary Material, Table S7). The causal involvement of IL-6 levels in disease remains to be elucidated, but a recent study using a Mendelian randomisation (MR) approach did demonstrate that by using this SNP as instrumental variable, modelling the effects of tocilizumab, that IL-6R signalling has a causal effect on CAD (52). On the other hand, pleiotropic nature of the IL-6R locus, influencing IL-6, CRP and fibrinogen levels, prohibits instrumental variable analysis and attribution of causality to one particular intermediate. Finally, several other genes encompass the 1q21 locus, including Src homology 2 domain containing E (SHE), and Tudor domain containing 10 (TDRD10), but have been ruled out to play a role at this locus (33).

At the identified chromosome 2 locus the lead SNP, rs6734238, is intergenic and has also been associated with circulating CRP and fibrinogen levels (40,41,62). The nearest genes to this locus are the interleukin 1 family member 10 (IL1F10, distance = 7.6 kb, currently known as IL-38) and interleukin 1 receptor antagonist (IL1RN, distance = 34.4 kb). IL1F10/IL-38 and IL1RN variants (rs6759676 and rs4251961) in partial LD with the lead SNP (r_{LD}^2 :0.10 and 0.61) have been recently reported to be protective against the development of insulin resistance (63). This further supports the molecular mechanisms behind IL-6mediated insulin secretion via glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) (64) contributing to type 2 diabetes (T2D) pathophysiology. For IL-6 specifically, it has been found that synthesis increases when dendritic cells are stimulated by bacterial lipopolysaccharides (LPS) in the presence of IL1F10 (65). IL-1RN is another member of the interleukin 1 cytokine family, with suggestive evidence for involvement in determining IL-6 levels in the blood. One study found significant associations of IL-1RN rs4251961 with plasma CRP and IL-6 levels, albeit not independently replicated and not genome-wide significant ($P = 1 \times 10^{-4}$ and P = 0.004) (66). Our lead SNP was not in high LD ($r^2 < 0.8$) with variants in either neighboring genes and therefore in conjunction with its intergenic position, identifying a causal variant in this locus remains non-trivial.

The 6p21 rs660895, which was identified, resides within the HLA region, which forms one of the most complex genomic regions to study due to its large LD blocks and sequence diversity. This region has some population substructure in Europeans, which may have influenced the results; however, (1) each cohort population substructure adjustment was applied, followed by genomic correction for overall discovery stage metaanalyses. Thus, we reduced the chances that the population substructure may have had on this locus. The nearest genes to the index SNP, HLA-DRB1 (distance = 19.8 kb) and HLA-DQA1 (distance = 27.8 kb) are both histocompatibility complex genes encoding proteins that form cell surface complexes for certain immune system cells helping in antigen presentation to trigger an immune response. It is noteworthy that variations at this locus code for antigen-presenting complexes (APCs), which have been previously associated with diseases having a dysfunctional immune system; while we report for the first time that there exists also a strong association of this locus with circulating cytokine levels. Therefore, the association of this locus with the disease may corroborate through its effect through IL6 levels. One high-LD SNP (rs9272422, $r^2 = 0.82$ with our index SNP, rs660895) residing in the promoter region of HLA-DQA1 support this hypothesis and has been identified previously for systemic lupus erythematosus (67) and ulcerative colitis (68). rs660895*G allele is associated with increased risk of RA in Europeans and Asians (42), IgA nephropathy in Asians (43), while the decreased risk of ulcerative colitis and IBD. (44)

Various studies aimed to identify genetic variation underlying levels of IL-6 (22–26) have found genome-wide significant associations in the IL-6R and ABO genes. The study performed by Shah and colleagues (33) found suggestive evidence (non-GWS; $P = 3.8 \times 10^{-6}$, respectively) for additional loci, including ABO, BUD13, TRIB3 and SEZ6L, none of which replicate in the current study ($P_{discovery} > 0.05$) indicating that these might be false-positive findings due to low sample size (~7800) or loci with sex-specific effects (associations based on women dominant population) or due to technical shortcomings with measurement assay (ABO locus).

It is surprising that even with increased statistical power $(n_{\text{discovery}} = 52654; n_{\text{replication}} = 14774)$ in the current study (compared to the previous IL6 GWAS) (33), we could identify three genetic loci (1q21, 2q14 and 6p21) accounting for \sim 1% of the genetic variance for circulating IL-6 levels. According to the current estimates, the heritability levels for IL6 levels range between 15 and 61%, suggesting that an enormous increase in sample sizes would be required to identify additional variants explaining this remaining heritability. Multiple explanations for this socalled missing heritability phenomenon have been proposed in the past, which can be sought in rare or low frequency coding variants as observed for a similar metabolic quantitative trait by us (69) or can be explained by non-additive effects, which may cause inflated estimates of heritability. Plausible evidence for other sources of unexplained heritability that have been found are epigenetic changes, and haplotypes of common SNPs.

Collectively, our results provided additional insights into the biology of circulating IL-6. We identify new loci, limited by common variants in the Hap Map Reference panel. Albeit this is comparable to the 1000 genomes reference panel (70) but narrower compared to some newly available panels that show greater variant coverage in numbers and frequency range. Future studies are recommended to aim for identification of additional common but also rare variants, by firstly using richer imputation panels, such as UK10K project or the Haplotype Reference Consortium, a strategy that holds great promise, and secondly by making use of genetically isolated populations. Thirdly, we would like to stress the importance of phenotype harmonization. As we identified genome-wide variants in the ABO locus, in four studies participating in the discovery, but not in the remaining 22 cohorts, there is a strong indication that this locus may be assay specific. However, a proper demonstration of this hypothesis would require further testing, including repeating the GWAS in ABO-positive cohorts using a different IL-6 assay. Indeed it is emerging that the ABO locus has pleiotropic effects on many different traits and diseases (71), which would suggest a more thorough analysis before disregarding this signal. Also, conventionally increasing sample sizes without correction for population substructures may raise heterogeneity within populations (72), likely concealing the SNPs that affect particular subgroups. Future specific studies should counter the widely held assumption of unconditional risk alleles of complex traits and focus on the importance of studying more homogenous subgroups to, for example, investigate the age-dependent effect of genetic variants (73,74). Here, while further exploring the pleiotropic effect of IL-6-related variants, we identified phenotypes differentially regulated by diverse variants in the 1q21 locus. Biologic systems are dynamic complex networks and are evolving through lifespan and investigating the interrelationships existing between phenotypes as well as between genetic variations and phenotypic variations has the potential for uncovering the complex mechanisms. This is the case here for IL-6 and tailored methodologies should be devoted to the study of such traits, hopefully resulting in clinically significant breakthroughs. Future collaborative efforts therefore should strive to use well-calibrated assays, z-standardized protocols for sample handling, and processing (75), though this will be difficult to achieve in practice. Lastly, we have attempted to perform formal association-based causal analysis to identify the likely causal loci, using the DEPICT approach; unfortunately, instead with only 2 novel GWS findings, our analyses were underpowered and thus not included. We also mine the gene expression and eQTL data for the identified SNPs using established databases; however, we were unable control for random co-localization signals or other confounders as we had limited access to summary level data. In conclusion, we identify two novel common genetic variants associated with circulating IL-6 levels that may influence the pathophysiology of complex cardio-metabolic, psychiatric and immunological traits, among individuals of European ancestry. This is a step further towards unravelling new biological pathways and potential therapeutic targets that can be developed for the IL-6-related disorders, while suggesting looking deeper into the genome for coding variants (rare and common) having larger individual effects (Figs 1 and 2).

Material and Methods

Discovery stage

Study populations. The overall study design (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1) involved the discovery cohorts with 53893 individuals. After overlapping individuals with available genotype and phenotype data, the discovery stage included 52654 individuals from 26 cohorts of European ancestry listed under Supplementary Material, Table S8, described in Supplementary Text S1 and study summary characteristics in Supplementary Material, Table S9. Only population-based samples or healthy controls from case-control studies were included in the final analyses.

Serum IL-6 measurements. Each study typically collected venous blood samples stored below -80° C until the time of measurement using various types of immunoassays and expressed as pg/ml as presented in Supplementary Material, Table S10. The trait transformation and phenotype data quality control (QC) were presented by Supplementary Material, Text S3 (Supplementary Material, Text S3.1 and S3.2). In brief, participating cohorts have checked for the percentage of missingness in IL6 measurements and evaluated for indices of QC (Supplementary Material, Text S3.2), yielded the final number of participants with available validated IL6 levels, of whom those with available genotype data were included in the study as characterized in Supplementary Material, Table S9 and in Supplementary Material, Text S1 and S2.

Genotyping and imputation. Each participating cohort performed genome-wide genotyping using a variety of genotyping platforms and applied a predefined quality control (QC) of genotype data (Supplementary Material, Table S11) followed by performing imputation of non-genotyped genetic variants, on the backbone of haplotypes inferred from the Hapmap Phase II reference panel (NCBI Build 36), and using statistical software such as IMPUTE (75), MACH, Minimac (76) or BIMBAM (77) (Supplementary Material, Table S11). Each cohort was recommended a set of general SNP quality filters including MAF < 0.01; Hardy Wienberg Equilibrium (HWE) $P \le 10^{-6}$; imputation quality $r^2 \leq 0.3$; and genotyping call rate <0.95 (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1). Once we received summary results from each participating study, we ran a series of QC checks. Firstly, these included a set standard checks, including the imputation quality filters (basis the imputation program used and/or $r^2_{\text{imputation}} < 0.3$ were excluded), and then checks for genomic inflation (quantile-quantile or QQ plots). We adapted filter thresholds per cohort to reduce any observed deviation from the null while missing SNP loss due to the QC process. Finally, ~2.45 million (2454025) common SNPs were part of the discovery meta-analysis.




Figure 1. Manhattan, QQ and LocusZoom plots of the discovery GWAS meta-analyses. (A) Manhattan plot showing the association of SNPs with IL-6. Loci coloured in red or blue, three in total, represent those for which the lead SNPs reached genome-wide significance ($P = 5 \times 10^{-8}$). Horizontal axis: relative genomic position of variants on the genome, vertical axis: $-\log_10 P$ -value of each SNP; (B) Quantile–quantile plot for *P*-values obtained from the meta-analysis. The horizontal and vertical axes represents the expected distribution of $-\log_10 (P$ -values) under the null hypothesis of no association, whereas the vertical axis shows the observed $-\log_10 (P$ -values). The blue dashed line represents the null, and λ_{GC} value represents the genomic inflation factor lambda. Each data point represents the observed versus the expected *P*-value of a variant included in the association analyses; (**C**–**E**) Regional association plots for each of the three genome-wide significant loci, 1q21, 2q14 and 6p21, respectively. Pairwise LD (r^2) with the lead SNP is indicated following a color-coded scale. Horizontal axis: relative genomic position of variants within the locus, vertical axis: $-\log_10 P$ -value of each SNP.

Α.

Study	BETA	95%CI	Weight
Stage: Discovery ALSPAC AMISH ARIC BLSA CHS CoLaus FamHS FHS GOLDN HBCS HealthABC InCHIANTI KORA LBC1921 LBC1936 LLS LURIC MrOS_Sweden NESDA NTR PROSPER RS1 Sardinia SHIP Twins_UK YFS rs4537545 Summary Fixed Effects Heterogenety: $l^2 = 77\%, \rho < 0.01$	0.03 0.01 0.06 0.11 0.07 0.10 0.10 - 0.04 -0.03 0.10 - 0.04 -0.03 0.10 0.13 0.11 - 0.15 0.12 - 0.18 - 0.18 - 0.18 - 0.19 0.10 - 0.10 0.10 - 0.04 - 0.03 0.10 - 0.04 - 0.03 0.10 - 0.04 - 0.03 0.10 - 0.04 - 0.03 0.10 - 0.04 - 0.03 0.10 - 0.10 - 0.04 - 0.03 0.10 - 0.10 - 0.11 - 0.10 - 0.10 - 0.11 - 0.11 - 0.12 - 0.18 - 0.18 - 0.19 - 0.10 - 0.19 - 0.10 - 0.10 - 0.12 - 0.10 - 0.10 - 0.12 - 0.10 - 0.13 - 0.10 - 0.13 - 0.10 - 0.13 - 0.10 - 0.10 - 0.12 - 0.10 - 0.10 - 0.13 - 0.10 - 0.10 - 0.10 - 0.10 - 0.12 - 0.10 - 0.05 - 0.07 - 0.01	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.11; 0.18 \\ -0.08; 0.15 \\ -0.07; 0.09 \\ -0.01; 0.14 \\ \hline 0.03; 0.14 \\ \hline 0.03; 0.11 \\ \hline 0.05; 0.15 \\ \hline 0.05; 0.15 \\ \hline 0.06; 0.12 \\ -0.13; 0.22 \\ -0.17; 0.11 \\ \hline 0.06; 0.12 \\ \hline -0.17; 0.11 \\ \hline 0.06; 0.12 \\ \hline -0.17; 0.11 \\ \hline 0.06; 0.12 \\ \hline 0.06; 0.12 \\ \hline 0.06; 0.10 \\ \hline 0.00; 0.30 \\ \hline 0.06; 0.10 \\ \hline 0.00; 0.30 \\ \hline 0.00; 0.10 \\ \hline 0.01; 0.20 \\ \hline 0.03; 0.10 \\ \hline 0.00; 0.14 \\ \hline -0.02; 0.03 \\ \hline 0.08; 0.10 \end{bmatrix}$	5.1% 0.4% 0.9% 1.0% 6.5% 3.7% 2.3% 10.2% 0.2% 0.2% 1.2% 2.2% 0.2% 1.2% 1.0% 1.3% 1.0% 1.3% 1.3% 1.3% 1.3% 1.3% 1.3% 1.3% 1.3% 1.3% 1.3% 1.3% 1.3% 1.3% 1.3% 1.3% 1.3% 1.3% 1.3% 1.3% 1.2% 1.3% 1.2% 1.3% 1.2% 1.3% 1.2% 1.3% 1.2% 1.3% 1.2% 1.3% 1.2% 1.3% 1.2
Stage: Replication BRHS BRWHS CAPS EAS GOYA_Male MAS NTR2 OATS QIMR STANISLAS WHII rs4537545 Summary Fixed Effects Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 53\%$, $p = 0.02$	0.07 0.11 0.10 -0.05 0.11 0.13 0.07 0.35 0.11 0.10	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.05; 0.12 \\ 0.02; 0.11 \\ 0.05; 0.17 \\ 0.02; 0.19 \\ 0.04; 0.18 \\ 0.04; 0.18 \\ 0.09; 0.16 \\ 0.01; 0.15 \\ 0.01; 0.15 \\ 0.02; 0.20 \\ 0.07; 0.13 \\ 0.08; 0.11 \end{bmatrix}$	3.9% 2.9% 1.6% 0.9% 0.5% 1.2% 4.7% 0.8% 0.2% 0.7% 7.0% 24.4%
rs4537545 Summary Fixed Effects	.2 0.4	[0.08; 0.10]	100.0%

Figure 2. Combined discovery and replication forest plots for the GWAS Index SNPs. Forrest plots for (A) IL6R rs4537545 (chr. 1q21), (B) IL1RN rs6734238 (chr. 2q14), (C) HLA-DRB5 rs660895 (chr. 6p21) with discovery, replication and combined effect estimates, 95% CI and weights based on the fixed effects inverse variance meta-analyses.

Statistical methods

GWAS analysis. Each study conducted an independent GWAS analysis between SNPs and natural log-transformed values of serum IL-6 levels following a predefined analysis plan (Supplementary Material, Methods S4). Association analyses were conducted using linear regression model, or linear mixed effect models to account for familial correlation when warranted, with

additive genetic effects, accounting for imputation uncertainty while adjusting for age, sex, population substructure (through study-specific principal components) and/or study-specific site, when necessary. GWAS summary result obtained from each cohort underwent a series of QC checks using the QCGWAS package in R (78) (Supplementary Material, Text S3 and Supplementary Material, Fig. S1). Being aware of the potential false-positive Β.

Study	1	BETA	95%CI	Weight
Stage: Discovery ALSPAC AMISH ARIC BLSA CHS CoLaus FamHS FHS GOLDN HBCS HealthABC InCHIANTI KORA LBC1921 LBC1926 LLS LURIC MrOS_Sweden NESDA NTR PROSPER RS1 Sardinia SHIP Twins_UK YFS rs6734238 Summary Fixed Effects Heterogeneity: $r^2 = 40\%, p = 0.02$		-0.03 -0.06 -0.04 0.05 0.02 0.07 0.03 0.07 0.03 0.07 0.01 0.02 -0.11 0.09 0.03 0.102 -0.02 0.09 0.03 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.03 0.00 0.04 0.02	$ \begin{bmatrix} -0.01; 0.06] \\ [-0.14; 0.09] \\ [-0.14; 0.02] \\ [-0.11; 0.04] \\ [0.02; 0.06] \\ [-0.05; 0.05] \\ [-0.05; 0.05] \\ [0.01; 0.13] \\ [-0.12; 0.18] \\ [-0.12; 0.18] \\ [-0.03; 0.11] \\ [-0.03; 0.11] \\ [-0.03; 0.17] \\ [-0.03; 0.17] \\ [-0.03; 0.17] \\ [-0.03; 0.17] \\ [-0.03; 0.17] \\ [-0.03; 0.17] \\ [-0.03; 0.17] \\ [-0.03; 0.17] \\ [-0.03; 0.17] \\ [-0.03; 0.17] \\ [-0.03; 0.17] \\ [-0.03; 0.17] \\ [-0.03; 0.17] \\ [-0.03; 0.17] \\ [-0.03; 0.17] \\ [-0.03; 0.17] \\ [-0.03; 0.17] \\ [-0.03; 0.17] \\ [-0.03; 0.17] \\ [-0.03; 0.03] \\ [-0.04; 0.14] \\ [-0.04; 0.14] \\ [-0.04; 0.14] \\ [-0.01; 0.04] \\ [-0.01; 0.04] \\ [-0.02; 0.03] \\ \end{bmatrix} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5\% \\ 0.3\% \\ 3.0\% \\ 1.3\% \\ 2.2\% \\ 0.2\% \\ 1.3\% \\ 1.0\% \\ 0.6\% \\ 1.4\% \\ 1.3\% \\ 2.3\% \\ 10.1\% \\ 0.8\% \\ 5.7\% \\ 3.6\% \\ 1.1\% \\ 8.6\% \end{array}$
Stage: Replication BRHS BRWHS CAPS EAS GOYA_Male HIFMECH MAS NTR2 OATS QIMR STANISLAS WHII rs6734238 Summary Fixed Effects Heterogeneity: $r^2 = 2\%$, $p = 0.42$ rs6734238 Summary Fixed Effects		0.06 -0.03 0.08 0.07 0.01 0.01 0.04 0.06 -0.08 -0.08 -0.01 0.03 0.03	[0.00; 0.08] [0.01; 0.10] [-0.09; 0.03] [-0.01; 0.17] [-0.04; 0.18] [-0.07; 0.10] [-0.06; 0.08] [0.00; 0.07] [-0.03; 0.14] [-0.27; 0.10] [-0.10; 0.08] [0.01; 0.06] [0.02; 0.05]	24.9%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 32\%, p = 0.03$	-0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2 Beta Estimate			

Figure 2. Continued.

association in the ABO region on chromosome 9 (28,30), while using an R&D systems high-sensitivity assay kit to measure IL6 levels (R&D systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), four (out of 22) discovery cohorts that observed genome-wide significant results in the ABO locus were asked to rerun the GWAS analysis conditional on the top ABO SNP (i.e. rs8176704) before including them in the final discovery meta-analysis (Supplementary Material, Text S3.3).

Discovery GWAS meta-analyses. Individual GWAS results from 26 European studies were meta-analyzed using the inverse variance weighted, fixed-effects method as implemented in GWAMA

C.

Study	ř	BETA	95%CI	Weight
Stage: Discovery	1			
ALSPAC		-0.02	[-0.06; 0.02]	6.3%
AMISH		-0.00	[-0.16; 0.16]	0.3%
ARIC		0.04	[-0.05; 0.14]	1.0%
BLSA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-0.04	[-0.14; 0.06]	0.9%
CHS		0.03	[0.00; 0.07]	7.1%
CoLaus	<u></u>	0.05	[-0.01; 0.10]	2.9%
FamHS		0.05	[-0.01; 0.11]	2.5%
FHS	100	0.03	[0.00; 0.06]	8.9%
GOLDN	<u> </u>	0.12	[0.04; 0.20]	1.5%
HBCS			[-0.07; 0.23]	0.4%
HealthABC	- <u>1</u> -		[-0.03; 0.08]	3.0%
InCHIANTI			[-0.07; 0.13]	0.9%
KORA	1		[0.01; 0.14]	2.0%
LBC1921			[-0.24; 0.15]	0.2%
LBC1936	1		[0.00; 0.16]	1.4%
LLS	1		[-0.02; 0.18]	1.0%
LURIC			[-0.07; 0.18]	0.6%
MrOS_Sweden			[-0.01; 0.14]	1.5%
NESDA	1		[0.03; 0.19]	1.4%
NTR			[-0.03; 0.10]	2.0%
PROSPER RS1	inani 1		[0.03; 0.09]	10.2% 0.8%
	<u> </u>		[-0.02; 0.19]	
Sardinia SHIP	<u> </u>		[-0.04; 0.07] [-0.03; 0.07]	2.7% 3.1%
YES	in the second se		[0.00; 0.05]	
rs660895 Summary Fixed Effects	الم		[0.00, 0.05]	74.4%
Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 23\%$, $p = 0.15$		0.04	[0.05, 0.05]	1.44544.10
Heterogeneity. 1 - 2010, p - 0.15	1			
Stage: Replication	1			
BRHS	严	0.06	[0.02; 0.11]	4.4%
BRWHS	- 		[0.01; 0.11]	3.4%
CAPS	-*[:		[-0.11; 0.04]	1.7%
EAS	<u>-ii</u> -		[-0.08; 0.11]	1.0%
GOYA_Male			[-0.10; 0.14]	0.6%
HIFMECH			[-0.05; 0.18]	0.7%
MAS -	l		[-0.59; -0.03]	0.1%
NTR2			[-0.05; 0.04]	4.0%
OATS			[-0.09; 0.09]	1.0%
QIMR			[-0.34; 0.13]	0.2%
STANISLAS	<u> </u>		[-0.06; 0.18]	0.6%
WHII rs660895 Summary Fixed Effects	ភ		[-0.01; 0.06] [0.01; 0.04]	7.8% 25.6%
Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 33\%$, $p = 0.12$		0.0.0	[0.01, 0.04]	23.070
neterogeneity. $T = 55\%$, $p = 0.12$				
rs660895 Summary Fixed Effects	\$	0.03	[0.02; 0.04]	100.0%
Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 26\%, p = 0.08$			1999, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 1997, 19	
10 TH	-0.4 -0.2 0 0.2 0.4			
	Beta Estimate			

Figure 2. Continued.

while applying the double genomic control (GC) correction for population stratification, i.e. first to each study individually and subsequently also to the pooled results after meta-analysis (79).

erated through the LocusZoom (78) tool. We used the SNAP tool

(80) to perform the pairwise LD checks (HapMap release 22 data)

and to verify low LD with secondary signals. All SNPs selected for the replication stage had to fulfill the following criteria: (1)

having an association $P_{discovery}\,{\le}\,1\,{\times}\,10^{-5}$ and being in very low

Regional association plots for the discovered loci were gen-

LD with the index SNP ($r^2 < 0.2$) and (2) available in at least 50% of study cohorts.

Replication and combined meta-analysis

Study population, phenotyping and QC. The overall study (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1) comprised 15785 individuals for replication. After removing individuals with missing data, the replication analyses were performed using a combination

of in silico and *de novo* genotyping in 14774 individuals from 12 cohorts of European ancestry as described in Supplementary Material, Text S2. Similar QC (Supplementary Material, Text S3 and Supplementary Material, Table S11) and statistical checks were made as in the discovery stage.

Venous blood samples (serum or plasma) were collected and stored at -80° C. Serum/plasma IL-6 levels (pg/ml) were measured using various immunoassay methods described in Supplementary Material, Table S10. Each cohort tested the selected SNPs using the same statistical model as for the discovery association analyses (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1). Effect size estimates of all replication SNPs from each replication study were compared with the effect size estimates from the discovery meta-analyses. When effect sizes from individual cohorts did not align, we excluded these cohorts from the replication meta-analyses ($n_{cohorts} = 3$). To account for the inter-study assay differences insensitivity, we combined results across the replication studies using a fixed effect sample size weighted Z-score meta-analysis as implemented in the METAL package (https://genome.sph.umich.edu/wiki/METAL) (81).

The summary GWAS meta-analyses result from the discovery and replication stages were then used to perform a combined (discovery + replication) GWAS meta-analysis using a sample size weighted Z-score method. Test for heterogeneity was also performed as part of the meta-analysis package using METAL where I² statistic denoting the percentage of variation across studies was estimated ($I^2 = 100\% \times (Q - df)/Q$) where Q is the Chi-Square statistic. Significance for heterogeneity was denoted by the heterogeneity (or Het_P) P values. Variants that were significant in the replication meta-analysis at P < 0.05 and had an overall $P_{\text{combined}} < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ in the combined meta-analysis were considered statistically GWS. SNPs within the range of 1 Mb (or 10⁶ bases) on either side of the most significant (i.e. index) SNP (with LD, $r^2 > 0.25$) were considered part of the same locus, whereas those in low LD ($r^2 < 0.25$) were tested if they were independent using conditional analysis.

Conditional analysis. We performed an approximate joint conditional analysis to identify distinct signals in a specific chromosomal region as implemented in GCTA (82) using high-quality genome-wide genotyped/imputed reference data from two studies (NEtherlands Study of Depression and Anxiety (NESDA) from the Netherlands and/or Genetics of Obesity in Young Adults (GOYA) from Denmark) to estimate linkage disequilibrium (LD) (83) between SNPs.

Conditional analysis for identification of independent signals was performed on GWS SNPs (± 1 Mb to the index SNP and having low LD, $r^2 < 0.2$ with the index SNP) using summary statistics from the discovery GWAS meta-analysis data (—COJO option in GCTA) after confirming the GWS loci from the combined meta-analysis.

Heritability estimates. We approximated the variance explained by all distinct lead SNPs from the meta-analysis using the following formula:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\beta_{i}^{2} \cdot 2 \cdot \text{EAF}_{i} \cdot (1 - \text{EAF}_{i})}{\sigma^{2} \left(\text{residuals} \left(\ln (\text{IL6}) \right) \right)}$$

where EAF is the effect allele frequency, β_i the effect size of the individual variants, and *n* is the total number of lead variants. The current formula may overestimate the variance to a small extent as some level of SNP correlation was existent (LD $r^2 < 0.25$). The variance of the residuals of ln (IL-6) was calculated using data from the NESDA cohort (*n* = 2517). The total common SNP heritability of serum IL-6 levels explained by all GWAS

variants was estimated using the observed Z-statistics from the discovery analyses for a subset of pruned SNPs. Following the original method (SumVg) (38), we pruned the imputed (based on the 1000G Phase1 Integrated Release, Version 3, 2012.04.30 reference panel) genotypes of the NESDA cohort using PLINK v1.07 (84), by removing correlated SNPs at $r^2 > 0.25$ within a 100-SNP sliding window, and with a step size of 25 SNPs per forwarding slide. This resulted in a pruned set of 163 459 SNPs.

SNP mapping and functionality. We searched for variants in high LD ($r^2 > 0.8$) within a 1 Mb region on either side of the lead SNPs using 1000 Genomes sequence data (Phase1 Integrated Release, Version 3, 2012.04.30), utilizing tools available in Liftover (85), VCFtools (86) and clumping in PLINK (84). We subsequently annotated these variants using ANNOVAR (87) with the RefSeq (88) database for variant function and genic residence or distance. We used the Combined Annotation-Dependent Depletion (CADD) database to identify the functionality, i.e. deleterious, disease causal, pathogenicity, for the index SNPs.

Associations with other traits and gene expression data. Pheno Scanner v2 (89) data mining tool was used (Access date October 2020) to identify existing GWS (at $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) associations between IL6 identified SNPs and other traits, and gene expression/eQTLs data.

Power calculation

We used GWAs power estimator (see Web Resources) by assuming a relative risk of 1.10 (or an effect estimate of 0.10), given $N = 66\,000$, alpha (P-value) = 5×10^{-8} (also GCTA power calculator, Supplementary Text S3.5).

Supplementary Material

Supplementary Material is available at HMG online. GWAS summary statistics data is available upon request to corresponding authors T.S.A. and/or B.Z.A.

Web Resources

QCGWAS, https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/QCGWAS/i ndex.html

GWAMA, http://www.well.ox.ac.uk/gwama/ METAL, http://csg.sph.umich.edu//abecasis/metal/ GCTA, http://www.complextraitgenomics.com/software/gcta/ LocusZoom, http://csg.sph.umich.edu/locuszoom/

1000 Genomes, ftp://ftp.1000genomes.ebi.ac.uk/vol1/ftp/re lease/20110521/

PLINK, http://pngu.mgh.harvard.edu/purcell/plink/

VCFtools, http://vcftools.sourceforge.net/

ANNOVAR, http://www.openbioinformatics.org/annovar/ PhenoScanner, http://www.phenoscanner.medschl.cam.ac.u k/phenoscanner

Power calculations: http://csg.sph.umich.edu/abecasis/cats/ gas_power_calculator/index.html

The UK Biobank Neale's lab rapid GWAS: (http://www.neale lab.is/blog/2017/7/19/rapid-gwas-of-thousands-of-phenotypes-for-337000-samples-in-the-uk-biobank).

Authors Contributions

The guarantors of the study are T.S.A., B.P. and B.Z.A., from conception and design to conduct of the study and acquisition of

data, data analysis and interpretation of data. T.S.A. and B.P. have written the first draft of the manuscript. All co-authors have provided important intellectual input and contributed considerably to the analyses and interpretation of the data. All authors guarantee that the accuracy and integrity of any part of the work have been appropriately investigated and resolved and all have approved the final version of the manuscript. BP had full access to the data and the corresponding authors T.S.A. and B.Z.A. had the final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the participants of this study and the funding resources that have contributed to achieving this effort. Detailed acknowledgments can be found in Supplementary Material, Table S12.

Conflict of Interest. There is no conflict of interest from any of the participating co-authors. T.S.A. is a shareholder with Novo Nordisk A/S and Zealand Pharma A/S.

Funding

Novo Nordisk Foundation (NNF18OC0052457 to T.S.A.). Detailed Funding statements from participating study cohorts can be found in Supplementary Material, Table S12.

References

- 1. Kishimoto, T. (2010) IL-6: from its discovery to clinical applications. Int. Immunol., **22**, 347–352.
- 2. Nishimoto, N. and Kishimoto, T. (2006) Interleukin 6: from bench to bedside. Nat. Clin. Pract. Rheumatol., **2**, 619–626.
- Brocker, C., Thompson, D., Matsumoto, A., Nebert, D.W. and Vasiliou, V. (2010) Evolutionary divergence and functions of the human interleukin (IL) gene family. *Hum. Genomics*, 5, 30–55.
- Gelinas, L., Falkenham, A., Oxner, A., Sopel, M. and Legare, J.F. (2011) Highly purified human peripheral blood monocytes produce IL-6 but not TNFalpha in response to angiotensin II. J. Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone Syst., 12, 295–303.
- Kitani, A., Hara, M., Hirose, T., Harigai, M., Suzuki, K., Kawakami, M., Kawaguchi, Y., Hidaka, T., Kawagoe, M. and Nakamura, H. (1992) Autostimulatory effects of IL-6 on excessive B cell differentiation in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: analysis of IL-6 production and IL-6R expression. Clin. Exp. Immunol., 88, 75–83.
- Li, T. and He, S. (2006) Induction of IL-6 release from human T cells by PAR-1 and PAR-2 agonists. Immunol. Cell Biol., 84, 461–466.
- Ng, E.K., Panesar, N., Longo, W.E., Shapiro, M.J., Kaminski, D.L., Tolman, K.C. and Mazuski, J.E. (2003) Human intestinal epithelial and smooth muscle cells are potent producers of IL-6. Mediat. Inflamm., 12, 3–8.
- Fain, J.N. (2006) Release of interleukins and other inflammatory cytokines by human adipose tissue is enhanced in obesity and primarily due to the nonfat cells. Vitam. Horm., 74, 443–477.
- Podor, T.J., Jirik, F.R., Loskutoff, D.J., Carson, D.A. and Lotz, M. (1989) Human endothelial cells produce IL-6. Lack of responses to exogenous IL-6. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., 557, 374–385 discussion 386-377.
- 10. Sanchez, C., Gabay, O., Salvat, C., Henrotin, Y.E. and Berenbaum, F. (2009) Mechanical loading highly increases IL-6

production and decreases OPG expression by osteoblasts. Osteoarthr. Cartil., **17**, 473–481.

- Haddy, N., Sass, C., Maumus, S., Marie, B., Droesch, S., Siest, G., Lambert, D. and Visvikis, S. (2005) Biological variations, genetic polymorphisms and familial resemblance of TNFalpha and IL-6 concentrations: STANISLAS cohort. Eur. J. Hum. Genet., 13, 109–117.
- Madhok, R., Crilly, A., Watson, J. and Capell, H.A. (1993) Serum interleukin 6 levels in rheumatoid arthritis: correlations with clinical and laboratory indices of disease activity. Ann. Rheum. Dis., 52, 232–234.
- de Benedetti, F., Massa, M., Robbioni, P., Ravelli, A., Burgio, G.R. and Martini, A. (1991) Correlation of serum interleukin-6 levels with joint involvement and thrombocytosis in systemic juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum., 34, 1158–1163.
- Ahluwalia, T.S., Kilpelainen, T.O., Singh, S. and Rossing, P. (2019) Editorial: novel biomarkers for type 2 diabetes. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne), 10, 649.
- Cesari, M., Penninx, B.W., Newman, A.B., Kritchevsky, S.B., Nicklas, B.J., Sutton-Tyrrell, K., Rubin, S.M., Ding, J., Simonsick, E.M., Harris, T.B. and Pahor, M. (2003) Inflammatory markers and onset of cardiovascular events: results from the health ABC study. Circulation, 108, 2317–2322.
- Qu, D., Liu, J., Lau, C.W. and Huang, Y. (2014) IL-6 in diabetes and cardiovascular complications. Br. J. Pharmacol., 171, 3595–3603.
- Mauer, J., Denson, J.L. and Bruning, J.C. (2015) Versatile functions for IL-6 in metabolism and cancer. *Trends Immunol.*, 36, 92–101.
- Mucha, S., Baurecht, H., Novak, N., Rodríguez, E., Bej, S., Mayr, G., Emmert, H., Stölzl, D., Gerdes, S., Jung, E.S. et al. (2020) Protein-coding variants contribute to the risk of atopic dermatitis and skin-specific gene expression. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol., 145, 1208–1218.
- 19. Zhang, C., Wu, Z., Zhao, G., Wang, F. and Fang, Y. (2016) Identification of IL6 as a susceptibility gene for major depressive disorder. Sci. Rep., **6**, 31264.
- Calabrese, L.H. and Rose-John, S. (2014) IL-6 biology: implications for clinical targeting in rheumatic disease. Nat. Rev. Rheumatol., 10, 720–727.
- Scheinecker, C., Smolen, J., Yasothan, U., Stoll, J. and Kirkpatrick, P. (2009) Tocilizumab. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov., 8, 273–274.
- 22. Revez, J.A., Bain, L.M., Watson, R.M., Towers, M., Collins, T., Killian, K.J., O'Byrne, P.M., Gauvreau, G.M., Upham, J.W. and Ferreira, M.A. (2019) Effects of interleukin-6 receptor blockade on allergen-induced airway responses in mild asthmatics. Clin. Transl. Immunol., 8, e1044.
- Yazici, Y., Curtis, J.R., Ince, A., Baraf, H., Malamet, R.L., Teng, L.L. and Kavanaugh, A. (2012) Efficacy of tocilizumab in patients with moderate to severe active rheumatoid arthritis and a previous inadequate response to disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: the ROSE study. Ann. Rheum. Dis., 71, 198–205.
- 24. Yokota, S., Imagawa, T., Mori, M., Miyamae, T., Aihara, Y., Takei, S., Iwata, N., Umebayashi, H., Murata, T., Miyoshi, M. et al. (2008) Efficacy and safety of tocilizumab in patients with systemic-onset juvenile idiopathic arthritis: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, withdrawal phase III trial. Lancet, **371**, 998–1006.
- Gupta, S., Wang, W., Hayek, S.S., Chan, L., Mathews, K.S., Melamed, M.L., Brenner, S.K., Leonberg-Yoo, A., Schenck, E.J.,

Radbel, J. et al. (2021) Association between early treatment with tocilizumab and mortality among critically ill patients with COVID-19. JAMA Intern. Med., **181**, 41–51.

- Worns, M.A., Victor, A., Galle, P.R. and Hohler, T. (2006) Genetic and environmental contributions to plasma Creactive protein and interleukin-6 levels-a study in twins. *Genes Immun.*, 7, 600–605.
- 27. Sas, A.A., Jamshidi, Y., Zheng, D., Wu, T., Korf, J., Alizadeh, B.Z., Spector, T.D. and Snieder, H. (2012) The age-dependency of genetic and environmental influences on serum cytokine levels: a twin study. Cytokine, 60, 108–113.
- Neijts, M., van Dongen, J., Kluft, C., Boomsma, D.I., Willemsen, G. and de Geus, E.J. (2013) Genetic architecture of the proinflammatory state in an extended twin-family design. Twin Res. Hum. Genet., 16, 931–940.
- 29. Amaral, W.Z., Krueger, R.F., Ryff, C.D. and Coe, C.L. (2015) Genetic and environmental determinants of population variation in interleukin-6, its soluble receptor and C-reactive protein: insights from identical and fraternal twins. *Brain Behav. Immun.*, **49**, 171–181.
- Melzer, D., Perry, J.R., Hernandez, D., Corsi, A.M., Stevens, K., Rafferty, I., Lauretani, F., Murray, A., Gibbs, J.R., Paolisso, G. et al. (2008) A genome-wide association study identifies protein quantitative trait loci (pQTLs). PLoS Genet., 4, e1000072.
- 31. Naitza, S., Porcu, E., Steri, M., Taub, D.D., Mulas, A., Xiao, X., Strait, J., Dei, M., Lai, S., Busonero, F. et al. (2012) A genomewide association scan on the levels of markers of inflammation in Sardinians reveals associations that underpin its complex regulation. PLoS Genet., 8, e1002480.
- 32. Comuzzie, A.G., Cole, S.A., Laston, S.L., Voruganti, V.S., Haack, K., Gibbs, R.A. and Butte, N.F. (2012) Novel genetic loci identified for the pathophysiology of childhood obesity in the Hispanic population. PLoS One, 7, e51954.
- 33. Shah, T., Zabaneh, D., Gaunt, T., Swerdlow, D.I., Shah, S., Talmud, P.J., Day, I.N., Whittaker, J., Holmes, M.V., Sofat, R. et al. (2013) Gene-centric analysis identifies variants associated with interleukin-6 levels and shared pathways with other inflammation markers. Circ. Cardiovasc. Genet., 6, 163–170.
- 34. Sidore, C., Busonero, F., Maschio, A., Porcu, E., Naitza, S., Zoledziewska, M., Mulas, A., Pistis, G., Steri, M., Danjou, F. et al. (2015) Genome sequencing elucidates Sardinian genetic architecture and augments association analyses for lipid and blood inflammatory markers. Nat. Genet., 47, 1272–1281.
- 35. Denny, J.C., Ritchie, M.D., Basford, M.A., Pulley, J.M., Bastarache, L., Brown-Gentry, K., Wang, D., Masys, D.R., Roden, D.M. and Crawford, D.C. (2010) PheWAS: demonstrating the feasibility of a phenome-wide scan to discover gene-disease associations. Bioinformatics, 26, 1205–1210.
- 36. Pendergrass, S.A., Brown-Gentry, K., Dudek, S.M., Torstenson, E.S., Ambite, J.L., Avery, C.L., Buyske, S., Cai, C., Fesinmeyer, M.D., Haiman, C. et al. (2011) The use of phenomewide association studies (Phe WAS) for exploration of novel genotype-phenotype relationships and pleiotropy discovery. *Genet. Epidemiol.*, **35**, 410–422.
- 37. Pendergrass, S.A., Brown-Gentry, K., Dudek, S., Frase, A., Torstenson, E.S., Goodloe, R., Ambite, J.L., Avery, C.L., Buyske, S., Bůžková, P. et al. (2013) Phenome-wide association study (Phe WAS) for detection of pleiotropy within the population architecture using genomics and epidemiology (PAGE) network. PLoS Genet., 9, e1003087.
- 38. So, H.C., Li, M. and Sham, P.C. (2011) Uncovering the total heritability explained by all true susceptibility variants

in a genome-wide association study. Genet. Epidemiol., **35**, 447–456.

- Rentzsch, P., Witten, D., Cooper, G.M., Shendure, J. and Kircher, M. (2019) CADD: predicting the deleteriousness of variants throughout the human genome. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 47, D886–D894.
- 40. Sabater-Lleal, M., Huang, J., Chasman, D., Naitza, S., Dehghan, A., Johnson, A.D., Teumer, A., Reiner, A.P., Folkersen, L., Basu, S. et al. (2013) Multiethnic meta-analysis of genomewide association studies in >100 000 subjects identifies 23 fibrinogen-associated loci but no strong evidence of a causal association between circulating fibrinogen and cardiovascular disease. Circulation, **128**, 1310–1324.
- Dehghan, A., Dupuis, J., Barbalic, M., Bis, J.C., Eiriksdottir, G., Lu, C., Pellikka, N., Wallaschofski, H., Kettunen, J., Henneman, P. et al. (2011) Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies in >80 000 subjects identifies multiple loci for Creactive protein levels. Circulation, 123, 731–738.
- 42. Okada, Y., Wu, D., Trynka, G., Raj, T., Terao, C., Ikari, K., Kochi, Y., Ohmura, K., Suzuki, A., Yoshida, S. et al. (2014) Genetics of rheumatoid arthritis contributes to biology and drug discovery. Nature, 506, 376–381.
- 43. Yu, X.Q., Li, M., Zhang, H., Low, H.Q., Wei, X., Wang, J.Q., Sun, L.D., Sim, K.S., Li, Y., Foo, J.N. et al. (2011) A genomewide association study in Han Chinese identifies multiple susceptibility loci for IgA nephropathy. Nat. Genet., 44, 178–182.
- 44. Liu, J.Z., van Sommeren, S., Huang, H., Ng, S.C., Alberts, R., Takahashi, A., Ripke, S., Lee, J.C., Jostins, L., Shah, T. et al. (2015) Association analyses identify 38 susceptibility loci for inflammatory bowel disease and highlight shared genetic risk across populations. Nat. Genet., 47, 979–986.
- Elliott, P., Chambers, J.C., Zhang, W., Clarke, R., Hopewell, J.C., Peden, J.F., Erdmann, J., Braund, P., Engert, J.C., Bennett, D. et al. (2009) Genetic loci associated with C-reactive protein levels and risk of coronary heart disease. JAMA, 302, 37–48.
- Ferreira, R.C., Freitag, D.F., Cutler, A.J., Howson, J.M., Rainbow, D.B., Smyth, D.J., Kaptoge, S., Clarke, P., Boreham, C., Coulson, R.M. et al. (2013) Functional IL6R 358Ala allele impairs classical IL-6 receptor signaling and influences risk of diverse inflammatory diseases. PLoS Genet., 9, e1003444.
- 47. Stone, K., Woods, E., Szmania, S.M., Stephens, O.W., Garg, T.K., Barlogie, B., Shaughnessy, J.D., Jr., Hall, B., Reddy, M., Hoering, A. et al. (2013) Interleukin-6 receptor polymorphism is prevalent in HIV-negative Castleman disease and is associated with increased soluble interleukin-6 receptor levels. PLoS One, 8, e54610.
- Rafiq, S., Frayling, T.M., Murray, A., Hurst, A., Stevens, K., Weedon, M.N., Henley, W., Ferrucci, L., Bandinelli, S., Corsi, A.M. et al. (2007) A common variant of the interleukin 6 receptor (IL-6r) gene increases IL-6r and IL-6 levels, without other inflammatory effects. *Genes Immun.*, 8, 552–559.
- Galicia, J.C., Tai, H., Komatsu, Y., Shimada, Y., Akazawa, K. and Yoshie, H. (2004) Polymorphisms in the IL-6 receptor (IL-6R) gene: strong evidence that serum levels of soluble IL-6R are genetically influenced. *Genes Immun.*, 5, 513–516.
- Hayashida, K., Bartlett, A.H., Chen, Y. and Park, P.W. (2010) Molecular and cellular mechanisms of ectodomain shedding. Anat Rec (Hoboken), 293, 925–937.
- Collaboration, I.R.G.C.E.R.F, Sarwar, N., Butterworth, A.S., Freitag, D.F., Gregson, J., Willeit, P., Gorman, D.N., Gao, P., Saleheen, D., Rendon, A. et al. (2012) Interleukin-6 receptor

pathways in coronary heart disease: a collaborative metaanalysis of 82 studies. *Lancet*, **379**, 1205–1213.

- 52. Interleukin-6 Receptor Mendelian Randomisation Analysis, C, Swerdlow, D.I., Holmes, M.V., Kuchenbaecker, K.B., Engmann, J.E., Shah, T., Sofat, R., Guo, Y., Chung, C., Peasey, A. *et al.* (2012) The interleukin-6 receptor as a target for prevention of coronary heart disease: a mendelian randomisation analysis. *Lancet*, **379**, 1214–1224.
- Consortium, C.A.D., Deloukas, P., Kanoni, S., Willenborg, C., Farrall, M., Assimes, T.L., Thompson, J.R., Ingelsson, E., Saleheen, D., Erdmann, J. et al. (2013) Large-scale association analysis identifies new risk loci for coronary artery disease. Nat. Genet., 45, 25–33.
- 54. Schnabel, R.B., Kerr, K.F., Lubitz, S.A., Alkylbekova, E.L., Marcus, G.M., Sinner, M.F., Magnani, J.W., Wolf, P.A., Deo, R., Lloyd-Jones, D.M. et al. (2011) Large-scale candidate gene analysis in whites and African Americans identifies IL6R polymorphism in relation to atrial fibrillation: the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute's candidate Gene Association resource (CARe) project. Circ. Cardiovasc. Genet., 4, 557–564.
- 55. Hawkins, G.A., Robinson, M.B., Hastie, A.T., Li, X., Li, H., Moore, W.C., Howard, T.D., Busse, W.W., Erzurum, S.C., Wenzel, S.E. et al. (2012) The IL6R variation asp (358) ala is a potential modifier of lung function in subjects with asthma. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol., 130, 510, e511–515.
- Harrison, S.C., Smith, A.J., Jones, G.T., Swerdlow, D.I., Rampuri, R., Bown, M.J., Aneurysm, C., Folkersen, L., Baas, A.F., de Borst, G.J. et al. (2013) Interleukin-6 receptor pathways in abdominal aortic aneurysm. Eur. Heart J., 34, 3707–3716.
- 57. Eyre, S., Bowes, J., Diogo, D., Lee, A., Barton, A., Martin, P., Zhernakova, A., Stahl, E., Viatte, S., McAllister, K. et al. (2012) High-density genetic mapping identifies new susceptibility loci for rheumatoid arthritis. Nat. Genet., 44, 1336–1340.
- Scheller, J., Ohnesorge, N. and Rose-John, S. (2006) Interleukin-6 trans-signalling in chronic inflammation and cancer. Scand. J. Immunol., 63, 321–329.
- Chalaris, A., Schmidt-Arras, D., Yamamoto, K. and Rose-John, S. (2012) Interleukin-6 trans-signaling and colonic cancer associated with inflammatory bowel disease. *Dig. Dis.*, **30**, 492–499.
- 60. Enevold, C., Baslund, B., Linde, L., Josephsen, N.L., Tarp, U., Lindegaard, H., Jacobsen, S. and Nielsen, C.H. (2014) Interleukin-6-receptor polymorphisms rs12083537, rs2228145, and rs4329505 as predictors of response to tocilizumab in rheumatoid arthritis. *Pharmacogenet. Genomics*, 24, 401–405.
- Ligthart, S., Vaez, A., Vosa, U., Stathopoulou, M.G., de Vries, P.S., Prins, B.P., Van der Most, P.J., Tanaka, T., Naderi, E., Rose, L.M. et al. (2018) Genome analyses of >200,000 individuals identify 58 loci for chronic inflammation and highlight pathways that link inflammation and complex disorders. Am. J. Hum. Genet., 103, 691–706.
- Reiner, A.P., Beleza, S., Franceschini, N., Auer, P.L., Robinson, J.G., Kooperberg, C., Peters, U. and Tang, H. (2012) Genomewide association and population genetic analysis of C-reactive protein in African American and Hispanic American women. Am. J. Hum. Genet., 91, 502–512.
- 63. Herder, C., Nuotio, M.L., Shah, S., Blankenberg, S., Brunner, E.J., Carstensen, M., Gieger, C., Grallert, H., Jula, A., Kahonen, M. et al. (2014) Genetic determinants of circulating interleukin-1 receptor antagonist levels and their association with glycemic traits. Diabetes, 63, 4343–4359.

- Ellingsgaard, H., Hauselmann, I., Schuler, B., Habib, A.M., Baggio, L.L., Meier, D.T., Eppler, E., Bouzakri, K., Wueest, S., Muller, Y.D. et al. (2011) Interleukin-6 enhances insulin secretion by increasing glucagon-like peptide-1 secretion from L cells and alpha cells. Nat. Med., 17, 1481–1489.
- 65. van de Veerdonk, F.L., Stoeckman, A.K., Wu, G., Boeckermann, A.N., Azam, T., Netea, M.G., Joosten, L.A., van der Meer, J.W., Hao, R., Kalabokis, V. et al. (2012) IL-38 binds to the IL-36 receptor and has biological effects on immune cells similar to IL-36 receptor antagonist. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA., 109, 3001–3005.
- Reiner, A.P., Wurfel, M.M., Lange, L.A., Carlson, C.S., Nord, A.S., Carty, C.L., Rieder, M.J., Desmarais, C., Jenny, N.S., Iribarren, C. et al. (2008) Polymorphisms of the IL1-receptor antagonist gene (IL1RN) are associated with multiple markers of systemic inflammation. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.*, 28, 1407–1412.
- Hom, G., Graham, R.R., Modrek, B., Taylor, K.E., Ortmann, W., Garnier, S., Lee, A.T., Chung, S.A., Ferreira, R.C., Pant, P.V. et al. (2008) Association of systemic lupus erythematosus with C8orf13-BLK and ITGAM-ITGAX. N. Engl. J. Med., 358, 900–909.
- Jostins, L., Ripke, S., Weersma, R.K., Duerr, R.H., McGovern, D.P., Hui, K.Y., Lee, J.C., Schumm, L.P., Sharma, Y., Anderson, C.A. et al. (2012) Host-microbe interactions have shaped the genetic architecture of inflammatory bowel disease. *Nature*, 491, 119–124.
- 69. Ahluwalia, T.S., Schulz, C.A., Waage, J., Skaaby, T., Sandholm, N., van Zuydam, N., Charmet, R., Bork-Jensen, J., Almgren, P., Thuesen, B.H. et al. (2019) A novel rare CUBN variant and three additional genes identified in Europeans with and without diabetes: results from an exome-wide association study of albuminuria. Diabetologia, 62, 292–305.
- 70. Apelqvist, J. (2012) Diagnostics and treatment of the diabetic foot. *Endocrine*, **41**, 384–397.
- Pickrell, J.K., Berisa, T., Liu, J.Z., Segurel, L., Tung, J.Y. and Hinds, D.A. (2016) Detection and interpretation of shared genetic influences on 42 human traits. *Nat. Genet.*, 48, 709–717.
- Mac Rae, C.A. and Vasan, R.S. (2011) Next-generation genome-wide association studies: time to focus on phenotype? Circ. Cardiovasc. Genet., 4, 334–336.
- Dumitrescu, L., Carty, C.L., Franceschini, N., Hindorff, L.A., Cole, S.A., Buzkova, P., Schumacher, F.R., Eaton, C.B., Goodloe, R.J., Duggan, D.J. et al. (2013) Post-genome-wide association study challenges for lipid traits: describing age as a modifier of gene-lipid associations in the population architecture using genomics and epidemiology (PAGE) study. Ann. Hum. Genet., 77, 416–425.
- 74. Kulminski, A.M., Culminskaya, I., Arbeev, K.G., Arbeeva, L., Ukraintseva, S.V., Stallard, E., Wu, D. and Yashin, A.I. (2015) Birth cohort, age, and sex strongly modulate effects of lipid risk alleles identified in genome-wide association studies. PLoS One, **10**, e0136319.
- Marchini, J., Howie, B., Myers, S., McVean, G. and Donnelly, P. (2007) A new multipoint method for genome-wide association studies by imputation of genotypes. Nat. Genet., 39, 906–913.
- Howie, B., Fuchsberger, C., Stephens, M., Marchini, J. and Abecasis, G.R. (2012) Fast and accurate genotype imputation in genome-wide association studies through pre-phasing. Nat. Genet., 44, 955–959.
- 77. Servin, B. and Stephens, M. (2007) Imputation-based analysis of association studies: candidate regions and quantitative traits. PLoS Genet., **3**, e114.

- 78. van der Most, P.J., Vaez, A., Prins, B.P., Munoz, M.L., Snieder, H., Alizadeh, B.Z. and Nolte, I.M. (2014) QCGWAS: a flexible R package for automated quality control of genome-wide association results. Bioinformatics, 30, 1185–1186.
- 79. Magi, R. and Morris, A.P. (2010) GWAMA: software for genome-wide association meta-analysis. BMC Bioinformatics, 11, 288.
- Pei, Y.F., Zhang, L., Li, J. and Deng, H.W. (2010) Analyses and comparison of imputation-based association methods. PLoS One, 5, e10827.
- 81. Willer, C.J., Li, Y. and Abecasis, G.R. (2010) METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. Bioinformatics, **26**, 2190–2191.
- Yang, J., Benyamin, B., McEvoy, B.P., Gordon, S., Henders, A.K., Nyholt, D.R., Madden, P.A., Heath, A.C., Martin, N.G., Montgomery, G.W. et al. (2010) Common SNPs explain a large proportion of the heritability for human height. Nat. Genet., 42, 565–569.
- Penninx, B.W., Beekman, A.T., Smit, J.H., Zitman, F.G., Nolen, W.A., Spinhoven, P., Cuijpers, P., De Jong, P.J., Van Marwijk, H.W., Assendelft, W.J. et al. (2008) The Netherlands study of depression and anxiety (NESDA): rationale, objectives and methods. Int. J. Methods Psychiatr. Res., 17, 121–140.

- Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M.A., Bender, D., Maller, J., Sklar, P., de Bakker, P.I., Daly, M.J. et al. (2007) PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, 81, 559–575.
- Hinrichs, A.S., Karolchik, D., Baertsch, R., Barber, G.P., Bejerano, G., Clawson, H., Diekhans, M., Furey, T.S., Harte, R.A., Hsu, F. et al. (2006) The UCSC genome browser database: update 2006. Nucleic Acids Res., 34, D590–D598.
- Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C.A., Banks, E., DePristo, M.A., Handsaker, R.E., Lunter, G., Marth, G.T., Sherry, S.T. et al. (2011) The variant call format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics, 27, 2156–2158.
- Wang, K., Li, M. and Hakonarson, H. (2010) ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res., 38, e164.
- Pruitt, K.D., Tatusova, T. and Maglott, D.R. (2005) NCBI reference sequence (RefSeq): a curated non-redundant sequence database of genomes, transcripts and proteins. Nucleic Acids Res., 33, D501–D504.
- Staley, J.R., Blackshaw, J., Kamat, M.A., Ellis, S., Surendran, P., Sun, B.B., Paul, D.S., Freitag, D., Burgess, S., Danesh, J. et al. (2016) Pheno scanner: a database of human genotypephenotype associations. *Bioinformatics*, **32**, 3207–3209.