Wallenius *et al. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* (2016) 16:123 DOI 10.1186/s12884-016-0919-7

## BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth

### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

**Open Access** 

# Reproductive trends in females with inflammatory joint disease



Marianne Wallenius<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Kjell Å. Salvesen<sup>3,4</sup>, Anne K. Daltveit<sup>5,6</sup> and Johan F. Skomsvoll<sup>1</sup>

#### Abstract

**Background:** The study assessed birth trends per decade in offspring of females with inflammatory joint diseases (IJD) compared with women without IJD.

**Methods:** This retrospective cohort study is based on data from the Medical Birth Registry of Norway from 1967 to 2009. We investigated singleton births in females with IJD (n = 7502) and compared with births from the general population (n = 2.437 110). Four periods were examined: 1967–79, 1980–89, 1990–99 and 2000–09. In the logistic regression analysis adjustments were made for maternal age at delivery and birth order. Odds ratios were obtained for the associations between IJD and birth outcome for each period.

**Results:** Females with IJD had in average 65 deliveries / year (0.08 % of all births) in the 1970ies and 274 deliveries / year (0.5 % of all births) from 2000 to 2009. Adjusted Odds ratios (aOR) for newborns small for gestational age were 1.5 (95 % Cl 1.2, 1.9) in the earliest and 1.1 (95 % Cl 0.9, 1.2) in the last period. Correspondingly, for birth weight < 2500 grams aOR decreased from 1.4 (95 % Cl 1.0, 1.9) to 1.1 (95 % Cl 0.9, 1.4). For preterm birth aOR was 1. 1 (95 % Cl 0.8, 1.5) in the first and 1.3 (95 % Cl (1.1, 1.5) in the last period.

**Conclusion:** An increasing number of births among females with IJD were observed in the study period. Birth weights of newborns of IJD women approached to birth weights in the general population, but preterm birth remained a problem.

Keywords: Secular trends, Arthritis, Birth weight, Birth registry

#### Background

Inflammatory joint diseases (IJD), here including rheumatoid arthritis (RA), spondylarthritis (SA), psoriatic arthritis (PsA) and juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) are characterised by chronic, systemic inflammation and may also affect internal organs. Previous studies have shown various effects of IJD on birth outcome [1-4]. Especially, low birthweight in offspring and preterm birth have been identified as prominent findings. Over time, improvements in diagnosis and treatment, and also change in registration of routines may influence birth outcomes [5, 6]. Before 1990 several females with IJD were dissuaded from having children [7, 8], and there were little experience with monitoring and medical treatment in pregnancy. In a study published

\* Correspondence: marianne.wallenius@ntnu.no

<sup>1</sup>Department of Rheumatology, National Advisory Unit on Pregnancy and Rheumatic Diseases, Trondheim University Hospital, Trondheim, Norway <sup>2</sup>Department of Neuroscience, NTNU, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

in 1991 27 % of the women had been dissuaded from pregnancy by health professionals, family or other patients [7]. Since the end of the 1990ies new treatment options like the tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- $\alpha$  inhibitors have made more women able to achieve remission and thus enabled them to plan their pregnancies. Possible changes in pregnancy outcomes along with improved treatment options have not been published.

In the present study we wanted to assess secular trends in the number of births and the effects of IJD on preterm birth and birth weight in the newborns. The data were provided by the Medical Birth Registry of Norway from 1967 to 2009.

#### Methods

#### Material

The Medical Birth Registry of Norway (MBRN) is a population-based registry containing information on all births in Norway since 1967 comprising more than 2.4



© 2016 The Author(s). **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated. million births 1967-2009. MBRN is based on compulsory notification of every birth or late abortion from 12 completed weeks of gestation onwards. Since 2002 MBRN has been organised under the Norwegian Institute of Public Health. A standard antenatal notification form is filled in at visits to a general practitioner, midwife or obstetrician during pregnancy and is brought by the mother to the place of birth. The midwife enters additional data recorded at the time of birth. Follow-up data are added to the form until discharge of hospital births. The attending midwife is responsible for the completion of the notification form at the end of each birth. The form is co-signed by the attending physician and sent to the MBRN within one week after birth. In the period January 1st 1967 to November 30th 1998 a notification form was used for all live births and stillbirths after 16 weeks of gestation. From December 1st 1998 a new and more comprehensive form was used for all live births and stillbirths after 12 weeks of gestation [9]. The new form intended to improve the registrations in MBRN and contains precoded fields for disease in mothers prior to pregnancy including rheumatoid arthritis. In addition there are open text fields for maternal diagnoses before and during pregnancy. The records include identification of the parents by their national identification numbers, demographic information of the parents, maternal diagnoses before and during pregnancy, parity, complications during pregnancy and delivery, length of pregnancy, as well as information on the infant, including birth weight, birth defects and other perinatal problems. The variables have been decided by consensus among obstetricians, neonatologists and epidemiologists. Information about parity is available for the index pregnancy, but also from any previous registrations in MBRN. In the present study we used the information from the index pregnancy, but if this was lacking, we used information based on previous registered pregnancies in MBRN.

Until December 1st 1998 diagnoses were recorded as stated by the International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-8 system. Afterwards the ICD-10 system has been used. It provides differentiation of the various diagnoses of IJD. Information on diagnoses was obtained from the patient's doctor and from medical records. We have included data from females with IJD who gave birth in the period 1967 – 2009. The diagnoses of IJD were based on free text information in the birth registration form, as coded by MBRN using the ICD-8 or ICD-10 system, respectively.

The study was performed in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration and approved by the Regional Ethics Committee of Central Norway (diary number 2011/127-3).

#### Exposure

We included anonymised cases with coding 712 in ICD-8, comprising rheumatoid arthritis (RA), juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) psoriatic arthritis (PsA) and ankylosing

spondylitis (AS). When the ICD-10 system was introduced we used M05.0, M05.1, M05.8, M05.9, M06.0, M06.8, M06.9 (RA), M45 (AS), M46.1 (spondylarthritis), L40.5 and M07.0, M07.1, M07.2 or M07.3 (PsA) and M08.0, M08.1, M08.2, M08.3, M08.4, M08.8, M08.9 (JIA). The different diagnoses within the IJD complex therefore had to be analysed as a collected group to obtain a comparison of the same diseases. We excluded unspecified arthritis, inflammatory connective tissue diseases and vasculitis in the selection of IJD patients (Additional file 1). All other singleton deliveries in females without IJD 1967–2009 served as reference group.

#### Outcomes

Parity was defined as the total number of previous pregnancies registered per birth including spontaneous abortions after gestational week 16, live births and stillbirths. We also studied mean birth weight (BW) for the newborn, the proportion of newborns with BW < 2500 gram and the proportion born small for gestational age (SGA). SGA was defined as BW < 10 percentile for expected BW for gestational age. Gestational age at birth was based on ultrasound before 20 weeks or computed by the last menstrual period. Birth before 37 + 0 gestational weeks was defined as preterm.

#### Statistical analysis

Births for females with IJD and reference deliveries were stratified into four periods: 1967-79, 1980-89, 1990-99 and 2000-09. Comparison of groups was studied by Student's t-test for continuous variables and chi-square tests for categorical variables. Logistic regression analysis was used to assess associations between IJD and perinatal outcomes. We adjusted for parity and maternal age at delivery. Multivariable linear regression analysis was used to analyse mean differences in BW of the newborns of mothers with IJD and reference women. The explanatory variables were maternal age at delivery, gestational age, gender of infant and parity [10, 11]. Values of p that were <0.05 were considered significant. Data were analysed using the Statistical Package of Social Sciences, version 19.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) and Statistics / Data Analysis (STATA) version 12.1 (Stata Corp, Lakeway Drive College Station, Texas, USA).

#### Results

From 1967 to 2009 a total of 7502 births were registered in females with IJD forming 0.3 % of totally 2.4 million births in Norway in the period. In the earliest period, 1967–1979, the births in females with IJD constituted 0.08 % of all births. This increased to 0.5 % in 2000–2009. Correspondingly, in average 65 deliveries annually were registered in females with IJD during 1967–1979, increasing to 274 each year after year 2000. In 2000–2009 (n = 2736 births) when detailed IJD diagnoses were available through ICD-10, the distribution of diagnoses was: RA 51 %, SA 32 %, PsA 10 % and JIA

7 %. The mean age at delivery for females with IJD was higher than among the reference women through all periods, and parity was higher from 1990 onwards. In the period 2000-2009 more females with IJD were smoking at time of conception than among the references (Table 1). For offspring of IJD mothers the crude mean birth weight (BW) was significantly lower than in controls through all periods. However, the mean BW difference decreased from -61 g in the 1970ies to -17 g after year 2000, and was no longer significant for the last period (Table 2). The risk of BW < 2500 g was significantly higher in newborns of IJD women from 1980 to 1999 with an aOR varying from 1.4 (95 % confidence interval (CI) 1.2, 1.8) to 1.2 (95%CI 1.03, 1.5), but not from year 2000 (aOR 1.2 (95 % CI 0.9, 1.4)). Similarly, the risk of SGA births was higher for mothers with IJD from 1967 to 1999, and the aOR varied from 1.5 (95 % CI 1.2, 1.9) to 1.2 (95 % CI 1.1, 1.4). After year 2000 the risk of SGA was not significantly different between IJD females and references (aOR 1.1 (95%CI 0.9, 1.2)). However, the risk of prematurity was significantly higher among offspring of IJD mothers from 1980 onwards (Table 3). The risk varied from 1.5 (95 % CI 1.2, 1.8) in the 1980ies to 1.3 (95 % CI 1.1, 1.5) from year 2000.

#### Discussion

Since 1967 births among females with IJD have significantly increased. The low number of births during the earliest period may have several explanations. In accordance with previous reports females with IJD may have been advised not to have children [7, 8]. After 1990 new treatments have evolved and knowledge has been gathered about the use of anti-inflammatory drugs before and during pregnancy. Thus more women with inflammatory active disease have been able to plan pregnancy. Biological agents like the TNF- $\alpha$  inhibitors, that target cytokines and immune cells, were introduced in Norway in 1999. TNF- $\alpha$  inhibitors are effective inhibitors of disease activity and are now recommended used until conception [12]. High inflammatory disease activity has a negative effect on sexual function [13], and better treatment options may also improve the sexual function and the possibility to achieve pregnancy. Risk of developing IJD increases with age, and the age of primiparous Norwegian women has increased since 1967 and is currently 28.5 years [14]. Thus, the higher proportion of births in females with IJD may be caused by the general increase in maternal age as well as improved documented safety of medical treatments.

We observed that the mean BW in newborns of IJD women approached that of the reference women during the study period, and the mean difference was no longer statistically significant after year 2000. The proportion of newborns with BW <2500 grams declined from 6 % during 1967 – 1979 to 4 % after year 2000 in females with IJD. Correspondingly, the SGA proportion declined from 17 % to 8 %. Interestingly, these declines coincide with the introduction of better treatment options. Low BW has been associated with high disease activity at conception and during pregnancy [1, 2]. TNF- $\alpha$  inhibitors may be administrated up to time of conception [15, 16] and may help more women with inflammatory active IJD to stay in or close to remission until they conceive. Better knowledge of the use of other anti-inflammatory drugs

**Table 1** Maternal age, parity and smoking habits in births of females with IJD and reference births from the general population by year of delivery, Norway 1967-2009

Groups	Number of births per period n	Proportion of births in women with IJD compared to references (%)	Maternal age at delivery (years) mean (SD)	<i>p</i> -value	Parity mean (SD)	<i>p</i> -value	Smoking at time of conception (%)	<i>p</i> -value
IJD women	645	0.08	28.2 (5.6)	<0.001	1.0 (1.1)	0.6	n.a.	
References	773563		26.2 (5.3)		1.0 (1.1)		n.a.	
IJD women	1613	0.3	28.3 (5.1)	<0.001	0.8 (0.9)	0.2	n.a.	
References	517621		27.3 (5.0)		0.9 (1.0)		n.a.	
IJD women	2508	0.4	29.7 (5.2)	<0.001	1.0 (1.1)	0.007	n.a.	
References	581627		28.7 (5.0)		0.9 (1.0)		n.a.	
IJD women	2736	0.5	31.1 (5.1)	<0.001	1.0 (1.1)	<0.001	22.9	<i>p</i> < 0.0001
References	564299		30.0 (5.1)		0.9 (1.0)		19.4	
	Groups UD women References UD women References UD women References UD women References	GroupsNumber of births per period nIJD women645References773563JD women1613References517621JD women2508References581627JD women2736JD women2736References564299	GroupsNumber of births period nProportion of births in women with JJD compared to references (%)IJD women6450.08References773563.IJD women16130.3References517621.IJD women25080.4References581627.IJD women27360.5IJD women27360.5References564299.	GroupsNumber of births per period nProportion of births in women with UD compared to references (%)Maternal age at delivery (years) mean (SD)IJD women6450.0828.2 (5.6)References77356326.2 (5.3)IJD women16130.328.3 (5.1)References51762127.3 (5.0)IJD women25080.429.7 (5.2)References58162728.7 (5.0)IJD women27360.531.1 (5.1)IJD women56429950.0 (5.1)	GroupsNumber of births per period nProportion of births in women with UD compared to references (%)Maternal age at delivery (years) mean (SD)P-valueUD women6450.0828.2 (5.6)<0.01	Groups biths per period nProportion of biths in women with JD compared to references (%)Maternal age at delivery (years) mean (SD)P-value SDParity mean (SD)IJD women6450.0828.2 (5.6)<0.001	Groups biths per period nProportion of biths in women with JD compared to references (%)Maternal age at delivery (years) mean (SD)P-value (SD)Pervalue (SD)P-value (SD) <t< td=""><td>Groups biths per period nProportion of biths in women biths in women biths in women biths in women biths in women biths in womenMaternal age at deliver by sers' mean (SD)P-value SD)P-value sche biths in women biths in women biths in women biths in womenProvide biths in women biths in women biths in women biths in womenMaternal age at deliver biths in women biths in women biths in womenP-value biths in women biths in women biths in women biths in womenProvide biths in women biths in women biths in womenState sche biths in womenState sche biths in women biths in womenMaternal age at deliver biths in women biths in women biths in womenMaternal age at deliver biths in women biths in women biths in women biths in womenProvide biths in women biths in women biths in women biths in women biths in womenProvide biths in women biths in w</td></t<>	Groups biths per period nProportion of biths in women biths in women biths in women biths in women biths in women biths in womenMaternal age at deliver by sers' mean (SD)P-value SD)P-value sche biths in women biths in women biths in women biths in womenProvide biths in women biths in women biths in women biths in womenMaternal age at deliver biths in women biths in women biths in womenP-value biths in women biths in women biths in women biths in womenProvide biths in women biths in women biths in womenState sche biths in womenState sche biths in women biths in womenMaternal age at deliver biths in women biths in women biths in womenMaternal age at deliver biths in women biths in women biths in women biths in womenProvide biths in women biths in women biths in women biths in women biths in womenProvide biths in women biths in w

IJD inflammatory joint disease, SD standard deviation, n.a. not applicable

Period of birth	Group	Total n	Birth weight* (gram) mean (SD)	<i>p</i> -value *	Mean difference in birth weight**(gram) mean (SD)	95 % CI	<i>p</i> -value**
1967-1979	IJD	645	3404.7 (626.1)	<0.001	-61.2 (19.7)	-99.9, -22.5	0.002
	Ref	773563	3486.9 (585.3)				
1980-1989	IJD	1613	3428.9 (634.5)	< 0.001	-43.6 (12.6)	-68.3, -18.7	0.001
	Ref	517621	3512.9 (584.5)				
1990-1999	IJD	2508	3477.2 (626.5)	<0.001	-48.5 (10.3)	-68.7, -28.3	< 0.001
	Ref	581627	3552.5 (600.3)				
2000-2009	IJD	2736	3500.8 (590.0)	< 0.001	-16.8 (8.7)	-33.8, 0.1	0.05
	Ref	564299	3551.0 (590.9)				

Table 2 Mean birth weight in newborns of females with IJD compared with reference births from the general population by year of birth, Norway 1967-2009

\*t-test \*\*Multiple linear regression analysis with covariates for gestational age, maternal age at delivery, gender and parity

IJD inflammatory joint diseases, Ref references, SGA small for gestational age, OR odds ratio, CI confidence interval

in pregnancy such as prednisone, hydroxychloroquine and sulfasalazine may also have influenced the results [16, 17]. For females with IJD Norwegian national guidelines suggest regularly surveillance in pregnancy from general practitioners, rheumatologists, obstetricians and other specialists when necessary [18]. The improved care taking and monitoring of pregnant women with IJD may have influenced our results. Ultrasound surveillance of fetal growth has become more widespread over the study period, and the use of ultrasound to detect intrauterine growth restriction may have had an impact on low BW and SGA. The proportion of preterm deliveries from 1979 onwards was 7 % in IJD women compared to around 5 % in reference women. Thus, the observed difference in preterm birth has persisted over the years despite the introduction of new effective drugs. In the earliest period (1967-1979) the number of cases was insufficient for interpretation. In a Danish population based study spanning from 1977 to 2008, offspring of females with RA had a 1.5 higher risk of preterm birth than in the general population [19]. The study did not examine secular trends. The newborns of RA women also had a slightly lower BW [19]. The observed increased risk of preterm birth was present both in females diagnosed with RA and in those with preclinical RA. The latter group had not been exposed to any antirheumatic medication. The study hypothesized that potential disease-induced factors influenced the observed differences in fetal growth and preterm birth. These factors are still unknown. A higher rate of preterm birth was also reported in another study of females with RA spanning from 2001 to 2009. Among 46 pregnancies 28 % delivered prior to 37 weeks [20]. The study did not find any associations between preterm birth and active disease at conception or during pregnancy. However, discontinuation of medication because of pregnancy was associated with a significantly earlier gestational age at delivery. We did not have information about drug exposure in pregnancy, and isolating the effect of disease versus treatment is difficult in our material. A few studies have indicated that use of prednisone, even in low dose may induce preterm delivery [2, 21]. Prednisone has been one of the most frequently used medications to treat inflammation in pregnant arthritic females during

**Table 3** Newborns with birth weight < 2500 grams, SGA and preterm delivery in females with inflammatory joint diseases compared with reference births from the general population by year of birth, Norway 1967-2009

Period of birth	Group	Total <i>n</i>	Birth weight < 2500 gram				SGA				Preterm birth						
			n	%	$OR^{a}$	95 % CI	<i>p</i> -value	n	%	$OR^{a}$	95 % CI	<i>p</i> -value	n	%	$OR^{a}$	95 % CI	<i>p</i> -value
1967-1979	IJD	645	37	5.7	1.4	1.0, 1.9	0.07	109	16.9	1.5	1.2, 1.9	< 0.001	37	5.7	1.1	0.8, 1.5	0.53
	Ref	773563	32962	4.3				91 495	11.8				40 475	5.2			
1980-1989	IJD	1613	91	5.6	1.4	1.2, 1.8	0.001	201	12.4	1.2	1.04, 1.40	0.01	117	7.2	1.5	1.2, 1.8	< 0.001
	Ref	517621	20250	3.9				54 770	10.6				25 354	4.9			
1990-1999	IJD	2508	119	4.7	1.2	1.03, 1.5	0.03	267	10.6	1.2	1.1, 1.4	0.001	180	7.2	1.4	1.2, 1.6	< 0.001
	Ref	581627	22541	3.9				51 705	8.9				30 635	5.3			
2000-2009	IJD	2736	117	4.3	1.2	0.9, 1.4	0.12	210	7.7	1.1	0.9, 1.2	0.31	195	7.1	1.3	1.1, 1.5	0.001
	Ref	564299	20907	3.7				41 094	7.3				31 552	5.6			

IJD inflammatory joint diseases, Ref references, SGA small for gestational age, OR odds ratio, CI confidence interval

<sup>a</sup>Adjusted for maternal age at delivery and parity

the last decades, but probably not in the late sixties and early seventies when the use of prednisone was sparsely documented in pregnancy [22–25]. More women with IJD were smoking at time of conception during 2000– 2009, but we did not have information about smoking before year 2000. Thus, it is unknown how smoking might have influenced the results overall.

An advantage of the present study was the availability of population based data from a national registry. This limits selection bias of IJD cases and ensures representative references.

Among potential limitations we cannot exclude the possibility of misclassification bias. However, a validation study of a selection of rheumatic diagnoses in MBRN including inflammatory arthritic diagnoses, reported that 97 % of the diagnoses were correct [26]. We excluded unspecified arthritic diseases, inflammatory connective tissue diseases and vasculitis in the selection of IJD cases (Additional file 1). The birth outcomes of mothers with specific IJD diagnoses were not possible to study separately. During the period with ICD-8 coding, all IJD diseases were grouped together, and the number of cases in each diagnostic group of ICD-10 coding was not large enough. Another possible limitation is underreporting of diagnoses. MBRN has validated 169 females with rheumatic diagnoses in the period 1967-1995. Overall, 10 % of pre-pregnant diagnoses were underreported. However, underreporting was most pronounced during the earliest period (1967–1976) when it was 45 % [26]. Underreporting may certainly have led to an underestimate of births in IJD women especially during the earliest study decade. Finally, we lacked detailed information such as socioeconomic status and clinical data regarding body mass index, disease activity and medication in pregnancy. Information about smoking habits was only available after 1999.

#### Conclusion

An increasing number of births among females with inflammatory joint disease have been observed between 1967 and 1999. Birth weight was lower in newborns of mothers with inflammatory joint disease than in the general population until 1999, but not later. However, an increased preterm birth rate seems to persist.

#### **Additional file**

Additional file 1: Appendix with excluded codes in the patient and reference populations, according to the ICD-8 and ICD-10 systems. (DOC 24 kb)

#### Abbreviations

aOR, adjusted Odds ratio; BW, birthweight; Cl, confidence interval; ICD, international classification of diseases; IJD, inflammatory joint disease; JIA, juvenile idiopathic arthritis; MBRN, medical birth registry of Norway; PSA,

psoriatic arthritis; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; SA, spondylarthritis; SD, standard deviation; SGA, small for gestational age; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.

#### Funding

The study was supported by the Liaison Committee between the Central Norway Regional Health Authority (RHA) and the Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU).

#### Availability of data

All data supporting the findings in the study is contained within the manuscript.

#### Authors' contribution

All authors contributed to the design of the study. Anonymised data was provided from the national Medical Birth Registry of Norway. Analyses were performed by MW. MW drafted the manuscript with the assistance of KÅS, AKD and JFS. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Consent to publish

Not applicable.

#### Ethics and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Regional Ethics Committee of Central Norway (diary number 2011/127-3).

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Rheumatology, National Advisory Unit on Pregnancy and Rheumatic Diseases, Trondheim University Hospital, Trondheim, Norway. <sup>2</sup>Department of Neuroscience, NTNU, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway. <sup>3</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, National Center for Fetal Medicine, Trondheim University Hospital, Trondheim, Norway. <sup>4</sup>Department of Laboratory Medicine, Women's and Child Health, NTNU, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway. <sup>5</sup>Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway. <sup>6</sup>Medical Birth Registry of Norway, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Bergen, Norway.

#### Received: 25 November 2015 Accepted: 25 May 2016 Published online: 31 May 2016

#### References

- Bowden AP, Barrett JH, Fallow W, Silman AJ. Women with inflammatory polyarthritis have babies of lower birth weight. J Rheumatol. 2001;28:355–9.
- de Man YA, Hazes JM, van der Helm H, Willemsen SP, de Groot CJ, Steegers EA, et al. Association of higher rheumatoid arthritis disease activity during pregnancy with lower birth weight: Results of a national prospective study. Arthritis Rheum. 2009;60:3196–206.
- Norgaard M, Larsson H, Pedersen L, Granath F, Askling J, Kieler H, et al. Rheumatoid arthritis and birth outcomes: a Danish and Swedish nationwide prevalence study. J Intern Med. 2010;268:329–37.
- Wallenius M, Skomsvoll JF, Irgens LM, Salvesen KA, Nordvag BY, Koldingsnes W, et al. Pregnancy and delivery in women with chronic inflammatory arthritides with a specific focus on first birth. Arthritis Rheum. 2011;63:1534–42.
- Skomsvoll JF, Ostensen M, Irgens LM, Baste V. Perinatal outcome in pregnancies of women with connective tissue disease and inflammatory rheumatic disease in Norway. Scand J Rheumatol. 1999;28:352–6.
- Skomsvoll JF, Ostensen M, Irgens LM, Baste V. Pregnancy complications and delivery practice in women with connective tissue disease and inflammatory rheumatic disease in Norway. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2000;79:490–5.
- 7. Ostensen M. Counselling women with rheumatic disease–how many children are desirable? Scand J Rheumatol. 1991;20:121–6.
- Peterson LS, Mason T, Nelson AM, O'Fallon WM, Gabriel SE. Psychosocial outcomes and health status of adults who have had juvenile rheumatoid arthritis: a controlled, population-based study. Arthritis Rheum. 1997;40: 2235–40.
- Irgens LM. The Medical Birth Registry of Norway. Epidemiological research and surveillance throughout 30 years. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2000;79:435–9.

- 10. Terada M, Matsuda Y, Ogawa M, Matsui H, Satoh S. Effects of maternal factors on birth weight in Japan. J Pregnancy. 2013;2013:172395.
- Wen SW, Goldenberg RL, Cutter GR, Hoffman HJ, Cliver SP, Davis RO, et al. Smoking, maternal age, fetal growth, and gestational age at delivery. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1990;162:53–8.
- 12. Ostensen M, Forger F. Management of RA medications in pregnant patients. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2009;5:382–90.
- Hari A, Rostom S, Lahlou R, Bahiri R, Hajjaj-Hassouni N. Sexual function in Moroccan women with rheumatoid arthritis and its relationship with disease activity. Clin Rheumatol. 2015;34:1047–51.
- Fruktbarhet, fødealder og helse faktaark med statistikk Folkehelseinstituttet, 2014 http://www.fhi.no/artikler/?id=988382014.
- Ostensen M. Management of early aggressive rheumatoid arthritis during pregnancy and lactation. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2009;10:1469–79.
- 16. Ostensen M, Forger F. Treatment with biologics of pregnant patients with rheumatic diseases. Curr Opin Rheumatol. 2011;23:293–8.
- Ostensen M, Khamashta M, Lockshin M, Parke A, Brucato A, Carp H, et al. Anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive drugs and reproduction. Arthritis Res Ther. 2006;8:209. doi:10.1186/ar1957.
- Skomsvoll JF, Wallenius M, Salvesen KÅ, Grindheim S. Kapittel 16. Inflammatoriske revmatiske sykdommer og kollagenoser. I:Veileder i fødselshjelp. Norsk gynekologisk forening / Den norske legeforening, 2014. From http://www.legeforeningen.no/ngf (veiledere)(in Norwegian).
- Rom AL, Wu CS, Olsen J, Kjaergaard H, Jawaheer D, Hetland ML, et al. Fetal growth and preterm birth in children exposed to maternal or paternal rheumatoid arthritis: a nationwide cohort study. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2014; 66:3265–73.
- Langen ES, Chakravarty EF, Liaquat M, El-Sayed YY, Druzin ML. High rate of preterm birth in pregnancies complicated by rheumatoid arthritis. Am J Perinatol. 2014;31:9–14.
- Gur C, Diav-Citrin O, Shechtman S, Arnon J, Ornoy A. Pregnancy outcome after first trimester exposure to corticosteroids: a prospective controlled study. Reprod Toxicol. 2004;18:93–101.
- Cote CJ, Meuwissen HJ, Pickering RJ. Effects on the neonate of prednisone and azathioprine administered to the mother during pregnancy. J Pediatrics. 1974;85:324–8.
- Pinsky L, Digeorge AM. Cleft palate in the mouse: a teratogenic index of glucocorticoid potency. Science. 1965;147:402–3.
- 24. Schatz M, Patterson R, Zeitz S, O'Rourke J, Melam H. Corticosteroid therapy for the pregnant asthmatic patient. JAMA. 1975;233:804–7.
- 25. Trimble GX. Corticosteroids and pregnancy. JAMA. 1961;176:467.
- Skomsvoll J, Ostensen M, Baste V, Irgens L. Validity of a rheumatic disease diagnosis in the Medical Birth Registry of Norway. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2002;81:831–4.

# Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

