Tennessee State University

Digital Scholarship @ Tennessee State University

Agricultural and Environmental Sciences Faculty Research Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences

11-12-2020

Effects of nitrogen fertilization and bioenergy crop species on central tendency and spatial heterogeneity of soil glycosidase activities

Min Yuan Tennessee State University

Jianjun Duan Tennessee State University

Jianwei Li Tennessee State University

Siyang Jian Tennessee State University

Lahiru Gamage Tennessee State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalscholarship.tnstate.edu/agricultural-and-environmental-

Part of the Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons, Ecology and Evolutionary Biology Commons, and the Microbiology Commons

Recommended Citation

Yuan, M., Duan, J., Li, J. et al. Effects of nitrogen fertilization and bioenergy crop species on central tendency and spatial heterogeneity of soil glycosidase activities. Sci Rep 10, 19681 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-76837-1

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences at Digital Scholarship @ Tennessee State University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Agricultural and Environmental Sciences Faculty Research by an authorized administrator of Digital Scholarship @ Tennessee State University. For more information, please contact XGE@Tnstate.edu.

Authors

Min Yuan, Jianjun Duan, Jianwei Li, Siyang Jian, Lahiru Gamage, Kudjo E. Dzantor, Dafeng Hui, and Philip A. Fay

scientific reports

Check for updates

OPEN Effects of nitrogen fertilization and bioenergy crop species on central tendency and spatial heterogeneity of soil glycosidase activities

Min Yuan^{1,2,6}, Jianjun Duan^{1,3,6}, Jianwei Li^{1⊠}, Siyang Jian¹, Lahiru Gamage¹, Kudjo E. Dzantor¹, Dafeng Hui⁴ & Philip A. Fay⁵

Extracellular glycosidases in soil, produced by microorganisms, act as major agents for decomposing labile soil organic carbon (e.g., cellulose). Soil extracellular glycosidases are significantly affected by nitrogen (N) fertilization but fertilization effects on spatial distributions of soil glycosidases have not been well addressed. Whether the effects of N fertilization vary with bioenergy crop species also remains unclear. Based on a 3-year fertilization experiment in Middle Tennessee, USA, a total of 288 soil samples in topsoil (0-15 cm) were collected from two 15 m² plots under three fertilization treatments in switchgrass (SG: Panicum virgatum L.) and gamagrass (GG: Tripsacum dactyloides L.) using a spatially explicit design. Four glycosidases, α -glucosidase (AG), β -glucosidase (BG), β -xylosidase (BX), cellobiohydrolase (CBH), and their sum associated with C acquisition (C_{aca}) were quantified. The three fertilization treatments were no N input (NN), low N input (LN: 84 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ in urea) and high N input (HN: 168 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ in urea). The descriptive and geostatistical approaches were used to evaluate their central tendency and spatial heterogeneity. Results showed significant interactive effects of N fertilization and crop type on BX such that LN and HN significantly enhanced BX by 14% and 44% in SG, respectively. The significant effect of crop type was identified and glycosidase activities were 15–39% higher in GG than those in SG except AG. Within-plot variances of glycosidases appeared higher in SG than GG but little differed with N fertilization due to large plot-plot variation. Spatial patterns were generally more evident in LN or HN plots than NN plots for BG in SG and CBH in GG. This study suggested that N fertilization elevated central tendency and spatial heterogeneity of glycosidase activities in surficial soil horizons and these effects however varied with crop and enzyme types. Future studies need to focus on specific enzyme in certain bioenergy cropland soil when N fertilization effect is evaluated.

Bioenergy crops have the potential to reduce fossil fuel consumption¹ and the energy crops such as switchgrass (SG: Panicum virgatum L.) and gamagrass (GG: Tripsacum dactyloides L.) are key for supplying biofuel plant biomass^{2,3}. Bioenergy crop yields are enhanced by nitrogen (N) fertilizers⁴, and previous studies frequently focused on aboveground crop yield and less so on belowground features. Nevertheless, N fertilization substantially alters microbial community composition and structure in soil⁵ and consequently impacts soil extracellular enzymes that microbes produced and excreted to the environment⁶. As important proxies to soil health and management^{7,8}, soil extracellular enzyme activities mirror soil community's metabolic requirements and available nutrients9. Given the N fertilizer overuse worldwide, investigation of spatial pattern of soil microbial functions such as extracellular enzymes is imperative. Knowledge of spatiotemporal variations of soil extracellular

¹Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Tennessee State University, Nashville, TN 37209, USA. ²Sichuan Provincial Academy of Natural Resource Sciences, Chengdu 610015, Sichuan, China. ³Guizhou Provincial Key Laboratory for Tobacco Quality, College of Tobacco Science, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025, Guizhou, China. ⁴Department of Biological Sciences, Tennessee State University, Nashville, TN 37209, USA. ⁵Grassland Soil and Water Research Laboratory, USDA ARS, Temple, TX 76502, USA. ⁶These authors contributed equally: Min Yuan and Jianjun Duan. [⊠]email: jli2@tnstate.edu

enzymes will further our understanding of soil changes and help develop best management practice under rapid global change.

Extracellular glycosidases are one major soil extracellular enzymes and generally more stable than oxidases in the environment^{10–12}. Among extracellular glycosidases, α -glucosidase (*AG*), β -glucosidase (*BG*), β -xylosidase (*BX*), and cellobiohydrolase (*CBH*) are commonly studied to reveal the potential microbial activities associated with fast-turnover organic carbon^{13,14}. Thus, these glycosidases have been frequently quantified to study the controls of plant litter decomposition and soil quality. In general, *AG* and *BG* are the most important glycosidases in soils, and their hydrolysis products are source of energy for soil microorganisms. *AG* acts on the α -D-glucoside bonds present in maltose¹⁴; *BG* catalyzes the hydrolysis of β -D-glucopyranoside and is involved in the saccharification of cellulose^{11,15}; *BX* cleaves the β -1,4-linkage of xylan from the non-reducing terminus to release D-xylose and can be used for bioenergy production¹⁶⁻¹⁸; *CBH* is known to hydrolyze the ends of the cellulose chain and to processively produce glucose or cellobiose as the end product¹⁹.

In response to N additions, soil extracellular glycosidase activities are altered but the magnitude and direction of the changes vary with enzyme type, soil depth, and crop species. Based on an incubation study of an acidic forest soil, N addition little changed AG, BX, and CBH, but significantly reduced BG in the topsoil $(2-12 \text{ cm})^{20}$; On the other hand, N fertilization had no effect on these glycosidase activities in topsoil (0-10 cm) in an alpine grassland ecosystem²¹. Whereas, N addition significantly reduced AG, BG, BX, but did not change CBH at the deeper soil layers $(35-165 \text{ cm})^{20}$. Furthermore, N deposition had minor effects on a wide range of soil extracellular enzyme activities including BG and CBH in six Chinese forests²². Despite no significant N fertilization effect, the significant cropping system effects were identified on AG and BG such that higher activities were observed in plots under meadow or oat and the lowest under corn and soybean²³. Similarly, BG appeared the highest for sorghum and the lowest for cotton and BG was also significantly enhanced by 24% under N fertilization across different cropping systems²⁴. Mechanistically, the positive effect of N fertilization on BG was likely attributed to plant growth, litter input and associated saprotrophic basidiomycetes²⁵⁻²⁷. N fertilization however also decreased BG likely associated with a selective proliferation of soil fungi over bacteria²⁸. Despite the variations of N effects and the underlying mechanisms, N fertilization stimulated AG, BG, BX and CBH and C_{acq} based on a meta-analysis⁶.

The spatial distribution (e.g., spatial heterogeneity) of soil extracellular enzymes are evident in the range of centimeters to kilometers^{29,30}. The spatial variations of soil extracellular enzymes can be similar across different scales. As an example, the spatial variations of BG indexed by coefficient of variation were similar at the microsite (<100 cm²) and plot (>100 m²) scales²⁹. Since there were few studies in glycosidases, reports covering hydrolases relevant to other nutrients were discussed here. For instance, different hydrolytic enzymes such as urease, alkaline phosphatase and arylsulfatase involved in N, phosphorus (P) and sulfur (S) acquisitions also showed similar spatial variations⁸. On the other hand, soil invertase, phosphatase, and catalase activities were moderately spatially correlated, whereas urease and dehydrogenase activities were weakly spatially correlated at the county scale³¹. In general, saprotrophic basidiomycetes were regarded to be responsible for the activities and spatial distributions of soil glycosidases such as BG and CBH³², but it was also likely associated with soil physical properties such as plant-stimulated soil pore formation at the 30-150 µm³³. For instance, BG and BX activities showed little spatial variations likely related to local abiotic soil properties that are spatially homogeneous³⁴. Nevertheless, the spatial heterogeneity of extracellular enzyme activities were more likely evident in grassland and forest soils than agricultural soils^{30,35,36}, most likely driven by the contrasting root morphology and chemistry between different plants³⁷. To our knowledge, spatial patterns of soil extracellular enzymes in bioenergy crops have not been reported.

The spatial heterogeneity of soil extracellular enzymes under N addition is rarely explored. The only relevant study, to the best of our knowledge, was conducted in a semi-arid Mediterranean shrubland in central Spain³⁸. This study showed that high N deposition (50 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) tended to homogenize the spatial pattern of soil enzymatic activity including AG, BG, BX and CBH, and the presence of well-developed soil microbial communities is believed to modulate the effects of high N deposition on soil enzyme activity³⁸. However, N fertilization significantly elevated spatial heterogeneity of soil microbial biomass in bioenergy cropland soils³⁹, which suggested that, given the generally presumed positive relationship between microbial biomass and soil extracellular enzyme activities⁴⁰, N fertilization may also re-establish spatial heterogeneity of soil extracellular enzymes. In a California grassland, nutrient addition homogenized microbial function (e.g., fungal composition) on infertile soils but increased their spatial variability on fertile soils⁴¹, suggesting N fertilization effects on spatial heterogeneity of soil microbial biomass and enzyme activities also vary with indigenous site fertility. Noted that despite the positive relationship between microbial biomass and extracellular enzyme activities⁴⁰, such a relationship may not remain valid for spatial patterns of biomass and glycosidases³⁴. That means that despite the spatial structures of soil microbial biomass were re-established under N fertilization³⁹, the effects of N fertilization on spatial patterns of glycosidases could not be readily derived from the spatial pattern of microbial biomass, and direct observations are needed.

Based on a 3-year long N fertilization experiment located in the campus farm of Tennessee State University, Middle Tennessee, USA, N fertilization plots were selected in SG and GG croplands which were subjected to no-tillage or plowing, and minor mechanical disturbance. Thus, N fertilizer input marked a primary management practice in these bioenergy crop research plots. Based on the fertilization experiment and land use history, this study allowed us to examine how N fertilizations affect central tendency (i.e., plot-level mean) and spatial heterogeneity of four glycosidases (i.e., *AG*, *BG*, *BX*, and *CBH*) and their sum (i.e., C_{acq}) in both SG and GG croplands. We first hypothesized that there was significant N fertilization effect but no significant crop species or interaction of N fertilization and crop species on central tendency of glycosidases, such that N fertilization significantly increased activities of all glycosidases studied, and SG and GG possessed similar activities of glycosidases due to their characteristics of massive root volume³⁷; Because N fertilization rebuilt spatial structures of soil microbial biomass and the potentially positive relationship of microbial biomass and extracellular enzymes, our second hypothesis was that in soils that have never been fertilized for years, N fertilization would restructure spatial heterogeneity of AG, BG, BX, CBH and C_{acq} . Last, we hypothesized that N fertilization effects on central tendency and spatial heterogeneity varied with enzyme type (e.g. AG, BG, BX and CBH) due to the unique characteristics of each individual enzyme.

Materials and methods

Study site description and experimental design. In 2011, a bioenergy crop field fertilization experiment was established located at the Tennessee State University (TSU) Main Campus Agriculture Research and Education Center (AREC) in Nashville, TN, USA. Prior to the croplands, the land was mowed grassland for several decades with no amendment of fertilizers. The experimental site marks a warm humid temperate climate with an average annual temperature of 15.1 °C, and total annual precipitation of 1200 mm⁴². The crop type and N fertilization treatments were included in a randomized block design^{37,39,43,44}. The two crop types were *Alamo* SG (*Panicum virgatum* L.) and GG (*Tripsacum dactyloides* L.). The three N levels included no N fertilizer input (NN), low N fertilizer input (LN: 84 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ as urea), and high N fertilizer input (HN: 168 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ as urea), and each treatment had four replicated plots with a dimension of 3 m × 6 m. The low N fertilization rate was determined as the optimum N rate to maximize cellulosic ethanol production in established northern latitude grasslands⁴⁵. The high N rate doubled the low rate in order to create appreciable gap and detectable effect between the two levels. The fertilizer was manually applied in June or July each year after cutting the grass. The soil series for the plots is *Armour* silt loam soil (fine-silty, mixed, thermic Ultic *Hapludalfs*) with acidic soil pH (i.e., 5.97) and intermediate organic matter content of 2.4%^{39,46}.

Soil collection and laboratory assay. In this study, soil subsamples were adopted from soil collections based on our former study³⁹. Here a brief introduction was presented regarding the former soil collection. On June 6th, 2015, soil samples (0–15 cm) were collected from 12 plots ($2 \operatorname{crop} \times 3 \operatorname{N} \times 2$ replicates). In each plot, soil sampling location was determined in a spatially explicit way accounting for randomization in both sampling direction and distance (Fig. 1). Given this sampling design, the unique x, y coordinates were assigned to each sample. Twenty-four cores were collected from each plot yielding 288 soil cores in 12 plots. Soil samples stored in coolers filled with ice packs were immediately transported to TSU lab and subsequently stored at 4 °C until chemical analysis; and subsamples were stored in – 20 °C for enzymatic assay.

The visible roots and rocks were removed from soil cores by passing through a 2 mm soil sieve prior to chemical analysis and enzymatic assay. For each soil sample, soil gravimetric moisture content was determined by oven drying subsamples for 24 h at 105 °C. Water extractable soil pH was measured given soil: water = 1:5. Four glycosidase activities were quantified by soil fluorimetric enzymatic assay methods in each core. Briefly, soil samples for each plot were assayed for α -glucosidase (*AG*), β -glucosidase (*BG*), β -xylosidase (*BX*), and cellobio-hydrolase (*CBH*) using 4-methylumbelliferyl (MUB)- α -D-glucopyranoside, MUB- β -D-glucopyranoside, MUB- β -D-cellobioside with concentrations of 200 mmol/L as substrates, respectively, following published protocols^{47,48}. Sample suspensions were prepared by placing 1.0 g soil in a 125 ml Nalgene bottle. Acetate buffer (50 mM, pH 5) was added to the bottle and the resulting suspension was homogenized using a Brinkmann Polytron for approximately 1 min. Additional buffer was added to the bottle to bring the final suspension volume to 125 ml.

The plates were placed in an Echotherm incubator at 20 °C, for 18–24 h given enzyme type. The assay of glycosidase activities was conducted on black 96-well microtiter plates. The assay design included reference standards (eight wells) and quench controls (eight wells per sample) added to each plate. The 10 μ M MUB was used as the reference standard for *AG*, *BG*, *BX* and *CBH*. Quench control wells contained 200 μ l of sample suspension and 50 μ l of the reference standard. The assay was incubated at 20 °C. The reactions were terminated by adding 10 μ l of 1.0 M NaOH to each well. Fluorescence was measured using a Molecular Devices (Multi-Mode Microplate Reader, FilterMaxF5) with excitation set to 365 nm and emission set to 460 nm. All enzyme activities were calculated as μ mol activity h⁻¹ g soil⁻¹. A total of 1152 enzymatic activity data were collected for 288 soil samples and 4 enzymes. Laboratory tests were conducted and specific protocols were optimized to secure sufficient soil mixing. As a result, the variation of each measurement (i.e., coefficient of variation) in multiple tests ranged from 2 to 8% based on our protocol.

Statistical analysis. We use both descriptive and geospatial analytical methods to illustrate the central tendency and spatial heterogeneity of enzymes assayed. Mean, frequency distribution, plot-level variance and with-plot coefficient of variation (CV) were estimated to describe central tendencies and variations for enzyme activities in each plot. The two-way ANOVA was used to test whether N fertilization, crop species and their interaction significantly affected each enzyme. To avoid the pseudo-replication impacts, the plot means were used in the two-way ANOVA test. The statistically significant level was set at P < 0.05.

Cochran's C test was performed to test the assumption of variance homogeneity. The test statistic is a ratio that relates the largest empirical variance of a particular treatment to the sum of the variances of the remaining treatments. The theoretical distribution with the corresponding critical values can be specified. Soil properties that exhibited non-normal distributions were log-transformed to better conform to the normality assumption of the Cochran's C test^{49,50}.

The sample size required in a research plot can be determined quantitatively under given desired sampling error⁵¹. That is, under a desired sampling error, the sample sizes derived can be used to evaluate the plot-level variations between different research plots. In this study, the sample size requirement (*N*) in each plot was derived given specified relative error (γ), which was defined as the ratio of error term ($t_{0.975} \times \frac{1}{5\sqrt{n}}$) over plot mean (\overline{X})



Figure 1. Illustration of an efficient clustered random sampling design within a plot ($2.75 \text{ m} \times 5.5 \text{ m}$). The plot was divided into eight subplots (grey zone) and there was a centroid (dark solid circle) in each subplot ($1.375 \text{ m} \times 1.375 \text{ m}$), where three soil sampling points (+) were determined from random directions and distances from a centroid in each sampling region (grey area). The extent of an interpolation map was thus determined by the minimum and maximum values at horizontal and vertical axes, and each map can attain its extent less than or equivalent to a plot area.

with a range of 0-100% (Eqs. 1–3). To evaluate how sample size requirement varied with N fertilization or crop types at certain relative error, the average of sample size (N) in two plots was derived and plotted. Under a relative error of 10%, the sample sizes were also derived from each plot and compared between different plots. For comparison, the higher sample size the greater plot-level variation under the same relative error.

$$CI = \overline{X} \pm t_{0.975} \times \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$$
(1)

$$\gamma = \frac{t_{0.975} \times \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}}{\overline{X}} = t_{0.975} \times \frac{CV}{\sqrt{N}}$$
(2)

$$\ln(N) = 2 \times \ln(t_{0.975} \times CV) - 2 \times (\gamma)$$
(3)

where CI, \overline{X} , s, n, N, CV, and γ denote confidence interval, plot means, plot standard deviation, sample number (n = 24), coefficient of variation, sample size requirement and relative error, respectively. t_{0.975}= 1.96. The log-transformed sample size requirement (*N*) has a negative linear relationship (i.e. slope = 2) with the log-transformed relative error (γ).

Enzyme type	Fertilization	Crop	Fertilization * crop	
AG	0.1014	0.7691	0.0565	
BG	0.222	0.0099	0.3961	
BX	0.0077	0.0233	0.0337	
СВН	0.5672	0.0558	0.682	
Cacq	0.3014	0.0178	0.4603	

Table 1. P-values of two-way ANOVA tests for the main and interactive effects of N fertilization and crop species on *AG*, *BG*, *BX*, *CBH*, and C_{acq} (µmol g⁻¹soil h⁻¹). Bold numbers denote significant treatment effects at *P*<0.05. *AG*: α-glucosidase; *BG*: β-glucosidase; *BX*: β-xylosidase; *CBH*: cellobiohydrolase; C_{acq} : the sum of *AG*, *BG*, *BX* and *CBH*.

Geostatistical analysis. Three different geostatistical tools were applied to describe the spatial structure of soil exoenzyme activities within and among plots. The methods were briefly described below and more details could be found in Li^{52} . First, trend surface analysis (TSA) is the most common regionalized model in which all sample points fit a model that accounts for the linear and non-linear variation of an attribute. Relationships between soil properties and x and y coordinates of their measurement location within the sampling plots are estimated with the trend surface model (Eq. 4):

Soil property value =
$$\beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 y + \beta_3 xy + \beta_4 x^2 + \beta_5 y^2$$
 (4)

The presence of a trend in the data was determined by the significance of any of the parameters β_1 to β_5 , while the β_0 was the intercept^{53,54}. Linear gradients in x or y directions were indicated by the significance of β_1 or β_2 . A significant β_3 indicated a significant diagonal trend across a plot. Significant β_4 and β_5 parameters indicated a more complex, nonlinear spatial structure such as substantial humps or depressions. Trend surface regressions were estimated using *R* program⁵⁵. Model parameters were determined to be significant at a level of P < 0.05.

Second, residuals from the trend surface regressions were saved for subsequent spatial analysis using a Moran's I index⁵⁶. The Moran's I analysis⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹ was used to quantify the degree of spatial autocorrelation that were present in each plot. The resulting local Moran's I statistics is in the range from – 1 to 1 With a positive Moran's I values indicating similar values (either high or low) are spatially clustered, and a negative Moran's I values indicating neighboring values are dissimilar. No spatial autocorrelation or spatial randomness was reached with a Moran's I value of 0. Given that the observed Moran's I value is beyond the projected 95% confidence interval at a certain distance, this is identified as a significant autocorrelation. In this study, correlograms were produced for soil variables in all plots given a range of 0–5.5 m with 0.25 m incremental interval.

Third, an ordinary kriging method was usually used to produce maps which offered direct and visual assessments from which to compare the spatial distributions of the soil properties among the plots⁶⁰. The ordinary kriging method required a large sample size (i.e., a few hundred or more) in order to achieve reliable interpretation maps⁶⁰. Due to the fine-scale sampling region $(1.375 \times 1.375 \text{ m})$ and a relatively small sample size per plot (n = 24), inverse distance weighting (IDW) interpolation was used in this study. The IDW maps were formerly used to distinguish the effects of different land uses on spatial distributions of soil biogeochemical features in South Carolina, USA⁵². Briefly, the weights for each observation were inversely proportional to the power of its distance from the location being estimated. Exponents between 1 and 3 we typically used for IDW. Tests with different IDW exponents indicated that 2 was optimal with data collected in this study, as the exponent of 2.0 showed the best fit between estimated values and actual data in cross-validation tests⁶¹. ArcGIS 10.6 (ESRI, USA) was used to generate the IDW maps and perform cross-validations.

Results

Central tendencies and within-plot variances. There were significant main and interactive effects of N fertilization and crop species on *BX* activity (Table 1), and post hoc tests showed that relative to unfertilized treatment (NN), N fertilization treatments significantly escalated *BX* activity by 14% (LN) and 44% (HN) in SG (Table 2). There were also significant effects of crop species on the activities of *BG* and *BX* (Table 1) and the effect of crop species on *CBH* was marginally significant (P=0.056; Table 1). Relative to SG, GG was higher by 15%, 31%, 32%, and 39% in *BX*, *BG*, *C_{acq}* and *CBH*, respectively (Table 2). There were no significant effects of N fertilization or interaction of N fertilization and crop species on activities of *AG*, *BG*, *CBH* or *C_{acq}* (Table 1).

The frequency diagrams of four glycosidase activities and C_{acq} showed nearly normal distributions under all treatments in two croplands except *BG* under HN in SG (Fig. 2). The frequency distributions contrasted substantially among different N fertilization treatments for SG, whereas, the frequency distributions of different N fertilization treatments showed relatively similar ranges for GG (Fig. 2). Remarkably, for *BX* in SG, NN appeared to have the highest frequency in lower values, whereas, HN had the highest frequency in higher values. This frequency distributions between NN and HN was consistent with a significantly escalated *BX* activity in HN compared to NN (Table 2). On the other hand, the Cochran's C tests showed that N fertilization little changed plot-level variation for most glycosidase activities in both bioenergy croplands, except that HN induced the highest plot-level variance for *AG* in SG (Table 3).

The within-plot CVs of four glycosidases and C_{acq} ranged from 15 to 47% in all treatments (Fig. 3). The CVs of AG, CBH and C_{acq} were higher in SG than those in GG (Fig. 3). In 12 plots, the number of plots with CVs larger than 40% for AG, BG, BX, CHB and C_{acq} were 2, 0, 0, 4 and 2 in SG, and 0, 1, 0, 1 and 0 in GG, respectively;

Crop	Fertilization	AG	BG	BX	СВН	Cacq
SG	NN	1.34 ± 0.22^a	75.56 ± 01.91^{a}	5.58 ± 0.20 ^b	24.15 ± 01.15^{a}	106.62 ± 00.79^{a}
	LN	1.24 ± 0.05^a	94.83 ± 12.27^{a}	6.35 ± 0.37^{ab}	30.72 ± 05.16^{a}	133.14 ± 17.75^{a}
	HN	1.90 ± 0.01^a	108.59 ± 07.95^{a}	8.03 ± 0.23^{a}	36.50 ± 03.05^{a}	155.01 ± 10.76^{a}
GG	NN	1.58 ± 0.19^a	119.64 ± 24.18^{a}	8.13 ± 0.11^a	41.78 ± 14.81^{a}	171.13 ± 39.30^{a}
	LN	1.47 ± 0.09^{a}	122.62 ± 12.47^{a}	6.33 ± 0.09^{ab}	41.96 ± 09.55^{a}	172.38 ± 22.02^{a}
	HN	1.46 ± 0.25^{a}	124.45 ± 12.49^{a}	8.40 ± 1.26^a	43.11 ± 10.09^{a}	177.42 ± 24.09^{a}

Table 2. Means (± SE) of *AG*, *BG*, *BX*, *CBH*, and C_{acq} (µmol g⁻¹soil h⁻¹) under three N fertilization treatments (NN, LN and HN) in two bioenergy croplands (SG and GG). SG: switchgrass; GG: gammagrass; NN: No nitrogen fertilizer input; LN: low nitrogen (84 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ in urea); HN: High nitrogen (168 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ in urea). In each column, different lowercase letters denote significant difference between fertilization treatments at P<0.05 (N = 2).

.....

Accordingly, the number of plots with CVs less than 20%, were 0, 1, 0, 0 and 0 in SG, and 0, 4, 3, 1 and 0 in GG, respectively.

The sample size requirement (SSR) for all enzymes was generally higher for NN than LN or HN in both croplands, except *CBH* in GG (Fig. 4). The plotted lines of SSR against relative sampling error departed from one to another in SG in a much wider extent than those in GG for all glycosidases except *BX* (Fig. 4). In general, a larger number of samples were required in SG than that in GG for all glycosidases under the same desired relative error. Given the same desired sampling error of 10%, the sample size required were always larger in SG than that in GG; A total of 123 samples were required for *CBH* under NN in SG and only 14 samples were required for *BG* under HN in GG to achieve the desired error of 10% in both plots (Table 4).

Surface trend, autocorrelation and spatial map. Trend surface analysis results showed only a few significant linear or nonlinear trends in each plot, and about half of plots showed no significant linear or nonlinear trends (Table 5; Table S1). Given the detected significant surface trends, there was a contrasting pattern of surface trend with N fertilization between SG and GG (Table 5). In SG, there were no significant linear or nonlinear trends in any of HN plots for all enzymes. Relative to NN plots, there were more significant linear or nonlinear surface trends of *AG* and *BG* in LN plots, whereas there were comparable number of surface trends in any of NN and LN plots (Table 5). In GG, there were no significant linear or nonlinear trends in any of NN and LN plots for *CBH*. Relative to NN plots, there were more significant linear or nonlinear surface trends of *BG*, *CBH*, and *C*_{acq} in LN or HN plots; whereas there were comparable or larger number of surface trends of *AG* in NN than those in LN or HN plots (Table 5). Under the same treatment, the number of significant linear or nonlinear surface trends of *AG* in LN plots (Table 5). Under the same treatment, the number of significant linear or nonlinear significant linear or nonlinear surface trends of *AG* in LN plots (Table 5). Under the same treatment, the number of significant linear or nonlinear trends varied between the two replicated plots, and in all cases except *AG* in LN plot in GG, there was significant surface trends in one plot, but none in another plot (Table S1).

The number and distance of significant spatial autocorrelations varied with N fertilization treatments, bioenergy croplands, and among variables (Table 6). The number of significant spatial autocorrelations in SG was identified more frequently than that in GG for AG, BX, CBH, and C_{acq} across three N fertilization treatments. Compared to NN, fertilized treatments (LN and HN) possessed a higher number of significant spatial autocorrelations for BG, BX, CBH in SG, and for AG, BX, CBH in GG. The distance of significant spatial autocorrelations for be positive or negative in any plot for any enzyme studied. The distances in which the significant spatial autocorrelations appeared ranged from – 5.25 to 5 m across all enzymes. Relative to other enzymes, AG showed significant spatial autocorrelations in more diverse distances in almost all plots except P1 in NN and LN plots in GG (Fig. 5).

With the same scale for each enzyme in two crops, the IDW maps of all enzymes exhibited higher activities (e.g., darker color) in GG than those in SG, and this was true in unfertilized and fertilized plots (i.e., NN, LN and HH) (Figs. 6 and 7). In SG, all IDW maps exhibited low to high activities (e.g., shallower and gradually darker colors) from NN plots, through LN, to HN plots (Fig. 6). Also, the contrast between dark and shallow color regimes in a plot were more pronounced in LN or HN relative to NN, and this was particularly evident for *BX* (Fig. 6). In GG, the IDW maps exhibited different patterns from those in SG. Large variations of color regime were identified among different enzymes with darker color for *BG*, *CBH* and C_{acq} (Fig. 7). The color regimes were comparable and evident among all plots for each enzyme (Fig. 7).

Discussion

N fertilization elevated BX activity in switchgrass cropland soils. Our current study identified that only *BX* activity was significantly affected by N fertilization. This only partially supported our first hypothesis that all studied glycosidases would increase with N fertilization. The responsiveness of *BX* may lie in several possible mechanisms. First, N fertilization could increase production and excretion of *BX* given the elevated relative abundance of Gram-negative bacteria in cropland soils^{62,63} because of the close association of *BX* with Gram-negative bacteria⁶⁴. Second, *BX* was found out to be significantly correlated with soil C contents but not significantly correlated with microbial biomass⁶⁵. In our plots, N fertilization significantly increased SOC by up to 16% but little changed microbial biomass³⁹. The positive response of *BX* thus was likely associated with the elevated SOC stock under N fertilization. Third, N fertilization stimulated plant growth and subsequent





Crop	Fertilization	Plot	AG	BG	BX	CBH	Cacq
	NN	P1	0.37	698.1	3.93	242.7	1459.8
	ININ	P2	0.15	450.1	3.33	119.9	987.6
SC	IN	P1	0.26	539.0	3.18	98.3	1081.9
30	LIN	P2	0.13	739.9	2.56	217.8	1789.8
	LIN	P1	0.50	338.0	5.46	210.7	1086.6
	1110	P2	0.24	704.2	6.51	163.7	1530.1
Cochran's test	C value	0.30	0.21	0.26	0.23	0.26	
Coefficients test	<i>p</i> -value	0.03	0.90	0.16	0.51	0.60	
	NN	P1	0.15	815.5	4.09	109.1	1632.9
	1111	P2	0.17	421.9	5.05	169.6	1006.0
GG	LN	P1	0.12	750.0	3.97	136.1	1267.2
00		P2	0.16	371.4	1.03	130.4	788.1
	IN	P1	0.07	398.7	1.59	191.8	1119.5
	1110	P2	0.17	792.5	3.37	186.9	1710.1
		C value	0.20	0.20	0.23	0.26	0.21
Coefficients test		<i>p</i> -value	1.00	0.52	0.14	1.00	0.57
Total Cochran's tast		C value	0.20	0.20	0.12	0.15	0.33
Iotal Cochrans test		<i>p</i> -value	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.11	1.00

Table 3. Comparison of the variances and Cochran's C test results for *AG*, *BG*, *BX*, *CBH*, and C_{acq} (µmol g⁻¹soil h⁻¹) under three N fertilization treatments (NN, LN and HN) in two bioenergy croplands (SG and GG). The abbreviations are referred to Tables 1 and 2.



Figure 3. Within-plot CVs of *AG*, *BG*, *BX*, *CBH* and C_{acq} under three N fertilization treatments (NN, LN and HN) in two bioenergy croplands (SG and GG). The dashed lines represent a CV of 20% and 40%. Different lowercase letters denote significant difference in CV between fertilization treatments and different uppercase letters between crop species for each enzyme at P<0.05. The abbreviations are referred to Tables 1 and 2.

stimulation of microbial activity in our plots³⁷, this likely contributed to the elevated *BX* but it remained unclear why other glycosidases studied showed no positive response to N fertilization. One possible explanation for the lack of response in *CBH* may lie in the N fertilization effect on the Gram-positive bacteria, fungi and the actinomycetes^{63,66,67}, which correlated with *CBH*⁶⁸. Interestingly, the detectable response of *BX* suggested that the fundamental attributes of enzymatic reactions could be extrapolated from molecule through community to ecosystem scales⁶⁹.

In addition, *BX* and *BG* were significantly and *CBH* was marginally significantly higher (by > 30%) in GG than that in SG. This rejected part of our first hypothesis that there was no significant difference of glycosidase activities between SG and GG. Despite similar characteristics of both SG and GG roots, i.e., massive root volume, the contrasting root chemistry of the two plants may induce different strategy to compete with soil microbes for nutrients⁷⁰. Given the more structurally complex nature of GG root than SG root³⁷, this may slow GG root to acquire readily available N (e.g., ammonium or nitrate) and thus result in high nutrient availability to microbes. The strategy of microbial nutrient acquisition may thus shift from the control by nutrient deficiency to nutrient abundance, resulting in less *BX* production and expression under N fertilization in GG. Besides the crop species, the effect of N fertilization on glycosidases were also reported to co-vary with other factors, such as soil depth and sampling location (rhizosphere vs. bulk soil)^{71,72} and soil and ecosystem types⁶.



Figure 4. Plots of log transformed sample size requirements (SSR) and desired relative errors AG, BG, BX, CBH and C_{acq} under three N fertilization treatments (NN, LN and HN) in two bioenergy croplands (SG and GG). NN: red dotted line; LN: black dotted line; and HN: black solid line. The log scale was applied on both axes. The abbreviations are referred to Tables 1 and 2. SSR denotes the average of two plots in each treatment.

Enzyme	Crop type	Relative error, %	NN	LN	HN
AG	SG	10	53	49	36
AG	GG	10	25	25	20
BG	SG	10	38	27	16
BG	GG	10	19	15	14
BX	SG	10	45	28	36
BX	GG	10	26	24	13
СВН	SG	10	123	61	55
СВН	GG	10	33	32	43
Cacq	SG	10	41	30	21
Cacq	GG	10	20	14	17

Table 4. Sample size requirement for *AG*, *BG*, *BX*, *CBH*, and C_{acq} (µmol g⁻¹soil h⁻¹) under the relative error of 10% under three N fertilization treatments (NN, LN and HN) in two bioenergy croplands (SG and GG). Each sample size denotes the average of sample size in two plots under the same treatment. The abbreviations are referred to Tables 1 and 2.

Crop type	Enzyme	NN	LN	HN
	AG	0	2	0
	BG	0	1	0
SG	BX	2	2	0
	СВН	3	3	0
	Cacq	2	2	0
	AG	2	1	2
	BG	0	1	2
GG	BX	0	0	0
	СВН	0	0	2
	Cacq	0	1	2

Table 5. The number of significant regression coefficients of trend-surface analysis for *AG*, *BG*, *BX*, *CBH*, and C_{acq} (µmol g⁻¹soil h⁻¹) under three N fertilization treatments (NN, LN and HN) in two bioenergy croplands (SG and GG). Values represent the sum of significant regression coefficients in two replicated plots under each treatment. The regression coefficients denote parameters β_1 to β_5 in Eq. (4). The significant coefficients of trend-surface analysis for each plot was presented in Table S1. The abbreviations are referred to Tables 1 and 2.

Crop	Fertilization	Plot	AG	BG	BX	СВН	Cacq
	NN	P1	0.75, 1.25, - 3.00, - 3.25		0.75, 5.00		0.75, 5.00
		P2	2.75	2.75	- 2	- 4.75	
80	IN	P1	- 1.75, 3.25, 4.50	- 1.75		- 3.25	
36	LIN	P2	0.75, - 2.25, - 2.75	0.75, - 2.25	0.75, -4.50, -5	0.75, -2.25, -2.75	0.75; - 2.25
	HN	P1	0.75, 1.00, 1.75, - 3.50, - 5.00	- 3.50, - 4.00	0.75, - 3.50, 4.00, - 5.00	0.75, 1.00, 3.25, - 3.50	0.75, 1.00, 3.25, - 3.50
		P2	- 2.25				
	NN	P1					
		P2	- 4.5	3.50, - 5.00			3.50, - 5.00
GG	LN	P1		0.50, - 4.00, - 4.50, - 4.75, - 5.25	3.75, - 4.50		0.5
		P2	- 3.5		- 0.75	- 3.75,4.25	
	UN	P1	- 0.50, 1.00		3.25	- 2	
	1110	P2	- 2.00, - 4.00	0.5			0.5

Table 6. Summary of significant distance for spatial dependence based on Moran's I values for *AG*, *BG*, *BX*, *CBH*, and C_{acq} (µmol g⁻¹soil h⁻¹) under three N fertilization treatments (NN, LN and HN) in two bioenergy croplands (SG and GG). The unit of the distance for spatial dependence is meter. The abbreviations are referred to Tables 1 and 2.





Scientific Reports | (2020) 10:19681 |



Figure 6. Spatial distributions of *AG*, *BG*, *BX*, *CBH* and C_{acq} activity in soils under three N fertilization treatments (i.e. NN, LN and HN) in SG. The interpolation maps were produced by inverse distance weighting (IDW) method using ArcGIS software by Esri (version 10.2.1, http://www.esri.com). The abbreviations are referred to Tables 1 and 2.



Figure 7. Spatial distributions of *AG*, *BG*, *BX*, *CBH* and *C*_{acq} activity in soils under three N fertilization treatments (i.e. NN, LN and HN) in GG. The interpolation maps were produced by inverse distance weighting (IDW) method using ArcGIS software by Esri (version 10.2.1, http://www.esri.com). The abbreviations are referred to Tables 1 and 2.

	SOC	TN	C/N	MBC	MBN	MBC/MBN	AG	BG	BX	CBH
SOC	1.00									
TN	0.92	1.00								
C/N	0.28	- 0.12	1.00							
MBC	0.19	0.21	- 0.01	1.00						
MBN	0.18	0.21	- 0.04	0.36	1.00					
MBC/MBN	- 0.03	- 0.04	0.00	0.41	- 0.64	1.00				
AG	- 0.05	- 0.09	0.11	- 0.04	- 0.08	0.03	1.00			
BG	0.19	0.23	- 0.07	0.05	0.13	- 0.11	0.47	1.00		
BX	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.01	0.10	- 0.10	0.54	0.54	1.00	
СВН	0.21	0.27	- 0.12	- 0.02	0.08	- 0.10	0.53	0.69	0.53	1.00

Table 7. Pearson correlation coefficients between SOC, TN, C/N, MBC, MBN, MBC/MBN, and glycosidase activities (i.e., *AG*, *BG*, *BX*, *CBH*) under three N fertilization treatments (NN, LN and HN) in two bioenergy croplands (SG and GG). Bold values denote significant correlation coefficients at P < 0.05.

Based on the post hoc tests of the interaction term, *BX* activity was significantly escalated under N fertilization in SG. That is, the positive response of *BX* to N fertilizer appeared much less in GG than SG. This may lie in several different mechanisms. First, relative to SG, the percentage increases of SOC under N fertilization were much smaller in GG⁴⁴, which could limit the response of *BX* given the relationship of *BX* and soil C contents⁶⁵. Second, soil microbial biomass showed no significant change in SG but significantly increased under N fertilization in GG³⁹. We speculate that despite the increased microbial biomass, the substrate availability to microbes may have been limited due to no change of SOC under N fertilization⁴⁴, which could result in microbial C limitation and subsequently a constraint on enzyme productions.

N fertilization restructured spatial heterogeneity of glycosidases. In support of our second hypothesis, N fertilization resulted in more pronounced spatial heterogeneity of glycosidases in both bioenergy croplands. Despite tremendous efforts committed to avoid nutrient hotspots due to uneven fertilizer application, the elevated spatial heterogeneity were still likely induced by fertilizer application in bioenergy crops because manual spread of N fertilizers would create significant irregularity of nutrient deposit and clusters^{39,44}, and consequently favor the formation of hotspots of soil microbial communities⁷³. In these hotspots, microbes grew faster and SOC appeared high so that these conditions may eventually create hotspots of microbial functions, such as greater extracellular enzyme activities. Consistent with this speculation, the positive effect of N fertilization on spatial heterogeneity of SOC were also found in the same field experiment⁴⁴. This intricate associations of bulk soil C and glycosidases were also supported by their significant correlation coefficients (P < 0.05; Table 7). Meanwhile, there was no significant correlations between microbial biomass C and any of these glycosidases studied (Table 7). The correlation between SOC and glycosidase and no correlation between MBC and glycosidase are consistent with the findings revealed in Waldrop, Balser⁶⁵. These suggested that the N fertilization induced heterogeneity of glycosidases may be largely moderated by the microbial substrate availability over space (e.g., SOC), not necessarily by the microbial abundance (e.g., MBC). This strategy was consistent with the recent discovery of the negative correlation between maximum microbial growth rate and soil extracellular hydrolytic enzymes under high resource conditions⁷⁴. With readily available nutrients (e.g., nitrate and ammonium) under N fertilization, the resource acquisition strategists invest heavily in extracellular enzyme production while other microbial groups (e.g., growth strategist and maintenance strategist) either compete for fast growth or limit investment in both enzymes and growth⁷⁴. Noted that a positive effect of N fertilization on spatial heterogeneity of MBC was also found in the same experiment³⁹, suggesting a decoupling of spatial distributions of MBC and glycosidases under N fertilizations. Collectively, these results suggested that the change and distribution of glycosidases may primarily be driven by the substrate availability for microbes, not the abundance of microbes themselves.

N fertilization effects on central tendency and spatial heterogeneity of glycosidases varied with enzyme type. In support of our third hypothesis, this study showed that the N fertilization effects on central tendency and spatial heterogeneity of glycosidases varied with enzyme type. This result is not surprising due to the generally high indigenous soil heterogeneity. N fertilizer applied in each point in a plot impact glycosidase activities in the specific location via a suite of biogeochemical reactions involving the N-containing molecules, plant root, soil, and microbes; Then, the glycosidase activity could increase or decrease in each location affected by N fertilizer input, leading to re-distribution of enzymes and other soil features (e.g., SOC and MBC), i.e., restructuring spatial heterogeneity in the plot level; As a consequence of spatial restructuring, the plot level mean or central tendency and variation were likely changed as well. The N fertilization-induced changes in spatial heterogeneity of soil enzymes will also likely depend upon the indigenous site condition and the legacy effect that it exerted for years to decades⁷⁵.

In response to N fertilization, both central tendency and spatial heterogeneity of glycosidases contrasted among different enzymes. Besides the unique chemical characteristics of each enzyme, the indigenous variation of each enzyme in a plot may regulate the effect of N fertilization. Glycosidases showed medium CVs (i.e., 15–47%) as they appeared to be higher than that for soil moisture, total pore space, pH, SOC, TN, δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N^{30,44,76} and substantially lower than that for extractable soil Fe and Mn⁵². However, substantially different CVs were evident between enzymes in this study. For instance, *BG* showed the most extreme CVs of 15–47% while *AG* showed narrow CVs of 23–29% in GG. The contrasting plot-level variations led to a difference of sample size required up to an order of magnitude (123 vs. 14; Table 4). The same number of soil sampling design in each plot unavoidably induced differential error terms for different enzymes that could have contributed to the insignificant treatment effects for most enzymes. However, *BX* responded significantly to both N fertilization and crop type suggesting an essentially strong and a suite of high order interaction of this enzyme with plant and soil, mediated by microbial community strategy. Due to the complexity of interactions, the fertilization effects on spatial heterogeneity of *BG* and *CBH* were identified. This suggested that the N fertilization effects on central tendency and spatial distribution of enzymes decoupled for the same enzyme.

Conclusions

Our study demonstrated that N fertilization significantly enhanced central tendency and resulted in more pronounced spatial heterogeneity of glycosidase activities in top soil horizons in bioenergy croplands, but the N fertilization effects varied with crop species and enzyme type. N fertilization significantly enhanced *BX* by 14–44% in SG, and consistently restructured the spatial heterogeneity of *BG* in SG and *CBH* in GG. Two bioenergy crops showed contrasting glycosidases' activities (GG > SG) and their plot-level variations (GG < SG). The unique enzyme characteristics and their interactions with plant root and soil, mediated by soil microbial community, possibly explained the enzyme-specific responses under N fertilization. Though N fertilization elevated mean activities and spatial heterogeneity of glycosidases, these effects varied with crop species and enzyme type. Future studies should focus on specific enzyme when evaluating N fertilization effect in certain bioenergy cropland soil.

Received: 1 July 2020; Accepted: 23 October 2020 Published online: 12 November 2020

References

- 1. Monti, A., Barbanti, L., Zatta, A. & Zegada-Lizarazu, W. The contribution of switchgrass in reducing GHG emissions. GCB Bioenergy 4, 420–434 (2012).
- Gelfand, I. et al. Sustainable bioenergy production from marginal lands in the US Midwest. Nature 493, 514-517 (2013).
- 3. Kering, M. K., Butler, T. J., Biermacher, J. T., Mosali, J. & Guretzky, J. A. Effect of potassium and nitrogen fertilizer on switchgrass productivity and nutrient removal rates under two harvest systems on a low potassium soil. *Bioenergy Res.* 6, 329–335 (2012).
- 4. Owens, V. N. et al. Nitrogen use in switchgrass grown for bioenergy across the USA. Biomass Bioenergy. 58, 286-293 (2013).
- Ramirez, K. S., Lauber, C. L., Knight, R., Bradford, M. A. & Fierer, N. Consistent effects of nitrogen fertilization on soil bacterial communities in contrasting systems. *Ecology* 91, 3463–3470 (2010).
- Jian, S. et al. Soil extracellular enzyme activities, soil carbon and nitrogen storage under nitrogen fertilization: A meta-analysis. Soil Biol. Biochem. 101, 32–43 (2016).
- Stott DE. Recommended Soil Health Indicators and Associated Laboratory Procedures. Soil Health Technical Note No. 450-03. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service) (2019).
- Askin, T. & Kizilkaya, R. Assessing spatial variability of soil enzyme activities in pasture topsoils using geostatistics. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 42, 230–237 (2006).
- 9. Caldwell, B. A. Enzyme activities as a component of soil biodiversity: A review. *Pedobiologia* **49**, 637–644 (2005).
- 10. Bell, T. H. & Henry, H. A. L. Fine scale variability in soil extracellular enzyme activity is insensitive to rain events and temperature in a mesic system. *Pedobiologia* 54, 141–146 (2011).
- 11. Bandick, A. K. & Dick, R. P. Field management effects on soil enzyme activities. Soil Biol. Biochem. 31, 1471-1479 (1999).
- Martín-Lammerding, D., Navas, M., del Mar Albarrán, M., Tenorio, J. L. & Walter, I. LONG term management systems under semiarid conditions: Influence on labile organic matter, β-glucosidase activity and microbial efficiency. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 96, 296–305 (2015).
- 13. Sinsabaugh, R. L. et al. Stoichiometry of soil enzyme activity at global scale. Ecol. Lett. 11, 1252–1264 (2008).
- 14. Klose, S. & Tabatabai, M. Response of glycosidases in soils to chloroform fumigation. Biol. Fertil. Soils 35, 262–269 (2002).
- 15. Turner, B. L., Hopkins, D. W., Haygarth, P. M. & Ostle, N. beta-Glucosidase activity in pasture soils. Appl. Soil Ecol. 20(2), 157–162 (2002).
- Shao, W. et al. Characterization of a novel β-xylosidase, XylC, from Thermoanaerobacterium saccharolyticum JW/SL-YS485. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77, 719–726 (2011).
- Corrêa, J. M. et al. High levels of β-xylosidase in Thermomyces lanuginosus: Potential use for saccharification. Braz. J. Microbiol 47, 680–690 (2016).
- Sato, M. et al. Isolation of highly thermostable β-xylosidases from a hot spring soil microbial community using a metagenomic approach. DNA Res. 24, 649–656 (2017).
- Lynd, L. R., Weimer, P. J., van Zyl, W. H. & Pretorius, I. S. Microbial cellulose utilization: Fundamentals and biotechnology. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 66, 506–577 (2002).
- 20. Heitkötter, J., Niebuhr, J., Heinze, S. & Marschner, B. Patterns of nitrogen and citric acid induced changes in C-turnover and enzyme activities are different in topsoil and subsoils of a sandy Cambisol. *Geoderma* 292, 111–117 (2017).
- Jing, X. et al. Neutral effect of nitrogen addition and negative effect of phosphorus addition on topsoil extracellular enzymatic activities in an alpine grassland ecosystem. Appl. Soil. Ecol. 107, 205–213 (2016).
- Jing, X. *et al.* Nitrogen deposition has minor effect on soil extracellular enzyme activities in six Chinese forests. *Sci. Total Environ.* 607–608, 806–815 (2017).
- 23. Dodor, D. E. & Ali, T. M. Glycosidases in soils as affected by cropping systems. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 168, 749-758 (2005).
- 24. Dou, F., Wright, A. L., Mylavarapu, R. S., Jiang, X. & Matocha, J. E. Soil Enzyme activities and organic matter composition affected by 26 years of continuous cropping. *Pedosphere* **26**, 618–625 (2016).
- Ajwa, H. A., Dell, C. J. & Rice, C. W. Changes in enzyme activities and microbial biomass of tallgrass prairie soil as related to burning and nitrogen fertilization. Soil Biol. Biochem. 31, 769–777 (1999).
- Sinsabaugh, R. L., Carreiro, M. M. & Repert, D. A. Allocation of extracellular enzymatic activity in relation to litter composition, N deposition, and mass loss. *Biogeochemistry* 60, 1–24 (2002).

- 27. Maharjan, M., Sanaullah, M., Razavi, B. S. & Kuzyakov, Y. Effect of land use and management practices on microbial biomass and enzyme activities in subtropical top-and sub-soils. *Appl. Soil. Ecol.* **113**, 22–28 (2017).
- 28. Wang, R. *et al.* Responses of enzymatic activities within soil aggregates to 9-year nitrogen and water addition in a semi-arid grassland. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **81**, 159–167 (2015).
- 29. Baldrian, P. & Vetrovsky, T. Scaling down the analysis of environmental processes: Monitoring enzyme activity in natural substrates on a millimeter resolution scale. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **78**, 3473–3475 (2012).
- Baldrian, P. Distribution of extracellular enzymes in soils: Spatial heterogeneity and determining factors at various scales. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 78, 11–18 (2014).
- Tan, X. et al. County-scale spatial distribution of soil enzyme activities and enzyme activity indices in agricultural land: Implications for soil quality assessment. TheScientificWorldJournal 2014, 535768 (2014).
- Šnajdr, J., Valášková, V., Merhautová, V., Cajthaml, T. & Baldrian, P. Activity and spatial distribution of lignocellulose-degrading enzymes during forest soil colonization by saprotrophic basidiomycetes. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* 43, 186–192 (2008).
- 33. Kravchenko, A. N. et al. Microbial spatial footprint as a driver of soil carbon stabilization. Nat. Commun. 10, 3121 (2019).
- Boeddinghaus, R. S., Nunan, N., Berner, D., Marhan, S. & Kandeler, E. Do general spatial relationships for microbial biomass and soil enzyme activities exist in temperate grassland soils?. Soil Biol. Biochem. 88, 430–440 (2015).
- Brackin, R., Robinson, N., Lakshmanan, P. & Schmidt, S. Microbial function in adjacent subtropical forest and agricultural soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 57, 68–77 (2013).
- 36. James, S. E., Pärtel, M., Wilson, S. D. & Peltzer, D. A. Temporal heterogeneity of soil moisture in grassland and forest. *J. Ecol.* **91**, 234–239 (2003).
- Li, J. et al. Effects of nitrogen fertilization and bioenergy crop type on topsoil organic carbon and total Nitrogen contents in middle Tennessee USA. PLoS ONE 15, e0230688 (2020).
- Benvenutto-Vargas, V. P. & Ochoa-Hueso, R. Effects of nitrogen deposition on the spatial pattern of biocrusts and soil microbial activity in a semi-arid Mediterranean shrubland. *Funct Ecol* 34, 923–937 (2020).
- 39. Li, J. et al. Nitrogen fertilization elevated spatial heterogeneity of soil microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen in switchgrass and gamagrass croplands. Sci. Rep. 8, 1734 (2018).
- Moorhead, D. L., Rinkes, Z. L., Sinsabaugh, R. L. & Weintraub, M. N. Dynamic relationships between microbial biomass, respiration, inorganic nutrients and enzyme activities: Informing enzyme-based decomposition models. Front. Microbiol. 4, 223 (2013).
- Gravuer, K., Eskelinen, A., Winbourne, J. B. & Harrison, S. P. Vulnerability and resistance in the spatial heterogeneity of soil microbial communities under resource additions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 117, 7263–7270 (2020).
- 42. Deng, Q. *et al.* Effects of precipitation changes on aboveground net primary production and soil respiration in a switchgrass field. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **248**, 29–37 (2017).
- Dzantor, E. K., Adeleke, E., Kankarla, V., Ogunmayowa, O. & Hui, D. Using coal fly ash agriculture: Combination of fly ash and poultry litter as soil amendments for bioenergy feedstock production. *Coal Combust. Gasification Prod.* 7, 33–39. https://doi. org/10.4177/CCGP-D-15-000021 (2015).
- 44. Li, J. et al. Nitrogen fertilization restructured spatial patterns of soil organic carbon and total nitrogen in switchgrass and gamagrass croplands in Tennessee USA. Sci. Rep. 10, 1211 (2020).
- 45. Jungers, J. M., Sheaffer, C. C. & Lamb, J. A. The effect of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium fertilizers on prairie biomass yield, ethanol yield, and nutrient harvest. *Bioenergy Res.* 8, 279–291 (2015).
- Yu, C. L. *et al.* Responses of corn physiology and yield to six agricultural practices over three years in middle Tennessee. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 1–9 (2016).
- Sinsabaugh, R. L. et al. Soil microbial activity in a Liquidambar plantation unresponsive to CO₂-driven increases in primary production. Appl. Soil. Ecol. 24, 263–271 (2003).
- Saiya-Cork, K. R., Sinsabaugh, R. L. & Zak, D. R. The effects of long term nitrogen deposition on extracellular enzyme activity in an Acer saccharum forest soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 34, 1309–1315 (2002).
- 49. Underwood, A. J. Experiments in Ecology: Their Logical Design and Interpretation Using Analysis of Variance (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).
- 50. Cochran, W. G. The distribution of the largest of a set of estimated variances as a fraction of their total. *Ann. Eugenics* 11, 47–52 (1941).
- 51. Li, J. Sampling soils in a heterogeneous research plot. J. Vis. Exp. https://doi.org/10.3791/58519 (2019).
- Li, J., Richter, D. B., Mendoza, A. & Heine, P. Effects of land-use history on soil spatial heterogeneity of macro- and trace elements in the Southern Piedmont USA. *Geoderma* 156, 60–73 (2010).
- 53. Gittins, R. Trend-surface analysis of ecological data. J. Ecol. 56, 845-869 (1968).
- 54. Legendre, P. & Legendre, L. Numerical Ecology (Elserier Science, Amsterdam, 1998).
- 55. R Development Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. http://www.R-project.org, (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, 2019).
- Legendre, P., Borcard, D. & Roberts, D. W. Variation partitioning involving orthogonal spatial eigenfunction submodels. *Ecology* 93, 1234–1240 (2012).
- 57. Legendre, P. & Fortin, M. J. Spatial pattern and ecological analysis. Vegetation 80, 107-138 (1989).
- 58. Cressie, N. Statistics for spatial data. Terra Nova 4, 613-617 (1992).
- 59. Moran, P. A. Notes on continuous stochastic phenomena. Biometrika 37, 17-23 (1950).
- 60. Isaaks, E. H. & Srivastava, M. An Introduction to Applied Geostatistics 1st edn. (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1990).
- Gotway, C. A., Ferguson, R. B., Hergert, G. W. & Peterson, T. A. Comparison of kriging and inverse-distance methods for mapping soil parameters. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 60, 1237–1247 (1996).
- 62. Zhou, J. et al. Consistent effects of nitrogen fertilization on soil bacterial communities in black soils for two crop seasons in China. Sci. Rep. 7, 3267 (2017).
- 63. Dai, Z. et al. Long-term nitrogen fertilization decreases bacterial diversity and favors the growth of Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria in agro-ecosystems across the globe. *Glob. Chang Biol.* 24, 3452–3461 (2018).
- 64. Atlas, R. & Bartha, R. Microbial Ecology: Fundamentals and Applications (Benjamin Cummings, Redwood City, 1993).
- Waldrop, M. P., Balser, T. C. & Firestone, M. K. Linking microbial community composition to function in a tropical soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 32, 1837–1846 (2000).
- Demoling, F., Ola Nilsson, L. & Bååth, E. Bacterial and fungal response to nitrogen fertilization in three coniferous forest soils. Soil Biol. Biochem. 40, 370–379 (2008).
- Allison, S. D., Hanson, C. A. & Treseder, K. K. Nitrogen fertilization reduces diversity and alters community structure of active fungi in boreal ecosystems. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 39, 1878–1887 (2007).
- Halverson, L. J., Jones, T. M. & Firestone, M. K. Release of intracellular solutes by four soil bacteria exposed to dilution stress. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 64, 1630–1637 (2000).
- 69. Sinsabaugh, R. L. et al. Extracellular enzyme kinetics scale with resource availability. Biogeochemistry 121, 287–304 (2014).
- 70. Kaye, J. P. & Hart, S. C. Competition for nitrogen between plants and soil microorganisms. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **12**, 139–143 (1997).
- 71. Zhou, J. et al. Effects of soil nutrient heterogeneity on intraspecific competition in the invasive, clonal plant Alternanthera philoxeroides. Ann. Bot. 109, 813–818 (2012).

- 72. Ai, C., Liang, G., Sun, J., Wang, X. & Zhou, W. Responses of extracellular enzyme activities and microbial community in both the rhizosphere and bulk soil to long-term fertilization practices in a fluvo-aquic soil. *Geoderma* **173–174**, 330–338 (2012).
- Kuzyakov, Y. & Blagodatskaya, E. Microbial hotspots and hot moments in soil: Concept & review. Soil Biol. Biochem. 83, 184–199 (2015).
- 74. Ramin, K. I. & Allison, S. D. Bacterial tradeoffs in growth rate and extracellular enzymes. Front. Microbiol. 10, 2956–2956 (2019).
- Li, J., Ziegler, S. E., Lane, C. S. & Billings, S. A. Legacies of native climate regime govern responses of boreal soil microbes to litter stoichiometry and temperature. Soil Biol. Biochem. 66, 204–213 (2013).
- Röver, M. & Kaiser, E.-A. Spatial heterogeneity within the plough layer: Low and moderate variability of soil properties. Soil Biol. Biochem. 31, 175–187 (1999).

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by funding from a US National Science Foundation (NSF) HBCU-EiR (No. 1900885), US Department of Agriculture (USDA) Agricultural Research Service (ARS) 1890s Faculty Research Sabbatical Program (No. 58-3098-9-005), and USDA Evans-Allen Grant (No. 1017802). This research also received financial support from China Scholarship Council ([2015]3069) to JD, and China State Administration of Foreign Experts Affair (No. 2019-1-401) to MY. We thank assistance received from staff members at the TSU's Main Campus Agriculture Research and Extension Center (AREC) in Nashville TN. USDA is an Equal Employment Opportunity employer.

Author contributions

J.L. designed the study; J.L., S.J., J.D., and L.G. conducted the field and laboratory analyses; J.D. conducted the data analysis and M.Y. wrote the paper; All authors analyzed the result and revised the paper.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-76837-1.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.L.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020