

HHS PUDIIC ACCESS

Author manuscript Arch Toxicol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 April 01.

Published in final edited form as: *Arch Toxicol.* 2018 April ; 92(4): 1483–1494. doi:10.1007/s00204-018-2156-5.

Flame Retardants, Hexabromocyclododecane (HCBD) and Tetrabromobisphenol A (TBBPA), Alter Secretion of Tumor Necrosis Factor Alpha (TNFa) from Human Immune Cells

Sharia Yasmin* and Margaret Whalen

^{*}Department of Biological Sciences, Tennessee State University, Nashville, TN 37209 Department of Chemistry, Tennessee State University, Nashville, TN 37209

Abstract

Hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) and tetrabromobisphenol A (TBBPA) are flame retardants, used in a variety of applications, which contaminate the environment and are found in human blood. HBCD and TBBPA have been shown to alter the tumor killing function of natural killer (NK) lymphocytes and the secretion of the inflammatory cytokines interferon gamma (IFN γ) and interleukin 1 beta (IL-1 β). The current study examined the effects of HBCD and TBBPA on secretion of the critical pro-inflammatory cytokine tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFa) from human immune cells. Preparations of human immune cells that ranged in complexity were studied to determine if the effects of the compounds were consistent as the composition of the cell preparation became more heterogeneous. Cell preparations studied were: NK cells, monocytedepleted (MD) peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), and PBMCs. Exposure of NK cells to higher concentrations of HBCD (5 and 2.5 μ M) caused decreased secretion of TNFa. However, when the cell preparation contained T lymphocytes (MD-PBMCs and PBMCs) these same concentrations of HBCD increased TNFa secretion as did nearly all other concentrations. This suggests that HBCD's ability to increase TNFa secretion from immune cells was dependent on the presence of T lymphocytes. In contrast, exposures to TBBPA decreased the secretion of TNFa. from all immune cell preparations regardless of the composition of the cell preparation. Further, HBCD-induced increases in TNFa secretion utilized the p38 MARK pathway. Thus, both HBCD and TBBPA may have the capacity to disrupt the inflammatory response with HBCD having the potential to cause chronic inflammation.

Keywords

NK cells; PBMCs; Granulocytes; hexabromocyclododecane; tetrabromobisphenol A; TNFa.

INTRODUCTION

Pro-inflammatory cytokines such as Tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFa) are crucial in the immune response to invading pathogens. If levels of this key regulator of the inflammatory response are too low, an inadequate immune response occurs. Conversely, if levels of TNFa are elevated in the absence of infection then chronic inflammation can develop (Guicciardi and Gores 2009; Khalil et al., 2006; Silke 2011; Goetz et al., 2004). TNFa is produced predominantly by lymphocytes and monocytes/macrophages (Khalil et al., 2006). TNFa

exists in soluble and membrane-bound forms. The soluble form is produced by the metalloprotease TNFa-converting-enzyme (TACE) cleavage of membrane TNF (Khalil et al., 2006). The signaling pathways that regulate TNFa production include nuclear factor kappa B (NF κ B), and mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) (ERK 1/2, p38, and JNK) pathways (Gaestel et al., 2009). TNFa has the capacity to increase the proliferation and invasiveness of tumor cells (Vajdic, 2009). Due to its capacity to cause chronic inflammation, TNFa has been linked to the development of a number of diseases including Crohn's diseases and certain cancers (Chowers and Allez, 2010; Macarthur et al., 2004; Balkwill and Mantovani, 2001).

Flame retardants are used in the manufacture of plastics, textiles, upholstery and electronic products (Birnbaum and Staskal, 2004). Halogenated hydrocarbons are the most commonly used flame retardants in industry (Birnbaum and Staskal, 2004). Hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) is a non-aromatic, brominated cyclic alkane which is primarily used as an additive flame retardant (Schecter et al., 2012). It is used in foam and expanded polystyrene insulation (Birnbaum and Staskal, 2004). Additionally, HBCD is applied to upholstery textiles used in furniture, drapery and wall coverings (Kajiwara et al., 2009). Since HBCD is not chemically bound to plastics or textiles, it can separate and leach from the surface of these products into the environment (de Wit, 2002). Due to its hydrophobic nature, it binds to soil, sediments and sewage sludge and is found in dust particles in the air (Hale et al., 2006; Abdallah et al., 2008; Remberger et al., 2004). Human exposure to HBCD occurs through dust ingestion and dietary exposure (Abdallah et al., 2008; Knutsen et al., 2008; van Leeuwen et al., 2008). Significant concentrations of (0.01–1.36 ng/g w/w) HBCD have been found in food products including beef, fish, peanut butter, pork, and turkey collected from grocery stores (Schecter et al., 2012). Studies have reported moderate concentrations of HBCD in human blood, adipose tissue, and breast milk (Knutsen et al., 2008; Pulkrabora et al., 2009; Kakimoto et al., 2008). Levels in human blood serum of 100 pg/g serum (approximately 0.16 nM, using a conversion factor of 400 mg lipid/100 mL serum) have been found (Covaci et al., 2006; Knutsen et al., 2008). Van der Ven et al. (2006) reported an increase in liver and pituitary weight and levels of cholesterol in rats exposed to HBCD. Tetraboromobisphenol A (TBBPA) is mainly used as a reactive flame retardant in epoxy resin circuit boards (IPCS/WHO, 1995). TBBPA enters the environment from treated products (Sellström and Jansson, 1995). It has been found in commercial drinking water stored in polycarbonate containers (Peterman et al. 2000). Studies in Japan and Norway have shown TBBPA in human serum samples (approximately 1.8–5.3 pM), averaging 4.5 ng/g lipid (approximately 33.8 pM) respectively (Nagayama et al., 2001; Thomsen et al., 2002). In mice, it has been shown to cause decreases in serum proteins and red blood cells as well as increases in spleen weight (Ronisz et al., 2004).

Previous studies showed that exposures to both HBCD and TBBPA decreased the ability of human NK cells to destroy tumor target cells (Hinkson and Whalen 2009; Kibakaya et al., 2009) while decreasing expression of several key cell-surface proteins needed for NK cells to bind to target cells (Hinkson and Whalen, 2010; Hurd and Whalen, 2011). Other studies showed that exposing immune cells to HBCD and TBBPA altered the secretion of the inflammatory cytokines interferon gamma (IFN γ) and interleukin 1 β (IL-1 β) (Almughamsi and Whalen, 2015; Anisuzzaman and Whalen, 2016). As mentioned earlier, chronic

inflammation associated with elevated levels of TNFa has been associated with diseases such as Crohn's disease and certain cancers (Chowers and Allez, 2010; Macarthur et al., 2004; Balkwill and Mantovani, 2001); therefore it is important to study if exposure to HBCD or TBBPA, would affect the secretion of TNFa.

The current study examines the effects of exposures to a range of HBCD and TBBPA concentrations on secretion of TNFa from preparations of human immune cells of varying complexity: NK cells, monocyte-depleted (MD)-peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) (T and NK lymphocytes), and PBMCs (monocytes and lymphocytes). This allowed us to determine if the effects of the compounds were altered as the composition of the cell preparation became more heterogeneous. Additionally, the signaling pathways involved in compound-induced increases in TNFa secretion were examined using selective inhibitors of pathways known to be involved in the secretion of TNFa (Gaestel et al., 2009).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of PBMCs, and monocyte-depleted PBMCS

PBMCs were isolated from Leukocyte filters (PALL-RCPL or RC2D) obtained from the Red Cross Blood Bank Facility (Nashville, TN) as described in Meyer et al., 2005. Leukocytes were retrieved from the filters by back-flushing them with an elution medium (sterile PBS containing 5 mM disodium EDTA and 2.5% [w/v] sucrose) and collecting the eluent. The eluent was layered onto Ficoll-Hypaque (1.077g/mL) and centrifuged at 1200g for 30 min. Granulocytes and red cells pelleted at the bottom of the tube while the PBMCs floated on the

Ficoll-Hypaque. Mononuclear cells were collected and washed with PBS (500g, 10min). Following washing, the cells were layered on bovine calf serum for platelet removal. The cells were then suspended in RPMI-1640 complete medium which consisted of RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated BCS, 2 m*ML*-glutamine and 50 U penicillin G with 50 μ g streptomycin/mL. This preparation constituted PBMCs. Monocyte-depleted PBMCs (10–20% CD16⁺, 10–20 % CD56⁺, 70–80% CD3⁺, 3–5% CD19⁺, 2–20% CD14⁺) were prepared by incubating the cells in glass Petri dishes (150 in diameter) at 37 °C and air/CO₂, 19:1 for 1 h. This cell preparation is referred to as MD-PBMCs cells.

Preparation of NK cells

Leukocytes were retrieved from the filters by back-flushing them with an elution medium (sterile PBS containing 5 mM disodium EDTA and 2.5% [w/v] sucrose) and collecting the eluent as described above. RosetteSep human NK cell enrichment antibody cocktail (0.6–0.8 mL) (StemCell Technologies, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada) was added to 45 mL of filter eluent. The mixture was incubated for 25 min at room temperature (~ 25° C). Approximately 8 mL of the mixture was layered onto 4 mL of Ficoll-Hypaque (1.077 g/mL) (MP Biomedicals, Irvine, CA) and centrifuged at 1200 g for 30 min. NK cells were collected and washed twice with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.2 and stored in complete media (RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated bovine calf serum (BCS), 2

m*ML*-glutamine and 50 U penicillin G with 50 μ g streptomycin/ml) at 1 million cells/mL at 37 °C and air/CO₂, 19:1.

Chemical Preparation

HBCD and TBBPA were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Stock solutions were prepared as 100 mM solutions in Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO). Desired concentrations of either HBCD or TBBPA were prepared by dilution of the stock into media.

Inhibitor Preparation

Enzyme inhibitors were purchased from Fischer Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA). Each inhibitor was prepared as a 50 mM stock solution in DMSO. JNK inhibitor (JNK X BI78D3) at a final concentration of 50 μ M, MEK 1/2 pathway inhibitor (PD98059) at a final concentration of 50 μ M, NF κ B inhibitor (BAY11-7085) at a final concentration of 1.25–0.3 μ M, p38 inhibitor (SB202190) at a final concentration of 25 μ M, and TACE inhibitor (Batimastat) at a final concentration of 25 μ M were prepared by appropriate dilution of the stock solution into cell culture media.

Cell Treatments

NK cells, MD-PBMCS, and PBMCs were treated with HBCD or TBBPA at concentrations of 0.05–5 μ M for 24 h, 48 h, or 6 days. Following the incubations, the cells were pelleted and supernatants were collected and stored at -70° C until assaying for TNFa.

For pathway inhibitor experiments, MD-PBMCs (at a concentration of 1.5 million cells/mL) were treated with enzyme inhibitors 1h before adding HBCD at concentrations of 2.5, 1, 0.5 μ M for 24 h. Following the incubations, the cells were pelleted and supernatants were collected and stored at -70° C until assaying for TNFa.

Cell Viability

Cell viability was assessed at the end of each exposure period. Viability was determined using the trypan blue exclusion method. Briefly, cells were mixed with trypan blue and counted using a hemocytometer. The total number of cells and the total number of live cells were determined for both control and treated cells to determine the percent viable cells. Exposure to the compounds caused no changes in viability of any of the cell preparations compared to that of the control (data not shown).

TNFa Secretion Assay

TNFa levels were measured using the BD OptEIATM Human TNFa enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (BD-Pharmingen, San Diego, CA). Briefly, a 96-well micro well plate, designed for ELISA (Fisher, Pittsburgh, PA), was coated with a capture antibody for TNFa diluted in coating buffer. The plate was incubated with the capture antibody overnight at 4° C. After incubation, the capture antibody was removed by washing the plate three times with wash buffer (PBS and 0.05% Tween-20). Assay diluent (PBS and bovine calf serum) was added to each well (blocking non-specific binding) and incubated at room temperature for 1h. The assay diluent was removed by washing the plate three times, and the cell supernatants and TNFa standards were added to the coated plated and incubated

for 2h at room temperature. Following this incubation, the plate was thoroughly washed five times and then incubated for 1h with a detection antibody linked to horseradish peroxidase (HRP). The detection antibody-HRP complex was removed by washing the plate seven times and then the plate was incubated for 30 min with substrates for horseradish peroxidase. The incubation with the substrates was ended by addition of acid and the absorbance was measured at 450 nm on a Thermo Labsystems Multiskan MCC/340 plate reader (Fisher Scientific).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis of the data was performed by using ANOVA and Student's t test. Data were initially compared within a given experimental setup by ANOVA. A significant ANOVA was followed by pair wise analysis of control versus exposed data using Student's t test, a p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Effects of HBCD Exposure on Secretion of TNFa by NK cells

Table 1 shows the effects of exposing NK cells from 4 separate donors to 0, 0.05, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2.5, 5 μ M HBCD for 24 h, 48 h and 6 days on TNFa secretion (KB = Key Biologic buffy coat; F = filter obtained from the Red Cross). Cells from all 4 donors showed a significant decrease in TNFa secretion when exposed to 5 μ M HBCD after 24 h, and at 2.5 and 5 μ M after 48 h and 6 days. Additionally, all donors demonstrated increased TNFa secretion in response to HBCD. However, these increases were usually quite small and the concentrations and lengths of exposure where they occurred varied from one donor to the next. For instance, cells from donor F429 showed the greatest HBCD-induced increase (3.6 fold) after 6 days of exposure at the 1 μ M exposure, while cells from donor F430 showed a maximum HBCD-stimulated increase of only 1.14 fold after 48 h. In contrast, the decreases in TNFa secretion seen with 2.5 and 5 μ M HBCD were very substantial. Figure 1A shows the effects of HBCD exposures at each of the lengths of exposure for an individual donor (F429).

Effects of HBCD Exposure on Secretion of TNFa by MD-PBMCs

The effects of exposing MD-PBMCs from 4 individual donors to 0 to5 μ M HBCD for 24 h, 48 h and 6 days on the secretion of TNFa are shown in Table 2. This preparation is mostly NK cells and T cells. In stark contrast to the effects of HBCD on NK cells, there were significant increases in TNFa secretion from MD-PBMC from all donors examined after all lengths of exposure to HBCD. Significant increases in TNFa secretion were induced by HBCD for all donors at the 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2.5, and 5 μ M concentrations after 24 h and/or 48 h of exposure. There was considerable variation in the fold increase in secreted TNFa among different donors. For example, cells from donor F283 treated with 2.5 μ M HBCD showed an increase of 1.7 fold after 24 h while cells from donor F291 exhibited and increase 7.9 fold after this same treatment. The results after a 6 day exposure to HBCD exposures at each of the lengths of exposure for an individual donor (F284).

Effects of HBCD Exposure on Secretion of TNFa by PBMCs

The effects of exposures to HBCD on TNFa secretion from PBMCs (a preparation including NK cells, T cells, and monocytes) are shown in Table 3 and are very similar to those seen with MD-PBMCs. Cells from all donors showed increased TNFa secretion in response to 2.5 and/or 5 μ M HBCD after the 24 h and 48 h exposures. As with MD-PBMCs, the magnitude of the increases seen at a given HBCD concentration varied from one donor to the next. For instance, the fold increases in TNFa secretion seen with a 24 h exposure to 5 μ M HBCD were 2.5 (F189), 1.4 (F192), 2.0 (F195), and 18 (F356). Figure 1C shows the effects of HBCD exposures at each of the lengths of exposure for an individual donor (F195).

Effects of TBBPA Exposure on Secretion of TNFa by NK cells

Table 4 summarizes the effects of exposing NK cells to 0, 0.05, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2.5, and 5 μ M TBBPA for 24 h, 48 h and 6 days on the secretion of TNFa. Cells prepared from 4 separate donors were tested. Overall results show that TNFa secretion decreased as the concentration of TBBPA increased. This pattern of decreases was seen in all donors over all lengths of incubation. Figure 2A shows the effects of TBBPA exposures at each of the lengths of exposure for an individual donor (KB386).

Effects of TBBPA Exposure on Secretion of TNFa by MD-PBMCs

As was seen with NK cells, MD-PBMCs exposed to $0-5 \mu$ M TBBPA also showed decreased secretion of TNFa in response to exposure. MD-PBMCs (predominantly T and NK lymphocytes) from all donors showed very significant decreases in TNFa secretion at 2 or more TBBPA exposure concentrations after 24 h and 48 h (Table 5). A similar trend was seen at 6 days. Figure 2B shows the effects of TBBPA exposures at each of the lengths of exposure for MD-PBMCs from individual donor (F284).

Effects of TBBPA Exposure on Secretion of TNFa by PBMCs

The effects of exposing PBMCs (lymphocytes +monocytes) to TBBPA were similar to those seen with NK cells and MD-PBMCs (Table 6). Cells from all donors showed TBBPA-induced decreases in TNFa secretion at the 1, 2.5 and/or 5 μ M concentrations after 24 h and 48 h. This pattern persisted out of 6 days of exposure. Figure 2C shows the effects of TBBPA exposures at each of the lengths of exposure for an individual donor (F381).

Effects of HBCD Exposure on Secretion of TNFa by MD-PBMCs with Selective Enzyme Inhibitors

JNK Inhibitor (JNK Inhibitor X BI78D3)—The effects of exposure to 0.5, 1, and 2.5 μ M HBCD on secretion of TNFa from MD-PBMCs where JNK had been inhibited with B178D3 are shown in Table 7. These results indicate that JNK is not needed for HBCD-induced increases in TNFa secretion. For example, in Figure 3A, there are 1.9, 2.1, and 7.8 fold increases when MD-PBMCs (donor F412) are exposed to 0.5, 1 and 2.5 μ M HBCD in the absence of JNK inhibitor. When the inhibitor is present those same HBCD exposures are able to cause 2.3, 2.7, 7.9 fold increases in TNFa secretion.

MEK Inhibitor (PD98059)—The results of experiments examining the ability of 0.5, 1, and 2.5 μ M HBCD to stimulate TNF α secretion from MD-PBMCs where the ERK 1/2 pathway has been inhibited with the MEK inhibitor PD98059 are shown in Table 7. PD98059 did not tend to diminish the ability of HBCD to increase TNF α release from MD-PBMCs. For example, MD-PBMCs from donor F421exposed to 0.5, 1 and 2,5 μ M HBCD showed increases in TNF α secretion of 2.3, 4.6, and 6.1 fold, respectively in the absence of PD98059. In the presence of the inhibitor, these same HBCD concentration caused increased secretion of TNF α of 2.6, 6.4, and 11 fold (Figure 3B). Thus, the ERK1/2 pathway does not appear to be essential for HBCD-induced increases in TNF α secretion.

NF\kappaB Inhibitor (BAY 11-7085)—Cells from 4 of the 5 donors tested showed diminished HBCD-induced secretion of TNF α when the NF κ B pathway was inhibited (Table 7) at a minimum of one exposure concentration. Figure 3C shows the data from donor F412 where the increases in TNF α secretion in response to 005, 1, and 2.5 μ M HBCD were 1.6, 2.2, and 8.9 when BAY 11-7085 was absent, those same HBCD concentrations caused increases of 1.9, 2.1, and 6.4 when the inhibitor was present. These data suggest that the NF κ B pathway may contribute to the HBCD induction of TNF α secretion, but that it is not the principle pathway being utilized by HBCD to increase TNF α secretion.

p38 Inhibitor (SB202190)—HBCD-induced increases in TNFa were diminished or blocked at one or more concentration in cells from all donors (Table 7). Figure 3D shows that cells from donor F412 had increases of 1.3, 1.6, and 4.1 fold in the secretion of TNFa after exposure to 0.5, 1, and 2.5 μ M HBCD. These increases in TNFa secretion were completely blocked in the presence of SB202190. These results indicated that the p38 pathway may play a role in HBCD-induced stimulation of TNFa from immune cells.

TACE and MMP Inhibitor (Batimastat)—The effects of exposure to 0.5, 1, and 2.5 μ M HBCD on secretion of TNFa from MD-PBMCs where TACE had been inhibited with Batimastat are shown in Table 7. MD-PBMCs from donor F417 showed 1.9, 1.5, and 5.5 fold increases in TNFa secretion when exposed to 0.5, 1 and 2.5 μ M HBCD in the absence of TACE inhibitor (Figure 4E). When the inhibitor was present those same HBCD exposures were able to cause 3.4, 2.6, and 3.5 fold increases in TNFa secretion. Although inhibition of TACE decreases baseline secretion of TNFa dramatically, HBCD was still able to cause similar fold increases in TNFa secretion except at the 2.5 μ M exposure. There was at least one concentration of HBCD where inhibition of TACE did result in decreased HBCD-induced TNFa secretion from cells from each donor (Table 7). These results indicate that HBCD may be utilizing the pathway associated with the TACE and MMP to cause the secretion of TNFa in a concentration dependent manner.

DISCUSSION

TNFa is considered a master regulator of inflammatory cytokine production (Parameswaran and Patial, 2010). Dysregulation of TNFa has been associated with rheumatoid arthritis, Crohn's disease, and cancers such as gastrointestinal cancer. (Macarthur et al., 2004 and Shurety et al., 2000). Flame retardants, HBCD and TBBPA, are used in manufacturing goods such as plastics, textiles, and electronic products (Birnbaum and Staskal, 2004). Both

are found in human blood (Germer et al., 2006; Covaci et al., 2006; Knutsen et al., 2008; Van der Ven et al., 2006; Nagayama et al., 2001; Thomsen et al., 2002). Previous studies showed that exposing immune cells to HBCD and TBBPA altered the secretion of the inflammatory cytokines interferon gamma (IFN γ) and interleukin 1 β (IL-1 β) (Almughamsi and Whalen, 2015; Anisuzzaman and Whalen, 2016). The current study shows the effects of these contaminants on the ability of human immune cells to secrete TNFa.

Exposure of MD-PBMCs and PBMCs to HBCD caused increased TNFa secretion. Unlike MD-PBMCs and PBMCs, NK cells exposed to HBCD showed decreased secretion of TNFa. at the 2 highest concentrations, 2.5 and 5 µM. NK cells from all donors also showed HBCDinduced increases in TNFa release but only at a few concentrations of HBCD and the time that it took to see these increases varied among cells from different donors. For example, NK cells from two donors showed increases at only 2 concentrations of HBCD after 24 h of exposure. In contrast, MD-PBMCs from all donors showed increased TNFa secretion at nearly all HBCD concentrations after a 24 h exposure. Thus, there appears to be a difference in effect of HBCD on TNFa secretion depending on the composition of the cell preparation. Those preparations containing T and NK lymphocytes (MD-PBMCs) and lymphocytes and monocytes (PBMCs) showed a markedly different patterns of response to the same HBCD exposures than did a preparation that was predominantly NK lymphocytes. The more complex preparations (MD-PBMCs and PBMCs) may be more reflective of the situation in vivo and thus, HBCD-induced stimulation of TNFa secretion might be more likely than the decreases. Interestingly, this distinction between the least complex preparation (NK cells) and the more complex, MD-PBMCs and PBMCs, was not seen when measuring the effects of HBCD on IFN γ or IL-1 β secretion (Almughamsi and Whalen, 2015; Anisuzzaman and Whalen, 2016) but was seen when examining the effects of organotin contaminants, tributyltin and dibutyltin, on TNFa secretion (Hurt et al., 2013). This suggests that the regulation of secretion and/or production of TNFa from NK cells may be different than that of T cells and/or monocytes (Stanley and Lacy, 2010; Gaestel et al., 2009).

When immune cells were exposed to TBBPA, secretion of TNFa was significantly decreased at the highest concentrations in cells from all donors at all lengths of exposure regardless of the complexity of the cell preparation. Unlike HBCD, each of the different cell preparations showed similar responses to TBBPA in terms of TNFa secretion. Previous studies examining the effects of TBBPA on secretion of IFN γ and IL-1 β from human immune cells also showed decreased TNFa secretion in response to TBBPA exposures (Almughamsi and Whalen, 2015; Anisuzzaman and Whalen, 2016).

After establishing that HBCD-induced increases in TNFa release were occurring, inhibitors of pathways utilized in the production/secretion of TNFa were examined for their ability to alter this effect. Mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways including JNK, p38 and ERK1/2 as well as the nuclear factor kappa B (NF κ B) pathway and the metalloprotease TNFa converting enzyme (TACE) TACE are known to regulate the secretion/production of TNFa (Gaestel et al., 2009; Khalil et al., 2006; Wajant, 2003). MD-PBMCs were chosen for the pathway studies due to their consistent HBCD-induced increases in the secretion of TNFa after a 24 h exposure. The JNK and ERK1/2 MAPK pathways were not essential to the HBCD-induced increases in TNFa secretion. TACE activity appeared to be needed for

HBCD to stimulate increased secretion of TNFa, but only at certain concentrations of HBCD (which varied from donor to donor). The NFxB pathway appears to contribute to HBCD stimulation of the secretion of TNFa, but blocking its function only somewhat inhibits the process. In contrast to the other pathways, the p38 MAPK pathway appears to be consistently utilized by HBCD in its stimulation of TNFa secretion. Previous studies examining the role of MAPK and NFxB pathways on HBCD-induced increases in IFN γ and IL-1 β indicated that the ERK1/2 pathway was needed for HBCD-induced secretion of IFN γ (Almughamsi and Whalen, 2015) and that both the p38 and ERK1/2 pathways were utilized when HBCD increased the secretion of IL-1 β (Anizussaman and Whalen, 2015).

TNFa has the capacity to cause chronic inflammation, which may lead to diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, Crohn's disease, and cancers (Macarthur et al., 2004; Shurety et al., 2000). Studies suggest that TNFa inhibitors may provide a first-line biologic therapy in managing rheumatoid arthritis and Crohn's disease; these studies showed successful treatment of rheumatoid arthritis and Crohn's disease when treated with anti-TNFa antibody (Barnabe et al., 2011; Khalil et al., 2006; Michaud et al., 2014).

The current study indicates that flame retardants, HBCD and TBBPA, alter secretion of TNFa from human immune cells. Both flame retardants have the ability to disrupt TNFa release from increasingly complex human immune cells preparations. HBCD increased TNFa secretion from MD-PBMCs (T cells + NK cells) and PBMCs (T cells + NK cells + monocytes). As mentioned above, HBCD-induced stimulation of TNFa secretion might be more likely than the decreases since the more complex preparations (MD-PBMCs and PBMCs) may be more reflective of the situation in vivo. In contrast, TBBPA decreased secretion of TNFa in all cell preparations. Further studies with selective enzyme inhibitors suggested that HBCD is utilizing the p38 MAPK pathway to produce a pro-inflammatory signal. As mentioned in the introduction, p38 is a pathway that leads to transcription of the TNFa gene (Gaestel et al., 2009). Thus, TBBPA may have the capacity to cause inadequate immune responsiveness by decreasing the ability of immune cells to secrete TNFa while HBCD may contribute to chronic inflammation by increasing TNFa secretion, which may lead to several diseases and increased tumor invasiveness.

Acknowledgments

Grant U54CA163066 from the National Institutes of Health

References

- Abdallah Mohamed AE, Harrad S, Ibarra C, Diamond M, Melymuk L, Robson M, Covaci A. Hexabromocyclododecanes in indoor dust from Canada, The United Kingdom, and the United States. Environmental Science and Technology. 2008; 42(2):459–464. [PubMed: 18284147]
- Almughamsi H, Whalen M. Hexabromocyclododecane and tetrabromobisphenol A alter secretion of interferon gamma (IFNγ) from human immune cells. Archives of Toxicology. 2015; 90(7):1695– 707. [PubMed: 26302867]
- Anisuzzaman S, Whalen MM. Tetrabromobisphenol A and hexabromocyclododecane alter secretion of IL-1β from human immune cells. Journal of Immunotoxicology. 2016; 13(3):403–416. [PubMed: 27297965]

- Barnabe C, Martin B, Ghali WA. Systemic review and meta-analysis: Anti-tumor necrosis factor a therapy and cardiovascular events in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Care and Research. 2011; 64(4): 522–529.
- Balkwill F, Mantovani A. Inflammation and cancer: back to Virchow? The Lancet. 2001; 357(9255): 539–545.
- Birnbaum LS, Staskal DF. Brominated flame retardants: cause for concern? Environmental Health Perspectives. 2004; 112(1):9–17. [PubMed: 14698924]
- Chowers Y, Allez M. Efficacy of anti-TNF in Crohn's disease: how does it work? Current Drug Targets. 2010; 11(2):138–142. [PubMed: 20210761]
- Covaci A, Gerecke AC, Law RJ, Voorspoels S, Kohler M, Heeb NV, Leslie H, Allchin CR, De Boer J. Hexabromocyclododecanes (HBCDs) in the environment and humans: a review. Environmental Science & Technology. 2006; 40(12):3679–3688. [PubMed: 16830527]
- de Wit CA. An overview of brominated flame retardants in the environment. Chemosphere. 2002; 46(5):583–624. [PubMed: 11999784]
- Gaestel M, Kotlyarov A, Kracht M. Targeting innate immunity protein kinase signaling in inflammation. Nature Reviews Drug Discovery. 2009; 8(6):480–481. [PubMed: 19483709]
- Germer S, Piersma AH, van der Ven L, Kamyshnikow A, Fery Y, Schmitz HJ, Schrenk D. Subacute effects of the brominated flame retardants hexabromocyclododecanes and tetrabromobisphenol A on hepatic cytochrome P450 levels in rats. Toxicology. 2006; 218(2–3):229–236. [PubMed: 16325980]
- Goetz FW, Planas JV, MacKenzie S. Tumor necrosis factors. Developmental and Comparative Immunology. 2004; 28(5):487–497. [PubMed: 15062645]
- Guicciardi ME, Gores GJ. Life and death by death receptors. The Journal of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology. 2009; 23(6):1625–1637.
- Hale RC, La Guardia MJ, Harvey E, Gaylor MO, Mainor TM. Brominated flame retardant concentrations and trends in abiotic media. Chemosphere. 2006; 64(2):181–186. [PubMed: 16434082]
- Hinkson NC, Whalen MM. Hexabromocyclododecane decreases the lytic function and ATP levels of human natural killer cells. Journal of Applied Toxicology. 2009; 29(8):656–661. [PubMed: 19551757]
- Hinkson NC, Whalen MM. Hexabromocyclododecane decreases the tumor-cell-binding capacity and cell-surface protein expression of human natural killer cells. Journal of Applied Toxicology. 2010; 30(4):302–309. [PubMed: 19938002]
- Hurd T, Whalen MM. Tetrabromobisphenol A decreases cell surface proteins involved in human natural killer (NK) cell-dependent target cell lysis. Journal of Immunotoxicology. 2011; 8(3):219– 227. [PubMed: 21623697]
- Hurt K, Hurd-Brown T, Whalen MM. Tributyltin and dibutyltin alter secretion of tumor necrosis factor alpha from human natural killer (NK) cells and a mixture of T cells and NK cells. Journal of Appl Toxicol. 2013; 33:503–510. [PubMed: 23047847]
- IPCS/WHO (International Program on Chemical Safety/World Health Organization). Environmental Health Criteria172: Tetrabromobisphenol A and Derivatives. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1995.
- Kajiwara N, Seuoka M, Ohiwa T, Takigami H. Determination of flame-retardant hexabromocyclododecane diastereomers in textiles. Chemosphere. 2009; 74(11):1485–1489. [PubMed: 19124143]
- Kakimoto K, Akutsu K, Konishi Y, Tanaka Y. Time trend of hexabromocyclododecanes in the breast milk of Japanese women. Chemosphere. 2008; 71(6):1110–1114. [PubMed: 18076970]
- Khalil AA, Hall JC, Aziz FA, Price P. Tumour necrosis factor: Implications for surgical patients. ANZ Journal of Surgery. 2006; 76(11):8613–8623.
- Kibakaya HK, Stephen K, Whalen MM. Tetrabromobisphenol A has immunosuppressive effects on human natural killer cells. Journal of Immunotoxicology. 2009; 6(4):285–292. [PubMed: 19908946]
- Knutsen HK, Kvalem HE, Thomsen C, Froshaug M, Haugen M, Becher G, Alexander J, Meltzer HM. Dietary exposure to brominated flame retardants correlates with male blood levels in a selected

group of Norwegians with a wide range of seafood consumption. Molecular Nutrition and Food Research. 2008; 52(2):217–227. [PubMed: 18246586]

- Macarthur M, Hold GL, El-Omar EM. Inflammation and cancer II. Role of chronic inflammation and cytokine gene polymorphisms in the pathogenesis of gastrointestinal malignancy. American Journal of Physiology: Gastrointestinal and Liver Physiology. 2004; 286(4):G515–G520. [PubMed: 15010360]
- Meyer TP, Zehnter I, Hofmann B, Zaisserer J, Burkhart J, Rapp S, ... Illert WE. Filter buffy coast (FBC): A source of peripheral blood leukocytes recovered from leukocyte depletion filters. Journal of Immunological Methods. 2005; 307(1–2):150–166. [PubMed: 16325197]
- Michaud TL, Rho YH, Shamliyan T, Kuntz KM, Choi HK. The comparative safety of tumor necrosis factor inhibitors in rheumatoid arthritis: A meta-analysis update of 44 trials. The American Journal of Medicine. 2014; 127(12):1208–1232. [PubMed: 24950486]
- Nagayama, J.Takasuga, T., Tsuji, H., editors. Human Levels and Trends. 2001. Contamination levels of brominated flame retardants, dioxins, and organochlorine compounds in the blood of Japanese adults; p. 218-221.
- Parameswaran N, Patial S. Tumor necrosis factor –a signaling in macrophages. Critical Reviews in Eukaryotic Gene Expression. 2010; 20(2):87–103. [PubMed: 21133840]
- Peterman PH, Orazio CE, Gale RW. Detection of tetraboromobisphenol A and formation of brominated [¹³C]-bisphenol A's in commercial drinking water stored in reusable polycarbonate containers. American Chemical Society Division of Environmental Chemistry: Extended Abstract. 2000; 40(1):431–433.
- Pulkrabová J, Hrádková P, Hajslová J, Poustka J, Nápravníková M, Polácek V. Brominated flame retardants and other organochlorine pollutants in human adipose tissue samples from Czech Republic. Environment International. 2008; 35(1):63–68. [PubMed: 18789823]
- Remberger M, Sternbeck J, Palm A, Kaj L, Strömberg K, Brorström-Lundén E. The environmental occurrence of hexabromocyclododecane in Sweden. Chemosphere. 2004; 54(1):9–21. [PubMed: 14559253]
- Ronisz D, Finne EF, Karlsson H, Förlin L. Effects of the brominated flame retardants hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) and tetrabromobisphenol A (TBBPA), on hepatic enzymes and other biomarkers in juvenile rainbow trout and feral eelpout. Aquatic Toxicology. 2004; 69(3): 229–245. [PubMed: 15276329]
- Schecter A, Szabo DT, Miller J, Gent TL, Malik-Bass N, Petersen M, ... Birnbaum LS. Hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) stereoisomers in U.S. food from Dallas, Texas. Environ Health Perspect. 2012; 120(9):1260–1264. [PubMed: 22647707]
- Sellström U, Jansson B. Analysis of tetrabromobisphenol A in a product and environmental samples. Chemosphere. 1995; 31(4):3085–3092.
- Shurety W, Merino-Trigo A, Brown D, Hume DA, Stow JL. Localization and post-golgi trafficking of tumor necrosis factor-alpha in macrophages. Journal of Interferon and Cytokine Research. 2000; 20(4):427–438. [PubMed: 10805378]
- Silke J. The regulation of TNF signaling: what a tangled web we weave. Current Opinion in Immunology. 2011; 23(5):620–626. [PubMed: 21920725]
- Stanley AC, Lacy P. Pathways for cytokine secretion. Physiology. 2010; 25:218–229. [PubMed: 20699468]
- Taylor PC, Feldmann M. Anti-TNF biologic agents: still the therapy of choice for rheumatoid arthritis. Nature Reviews Rheumatology. 2009; 5(10):578–782. [PubMed: 19798034]
- Thomsen C, Lundanes E, Becher G. Brominated flame retardants in archived serum samples from Norway: A study on temporal trends and the role of age. Environment Science and Technology. 2002; 36(7):1414–1418.
- Vajdic CM, van Leeuwen MT. Cancer incidence and risk factors after solid organ transplantation. International Journal of Cancer. 2009; 125(8):1747–1754. [PubMed: 19444916]
- van der Ven LT, Verhoef A, van de Kuil T, Slob W, Leonards PE, Visser TJ, ... Vos JG. A 28-day oral dose toxicity study enhanced to detect endocrine effects of hexabromocyclododecane in Wistar rats. Toxicological Sciences. 2006; 94(2):281–292. [PubMed: 16984958]

- van Leeuwen SP, de Boer J. Brominated flame retardants in fish and shellfish levels and contribution of fish consumption to dietary exposure of Dutch citizens to HBCD. Molecular Nutrition and Food Research. 2008; 52(2):194–203. [PubMed: 18246585]
- Wajant H, Pfizenmaier K, Scheurich P. Tumor necrosis factor signaling. Cell Death and Differentiation. 2003; 10(1):45–65. [PubMed: 12655295]



Figure 1.

Effects of 24 h, 48 h and 6 days exposures to HBCD on TNFa secretion from highly purified human NK cells, monocyte-depleted PBMCs, and PBMCs. A) NK cells exposed to $0.05-5\mu$ M HBCD (donor F429). B) Monocyte-depleted PBMCs exposed to $0.05-5\mu$ M HBCD (donor F284). C) PBMCs exposed to $0.05-5\mu$ M HBCD (donor F195). (+) indicates a significant increase compared to control and (*) indicates a significant decrease compared to control.



Figure 2.

Effects of 24 h, 48 h and 6 days exposures to TBBPA on TNFa secretion from highly purified human NK cells, monocyte-depleted PBMCs, and PBMCs. A) NK cells exposed to 0.05–5 μ M TBBPA (donor KB386). B) Monocyte-depleted PBMCs exposed to 0.05–5 μ M TBBPA (donor F284). C) PBMCs exposed to 0.05–5 μ M TBBPA (donor 381). (*) indicates a significant decrease compared to control.







Figure 3.

Effects of 24 h exposure to 0.5, 1, and 2.5 μ M HBCD on TNFa secretion from monocytedepleted PBMCs with selective enzyme inhibitors in an individual donor. A) JNK inhibitor (donor F421). B) MEK 1/2 Inhibitor (PD98059) (donor F421). C) NF κ B Inhibitor (BAY 11-7085) (donor F412). D) p38 Inhibitor (SB202190) (donor F412). E) TACE and MMP Inhibitor (Batimastat) (donor F412).

Effects of 24 h, 48 h, and 6 days exposures to HBCD on TNFa secretion from highly purified human NKs.

24 h	TNFa secr	etion in pg/mI	(mean±S.I).)
[HBCD] µM	KB-182	F410	F429	F430
0	1207±93	2669±54	202±6	81±11
0.05	1289±93	2494±55*	314±2 [#]	99±3
0.1	1218±166	2586±130	275±59	80±5
0.25	1324±68	2775±93	238±21	94±5
0.5	1315±116	2989±125 [#]	262±6 [#]	90±8
1	1249±130	3047±97 [#]	239±36	51±6*
2.5	1115±56	400±38*	59±7*	18±1*
5	736±18*	139±17*	16±1*	18±6*
48 h	TNFa secr	etion in pg/mI	L (mean±S.I).)
[HBCD] µM	KB-182	F410	F429	F430
0	1017±12	826±232	195±43	244±3
0.05	1112±94	1102±57	290±47	275±4 [#]
0.1	1019±27	1095±33	280±26	191±3*
0.25	1005±12	1187±42	295±24 [#]	268±5 [#]
0.5	1086±32 [#]	1052±40	260±41	287±16 [#]
1	1113±136	1146±40	257±34	182±2*
2.5	873±24*	111±32*	21±9*	19±1*
5	482±38*	55±30*	9±6*	7±2*
6 d	TNFa secr	etion in pg/mI	L (mean±S.I).)
[HBCD] µM	KB-182	F410	F429	F430
0	827±64	2001±58	60±5	63±9
0.05	1354±287	1726±53*	126±19 [#]	72±25
0.1	1230±191	1874±17	156±9 [#]	40±3*
0.25	1264±271	2088±72	154±7 [#]	77±7
0.5	1482±345	2202±97*	164±8 [#]	56±1
1	1260±85 [#]	2042±35	216±12 [#]	39±2*
2.5	792±79	206±9*	5±3*	11±10*
5	702±33	145±58*	0±3*	8±5*

Values are mean±S.D. of triplicate determinations.

[#]Indicates a significant increase and

 * indicates a significant decreases in secretion compared to control cells, p<0.05

Effects of 24 h, 48 h, and 6 days exposures to HBCD on TNFa secretion from monocyte-depleted PBMCs (T and NK Lymphocytes).

24 h	TNFa secret	tion in pg/mL	(mean±S.D.)	
[HBCD]µM	F283	F284	F286	F291
0	1718±162	974±29	734±25	924±41
0.05	2746±77 [#]	975±119	950±79 [#]	1577±51 [#]
0.1	3599±223 [#]	2207±99 [#]	1294±15 [#]	2712±15 [#]
0.25	2128±152 [#]	1205±130	865±16 [#]	1410±36 [#]
0.5	2608±94 [#]	1756±254 [#]	1023±21 [#]	1690±56 [#]
1	3592±130 [#]	2028±109 [#]	1518±41 [#]	2861±64 [#]
2.5	2907±50 [#]	4206±435 [#]	3882±58 [#]	7292±282 [#]
5	1796±121	3541±167 [#]	4472±111#	4958±262 [#]
48 h	TNFa secret	tion in pg/mL	(mean±S.D.)	
[HBCD]µM	F283	F284	F286	F291
0	684±42	327±8	201±16	98±6
0.05	1334±69 [#]	524±57 [#]	847±876	352±46 [#]
0.1	2107±96 [#]	988±21 [#]	386±10 [#]	1220±27 [#]
0.25	876±67 [#]	517±29 [#]	399±280	236±38 [#]
0.5	1496±121 [#]	625±19 [#]	366±65 [#]	362±19 [#]
1	2443±63 [#]	977±30 [#]	444±60 [#]	1044±87 [#]
2.5	2037±274 [#]	3981±119 [#]	1750±198 [#]	6752±639 [#]
5	1110±167 [#]	2221±150 [#]	1763±136 [#]	3898±126 [#]
6 d	TNFa secret	tion in pg/mL	(mean±S.D.)	
[HBCD]µM	F283	F284	F286	F291
0	81±3	51±14	0±1	81±6
0.05	496±17 [#]	332±227	293±115 [#]	267±110
0.1	1355±71 [#]	374±170	71±35	841±28 [#]
0.25	281±20 [#]	61±10	30±30	219±45 [#]
0.5	505±11 [#]	107±24 [#]	103±158	233±39 [#]
1	1187±54 [#]	326±19 [#]	0±1	818±63 [#]
2.5	1038±19 [#]	830±34 [#]	28±33	4009±246 [#]
5	323±1 [#]	297±21 [#]	52±10 [#]	2468±132 [#]

Values are mean±S.D. of triplicate determinations.

[#]Inidcates a significant increases and

 * indicates a significant decrease in secretion compared to control cells, p<0.05

Effects of 24 h, 48 h, and 6 days exposures to HBCD on TNFa secretion from PBMCs (lymphocytes and monocytes).

24 h	TNFa secret	ion in pg/mL	(mean±S.D.)	
[HBCD]µM	F189	F192	F195	F356
0	801±16	1695±175	2074±258	358±10
0.05	1788±106 [#]	1486±155	1172±49*	710±84 [#]
0.1	1385±71#	1553±227	1110±91*	851±38 [#]
0.25	2045±60#	1556±36	1679±88	423±18 [#]
0.5	1826±106 [#]	1870±179	1936±198	540±86
1	2491±108#	1779±107	2274±151	810±48 [#]
2.5	3184±191 [#]	2406±18 [#]	3415±375 [#]	2378±190 [#]
5	1977±137#	2383±266 [#]	4190±671 [#]	6548±103 [#]
48 h	TNFa secret	ion in pg/mL	(mean±S.D.)	
[HBCD]µM	F189	F192	F195	F356
0	153±14	493±87	786±176	41.2±1
0.05	264±25 [#]	494±62	983±209	883±128 [#]
0.1	304±20 [#]	632±411	745±104	78±1 [#]
0.25	528±62 [#]	240±95*	718±111	58±4 [#]
0.5	533±14 [#]	567±124	1052±54	55±4 [#]
1	581±658	802±469	1071±18	122±1#
2.5	2388±217 [#]	898±57 [#]	2129±144 [#]	431±297 [#]
5	1258±89.5 [#]	676±8	32641±110 [#]	1174±43 [#]
6 d	TNFa secret	ion in pg/mL	(mean±S.D.)	
[HBCD]µM	F189	F192	F195	F356
0	72±21	45±68	342±16	0±2
0.05	141±115	69±20	460±62	208±260
0.1	123±41	58±8	253±23*	34±101#
0.25	385±46 [#]	58±13	524±38 [#]	63±11 [#]
0.5	306±37 [#]	75±11	456±32 [#]	22±21
1	1596±89 [#]	107±15	816±42 [#]	11±11
2.5	1833±168 [#]	142±22	1709±138 [#]	64±19 [#]
5	1021±17#	146±4	1880±47 [#]	330±15 [#]

Values are mean±S.D. of triplicate determinations.

[#]Indicates a significant increase and

* indicates a significant decrease in secretion compared to control cells, p<0.05

Effects of 24 h, 48 h, and 6 days exposures to TBBPA on TNFa secretion from highly purified human NKs.

24h	TNFa sec	retion in pg	/mL (mean:	± S.D.)
[TBBPA] µM	KB-130	KB-183	F378	F386
0	486±7	40±5.7	365±13	575±34
0.05	463±20	48±13	214±4*	326±7*
0.1	405±5*	41±15	97±6*	506±18
0.25	261±5*	22±11	266±10*	196±19*
0.5	140±52*	48±8	169±3*	284±14*
1	68±7*	4±2*	70±3*	206±21*
2.5	15±2*	0±1*	19±1*	53±1*
5	0±2*	8±9*	3±2*	14±2*
48h	TNFa sec	retion in pg	/mL (mean:	± S.D.)
[TBBPA] µM	KB-130	KB-183	F378	F386
0	342±10	233±62	267±10	382±29
0.05	350±25	206±91	126±8*	111±4*
0.1	283±14*	167±12	92±59*	51±2*
0.25	217±3*	117±6	188±4*	221±40*
0.5	95±7*	584±518	98±4*	136±3*
1	40±10*	51±46*	43±1*	54±1*
2.5	0±2*	30±32*	4±1*	7±3*
5	0±2*	18±17*	0±1*	0±3*
6d	TNFa sec	retion in pg	/mL (mean:	± S.D.)
[TBBPA] µM	KB-130	KB-183	F378	F386
0	329±116	87±96	39±10	126±6
0.05	310±197	73±70	53±20	27±12*
0.1	250±88	48±47	34±12	8±2*
0.25	267±165	11±4	41±7	63±2*
0.5	160±186	55±38	41±5	31±7*
1	112±150	5±8	29±0	6±1*
2.5	191±311	32±25	58±7	0±1*
5	0±2*	48±50	32±9	0±2*

Values are mean±S.D. of triplicate determinations.

*Indicates a significant decrease in secretion compared to control cells, p<0.05

Author Manuscript

Effects of 24 h, 48 h, and 6 days exposures to TBBPA on TNFa secretion from monocyte-depleted PBMCs (T and NK Lymphocytes).

24 h	TNFa secr	etion in pg/m	L (mean±S.I).)
[TBBPA]µM	F175	F284	F291	F292
0	1127±68	1255±121	484±56	1326±33
0.05	1046±59	582±173*	$224{\pm}109$ *	$293{\pm}10^{*}$
0.1	807±17*	209±34*	48±34*	164±16*
0.25	597±39*	413±39*	245±10*	615±19*
0.5	399±42*	433±171*	237±98*	$297{\pm}18^*$
1	178±4*	161±14*	41±35*	158±10*
2.5	123±29*	232±235*	111±187	116±6*
5	157±71*	78±16 [*]	95±55 [*]	107±9*
48 h	TNFa secr	retion in pg/m	L (mean±S.I).)
[TBBPA]µM	F175	F284	F291	F292
0	460±13	464±27	237±21	753±86
0.05	629±254	135±5*	55±11*	356±127*
0.1	302±4*	69±4*	26±9*	158 ± 70 *
0.25	369±77	243±16*	76±22*	220±9*
0.5	229±207	120±20*	70±24*	106±18*
1	147 ± 20 *	0±9*	18±5*	50±37*
2.5	193±138	0±34*	43±15*	107±19*
5	40±7*	0±9*	106±5*	138±81*
6 d	TNFa secr	etion in pg/m	L (mean±S.I).)
[TBBPA]µM	F175	F284	F291	F292
0	327±15	8±1	117±92	221±9
0.05	534±308	5±1*	28±4	1435±287
0.1	234±31*	3±1*	0±10	692±185*
0.25	147±20*	4±1*	90±6	482±195*
0.5	22±44*	4±1*	12.8±3	133±50*
1	0±23*	0±1*	0±1	48±15*
2.5	0±14*	0±1*	0±4	50±13*
5	707±1361	0±1*	110±172	18±12*

Values are mean \pm S.D. of triplicate determinations.

 * Indicates a significant decrease in secretion compared to control cells, p<0.05

Effects of 24 h, 48 h, and 6 days exposures to TBBPA on TNFa secretion from PBMCs (lymphocytes and monocytes).

24 h	TNFa secreti	on in pg/mI	L (mean±S.I).)
[TBBPA]µM	F188	F356	F381	F385
0	522±21	106±2	277±4	1384±130
0.05	614±391	199±122	110±11*	434±76*
0.1	576±346	104±37	31±8*	284±54*
0.25	292±4*	234±68	171±3*	801±289
0.5	205±3*	64±21	118±20*	934±688
1	189±7*	38±8*	39±2*	245±48*
2.5	182±3*	49±4*	62±24*	193±10*
5	197±7*	79±21	87±20*	205±36*
48 h	TNFa. secreti	on in pg/mI	L (mean±S.I).)
[TBBPA]µM	F188	F356	F381	F385
0	87±19	14±1	91±24	216±44
0.05	161±11	8±1*	38±21*	110±30*
0.1	817±1378	6±1*	8±6*	66±26*
0.25	152±172*	9±1*	42±8	203±31
0.5	1436±2451*	5±1*	31±33	105±97
1	0±20*	5±1*	14±4*	35±18*
2.5	0±16*	7±1*	24±33	51±27*
5	0±3*	10±1*	62±9	99±59
6 d	TNFa. secreti	on in pg/mI	L (mean±S.I).)
[TBBPA]µM	F188	F356	F381	F385
0	204±16	105±26	397±13	479±207
0.05	341±197	122±130	63±13*	114±77
0.1	132±3	134±180	22±10*	67±21
0.25	98±18 [*]	315±460	102±8*	345±100
0.5	34±0*	48±12*	37±3*	59±31
1	8±1*	27±5*	8±3*	54±17
2.5	0±2*	50±5	20±20*	51±29
5	0±3*	6±3*	0±1*	14±2

Values are mean±S.D. of triplicate determinations.

*Indicates a significant decrease in secretion compared to control cells, p<0.05

Table 7

Author Manuscript

JNK Inhibitor X BI78D3

24 h	TNFa secret	ed in pg/mL (mean±S.D.)		
[HBCD] µM	F412	F414	F417	F421	F423
0	439±25	741±260	290 ± 62	512±54	3578±278
0 + JNK	445±17	1179 ± 80	357±56	503±29	3278±70
0.5	$862{\pm}118$	$1592{\pm}170^{*}$	515±57*	$1109{\pm}38^{*}$	4325±157*
0.5 + JNK	1026 ± 234	$1850{\pm}155^{*}$	598 ± 81	$1332\pm 20^{*}$	5358±74*
1	$910{\pm}98^{*}$	$3628{\pm}872$ *	$769\pm 38^{*}$	2301 ± 9	6015 ± 577 *
1 + JNK	$1195\pm 125^{*}$	4056 ± 213 *	$802{\pm}12$	$2620{\pm}36$	6325±174 *
2.5	$3445\pm 270^{*}$	6936 ± 323	$1898\pm 226^{*}$. 3754±56*	$8408{\pm}490$ *
2.5 + JNK	3545±107*	7339 ± 93 *	2094 ± 107 *	3657±123	* 8348±387 *
24 h	TNFa secre	ted in pg/mL (mean±S.D.)		
[HBCD] µM	F412	F414	F417	F421	F423
0	654±88	529±48	113±18	756±27	3065±194
$\mathbf{O} + \mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}$	226±97	442±221	8±2	380±7	1791±113
0.5	$907\pm 21^{*}$	$1461{\pm}347$ *	135±16	$1708{\pm}152$ *	$4100{\pm}186^{*}$
0.5 + PD	$476{\pm}50^{*}$	955 ± 99 *	174 ± 41	982±57*	$2775\pm 221^{*}$
1	1332 ± 129	$1916{\pm}50^{\ast}$	$195{\pm}12^{*}$	$3497{\pm}196^{*}$	5766±453 *
1 + PD	$490{\pm}51^{*}$	721±57	$106{\pm}40$	$2438{\pm}162$	$4130{\pm}269$ *
2.5	3988 ± 92 *	3704 ± 543 *	$484{\pm}13^{*}$	$4615\pm506^{*}$	$7925\pm60^*$

Arch Toxicol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 April 01.

 $7128\pm192^{*}$

 4127 ± 95 *

 284 ± 44

 3217 ± 84

 $2146\pm146^{*}$

2.5 + PD

_
_
+
_
-
\mathbf{O}
\mathbf{U}
_
_
~
<u> </u>
0
<u> </u>
_
_
_
()
0,
U
_
75
<u> </u>
–

Author Manuscript

NFrB Inhibitor (BAY 11-7085)

24 h	TNFa secrete	d in pg/mL (m	iean±S.D.)		
[HBCD] µM	F412	F414	F417	F421	F423
0	302 ± 20	793±124	550±43	591±28	1032 ± 48
0 + BAY	495±83	1369±336	512±111	927±53	795±50
0.5	492 ± 59	$2640{\pm}522$ *	1163 ± 87	1513 ± 91	$1468{\pm}66^{*}$
0.5 + BAY	931±158**	$2831{\pm}550^{*}$	$946{\pm}137$	$1680{\pm}68^{*}$	$1482{\pm}271^{\ *}$
1	$676{\pm}24$ *	$3574{\pm}116^{*}$	496±7.2	$3125{\pm}160^{*}$	2085 ± 44
1 + BAY	$1059\pm69^{**}$	5326±457 *	412±38	$3655{\pm}113$	$2787{\pm}124$
2.5	$2701{\pm}53$ *	$7960{\pm}761^{*}$	$3062{\pm}98^{*}$	$4162{\pm}185^{*}$	3112±277*
2.5 + BAY	$3154\pm109^{**}$	7245±156*	$3608{\pm}569^{*}$	4119 ± 93	$2433\pm130^{*}$

p38 Inhibitor (SB202190)

24 h	TNFa secret	ted in pg/ml	. (mean±S.D.)	
[HBCD] µM	F412	F421	F423	F437
0	820±63	66±2	1316±45	1216 ± 40
0 + SB	29±17	0 ± 1	22±4	334±206
0.5	$1054{\pm}52$	$167{\pm}4$	1954 ± 60 *	$1988{\pm}116^{*}$
0.5 + SB	78±27	3 ± 1	$44{\pm}14$	307±173
1	$1298{\pm}36^{\ast}$	281 ± 5	$2163{\pm}60^{*}$	2392 ± 219 *
1 + SB	15±52	8 ± 3 *	82 ± 10 *	200±71
2.5	$3381{\pm}136^{*}$	295 ± 32	3717±1856	$4448{\pm}185$ *
2.5 + SB	$0{\pm}18$	0 ± 2	218 ± 20	132±6

TACE and MMP Inhibitor (Batimastat)

24 h	TNFa si	ecreted in p	g/mL (mean	±S.D.)
[HBCD] µM	F412	F417	F421	F423
0	106 ± 4	171±5	758±27	1872 ± 104
0 + TACE	7 ± 1	39±6	42 ± 18	139 ± 24

Yasmin and Whalen

TACE and MMP Inhibitor (Batimastat)

24 h	TNFa se	creted in pg	/mL (mean±	S.D.)
[HBCD] µM	F412	F417	F421	F423
0.5	155 ± 1	316 ± 5 *	1462 ± 44	$2950{\pm}181^{*}$
0.5 + TACE	9 ± 1	$133\pm30^*$	69±37	253±59
1	$212\pm9^*$	266±17*	2257 ± 94 *	$4219{\pm}180^{*}$
1 + TACE	$17\pm 2^*$	$103{\pm}18^{\ast}$	116 ± 9	269 ± 42
2.5	$661{\pm}8^{\ast}$	$935\pm46^{*}$	2776±58*	6325 ± 161
2.5 + TACE	78 ± 3	136±7*	1258 ± 37 *	$1244{\pm}149$ *
Values are mean	±S.D. of tri	plicate deter	minations.	

*Indicates a significant increase compared to the appropriate control p<0.05. For concentrations of HBCD without inhibitor "0" is the appropriate control and for concentrations of HBCD with inhibitor "0+TACE" is the appropriate control