

Thus we may conclude that the Canadian legal Profession is slowly becoming cogniscent of a situation which has prevailed since Confederation. Namely, that a Provincial Trial Court although it should pay the greatest respect and give the greatest consideration to any judgment of the Appeal Court of England or the House of Lords, it is actually not bound by the decisions of either of these judicial bodies delivered after 1867.

MARITIME INTERCOLLEGIATE DEBATING LEAGUE

On October 15, St. Thomas College in Chatham played host to representatives from eleven universities throughout the Maritimes. The highlight of the conference was the resolution that the M.I.D.L. be represented in the Canadian University Debating Association. A scheme was devised and adopted whereby the winner of the M.I.D.L. for the year would pay one-third of the cost of sending a team which will consist of two with one alternate to the C.U.D.A. finals. The remaining two-thirds to be split among the universities. This will be done by apportioning to the non-winners an amount relative to the number enrolled.

The possibility of holding radio and French debates was discussed at the conference. A number of needed amendments were made in the M.I.D.L. constitution.

The U.N.B. Law School was represented by Gerard LaForest, a third-year student from Grand Falls, and J. Eric Young, a second-year student from Bathurst, N. B.

MOOT COURT

Twice, the Juridicial voice of the Supreme Moot Court has spoken with authority this term. A hypothetical case on contracts, presented by Mr. William Ryan, was heard before Fairweather C.J.M.C., Hicks J.M.C., and Lunney J.M.C. The case for the plaintiff was ably presented by Percy Smith and John Baxter. It was successfully defended by John Gray, assisted by Carlisle Hanson. The controversial *Re Polemis* was appealed from the English Court of Appeals for the second sitting of the moot court. The appellants, represented by James Crocco and Roy McIntyre, convinced the judges, Harrigan, C.J.M.C., Maddox, J.M.C., MacAuley, J.M.C. (dissenting), that the English Court's decision was incorrect in law.

For the first time Law School Co-eds filled positions on the Bench and Bar for the third sitting of the Law School Moot Court. Margaret Warner, C.J.M.C., a third-year Co-ed and Neil Price, J.M.C., allowed an appeal in *Hillman-v-Zwicker*, Gerard LaForest, J.M.C., dissenting. The appellants represented by Beatrice Sharp, a second-year Co-ed, and Dollard Savoie, were strongly opposed by the respondents, represented by Elizabeth Hoyt, a second-year Co-ed, and Thomas Bell.