

W&M ScholarWorks

VIMS Articles

Virginia Institute of Marine Science

1968

Occurrence of the parasitic dinoflagellate Oodinium cyprinodontum Lawler, 1967 in North Carolina.

Adrian R. Lawler Virginia Institute of Marine Science

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.wm.edu/vimsarticles



Part of the Marine Biology Commons

Recommended Citation

Lawler, Adrian R., Occurrence of the parasitic dinoflagellate Oodinium cyprinodontum Lawler, 1967 in North Carolina. (1968). Virginia Journal of Science, 19(4), 240. https://scholarworks.wm.edu/vimsarticles/2201

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Virginia Institute of Marine Science at W&M ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in VIMS Articles by an authorized administrator of W&M ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@wm.edu.

ADRIAN R. LAWLER

Parasitology Section
Virginia Institute of Marine Science
Gloucester Point, Virginia 23062
Received August 5, 1968

Occurrence of the Parasitic Dinoflagellate Oodinium cyprinodontum Lawler, 1967 in North Carolina*

Abstract—The parasitic dinoflagellate Oodinium cyprinodontum Lawler, 1967 is reported for the first time from Cyprinodontidae from the Outer Banks of North Carolina. Incidence and intensity of infestation data are given. Fundulus majalis (Walbaum) appears to be the primary host.

Oodinium cyprinodontum was described from four species of Cyprinodontidae from the estuarine waters of Virginia (1). Hosts were Fundulus majalis (Walbaum), F. heteroclitus (Linnaeus), Cyprinodon variegatus Lacépède, and Lucania parva (Baird and Girard).

On April 6 and 7, 1968, collections of cyprinodonts were made with a haul seine in the shallows at three different localities on the Outer Banks of North Carolina. Station locations were: (1) Pamlico Sound, Dare County, 35° 23′ N, 75° 30′ W, salinity 23.527 parts per thousand (0/00), water temperature 16° C; (2) Hatteras Inlet (tidepool), Hyde County, 35° 11′ N, 75° 46′ W, salinity 23.639 0/00, water temperature 21.5° C; (3) Bogue Sound, Morehead City, 34° 42′ N, 76° 46′ W, salinity 33.301 0/00, water temperature 17° C. Specimens were pre-

The results are summarized in Table I. Up to six parasites per gill filament were recovered from F. majalis at stations 1 and 2.

All of the 3623 trophonts of the dinoflagellate recovered appear to be similar in all respects to the specimens of the original Virginia collections. Hence, they are undoubtedly conspecific. All three stations represent extensions of the known range of *Oodinium cyprinodontum* and constitute new locality records. Since the parasite fauna of the hosts has been fairly well studied, e.g., as documented by Dillon (2), the finding of *O. cyprinodontum* in North Carolina is considered significant, as it shows that the occurrence of *O. cyprinodontum* is not a localized phenomenon in the Chesapeake Bay.

As in Virginia, F. majalis appears to be the primary host, showing a higher incidence of infection and having a larger number of parasites per host than either of the other species. This might be explained by their being either more susceptible or more available to the parasite.

Appreciation is expressed to Messrs. Kenneth W.

TABLE I

Oodinium cyprinodontum on Cyprinodontidae of North Carolina.

Station number	Species	Range of total lengths (mm)	Number of fish infected	Number of parasites recovered	Range of number of parasites per host	Average number of parasites per host	
1	F. majalis	64-74	9	1331	11-633	148	
	F. heteroclitus	56-77	0	_	_	_	
	C. variegatus	36-50	9	52	2-11	6	
2	F. majalis	72-92	10	1664	2-696	166	
	F. heteroclitus	53-69	1	1	_	1	
	C. variegatus	34-44	8	145	1-76	18	
3	F. majalis	38-60	4	388	6-219	97	
	C. variegatus	36-43	7	42	1–12	6	

served in 10 per cent formalin in the field. Ten specimens of each species of Cyprinodontidae represented at each station were randomly selected and examined for the parasitic dinoflagellate with the aid of a stereomicroscope.

Able and Michael D. Richardson of the Virginia Institute of Marine Science, who graciously made their fish collections available to me, and Dr. W. J. Hargis, Jr., under whose direction this work was done.

REFERENCES

- 1. Lawler, A. R., Chesapeake Sci., 8, 67 (1967).
- 2. Dillon, W. A., Va J. Sci., 17, 21 (1966).

^{*} Contribution No. 286 from the Virginia Institute of Marine Science.

¹ Lawler, A. R., unpublished data.