# AN IMPROVED DATA CLASSIFICATION FRAMEWORK BASED ON FRACTIONAL PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION

## **FAHAD SHERWANI**

Doctor of Philosophy of Electrical Engineering fulfillment of the requirement for the award of the

PERPUSTAKAAN TUNI Faculty of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

JANUARY 2019

Dedicated to my beloved parents, grandparents, siblings, friends and lecturers, without your support, guidance and encouragement, I might not have had this kind of achievement. Thanks for all the support, guidance and patience during my PhD journey.



## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

In The name of Allah S.W.T, The most Gracious and The Most Merciful. All praise to Allah S.W.T for providing me guidance, strength and the ability to successfully accomplish my PhD, Alhamdulillah. Peace and Blessings be upon Prophet Hazrat Muhammad and the believers who followed His path to the Day of Judgement. Throughout the entire process of the development and implementation of my PhD research, I have been very fortunate to have many wonderful and experienced people around me.

First of all, I would like to take this opportunity to express my most profound gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor Associate Professor. Ir. Dr. Babul Salam B. KSM Kader Ibrahim for his constant advice, kind guidance, valuable ideas, suggestions and generous moral support throughout the entire PhD journey.

Secondly, I would like to thank my beloved parents, Mr & Mrs Saleem Sherwani and my siblings who have always motivated me, supported me both morally and financially and prayed for my success during my PhD research.

Also, my sincere gratitude to all my friends' circle, especially Dr. Muhammad Mujtaba Asad, Dr. Kamran Latif, Dr. Syed Muhammad Zubair Rehman Gillani, Dr. Qadir Bakhsh Jamali, Dr. Zaheer Abbas, Dr. Ejaz Khan, Dr. Hadi Abdullah, Dr. Samiullah Sohu, Mr. Ali Raza Jamali and all others whom I have not mentioned. I am absolutely grateful to all of them. Thank you all.

Lastly, I would like to convey my deepest gratitude and thanks to the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia (*MOHE*) for awarding me the Malaysian International Scholarship (MIS) and Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia for providing Geran Insentif Penyelidik Siswazah (*GIPS*) scholarship to pursue my PhD study at Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia. Also, I would like to express my gratitude to all the academic and non- academic staff of my faculty and university for their cooperation and moral support.



## **ABSTRACT**

Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) is a population based stochastic optimization technique which consist of particles that move collectively in iterations to search for the most optimum solutions. However, conventional PSO is prone to lack of convergence and even stagnation in complex high dimensional-search problems with multiple local optima. Therefore, this research proposed an improved Mutually-Optimized Fractional PSO (MOFPSO) algorithm based on fractional derivatives and small step lengths to ensure convergence to global optima by supplying a fine balance between exploration and exploitation. The proposed algorithm is tested and verified for optimization performance comparison on ten benchmark functions against six existing established algorithms in terms of Mean of Error and Standard Deviation values. The proposed MOFPSO algorithm demonstrated lowest Mean of Error values during the optimization on all benchmark functions through all 30 runs (Ackley = 0.2, Rosenbrock = 0.2, Bohachevsky = 9.36E-06, Easom = -0.95, Griewank = 0.01, Rastrigin = 2.5E-03, Schaffer = 1.31E-06, Schwefel 1.2 = 3.2E-05, Sphere = 8.36E-03, Step = 0). Furthermore, the proposed MOFPSO algorithm is hybridized with Back-Propagation (BP), Elman Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN) and Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) to propose an enhanced data classification framework, especially for data classification applications. The proposed classification framework is then evaluated for classification accuracy, computational time and Mean Squared Error on five benchmark datasets against seven existing techniques. It can be concluded from the simulation results that the proposed MOFPSO-ERNN classification algorithm demonstrated good classification performance in terms of classification accuracy (Breast Cancer = 99.01%, EEG = 99.99%, PIMA Indian Diabetes = 99.37%, Iris = 99.6%, Thyroid = 99.88%) as compared to the existing hybrid classification techniques. Hence, the proposed technique can be employed to improve the overall classification accuracy and reduce the computational time in data classification applications.



## **ABSTRAK**

Pengoptimuman Swarm Partikel (PSO) adalah teknik pengoptimuman stokastik berasaskan populasi yang terdiri daripada zarah-zarah yang bergerak secara kolektif dalam lelaran untuk mencari penyelesaian yang paling optimum. Walaubagaimanapun, PSO yang konvensional terdedah kepada kekurangan penumpuan dan juga genangan dalam masalah carian dimensi tinggi kompleks dengan pelbagai optima tempatan. Oleh itu, kajian ini mencadangkan algoritma Fractional PSO (MOFPSO) yang dipertingkatkan secara mutlak berdasarkan pembezaan pecahan dan jarak langkah kecil untuk memastikan penumpuan kepada optima global dengan menyediakan keseimbangan yang baik antara eksplorasi dan eksploitasi. Algoritma yang dicadangkan diuji dan disahkan untuk perbandingan prestasi pengoptimuman pada sepuluh fungsi penanda aras berbanding enam algoritma yang sedia ada yang wujud dari segi Purata Ralat dan nilai sisihan piawai. Algoritma MOFPSO yang dicadangkan menunjukkan nilai Purata Ralat terendah semasa pengoptimuman pada semua fungsi penanda aras melalui semua 30 ulangan (Ackley = 0.2, Rosenbrock = 0.2, Bohachevsky = 9.36E-06, Easom = -0.95, Griewank = 0.01, Rastrigin = 2.5E - 03, Schaffer = 1.31E - 06, Schwefel 1.2 = 3.2E - 05, Sphere = 8.36E - 03, Step = 0). Tambahan lagi, algoritma yang dicadangkan itu hibridisasi dengan Propagasi-Pembalikan (BP), Rangkaian Neural Ulangan Elman (RNN) dan Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) Rangkaian Neural Buatan untuk mencadangkan rangka kerja klasifikasi data yang dipertingkatkan, terutamanya untuk aplikasi klasifikasi data. Rangka klasifikasi yang dicadangkan kemudiannya dinilai untuk ketepatan klasifikasi, masa pengiraan dan Ralat Purata Kuadrat pada lima dataset penanda aras terhadap tujuh teknik yang sedia ada. Ia dapat disimpulkan dari hasil simulasi bahawa algoritma MOFPSO-ERNN yang dicadangkan menunjukkan prestasi klasifikasi yang unggul berbanding dengan algoritma deterministik yang sedia ada (Kanser Payudara = 99.01%, EEG = 99.99%, Diabetes PIMA India = 99.37%, Iris = 99.6% Thyroid = 99.88%). Oleh itu, teknik yang dicadangkan boleh digunakan untuk meningkatkan ketepatan klasifikasi keseluruhan dan mengurangkan masa pengiraan dalam aplikasi klasifikasi data.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|           | DEC  | CLARA  | TION          |                                  | ii   |
|-----------|------|--------|---------------|----------------------------------|------|
|           | DEL  | DICAT  | ION           |                                  | iii  |
|           | ACI  | KNOW.  | LEDGEN        | 1ENT                             | iv   |
|           | ABS  | TRAC   | T             |                                  | v    |
|           | ABS  | TRAK   |               |                                  | vi   |
|           | TAE  | BLE OF | CONTE         | NTS                              | vii  |
|           | LIST | г оғ т | ABLES         |                                  | xi   |
|           | LIST | Γ OF F | <b>IGURES</b> |                                  | xiv  |
|           | LIST | Γ OF S | YMBOLS        | S AND ABBREVIATIONS              | xvii |
|           | LIST | Γ OF A | PPENDI        | CES                              | xix  |
| CHAPTER 1 | INT  | RODU   | CTION         | CES                              | 1    |
|           | 1.1  | Introd | uction        | TUNI                             | 1    |
|           | 1.2  | Projec | t Backgro     | und                              | 3    |
|           | 1.3  | Proble | em Statem     | ent                              | 5    |
| DERP      | 1.4  | Aim a  | nd Object     | ives of Research                 | 6    |
| PL.       | 1.5  | Resea  | rch Scope     |                                  | 7    |
|           | 1.6  | Thesis | Outline       |                                  | 8    |
| CHAPTER 2 | A RI | EVIEW  | ON CLA        | ASSIFICATION SYSTEMS             | 9    |
|           | 2.1  | Introd | uction        |                                  | 9    |
|           | 2.2  | Machi  | ne Learni     | ng Optimization                  | 9    |
|           |      | 2.2.1  | Determin      | nistic Algorithms                | 11   |
|           |      |        | 2.2.1.1       | Back-Propagation (BP) Neural     |      |
|           |      |        |               | Networks Algorithm               | 11   |
|           |      |        | 2.2.1.2       | Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) |      |
|           |      |        |               | Algorithm                        | 17   |
|           |      |        | 2.2.1.3       | Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) Neural  |      |
|           |      |        |               | Networks Algorithm               | 21   |

|           |     | 2.2.2  | Bio-inspired Swarm Intelligent Metaheuristic             |    |
|-----------|-----|--------|--|----|
|           |     |        | Optimization Algorithms                                  | 24 |
|           |     |        | 2.2.2.1 Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)                |    |
|           |     |        | Algorithm  | 27 |
|           |     | 2.2.3  | Fractional-Order Derivatives                             | 29 |
|           | 2.3 | Classi | fication Systems   | 30 |
|           |     | 2.3.1  | Supervised Classification Systems                        | 32 |
|           |     | 2.3.2  | Unsupervised Classification Systems                      | 32 |
|           |     | 2.3.3  | Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)                         | 33 |
|           | 2.4 | Resear | ch Gap Analysis  | 34 |
|           | 2.5 | Summ   | ary  | 38 |
| CHAPTER 3 | DES | IGN A  | ND DEVELOPMENT OF OPTIMIZATION                           |    |
|           | AND | CLAS   | SIFICATION FRAMEWORK                                     | 40 |
|           | 3.1 | Introd | uction   | 40 |
|           | 3.2 | Optim  | ization Algorithms                                       | 42 |
|           |     | 3.2.1  | Design variables   | 43 |
|           |     | 3.2.2  | Variable Constraints                                     | 44 |
|           |     | 3.2.3  | Variable Constraints Objective functions Variable bounds | 44 |
|           |     | 3.2.4  | Variable bounds  | 45 |
|           |     | 3.2.5  | The Proposed Mutually-Optimized Fractional               |    |
|           | 110 | STA    | Particle Swarm Optimization (MOFPSO)                     |    |
| PERP      | O,  |        | Algorithm  | 45 |
| PL        | 3.3 | Enhan  | ced Classification Algorithms                            | 53 |
|           |     | 3.3.1  | MOFPSO-Back Propagation (MOFPSO-BP)                      |    |
|           |     |        | Algorithm  | 53 |
|           |     | 3.3.2  | MOFPSO-Elman Recurrent Neural Networks                   |    |
|           |     |        | (MOFPSO-ERNN) Algorithm                                  | 57 |
|           |     | 3.3.3  | MOFPSO- Levenberg-Marquardt (MOFPSO-                     |    |
|           |     |        | LM) Algorithm  | 60 |
|           | 3.4 | Summ   | ary  | 64 |
| CHAPTER 4 | PER | FORM   | ANCE OF PROPOSED OPTIMIZATION                            |    |
|           | ALG | ORIT   | HMS ON BENCHMARK FUNCTIONS                               | 65 |
|           | 4.1 | Introd | uction   | 65 |
|           | 4.2 | Bench  | marking Functions  | 65 |

viii

| 4.2.1 | Performance Comparison of Ackley          |    |
|-------|---|----|
|       | Benchmark Function                        | 68 |
|       | 4.2.1.1 Welch Analysis of Variance for    |    |
|       | Ackley Benchmark Function                 | 70 |
| 4.2.2 | Performance Comparison of Rosenbrock      |    |
|       | Benchmark Function                        | 72 |
|       | 4.2.2.1 Welch Analysis of Variance for    |    |
|       | Rosenbrock Benchmark Function             | 73 |
| 4.2.3 | Performance Comparison of Bohachevsky     |    |
|       | Benchmark Function                        | 75 |
|       | 4.2.3.1 Welch Analysis of Variance for    | ,  |
|       | Bohachevsky Benchmark Function            | 77 |
| 4.2.4 | Performance Comparison of Easom Benchmark |    |
|       | Function                                  | 79 |
|       | 4.2.4.1 Welch Analysis of Variance for    |    |
|       | Easom Benchmark Function                  | 80 |
| 4.2.5 | Performance Comparison of Griewank        | ,  |
|       | Benchmark Function                        | 82 |
|       | 4.2.5.1 Welch Analysis of Variance for    | ,  |
|       | Griewank Benchmark Function               | 84 |
| 4.2.6 | Performance Comparison of Rastrigin       |    |
|       | Benchmark Function                        | 86 |
|       | 4.2.6.1 Welch Analysis of Variance for    | ,  |
|       | Rastrigin Benchmark Function              | 87 |
| 4.2.7 | Performance Comparison of Schaffer        | ,  |
|       | Benchmark Function                        | 89 |
|       | 4.2.7.1 Welch Analysis of Variance for    |    |
|       | Schaffer Benchmark Function               | 90 |
| 4.2.8 | Performance Comparison of Schwefel        |    |
|       | Benchmark Function                        | 92 |
|       | 4.2.8.1 Welch Analysis of Variance for    |    |
|       | Schwefel Benchmark Function               | 94 |
| 4.2.9 | Performance Comparison of Sphere          |    |
|       | Benchmark Function                        | 96 |

|           |     | 4.2.9.1 Welch Analysis of Variance for               |     |
|-----------|-----|--|-----|
|           |     | Sphere Benchmark Function                            | 97  |
|           |     | 4.2.10 Performance Comparison of Step Benchmark      |     |
|           |     | Function   | 99  |
|           | 4.3 | Overall Performance of Proposed Optimization         |     |
|           |     | Algorithms   | 100 |
|           | 4.4 | Summary  | 107 |
| CHAPTER 5 | PER | FORMANCE OF PROPOSED CLASSIFICATION                  |     |
|           | ALG | GORITHMS ON CLASSIFICATION DATASETS                  | 108 |
|           | 5.1 | Introduction   | 108 |
|           | 5.2 | Classification Constraints                           | 110 |
|           | 5.3 | Classification Performance of Proposed Algorithms on |     |
|           |     | Selected Datasets                                    | 110 |
|           |     | 5.3.1 Breast Cancer Dataset                          | 112 |
|           |     | 5.3.2 Electroencephalography (EEG) Dataset           | 115 |
|           |     | 5.3.3 PIMA Indian Diabetes Dataset                   | 119 |
|           |     | 5.3.4 IRIS Dataset                                   | 122 |
|           |     | 5.3.5 Thyroid Dataset                                | 126 |
|           | 5.4 | Overall Performance of Proposed Classification       |     |
| Y         |     | Algorithms   | 130 |
|           | 5.5 | Summary  | 138 |
| CHAPTER 6 | CON | ICLUSIONS  | 139 |
| 7 -       | 6.1 | Introduction   | 139 |
|           | 6.2 | Thesis Contributions                                 | 140 |
|           | 6.3 | Summary of Research Findings                         | 140 |
|           | 6.4 | Future Recommendations                               | 142 |
|           | REF | ERENCES  | 144 |
|           | APP | ENDIX A  | 177 |
|           | APP | ENDIX B  | 179 |
|           | APP | ENDIX C  | 191 |
|           | VIT | $\mathbf{A}$   | 194 |

# LIST OF TABLES

| 1.1  | Basic concept of data classification                  | 3  |
|------|---|----|
| 2.1  | Brief literature on Back-Propagation Neural Networks  | 14 |
| 2.2  | Brief literature on Elman Recurrent Neural Networks   | 21 |
| 2.3  | Brief literature on Levenberg-Marquardt Neural        |    |
|      | Networks  | 24 |
| 2.4  | Research gap analysis on PSO and its applications     | 37 |
| 3.1  | Rules of Natural Selection Used in the Proposed       |    |
|      | MOFPSO Algorithm                                      | 49 |
| 4.1  | List of Benchmark Functions and their Mathematical    |    |
|      | Formulations  | 67 |
| 4.2  | Convergence Domain Range Values of Benchmark          |    |
|      | Functions   | 68 |
| 4.3  | Descriptive Results for the Performance Comparison of |    |
|      | Ackley Benchmark Function                             | 69 |
| 4.4  | Robust Test for Equality of Means                     | 70 |
| 4.5  | Multi-Comparison (Games – Howell)                     | 70 |
| 4.6  | Descriptive Results for Rosenbrock Benchmark          |    |
|      | Function  | 72 |
| 4.7  | Robust Test for Equality of Means                     | 73 |
| 4.8  | Multi-Comparison (Games – Howell)                     | 74 |
| 4.9  | Descriptive Results for Bohachevsky Benchmark         |    |
|      | Function  | 76 |
| 4.10 | Robust Test for Equality of Means                     | 77 |
| 4.11 | Multi-Comparison (Games – Howell)                     | 77 |
| 4.12 | Descriptive Results for Easom Benchmark Function      | 80 |
| 4.13 | Robust Test for Equality of Means                     | 81 |
| 4.14 | Multi-Comparison (Games – Howell)                     | 81 |

| 4.15  | Descriptive Results for Griewank Benchmark Function  | 83  |
|-------|--|-----|
| 4.16  | Robust Test for Equality of Means                    | 84  |
| 4.17  | Multi-Comparison (Games – Howell)                    | 84  |
| 4.18  | Descriptive Results for Rastrigin Benchmark Function | 86  |
| 4.19  | Robust Test for Equality of Means                    | 87  |
| 4.20  | Multi-Comparison (Games – Howell)                    | 88  |
| 4.21  | Descriptive Results for Schaffer Benchmark Function  | 90  |
| 4.22  | Robust Test for Equality of Means                    | 91  |
| 4.23  | Multi-Comparison (Games – Howell)                    | 91  |
| 4.24  | Descriptive Results for Schwefel Benchmark Function  | 93  |
| 4.25  | Robust Test for Equality of Means                    | 94  |
| 4.26  | Multi-Comparison (Games – Howell)                    | 94  |
| 4.27  | Descriptive Results for Sphere Benchmark Function    | 96  |
| 4.28  | Robust Test for Equality of Means                    | 97  |
| 4.29  | Multi-Comparison (Games – Howell)                    | 98  |
| 4.30  | Descriptive Results for Step Benchmark Function      | 99  |
| 4.31  | Overall Performance Comparison of Mean Score for     | 1   |
|       | Optimization Algorithms                              | 103 |
| 4.32  | Overall Performance Comparison of Standard Deviation |     |
|       | for Optimization Algorithms                          | 105 |
| 5.1   | Classification Datasets                              | 108 |
| 5.2 R | Network Parameters                                   | 110 |
| 5.3   | Performance of the Proposed Algorithms on Breast     |     |
|       | Cancer Dataset (60:40)                               | 112 |
| 5.4   | Performance of the Proposed Algorithms on EEG        |     |
|       | Dataset (60:40)                                      | 116 |
| 5.5   | Performance of the Proposed Algorithms on PIMA       |     |
|       | Indian Diabetes Dataset (60:40)                      | 119 |
| 5.6   | Performance of the Proposed Algorithms on IRIS       |     |
|       | Dataset (60:40)                                      | 123 |
| 5.7   | Performance of the Proposed Algorithms on Thyroid    |     |
|       | Dataset (60:40)                                      | 127 |
| 5.8   | Overall Performance Comparison of Proposed           |     |
|       | Classification Algorithms Based on CPU Time          | 132 |

| 5.9  | Overall Performance Comparison of Proposed        |     |
|------|---|-----|
|      | Classification Algorithms Based on Classification |     |
|      | Accuracy  | 134 |
| 5.10 | Overall Performance Comparison of Proposed        |     |
|      | Classification Algorithms Based on MSE            | 136 |



# LIST OF FIGURES

| 1.1 | Typical example of a classification process          | 2  |
|-----|--|----|
| 2.1 | Basic Structure of Back-Propagation Neural Networks  | 12 |
| 2.2 | Three-dimensional visualization of error function in |    |
|     | weight space   | 13 |
| 2.3 | Recurrent Neural Network Architecture                | 18 |
| 2.4 | An Elman Recurrent Neural Network (Boden, 2001)      | 19 |
| 2.5 | Motion of a random particle in PSO algorithm         | 28 |
| 2.6 | Basic Structure of Neural Network                    | 33 |
| 3.1 | Flow Chart of Research Methodology                   | 41 |
| 3.2 | Step-wise design flow of optimization process        | 43 |
| 3.3 | Flow of the Proposed MOFPSO Algorithm                | 47 |
| 3.4 | Graphical Representation of the Proposed MOFPSO      |    |
|     | Algorithm  | 49 |
| 3.5 | Flow Chart of the Proposed MOFPSO-BP Algorithm       | 54 |
| 3.6 | Flow Chart of the Proposed MOFPSO-ERNN Algorithm     | 58 |
| 3.7 | Flow Chart of the Proposed MOFPSO-LM Algorithm       | 61 |
| 4.1 | Performance Comparison Graph for Ackley Benchmark    |    |
|     | Function   | 69 |
| 4.2 | Performance Comparison Graph for Rosenbrock          |    |
|     | Benchmark Function                                   | 73 |
| 4.3 | Performance Comparison Graph for Bohachevsky         |    |
|     | Benchmark Function                                   | 76 |
| 4.4 | Performance Comparison Graph for Easom Benchmark     |    |
|     | Function   | 80 |
| 4.5 | Performance Comparison Graph for Griewank            |    |
|     | Benchmark Function                                   | 83 |

| 4.6  | Performance Comparison Graph for Rastrigin           |     |
|------|--|-----|
|      | Benchmark Function                                   | 87  |
| 4.7  | Performance Comparison Graph for Schaffer            |     |
|      | Benchmark Function                                   | 90  |
| 4.8  | Performance Comparison Graph for Schwefel            |     |
|      | Benchmark Function                                   | 93  |
| 4.9  | Performance Comparison Graph for Sphere Benchmark    |     |
|      | Function   | 97  |
| 4.10 | Comparison of Mean Values Between Optimization       |     |
|      | Algorithms   | 104 |
| 4.11 | Comparison of SD Values Between Optimization         |     |
|      | Algorithms   | 106 |
| 5.1  | MSE Performance Graph of the Proposed Algorithms on  |     |
|      | Breast Cancer (60:40)                                | 113 |
| 5.2  | AUROC Analysis Graph of the Proposed Algorithms on   |     |
|      | Breast Cancer Dataset (60:40)                        | 115 |
| 5.3  | MSE Performance Graph of the Proposed Algorithms on  |     |
|      | EEG Dataset (60:40)                                  | 117 |
| 5.4  | AUROC Analysis Graph of the Proposed Algorithms on   |     |
|      | EEG Dataset (60:40)                                  | 118 |
| 5.5  | MSE Performance Graph of the Proposed Algorithms on  |     |
| FR   | PIMA Indian Diabetes Dataset (60:40)                 | 121 |
| 5.6  | AUROC Analysis Graph of the Proposed Algorithms on   |     |
|      | PIMA Indian Diabetes Dataset (60:40)                 | 122 |
| 5.7  | MSE Performance Graph of the Proposed Algorithms on  |     |
|      | IRIS Dataset (60:40)                                 | 124 |
| 5.8  | AUROC Analysis Graph of the Proposed Algorithms on   |     |
|      | IRIS Dataset (60:40)                                 | 126 |
| 5.9  | MSE Performance Graph of the Proposed Algorithms on  |     |
|      | Thyroid Dataset (60:40)                              | 128 |
| 5.10 | AUROC Analysis Graph of the Proposed Algorithms on   |     |
|      | Thyroid Dataset (60:40)                              | 129 |
| 5.11 | Comparison of Classification Performance in terms of |     |
|      | CPU Time   | 133 |

| 5.12 | Comparison of Classification Accuracy Between  |     |
|------|--|-----|
|      | Classification Algorithms                      | 135 |
| 5.13 | Comparison of Mean Squared Error (MSE) Between |     |
|      | Classification Algorithms                      | 137 |



xvii

## LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

i - Subscript i correspond to input nodes
 j - Subscript j correspond to hidden nodes

k - Subscript k correspond to output nodes

Weight from node i to node j

 $w_{jk}$  - Weight from node j to node k

 $v_i^{t+1}$  - Velocity vector for Each Particle

 $x_t^t$  - Position vector for Each Particle

*α* - Acceleration Constant in PSO

*α<sub>f</sub>* - Alpha value in MOFPSO

 $\varepsilon_n$  - Random vector drawn from N (0, 1)

*e* - Exponential

 $\sigma^2$  - Measure of Variance

 $\sigma$  - Standard Deviation

*x* Variable with Normal Distribution

μ - Mean Value

♦ Hadamard Matrix Product Operator for Step-wise

Multiplication

 $T_i$  -  $i^{th}$  Target output

 $Y_i$  -  $i^{th}$  Network output

 $\delta_k$  -  $k^{th}$  Node error of output layer

 $\delta_j$  -  $j^{th}$  Node error of hidden layer

 $h_j$  -  $j^{th}$  Output of the hidden node

 $O_i$  -  $i^{th}$  Output of the input node

 $\eta$  - Rate of learning

 $x^*$  - Global best solution

 $x^{new}$  - New local best



Wij

 $x^{old}$  - Previous local best

Ai - Actual data

*v*Velocity of Each Particle*n*Total number of particles

 $x^{max}$  - Maximum data range  $x^{min}$  - Minimum data range

U - Upper normalization boundaryL - Lower normalization boundary

*Ti* - Predicted data

Xi - The observed value  $\overline{X_i}$  - Mean observed value

NNs - Neural Networks

*ABC* - Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm

*ABC-BP* - Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm with Back Propagation

ABC-LM - Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm with Levenberg-Marquardt

AUROC - Area under the Receiver Operating Characteristic

BP/BPNN - Back Propagation Neural Network

*CS* - Cuckoo Search

ERN/ERNN - Elman Recurrent Neural Network

GA - Genetic Algorithm

GA-BP - Genetic Algorithm with back propagation

GA-LM - Genetic Algorithm with Levenberg-Marquardt

HS - Harmony Search

*IANN* - Improved Artificial Neural Networks

LM - Levenberg-MarquardtMSE - Mean Squared Error

*PSO* - Particle Swarm Optimization

*RNN* - Recurrent Neural Network

*ROC* - Receiver Operating Characteristics

*WSA* - Wolf Search Algorithm

# LIST OF APPENDICES

| A | List of Publications and Awards | 177 |
|---|---------------------------------|-----|
| В | Data Analysis Results           | 179 |
| C | Supporting Documents            | 191 |



## **CHAPTER 1**

## **INTRODUCTION**

## 1.1 Introduction

Fundamentally, the word classification regarding daily life refers to selecting or deciding a future conduct based on the presently available information such as categorization of foods, allocation of salaries based on the work load and sorting of daily mail based on post codes (Brunelli, 2009). A more formal and modern definition of machine-based classification provided by Tom Mitchell, a very well-known computer scientist, is that, "A computer program is said to learn from experience E with respect to some class of tasks T and performance measure P, if its performance at tasks in T, as measured by P, improves with experience E."

Machine-based classification usually involves some computer programs, known as algorithms, developed using several mathematical formulations to accelerate the automated classification process. With increase in the size and computational complexity of the data today, such optimized, robust, agile and reliable computational algorithms are required which can efficiently carry out these conforming classification tasks. In this regard, Machine Learning (ML) techniques have been demonstrated to be excellent tools to deal with these complex problems regularly arising from various sources (Kotsiantis, 2007). It is one of today's most rapidly growing technical fields, lying at the intersection of computer science and statistics and at the core of artificial intelligence and data science (Pérez-Ortiz *et al.*, 2016). There are several applications of ML, the most significant of which is data mining (Buczak & Guven, 2016). People are often prone to making mistakes during analyses or, possibly, when trying to



establish relationships between multiple features in a dataset. This makes it difficult for them to find solutions to certain problems, especially, if the addressed problem is large in volume. ML can provide effective solutions to these problems, by improving the efficiency of optimization and classification systems.

Apple and Orange classification is a typical example to understand the concept of classification as shown in Figure 1.1. Manual classification can be easily performed on a small scale if the task is to separate the two fruits from each other.




Figure 1.1: Typical example of a classification process

Whereas, in an industrial environment, where there is large amount of the fruits to be separated from each other on a conveyer belt is a tedious and time taking job. This is where automated ML based classification comes in to play to classify and separate the fruits from each other. This type of classification is known as binary classification, where there are a specific number of known input attributes and a specific number of known output classes. For example, in the above-mentioned example, the fruits can be classified based on color i.e. Red color represents Apples and Orange color represents Oranges.

In machine learning algorithms, every instance in any dataset is represented using the same set of continuous, categorical or binary features (Kotsiantis, 2007). Table 1.1 shows a basic concept of data classification based on a specific number of inputs called features and specific corresponding required outputs known as Target classes.

| Classification Data |           |           |  |           |                |  |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|--|-----------|----------------|--|
| Instances           | Feature 1 | Feature 2 |  | Feature n | Target Classes |  |
| Case 1              | xxx       | XX        |  | х         | Malignant      |  |
| Case 2              | xxx       | XX        |  | X         | Benign         |  |
| Case 3              | xxx       | XX        |  | X         | Benign         |  |
|                     |           |           |  |           |                |  |

Table 1.1: Basic concept of data classification

Generally, almost all machine learning based classification problems can be assigned to one of the two major classification techniques: Supervised learning and Unsupervised learning. In supervised learning, the classifier is given a dataset and it is already aware of the desired output, having a feedback relationship between the input and the output. In a supervised classification problem, it is aimed to predict the results in a discrete output. In other words, the target is to map the input variables into distinct classes. While, unsupervised learning refers to tackle the problems with minute or no idea of the corresponding outputs. Only information available in unsupervised learning is the relationships among variables derived through clustering the likewise variables and vice versa. Where, there is no feedback based on the prediction results in unsupervised learning.

## 1.2 Project Background

Data classification is the most important type of data mining technique which deals with the classification of large, computationally complex datasets (Pires *et al.*, 2014). Classification of these huge datasets normally takes long computational times and is also prone to less classification accuracy (Sanz *et al.*, 2015). Existing classification techniques have been proved to be less efficient when implied to perform classification in high-dimensional datasets (Triguero *et al.*, 2015). Lately, several hybridized classification techniques have been reported that include a combination of classification as well as optimization algorithms (Bazi *et al.*, 2014). These hybridized techniques are commonly used to optimize and benefit the classification process (Devos *et al.*, 2014). Bio-inspired metaheuristic optimization algorithms are most

commonly employed for such hybridized techniques because of their versatile exploration and exploitation capabilities (Zhang *et al.*, 2015).

Biologically inspired, or short Bio-inspired metaheuristic algorithms are one of the most common inherited techniques that are applied in today's machine learning optimization (Wang *et al.*, 2015). This field of study is basically a combination of several subfields related to the topics of social behavior of living organisms and computing systems (Seera & Lim, 2014). It suggests ways to implement characteristics and components of artificial intelligence in machine learning optimization (Ren *et al.*, 2016). Fundamentally, it depends on the fields of biology, computer science and mathematics to model the social and cognitive behavior of living organisms to improve machine learning optimization (Saez *et al.*, 2015). Such bio-inspired machine learning algorithms that tend to mimic the collective social and cognitive characteristics of living organisms in groups such as flocks of birds or school of fish are referred to as swarm intelligent algorithms (Masethe & Masethe, 2014).

The term 'Swarm Intelligence' was coined in 1989 by Gerardo Beni and Jing Wang (Beni and Wang, 1989). Subsequently, swarm intelligence has developed as the basis of numerous bio-inspired metaheuristic search algorithms (Radwan & Fouda, 2013; Krawczyk et al., 2014). Meta means 'to look beyond' or 'higher level' and heuristic means 'to search' or 'to discover by trial and error' (Sanz et al., 2014). Briefly put, swarm intelligent metaheuristics can be defined as high-level approaches for exploring search spaces by using different methods (Blum et al., 2008).

Swarm based metaheuristic optimization methods are also known as stochastic optimization techniques which aim to randomly explore the search space to find the most optimum solution (Kingma & Ba, 2014; Gilli & Winker, 2008). It is maintained that stochastic optimization techniques can produce high quality approximation of the global optimum as compared to deterministic, less optimal local minima provided by conventional techniques (Yang, 2018). Stochastic optimization algorithms iterate to optimize a problem by attempting to improve the candidate solution according to a given measure of quality defined by the respective fitness function (Li *et al.*, 2014).

Some current examples of metaheuristics are Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) which has been successfully applied in many engineering applications (Robinson & Rahmat-Samii, 2004; Jin & Rahmat-Samii, 2007).

Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) algorithm has also been used in many areas of optimization (Merkle *et al.*, 2002; Parpinelli & Lopes, 2011).

Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) algorithm demonstrated good performance in numerical optimization (Karaboga & Basturk, 2007; Karaboga & Basturk, 2008), in large-scale global optimization (Fister & Zumer, 2012), and also in combinatorial optimization (Neri & Tirronen, 2009; Pan *et al.*, 2011; Parpinelli & Lopes, 2011). Recently, a new set of metaheuristics are added to the family of age long swarm intelligent algorithms.

These bio-inspired optimization algorithms include Firefly (Zheng et al., 2015; Yang, 2009), Cuckoo Search (Yang & Deb, 2009), Wolf Search (Tang et al., 2012) and Bat algorithm (Yang, 2010a). These metaheuristic optimization algorithms follow multi-dimensional search methods that are heavily inspired from the movement patterns and social and cognitive behavior of swarm of animals and insects found in the nature (Uryasey & Pardalos, 2013; Arsenault et al., 2013). The performance of such swarm-based metaheuristic optimization algorithms has been demonstrated to be better in comparison to the existing conventional methods (Homem-de-Mello & Bayraksan, 2014). There are two main components of any metaheuristic search-based algorithm i.e. exploration and exploitation (Liu et al., 2016).

Exploration in metaheuristic algorithms is accomplished using randomization to search much larger search space in the quest of finding more promising solutions (Donadee & Ilić, 2014). Exploration process is responsible for diversification, which helps an algorithm to search globally and avoid local optima (Schkufza *et al.*, 2014; Munos, 2014). While, exploitation process offers intensification in which new neighborhood solutions are navigated locally to find a better solution than the already found optimal one (Neri & Tirronen, 2009; Yang *et al.*, 2014).

## 1.3 Problem Statement

Data classification is the most important type of data mining technique which deals with the classification of large, computationally complex datasets. Classification of these huge datasets using existing techniques lead to higher computational times and decreased accuracy. Recently, several hybridized classification algorithms based on optimization techniques are proposed and commonly used to optimize and benefit the classification process (Manjarres *et al.*, 2013; Cheng & Prayogo, 2014; Zhang *et al.*, 2015; Ervural *et al.*, 2017). Bio-inspired metaheuristic algorithms are most commonly

used for such hybridized techniques because of their versatile exploration and exploitation capabilities (Yang *et al.*, 2013).

PSO is one of the most extensively employed evolutionary algorithms for such optimization problems. Nevertheless, traditional PSO suffers from several issues when employed in complex high-dimensional problems. These issues include convergence to sub-optimal solutions and stagnation in problems with multiple local optima (Ghamisi *et al.*, 2014; Couceiro & Sivasundaram, 2016). Also, PSO algorithm uses longer step lengths which can cause it to skip optimal solutions in the space (Zhang *et al.*, 2015). Furthermore, in PSO, there exists a trade-off between exploration and exploitation, where, favouring either will end up low quality outcomes due to negligence of the other (Tam *et al.*, 2018). These problems in PSO algorithm further add to the issues of increased computational cost and reduced accuracy in hybridized data classification techniques.

These prevailing issues in machine-based hybridized classification techniques limit the potential of automated classification systems in high-dimensional classification problems. In order to perform and assist efficient classification for such datasets, it is crucial to develop such classification techniques that can significantly reduce the computational times and improve the classification accuracy for such applications. Hence, to reduce the computational times in hybridized classification techniques using PSO and improve the overall classification accuracy, it is inevitable to improve the optimization capability of the traditional PSO algorithm.

## 1.4 Aim and Objectives of Research

This research is aimed to develop an enhanced, Mutually-Optimized fractional PSO algorithm-based classification framework through provision of fine balance between exploration and exploitation search of traditional PSO by introducing fractional derivatives, consequently improving the convergence behavior of traditional PSO algorithm, reducing the overall computational time and improving the classification accuracy in data classification applications.

To achieve this aim, the objectives of this research are formulated as follows:

1. To develop an enhanced MOFPSO algorithm based on fractional order velocity and shorter step lengths to ensure convergence to global optima.



#### REFERENCES

- Ab Aziz, M. F., Shamsuddin, S. M. and Alwee, R. (2009). Enhancement of Particle Swarm Optimization in Elman Recurrent Network with Bounded Vmax Function. *3rd Asia International Conference on Modelling and Simulation, AMS* 2009, 125–30.
- Abaci, K., & Yamacli, V. (2016). Differential search algorithm for solving multiobjective optimal power flow problem. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 79, 1-10.
- Abbas, I. A. (2014). Fractional order GN model on thermoelastic interaction in an infinite fibre-reinforced anisotropic plate containing a circular hole. *Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience*, 11(2), 380-384.
- Abbas, S., Benchohra, M., & Sivasundaram, S. (2013). Ulam stability for partial fractional differential inclusions with multiple delays and impulses via Picard operators. *Nonlinear Studies*, 20(4).
- Abdelaziz, A. Y., & Ali, E. S. (2015). Cuckoo search algorithm-based load frequency controller design for nonlinear interconnected power system.

  International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, 73, 632-643.
- Abdeljawad, T. (2015). On conformable fractional calculus. *Journal of computational and Applied Mathematics*, 279, 57-66.
- Abdelkawy, M. A., Zaky, M. A., Bhrawy, A. H., & Baleanu, D. (2015). Numerical simulation of time variable fractional order mobile-immobile advection-dispersion model. *Rom. Rep. Phys*, 67(3), 773-791.
- Abedinia, O., Amjady, N., & Ghasemi, A. (2016). A new metaheuristic algorithm based on shark smell optimization. *Complexity*, 21(5), 97-116
- Ackley, D. H. (1987). An Empirical Study of Bit Vector Function Optimization. Genetic algorithms and simulated annealing, vol. 1, 170–204.



- Agarwal, N., Bullins, B., & Hazan, E. (2017). Second-order stochastic optimization for machine learning in linear time. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 18(1), 4148-4187.
- Aguila-Camacho, N., Duarte-Mermoud, M. A., & Gallegos, J. A. (2014).
  Lyapunov functions for fractional order systems. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 19(9), 2951-2957.
- Ahmad, B., & Sivasundaram, S. (2010). On four-point nonlocal boundary value problems of nonlinear integro-differential equations of fractional order. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 217(2), 480-487.
- Ahmadizar, F., Soltanian, K., AkhlaghianTab, F., & Tsoulos, I. (2015). Artificial neural network development by means of a novel combination of grammatical evolution and genetic algorithm. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 39, 1-13.
- Akhavan-Hejazi, H., & Mohsenian-Rad, H. (2014). Optimal operation of independent storage systems in energy and reserve markets with high wind penetration. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, 5(2), 1088-1097.
- Amelin, K., Amelina, N., Granichin, O., Granichina, O., & Andrievsky, B. (2013).

  Randomized algorithm for uavs group flight optimization. *IFAC Proceedings* Volumes, 46(11), 205-208.
- Ampazis, N., and Perantonis, S. J. (2002). Two Highly Efficient Second-Order Algorithms for Training Feedforward Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, vol. 13(5), 1064–74.
- Analui, B., & Pflug, G. C. (2014). On distribution ally robust multiperiod stochastic optimization. *Computational Management Science*, 11(3), 197-220.
- Antonelli, M., Ducange, P., Lazzerini, B., & Marcelloni, F. (2016). Multi-objective evolutionary design of granular rule-based classifiers. *Granular Computing*, 1(1), 37-58.
- Arsenault, R., Poulin, A., Côté, P., & Brissette, F. (2013). Comparison of stochastic optimization algorithms in hydrological model calibration. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 19(7), 1374-1384.
- Artero-Guerrero, J. A., Pernas-Sanchez, J., Martin-Montal, J., Varas, D., & López-Puente, J. (2018). The influence of laminate stacking sequence on ballistic limit using a combined Experimental/FEM/Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) methodology. Composite Structures, 183, 299-308.



- Atangana, A., & Secer, A. (2013). A note on fractional order derivatives and table of fractional derivatives of some special functions. In *Abstract and applied analysis* (Vol. 2013). Hindawi.
- Bahrami, S., Hooshmand, R. A., & Parastegari, M. (2014). Short term electric load forecasting by wavelet transform and grey model improved by PSO (particle swarm optimization) algorithm. *Energy*, 72, 434-442.
- Baquero, O. S., Santana, L. M. R., & Chiaravalloti-Neto, F. (2018). Dengue forecasting in São Paulo city with generalized additive models, artificial neural networks and seasonal autoregressive integrated moving average models. PloS one, 13(4), e0195065.
- Bazi, Y., Alajlan, N., Melgani, F., AlHichri, H., Malek, S., & Yager, R. R. (2014).
  Differential evolution extreme learning machine for the classification of hyperspectral images. IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters, 11(6), 1066-1070.
- Bekir, A., Güner, Ö., & Cevikel, A. C. (2013). Fractional complex transforms and exp-function methods for fractional differential equations. In *Abstract and Applied Analysis* (Vol. 2013). Hindawi.
- Benfu, Y., Hongmei, S., Ye, S., Xiuhui, L., & Bin, Z. (2009, March). Study on the artificial neural network in the diagnosis of smear negative pulmonary tuberculosis. In Computer Science and Information Engineering, 2009 WRI World Congress (Vol. 5, pp. 584-588). IEEE.
- Bengio, Y., Goodfellow, I. J., & Courville, A. (2015). *Deep learning. Nature*, 521(7553), 436-444.
- Beni, G., and Wang, J. (1993). Swarm Intelligence in Cellular Robotic Systems. In *Robots and Biological Systems: Towards a New Bionics? NATO ASI Series*, vol. 102, 703–12.
- Bertsimas, D., Litvinov, E., Sun, X. A., Zhao, J., & Zheng, T. (2013). Adaptive robust optimization for the security constrained unit commitment problem. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 28(1), 52-63.
- Bischl, B., Lang, M., Kotthoff, L., Schiffner, J., Richter, J., Studerus, E., ... & Jones, Z. M. (2016). mlr: Machine Learning in R. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 17(170), 1-5.
- Biyanto, T. R., Ramasamy, M., Jameran, A. B., & Fibrianto, H. Y. (2016). Thermal and hydraulic impacts consideration in refinery crude preheat train cleaning



- scheduling using recent stochastic optimization methods. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 108, 1436-1450.
- Blum, C. (2008). *Hybrid Metaheuristics: An Emerging Approach to Optimization*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg
- Blum, C., and Roli, A. (2003). Metaheuristics in Combinatorial Optimization: Overview and Conceptual Comparison. *ACM Computing Surveys*, vol. 35, 268–308.
- Boden, M. (2001). A Guide to Recurrent Neural Networks and Backpropagation. *Electrical Engineering*, (2), 1–10.
- Bohachevsky Function (2015). Retrieved from: www-optima.amp.i.kyotou.ac.jp/member/student/hedar/Hedar\_files/TestGO\_files/Page595.htm
- Bonyadi, M. R., & Michalewicz, Z. (2016). Analysis of stability, local convergence, and transformation sensitivity of a variant of the particle swarm optimization algorithm. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 20(3), 370-385.
- Bottou, L., Curtis, F. E., & Nocedal, J. (2018). Optimization methods for large-scale machine learning. SIAM Review, 60(2), 223-311.
- Brandel, M. G., Porras, K., Steinberg, J. A., Rennert, R. C., Wali, A. R., Santiago-Dieppa, D. R., ... & Khalessi, A. A. (2018). Classification Systems for Trigeminal Neuralgia and Quantification of Facial Pain. In Effective Techniques for Managing Trigeminal Neuralgia (pp. 32-44). IGI Global.
- Brunelli, R. (2009). Template matching techniques in computer vision: theory and practice. John Wiley & Sons.
- Brzezinski, D. W. (2016). Accuracy problems of numerical calculation of fractional order derivatives and integrals applying the Riemann-Liouville/Caputo formulas. *Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Sciences*, 1(1), 23-43.
- Buchbinder, N., & Feldman, M. (2018). Deterministic algorithms for submodular maximization problems. ACM Transactions on Algorithms (TALG), 14(3), 32.
- Buczak, A. L., & Guven, E. (2016). A survey of data mining and machine learning methods for cyber security intrusion detection. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 18(2), 1153-1176.



- Cai, Y., Yu, F. R., Li, J., Zhou, Y., & Lamont, L. (2013). Medium access control for unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) ad-hoc networks with full-duplex radios and multipacket reception capability. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 62(1), 390-394.
- Cao, C., Ni, Q., & Zhai, Y. (2015, May). A novel community detection method based on discrete particle swarm optimization algorithms in complex networks. *In Evolutionary Computation (CEC)*, 2015 IEEE Congress on (pp. 171-178). IEEE.
- Carpinteri, A., & Mainardi, F. (Eds.). (2014). Fractals and fractional calculus in continuum mechanics (Vol. 378). Springer.
- Chai, R., Ling, S. H., Hunter, G. P., Tran, Y., & Nguyen, H. T. (2014). Brain—computer interface classifier for wheelchair commands using neural network with fuzzy particle swarm optimization. *IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics*, 18(5), 1614-1624.
- Chan, T. M. (2018). Improved deterministic algorithms for linear programming in low dimensions. ACM Transactions on Algorithms (TALG), 14(3), 30.
- Chang, P., Yang, J., Yang, J., & You, T. (2017, April). Respiratory signals prediction based on particle swarm optimization and back propagation neural networks. In AIP Conference Proceedings (Vol. 1834, No. 1, p. 040049). AIP Publishing.
- Chaturapruek, S., Duchi, J. C., & Ré, C. (2015). Asynchronous stochastic convex optimization: the noise is in the noise and SGD don't care. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* (pp. 1531-1539).
- Chauhan, P., Deep, K., & Pant, M. (2013). Novel inertia weight strategies for particle swarm optimization. *Memetic Computing*, 5(3), 229-251.
- Chen, D., Zhang, R., Liu, X., & Ma, X. (2014). Fractional order Lyapunov stability theorem and its applications in synchronization of complex dynamical networks. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 19(12), 4105-4121.
- Chen, J., & Lau, V. K. (2013, November). Convergence analysis of mixed timescale cross-layer stochastic optimization. In *Signals, Systems and Computers*, 2013 Asilomar Conference on (pp. 225-229). IEEE.



- Chen, J., Zeng, Z., & Jiang, P. (2014). Global Mittag-Leffler stability and synchronization of memristor-based fractional-order neural networks. *Neural Networks*, 51, 1-8.
- Chen, L., Chai, Y., Wu, R., Ma, T., & Zhai, H. (2013). Dynamic analysis of a class of fractional-order neural networks with delay. *Neurocomputing*, 111, 190-194.
- Chen, L., Wu, R., Cao, J., & Liu, J. B. (2015). Stability and synchronization of memristor-based fractional-order delayed neural networks. *Neural Networks*, 71, 37-44.
- Chen, W., Panahi, M., & Pourghasemi, H. R. (2017). Performance evaluation of GIS-based new ensemble data mining techniques of adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system (ANFIS) with genetic algorithm (GA), differential evolution (DE), and particle swarm optimization (PSO) for landslide spatial modelling. Catena, 157, 310-324.
- Cho, K., Van Merriënboer, B., Gulcehre, C., Bahdanau, D., Bougares, F., Schwenk, H., & Bengio, Y. (2014). Learning phrase representations using RNN encoder-decoder for statistical machine translation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.1078.
- Chou, P., and Chen, J. (2011). Enforced Mutation to Enhancing the Capability of Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithms. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer, vol. 6728, 28–37.
- Collignan, A., Pailhes, J., and Sebastian, P. (2011). Design Optimization:

  Management

  of Large Solution Spaces and Optimization Algorithm Selection. In

  IMProVe, Venice.
- Collotta, M., Pau, G., & Maniscalco, V. (2017). A fuzzy logic approach by using particle swarm optimization for effective energy management in IWSNs. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, 64(12), 9496-9506.
- Côté, P., & Leconte, R. (2015). Comparison of stochastic optimization algorithms for hydropower reservoir operation with ensemble streamflow prediction. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, 142(2), 04015046.
- Couceiro, M. S., Rocha, R. P., Ferreira, N. F., & Machado, J. T. (2012). Introducing the fractional-order Darwinian PSO. Signal, *Image and Video Processing*, 6(3), 343-350.



- Couceiro, M., & Sivasundaram, S. (2016). Novel fractional order particle swarm optimization. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 283, 36-54.
- Couceiro, M., & Sivasundaram, S. (2016). Novel fractional order particle swarm optimization. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 283, 36-54.
- Curtis, F. (2016, June). A self-correcting variable-metric algorithm for stochastic optimization. In *International Conference on Machine Learning* (pp. 632-641).
- Das, D., Pratihar, D. K., Roy, G. G., & Pal, A. R. (2018). Phenomenological model-based study on electron beam welding process, and input-output modeling using neural networks trained by back-propagation algorithm, genetic algorithms, particle swarm optimization algorithm and bat algorithm. Applied Intelligence, 48(9), 2698-2718.
- De Oliveira, E. C., & Tenreiro Machado, J. A. (2014). A review of definitions for fractional derivatives and integral. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2014.
- Decker, J. T., Hobson, E. C., Zhang, Y., Shin, S., Thomas, A. L., Jeruss, J. S., ... & Shea, L. D. (2017). Systems analysis of dynamic transcription factor activity identifies targets for treatment in Olaparib resistant cancer cells. Biotechnology and bioengineering, 114(9), 2085-2095.
- Devos, O., Downey, G., & Duponchel, L. (2014). Simultaneous data preprocessing and SVM classification model selection based on a parallel genetic algorithm applied to spectroscopic data of olive oils. *Food chemistry*, 148, 124-130.
- Dieng, A. B., Altosaar, J., Ranganath, R., & Blei, D. M. (2018). Noise-Based Regularizers for Recurrent Neural Networks.
- Donadee, J., & Ilić, M. D. (2014). Stochastic optimization of grid to vehicle frequency regulation capacity bids. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, 5(2), 1061-1069.
- Du, K. L., & Swamy, M. N. S. (2016). Particle swarm optimization. In *Search and Optimization by Metaheuristics* (pp. 153-173). Birkhäuser, Cham.
- Du, M., Wang, Z., & Hu, H. (2013). Measuring memory with the order of fractional derivative. *Scientific reports*, 3, 3431.
- Duarte-Mermoud, M. A., Aguila-Camacho, N., Gallegos, J. A., & Castro-Linares, R. (2015). Using general quadratic Lyapunov functions to prove Lyapunov



- uniform stability for fractional order systems. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 22(1-3), 650-659.
- Duda, R. O., Hart, P. E., & Stork, D. G. (2001). Pattern classification. *International Journal of Computational Intelligence and Applications*, 1, 335-339.
- Duong, X. B., Chu, A. M., & Duong, V. L. (2017). Dynamic modeling and control in joint space of a single flexible link manipulator using particle swarm optimization algorithm.
- Dwinell, W. (2007). AUC. http://matlabdatamining.blogspot.my/2007/06/roc-curvesand-auc.html (April 15, 2016). *Dynamical systems*, 15, 93-104.
- Elkano, M., Galar, M., Sanz, J. A., Schiavo, P. F., Pereira Jr, S., Dimuro, G. P., ... & Bustince, H. (2018). Consensus via penalty functions for decision making in ensembles in fuzzy rule-based classification systems. Applied Soft Computing, 67, 728-740.
- Elloumi, W., Baklouti, N., Abraham, A., & Alimi, A. M. (2014). The multi-objective hybridization of particle swarm optimization and fuzzy ant colony optimization. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 27(1), 515-525.
- Elman, J L. (1990). Finding Structure in Time. *Cognitive science*, vol. 14(2), 179–211.
- Eom, K., Jung, K. and Sirisena, H. (2003). Performance Improvement of Backpropagation Algorithm by Automatic Activation Function Gain Tuning Using Fuzzy Logic. *Neurocomputing*, vol. 50: 439–60.
- Erenturk, K. (2013). Fractional-Order ΠλDμ and Active Disturbance Rejection Control of Nonlinear Two-Mass Drive System. *IEEE Trans. Industrial Electronics*, 60(9), 3806-3813.
- Erturk, V. S., Zaman, G., Alzalg, B., Zeb, A., & Momani, S. (2017). Comparing Two Numerical Methods for Approximating a New Giving Up Smoking Model Involving Fractional Order Derivatives. Iranian Journal of Science and Technology, Transactions A: Science, 41(3), 569-575.
- Escudero, L. F., Garín, M. A., & Unzueta, A. (2017). Scenario cluster Lagrangean decomposition for risk averse in multistage stochastic optimization. *Computers & Operations Research*, 85, 154-171.
- Esmin, A. A., Coelho, R. A., & Matwin, S. (2015). A review on particle swarm optimization algorithm and its variants to clustering high-dimensional data. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 44(1), 23-45.



- Fawcett, T. (2004). ROC Graphs: Notes and Practical Considerations for Researchers. *ReCALL*, vol. 31(HPL-2003-4), 1–38.
- Fawcett, T. (2006). An Introduction to ROC Analysis. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 27(2006), 861–74.
- Feyzmahdavian, H. R., Aytekin, A., & Johansson, M. (2015, December). An asynchronous mini-batch algorithm for regularized stochastic optimization. In *Decision and Control (CDC)*, 2015 IEEE 54th Annual Conference on (pp. 1384-1389). IEEE.
- Fister Jr, I., Fister, I., and Brest, J. (2012). A Hybrid Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm for Graph 3-Coloring. *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation*, 66–74.
- Fister, I., & Zumer, J. B. (2012, June). Memetic artificial bee colony algorithm for large-scale global optimization. In *Evolutionary Computation (CEC)*, 2012 IEEE Congress on pp. 1-8). IEEE.
- Fkirin, M. A., Badwai, S. M., & Mohamed, S. A. (2009, March). Change detection using neural network in Toshka area. In *Radio Science Conference*, 2009. NRSC 2009. National (pp. 1-10). IEEE.
- Fouda, M. E., & Radwan, A. G. (2013). On the fractional-order memristor model. *Journal of Fractional Calculus and Applications*, 4(1), 1-7.
- Garber, D., & Hazan, E. (2016). A linearly convergent variant of the conditional gradient algorithm under strong convexity, with applications to online and stochastic optimization. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 26(3), 1493-1528.
- Ghamisi, P., & Benediktsson, J. A. (2015). Feature selection based on hybridization of genetic algorithm and particle swarm optimization. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, 12(2), 309-313.
- Ghamisi, P., Couceiro, M. S., Martins, F. M., & Benediktsson, J. A. (2014). Multilevel image segmentation based on fractional-order Darwinian particle swarm optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote sensing*, 52(5), 2382-2394.
- Gholizadeh, S., & Moghadas, R. K. (2014). Performance-based optimum design of steel frames by an improved quantum particle swarm optimization. *Advances in structural Engineering*, 17(2), 143-156.



- Ghosh, A., and Chakraborty, M. (2012). Hybrid Optimized Back Propagation Learning Algorithm For Multi-Layer Perceptron. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, vol. 57(December), 1–6.
- Glover, F. (1986). Future paths for integer programming and links to artificial intelligence. *Computers & operations research*, 13(5), 533-549.
- Gong, M., Wu, Y., Cai, Q., Ma, W., Qin, A. K., Wang, Z., & Jiao, L. (2016).

  Discrete particle swarm optimization for high-order graph matching.

  Information Sciences, 328, 158-171.
- Gong, Q., Li, Y., & Peng, Z. (2009, June). Power management of plug-in hybrid electric vehicles using neural network-based trip modeling. In *American Control Conference*, 2009. ACC'09.(pp. 4601-4606). IEEE.
- Goodfellow, I., Pouget-Abadie, J., Mirza, M., Xu, B., Warde-Farley, D., Ozair, S., & Bengio, Y. (2014). Generative adversarial nets. In *Advances in neural* information processing systems (pp. 2672-2680).
- Gregor, K., Danihelka, I., Graves, A., and Wierstra, D. (2014). DRAW: A Recurrent Neural Network for Image Generation.
- Griewank, A. O. (1981). Generalized Descent for Global Optimization. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 34, 11–39.
- Güler, N. F., Übeyli, E. D. and Güler, I. (2005). Recurrent Neural Networks Employing Lyapunov Exponents for EEG Signals Classification. *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 29(3), 506–14
- Gupta, L., and McAvoy, M. (2000). Investigating the Prediction Capabilities of the Simple Recurrent Neural Network on Real Temporal Sequences. *Pattern Recognition*, vol. 33(12), 2075–2081
- Gupta, L., McAvoy, M. and Phegley, J. (2000). Classification of Temporal Sequences via Prediction Using the Simple Recurrent Neural Network. *Pattern Recognition*, vol. 33(10), 1759–1770.
- Gutjahr, W. J., & Pichler, A. (2016). Stochastic multi-objective optimization: a survey on non-scalarizing methods. *Annals of Operations Research*, 236(2), 475-499.
- Hagan, M. T., & Menhaj, M. B. (1994). Training feedforward networks with the Marquardt algorithm. *IEEE transactions on Neural Networks*, 5(6), 989-993.



- Hagger-Johnson, G., Harron, K., Goldstein, H., Aldridge, R., & Gilbert, R. (2017). Probabilistic linkage to enhance deterministic algorithms and reduce data linkage errors in hospital administrative data. Journal of innovation in health informatics, 24(2), 234-246.
- Haghrah, A., Nekoui, M. A., & Mohammadi-Ivatloo, B. (2017, November). Random walk with variable step size as mutation operator of genetic algorithm for solving combined heat and power economic dispatch problem. In *Electrical and Electronics Engineering (ELECO)*, 2017 10th International Conference on (pp. 57-61). IEEE.
- Haider, T., & Yusuf, M. (2009). A fuzzy approach to energy optimized routing for wireless sensor networks. *Int. Arab J. Inf. Technol.*, 6(2), 179-185.
- Hajinezhad, D., Hong, M., Zhao, T., & Wang, Z. (2016). NESTT: A nonconvex primal-dual splitting method for distributed and stochastic optimization. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* (pp. 3215-3223).
- Haklı, H., & Uğuz, H. (2014). A novel particle swarm optimization algorithm with Levy flight. *Applied Soft Computing*, 23, 333-345.
- Hamid, N. (2012). The Effect of Adaptive Parameters on The Performance of Back Propagation. Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia. Master Thesis.
- Hamid, N. A., Nawi, N. M., Ghazali, R., & Salleh, M. N. M. (2012). Solving local minima problem in back propagation algorithm using adaptive gain, adaptive momentum and adaptive learning rate on classification problems.
   In *International Journal of Modern Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 9, pp. 448-455). World Scientific Publishing Company.
- Harrison, K. R., Engelbrecht, A. P., & Ombuki-Berman, B. M. (2018). Self-adaptive particle swarm optimization: a review and analysis of convergence. Swarm Intelligence, 12(3), 187-226.
- He, X. (2015). A Recurrent Neural Network for Optimal Real-Time Price in Smart Grid. *Neurocomputing*, vol. 149, 608–612.
- He, X., Yang, X. S., Karamanoglu, M., & Zhao, Y. (2017). Global convergence analysis of the flower pollination algorithm: a discrete-time Markov chain approach. Procedia Computer Science, 108, 1354-1363.
- He, X., Yang, X. S., Karamanoglu, M., & Zhao, Y. (2017). Global convergence analysis of the flower pollination algorithm: a discrete-time Markov chain approach. *Procedia Computer Science*, 108, 1354-1363.



- Hegazi, A. S., Ahmed, E., & Matouk, A. E. (2013). On chaos control and synchronization of the commensurate fractional order Liu system. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 18(5), 1193-1202.
- Heigold, G., McDermott, E., Vanhoucke, V., Senior, A., & Bacchiani, M. (2014, May). Asynchronous stochastic optimization for sequence training of deep neural networks. In *Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2014 IEEE International Conference on (pp. 5587-5591). IEEE.
- Hein, D., Hentschel, A., Runkler, T., & Udluft, S. (2017). Particle swarm optimization for generating interpretable fuzzy reinforcement learning policies. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 65, 87-98.
- Hernández-LoMOFPSOo, J. M., & Adams, R. (2015, June). Probabilistic backpropagation for scalable learning of bayesian neural networks. In *International Conference on Machine Learning* (pp. 1861-1869).
- Ho, Y., Bryson, A. and Baron, S. (1965). Differential Games and Optimal PursuitEvasion Strategies. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 10(4).
- Hoffman, M. D., Blei, D. M., Wang, C., & Paisley, J. (2013). Stochastic variational inference. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 14(1), 1303-1347.
- Homem-de-Mello, T., & Bayraksan, G. (2014). Monte Carlo sampling-based methods for stochastic optimization. Surveys in Operations Research and Management Science, 19(1), 56-85.
- Hou, P., Hu, W., Soltani, M., & Chen, Z. (2015). Optimized placement of wind turbines in large-scale offshore wind farm using particle swarm optimization algorithm. *IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy*, 6(4), 1272-1282.
- Hubara, I., Hoffer, E., & Soudry, D. (2018). Quantized Back-Propagation: Training Binarized Neural Networks with Quantized Gradients.
- Jain, A. K., Prabhakar, S., Hong, L., & Pankanti, S. (2000). Filterbank-based fingerprint matching. *IEEE transactions on Image Processing*, 9(5), 846-859.
- Jain, P., & Kar, P. (2017). Non-convex optimization for machine learning. Foundations and Trends® in Machine Learning, 10(3-4), 142-336.



- James, J. Q., & Li, V. O. (2015). A social spider algorithm for global optimization. *Applied Soft Computing*, 30, 614-627.
- Jayabarathi, T., Raghunathan, T., & Gandomi, A. H. (2018). The Bat Algorithm, Variants and Some Practical Engineering Applications: A Review. In Nature-Inspired Algorithms and Applied Optimization (pp. 313-330). Springer, Cham.
- Jiang, H., & Shanbhag, U. V. (2013, December). On the solution of stochastic optimization problems in imperfect information regimes. In *Proceedings of the 2013 Winter Simulation Conference: Simulation: Making Decisions in a Complex World* (pp. 821-832). IEEE Press.
- Jiang, S., Ji, Z., & Shen, Y. (2014). A novel hybrid particle swarm optimization and gravitational search algorithm for solving economic emission load dispatch problems with various practical constraints. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 55, 628-644.
- Jin, N., & Rahmat-Samii, Y. (2007). Advances in particle swarm optimization for antenna designs: real-number, binary, single-objective and multiobjective implementations. *IEEE transactions on antennas and propagation*, 55(3), 556-567.
- Jong, D., and Alan, K. (1975). Analysis of the Behavior of a Class of Genetic Adaptive Systems. University of Michigan.
- Joodavi, A., Zare, M., & Mahootchi, M. (2015). Development and application of a stochastic optimization model for groundwater management: crop pattern and conjunctive use consideration. *Stochastic environmental research and risk assessment*, 29(6), 1637-1648.
- Jordan, M. I., Nowlan, S. J., and Hinton, G. E. (1991). Adaptive Mixtures of Local Experts. *Neural Computation*, vol. 3(1), 79–87.
- Juan, A. A., Faulin, J., Grasman, S. E., Rabe, M., & Figueira, G. (2015). A review of simheuristics: Extending metaheuristics to deal with stochastic combinatorial optimization problems. *Operations Research Perspectives*, 2, 62-72.
- Kamal, S., Raman, A., & Bandyopadhyay, B. (2013). Finite-time stabilization of fractional order uncertain chain of integrator: an integral sliding mode approach. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 58(6), 1597-1602.



- Kamijo, K., and Tanigawa, T. (1990). Stock Price Pattern Recognition- A Recurrent Neural Network Approach. *International Joint Conference on Neural Networks*, 215–221.
- Karaboga, D., & Basturk, B. (2007). A powerful and efficient algorithm for numerical function optimization: artificial bee colony (ABC) algorithm. *Journal of global optimization*, 39(3), 459-471.
- Karaboga, D., & Basturk, B. (2008). On the performance of artificial bee colony (ABC) algorithm. *Applied soft computing*, 8(1), 687-697.
- Karan, E., Asadi, S., & Ntaimo, L. (2016). A stochastic optimization approach to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from buildings and transportation. *Energy*, 106, 367-377.
- Kaslik, E., & Sivasundaram, S. (2012). Nonlinear dynamics and chaos in fractional-order neural networks. *Neural Networks*, 32, 245-256.
- Kazem, S., Abbasbandy, S., & Kumar, S. (2013). Fractional-order Legendre functions for solving fractional-order differential equations. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 37(7), 5498-5510.
- Kennedy, J., and Eberhart, R. (1995a). Particle Swarm Optimization. *IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks*, 1942–1948.
- Kermani, B. G., Schiffman, S. S. and Nagle, H. T. (2005). Performance of the Levenberg–Marquardt Neural Network Training Method in Electronic Nose Applications. Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, vol. 110(1), 13–22.
- Khalid, A., Latif, M. A., & Adnan, M. (2017). An approach to estimate the duration of software project through machine learning techniques. Gomal University Journal of Research [GUJR], 33(1).
- Khorasani, A., & Yazdi, M. R. S. (2017). Development of a dynamic surface roughness monitoring system based on artificial neural networks (ANN) in milling operation. The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 93(1-4), 141-151.
- Kim, H., & Hirose, A. (2018). Unsupervised Fine Land Classification Using Quaternion Autoencoder-Based Polarization Feature Extraction and Self-Organizing Mapping. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 56(3), 1839-1851.



- Kingma, D. P., & Ba, J. (2014). Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980.
- Kingma, D. P., Mohamed, S., Rezende, D. J., & Welling, M. (2014). Semisupervised learning with deep generative models. In Advances in Neural *Information Processing Systems* (pp. 3581-3589).
- Kingni, S. T., Jafari, S., Simo, H., & Woafo, P. (2014). Three-dimensional chaotic autonomous system with only one stable equilibrium: analysis, circuit design, parameter estimation, control, synchronization and its fractionalorder form. The European Physical Journal Plus, 129(5), 76.
- Kirillov, A., Schlesinger, D., Zheng, S., Savchynskyy, B., Torr, P. H., & Rother, C. (2016, November). Joint training of generic CNN-CRF models with stochastic optimization. In Asian Conference on Computer Vision (pp. 221-236). Springer, Cham.
- Kneale, C., & Booksh, K. S. (2017). Robust Particle Swarm Optimizer based on
- Kolen, J. F., & Pollack, J. B. (1990, July). Scenes from exclusive-or: Back propagation is sensitive to initial condition Conference of Cognitive Science Society (Vol. 868, p. 875).
- Kora, P., & Krishna, K. S. R. (2016). Hybrid firefly and particle swarm optimization algorithm for the detection of bundle branch block. *International Journal of the Cardiovascular Academy*, 2(1), 44-48.
- Kotsiantis, S. B., and Kanellopoulos, D. (2006). Data Preprocessing for Supervised Leaning. International Journal of Computer Science, vol. 1(2), 1–7.
- Kouser, K., Lavanya, P. G., & Rangarajan, L. (2016). Effective Feature Selection for Classification of Promoter Sequences. PloS one, 11(12), e0167165.
- Krawczyk, B., Woźniak, M., & Schaefer, G. (2014). Cost-sensitive decision tree ensembles for effective imbalanced classification. Applied Soft Computing, 14, 554-562.
- Kuindersma, S. R., Grupen, R. A., & Barto, A. G. (2013). Variable risk control via stochastic optimization. The International Journal of Robotics Research, 32(7), 806-825.
- Kumar, M., & Rawat, T. K. (2015). Optimal fractional delay-IIR filter design using cuckoo search algorithm. ISA transactions, 59, 39-54.



- Kumar, R., Gupta, V., & Abbas, I. A. (2013). Plane deformation due to thermal source in fractional order thermoelastic media. *Journal of computational and theoretical nanoscience*, 10(10), 2520-2525.
- Lahmiri, S. (2016). Interest rate next-day variation prediction based on hybrid feedforward neural network, particle swarm optimization, and multiresolution techniques. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 444, 388-396.
- Lazaroiu, G. C., Dumbrava, V., Balaban, G., Longo, M., & Zaninelli, D. (2016, June). Stochastic optimization of microgrids with renewable and storage energy systems. In *Environment and Electrical Engineering (EEEIC)*, 2016 *IEEE 16th International Conference* on (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
- Leterme, W., Ruelens, F., Claessens, B., & Belmans, R. (2014). A flexible stochastic optimization method for wind power balancing with PHEVs. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, 5(3), 1238-1245.
- Levenberg, K. 1944. A Method for the Solution of Certain Problems in Least Squares. *Quart. Appl. Math*, vol. 2, 164–68.
- Li, C., Zhao, F., Tao, T., & Mei, X. (2017, December). Dynamic modeling of robot based on neural network with incomplete state observations. In Robotics and Biomimetics (ROBIO), 2017 IEEE International Conference on (pp. 2320-2324). IEEE.
- Li, H., Chawla, N., & Jiao, Y. (2014). Reconstruction of heterogeneous materials via stochastic optimization of limited-angle X-ray tomographic projections. *Scripta Materialia*, 86, 48-51.
- Li, K., Luo, W., Wen, T., & Dong, H. (2017, August). Classified research on epilepsy electroencephalogram of RBF neutral network based on particle swarm. In *Computer Science and Education (ICCSE)*, 2017 12th International Conference on (pp. 795-799). IEEE.
- Li, M., & Li, P. (2013). Crowdsourcing in cyber-physical systems: Stochastic optimization with strong stability. *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computing*, 1(2), 218-231.
- Li, M., Zhang, T., Chen, Y., & Smola, A. J. (2014, August). Efficient mini-batch training for stochastic optimization. In *Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining* (pp. 661-670). ACM.



- Li, Z., Liu, L., Dehghan, S., Chen, Y., & Xue, D. (2017). A review and evaluation of numerical tools for fractional calculus and fractional order controls. *International journal of control*, 90(6), 1165-1181.
- Liang, H., & Zhuang, W. (2014). Stochastic modeling and optimization in a microgrid: A survey. *Energies*, 7(4), 2027-2050.
- Lilienfeld, O. A., Ramakrishnan, R., Rupp, M., & Knoll, A. (2015). Fourier series of atomic radial distribution functions: A molecular fingerprint for machine learning models of quantum chemical properties. *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry*, 115(16), 1084-1093.
- Lim, W. H., & Isa, N. A. M. (2014). Bidirectional teaching and peer-learning particle swarm optimization. *Information Sciences*, 280, 111-134.
- Lin, R. M. (2018). Comments on "Nonlinear vibration of viscoelastic beams described using fractional order derivatives". Journal of Sound and Vibration, 428, 195-204.
- Ling, C. X., Huang, J., and Zhang, H. (2003). AUC: A Statistically Consistent and More Discriminating Measure than Accuracy. In *IJCAI International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 519–24.
- Liu, G., Xu, Y., & Tomsovic, K. (2016). Bidding strategy for microgrid in dayahead market based on hybrid stochastic/robust optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, 7(1), 227-237.
- Liu, X. (2016). Optimization design on fractional order PID controller based on adaptive particle swarm optimization algorithm. Nonlinear Dynamics, 84(1), 379-386.
- Löhndorf, N. (2016). An empirical analysis of scenario generation methods for stochastic optimization. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 255(1), 121-132.
- Lotte, F., Lécuyer, A., & Arnaldi, B. (2009). FuRIA: an inverse solution-based feature extraction algorithm using fuzzy set theory for brain–computer interfaces. *IEEE transactions on signal processing*, 57(8), 3253-3263.
- Louizos, C., & Welling, M. (2016, June). Structured and efficient variational deep learning with matrix gaussian posteriors. In *International Conference on Machine Learning* (pp. 1708-1716).



- Low, Y., Gonzalez, J. E., Kyrola, A., Bickson, D., Guestrin, C. E., & Hellerstein, J. (2014). Graphlab: A new framework for parallel machine learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1408.2041.
- Lucca, G., Sanz, J. A., Dimuro, G. P., Bedregal, B., Asiain, M. J., Elkano, M., & Bustince, H. (2017). CC-integrals: Choquet-like copula-based aggregation functions and its application in fuzzy rule-based classification systems. Knowledge-Based Systems, 119, 32-43.
- Lumbreras, S., Ramos, A., & Cerisola, S. (2013). A progressive contingency incorporation approach for stochastic optimization problems. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 28(2), 1452-1460.
- Luo, C., & Wang, X. (2013). Chaos in the fractional-order complex Lorenz system and its synchronization. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, 71(1-2), 241-257.
- Machado, J. T., Kiryakova, V., & Mainardi, F. (2011). Recent history of fractional calculus. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 16(3), 1140-1153.
- Machado, P., Landewé, R., Lie, E., Kvien, T. K., Braun, J., Baker, D., ... & Assessment of SpondyloArthritis international Society. (2011). Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score (ASDAS): defining cut-off values for disease activity states and improvement scores. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases*, 70(1), 47-53.
- Magin, R. L., Ingo, C., Colon-Perez, L., Triplett, W., & Mareci, T. H. (2013).
  Characterization of anomalous diffusion in porous biological tissues using fractional order derivatives and entropy. *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials*, 178, 39-43.
- Mahi, M., Baykan, Ö. K., & Kodaz, H. (2015). A new hybrid method based on particle swarm optimization, ant colony optimization and 3-opt algorithms for traveling salesman problem. *Applied Soft Computing*, 30, 484-490.
- Mairal, J. (2013). Stochastic majorization-minimization algorithms for large-scale optimization. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* (pp. 2283-2291).
- Malek, H., Luo, Y., & Chen, Y. (2013). Identification and tuning fractional order proportional integral controllers for time delayed systems with a fractional pole. *Mechatronics*, 23(7), 746-754.



- Malhotra, R. (2015). A systematic review of machine learning techniques for software fault prediction. *Applied Soft Computing*, 27, 504-518.
- Maligo, A., & Lacroix, S. (2017). Classification of outdoor 3D lidar data based on unsupervised Gaussian mixture models. IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering, 14(1), 5-16.
- Marquardt, D. W. (1963). An Algorithm for Least-Squares Estimation of Nonlinear Parameters. *Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 11, 431–41
- Masethe, H. D., & Masethe, M. A. (2014, October). Prediction of heart disease using classification algorithms. In *Proceedings of the world congress on Engineering and Computer Science* (Vol. 2, pp. 22-24).
- Mi, H., Poudel, S., Muruganujan, A., Casagrande, J. T., & Thomas, P. D. (2015). PANTHER version 10: expanded protein families and functions, and analysis tools. *Nucleic acids research*, 44(D1), D336-D342.
- Mikaeil, R., Haghshenas, S. S., Haghshenas, S. S., & Ataei, M. (2018). Performance prediction of circular saw machine using imperialist competitive algorithm and fuzzy clustering technique. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 29(6), 283-292.
- Miljković, N., Popović, N., Djordjević, O., Konstantinović, L., & Šekara, T. B. (2017). ECG artifact cancellation in surface EMG signals by fractional order calculus application. *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine*, 140, 259-264.
- Mir Mohammad Sadeghi, S. A., Nikzadfar, K., Bakhshinezhad, N., & Fathi, A. (2018). Optimal Idle Speed Control of a Natural Aspirated Gasoline Engine Using Bio-inspired Meta-heuristic Algorithms. International Journal of Automotive Engineering, 8(3), 2792-2806.
- Mirjalili, S., Gandomi, A. H., Mirjalili, S. Z., Saremi, S., Faris, H., & Mirjalili, S.
  M. (2017). Salp Swarm Algorithm: A bio-inspired optimizer for engineering design problems. Advances in Engineering Software, 114, 163-191.
- Mirjalili, S., Hashim, S. Z. M., and Sardroudi, H. M. (2012). Training Feedforward Neural Networks Using Hybrid Particle Swarm Optimization and Gravitational Search Algorithm. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 218(22), 11125–11137.



- Mitchell, R. I. (2008). On Simple Adaptive Momentum. 7th IEEE International Conference on Cybernetic Intelligent Systems, CIS 2008.
- Mohammadi, R., Ghomi, S. F., & Jolai, F. (2016). Prepositioning emergency earthquake response supplies: a new multi-objective particle swarm optimization algorithm. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 40(9-10), 5183-5199.
- Momani, S., Freihat, A., & Al-Smadi, M. (2014). Analytical study of fractional-order multiple chaotic FitzHugh-Nagumo neurons model using multistep generalized differential transform method. In *Abstract and Applied Analysis* (Vol. 2014). Hindawi.
- Morente-Molinera, J. A., Mezei, J., Carlsson, C., & Herrera-Viedma, E. (2017). Improving supervised learning classification methods using multi-granular linguistic modelling and fuzzy entropy. IEEE transactions on fuzzy systems, 25(5), 1078-1089.
- Mou, L., Ghamisi, P., & Zhu, X. X. (2017). Deep recurrent neural networks for hyperspectral image classification. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens, 55(7), 3639-3655.
- Mukhopadhyay, A., Maulik, U., Bandyopadhyay, S., & Coello, C. A. C. (2014). A survey of multiobjective evolutionary algorithms for data mining: Part I. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 18(1), 4-19.
- Munos, R. (2014). From bandits to Monte-Carlo Tree Search: The optimistic principle applied to optimization and planning. *Foundations and Trends*® *in Machine Learning*, 7(1), 1-129.
- Muresan, C. I., Folea, S., Mois, G., & Dulf, E. H. (2013). Development and implementation of an FPGA based fractional order controller for a DC motor. *Mechatronics*, 23(7), 798-804.
- Narang, A., Batra, B., Ahuja, A., Yadav, J., & Pachauri, N. (2018). Classification of EEG signals for epileptic seizures using Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm based Multilayer Perceptron Neural Network. Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems, 34(3), 1669-1677.
- Nawai, N., & Shariff, M. M. (2013). Loan repayment problems in microfinance programme that use individual lending approach. *International Journal*, 1.
- Nawi, N. M. (2007). Computational Issues in Process Optimsation Using Historical Data. Swansea University, PhD Thesis



- Nawi, N. M., Ghazali, R. and Mohd Salleh. M. N. (2011). Predicting Patients with Heart Disease by Using an Improved Back-Propagation Algorithm. *JOURNAL OF COMPUTING*, vol. 3, 53–58.
- Nawi, N. M., Khan, A. and Rehman, M. Z. (2013). A New Optimized Cuckoo Search Recurrent Neural Network (CSRNN) Algorithm. *The 8th International Conference on Robotic, Vision, Signal Processing & Power Applications*, Penang: Springer Singapore, 335–341.
- Nawi, N. M., Ransing, M. R., & Ransing, R. S. (2006, October). An improved learning algorithm based on the Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS) method for back propagation neural networks. In *Intelligent Systems Design and Applications*, 2006. ISDA'06. Sixth International Conference on (Vol. 1, pp. 152-157). IEEE.
- Nawi, N. M., Ransing, R. S., and Ransing, M. R. (2008). A New Method to Improve the Gradient Based Search Direction to Enhance the Computational Efficiency of Back Propagation Based Neural Network Algorithms. 2nd Asia International Conference on Modelling and Simulation, AMS 2008, 546–52.
- Nawi, N. M., Rehman, M. Z. and Ghazali, M. I. (2011). Noise-Induced Hearing Loss Prediction in Malaysian Industrial Workers Using Gradient Descent with Adaptive Momentum Algorithm. *International Review on Computers and Software (IRECOS)*, vol. 6(5).
- N'Doye, I., Darouach, M., & Voos, H. (2013, July). Observer-based approach for fractional-order chaotic synchronization and communication. In *Control Conference (ECC)*, 2013 European (pp. 4281-4286). IEEE.
- Neely, M. J. (2014). A simple convergence time analysis of drift-plus-penalty for stochastic optimization and convex programs. arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.0791.
- Neri, F., & Tirronen, V. (2010). Recent advances in differential evolution: a survey and experimental analysis. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 33(1-2), 61-106.
- Nouiri, M., Bekrar, A., Jemai, A., Niar, S., & Ammari, A. C. (2018). An effective and distributed particle swarm optimization algorithm for flexible job-shop scheduling problem. Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing, 29(3), 603-615.
- Park, H. Y., Datta-Gupta, A., & King, M. J. (2015). Handling conflicting multiple objectives using Pareto-based evolutionary algorithm during history



- matching of reservoir performance. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 125, 48-66.
- Park, H., & Baldick, R. (2013). Transmission planning under uncertainties of wind and load: Sequential approximation approach. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 28(3), 2395-2402.
- Parpinelli, R. S., & Lopes, H. S. (2011). New inspirations in swarm intelligence: a survey. *International Journal of Bio-Inspired Computation*, 3(1), 1-16.
- Pearlmutter, B. (1995). Gradient Calculation for Dynamic Recurrent Neural Networks: A Survey. *IEEE Trans on Neural Networks*, vol. 6(5), 1212.
- Péran, P., Barbagallo, G., Nemmi, F., Sierra, M., Galitzky, M., Traon, A. P. L., ... & Rascol, O. (2018). MRI supervised and unsupervised classification of Parkinson's disease and multiple system atrophy. Movement Disorders, 33(4), 600-608.
- Pillonetto, G., Dinuzzo, F., Chen, T., De Nicolao, G., & Ljung, L. (2014). Kernel methods in system identification, machine learning and function estimation: *A survey. Automatica*, 50(3), 657-682.
- Pineda, F. J. (1987). Generalization of Back-Propagation to Recurrent Neural Networks. *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 59(19), 2229–2232
- Pires, E. S., Machado, J. T., & de Moura Oliveira, P. B. (2014). Fractional particle swarm optimization. In *Mathematical Methods in Engineering* (pp. 47-56). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Pires, E. S., Machado, J. T., de Moura Oliveira, P. B., Cunha, J. B., & Mendes, L. (2010). Particle swarm optimization with fractional-order velocity. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, 61(1-2), 295-301.
- Pirim, N. A., & Ayaz, F. (2018). Hermite collocation method for fractional order differential equations. An International Journal of Optimization and Control: Theories & Applications (IJOCTA), 8(2), 228-236.
- Pooseh, S., Almeida, R., & Torres, D. F. (2013). Fractional order optimal control problems with free terminal time. arXiv preprint arXiv:1302.1717.
- Prajapati, P. P., & Shah, M. V. (2015, December). Two stage CMOS operational amplifier design using particle swarm optimization algorithm. In *Electrical Computer and Electronics (UPCON)*, 2015 IEEE UP Section Conference on (pp. 1-5). IEEE.



- Prajapati, P. P., & Shah, M. V. (2018). Performance Estimation of Differential Evolution, Particle Swarm Optimization and Cuckoo Search Algorithms.
- Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J. L., Fleet, D. J., & Brubaker, M. A. (2017). cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nature methods*, 14(3), 290.
- Pyle, D., and Cerra, D. D. (1999). Data Preparation for Data Mining. *Order A Journal On The Theory Of Ordered Sets And Its Applications*, vol. 17, 375–81.
- Qiu, G., Varley, M. R., & Terrell, T. J. (1992). Accelerated training of backpropagation networks by using adaptive momentum step. *Electronics letters*, 28(4), 377-379.
- Radwan, A. G., & Fouda, M. E. (2013). Optimization of fractional-order RLC filters. *Circuits, Systems, and Signal Processing*, 32(5), 2097-2118.
- Rakkiyappan, R., Cao, J., & Velmurugan, G. (2015). Existence and uniform stability analysis of fractional-order complex-valued neural networks with time delays. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 26(1), 84-97.
- Ram, G., Mandal, D., Kar, R., & Ghoshal, S. P. (2015). Cat swarm optimization as applied to time-modulated concentric circular antenna array: Analysis and comparison with other stochastic optimization methods. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 63(9), 4180-4183.
- Ramadan, H. S., Bendary, A. F., & Nagy, S. (2017). Particle swarm optimization algorithm for capacitor allocation problem in distribution systems with wind turbine generators. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 84, 143-152.
- Ramalingam, B., Gunaseelan, D., Ramkrishna, D., & Sandhya, S. (2017). Improvement of ε-polylysine production by marine bacterium Bacillus licheniformis using artificial neural network modeling and particle swarm optimization technique. Biochemical engineering journal.
- Ranganath, R., Gerrish, S., & Blei, D. (2014, April). Black box variational inference. *In Artificial Intelligence and Statistics* (pp. 814-822).
- Rastrigin, L. A. (1963). Convergence of Random Search Method in Extremal Control of Multi-Parameter Systems. *Avtomatika i Telemekhanika*, vol. 24, 1467–1473.



- Razmi, J., Zahedi-Anaraki, A., & Zakerinia, M. (2013). A bi-objective stochastic optimization model for reliable warehouse network redesign. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 58(11-12), 1804-1813.
- Real, E., Moore, S., Selle, A., Saxena, S., Suematsu, Y. L., Tan, J. & Kurakin, A. (2017). Large-scale evolution of image classifiers. arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.01041.
- Reddy, V. R., Reddy, V. V., & Mohan, V. C. J. (2018). Speed Control of Induction Motor Drive Using Artificial Neural Networks-Levenberg-Marquardt Backpropagation Algorithm. International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, 13(1), 80-85.
- Rehman, M. Z., & Nawi, N. M. (2011). Improving the accuracy of gradient descent back propagation algorithm (GDAM) on classification problems. *International Journal of New Computer Architectures and their Applications (IJNCAA)*, 1(4), 838-847.
- Ren, Y., Zhang, L., & Suganthan, P. N. (2016). Ensemble classification and regression-recent developments, applications and future directions. *IEEE Computational intelligence magazine*, 11(1), 41-53.
- Richard, H. (2014). Fractional calculus: an introduction for physicists. World Scientific.
- Robinson, J., & Rahmat-Samii, Y. (2004). Particle swarm optimization in electromagnetics. *IEEE transactions on antennas and propagation*, 52(2), 397-407.
- Rohini, V., & Natarajan, A. M. (2016). Comparison of genetic algorithm with particle swarm optimisation, ant colony optimisation and tabu search based on university course scheduling system. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 9(21).
- Rosenbrock, H. H. (1960). An Automatic Method for Finding the Greatest or Least Value of a Function. *The Computer Journal*, vol. 3(3), 175–184.
- Rumelhart, D. E., Hinton, G. E., & Williams, R. J. (1986). Learning representations by back-propagating errors. *Nature*, 323(6088), 533.
- Sabatier, J. A. T. M. J., Agrawal, O. P., & Machado, J. T. (2007). Advances in fractional calculus (Vol. 4, No. 9). Dordrecht: Springer.
- Sáez, J. A., Luengo, J., Stefanowski, J., & Herrera, F. (2015). SMOTE–IPF: Addressing the noisy and borderline examples problem in imbalanced



- classification by a re-sampling method with filtering. *Information Sciences*, 291, 184-203.
- Sajeva, A., Aleardi, M., Mazzotti, A., Stucchi, E., & Galuzzi, B. (2014, June). Comparison of stochastic optimization methods on two analytic objective functions and on a 1D elastic FWI. In *76th EAGE Conference and Exhibition* 2014.
- Salazar, J. M., Diwekar, U., Constantinescu, E., & Zavala, V. M. (2013). Stochastic optimization approach to water management in cooling-constrained power plants. *Applied energy*, 112, 12-22.
- Salimi, H. (2015). Stochastic fractal search: a powerful metaheuristic algorithm. Knowledge-Based Systems, 75, 1-18.
- Samko, S. G., Kilbas, A. A., & Marichev, O. I. (1993). Fractional integrals and derivatives: theory and applications.
- Sanz, J. A., Bernardo, D., Herrera, F., Bustince, H., & Hagras, H. (2015). A compact evolutionary interval-valued fuzzy rule-based classification system for the modeling and prediction of real-world financial applications with imbalanced data. *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, 23(4), 973-990.
- Sanz, J. A., Galar, M., Jurio, A., Brugos, A., Pagola, M., & Bustince, H. (2014). Medical diagnosis of cardiovascular diseases using an interval-valued fuzzy rule-based classification system. *Applied Soft Computing*, 20, 103-111.
- Sarangi, P., Sahu, A., and Panda, M. (2013). A Hybrid Differential Evolution and Back-Propagation Algorithm for Feedforward Neural Network Training. International Journal of Computer Applications, vol. 84(14), 1–9.
- Saremi, S., Mirjalili, S. Z., & Mirjalili, S. M. (2015). Evolutionary population dynamics and grey wolf optimizer. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 26(5), 1257-1263.
- Sarwas, G. (2013). Modelling and control of systems with ultracapacitors using fractional order calculus (Doctoral dissertation, The Institute of Control and Industrial Electronics).
- Schkufza, E., Sharma, R., & Aiken, A. (2014). Stochastic optimization of floating-point programs with tunable precision. *ACM SIGPLAN Notices*, 49(6), 53-64.



- Schroedl, S. (2008). Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC) Explained on *Mathworks*. Retrived from: http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/19468-auroc-area-under-receiver-operating-characteristic (April 16, 2016).
- Schwefel, H. P. (1995). Evolution and Optimum Seeking. John Wiley & Sons.
- Scutari, G., Facchinei, F., Lampariello, L., Sardellitti, S., & Song, P. (2017). Parallel and distributed methods for constrained nonconvex optimization-part II: applications in communications and machine learning. IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 65(8), 1945-1960.
- Seera, M., & Lim, C. P. (2014). A hybrid intelligent system for medical data classification. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 41(5), 2239-2249.
- Segovia-Hernández, J. G., Hernández, S., & Petriciolet, A. B. (2015). Reactive distillation: A review of optimal design using deterministic and stochastic techniques. Chemical Engineering and Processing: *Process Intensification*, 97, 134-143.
- Sergeyev, Y. D., Kvasov, D. E., & Mukhametzhanov, M. S. (2017). Operational zones for comparing metaheuristic and deterministic one-dimensional global optimization algorithms. Mathematics and Computers in Simulation, 141, 96-109.
- Shamir, O., Srebro, N., & Zhang, T. (2014, January). Communication-efficient distributed optimization using an approximate newton-type method. In *International conference on machine learning* (pp. 1000-1008).
- Shan, D., Cao, G., & Dong, H. (2013). LGMS-FOA: an improved fruit fly optimization algorithm for solving optimization problems. *Mathematical problems in engineering*, 2013.
- Sharma, R., Rana, K. P. S., & Kumar, V. (2014). Performance analysis of fractional order fuzzy PID controllers applied to a robotic manipulator. *Expert* systems with applications, 41(9), 4274-4289.
- Shi, Y., & Eberhart, R. (1998, May). A modified particle swarm optimizer. In Evolutionary Computation Proceedings, 1998. IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence., The *1998 IEEE International Conference* on (pp. 69-73). IEEE.



- Sierociuk, D., Dzieliński, A., Sarwas, G., Petras, I., Podlubny, I., & Skovranek, T. (2013). Modelling heat transfer in heterogeneous media using fractional calculus. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A, 371(1990), 20120146.
- Sierociuk, D., Skovranek, T., Macias, M., Podlubny, I., Petras, I., Dzielinski, A., & Ziubinski, P. (2015). Diffusion process modeling by using fractional-order models. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 257, 2-11.
- Socher, R., Manning, C. D., & Ng, A. Y. (2018). Learning continuous phrase representations and syntactic parsing with recursive neural networks.
- Soleimani, H., & Kannan, G. (2015). A hybrid particle swarm optimization and genetic algorithm for closed-loop supply chain network design in large-scale networks. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 39(14), 3990-4012.
- Song, C., & Cao, J. (2014). Dynamics in fractional-order neural networks. *Neurocomputing*, 142, 494-498.
- Song, M., Wu, B., Chen, K., Zhang, X., & Wang, J. (2016). Simulating the wake flow effect of wind turbines on velocity and turbulence using particle random walk method. *Energy*, 116, 583-591.
- Soukup, V., Čapoun, O., Cohen, D., Hernández, V., Babjuk, M., Burger, M., ... & Mostafid, A. H. (2017). Prognostic Performance and Reproducibility of the 1973 and 2004/2016 World Health Organization Grading Classification Systems in Non–muscle-invasive Bladder Cancer: A European Association of Urology Non-muscle Invasive Bladder Cancer Guidelines Panel Systematic Review. European urology, 72(5), 801-813.
- Stedinger, J. R., Faber, B. A., & Lamontagne, J. R. (2013). Developments in stochastic dynamic programming for reservoir operation optimization. In World Environmental and Water Resources Congress 2013: Showcasing the Future (pp. 1266-1278).
- Step Function. (2014). *Wikipedia*.http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Step\_function (November 22, 2014).
- Subasi, A. (2013). Classification of EMG signals using PSO optimized SVM for diagnosis of neuromuscular disorders. *Computers in biology and medicine*, 43(5), 576-586.
- Subramani, D. N., Lolla, T., Haley, P. J., & Lermusiaux, P. F. (2015). A stochastic optimization method for energy-based path planning. In *Dynamic Data-Driven Environmental Systems Science* (pp. 347-358). Springer, Cham.



- Sun, H., Xu, H., & Wang, Y. (2014). Asymptotic analysis of sample average approximation for stochastic optimization problems with joint chance constraints via conditional value at risk and difference of convex functions. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 161(1), 257-284.
- Suzuki, T. (2013, February). Dual averaging and proximal gradient descent for online alternating direction multiplier method. In *International Conference on Machine Learning* (pp. 392-400).
- Swanston, D. J., Bishop, J. M., & Mitchell, R. J. (1994). Simple adaptive momentum: new algorithm for training multilayer perceptrons. *Electronics Letters*, 30(18), 1498-1500.
- Taher, S. A., Fini, M. H., & Aliabadi, S. F. (2014). Fractional order PID controller design for LFC in electric power systems using imperialist competitive algorithm. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, 5(1), 121-135.
- Taherkhani, M., & Safabakhsh, R. (2016). A novel stability-based adaptive inertia weight for particle swarm optimization. *Applied Soft Computing*, 38, 281-295.
- Tang, R., Fong, S., Yang, X. S., and Deb, S. (2012). Wolf Search Algorithm with
   Ephemeral Memory. Seventh International Conference on Digital
   Information Management (ICDIM 2012), 165–172
- Tanweer, M. R., Suresh, S., & Sundararajan, N. (2016). Dynamic mentoring and self-regulation-based particle swarm optimization algorithm for solving complex real-world optimization problems. *Information Sciences*, 326, 1-24.
- Tavassoli, M. H., Tavassoli, A., & Rahimi, M. O. (2013). The geometric and physical interpretation of fractional order derivatives of polynomial functions. *Differential geometry*.
- Tepljakov, A. (2017). FOMCON: Fractional-Order Modeling and Control Toolbox. In Fractional-order Modeling and Control of Dynamic Systems (pp. 107-129). Springer, Cham.
- Tepljakov, A., Petlenkov, E., Belikov, J., & Finajev, J. (2013, August). Fractional-order controller design and digital implementation using FOMCON toolbox for MATLAB. In *Computer Aided Control System Design* (CACSD), 2013 IEEE Conference on (pp. 340-345). IEEE.



- Thimm, G., Moerland, P., and Fiesler, E. (1996). The Interchangeability of Learning Rate and Gain in Backpropagation Neural Networks. *Neural Computing*, vol. 8(2), 451–60.
- Tillett, J., Rao, T., Sahin, F., & Rao, R. (2005). Darwinian particle swarm optimization.
- Triguero, I., Peralta, D., Bacardit, J., García, S., & Herrera, F. (2015). MRPR: a MapReduce solution for prototype reduction in big data classification. neurocomputing, 150, 331-345.
- Tripathy, M. C., Mondal, D., Biswas, K., & Sen, S. (2015). Experimental studies on realization of fractional inductors and fractional-order bandpass filters. *International Journal of Circuit Theory and Applications*, 43(9), 1183-1196.
- Turgut, O. E. (2016). Hybrid chaotic quantum behaved particle swarm optimization algorithm for thermal design of plate fin heat exchangers. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 40(1), 50-69.
- Übeyli, E. D. (2008). Recurrent Neural Networks Employing Lyapunov Exponents for Analysis of Doppler Ultrasound Signals. *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 34, 2538–2544.
- Uryasev, S., & Pardalos, P. M. (Eds.). (2013). Stochastic optimization: algorithms and applications (Vol. 54). Springer Science & Business Media.
- Vahid-Pakdel, M. J., Nojavan, S., Mohammadi-Ivatloo, B., & Zare, K. (2017). Stochastic optimization of energy hub operation with consideration of thermal energy market and demand response. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 145, 117-128.
- Vázquez-Castillo, J. A., Segovia-Hernández, J. G., & Ponce-Ortega, J. M. (2015). Multiobjective optimization approach for integrating design and control in multicomponent distillation sequences. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 54(49), 12320-12330.
- Velmurugan, G., Rakkiyappan, R., Vembarasan, V., Cao, J., & Alsaedi, A. (2017). Dissipativity and stability analysis of fractional-order complex-valued neural networks with time delay. Neural Networks, 86, 42-53.
- Vitorino, L. N., Ribeiro, S. F., & Bastos-Filho, C. J. (2015). A mechanism based on artificial bee colony to generate diversity in particle swarm optimization. *Neurocomputing*, 148, 39-45.



- Wang, B., & Hobbs, B. F. (2013, July). Flexiramp market design for real-time operations: Can it approach the stochastic optimization ideal? In *Power and Energy Society General Meeting (PES)*, 2013 IEEE (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
- Wang, F., Yang, Y., & Hu, M. (2015). Asymptotic stability of delayed fractional-order neural networks with impulsive effects. *Neurocomputing*, 154, 239-244.
- Wang, F., Zhang, H., Li, K., Lin, Z., Yang, J., & Shen, X. L. (2018). A hybrid particle swarm optimization algorithm using adaptive learning strategy. *Information Sciences*, 436, 162-177.
- Wang, G. G., Hossein Gandomi, A., Yang, X. S., & Hossein Alavi, A. (2014). A novel improved accelerated particle swarm optimization algorithm for global numerical optimization. *Engineering Computations*, 31(7), 1198-1220.
- Wang, G., Guo, L., & Duan, H. (2013). Wavelet neural network using multiple wavelet functions in target threat assessment. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2013.
- Wang, H., Sánchez-Molina, J. A., Li, M., Berenguel, M., Yang, X. T., & Bienvenido, J. F. (2017). Leaf area index estimation for a greenhouse transpiration model using external climate conditions based on genetics algorithms, back-propagation neural networks and nonlinear autoregressive exogenous models. Agricultural Water Management, 183, 107-115.
  - Wang, H., Yu, Y., & Wen, G. (2014). Stability analysis of fractional-order Hopfield neural networks with time delays. *Neural Networks*, 55, 98-109.
- Wang, H., Yu, Y., Wen, G., Zhang, S., & Yu, J. (2015). Global stability analysis of fractional-order Hopfield neural networks with time delay. *Neurocomputing*, 154, 15-2
- Wang, J., Wang, W., & Srebro, N. (2017). Memory and Communication Efficient Distributed Stochastic Optimization with Minibatch-Prox. arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.06269.
- Wang, S., Zhang, Y., Ji, G., Yang, J., Wu, J., & Wei, L. (2015). Fruit classification by wavelet-entropy and feedforward neural network trained by fitness-scaled chaotic ABC and biogeography-based optimization. *Entropy*, 17(8), 5711-5728.

- Wang, X., Peng, Y., Lu, L., Lu, Z., Bagheri, M., & Summers, R. M. (2017, July). Chestx-ray8: Hospital-scale chest x-ray database and benchmarks on weakly-supervised classification and localization of common thorax diseases. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2017 IEEE Conference on (pp. 3462-3471). IEEE.
- Wang, Z., & O'Boyle, M. (2018). Machine Learning in Compiler Optimization. Proceedings of the IEEE.
- Wei, Y., Chen, Y., Liang, S., & Wang, Y. (2015). A novel algorithm on adaptive backstepping control of fractional order systems. *Neurocomputing*, 165, 395-402.
- Wilamowski, B. M., Cotton, N., Hewlett, J., and Kaynak, O. (2007). Neural Network Trainer with Second Order Learning Algorithms. 11th International Conference on Intelligent Engineering Systems, Proceedings, 127–132.
- Williams, R. J., and Zipser, D. (1989). A Learning Algorithm for Continually Running Fully Recurrent Neural Networks. *Neural Computation*, vol. 1(2), 270–80.
- Witten, I. H., Frank, E., and Hall, M. (2011). Complementary literature None *Data Mining: Practical Machine Learning Tools and Techniques, Google E-Book*.
- Witten, I. H., Frank, E., Hall, M. A., & Pal, C. J. (2016). Data Mining: Practical machine learning tools and techniques. Morgan Kaufmann.
- Woźniak, M., Graña, M., & Corchado, E. (2014). A survey of multiple classifier systems as hybrid systems. Information Fusion, 16, 3-17.
- Xing, M., Alzahrani, A. S., Carson, K. A., Shong, Y. K., Kim, T. Y., Viola, D., & Vianello, F. (2015). Association between BRAF V600E mutation and recurrence of papillary thyroid cancer. *Journal of clinical oncology*, 33(1), 42.
- Xu, B., Chen, D., Zhang, H., & Wang, F. (2015). Modeling and stability analysis of a fractional-order Francis hydro-turbine governing system. *Chaos*, Solitons & Fractals, 75, 50-61.
- Xu, B., Chen, D., Zhang, H., & Zhou, R. (2015). Dynamic analysis and modeling of a novel fractional-order hydro-turbine-generator unit. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, 81(3), 1263-1274.



- Yadav, A., & Deep, K. (2014). An efficient co-swarm particle swarm optimization for non-linear constrained optimization. *Journal of Computational Science*, 5(2), 258-268.
- Yaïci, W., Longo, M., Entchev, E., & Foiadelli, F. (2017). Simulation study on the effect of reduced inputs of artificial neural networks on the predictive performance of the solar energy system. Sustainability, 9(8), 1382.
- Yang, L. X., & Jiang, J. (2014). Adaptive synchronization of drive-response fractional-order complex dynamical networks with uncertain parameters. Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, 19(5), 1496-1506.
- Yang, X. S., & Deb, S. (2009, December). Cuckoo search via Lévy flights. In Nature & Biologically Inspired Computing, 2009. NaBIC 2009. World Congress (pp. 210-214). IEEE.
- Yang, Y. H., Lin, Y. C., Su, Y. F., & Chen, H. H. (2008). A regression approach to music emotion recognition. *IEEE Transactions on audio, speech, and language processing*, 16(2), 448-457.
- Ye, Y. C. (2001). Application and Practice of the Neural Networks. Taiwan:
  Scholars Publication
- Yeh, W. C. (2013). New parameter-free simplified swarm optimization for artificial neural network training and its application in the prediction of time series. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 24(4), 661-665.
- Yi, J. H., Xu, W. H., and Chen, Y. T. (2014). Novel Back Propagation Optimization by Cuckoo Search Algorithm. *The Scientific World Journal*, vol. 2014
- Yin, C., Dadras, S., Zhong, S. M., & Chen, Y. (2013). Control of a novel class of fractional-order chaotic systems via adaptive sliding mode control approach. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 37(4), 2469-2483.
- Yu, H., and Wilamowski, B. M. (2012). Neural Network Training with Second Order Algorithms. *Human–Computer Systems Interaction: Backgrounds and Applications*, vol. 2, 463–76
- Zabinsky, Z. B. (2013). Stochastic adaptive search for global optimization (Vol. 72). Springer Science & Business Media.



- Zhang, D., Martinez, N., Lindholm, G., & Ratnaweera, H. (2018). Manage Sewer In-Line Storage Control Using Hydraulic Model and Recurrent Neural Network. Water Resources Management, 32(6), 2079-2098.
- Zhang, H., Liu, F., Phanikumar, M. S., & Meerschaert, M. M. (2013). A novel numerical method for the time variable fractional order mobile–immobile advection–dispersion model. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 66(5), 693-701.
- Zhang, L., Hu, X., Wang, Z., Sun, F., & Dorrell, D. G. (2016). Fractional-order modeling and State-of-Charge estimation for ultracapacitors. *Journal of Power Sources*, 314, 28-34.
- Zhang, L., Yang, T., Jin, R., & He, X. (2013, February). O (logT) projections for stochastic optimization of smooth and strongly convex functions. In *International Conference on Machine Learning* (pp. 1121-1129).
- Zhang, S., Yu, Y., & Wang, H. (2015). Mittag-Leffler stability of fractional-order Hopfield neural networks. *Nonlinear Analysis: Hybrid Systems*, 16, 104-121.
- Zhang, Y., Wang, S., & Ji, G. (2015). A comprehensive survey on particle swarm optimization algorithm and its applications. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2015.
- Zhao, C., Wang, Q., Wang, J., & Guan, Y. (2014). Expected value and chance constrained stochastic unit commitment ensuring wind power utilization. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 29(6), 2696-2705.
- Zheng, H., and Yongquan, Z. (2012). A Novel Cuckoo Search Optimization Algorithm Based on Gauss Distribution. *Journal of Computational Information Systems*, vol. 8(12), 4193–4200.
- Zheng, Q. P., Wang, J., & Liu, A. L. (2015). Stochastic optimization for unit commitment—A review. IEEE Trans. *Power Syst*, 30(4), 1913-1924.
- Zhou, J., Yang, J., Lin, L., Zhu, Z., & Ji, Z. (2018). Local Best Particle Swarm Optimization Using Crown Jewel Defense Strategy. In Critical Developments and Applications of Swarm Intelligence (pp. 27-52). IGI Global.
- Zhou, P., & Huang, K. (2014). A new 4-D non-equilibrium fractional-order chaotic system and its circuit implementation. Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, 19(6), 2005-20.

