Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal)   Libraries at University of Nebraska-Lincoln

2021

Relationship between students' information literacy skills and availability of digital information resources in universities library of Azad Jammu and Kashmir

Muhammad Ramzan
muahammadramzan346@gmail.com

Relationship between students' information literacy skills and availability of digital information resources in universities library of Azad Jammu and Kashmir

[1]Muhammad Ramzan, [2]Prof. Dr. Rubina Bhatti, [3]Dr. Salman Bin Naeem

[1] Librarian University of Kotli, M.Phil. Library and Information Science from Islamia University of Bahawalpur.muahammadramzan346@gmail.com
[2]Chairperson, Department of Library & Information Science, the Islamia University of Bahawalpur.
[3]Associate Professor, Department of Library & Information Science, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur.

Abstract

The present study was conducted with an aim to determine the relationship between students' information literacy skills and availability information resources in universities library of Azad Jammu & Kashmir. A survey research design was used to collect data from the participants. The population of this study were post-graduate students enrolled in public sector universities of AJ&K. A convenience sampling technique was used to collect the data. A statistical package for social sciences (SPSS-20) was used for the analysis of data. The descriptive statistics was used to determine percentage, frequency, mean and standard deviation, and inferential statistic was used to determine relationship among variables. The results of the study revealed that a good number of information resources were available in university libraries but they were not properly utilized due to lack of information literacy skills (M=3.56). Lack of information literacy training and workshops were the main barriers faced by respondents while acquiring information literacy skills (M=3.56). Moreover, it was found that a statistical significant relationship (P= .000) exists between age of the respondents and their information literacy skills. Which shows that age of the respondents and information literacy skills were correlated with each other. The results of study also revealed that information literacy skills of respondents were correlated with age, gender, and availability of digital information resources. Further, the statistical value (P=. 218) indicated that level of degree and information literacy skills of respondents were not correlated.

Keywords: Information Literacy; Skills; Use; Digital resources; University libraries.

Introduction

Information literacy is important in the age of technology revolution because, it enables us in locating the information at the time of its need. It involves computer skills required to use the emerging library as access to information. It makes us possible to explore and analyze the information we need by providing us assurance in utilizing that information to take an action or build a product"(Leebaw, Partlo, & Tompkins, 2013). According to American Library Association, 'information literacy is a set of skills required to "recognize when information is needed and have the ability to understand, locate, evaluate, use, communicate and effectively manage the needed information' (ACRL, 2013).

Information literacy is a major component of academic libraries users' education. Most of non-librarians perceived information literacy for a long time as foreign language idea. The word information literacy was considered initially with usage of electronic and digital information resources. Electronic information has become more beneficial because of wireless media that facilitate users at anytime from anywhere when they need. Users know the value and importance of digital resources, that make easy to get access to specific information resources from anywhere in world" (Chen & Lin, 2011).

Academic libraries add up major contributions in the development of research and knowledge management. The role of academic libraries has become changed; now academic libraries shift from store house to information resource center. The rapid developments in ICT have given rise to information explosion and technological changes it demands advanced expertise to assess, search, evaluate and organize information in digital environment(Aderibigbe & Ajiboye, 2013).

Academic library organized workshops, library orientation programs on regular basis. Maximum number of students reported that they need more skills that enable them to get access on information sources, bibliographic record and information literacy (Khan & Hedge,

2010). Information literacy competence skills are very necessary for information professional staff in academic libraries. Academic libraries should have answer by presuming orientation on information literacy, define as "the ability to locate, manage, critically evaluate and use information for problem solving, research and decision making"(Orr, Appleton, & Wallin, 2001). The growing and unsure amount of information creates huge problems for undergraduate students in academic libraries Information literacy provides the foundation for user education. It is used collectively among all academic departments, and in all educational surrounding at all stages (Ojedokun, 2007).

Information literacy strongly correlated with online search ability. Information literacy and digital nativity both influenced by online search ability. Digital nativity has more advantages over information literacy because of use of information and communication technology (Coklar, Yaman & Yurdakul, 2017).

Digital information resources are most important resources in universities libraries which includes, electronic database, web-based databases, web-opacs, information and communication technology network (Adeleke & Emeahara, 2016).The information literacy instructions involve healthy learning, problem-oriented and objective techniques. The academic achievement of all universities students were correlated with consistency and active involvement in information literacy course assignments, participation, and examination (Tuamsuk, 2013). Therefore, the present study is conducted with an aim to determine the 'relationship between students' information literacy skills and availability of digital resources in public sector universities of AJ&K'.

Research Objective

1. To find the relationship between information literacy skills and the availability of digital resources in university libraries of AJ&K

2. To identify the level of information literacy skills among students in public sector universities of AJ&K

3. To determine the availability of digital resources in university libraries of AJ&K

Research Hypotheses

$H^0.1$ There is no relationship between age and level of information literacy skills of AJ&K universities students.

$H^0.2$ There is no relationship between degree level and information literacy skills of AJ&K universities students.

$H^0.3$ There is no relationship between gender and level of information literacy skills of AJ&K universities students.

$H^0.4$ There is no relationship between information literacy skills and availability of digital resources.

Literature Review

Information Literacy Skills

Chen and Lin (2011) Conducted a study on library user education. They found that knowledge of information literacy skills were essential for LIS professionals working in university libraries and students. The study also revealed that coordination among computer experts, LIS professional and students are considered important for the development of information literacy skills among users. Because, it was observed that collaboration based system was more successful to attract the attention of students towards information literacy curricula. Similarly Licea de Arenas et al. (2004) studied the Information literacy skills between two university Students. They found that information resources used by both universities students were outdated. Both university Students like lecture method of teaching to learn information literacy skills. The disadvantage of this method is that, it does not develop require information literacy skills among students. Students of both universities used

printed and electronic resources for their study regularly. Moreover, it was found that university of Mexican students search more databases as compared to the students of Murcia University. However, both universities have deficiency of some key information resources. Alsour Rehman and Alfaresi (2009) noted that majority of Kuwaiti high school students were unable to use library catalogue, unable to search their desire information sources. However, female students possessed low information literacy skills than male students. Moreover, Bhatti (2010) conducted a study on 'users education programme in universities libraries in Pakistan'. It was found that 39.3 percent universities libraries in Pakistan do not offer regular information literacy programmes, whereas 69 percent have not regular programme, few universities offer both regular or irregular information literacy programme. The percentage of time of provision of all type of user education 36%, whereas the required provision time for user education was 48%. The teaching of user education was mostly influenced by the user's large group size .The size of users do not exceed more than 30 users. Majority of librarian 96%, use lecture method to taught user education and only 18% were used helping AV in lecture method for teaching of user education. The other major issues the regularity of user in user education programme was very small. On the other hand Baro, Endouware et al. (2011) conducted a study on 'information literacy skills among medical college students in Nigeria'. Mix method research design was used in the study. It was found that information literacy skills of respondents do not meet the criteria defined by higher education for information literacy. Majority of students use printed materials related to their academic activities. The usage frequency of student's electronic information resources of medical field, related databases like Medline and Hianri were low. Because of lack of awareness and poor information literacy skills related to library electronic and digital information resources. Also Sasikala and Dhanraju (2011) conducted a survey to assess the 'information literacy skills among science faculty students'. It was found that 41%, respondents were regular users of

library, 27% mostly use library resources according to their need, and interestingly 5% users never went to library even a single time in year. The purpose of visiting respondents' library was found to be differently, majority of respondents 56% visit library to read or consult course assignments and research work, 27% of respondents visit library to increase their general knowledge and only 26% respondents' visit library for mind relaxation. The usage frequency of library information sources by respondents were 94% who were use books, 44% consult reference books,43% use magazine, newspaper and electronic materials was used by only 28%. The low usage frequencies of electronic information resources were due to lack of computer literacy. However, the use of information resources was positively correlated with awareness of respondents.

Similarly in Pakistan Bhatti (2012) found that university libraries of Pakistan have not proper policy for information literacy development that enables users to efficiently search information. The study also indicated that information literacy instruction, university libraries infrastructure, information resources and services and retrieval of information from various sources and research ability of users were of great importance. The study also found number of issues for the healthy promotion of information literacy programme that includes lack of interest from high authority, lack of evaluation system of library users, lack of users academic needs, inappropriate training for library staff, gap in research on information literacy skills in Pakistan, low feedback from students and staff, and limited finance for the development of library collections. Mahmood (2013) also found that the students held basic knowledge of ICT and internet but they were unable to search specific information in full text database and journals. And the students who enrolled in higher degree education have facility of computer at their house possessed good information literacy skills.

Kim and Shumaker (2015) conducted a survey on 'students information literacy skills in department of library and information science'. It was found that students who were

engaged more in assignments related to information literacy skills have higher information literacy skills and utilized effectively information than those students who are slow in practices of information literacy assignments.

Ramamurthy and Siridevi (2015) found that students do not possess required skills, only a small percentage of students have ability to use information resources efficiently. About 62.67% of students who understand information but unable to use library catalogue effectively due to poor skills. Students have not enough knowledge of library use to evaluate specific information among available information resources, whereas most of students even do not know what kind information can be obtained. However, low information literacy skills of college students are due to more focused on theoretical work rather than practical work. Similarly Misco et al. (2015) assessed the information literacy skills among students in Miami University. Among fifteen different classes, 300 students were selected for study. Students from both science and arts faculty were included in study. It was found that majority of students search information from Google and Wikipedia, whereas only 21% of total respondent had competency to search information from Google scholar search engine, scholarly search engine and databases. More surprisingly only one percent respondents use Google or Wikipedia for information searching. Among the respondents, 55% were confident that they were able to use information resource either print or electronic in one class can also use another class. While 45%, of respondents were unable in utilizing their skills in another class.

Qadri and Shafiq (2016) conducted a study on 'information literacy among users of two academic department of university of Kashmir and national institute of technology in digital environment'. It was found that both institutes facilitated their users with number of library orientation seminar and training to effectively utilize the printed and electronic information resources. The students of both academic departments had good ability to search

across several electronic data bases and journals for information. Further it was also noted that respondents of both academic departments spend a lot of time to search their need because of poor searching skills. Moreover, it was also investigated that users of both institute are unable to use advance search techniques due to lack of searching skills.

Shafique and Bhatti (2017) conducted a study on 'Students information literacy skills at Islamia university of Bahawalpur'. They found that large percentage of social science students was unable to search their need through library catalogue. The students of social sciences have not appropriate information about books classification number, advance searching and use of web OPAC. Further, it was found that students were unable to explore Meta search engines for required information. However, students of social sciences had poor information literacy skills than natural science students.

The literature review indicated that a number of studies have been conducted to determine the IL skills of students, researchers in Pakistan. Further, there is a deficiency of literature that focuses on the IL skills of universities students in Pakistan particularly within the AJ&K.

Digital Information Resources

Rezaei Sharifabadi (2006) conducted a study on 'the role of digital library in educational development of electronic information resources users'. It was found that a strong relationship existed with use of information sources and service provided by digital library. The major contribution of digital library to facilitate users from anywhere, by giving access through internet online access. Users of digital library were able to utilized electronic collection of library efficiently if they had skills of digital collection use. For the quality dissemination of knowledge and to increased usage of electronic information resources among user's digital services of library contribution was found more important. Digital library provide connectivity to web-based course, which has increased the number of users of

digital library internationally where traditional library services were not possible to facilitate users. However, digital collection development strongly associated with digital library vendors.

Noh (2012) conducted a survey on the 'influence of electronic resources on academic libraries. It was found that maximum amount of libraries budgets used in acquisition of electronic information resources and need high information literacy skills to deals with electronic resources in libraries. Further, information literacy skills need for evaluation of information from full text databases, journals and electronic databases. However, the usage frequency of electronic sources was found high.

Sadia (2016) conducted a study to analyze the availability and access to digital resources among scholars in Nigerian universities. Data was collected through survey method. Questionnaires were distributed among 50 respondents of three universities by using random sampling. It was found that, researchers use digital information sources for verity of purpose. 28% use for social networking, 32% use for research activities, 25% for education activities, and 15%, use for current affairs knowledge and for news purpose. Also 46% reported that they had access to digital resources through internet, 28% obtained information through electronic mail, 20%, use electronic databases, 4%, use e-journals, and only 2%, electronic publication and theses.68% of respondents were access digital resources through universities internet facility whereas, 32% had not a facility of internet to use digital resources. Moreover, it was also found that universities do not have a most of digital resources regarding the need of social science researchers need.

Velmurugan (2012) studied the use and problems of electronic collection among staff of Engineering College in Chennai. It was found that 48.57% of users in BPS-17 grade, 23.81% of users log period on job they were considered senior in same scale. 20.0% of users were in BPS-18 grade. Among the users, it was seen only 62.8% have knowledge and skills

to use electronic information resources effectively whereas, 37.2 %of users do not have understanding about importance and usage of electronic information resources. However, 26.67% were maximum users of electronic journals and books, whereas 15.24% use electronic database, theses, and 13.33% use periodicals. Overall, it was found that electronic information resources available in engineering college only 10% have maximum use. The level of satisfaction of users with electronic library service was 17.14%, and 56.19% faced difficulties in use of electronic information resources due to poor internet that take long time in retrieving electronic information among available collection of library.

Koohang (2004) conducted a study to know the concept of students' about use of digital library in various modes of access to digital information resources. It was found that majority of students use digital information resources that show positive correlation. Females' students have negative attitude towards use of internet than males, whereas the males attitude was positively correlated with internet and digital information resources use. However, it was found a positive relationship of digital information resources with internet usage.

Törmä and Vakkari (2004) indicated the relationship among use of digital information resources by academic staff and availability in electronic library of Finnish universities. The respondents of the study were Ph. D scholars and academic staff members. Data was collected through web-based survey. Male respondents were 55%, and female 45%. The strength of Science faculty students were more than arts faculty. Majority of participants were Ph. D students 58%, Lecturers26%, and only 16%, professors. The usage frequency of electronic library by natural science researcher was higher than social science researcher and academic staff. It was found that the availability of digital contents in electronic library has positive impact on the use of library information sources across various discipline of science

and arts faculty. Among both faculty respondents, science faculty researchers were more dependent on digital information resources than arts faculty researcher.49%.

Fatima Warraich and Ameen (2008) found that the most users of HEC digital resource male 76% and 24% were female. The 48% of respondents belonged to government universities 36% from non-government institutes and 16%, from other organizational sector. Qualifications of most of respondents were master 64%, whereas only 4% held Ph. D. Among the entire users 56%connected with digital information resources through National digital library sites, and 27% through their personal library, and only 8% from creator sites. The results also indicated that most of the departments had access to digital databases more than 10, whereas only 10% of respondents had access less than ten databases.

Johnson et al. (2015) reported that among university libraries electronic databases and electronic journals were main digital collection of university libraries. Moreover, it was also found that the poor management of digital information resources in university libraries was due to lack of proper digital information resources management policy.

In Pakistan Khan and Ahmed (2013) found that gender and use of digital information resources were correlated. Male respondents are more users of digital resources as compared to female respondents. The respondent who lies in the age 22 to 30 found more users of digital resources, than who were above 40 years age. Further it was noted that the respondents who enrolled in Ph. D degree were more users of digital information resources than MPhil students. The problems faced by respondents were low speed Internet, power shortage and lack of IL skills related to digital information resources.

Similar type of study conducted by Mirza and Mahmood (2012) reported that 95% of respondent use university libraries for the purpose of research and academic work, whereas only 5% of respondents use university library for other purpose. Moreover from analysis of data it was found that the respondents were more satisfied with university electronic

information resources i.e. web-opac, internet facility ,electronic mail services, electronic journals and databases, availability of scanning of print materials. Further it was found no gender differences in level of satisfaction of male and female respondents.

Arif and Kanwal (2009) found that maximum percentage of Master students use digital information resources for academic work, MPhil students use for research work and assignments, and Ph. D students use for their degree research. The large ratios of respondents use digital library information resources from two to three times in a week, whereas only 7 students use after 15 days. It was also found that 86% of respondents had full access to digital information resources of library, and their need related to information available and easily download from scholarly databases due to facility of high speed internet in library. Whereas 14% of respondents do not have facilities of digital information due to limited access, electricity shortage that reduces the value and efficiency of scholars work.

Shafiullah (2011) found that Pakistan higher commission digital library gave access to23000 full text online journals, 17 databases and three special data bases of electronic books to universities of Islamabad. The HEC digital library has built another major services Pakistan research repository of online digitized MPhil and Ph. D theses of all HEC recognized Universities in Pakistan. Pakistan digital library also provide another service directory of open access of online resources through website, about 87% of respondent response in favor of this services was very helpful for users. However few users need information related material were not available then HEC digital library has a facility of British library document delivery service, about 80% library and information science professional use this services and 75% of users considered this service very important for them.

Ankrah and Atuase (2018) found that majority of users were familiar with electronic information resources through various library awareness activities. 49% of respondents

developed their understanding through library orientation programs, 10% from library web portal, 16% informed through academic staffs and only 7% by other general sources of information. Majority of users search their need in scholarly databases and journals. However, the search literacy of users was found to be different in exploring the information resources in library i.e. 67% respondents had good skills to explore the scholarly databases, while 35% were unable to search scholarly databases efficiently.

Research Methodology

The survey method was used to achieve the objectives of this study. A structured questionnaire, listing seven information literacy (IL) skills, was prepared keeping in view the local conditions. ACRL (2000) standards, Lau (2006), provided guidelines for the development of questionnaire. The questionnaire was reviewed by library and information science experts for content validation. The validity and reliability of the questionnaire was determined with Cronbach's alpha test. It was also pilot-tested on group of students who were not part of the sample. Data was collected from the postgraduate students enrolled in the public sector universities of AJ&K. A convenience sampling technique was used to collect data from the respondents. Consequently, 630 questionnaires were collected from the postgraduate students and further 30 questionnaires were discarded due to outliers and incomplete information provided by the students. Data was analyzed by using SPSS-20 software. The researcher applied descriptive statistics to determine frequency, percent, mean and standard deviation, whereas inferential statistics was used to measure relationship among variables.

Findings

Demographic Information of the Respondents

Table1.shows that most of the respondents participated in this study were females with a ratio of 365 (60.8%) while 235 (39.2%) respondents were males. Majority of respondents 358 (59.7%) belonged to the age group 21 to 25 years and only 25 (4.2%) were more than 30 years old. Most of the respondents enrolled in master degree with a ratio 263 (43.8%) followed by M. Phil/MS degree with a ratio of 135 (22.5%) and only 15(2.5%) respondents were enrolled in Ph. D degree. The respondents of all the participating universities were equally responded the questionnaire. The response ratio 120 (20.0%) made by each participating university.

Table 4.1: Demographic information of the respondents

	Frequency	Percent
Gender		
Male	235	39.2%
Female	365	60.8%
Age		
Less than 20 years	129	21.5%
21-25 years	358	59.7%
26-30 years	88	14.7%
More than 30 years	25	4.2%
Level of Degree		
BS	181	30.2%
Master	263	43.8%
MPhil/MS	135	22.5%

Ph. D		15		2.5%
Any other		6		1.0%

University of the Respondents

UAJ&K		120		20%
MUST		120		20%
UPR	120		20%	
MUS&TK		120		20 %
WUB	120		20 %	

Ability to recognize needed information

Respondents were asked eight statements in order to measure their perceived ability to recognize needed information. All the eight statements received a mean score around 4, indicating that majority of the respondents found agree that they were 'able to understand how much information is needed' (M=3.77, SD=1.106), 'able to recognize different formats of information' (print, digital, etc) (M=3.76, SD=1.152) (Table 4.4).

Table 4.2: Ability to recognize needed information

Rank	Statements	N	Mean	St. Deviation
1	I am able to understand how much information is needed	600	3.77	1.106
2	I am able to recognize different formats of information (print, digital, etc.)	600	3.76	1.152
3	I am able to understand what kind of information is needed	600	3.71	1.109
4	I am able to recognize the internet source of information	600	3.70	1.164

5	I am able to recognize the limitations linked with information (e.g. time, format, currency, and access).	600	3.64	1.180
6	I am able to differentiate between scholarly and other popular source of information	600	3.58	1.180
7	I am able to understand when a piece of information is needed	600	3.51	1.204
8	I am able to recognize the information when it is needed	600	3.50	1.345

Scale: 1= Strongly Disagree,2 = Disagree, 3 =Undecided, 4= Agree, 5=Strongly Agree,

Ability to understand needed information

Respondents were asked three statements in order to measure their perceived ability to understand needed information. All the three statements received a mean score around 4, indicating that majority of the respondents found agree that they were 'able to understand how to access available information resources' (M=3.75, SD=1.866), 'able to identify where information resources are available' (M=3.58, SD=1.125). However, one statement received a mean score 3.47 indicating that respondents were found undecided with the statement that 'they were able to identify what information resources are available for use' (M=3.47, SD=1.324) (Table 4.5).

Table 4.3: Ability to understand needed information

Rank	Statements	N	Mean	St. Deviation
1	I am able how to access available information resources	600	3.75	1.866

2	I am able to identify where information resources are available	600	3.58	1.125
3	I am able to identify what information resources are available for use	600	3.47	1.324

Scale: 1= Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 =Undecided, 4= Agree, 5=Strongly Agree,

Ability to locate needed information

Respondents were asked 07 statements in order to measure their perceived ability to locate the needed information. Of the 07 statements, 02 statements received a mean score around 4, indicating that majority of the respondents found agree that they were 'able to locate information from search engine (Google, Yahoo, MSN etc) (M=3.64, SD=1.205), 'able to locate information by browsing (browse by subject, title, author)' (M=3.51, SD=1.386). On the other hand, 05 statements received a mean score around 3, indicating that majority of the respondents were undecided in the perceived ability to locate needed information (e.g., 'able to use library catalogue' (M=3.45, SD 1.263), 'able to use OPAC (online catalogue)' (M=3.37, SD=1.221)', and 'able to locate information from databases (Science Direct, Emerald, JStor)' (M=3.36, SD=1.281)(Table 4.6).

Table 4.4: Ability to locate needed information

Rank	Statements	N	Mean	St. Deviation
1	I am able to locate information from search engine (Google, Yahoo, MSN etc.)	600	3.64	1.205
2	I am able to locate information by browsing (browse by subject, title, author)	600	3.51	1.386
3	I am able to use library catalogue	600	3.45	1.263
4	I am able to use OPAC (online catalogue)	600	3.37	1.221

5	I am able to locate information from databases (Science Direct, Emerald, JSTOR)	600	3.36	1.281
6	I am able to use advanced searching (Boolean operators, truncation, phrase searching etc.)	600	3.35	1.223
7	I am able to use abstracting and indexing journals	600	3.35	1.310

Scale: 1= Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 =Undecided, 4= Agree, 5=Strongly Agree,

Ability to evaluate needed information

Respondents were asked a set of 06 statements in order to determine their perceived ability to evaluate needed information. Of the 06 statements, 03 statements received a mean score around 4, indicating that majority of the respondents found agree that they were 'able to evaluate the consistency (up to date) of information' (M=3.62, SD=1.190), 'able to evaluate the accuracy of information locate information' (M=3.57, SD=1.176), and 'able to evaluate the authenticity of information' (M=3.56, SD=1.118). On the other hand, 03 statements received a mean score around 3, indicating that majority of the respondents were undecided in the perceived ability 'to evaluate the authority of information (ownership, reputation)' (M=3.48, SD=1.111), 'to evaluate the information relevance to problems/question' (M=3.43, SD=1.282), and 'to evaluate the index, bibliography in any information resource' (M=3.43, SD=1.520)(Table 4.7).

Table 4.5: Ability to evaluate needed information

Rank	Statements	N	Mean	St. Deviation
1	I am able to evaluate the consistency (up to date) of information	600	3.62	1.190
2	I am able to evaluate the accuracy of information	599	3.57	1.176
3	I am able to evaluate the authenticity of information	600	3.56	1.188

4	I am able to evaluate the authority of information (ownership, reputation)	600	3.48	1.111
5	I am able to evaluate the information relevance to problems/question	600	3.43	1.282
6	I am able to evaluate the index, bibliography in any information resource	600	3.43	1.520

Scale: 1= Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 =Undecided, 4= Agree, 5=Strongly Agree,

Ability to use needed information

Respondents were asked eight statements in order to measure their perceived ability to use needed information. Of the 08 statements 06 statements received a mean score around 4, indicating that majority of the respondents found agree that they were 'able to analyze retrieved information to provide accurate research results' (M=3.70, SD=1.165), 'able to understand issue of privacy and security in using of information' (M=3.64, SD=1.135), 'able to combine retrieved information from various sources (M=3.61, SD=1.140). On the other hand 02 statements received mean score around 3indicating that majority of the respondents were undecided in their perceived ability 'to understand retrieved information from various source (M=3.48, SD=1.264) and 'to develop new knowledge'(M=3.27, SD=1.360) (Table 4.8).

Table 4.6: Ability to use needed information

Rank	Statements	N	Mean	St. Deviation
1	I am able to analyze retrieved information to provide accurate research results	600	3.70	1.165
2	I am able to understand issue of privacy and security in using of information	600	3.64	1.135

3	I am able to combine retrieved information from various sources	600	3.61	1.140
4	I am able to compare retrieved information from various sources	600	3.53	1.174
5	I am able to understand intellectual property, copyright, and fair use of information	600	3.52	1.142
6	I am able to understand and give credit to other peoples work. (citations, references)	600	3.51	1.216
7	I am able to understand retrieved information from various sources	600	3.48	1.264
8	I am able to develop new knowledge	600	3.27	1.360

Scale: 1= Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 =Undecided, 4= Agree, 5=Strongly Agree,

Ability to communicate needed information

Respondents were asked a set of 04 statements in order to measure their perceived ability to communicate needed information. All the 4 statements received a mean score around 4, indicating that majority of the respondents found agree that they were 'able to communicate my finding through presentation' (M=3.66, SD=1.162), 'able to communicate knowledge of footnotes (M=3.63, SD=2.070), and 'able to communicate my finding through written report' (M=3. 59, SD=1.153)(Table 4.9).

Table 4.7: Ability to communicate needed information

Rank	Statements	N	Mean	St. Deviation
1	I am able to communicate my finding through presentation	600	3.66	1.162
2	I am able to communicate knowledge of footnotes	600	3.63	2.070

3	I am able to communicate my finding through written report	600	3.59	1.153
4	I am able to communicate my finding on web pages	600	3.57	1.305
5	I am able to communicate knowledge of citation style	600	3.53	1.123

Scale: 1= Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 =Undecided, 4= Agree, 5=Strongly Agree,

Ability to manage information

Respondents were asked a set of 4statements in order to determine their perceived ability to manage information. Of the 04 statements, 03 statements received a mean score around 4, indicating that majority of the respondents found agree that they were 'able to manage the use of folders to organized computer stored data' (M=3.65, SD=2.348), 'able to manage security and backup copies of information resources' (M=3.59, SD=1.159), 'able to understand and organize the email and email attachments (M=3.53, SD=1.144). On the other hand one statement received a mean score 3, showing that most of the respondents were undecided in their perceived 'ability to manage information resources at a later date' (M=3.42, SD=1.343) (Table 4.10).

Table 4.8: Ability to manage information

Rank	Statements	N	Mean	St. Deviation
1	I am able to manage the use of folders to organized computer stored data	600	3.65	2.348
2	I am able to manage security and backup copies of information resources	600	3.59	1.159
3	I am able to understand and organize the email and email attachments	599	3.53	1.144

4	I am able to manage information resources at a later date	600	3.42	1.343

4.13   Barriers in acquiring information literacy skills

Respondents were asked a set of 6 statements in order to measure their perceived barriers in acquiring information literacy skills. Of the 6 statements, only one statement received a mean score around 4, indicating that majority of the respondents found agree that 'lack of training and workshops' were a main barrier (M=3.56, SD=1.258). Whereas, five statements received a mean score around 3 indicating that most of the respondents were undecided in their perceived ability to 'search across several resources' (M=3.48, SD=1.255), 'to understand significance of different communication channels (e.g. web page, presentation, written report)' (M=3.42, SD=1.233), and 'to recognize, locate, evaluate, use and manage information' (M=3.40, SD=1.277) (Table 4.11).

Table 4.9: Barriers in acquiring information literacy skills

Rank	Statements	N	Mean	St. Deviation
1	Due to lack of training and workshops, I am unable to recognize, locate, evaluate, use and manage information.	600	3.56	1.258
2	I am unable to search across several resources	600	3.48	1.255
3	I am unable to understand significance of different communication channels (e.g. web page, presentation, written report)	600	3.42	1.233
4	Due to lack of ICT skills, I am unable to 'recognize, locate, evaluate, use and manage information'.	600	3.40	1.277

| 5 | I am unable to spend the appropriate time to use information resources | 600 | 3.38 | 1.345 |
| 6 | Due to lack of information literacy courses, I am unable to 'recognize, Locate, evaluate, use, communicate and manage information'. | 600 | 3.35 | 1.218 |

Scale: 1= Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 =Undecided, 4= Agree, 5=Strongly Agree,

Hypotheses Testing

The relationships of information literacy skills with respondents' age, level of degree and gender were determined by using inferential statistics. The results of the null hypotheses are given below in tables.

Age and level of information literacy skills of AJ&K universities students

The first hypothesis was developed to determine the relationship between age and level of information literacy skills. Pearson correlation was used for the testing of hypothesis. A statistical significant relationship exists between age of the respondents and their information literacy skills. The result of analysis are shown in Table 4.15.Therefore, null hypothesis that 'there is no relationship between age and level of information literacy skills of AJ&K university students' is rejected and alternate hypothesis is accepted.

Table 4.12: Relationship between age and level of information literacy skills

	Age of the respondents	IL Skills
Pearson Correlation	1	.164[**]
Sig. (2-tailed)		.000

Level of degree and information literacy skills of AJ&K universities students

The second hypothesis developed to identify the relationship between level of degree and information literacy skills of AJ&K universities students. To examine this relationship

Pearson correlation co-efficient was measured. The (Sig. 218) value indicates that level of degree and information literacy skills were not significantly correlated as shown in (Table 4.16). Therefore, the null hypothesis 'there is no relationship between level of degree and information literacy skills of AJ&K universities students is accepted and alternate hypothesis is rejected. It means that the level of degree does not affect the information literacy skills of AJ&K universities students.

Table 4.3: Relationship between level of degree and information literacy skills

		IL Skills	Education of respondents
	Pearson Correlation	1	.051
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.218

Gender and level of information literacy skills of AJ&K universities students

The third hypothesis developed to investigate any possible relationship between gender and their level of information literacy skills. Pearson correlation co-efficient was used to measure this relationship. Significance value (.001) indicated that gender and their level of information literacy skills were correlated as shown in Table 4.20. Therefore, the null hypothesis 'there is no relationship between gender and level of information literacy skills of AJ&K universities students is rejected' and alternate hypothesis is accepted. It is found that gender affect the level of information literacy skills of AJ&K universities students.

Table 4.14: Relationship between gander and level of information literacy skills

	IL Skills	Gender
Pearson Correlation	1	.138**
Sig. (2-tailed)		.001

Information literacy skills and availability of digital resources

The fifth null hypothesis was developed to investigate the relationship between information literacy skills and availability of digital resources. The (sig. = .000) value shows that there is a significant association between information literacy skills and availability of digital resources. The result of Pearson correlation test display in Table 4.19. Therefore, the null hypothesis 'there is no relationship between information literacy skills and availability of digital resources' is rejected and alternate hypothesis is accepted. The results indicate that there is a significant relationship between information literacy skills and availability of digital resources.

Table 4.23: Relationship between information literacy skills and availability of digital resources

	IL Skills	ADR
Pearson Correlation	1	.481**
Sig. (2-tailed)		.000

Discussion

Results of the study showed that female students of AJK Universities responded the questionnaire more as compared with male and most of them were young. Further, the response rate of BS and Master degree programs students were high as compared to M.

Phil/MS and Ph. D degree programs students. Most of the respondents were using university libraries on weekly bases and few of them never used library. The results of current study were same with previous studies. Gakibayo et al. (2013) argued that 7% respondents visit university library many time in a day, 30% five times in a week, 40% once time in week and 24% never visit once time in a month. Majority of respondents were satisfied with the available information resources and services provided by university libraries. These findings are comparable withTörmä and Vakkari (2004) that the level of satisfaction of users depend on the availability of digital information resources in library. In another study Galvin (2005) analyzed that the provisions of virtual reference service, online web-based electronic information resources and access to library web-opac were the key services for improvement in respondents level of information literacy skills.

The result of the study found that majority of the respondents has ability to 'recognize, locate, evaluate, use, mange, and communicate' the needed information. These findings are similar with theMahmood (2013)findings that the students which were in higher degree education who had facility of computer at their house possessed good information literacy skills. Nicholas et al. (2009) also found that the searching ability of students in full text electronic database, and journals were higher than staff members. Similarly Akpojotor (2016) found positive relationship between information literacy skills and use of electronic information resources.

The study also found that some of the respondents were unable to search across online journal and databases due to poor ICT Skills.Probert (2009) conducted a study on school teachers in New Zealand found that only 5% teachers were possessing good knowledge of ICT and 95% held poor ICT skills. In another study Sasikala and Dhanraju (2011) assessed the low usage frequencies of electronic information resources due to lack of computer literacy. The results of the study showed that few of the respondents were remained neutral in

their responses either they have ability or not to use the library services. Lawal (2017) in his study found that among 152 respondents 47% had ability to effectively use the available university libraries services, 52% were unable to use because lack of information literacy skills and only 2% of total respondents remained neutral in their response.

The results of inferential statistics indicated a significant relationship between age and level of information literacy skills among respondents. These result support Misco et al. (2015) that the students who were in 22 to 25 years age group, their information literacy skills were good than above 30 years of age group. The study also revealed that information literacy skills of respondents were not correlated with level of educational degree. These result agreed withMiller (2014) that postgraduate and undergraduate students held poor information literacy skills in locating, searching specific databases. Similarly Mirza and Mehmood (2012) also found that respondents who were enrolled in MPhil and Ph. D degree their information literacy skills were same to BS and Master degree education. On the other hand Ferdows and Ahmed (2015) reported that undergraduate student's information literacy skills were not found good as compared to postgraduate students. Also in another study Dubicki (2013) found that the information literacy skills were not mapped with the level of respondents degree.

The results of Pearson correlation found that a significant association between information literacy skills and gender of respondents. The result support that the computer skills of male students were higher than female students (Baro and Feynman 2009). Also Zin et al. (2000) stated that the information literacy skills of males were good as compared to females.

A statistically significant relationship was found between information literacy skills and availability of digital resources. These result supports that the uses of digital resources were strongly correlated with the information searching skills of respondents (Lawal, 2017).

Similarly Naveed and Sharif (2015) observed that the usage frequencies of digital information resources were affected by the information literacy skills of respondents. Also in another study Popoola (2008) noted that use and availability of information sources and services in university libraries increased the research productivity of social science researchers.

Delimitation of the Study

Scope of the study will be delimited to postgraduate students enrolled in public sector universities of AJ&K.

Implications

The finding of this study will be helpful for university professionals, administrations and policy makers to understand relationship between student's information literacy skills and availability of digital information resources in public sector universities of Azad Jammu and Kashmir. These findings may be generalized, while care must be exercised, on other universities with the same teaching and learning system, strategies, and circumstances.

References

Adeleke, D. S., & Emeahara, E. N. (2016). Relationship between information literacy and use of electronic information resources by postgraduate students of the University of Ibadan. *Library philosophy and practice*, 1.

Adeniyi Aderibigbe, N., & Adebimpe Ajiboye, B. (2013). User education program as determinant of electronic information resources usage in Nimbe Adedipe University Library, Nigeria. *The Electronic Library, 31*(2), 244-255.

Akpojotor, L. O. (2016). Awareness and usage of electronic information resources among postgraduate students of library and information science in Southern Nigeria.

Ameen, K., & Gorman, G. (2009). Information and digital literacy: a stumbling block to

    development? A Pakistan perspective. *Library Management, 30*(1/2), 99-112.

Ameen, K., & Ullah, M. (2016). *Information Literacy Instruction: An Overview of Research*

    and Professional Development in Pakistan. Paper presented at the European

    Conference on Information Literacy.

Ankrah, E., & Atuase, D. (2018). The use of electronic resources by postgraduate students of

    the university of cape coast. *Library philosophy and practice*, 1-37.

Annet Kinengyere, A. (2007). The effect of information literacy on the utilization of

    electronic information resources in selected academic and research institutions in

    Uganda. *The Electronic Library, 25*(3), 328-341.

Anunobi, C. V., & Ukwoma, S. (2016). Information literacy in Nigerian universities trends,

    challenges and opportunities. *New Library World, 117*(5/6), 343-359.

Arif, M., & Kanwal, S. (2009). Acceptance of digital library among female students and

    effects of limited access of digital library on their performance in research work: A

    case of International Islamic University. *The International Information & Library*

    Review, 41(3), 122-128.

Association, C. L. Chartered Institute of Library and Information Professionals (Great

    Britain), e Joint Steering Committee for Development of RDA. 2010. *Resource*

    description & access: RDA.

Baro, E. E., Endouware, B.-e. C., & Ubogu, J. O. (2011). Information literacy among medical

    students in the College of Health Sciences in Niger Delta University, Nigeria.

    Program, 45(1), 107-120.

Bashir, S., Mahmood, K., & Shafique, F. (2008). Internet use among university students: a

    survey in University of the Punjab, Lahore. *Pakistan Journal of Information*

    Management & Libraries(9), 49.

Bavakutty, M., & Nasirudheen, T. (2008). Assessing information literacy competency of research students in India: a case study.

Becker, D., Hartle, H., & Mhlauli, G. (2017). Assessment of use and quality of library services, accessibility and facilities by students at Cape Peninsula University of Technology. *South African Journal of Libraries and Information Science, 83*(1), 11-25.

Bhatti, R. (2010). An evaluation of user-education programmes in the university libraries of Pakistan.

Bhatti, R. (2012). Information literacy: Furthering the Cause of Higher Education in Pakistan. *Pakistan Library & Information Science Journal, 43*(1).

Black, C., Crest, S., & Volland, M. (2001). Building a successful information literacy infrastructure on the foundation of librarian–faculty collaboration. *Research strategies, 18*(3), 215-225.

Chen, K.-n., & Lin, P.-c. (2011). *Information literacy in university library user education.* Paper presented at the Aslib Proceedings.

Çoklar, A. N., Yaman, N. D., & Yurdakul, I. K. (2017). Information literacy and digital nativity as determinants of online information search strategies. *Computers in Human Behavior, 70*, 1-9.

Connaway, L. S., & Powell, R. R. (2010). *Basic research methods for librarians*: ABC-CLIO.

Creswell, J. W., Plano Clark, V. L., Gutmann, M. L., & Hanson, W. E. (2003). Advanced mixed methods research designs. *Handbook of mixed methods in social and behavioral research, 209*, 240.

Dubicki, E. (2013). Faculty perceptions of students' information literacy skills competencies. *Journal of Information Literacy, 7*(2), 97-125.

Exner, N. (2014). Research information literacy: Addressing original researchers' needs. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 40*(5), 460-466.

Fatima Warraich, N., & Ameen, K. (2008). Perceptions of library and information science professionals about a National Digital Library programme. *Library Hi Tech News, 25*(8), 15-19.

Ferdows, J., & Ahmed, S. Z. (2015). An empirical investigation of information skills among undergraduate students at Dhaka University. *Library Review, 64*(4/5), 274-284.

Gakibayo, A., Ikoja-Odongo, J., & Okello-Obura, C. (2013). Electronic information resources utilization by students in Mbarara University Library.

Galvin, J. (2005). Alternative strategies for promoting information literacy. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 31*(4), 352-357.

Gross, M., & Latham, D. (2007). Attaining information literacy: An investigation of the relationship between skill level, self-estimates of skill, and library anxiety. *Library & Information Science Research, 29*(3), 332-353.

Issa, A. O., Blessing, A., & Daura, U. D. (2009). Effects of information literacy skills on the use of e-library resources among students of the University of Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria. *Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal)*, 245.

Johnson, L., Becker, S. A., Estrada, V., & Freeman, A. (2015). *NMC horizon report: 2015 library edition*: The New Media Consortium.

Kenchakkanavar, A. Y. (2014). Types of e-resources and its utilities in library. *International Journal of Information Sources and Services, 1*(2), 97-104.

Khan, A., & Ahmed, S. (2013). The impact of digital library resources on scholarly

    communication: challenges and opportunities for university libraries in Pakistan.

    Library Hi Tech News, 30(8), 12-29.

Khan, A., Ahmed, S., & Masrek, M. N. (2014). Scholars' satisfaction with digital library

    collection and gaps in the provision of effective information resources and services: A

    Pakistani perspective. *Journal of Electronic Resources Librarianship, 26*(4), 250-267.

Khan, K., & Hedge, M. (2010). The information seeking pattern of students at ICFAI

    business school library in Bangalore. *India Journal of Library and Information*

    Science, 4(2), 107-114.

Kim, S. U., & Shumaker, D. (2015). Student, librarian, and instructor perceptions of

    information literacy instruction and skills in a first year experience program: A case

    study. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 41*(4), 449-456.

Koohang, A. (2004). Students' perceptions toward the use of the digital library in weekly

    web-based distance learning assignments portion of a hybrid programme. *British*

    Journal of Educational Technology, 35(5), 617-626.

Lau, J. (2006). Guidelines on information literacy for lifelong learning. *IFLA, Veracruz.*

Lawal, V. (2017). Information Literacy and the Future of Digital Information Services at the

    University of Jos Library.

Leebaw, D., Partlo, K., & Tompkins, H. (2013). 'How Is This Different from Critical

    Thinking?'The Risks and Rewards of Deepening Faculty Involvement in an

    Information Literacy Rubric. *ACRL 2013*, 274-277.

Licea de Arenas, J., Vicente Rodríguez, J., Gómez, J. A., & Arenas, M. (2004). Information

    literacy: implications for Mexican and Spanish university students. *Library Review,*

    53(9), 451-460.

Link, T. M., & Marz, R. (2006). Computer literacy and attitudes towards e-learning among first year medical students. *BMC medical education, 6*(1), 34.

Liu, Q., Lo, P., & Itsumura, H. (2016). Measuring the importance of library user education: a comparative study between Fudan University and the National Taiwan Normal University. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 42*(6), 644-654.

Lonsdale, R., & Armstrong, C. (2006). *The role of the university library in supporting information literacy in UK secondary schools.* Paper presented at the Aslib Proceedings.

Madhusudhan, M., & Nagabhushanam, V. (2012). Web-based library services in university libraries in India: an analysis of librarians' perspective. *The Electronic Library, 30*(5), 569-588.

Mahmood, K. (2008). Library web OPACs in Pakistan: an overview. *Program, 42*(2), 137-149.

Mahmood, K. (2013). Relationship of students' perceived information literacy skills with personal and academic variables. *Libri, 63*(3), 232-239.

Majid, S., & Fanilievna Abazova, A. (1999). Computer literacy and use of electronic information sources by academics: A case study of International Islamic University Malaysia. *Asian libraries, 8*(4), 100-111.

Mauti, N., Chege, A., & Kiplag, J. Evaluating Access and Use of Information Resources by Postgraduate Students at Adventist University of Africa Library in Kenya.

Miller, R. E. (2014). Graduate students may need information literacy instruction as much as undergraduates. *Evidence Based Library and Information Practice, 9*(3), 104-106.

Mirza, M. S., & Mahmood, K. (2012). Electronic resources and services in Pakistani university libraries: A survey of users' satisfaction. *The International Information & Library Review, 44*(3), 123-131.

Misco, M., Resnis, E., Gibson, K., & Hartsell-Gundy, A. (2015). Information literacy assessment: a case study at Miami University.

Mishra, R., & Mishra, C. (2010). Relevance of information literacy in digital environment. *Journal of emerging trends in computing and information sciences, 1*(1), 48-54.

Naveed, Q., & Sharif, A. (2015). Assessing the needs and measuring the impact of the information literacy sessions at the Aga Khan University's Institute for Educational Development, Pakistan. *Asian Review of Social Sciences, 4*(1), 20.

Neuman, W. L., & Robson, K. (2014). *Basics of social research*: Pearson Canada.

Nicholas, D., Huntington, P., Jamali, H. R., Rowlands, I., & Fieldhouse, M. (2009). Student digital information-seeking behaviour in context. *Journal of Documentation, 65*(1), 106-132.

Noh, Y. (2012). *A study measuring the performance of electronic resources in academic libraries.* Paper presented at the Aslib Proceedings.

Ojedokun, A. A. (2007). *Information literacy for tertiary education students in Africa*: Third World Information Services Limited.

Oakleaf, M. (2009). Using rubrics to assess information literacy: An examination of methodology and interrater reliability. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 60*(5), 969-983.

Orr, D., Appleton, M., & Wallin, M. (2001). Information literacy and flexible delivery: Creating a conceptual framework and model. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 27*(6), 457-463.

Özdemir, S. M., Akbaş, O., & Çakır, R. (2009). A study on the relationship between pre-service teachers' information literacy skills and their attitudes towards distance education. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 1*(1), 1648-1652.

Popoola, S. (2008). The use of information sources and services and its effect on the research output of social scientists in Nigerian universities.

Popoola, S. (2017). Faculty awareness and use of library information products and services in Nigerian Universities. *Malaysian Journal of Library & Information Science, 13*(1), 91-102.

Probert, E. (2009). Information literacy skills: Teacher understandings and practice. *Computers & Education, 53*(1), 24-33.

Qadri, U., & Shafiq, H. Information Literacy in Digital Age: A Comparative Analysis of Libraries of select prominent Academic Institutions of Kashmir.

Rafique, G. M. (2014). Information literacy skills of faculty members: A study of the University of Lahore, Pakistan. *Library philosophy and practice*, 0_1.

Ramamurthy, P., & Siridevi, E. (2015). Information Literacy Search Skills of Students in Five Selected Engineering Colleges in Chittoor District, Andhra Pradesh: APerspective. *International Research: Journal of Library and Information Science, 5*(1).

Rezaei Sharifabadi, S. (2006). How digital libraries can support e-learning. *The Electronic Library, 24*(3), 389-401.

Robison, M. (2017). Connecting information literacy instruction with transfer student success. *Reference Services Review, 45*(3), 511-526.

Sadia, H. (2016). Digital Resources Utilization By Social Science Researchers In Nigerian Universities.

Sasikala, C., & Dhanraju, V. (2011). Assessment of information literacy skills among science students of Andhra University.

Shafique, H. M., & Bhatti, R. (2017). Assessing Information Literacy Skills of Students in The Islamia University of Bahawalpur. *Pakistan Library & Information Science Journal, 48*(1).

Shafiullah, F. (2011). Digital library services of higher education commission in Pakistan. *Pakistan Library and Information Science Journal, 42*(2), 17-28.

Sinha, B., Sinha, M. K., & Singha, G. (2011). Usage of electronic resources available under UGC-INFONET Digital Library Consortium by Assam University library users.

Solmaz, D. Y. (2017). Relationship between Lifelong Learning Levels and Information Literacy Skills in Teacher Candidates. *Universal Journal of Educational Research, 5*(6), 939-946.

Soroya, S. H., & Ameen, K. (2018). What do they want? Millennials and role of libraries in Pakistan. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*.

Taha, A. (2007). Networked e-information services to support the e-learning process at UAE University. *The Electronic Library, 25*(3), 349-362.

Törmä, S., & Vakkari, P. (2004). Discipline, Availability of Electronic Resources and the Use of Finnish National Electronic Library--FinELib. *Information Research: an international electronic journal, 10*(1), n1.

Tuamsuk, K. (2013). Information literacy instruction in Thai higher education. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 73*, 145-150.

Tuncer, M. (2013). An analysis on the effect of computer self-efficacy over scientific research self-efficacy and information literacy self-efficacy. *Educational Research and Reviews, 8*(1), 33.

Ugah, A. D. (2008). Availability and accessibility of information sources and the Use of library services at Michael Okpara University of Agriculture.

Ullah, M., & Ameen, K. (2016). Perceptions of medical librarians towards the importance of information literacy skills. *Pakistan Journal of Information Management & Libraries (PJIM&L), 16*.

Unagha, A. O. (2008). Implementing Universal Basic Education (UBE) through the strategic provision of school library services.

Ur Rehman, S., & Alfaresi, S. (2009). Information literacy skills among female students in Kuwaiti high schools. *Library Review, 58*(8), 607-616.

Velmurugan, C. (2012). Awareness and utlilization of e-resources by faculty members with special reference to an engineering college, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India: A case study.

Vijayakumar, K., Saravanaraj, S., & Mohanadevi, R. (2018). A Study on Information Sources and Services in Government