# University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Nebraska Beef Cattle Reports

Animal Science Department

2021

## Proteomic Analysis of Oxidized Proteins in Beef

Nicolas A. Bland

Nicolas J. Herrera

Felipe A. Ribeiro

Morgan L. Henriott

Kellen B. Hart

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/animalscinbcr

Part of the Large or Food Animal and Equine Medicine Commons, Meat Science Commons, and the Veterinary Preventive Medicine, Epidemiology, and Public Health Commons

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Animal Science Department at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Nebraska Beef Cattle Reports by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

### Authors

Nicolas A. Bland, Nicolas J. Herrera, Felipe A. Ribeiro, Morgan L. Henriott, Kellen B. Hart, and Chris Calkins

### Proteomic Analysis of Oxidized Proteins in Beef

Nicolas A. Bland Nicolas J. Herrera Felipe A. Ribeiro Morgan L. Henriott Kellen B. Hart Chris R. Calkins

#### Summary with Implications

To evaluate the effects of diet and quality grade on tenderness and oxidative damage to proteins, strip loins from USDA Upper 2/3rd Choice and Select- grade carcasses were obtained. Steers were fed either a diet containing dry rolled corn, steam flaked corn, dry rolled corn with 30% dried distillers grains with solubles, or steam flaked corn with 30% dried distillers grain with solubles. Results suggest that steaks from steers fed dry rolled corn are more objectively tender than steam flaked corn; in addition, steaks grading USDA Upper 2/3<sup>rd</sup> Choice steaks were more tender when compared to USDA Select quality grade. In contrast to previous research, no tenderness differences were detected between steaks from steers with or without dried distillers with solubles. Proteomic analysis revealed increased oxidative damage of myofibrillar proteins. Steaks graded as USDA Upper 2/3rd Choice steaks were determined to generally have increased oxidative damage to glycolytic, structural, and heat shock proteins, compared to USDA Select quality grade. While samples from steers fed dry rolled corn were more tender and had increased myofibrillar oxidative damage from steers fed DRC with distillers grains, steam flaked corn- related treatment displayed the inverse response. Overall, results support the relationship between marbling and tenderness, and suggest oxidative stress may be a factor involved in this difference.

#### Introduction

Recent proteomic research has implicated oxidative stress as a factor that damages myofibrillar antioxidant enzymes, structur-

© The Board Regents of the University of Nebraska. All rights reserved.

Table 1. Warner Bratzler Shear Force of strip loin steaks (*L. lumborum*) from steers fed either with dry rolled corn with dried distillers grains with solubles, dry rolled corn without dried distillers grains with solubles, steam flaked corn with dried distillers grains with solubles, or steam flaked corn without dried distillers grains with solubles at USDA Upper  $2/3^{rd}$  Choice or Select quality grade (n=36).

	Category				
Grain	DDGS	Quality Grade	WBSF (kgf)	SEM	P-value
DRC			3.46 <sup>b</sup>	0.2	0.02
SFC			4.04 <sup>a</sup>		
	DDGS		3.68	0.18	0.43
	No DDGS		3.84		
		Choice	3.39 <sup>b</sup>	0.23	< 0.01
		Select	4.11ª		

<sup>a,b</sup> Means in the same column within a category without common superscripts differ (P< 0.05).

WBSF: Warner Bratzler shear force.

DRC: Dry rolled corn.

SFC: Steam flaked corn.

DDGS: Dried distillers grains with solubles.

No DDGS: Without dried distillers grains with solubles.

al, and heat shock proteins. Oxidative stress occurs as a result of increased reactive oxygen species that overwhelms antioxidant defenses in the body, causing cellular damage. While oxidative stress seems to impact tenderness in beef, research in human nutrition has determined high-fat diets can induce oxidative stress. Therefore, high-fat diets like distillers grains may induce oxidative stress in the cattle. It is commonly recognized that feeding high-fat diets such as those containing distillers grains promotes increased rate of marbling deposition. Research has long recognized the evident relationship between marbling and tenderness. Perhaps oxidative damage to proteins occurs in highly marbled beef and thereby potentially enhances tenderness. Therefore, this study was conducted to evaluate the effects of oxidative damage to myofibrillar proteins on beef tenderness, as influenced by diet and marbling.

#### Procedure

A total of 240 steers were randomly block assigned by weight among 24 pens

(10 head/pen) and fed for 202 d on diets containing dry rolled corn (DRC), DRC with 30% dried distillers grains with solubles (DDGS), steam flaked corn (SFC), or SFC with 30% DDGS. Thirty-six USDA Upper 2/3<sup>rd</sup> Choice and Select carcasses (21 Upper 2/3<sup>rd</sup> Choice and 15 Select) were selected and strip loins were collected. Beef strip loins were aged for 2 d and then fabricated into steaks for objective tenderness and samples were diced, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and blended into a powdered sample for proteomic analysis.

#### Tenderness

Internal temperature and initial weight of raw steak (1 inch thick) were recorded. Steaks were cooked to a target temperature of 160°F on a belt grill. The cooked steaks were measured and recorded for internal temperature and weight. The steaks were individually bagged and stored overnight at 36°F for WBSF analysis. On the following day, six (0.5-inch diameter) cores were removed using a drill press going parallel to the muscle fibers and were sheared

PI/Mw theoretica			
PI/Mw experimental		ty Grade	
Score		A Select Quali	
Protein		ive damage in USI	
ABV		icreased oxidat	
Protein ID		Ir	
Spot ID	ut DDGS		
v cal	witho		
PI/M <sup>1</sup> theoreti	DRC		8.40/ 21,664
PI/Mw PI/M experimental theoreti	DRC	Quality Grade	9.52/ 24,579 8.40/ 21,664
PI/Mw PI/M Score experimental theoreti	DRC	2/3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice Quality Grade	1873 9.52/ 24,579 8.40/ 21,664
PI/Mw PI/M Protein Score experimental theoreti	DRC	mage in USDA Upper 2/3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice Quality Grade	Adenylate kinase 1873 9.52/ 24,579 8.40/ 21,664
PI/Mw PI/Mw PI/Mw ABV Protein Score experimental theoreti	DRC	oxidative damage in USDA Upper 2/3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice Quality Grade	AK1 Adenylate kinase 1873 9.52/ 24,579 8.40/ 21,664
PI/Mw PI/Mw PI/Mw PI/Mw PI/Mw PI/Mw PI/Mw PI/Mw PI/Mw Protein ID ABV Protein Score experimental theoreti	DRC	Increased oxidative damage in USDA Upper 2/3 <sup>rd</sup> Choice Quality Grade	P00570 AK1 Adenylate kinase 1873 9.52/ 24.579 8.40/ 21,664

ins.
gra
llers
istil
ut d
tho
r wi
th o
ı wi
cori
led
rol
dry
fed
eers
n ste
fron
eef
ct b
Sele
or
oice
S
$2/3^{rd}$
per 2/3 <sup>rd</sup>
Upper 2/3rd
DA Upper 2/3rd
USDA Upper 2/3rd
rom USDA Upper 2/3rd
ed from USDA Upper 2/3rd
erived from USDA Upper 2/3rd
ts derived from USDA Upper 2/3 <sup>rd</sup>
spots derived from USDA Upper 2/3 <sup>rd</sup>
cein spots derived from USDA Upper 2/3 <sup>rd</sup>
protein spots derived from USDA Upper 2/3 <sup>rd</sup>
ted protein spots derived from USDA Upper 2/3 <sup>rd</sup>
nylated protein spots derived from USDA Upper 2/3 <sup>rd</sup>
cbonylated protein spots derived from USDA Upper 2/3 <sup>rd</sup>
f carbonylated protein spots derived from USDA Upper $2/3^{rd}$
cs of carbonylated protein spots derived from USDA Upper $2/3^{rd}$
ristics of carbonylated protein spots derived from USDA Upper 2/3 <sup>rd</sup>
acteristics of carbonylated protein spots derived from USDA Upper $2/3^{rd}$
haracteristics of carbonylated protein spots derived from USDA Upper $2/3^{rd}$
2. Characteristics of carbonylated protein spots derived from USDA Upper $2/3^{rd}$
ble 2. Characteristics of carbonylated protein spots derived from USDA Upper $2/3^{rd}$

DDGS	
with	
DRC	

	6.76/ 20,037	9.21/ 59,720
ity Grade	8.05/ 24,026	9.29/ 59,143
Select Quali	2675	668
tive damage in USDA	Alpha-crystallin B chain	ATP synthase subunit alpha, mitochondrial
creased oxida	CRYAB	ATP5F1A
In	P02510	P19483
	293	133
	5.37/ 71,241	5.67/ 70,259
uality Grade	4.82/ 64,857	
<sup>d</sup> Choice Q	139	100
damage USDA Upper 2/3	Heat shock cognate 71 kDa protein	Heat shock 70 kDa protein 1A
ed oxidative o	HSPA8	HSPAIA
Increase	P19120	Q27975
	1	

Comparisons are made across the table.

ble 3. Chara	acteristic of carb												
Spot ID	Protein ID	ABV	Protein	Score	PI/Mw experimental	PI/Mw theoretical	Spot ID	Protein ID	ABV	Protein	Score	PI/Mw ex- perimental	PI/Mw theoretical
					DRC-1	USDA Upper 2/3 <sup>r</sup>	d Choice Qualit	ty Grade					
		Increased o	xidative damage withou	t DDGS					Increased (	oxidative damage with	DDGS		
293	P02510	CRYAB	Alpha-crystallin B chain	2675	8.05/ 24,026	6.76/ 20,037	200	Q8MKH6	TNNT1	Troponin T, slow skeletal muscle	903	6.67/ 38,640	5.71/ 31,284
								Q8MKI3	Tnnt3	Troponin T, fast skeletal muscle	280		5.99/ 32,126
								Q3T145	MDH1	Malate dehydroge- nase, cytoplasmic	468		6.16/ 36,438
							156	Q3ZC09	ENO3	Beta-enolase	506	6.93/ 52,000	7.60 /47,096
								Q9XSJ4	ENOI	Alpha-enolase	473		6.37 /47,326
							71	P79334	PYGM	Glycogen phos- phorylase, muscle form	248	9.05/ 102,222	6.65 / 97,293
						DRC-USDA Sele	ct Quality Grac	le					
		Increased o	xidative damage withou	t DDGS				Incre	ased oxidativ	e damage in DRC with	DDGS S	elect	
360	O97680	TXN	Thioredoxin	358	5.02/ 16,030	4.97/ 11,813	306	Q148F1	CFL2	Cofilin-2	571	6.69/ 22,842	7.66/ 18,737
358	P11116	LGALS1	Galectin - I	802	5.41/ 16,446	5.32/ 14,744	126	P31081	ICIASH	60 kDa heat shock protein, mitochon- drial	686	5.28/ 61,048	5.60/ 61,108
	P00426	COX5A	Cytochrome c oxi- dase subunit 5A	564		6.42/ 16,735	125	O62654	DES	Desmin	3187	5.14/ 61,048	5.21/ 53,532
104	Q08DP0	PGM1	Phosphoglucomu- tase-1	1362	8.82/ 68,190	6.36/ 61,589							
	Q3ZBD7	GPI	Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase	730		7.33/ 62,855							

Comparisons are made across the table.

using a texture analyzer using a Warner-Bratzler shear blade. The WBSF values were averaged from each steak for statistical purposes.

#### Proteomics

About 50 mg of powdered beef samples were utilized to extract, separate, and identify proteins for proteomic analysis. Sample comparisons include evaluating differences due to dietary treatment of DRC with or without DDGS that were Choice or Select quality grade or SFC with or without DDGS that were Choice or Select quality grade.

#### Statistical analysis

The processing method of corn, addition or absence of DDGS, and quality grade served as the main plot factors. For proteomic analysis, if the protein oxidative damage score was greater than 31, then the comparison was significant. Tenderness determination was analyzed as a randomized complete block design in a 2×2×2 factorial. Data were analyzed using PROC GLIMMIX program of SAS with LSMEANS statement. Specific to the proteomic assay, if the protein score exceeded 31, the difference of protein oxidation, to treatment comparison, was significant. Statistical significance was determined at *P*< 0.05.

#### Results

No differences (P=0.43) in tenderness were observed to be associated with the addition or absence of DDGS in the diet (Table 1). In previous research, a tenderness advantage has been reported for steaks from cattle fed DDGS, especially early postmortem. Steaks from steers fed DRC had significantly lower WBSF than steaks from steers fed SFC (P< 0.05) indicating that steers fed DRC were more tender than steers fed SFC. Also, there was a difference in tenderness between USDA Upper 2/3rd Choice and Select strip loins (P < 0.05), with USDA Upper 2/3rd Choice having lower WBSF. The lower the WBSF value, the more tender the sample. These results suggest that while the addition of DDGS may not improve tenderness, the substitution of DRC for SFC can improve tenderness along with improving USDA quality grade

through fat deposition in the marbling adipocytes.

#### *Protein oxidation in dry-rolled corn treatment*

When comparing the different quality grades from steers fed DRC without DDGS diet (Table 2), there was an increase of oxidative damage in adenylate kinase for USDA Upper 2/3rd Choice, compared to Select. While adenylate kinase is a nucleotide myofibrillar protein involved in maintaining muscular homeostasis, it had increased oxidative damage of myofibrillar proteins in tender beef in previous research. In beef from steers fed DRC with DDGS, some heat shock proteins exhibited oxidative damage for USDA Upper 2/3rd Choice, compared to Select. Conversely, USDA Select carcasses had greater oxidative damage to  $\alpha$  crystallin β chain and ATP synthase proteins. Given the tenderness advantage for the USDA Upper 2/3<sup>rd</sup> Choice carcasses, these results suggest that oxidative damage to certain proteins can be associated with increased tenderness.

For the USDA Upper 2/3<sup>rd</sup> Choice carcasses from steers fed a DRC diet, the addition of DDGS was associated with a wide array of oxidative damage of proteins (Table 3), including slow-twitch skeletal muscle and fast-twitch skeletal muscle fiber troponin T, and  $\beta\text{-enolase},$  and malate dehydrogenase. While troponin T degradation has long been recognized as an indicator of improved tenderness, degradation of  $\beta$ -enolase is a protein only recently been reported to indicate improved tenderness. Intact malate dehydrogenase, alternatively, has been positively related to improved tenderness. For the USDA Select beef from steers fed DRC diet treatment, the addition of DDGS was associated with increased oxidative damage to the structural protein desmin and heat shock protein 60kDa. As heat shock proteins help stabilize cells and have been related to increased toughness in beef, increased oxidative damage of heat shock proteins are related to improved tenderness. Similarly, damage to desmin would support improved tenderness. For USDA Select beef from cattle fed DRC without DDGS, oxidative damage was associated with apoptotic proteins galectin and cytochrome-c oxidase. Apoptotic proteins have

been hypothesized to improve tenderness, so increased oxidative damage of those proteins may negatively impact tenderness.

# Protein oxidation in the steam-flaked corn treatment

When comparing quality grades beef from steers fed SFC without DDGS diet (Table 4), there was an increase of oxidative damage in a heat shock protein in USDA Select beef carcasses. Increased oxidation of heat shock proteins is often associated with more tender meat; however, the tenderness data do not support that USDA Select beef was more tender than USDA Upper 2/3<sup>rd</sup> Choice beef carcasses.

When including DDGS in the SFC diet (Table 4), USDA Upper 2/3<sup>rd</sup> Choice beef carcasses had considerably more proteins that were oxidized than USDA Select beef, including oxidation of structural proteins (the actinins) and proteins associated with glycolysis. The glycolytic enzymes are not only valuable to producing energy in low oxygen conditions but during slaughter when the lack of oxygen shunts energy production to mostly glycolysis and the lactic acid pathway. Damage to such systems could conceivably allow early postmortem release of calcium, stimulating calpain enzymes which accelerate tenderization. Alternatively, there was more sustained oxidative damage in myosin and a few glycolytic proteins in USDA Select beef when compared to USDA Upper 2/3rd Choice beef. The impacts of these changes are unknown.

In contrast to DRC, SFC without DDGS resulted in more proteins sustaining oxidative damage within USDA Upper 2/3rd Choice beef from steers fed SFC containing DDGS (Table 5). The oxidized proteins include myosin, tropomyosin, and cytochrome b-c1 complex. With myosin and tropomyosin being structural proteins, increased oxidative damage may indicate decreased structural integrity at the actomyosin cross-bridge, which may improve tenderness. Damage to cytochrome b-c1 complex can impact ATP production by negatively impacting the electron transport chain. Furthermore, it may impact cytochrome c, a protein that can influence apoptotic processes. Similar to these observations with USDA Upper 2/3rd Choice, the

PI/Mw PI/Mw Protein Score experimental theoretical		lative damage in Select Quality Grade		Heat shock 4116 3.45/ 65,684 5.37/ 71,241 :ognate 71 kDa protein	Heat shock 4116 3.45/ 65,684 5.37/ 71,241 .ognate 71 kDa protein	Heat shock 4116 3.45/ 65,684 5.37/ 71,241 cognate 71 kDa protein dative damage in Select Quality Grade	Heat shock 4116 3.45/ 65,684 5.37/ 71,241 cognate 71 kDa protein hative damage in Select Quality Grade Myosin 3298 4.87/ 14,602 4.88/ 19,010 regulatory light muscle isoform	Heat shock 4116 3.45/ 65,684 5.37/ 71,241 organate 71 kDa protein hative damage in Select Quality Grade Myosin 3298 4.87/ 14,602 4.88/ 19,010 regulatory light hain 2. skeletal muscle isoform Glycerol-3- 1463 7.88/ 42,000 6.42/ 37,648 phosphate phosphate (NAD(+)) cytoplasmic	Heat shock41163.45/ 65,6845.37/ 71,241cognate 71 kDaprotein3.45/ 65,6845.37/ 71,241lative damage in Select Quality GradeMyosin32984.87/ 14,6024.88/ 19,010Myosin32984.87/ 14,6024.88/ 19,0106.42/ 37,648muscle isoformGlycerol-3-14637.88/ 42,0006.42/ 37,648phosphateNorgenase[NAD(+)],cytoplasmicFructose-1,6-4497.52/ 36,767bisphosphataseisozyme 2isozyme 2isozyme 2isozyme 2isozyme 2isozyme 2	Heat shock 4116 3.45/ 65,684 5.37/ 71,241 cognate 71 kDa protein hative damage in Select Quality Grade Myosin 3298 4.87/ 14,602 4.88/ 19,010 egulatory light hain 2. skeletal muscle isoform Glycerol-3- 1463 7.88/ 42,000 6.42/ 37,648 phosphate debyforgenase (INAD(+)), cytoplasmic Fructose-1,6- 449 7.52/ 36,767 bisphosphatase	Heat shock41163.45/ 65,6845.37/ 71,241cognate 71 kDaprotein	Heat shock 4116 3.45/ 65,684 5.37/ 71,241 cognate 71 kDa protein httve damage in Select Quality Grade Myosin 3298 4.87/ 14,602 4.88/ 19,010 egulatory light ina: 2, skeletal nuscle isoform Glycerol-3- 1463 7.88/ 42,000 6.42/ 37,648 phosphate dehydrogenase (NAD(+1), cytoplasmic Fructose-1,6- 449 7.52/ 36,767 bisphosphatse	Heat shock 4116 3.45/ 65,684 5.37/ 71,241 cognate 71 kDa protein httve damage in Select Quality Grade Myosin 3298 4.87/ 14,602 4.88/ 19,010 egulatory light thai 2. skeletal nuscle isoform Glycerol-3- 1463 7.88/ 42,000 6.42/ 37,648 phosphate dehydrogenase dehydrogenase (VAD(+1)), (TAD(+1)), (TAD(+1)), (TAD(+1)), (TAD(+1)), (TAD(+2)), (	Heat shock 4116 3.45/ 65,684 5.37/ 71,241 cognate 71 kDa protein hative damage in Select Quality Grade Myosin 3298 4.87/ 14,602 4.88/ 19,010 egulatory light inuscle isoform Glycerol-3- 1463 7.88/ 42,000 6.42/ 37,648 phosphate dehydrogenase dehydrogenase dehydrogenase tructose-1,6- 449 7.52/ 36,767 bisphosphatse isozyne 2	Heat shock 4116 3.45/ 65,684 5.37/ 71,241 cognate 71 kDa protein hative damage in Select Quality Grade Myosin 3298 4.87/ 14,602 4.88/ 19,010 egulatory light hain 2. skeletal nuscle isoform Glycerol-3- 1463 7.88/ 42,000 6.42/ 37,648 phosphate debyforgenase (INAD(+)), cytoplasmic Fructose-1,6- 449 7.52/ 36,767 bisphosphatase isozyme 2	Heat shock4116 $3.45/65,684$ $5.37/71,241$ cognate 71 kDaand the shock $5.37/71,241$ protein $3.296$ $4.87/14,602$ $4.88/19,010$ Myosin $3298$ $4.87/14,602$ $4.88/19,010$ Select Quality $3.298$ $4.87/14,602$ $4.88/19,010$ regulatory light $3298$ $4.87/14,602$ $4.88/19,010$ Inuscle isoform $3298$ $7.88/42,000$ $6.42/37,648$ Glycerol-3- $1463$ $7.88/42,000$ $6.42/37,648$ Inuscle isoform $6.429$ $7.52/36,767$ Clycerol-3- $1463$ $7.88/42,000$ $6.42/37,648$ Inuscle isoform $6.429$ $7.52/36,767$ Disphorghate $7.52/36,767$ $7.52/36,767$ Disphosphates $7.52/36,767$ $7.52/36,767$ Disphosphates $7.52/36,767$ $7.52/36,767$ Disphosphates $7.52/36,767$ $7.52/36,767$
tein ID ABV Prot		Increased oxidative d	(9120 HSPA8 Heat cognate prof		Increased oxidative d	)P571 MYLPF Myc regulato	chain 2, muscle i	chain 2, muscle i EA88 GPD1 Glyce phosy dehydrr [NAL cytopl	EA88 GPD1 chain 2, muscle i phosi dehydro (NAU (NAU Cytopl 2KJJ9 FBP2 Fructo bisphos isozy isozy	EA88 GPD1 chain 2, musclei GPD1 Glyce Gehydre (NAI (NAI Cytopl 2KJJ9 FBP2 Fructo bisphos isozy	EA88 GPD1 chain 2, muscle i phosp dehydro (NAL cytopl 2KJJ9 FBP2 Fructo bisphos isozy isozy	EA88 GPD1 chain 2, muscle i phosp dehydro (NAL cytopl 2KJJ9 FBP2 Fructo: bisphos isozy isozy	EA88 GPD1 Chain 2, muscle i phost dehydrr [NAL cytopl 2KJJ9 FBP2 Fructor isony isony	EA88 GPD1 Chain 2, muscle i phost dehydro (NAL cytopl isphos isony isony	EA88 GPD1 Chain 2, muscle i dehydro (NAD SKJJ9 FBP2 Fructo: jisozy isozy isozy	EA88 GPD1 Chain 2, muscle i phosp dehydro (NAL cytopl sisphos isozy isozy
Spot ID Prote	DGS		150 PI	SDC		390 Q0		245 Q5I	245 Q51 Q2	245 Q51 Q2	245 Q51 Q2	245 Q51 Q2	245 Q51 Q2	245 Q51 Q2	245 Q51 Q2	245 Q51 Q2
PI/Mw theoretical	SFC without D			SFC with DD		5 8.12/ 36,598		4 6.63 /42,989	4 6.63/42.989 6.42/37,648	<ul> <li>4 6.63 / 42.989</li> <li>6.42/ 37,648</li> <li>6.42/ 37,648</li> <li>6 7.60 / 47,096</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 6.63 /42.989</li> <li>6.42/ 37,648</li> <li>6.37 /47,096</li> <li>6.37 /47,326</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 6.63 /42.989</li> <li>6.42/ 37,648</li> <li>6.42/ 37,648</li> <li>6.37 /47,096</li> <li>6.37 /47,326</li> <li>8.48/ 44,538</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 6.63 /42.989</li> <li>6.42/ 37,648</li> <li>6.42/ 37,648</li> <li>6.37 /47,096</li> <li>6.37 /47,326</li> <li>8.48/ 44,538</li> <li>8.8.51/ 35,868</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 6.63 /42.989</li> <li>6.42/ 37,648</li> <li>6.42/ 37,648</li> <li>6.37 /47,096</li> <li>6.37 /47,326</li> <li>8.48/ 44,538</li> <li>8.51/ 35,868</li> <li>8 8.51/ 35,868</li> <li>0 5.13 / 89,330</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 6.63/42.989</li> <li>6.42/37,648</li> <li>6.42/37,648</li> <li>6.37/47,096</li> <li>6.37/47,326</li> <li>8.48/44,538</li> <li>8.51/35,868</li> <li>8.51/35,868</li> <li>8.51/35,868</li> <li>5.31/103,151</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 6.63 /42.989</li> <li>6.42/ 37,648</li> <li>6.37 /47,096</li> <li>6.37 /47,326</li> <li>8.48/ 44,538</li> <li>8.48/ 44,538</li> <li>8.51/ 35,868</li> <li>8.51/ 35,868</li> <li>5.31/ 103,779</li> <li>5.31/ 103,779</li> </ul>
PI/Mw ex- e perimental		Quality Grade			uality Grade	9.33/ 36,495		8.01/ 41,484	8.01/41,484	8.01/41,484	8.01/41,484	8.01/41,484	8.01/41,484 9.17/48,296	8.01/41,484 9.17/48,296 9.60/59,368	8.01/41,484 9.17/48,296 9.60/59,368 4.98/85,600	8.01/41,484 9.17/48,296 9.60/59,368
Score		Choice Q			Choice Qu	2382		2068	2068	2068 . 1475 9527	2068 1475 9527 4021	2068 1475 9527 4021 1453	2068 1475 9527 9527 4021 1453 379	2068 1475 9527 9527 4021 1453 379 379	2068 20527 9527 4021 1453 379 379 379 1514	2068 2068 9527 9527 4021 1453 379 379 1514 1514 1300
Protein		nage in USDA Upper 2/3 <sup>rd</sup>			image USDA Upper 2/3 <sup>rd</sup> C	L-lactate dehydrogenase A chain		Creatine kinase M-type	Creatine kinase M-type Glycerol-3-phosphate de- hydrogenase [NAD(+)], cytoplasmic	Creatine kinase M-type Glycerol-3-phosphate de- hydrogenase [NAD(+)], cytoplasmic Beta-enolase OS=Bos taurus	Creatine kinase M-type Gilycerol-3-phosphate de- hydrogenase [NAD(+)], cytoplasmic Beta-enolase OS=Bos taurus Alpha-enolase	Creatine kinase M-type Glycerol-3-phosphate de- hydrogenase [NAD(+)], cytoplasmic Beta-enolase OS=Bos taurus Alpha-enolase Phosphoglycerate kinase 1	Creatine kinase M-type Glycerol-3-phosphate de- hydrogenase [NAD(+)], cytoplasmic Beta-enolase OS=Bos Alpha-enolase Phosphoglycerate kinase Phosphoglycerate kinase posphate dehydrogenas(	Creatine kinase M-type Glycerol-3-phosphate de- hydrogenase [NAD(+)], cytoplasmic Beta-enolase OS=Bos Alpha-enolase Alpha-enolase Phosphoglycerate kinase Phosphoglycerate kinase Transitional endoplasmic reticulum ATPase	Creatine kinase M-type Glycerol-3-phosphate de- hydrogenase [NAD(+)], cytoplasmic Beta-enolase OS=Bos taurus Alpha-enolase Phosphoglycer ate kinase 1 Glycer ate kinase 1 Glycer ate kinase aternia dehydrogenase Transitional endoplasmic reticulum ATPase Alpha-actinin-3	Creatine kinase M-type Glycerol-3-phosphate de- hydrogenase [NAD(+)], cytoplasmic Beta-enolase OS=Bos taurus Alpha-enolase Phosphoglycerate kinase 1 Glycerate kinase 1 Glycerate kinase 1 Glycerate kinase Alpha-actinin-3 Alpha-actinin-3
ABV		d oxidative dam			ed oxidative dai	LDHA		CKM	CKM GPD1	CKM GPD1 ENOB_ BOVIN	CKM GPD1 EN0B_ EN01 EN01	CKM GPD1 EN01 EN01 PGK1	CKM GPD1 BOVIN EN01 PGK1 VIN	CKM GPD1 BOVIN EN01 PGK1 VIN TERA	CKM GPD1 BOVIN BOVIN EN01 PGK1 VIN TERA ACTN3	CKM GPD1 GPD1 BOVIN EN01 PGK1 PGK1 VIN TERA ACTN3 ACTN3
Protein ID		Increased			Increase	P19858		Q9XSC6	Q9XSC6 Q5EA88	Q9XSC6 Q5EA88 Q3ZC09	Q9XSC6 Q5EA88 Q3ZC09 Q9XSJ4	Q9XSC6 Q5EA88 Q3ZC09 Q9XSJ4 Q3T0P6	Q9XSC6 Q5EA88 Q3ZC09 Q9XSJ4 Q3T0P6 P10096	Q9XSC6 Q5EA88 Q3ZC09 Q9XSJ4 Q3T0P6 P10096 P10096	Q9XSC6 Q5EA88 Q3ZC09 Q9XSJ4 Q3T0P6 P10096 Q3ZBT1 Q3ZBT1	Q9XSC6 Q5EA88 Q3ZC09 Q9XSJ4 Q3T0P6 P10096 Q3ZBT1 Q0III9 Q3ZC55 Q3ZC55
Spot ID						282		249	249	249	249 218	249 218	249 218 181	249 218 181 88	249 218 181 88	249 218 181 88

Table 4. Characteristics of carbonylated protein spots derived from USDA Upper 2/3<sup>rd</sup> Choice or Select beef from steers fed steam flaked corn with or without distillers grains.

					PI/Mw	PI/Mw						PI/Mw	PI/Mw
pot ID	Protein ID	ABV	Protein	Score	experimental	theoretical	Spot ID	Protein ID	ABV	Protein	Score	experimental	theoretical
						SFC - USDA Upp	er 2/3 <sup>rd</sup> Cho	ice Quality G	rade				
		Increase	d oxidative damage without I	DDGS					Increase	ed oxidative damag	ge with DD0	SE	
455	Q0P571	MYLPF	Myosin regulatory light chain 2, skeletal muscle isoform	94	4.87/ 14,602	4.88/ 19,010	456	P02584	PFN1	Profilin-1	238	9.25/ 14,472	8.46/ 15,057
390	Q0P571	MYLPF	Myosin regulatory light chain 2, skeletal muscle isoform	3298	4.87/ 14,602	4.88/ 19,010							
335	Q3SZX4	CA3	Carbonic anhydrase 3	3126	9.46/ 28,237	7.71/ 29,370							
239	Q5KR49	TPM1	Tropomyosin alpha-1 chain	466	3.57/ 43,111	4.69/ 32,695							
	Q5KR48	TPM2	Tropomyosin beta chain	294		4.66/ 32,837							
179	P31800	UQCRC1	Cytochrome b-c1 com- plex subunit 1, mitochondrial	951	5.98/ 59,368	5.94/ 52.736							
					SFC – L	JSDA Select Qual	lity Grade						
		Increase	d oxidative damage without I	DDGS					Increase	ed oxidative damag	ge with DD0	SE	
100	P79334	PYGM	Glycogen phosphorylase, muscle form	1870	8.73/ 76,945	6.65/ 97,293							
109	P20004	ACO2	Aconitate hydratase, mitochondrial	518		7.87/ 85,359							

	•	
	Ì,	1
	2	4
	P	
ź	F	
	3	
	Ξ	
	ç	2
	٩	ļ
	Ξ	
	5	
	U	2
	٩	1
-	2	
ļ		
	ā	
	ú	5
	ç	
•	2	
	ì	
	c	4
	y	0
	h	1
-		
;	-	
1	ΰ	5
÷	-	
	2	1
1	F	
	2	5
_	è	
2	Ē	1
Ĩ	Ş	
	ř	•
	5	
	٩	•
	2	
•	F	
	3	2
	2	
	t	
	2	5
	ζ	j
-		
	à	j
	Ļ	2
	G	1
ς	÷	
	c	
	h	
	à	i
,	÷	
	٩	Ì
7	ç	Į
ς	q	
	ù	•
	÷	ŝ
	a	5
•	£	
	2	
	Ξ	
	ē	5
	f	
ć		l
	đ,	j
	đ	2
	9	
-	פפר ה	
-	and me	
-		
	Trom Dee	
	and thom her	
	and thom here	
	and trom here	
	and most bearing	
	and trom here	
	te derived trom hee	
	Ante derived trom hee	
	shots derived trom hee	
	and the derived them bee	
	in chots derived trom hee	
	and chots derived trom here	
	and though the second the second s	
	rotein chote derived trom bee	
	nrotein chots derived trom bee	
	and more derived from bee	
	and month benute derived from be	
	and month beneficial shore drame between the second s	
	lated mrotein shots derived trom bee	
	whated protein shots derived trom bee	
	and more derived shore derived trom bee	
	and most hears of the source of the second	
	rhonvlated nrotein chote derived trom hee	
	arbonvisted brotein enote derived trom here	
	carbonviated protein choic derived trom here	
	of carbon visted protein enote derived trom hee	
	of carbonylated protein choic derived from hee	
	the of carbonylated protein choic derived trom hee	
	stic of carbonviated protein enote derived trom hee	
	refic of carbonvlated protein enote derived trom hee	
	strictly of carbonylated protein enote derived from hee	
	teristic of carbonvlated protein shots derived from hee	
	otherictic of carbonylated protein choic derived from hee	
	racteristic of carbonvlated protein shots derived from hee	
	aracteristic of carbonylated protein shots derived from hee	
	haracteristic of carbonviated protein shots derived from hee	
	( haracteristic of carbonylated protein shots derived trom hee	
	(haracteristic of carbonylated protein shots derived from hee	
	and more therefore the second state of the sec	
	and mort hereiter of carbonylated protein endre for the standard of the	

Increased oxidative damage with DDGS			
	6.65/ 97,293	7.87/ 85,359	
	8.73/ 76,945		
DDGS	1870	518	
ed oxidative damage without	Glycogen phosphorylase, muscle form	Aconitate hydratase, mitochondrial	
Increase	PYGM	ACO2	
	P79334	P20004	.
	100	109	

SFC without DDGS diet resulted in more oxidative damage within the USDA Select beef, as well, when compared to USDA Select beef from diets containing SFC and DDGS. In this study, the effects of DDGS in SFC diets are contrary to the effects of DDGS in DRC diets, indicating the need for further investigation.

#### Conclusions

USDA Upper 2/3<sup>rd</sup> Choice beef was more tender and generally had increased oxidative damage in proteins, compared to USDA Select beef. This gives credence to the hypothesis that there is a relationship between marbling and tenderness which may be mediated through oxidative damage to proteins. Conflicting results were observed on the effects of DDGS when comparing DRC-based diets to SFC-based diets.

#### Acknowledgment

This project was funded in part by the Beef Checkoff.

Nicolas A. Bland, graduate student

Nicolas J. Herrera, graduate student

Felipe A. Ribeiro, graduate student

Morgan L. Henriott, former graduate student

Kellen B. Hart, former graduate student

Chris R. Calkins, professor, Animal Science, University of Nebraska–Lincoln