#### **Purdue University**

### Purdue e-Pubs

Department of Medicinal Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology Faculty Publications Department of Medicinal Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology

12-12-2019

## Identification of a PhenylthiazoleSmall Molecule with DualAntifungal and Antibiofilm ActivityAgainst Candida albicans andCandida auris

Haroon Mohammad Purdue University

Hassan E. Eldesouky Purdue University

Tony R. Hazbun Purdue University, thazbun@purdue.edu

Abdelrahman S. Mayhoub *Al-Azhar University* 

Mohamed N. Seleem *Purdue University* 

Follow this and additional works at: https://docs.lib.purdue.edu/mcmppubs

#### **Recommended Citation**

Mohammad, H., Eldesouky, H.E., Hazbun, T. et al. Identification of a Phenylthiazole Small Molecule with Dual Antifungal and Antibiofilm Activity Against Candida albicans and Candida auris. Sci Rep 9, 18941 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-55379-1

This document has been made available through Purdue e-Pubs, a service of the Purdue University Libraries. Please contact epubs@purdue.edu for additional information.

## SCIENTIFIC REPORTS natureresearch

**OPEN** 

# Identification of a Phenylthiazole Small Molecule with Dual Antifungal and Antibiofilm Activity Against *Candida albicans* and *Candida auris*

Haroon Mohammad<sup>1,7</sup>, Hassan E. Eldesouky <sup>1,7</sup>, Tony Hazbun<sup>2,3</sup>, Abdelrahman S. Mayhoub<sup>4,5</sup> & Mohamed N. Seleem <sup>1,6\*</sup>

Candida species are a leading source of healthcare infections globally. The limited number of antifungal drugs combined with the isolation of *Candida* species, namely *C. albicans* and *C. auris*, exhibiting resistance to current antifungals necessitates the development of new therapeutics. The present study tested 85 synthetic phenylthiazole small molecules for antifungal activity against drug-resistant *C. albicans*. Compound 1 emerged as the most potent molecule, inhibiting growth of *C. albicans* and *C. auris* strains at concentrations ranging from  $0.25-2 \mu g/mL$ . Additionally, compound 1 inhibited growth of other clinically-relevant yeast (*Cryptococcus*) and molds (*Aspergillus*) at a concentration as low as  $0.50 \mu g/mL$ . Compound 1 exhibited rapid fungicidal activity, reducing the burden of *C. albicans* and *C. auris* below the limit of detection within 30 minutes. Compound 1 exhibited potent antibiofilm activity, similar to amphotericin B, reducing the metabolic activity of adherent *C. albicans* and *C. auris* biofilms by more than 66% and 50%, respectively. Furthermore, compound 1 prolonged survival of *Caenorhabditis elegans* infected with strains of *C. albicans* and *C. auris*, relative to the untreated control. The present study highlights phenylthiazole small molecules, such as compound 1, warrant further investigation as novel antifungal agents for drug-resistant *Candida* infections.

Fungi are ubiquitous in nature with most species being innocuous to humans. However, species of *Candida* are responsible for the majority of fungal infections reported in the healthcare setting globally. *Candida* infections range from superficial skin lesions to oral thrush, vulvovaginal candidiasis, and bloodstream infections (BSIs). In hospital intensive care units (ICUs), species of *Candida* are the third leading source of infection, responsible for almost one-fifth of all ICU infections<sup>1</sup>. Within the general pediatric population, nearly 9% of all nosocomial infections in Europe and the United States are due to *Candida* species<sup>2,3</sup>. More specifically, *Candida* species are the fourth-leading source of BSIs in the general population<sup>4</sup>. Within the pediatric population, candidemia is the third-leading cause of BSIs<sup>2,3</sup>. Disseminated candidiasis has mortality rates reportedly ranging from 40–60% and results in nearly \$2 billion in health care costs annually in the U.S. alone<sup>5,6</sup>.

*C. albicans*, commensal of the gastrointestinal (GI) and reproductive tracts, oropharyngeal cavity, and skin of humans is the predominant species of *Candida* linked to opportunistic infections and remains the leading source of candidemia in ICUs worldwide<sup>4,7</sup>. However, the incidence of infections due to non-albicans species, including *C. glabrata*, *C. krusei*, and *C. parapsilosis*, has increased globally particularly in Australia, the United

<sup>1</sup>Department of Comparative Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Purdue University, 625 Harrison St., West Lafayette, IN, 47907, USA. <sup>2</sup>Bindley Bioscience Center, Purdue University, 1201 W State St., West Lafayette, IN, 47907, USA. <sup>3</sup>Department of Medicinal Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, College of Pharmacy, Purdue University, 575 Stadium Mall Dr., West Lafayette, IN, 47907, USA. <sup>4</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, 11884, Egypt. <sup>5</sup>University of Science and Technology, Nanoscience Program, Zewail City of Science and Technology, October Gardens, 6th of October, Giza, 12578, Egypt. <sup>6</sup>Purdue Institute of Inflammation, Immunology, and Infectious Disease, 610 Purdue Mall, West Lafayette, IN, 47907, USA. <sup>7</sup>These authors contributed equally: Haroon Mohammad and Hassan E. Eldesouky. \*email: mseleem@purdue.edu States, and in Europe<sup>4</sup>. Treatment of *Candida* infections is challenging for clinicians as only three antifungal drug classes are available – azoles, polyenes, and echinocandins. Though azoles, such as fluconazole, were the mainstay treatment option for *Candida* infections for nearly two decades, a decrease in susceptibility to azoles by *Candida* species has been reported<sup>5,8</sup>. Thus, recent guidelines in the U.S. and Europe have recommended the use of echinocandins as frontline treatment options for *Candida* infections, and fluconazole for step-down therapy, particularly for invasive infections in immunocompromised patients<sup>5,9,10</sup>. However, increased use of echinocandins has resulted in more strains of *C. albicans, C. glabrata*, and *C. parapsilosis* exhibiting reduced susceptibility to echinocandins<sup>4</sup>. The emergence of the highly drug-resistant *Candida* auris, first identified in 2009 from a patient in Japan, has compounded the treatment challenge associated with *Candida* infections. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has reported that nearly 90% of *C. auris* isolates exhibit resistance to fluconazole, nearly 30% resistance to amphotericin B, and less than 5% resistance to echinocandins<sup>11</sup>. The problem is worse in England where nearly all *C. auris* isolates exhibit resistance to fluconazole, almost 20% resistance to amphotericin B, and less <sup>13,14</sup>.

The limited number of antifungal drugs combined with the rise in resistance to current antifungals has resulted in the U.S. CDC categorizing drug-resistant *C. albicans* and *C. auris* as urgent public health threats for which new antifungal agents are needed<sup>15</sup>. This provided the impetus for us to evaluate a library of synthetic phenylthiazole compounds, developed in-house, for antifungal activity against clinically-relevant species of *Candida*. Screening identified one compound (1) as a promising lead compound with potent inhibitory activity against fluconazole-resistant *C. albicans*. The aim of this study was to investigate compound 1's antifungal activity against a wider panel of drug-resistant *Candida* isolates, ability to inhibit formation of and disrupt *Candida* biofilms, and to protect nematodes infected with drug-resistant, highly-virulent strains of *C. albicans* and *C. auris*.

#### Results

**Initial screening of phenylthiazole compound library against fluconazole-resistant** *C. albicans* **C** *albicans* **P6**0002, which exhibited high-level resistance to fluconazole (MIC >  $64 \mu g/mL$ ) (Table S1). Of the compounds screened, 55 were inactive (MIC >  $64 \mu g/mL$ ) while 18 compounds exhibited weak antifungal activity (MIC ranged from 16 to  $64 \mu g/mL$ ). Eleven compounds exhibited moderate-to-good antifungal activity with MIC values against *C. albicans* P60002 of  $2 \mu g/mL$  (for compound **10**),  $4 \mu g/mL$  (for compounds **2**, **3**, **11**, **17**, **21**, **23** and **65**), or  $8 \mu g/mL$  (for compounds **13**, **19**, and **36**). Only compound **1** exhibited potent antifungal activity against *C. albicans* P60002 (MIC =  $0.50 \mu g/mL$ ), similar to the control antifungal amphotericin B. Thus compound **1** was selected as the lead compound for further investigation.

**Evaluation of compound 1's antifungal activity against a wider panel of fungal isolates.** Compound 1 was tested against a panel of fungal isolates to determine its spectrum of activity (Table 1). First, compound 1 was evaluated against five additional *C. albicans* strains. The compound showed potent antifungal activity (MIC=0.50µg/mL) against two strains of fluconazole-sensitive *C. albicans* (MIC of fluconazole=0.50µg/mL) including one strain (NR-29365) exhibiting resistance to amphotericin B (MIC=2µg/mL). Against two additional *C. albicans* strains (NR-29446 and ATCC MYA-573) exhibiting high-level resistance to fluconazole (MIC > 64µg/mL), compound 1 was slightly less effective (MIC=2µg/mL). Compound 1 also showed similar activity (MIC=2µg/mL) against *C. albicans* ATCC 64124, a strain exhibiting resistance to both amphotericin B (MIC=2µg/mL) and fluconazole (MIC > 64µg/mL).

The activity of compound **1** was evaluated next against non-albicans species of *Candida*, including strains of *C. auris*, *C. glabrata*, *C. parapsilosis*, and *C. tropicalis* (Table 1). Compound **1** inhibited growth of all eight strains of *C. auris* at a concentration of  $2\mu g/mL$ . Fluconazole was ineffective against seven of the *C. auris* strains (MIC > 64 $\mu g/mL$ ) while amphotericin B's MIC values ranged from 0.50 to  $2\mu g/mL$  against the same strains. Against two strains of *C. glabrata* resistant to fluconazole, compound **1** (MIC ranged from 0.25 to  $1\mu g/mL$ ) was as effective as amphotericin B (MIC ranged from 0.50 to  $1\mu g/mL$ ). Against three strains of *C. parapsilosis* and *C. tropicalis*, compound **1** (MIC ranged from 0.50 to  $1\mu g/mL$ ) had similar potency to both fluconazole (MIC ranged from 0.50 to  $1\mu g/mL$ ) and amphotericin B (MIC=0.50 $\mu g/mL$ ). MIC data for fluconazole determined against all strains of *C. albicans* (with the exception of *C. albicans* ATCC 64124), *C. glabrata*, *C. parapsilosis*, and *C. tropicalis* were reported in a previous study<sup>16</sup>.

Of the nearly 1.5 million deaths attributed to fungal infections globally each year, most fatalities are due to species of *Candida*, *Cryptococcus*, and *Aspergillus*<sup>17</sup>. To gauge the spectrum of antifungal activity of the phenylthiazole compounds, we evaluated compound **1** against strains of pathogenic yeast (*C. neoformans* and *C. gattii*) and molds (*A. fumigatus*) (Table 1). Against the three strains of *Cryptococcus* tested, compound **1** (MIC = 0.50 µg/mL) was more potent than amphotericin B (MIC = 1 µg/mL) or fluconazole (MIC ranged from 2 to 8 µg/mL). MIC data for fluconazole against all strains of *Cryptococcus* were reported in a previous study<sup>16</sup>. Against three pathogenic strains of *A. fumigatus*, compound **1** (MIC ranged from 2 to 4 µg/mL) was as effective as amphotericin B (MIC = 2 µg/mL) while fluconazole was inactive (MIC > 64 µg/mL).

**Compound 1 has fungicidal activity against both** *C. albicans* **and** *C. auris.* To address whether compound **1** exhibits fungistatic or fungicidal activity, a time-kill assay was conducted. Against *C. albicans* P60002, compound **1** (at both  $2 \times MIC$  and  $4 \times MIC$ ) exhibited rapid fungicidal activity, reducing fungal viability below the limit of detection (10 CFU/mL) within 30 minutes (Fig. 1a). This was similar to the fungicidal effect observed with amphotericin B<sup>18,19</sup>. No fungal regrowth was observed in the presence of either compound **1** or amphotericin B during the assay (24 hours), indicating the absence of resistant mutants. A similar result was obtained when compound **1** and amphotericin B were evaluated against *C. auris* strain 390. Compound **1** and amphotericin B were both fungicidal with *C. auris* viability decreasing below the limit of detection (10 CFU/mL)

Fungal Strain	Compound 1	Amphotericin B	Fluconazole
Candida albicans NR-29351	0.50	0.50	0.50ª
Candida albicans NR-29365	0.50	2	0.50ª
C. albicans NR-29446	2	0.50	>64 <sup>a</sup>
C. albicans ATCC MYA-573	2	1	>64 <sup>a</sup>
C. albicans ATCC 64124	2	2	>64
Candida auris 381	2	0.50	1
Candida auris 383	2	1	>64
Candida auris 384	2	1	>64
Candida auris 385	2	2	>64
Candida auris 386	2	2	>64
Candida auris 387	2	1	>64
Candida auris 389	2	2	>64
Candida auris 390	2	2	>64
Candida glabrata ATCC MYA-2950	1	1	32 <sup>a</sup>
Candida glabrata ATCC 66032	0.25	0.50	16 <sup>a</sup>
Candida parapsilosis ATCC 22019	0.50	0.50	1ª
Candida tropicalis ATCC 1369	1	0.50	1ª
Candida tropicalis ATCC 13803	1	0.50	0.50ª
Cryptococcus gattii NR-43208	0.50	1	2ª
Cryptococcus gattii NR-43209	0.50	1	8 <sup>a</sup>
Cryptococcus neoformans NR-41292	0.50	1	8 <sup>a</sup>
Aspergillus fumigatus NR-35302	2	2	>64
Aspergillus fumigatus NR-35304	2	2	>64
Aspergillus fumigatus NR-41312	4	2	>64

**Table 1.** Antifungal activity (MIC, in  $\mu$ g/mL) of compound 1 against species of *Candida*, *Cryptococcus*, and *Aspergillus*. <sup>a</sup>MIC data previously presented in reference<sup>16</sup>.

within 30 minutes and two hours, respectively (Fig. 1b). Itraconazole (at  $4 \times MIC$ ) exhibited bacteriostatic activity against *C. auris* 390. Itraconazole (MIC = 1 µg/mL) was used in place of fluconazole as *C. auris* 390 is not sensitive to fluconazole. We were unable to conduct a time-kill assay for azole antifungals against *C. albicans* P60002 as this strain is highly-resistant to azoles, including to itraconazole (MIC > 16 µg/mL).

Investigation of compound 1's ability to inhibit Candida biofilm formation. A major virulence factor used by Candida species to evade the host immune response is the formation of biofilms. The three-dimensional structure of biofilms and the induction of drug efflux pumps hinders penetration of most antifungal drugs. The formation of biofilms by Candida on the mucosa or endothelium in humans or on the surface of implantable medical devices has been linked to recurrent infections and treatment failure with antifungal therapy<sup>20,21</sup>. Indeed Candida biofilms present on medical devices such as catheters and orthopedic implants often are recalcitrant to treatment with antifungal drugs with the only recourse often is to remove and replace infected devices<sup>20</sup>. We therefore tested the ability of compound **1** to inhibit formation of biofilms by both *C. albicans* and *C.* auris using a standard microtiter dish biofilm formation assay. Compound 1 exhibited dose-dependent inhibition of Candida biofilm formation. Against C. albicans P60002, at ¼ × MIC, compound 1 reduced biofilm formation by 61.7% while amphotericin B reduced biofilm formation by 68.6% (Fig. 2a), relative to the untreated control wells. At  $\frac{1}{2} \times MIC$ , compound 1 (93.4% reduction) was more effective than amphotericin B (77% reduction) at inhibiting C. albicans biofilm formation (P = 0.0014). Amphotericin B (88.7% reduction) and compound 1 (94.2% reduction) were equipotent at 1 × MIC in inhibiting C. albicans biofilm formation. Both compound 1 and amphotericin B were more effective at reducing biofilm formation by C. albicans than C. auris. When tested against C. auris strain 390, compound 1 was ineffective at subinhibitory concentrations as it inhibited biofilm formation only by 16.7% (at  $\frac{1}{2} \times MIC$ ) and 20.1% (at  $\frac{1}{2} \times MIC$ ) (Fig. 2b). Amphotericin B was more effective at  $\frac{1}{2} \times MIC$ and  $\frac{1}{2} \times MIC$ , as it reduced C. auris biofilm formation by 39% (P=0.0439; Fig. 2b) and 64.6% (P=0.0263). At  $1 \times MIC$ , both compound 1 (91.2% reduction) and amphotericin B (92.4% reduction) were equally effective at inhibiting C. auris biofilm formation (Fig. 2b).

**Compound 1 disrupts adherent** *Candida* biofilms. The ability of compound 1 to disrupt pre-formed adherent biofilm was tested using the XTT reduction assay. Compound 1 showed concentration-dependent disruption of *Candida* biofilm metabolic activity. Against *C. albicans* P60002, compound 1 reduced the metabolic activity of cells present in the biofilm by 5% (at  $1 \times MIC$ ), 9.7% (at  $2 \times MIC$ ; P = 0.0023), and 66.3% (at  $4 \times MIC$ ; P < 0.0001), relative to the untreated control (Fig. 3a). Amphotericin B was more effective at higher concentrations, where the drug (P < 0.0001) reduced metabolic activity of *C. albicans* present in the biofilm by 46.1% (at  $1 \times MIC$ ), 56.8% (at  $2 \times MIC$ ), and 76.6% (at  $4 \times MIC$ ), relative to the untreated control. Both compound 1 and



**Figure 1.** Time-kill analysis of compound 1, itraconazole, and amphotericin B. Test agents were evaluated against (**a**) *C. albicans* P60002 and (**b**) *Candida auris* strain 390 over a 24-hour incubation period at 35 °C. DMSO served as a negative control. Error bars represent standard deviation values. Dashed horizontal lines (---) represents the limit of detection (LOD) for the assay.

amphotericin B, at higher concentrations, had potent antibiofilm activity against *C. auris* strain 390. Compound 1 significantly reduced the metabolic activity of cells present in the *C. auris* biofilm by 14.3% (at  $1 \times MIC$ ; P = 0.0112), 15.5% (at  $2 \times MIC$ ; P = 0.0072), and 50.7% (at  $4 \times MIC$ ; P < 0.0001), relative to the untreated control (Fig. 3b). Amphotericin B significantly reduced activity of *C. auris* cells in the biofilm by 12.7% (at  $1 \times MIC$ ; P = 0.0212), 43.5% (at  $2 \times MIC$ ; P < 0.0001), and 71.6% (at  $4 \times MIC$ ; P < 0.0001), relative to untreated control.

Safety profile of compound 1 against mammalian cells. The toxicity of compound 1 to mammalian cells was evaluated using a kidney epithelial cell line (Vero) at concentrations ranging from 8 to  $64 \mu g/mL$ . No acute toxicity was observed for Vero cells exposed to compound 1, up to the maximum concentration tested, after two hours of exposure. When the exposure was increased to 24 hours, Vero cells appeared unaffected by compound 1 up to  $16 \mu g/mL$  (Fig. 4). This concentration is seven- to 31-fold higher than the MIC values for compound 1 against all strains of *Candida* tested.

#### Compound 1 is safe to nematodes and prolongs survival of nematodes infected with Candida.

After evaluating the safety profile of compound 1 to Vero cells, we evaluated the safety of compound 1 administered to *C. elegans*. Nematodes were exposed to 10, 20, 40, or  $80 \mu g/mL$  of compound 1, and survival was monitored daily (Fig. 5a). All worms exposed to either 10 or  $40 \mu g/mL$  of compound 1 survived throughout the duration of the study (four days). In the group exposed to  $20 \mu g/mL$  of compound 1, 97% of worms survived over four days (only one worm died). A concentration of  $80 \mu g/mL$  compound 1 was found to be toxic as 50% of worms died after just three days and 75% died within four days.

The efficacy of compound **1** was tested in a *C. elegans* model of *Candida* infection. As candidemia and disseminated candidiasis tend to occur in immunocompromised adults and children, we utilized *C. elegans* AU37 (sek-1; glp-4) strain (glp-4(bn2) nematodes, which are immunocompromised. Nematodes were infected with strains of *C. albicans* (P60002) or *C. auris* (strain 390) exhibiting high-level resistance to fluconazole (MIC > 64 µg/mL). Infected nematodes were subsequently treated with compound **1** (either 5 or 10 µg/mL), 5-Fluorocytosine (5µg/mL), or left untreated and monitored daily for survival. After the second day post-infection, 94% of *C. albicans*-infected nematodes in the untreated group died and all nematodes were dead by the third day (Fig. 5b). In contrast, more than 70% of nematodes infected with *C. albicans* treated with compound **1** (both at 5 and 10µg/mL) survived three days after infection. After four days, more than 70% of *C. albicans*-infected worms treated with either compound **1** (at 5µg/mL) or 5-Fluorocytosine were alive.

Less than 20% of nematodes infected with *C. auris* survived three days post-infection in the untreated group, and all were dead by the fourth day (Fig. 5c). Nearly half (48%) of nematodes treated with compound 1 (5µg/mL) survived three days post-infection and 30% of worms survived up to four days. A higher dose of compound 1 (10µg/mL) prolonged survival of infected nematodes as nearly 70% were alive four days post-infection, similar to 5-Fluorocytosine.

#### Discussion

*Candida* infections are a significant healthcare problem globally. The emergence of multidrug-resistant *C. auris* isolates worldwide has exacerbated this treatment challenge. The limited number of antifungal drugs combined with the development of resistance to these agents presents a challenge to treat invasive *Candida* infections. Existing antifungal drugs have a number of drawbacks including narrow antifungal spectrum, undesirable



**Figure 2.** Inhibition of *Candida* biofilm formation. Compound **1** and amphotericin B were evaluated for their ability to inhibit biofilm formation by (**a**) *C. albicans* P60002 and (**b**) *C. auris* strain 390. An overnight suspension of *C. albicans* was diluted in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with MOPS (to achieve a starting inoculum  $\sim 5 \times 10^5$  CFU/mL) and exposed to compound **1** or amphotericin B (at the indicated concentrations) or left untreated for 24 hours at 35 °C to permit biofilm formation. The biofilm was stained with 0.1% crystal violet, de-stained with ethanol, and biofilm mass quantified at OD<sub>595</sub>. Data represents percent</sub> inhibition of biofilm mass by compound **1** relative to the untreated control. Data were analyzed via an unpaired one-way t-test (*P* < 0.05). Asterisks (\*) indicate statistical difference between amphotericin B-treated and compound **1**-treated wells.

drug-drug interactions due to the inhibition or induction of cytochrome P450 enzymes (particularly for azoles), toxicity to host tissues (such as nephrotoxicity for polyenes and hepatotoxicity for 5-Fluorocytosine), and a lack of suitable oral formulations (namely for polyenes and echinocandins)<sup>5,10,22</sup>. Though several new antifungal agents are currently being investigated in clinical trials, the small number of antifungals with unique mechanisms of action combined with the low success rate of anti-infectives to receive regulatory approval necessitates the ongoing need to discover new antifungal agents<sup>5,10,23</sup>.

Thiazole compounds are often present in natural products and have been found to have potent anti-infective properties including as antibacterial, antimalarial, and antiviral agents<sup>24</sup>. Additionally, as discussed by Singh *et al.*, more than 20 FDA-approved drugs and over 70 experimental drugs contain the thiazole scaffold in their core structure, highlighting the importance of this scaffold in drug discovery<sup>24</sup>. Moreover, several phenylthiazole compounds have been reported to possess antifungal activity against *C. albicans* and species of *Cryptococcus*<sup>25-27</sup>. In the present study we evaluated 85 phenylthiazole small molecules, developed in-house, for antifungal activity against fluconazole-resistant *C. albicans* P60002. Previously these small molecules were extensively investigated as antibacterial agents against drug-resistant staphylococci and enterococci<sup>28–35</sup>. Interestingly, the most potent phenylthiazole compounds with antibacterial activity were generally less effective against *C. albicans*. For example, compounds **2** and **3** inhibited growth of drug-resistant *S. aureus* isolates at a concentration as low as 0.50 µg/mL and 0.70 µg/mL, respectively<sup>31,32</sup>. However, both compounds inhibited growth of *C. albicans* P60002 at 4 µg/mL. More than 70 of the phenylthiazole compounds tested exhibited weak antifungal activity (MIC ranged between 16 to 64 µg/mL) or were inactive against *C. albicans* P60002. Compound **1** was selected for further investigation as it was the molecule with the strongest antifungal activity (MIC = 0.50 µg/mL), with a potency similar to amphotericin B.

A limitation with certain first-generation triazole antifungals, such as fluconazole, is their narrow spectrum of activity. Recently, increased resistance to azoles has been observed both in non-*albicans Candida* species as well as in isolates of *A. fumigatus*<sup>10</sup>. Thus, we evaluated whether compound **1** would exhibit a limited spectrum of antifungal activity similar to fluconazole. Compound **1**'s antifungal activity was assessed against a broad range of *Candida* species (including strains of *C. auris*, *C. glabrata*, *C. parapsilosis*, and *C. tropicalis*) as well as against other important pathogenic fungi (namely species of *Cryptococcus* and *Aspergillus*). Against eight *C. auris* isolates tested, compound **1** inhibited growth of these strains at 2µg/mL which was superior to fluconazole (MIC > 64µg/mL for seven isolates) and nearly equipotent to amphotericin B (MIC ranged from 0.50 to 2µg/mL). Against *C. glabrata* isolates resistant to fluconazole (MIC ranged from 16 to 32µg/mL), compound **1** (MIC ranged from 0.50 to 1µg/mL). Compound **1** 



**Figure 3.** Antibiofilm activity of compound 1 and amphotericin B against mature *Candida* biofilm. Test agents were evaluated against (**a**) *C. albicans* P60002 and (**b**) *C. auris* strain 390 adherent biofilm evaluated with the XTT assay. Adherent biofilms were treated with either compound **1** or amphotericin B (both in triplicate) at the concentrations presented, over a 24-hour period. The percent metabolic activity for each treatment was calculated relative to untreated wells. Error bars represent standard deviation values. Asterisk denotes statistical difference between compound **1** and amphotericin B relative to the negative control (untreated wells) evaluated using a two-way ANOVA, with post hoc Dunnet's test for multiple comparisons (P < 0.05).



**Figure 4.** Toxicity of compound 1 against monkey kidney epithelial cells (Vero). Cells were exposed to compound 1 for 24 hours. Data represent percent viable cells after exposure to the compound (tested in triplicate) at 8, 16, 32, and  $64 \mu g/mL$  using the MTS assay. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was used as a negative control. Error bars represent standard deviation values. An asterisk (\*) denotes statistical difference between compound 1 and DMSO evaluated using a two-way ANOVA, with post hoc Sidak's multiple comparisons test (P < 0.05).

possessed similar activity as both fluconazole and amphotericin B against isolates of *C. parapsilosis* and *C. tropicalis* (MIC ranged between 0.50 and 1 µg/mL). Compound **1** was more potent than both amphotericin B and fluconazole against strains of *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *C. gattii* while compound **1** displayed similar antifungal activity to amphotericin B against strains of *Aspergillus fumigatus*. Overall, compound **1** displayed a broader spectrum of antifungal activity, compared to fluconazole, against species of *Candida*, *Cryptococcus*, and *Aspergillus*.

As invasive *Candida* infections tend to occur in immunocompromised patients, a fungicidal agent would be advantageous in reducing the burden of or completely eliminating the pathogen in infected patients<sup>36</sup>. Utilizing a standard time-kill assay, compound 1 (at both  $2 \times MIC$  and  $4 \times MIC$ ) demonstrated rapid fungicidal activity,





.....

reducing the inoculum of both *C. albicans* P60002 and *C. auris* strain 390 below the limit of detection within 30 minutes. Amphotericin B required two hours to achieve the same effect against both strains of *Candida*. Interestingly, compound **1** was previously found to exhibit rapid bactericidal activity *in vitro* against bacteria. Against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* USA300, vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* ATCC 700221, and vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecalis* HM-201, compound **1** completely eliminated the bacterial inoculum within two hours<sup>31,33</sup>. A concern with rapidly -cidal agents is they may exert their effect through disruption of the cell membrane, which may be non-specific and lead to toxicity to host tissues. However, compound **1** was previously shown not to physically disrupt bacterial cell membranes through standard cell leakage assays and through bacterial cytological profiling<sup>31,33</sup>. We also did not observe an increase in the leakage of intracellular contents when *Candida* cells were exposed to a high concentration of compound **1** (Supplementary Fig. S1), indicating the compound does not physically disrupt the integrity of the fungal cell membrane.

It has been reported by the National Institutes of Health that biofilms contribute to more than 80% of all microbial infections in the United States<sup>7</sup>. As noted earlier, biofilms tend to form on the surfaces of medical devices and host tissues. Both *C. albicans* and *C. auris* are capable of forming biofilms although *C. albicans* is a more prominent biofilm producer amongst all *Candida* species<sup>20</sup>. *C. auris* tends to form thinner biofilms due to the lack of pseudohyphae which may hinder its ability to attach to certain surfaces, a necessary initial step to form robust biofilms<sup>13</sup>. Current approaches to inhibit *Candida* biofilm formation in medical devices such as catheters include coating the surface with antifungal agents or the use of "lock therapy" whereby a high concentration of an antifungal agent slowly diffuses through the lumen of a catheter before insertion of the catheter into a patient<sup>20</sup>. As compound **1** was very effective in inhibiting growth of planktonic *Candida* cells, we investigated the compound's ability to inhibit *Candida* biofilm formation compared to inhibiting *C. albicans* biofilm formation. At  $\frac{1}{2} \times MIC$ , compound **1** was more effective than amphotericin B at reducing *C. albicans* biofilm formation by more than 93%. In contrast, compound **1** (at  $\frac{1}{2} \times MIC$ ) reduced *C. auris* biofilm formation by only 20.1%. Amphotericin

B (at  $\frac{1}{2} \times MIC$ ) was more effective as it reduced *C. auris* biofilm formation by 64.6%. Both amphotericin B and compound **1** successfully inhibited *C. auris* biofilm formation at  $1 \times MIC$  similar to the result observed against *C. albicans*.

Once biofilms form, they are very difficult to eradicate in part due to enhanced resistance to antimicrobial agents which can lead to persistent recurring infections in patients. Of the three antifungal drug classes used clinically to treat Candida infections, azoles tend to be the least effective at disrupting Candida biofilms while echinocandins and lipid formulations of amphotericin B are more effective<sup>37</sup>. Multiple factors contribute to the reduced sensitivity of Candida biofilms to antifungal therapy. However, the presence of persister cells and secretion of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) are key factors<sup>20</sup>. EPS plays a prominent role in decreasing diffusion of antifungal agents through the biofilm matrix and limits phagocytosis of *Candida* cells by host immune cells<sup>20</sup>. Finding antifungal agents capable of disrupting adherent Candida biofilms would thus be beneficial. Compound 1 previously was shown to be capable of significantly disrupting adherent biofilms formed by *Staphylococcus* epidermidis in vitro<sup>38</sup>. This motivated us to evaluate if the compound would be effective in disrupting Candida biofilms. Utilizing the XTT reduction assay, compound 1, at  $4 \times$  MIC, successfully reduced the metabolic activity of cells present in both C. albicans and C. auris adherent biofilms by 66% and 50%, respectively. Amphotericin B, at the same test concentration, reduced the metabolic activity of cells present in both C. albicans and C. auris adherent biofilms by 76% and 71%, respectively. Thus, in addition to compound 1 possessing potent in vitro antifungal activity against planktonic cells, the compound also possesses antibiofilm activity against both C. albicans and C. auris.

The promising antifungal and antibiofilm activity of compound **1** *in vitro* encouraged us to evaluate this compound in a preliminary *in vivo* nematode model of *Candida* infection. However, prior to testing compound **1** in nematodes, we evaluated the compound's safety profile to mammalian cells. Compound **1** was incubated with Vero (kidney epithelial) cells for either two or 24 hours before detecting viability of cells using the MTS assay. At the maximum concentration tested ( $64 \mu g/mL$ ), compound **1** was safe to Vero cells after two hours of exposure. When the exposure time was increased to 24 hours, more than 90% of Vero cells remained viable when exposed to compound **1** (at  $16 \mu g/mL$ ). At 32 and  $64 \mu g/mL$ , more than 60% of Vero cells remained viable after 24 hours of exposure to compound **1**. Previous reports have indicated that the IC<sub>50</sub> of amphotericin B, a nephrotoxic drug, to Vero cells is  $24.4 \mu g/mL$ , which suggests that compound **1** may be potentially safer to mammalian cells compared to amphotericin B<sup>25</sup>.

After confirming compound 1's safety profile to Vero cells, we moved to evaluate compound 1's antifungal efficacy in a C. elegans model of Candida infection. C. elegans is a well-established early-stage animal model for evaluating small molecules with anti-infective activity and has been used as an in vivo model for Candida infections previously<sup>39</sup>. An immunocompromised strain of C. elegans was infected with the highly-virulent strains of C. albicans P60002 or C. auris strain 390 and subsequently treated with compound 1 (at 5 or 10 µg/mL) or 5-Fluorocytosine (at 5µg/mL). The concentrations used for compound 1 were safe to C. elegans, as previously this compound was reported to be non-toxic to C. elegans up to a concentration of  $20 \,\mu g/m L^{33}$ . In this study, we monitored survival of nematodes exposed to compound 1 at concentrations ranging from 10 to 80 µg/mL. All worms exposed to compound 1 at 10 or 40 µg/mL survived for four days while 75% of worms exposed to 80 µg/ mL compound 1 died within four days. When nematodes were infected with Candida, compound 1 successfully prolonged survival of nematodes infected with either C. albicans or C. auris. Nearly 95% of untreated nematodes infected with C. albicans were dead by the second day post-infection and all remaining nematodes had died by the third day. In contrast, after four days post-infection, more than 70% of C. albicans-infected worms treated with either compound 1 (at 5 µg/mL) or the control antifungal drug 5-Fluorocytosine were alive. 5-Fluorocytosine is used clinically, often in combination with amphotericin B, for treatment of endocarditis, meningitis, and pyelonephritis caused by Candida species that are not susceptible to fluconazole treatment<sup>9</sup>. More than 80% of nematodes infected with C. auris died within three days post-infection and all were dead by the fourth day. In contrast, 30% of C. auris-infected nematodes remained alive when treated with 5 µg/mL of compound 1, after four days post-infection. We suspect that the enhanced efficacy of compound 1, at 5 µg/mL, to prolong survival of C. elegans infected with C. albicans compared to C. auris may be attributed to a difference in potency against C. albicans P60002 (MIC =  $0.5 \mu g/mL$ ) compared to C. auris strain 390 (MIC =  $2 \mu g/mL$ ). Indeed, when treated with a higher concentration of compound 1 ( $10 \mu g/mL$ ), nearly 70% of worms infected with C. auris survived up to four days post-infection. Amphotericin B was not used as a positive control in the C. elegans study because C. auris strain 390 is resistant to this drug. Furthermore, in a pilot study, amphotericin B (both at 5 and 10 µg/mL) was found to be ineffective in prolonging survival of C. elegans infected with C. albicans P60002.

We attempted to determine the antifungal mechanism of action of compound **1**. Synthetic compounds containing the thiazole ring have been shown to inhibit different molecular targets/processes in fungi including leucyl-tRNA synthetase, the fungal antioxidant system through increased generation of reactive oxygen species, and interference with the fungal cell wall<sup>27,40,41</sup>. Previous reports have also identified an array of genes involved in *Candida* biofilm formation, including genes corresponding to proteins involved in mannan and  $\beta$ -1,6-glucan synthesis, two important polysaccharides that form the EPS matrix in biofilms<sup>20,37</sup>. In order to identify its mechanism(s) of action, compound **1** was subjected to chemogenomic profiling against more than 6,000 heterozygous diploid deletion strains of the model yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. We previously used this method to determine the antifungal mechanism of action of a synthetic compound, a molecule currently in clinical trials, and an FDA-approved drug which displayed potent activity against different *Candida* species<sup>16,42,43</sup>. We were unable to determine the antifungal or antibiofilm mechanism of compound **1** via chemogenomic profiling. In bacteria, compound **1** interferes with cell wall biosynthesis through dual inhibition of the undecaprenyl diphosphate phosphatase and undecaprenyl diphosphate synthase enzymes<sup>33</sup>. We investigated if similar enzymes might be a target of compound **1** by testing *Candida* strains that were heterozygous for homologous genes encoding these enzymes. Strains heterozygous for a gene encoding a compound target should exhibit increased growth sensitivity than the isogenic wild-type strain<sup>42,43</sup>. We tested heterozygous strains encoding homologous genes in the dolicol phosphate metabolism pathway including dolichyl pyrophosphate phosphatase (*CWH8*/C1\_02250W\_A) and two enzymes with dehydrodolichyl diphosphate synthase activity (*RER2*/C5\_05330C\_A and *SRT1*/C1\_12270W\_A)<sup>44–46</sup>. None of these strains exhibited increased sensitivity compared to wild-type in a minimum inhibitory concentration assay (Supplementary Table S2) and in the viability of the strains after 24 hours incubation with the compound, both in standard (RPMI-1640) and nutrient-rich (YPD) media. *CWH8* and *RER2* may be essential in *C. albicans* based on a stable haploid-based large-scale survey whereas these genes are not essential in *S. cerevisiae*<sup>47</sup>. The lack of strain sensitivity suggests that these enzymes may not be the direct or sole target of compound **1**. Further investigation is needed to clarify the mechanism(s) of action of compound **1**.

#### Methods

**Synthesis of phenylthiazole compounds.** Compounds were synthesized and characterized as described in previous reports. The synthetic schemes for compounds 1-23<sup>29,31,35,48,49</sup>, compounds 24-31<sup>28</sup>, and compounds 32-85<sup>30,34,50,51</sup> are presented in the supplementary information file. Compounds were prepared as stock 10 mg/mL or 1 mg/mL solutions in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO).

**Fungal strains and reagents used in this study.** Clinical isolates of all fungal species (Supplementary Table S3) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA), BEI Resources (Manassas, VA, USA), or the U.S. CDC (Atlanta, GA, USA). Vero cells (strain NR-10385) were acquired from BEI Resources. Amphotericin B (Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ, USA), itraconazole (TCI, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), 5-Fluorocytosine (TCI, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), and fluconazole (Acros Organics, New Jersey, USA) were acquired from commercial vendors. Both amphotericin B and fluconazole were dissolved in DMSO to prepare stock 10 mg/ mL solutions. Yeast extract peptone dextrose (YPD, Becton, Dickinson, and Company, Sparks, MD, USA), RPMI-1640 (Gibco, Grand, Island, NY, USA), 3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid (MOPS, Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ, USA), phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, Corning, Manassas, VA, USA), minimum essential medium (MEM, Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA), sodium pyruvate (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), fetal bovine serum (FBS, Corning, Manassas, VA, USA), penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA), crystal violet (Acros Organics, New Jersey, USA), sodium 3'-[1-[(phenylamino)-carbony]-3,4-tetrazolium]-bis(4-m ethoxy-6-nitro)benzene-sulfonic acid hydrate (XTT, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), and 96-well plates (CellTreat, Pepperell, MA, USA) were all purchased from commercial vendors.

**Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC).** The MIC of compounds and control drugs was determined as per the guidelines by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute for yeasts (M27, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition) and molds (M38-A2)<sup>52,53</sup>. Microtiter plates containing fungi and compounds/drugs were incubated at 35–37 °C for at least 24 hours for *Candida* spp. and *Aspergillus* spp. or 72 hours for *Cryptococcus* spp. The MIC was confirmed via visual inspection of growth at each test concentration.

**Time-kill assay against** *Candida* **species**. Fungal suspensions of *C. albicans* P60002 and *C. auris* 390 cells were separately diluted to  $3.63 \times 10^4$  and  $7.2 \times 10^4$  colony-forming units per milliliter (CFU/mL), respectively. The suspensions were exposed to either compound **1** (at either  $2 \times MIC$  or  $4 \times MIC$ ), itraconazole ( $4 \times MIC$ ), or amphotericin B ( $4 \times MIC$ ) (in duplicate) in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with MOPS. At specific time points, a sample was collected, diluted in PBS, and an aliquot of each dilution was transferred to YPD agar plates. Plates were incubated at 35 °C for 24 hours before viable CFU/mL was determined.

**Investigation of compound 1's ability to inhibit** *C. albicans* or *C. auris* biofilm formation. Overnight suspensions of *C. albicans* P60002 or *C. auris* 390 were centrifuged ( $4000 \times g$  for five minutes), washed with PBS, and adjusted to a starting inoculum of  $\sim 5.0 \times 10^5$  CFU/mL in RPMI-1640 supplemented with MOPS, as described in a previous report<sup>16</sup>. Aliquots ( $150 \mu$ L) of fungal suspensions of *C. albicans* P60002 and *C. auris* 390 were separately transferred to each well of a 96-well tissue-culture treated plate. Compound 1 or amphotericin B (at least two replicates per test agent) were added and serially diluted to create a concentration gradient. The plate was incubated for 24 hours at 35 °C to permit biofilm formation, as described previously<sup>16</sup>. The inoculum was removed and biofilms were washed three times with PBS (to remove planktonic fungi). Biofilm mass was quantified ( $OD_{595}$ ) using the crystal violet reporter assay<sup>54</sup>. The biofilm inhibition data were analyzed via an unpaired one-way t-test (P < 0.05), utilizing GraphPad Prism 6.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA).

**Inhibition of metabolism in adherent** *C. albicans* or *C. auris* **biofilm.** Overnight suspensions of *C. albicans* P60002 or *C. auris* 390 were prepared as described above. Aliquots  $(150 \mu L)$  of the fungal suspensions were placed in each well of a 96-well tissue-culture treated plate and incubated for 24 hours at 35 °C to form adherent biofilm, as per a previous report<sup>16</sup>. The inoculum was removed and biofilms were washed three times with PBS (to remove planktonic fungi). Test agents (at least two replicates per agent) were added (in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with MOPS), serially diluted, and incubated with the *Candida* biofilms for 24 hours at 35 °C. The viability of cells in the biofilm was determined using the XTT reduction assay<sup>55</sup>. Data were analyzed using a two-way ANOVA, with post hoc Dunnet's multiple comparisons test (P < 0.05) (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA).

**Safety profile of compound 1 against Vero cells.** Compound 1 was evaluated against monkey kidney epithelial cells (Vero) to determine the potential toxic effect to mammalian cells *in vitro*, as described elsewhere<sup>16</sup>. Cells were cultured in MEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, and penicillin-streptomycin at 37 °C with  $CO_2$  (5%). Control cells received DMSO alone at a concentration equal to that in drug-treated samples.

The cells were incubated with compound 1 (in triplicate) in a 96-well plate at 37 °C with CO<sub>2</sub> (5%) for either two or 24 hours. The assay reagent MTS (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophe nyl)-2*H*-tetrazolium) (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) was subsequently added and the plate was incubated for four hours. Absorbance readings (at OD<sub>490</sub>) were taken using a kinetic microplate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). The quantity of viable cells after treatment with each compound was expressed as a percentage of the viability of DMSO-treated control cells (average of triplicate wells  $\pm$  standard deviation). The toxicity data were analyzed via a two-way ANOVA, with post hoc Sidak's multiple comparisons test (*P* < 0.05), utilizing GraphPad Prism 6.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA).

#### Infection of Caenorhabditis elegans with C. albicans or C. auris and treatment with compound 1.

L4-stage *C. elegans* AU37 (sek-1; glp-4) strain (glp-4(bn2) were used to assess the toxicity and efficacy of compound **1** to enhance survival of worms infected with either *C. albicans* or *C. auris*, using a previously described method<sup>16,43</sup>. For toxicity assessment, nematodes were exposed to either 10, 20, 40, or 80 µg/mL of compound **1** and survival of nematodes was checked and recorded daily for four days. For efficacy studies, fluconazole-resistant *C. albicans* P60002 or *C. auris* strain 390 was grown to log-phase ( $\sim 1 \times 10^7$  CFU/mL) in YPD broth. Nematodes (20–39) were added to the broth and infected with fungi for 90 minutes at 25 °C. Worms were then harvested by centrifugation, washed at least five times with sterile PBS, and subsequently treated with compound **1** (either 5 or 10µg/mL) or 5-Fluorocytosine (5µg/mL). One group of worms was left untreated as a negative control. Viability of nematodes was checked and recorded daily. Data are presented as percent survival of infected *C. elegans* utilizing a Kaplan-Meier survival curve generated using GraphPad Prism 6.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA).

Received: 25 September 2019; Accepted: 26 November 2019; Published online: 12 December 2019

#### References

- Vincent, J. L. et al. International study of the prevalence and outcomes of infection in intensive care units. JAMA 302, 2323–2329, https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2009.1754 (2009).
- Raymond, J. & Aujard, Y. Nosocomial infections in pediatric patients: a European, multicenter prospective study. European Study Group. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 21, 260–263, https://doi.org/10.1086/501755 (2000).
- 3. Wisplinghoff, H. *et al.* Nosocomial bloodstream infections in pediatric patients in United States hospitals: epidemiology, clinical features and susceptibilities. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* **22**, 686–691, https://doi.org/10.1097/01.inf.0000078159.53132.40 (2003).
- Lamoth, F., Lockhart, S. R., Berkow, E. L. & Calandra, T. Changes in the epidemiological landscape of invasive candidiasis. J Antimicrob Chemother 73, i4–i13, https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkx444 (2018).
- Bassetti, M., Righi, E., Montravers, P. & Cornely, O. A. What has changed in the treatment of invasive candidiasis? A look at the past 10 years and ahead. J Antimicrob Chemother 73, i14–i25, https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkx445 (2018).
- Wilson, L. S. et al. The direct cost and incidence of systemic fungal infections. Value Health 5, 26–34, https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1524-4733.2002.51108.x (2002).
- Nobile, C. J. & Johnson, A. D. Candida albicans Biofilms and Human Disease. Annu Rev Microbiol 69, 71–92, https://doi. org/10.1146/annurev-micro-091014-104330 (2015).
- 8. Pappas, P. G. et al. Guidelines for treatment of candidiasis. Clin Infect Dis 38, 161-189, https://doi.org/10.1086/380796 (2004).
- Pappas, P. G. et al. Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of Candidiasis: 2016 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 62, e1–50, https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/civ933 (2016).
- Wiederhold, N. P. Antifungal resistance: current trends and future strategies to combat. *Infect Drug Resist* 10, 249–259, https://doi. org/10.2147/IDR.S124918 (2017).
- 11. Division of Foodborne, W., and Environmental Diseases, National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Recommendations for identification of Candida auris*, https://www.cdc.gov/fungal/candida-auris/recommendations.html (2017).
- 12. Bishop, L. et al. Public Health England, London, UK (2017).
- Forsberg, K. et al. Candida auris: The recent emergence of a multidrug-resistant fungal pathogen. Med Mycol 57, 1–12, https://doi. org/10.1093/mmy/myy054 (2019).
- Lockhart, S. R. et al. Simultaneous Emergence of Multidrug-Resistant Candida auris on 3 Continents Confirmed by Whole-Genome Sequencing and Epidemiological Analyses. Clin Infect Dis 64, 134–140, https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciw691 (2017).
- CDC. Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States, 2019. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, CDC (2019).
- Mohammad, H. et al. Discovery of a Novel Dibromoquinoline Compound Exhibiting Potent Antifungal and Antivirulence Activity That Targets Metal Ion Homeostasis. ACS. Infect Dis 4, 403–414, https://doi.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.7b00215 (2018).
- 17. Brown, G. D. et al. Hidden killers: human fungal infections. Sci Transl Med 4, 165rv113, https://doi.org/10.1126/ scitranslmed.3004404 (2012).
- Klepser, M. E., Ernst, E. J., Lewis, R. E., Ernst, M. E. & Pfaller, M. A. Influence of test conditions on antifungal time-kill curve results: proposal for standardized methods. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 42, 1207–1212 (1998).
- Manavathu, E. K., Cutright, J. L. & Chandrasekar, P. H. Organism-dependent fungicidal activities of azoles. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 42, 3018–3021 (1998).
- Cavalheiro, M. & Teixeira, M. C. Candida Biofilms: Threats, Challenges, and Promising Strategies. Front Med (Lausanne) 5, 28, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2018.00028 (2018).
- Silva, S., Rodrigues, C. F., Araujo, D., Rodrigues, M. E. & Henriques, M. Candida Species Biofilms' Antifungal Resistance. J Fungi (Basel) 3, https://doi.org/10.3390/jof3010008 (2017).
- 22. Lazzarini, C. et al. Acylhydrazones as Antifungal Agents Targeting the Synthesis of Fungal Sphingolipids. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 62, https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00156-18 (2018).
- Vermes, A., Guchelaar, H. J. & Dankert, J. Flucytosine: a review of its pharmacology, clinical indications, pharmacokinetics, toxicity and drug interactions. J Antimicrob Chemother 46, 171–179, https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/46.2.171 (2000).
- 24. Singh, I. P., Gupta, S. & Kumar, S. Thiazole Compounds as Antiviral Agents: An Update. *Med Chem*, https://doi.org/10.2174/15734 06415666190614101253 (2019).
- De Logu, A. *et al. In vitro* activity of 2-cyclohexylidenhydrazo-4-phenyl-thiazole compared with those of amphotericin B and fluconazole against clinical isolates of Candida spp. and fluconazole-resistant Candida albicans. J Antimicrob Chemother 55, 692–698, https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dki084 (2005).
- Sa, N. P. et al. A phenylthiazole derivative demonstrates efficacy on treatment of the cryptococcosis & candidiasis in animal models. Future Sci OA 4, FSO305, https://doi.org/10.4155/fsoa-2018-0001 (2018).

- Singh, U. P., Bhat, H. R., Gahtori, P. & Singh, R. K. Hybrid phenylthiazole and 1,3,5-triazine target cytosolic leucyl-tRNA synthetase for antifungal action as revealed by molecular docking studies. *In Silico Pharmacol* 1, 3, https://doi.org/10.1186/2193-9616-1-3 (2013).
- Eid, I. et al. Arylthiazole antibiotics targeting intracellular methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) that interfere with bacterial cell wall synthesis. Eur J Med Chem 139, 665–673, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.08.039 (2017).
- Elsebaei, M. M. et al. Alkynyl-containing phenylthiazoles: Systemically active antibacterial agents effective against methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA). Eur J Med Chem 148, 195–209, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2018.02.031 (2018).
- Hagras, M. et al. Investigating the Antibacterial Activity of Biphenylthiazoles against Methicillin- and Vancomycin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA and VRSA). J Med Chem 60, 4074–4085, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.7b00392 (2017).
- Mohammad, H. *et al.* Discovery and characterization of potent thiazoles versus methicillin- and vancomycin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. *J Med Chem* 57, 1609–1615, https://doi.org/10.1021/jm401905m (2014).
- Mohammad, H. *et al.* Synthesis and antibacterial evaluation of a novel series of synthetic phenylthiazole compounds against methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA). *Eur J Med Chem* 94, 306–316, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2015.03.015 (2015).
- Mohammad, H. et al. Phenylthiazole Antibacterial Agents Targeting Cell Wall Synthesis Exhibit Potent Activity in Vitro and in Vivo against Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococci. J Med Chem 60, 2425–2438, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.6b01780 (2017).
- Seleem, M. A. *et al.* Second-Generation Phenylthiazole Antibiotics with Enhanced Pharmacokinetic Properties. *J Med Chem* 59, 4900–4912, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.6b00233 (2016).
- Yahia, E. et al. Phenylthiazole antibiotics: A metabolism-guided approach to overcome short duration of action. Eur J Med Chem 126, 604–613, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2016.11.042 (2017).
- Graybill, J. R., Burgess, D. S. & Hardin, T. C. Key issues concerning fungistatic versus fungicidal drugs. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 16, 42–50 (1997).
- Rodriguez-Cerdeira, C. et al. Biofilms and vulvovaginal candidiasis. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces 174, 110–125, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2018.11.011 (2019).
- Mohammad, H., Mayhoub, A. S., Cushman, M. & Seleem, M. N. Anti-biofilm activity and synergism of novel thiazole compounds with glycopeptide antibiotics against multidrug-resistant staphylococci. J Antibiot (Tokyo) 68, 259–266, https://doi.org/10.1038/ ja.2014.142 (2015).
- Anastassopoulou, C. G., Fuchs, B. B. & Mylonakis, E. Caenorhabditis elegans-based model systems for antifungal drug discovery. Curr Pharm Des 17, 1225–1233, https://doi.org/10.2174/138161211795703753 (2011).
- de Sa, N. P. et al. Antifungal activity directed toward the Cell wall by 2-cyclohexylidenhydrazo-4-phenyl-thiazole against Candida albicans. Infect Disord Drug Targets, https://doi.org/10.2174/1871526518666180531101605 (2018).
- Sa, N. P. et al. Heterocycle Thiazole Compounds Exhibit Antifungal Activity through Increase in the Production of Reactive Oxygen Species in the Cryptococcus neoformans-Cryptococcus gattii Species Complex. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 61, https://doi. org/10.1128/AAC.02700-16 (2017).
- Thangamani, S. et al. Ebselen exerts antifungal activity by regulating glutathione (GSH) and reactive oxygen species (ROS) production in fungal cells. Biochim Biophys Acta Gen Subj 1861, 3002–3010, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2016.09.029 (2017).
- Thangamani, S. et al. Repurposing Approach Identifies Auranofin with Broad Spectrum Antifungal Activity That Targets Mia40-Erv1 Pathway. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 7, 4, https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2017.00004 (2017).
- Chang, H. Y., Chou, C. C., Hsu, M. F. & Wang, A. H. Proposed carrier lipid-binding site of undecaprenyl pyrophosphate phosphatase from Escherichia coli. J Biol Chem 289, 18719–18735, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.575076 (2014).
- Fan, J., Jiang, D., Zhao, Y., Liu, J. & Zhang, X. C. Crystal structure of lipid phosphatase Escherichia coli phosphatidylglycerophosphate phosphatase B. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 111, 7636–7640, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1403097111 (2014).
- Ma, J. et al. Structural insights to heterodimeric cis-prenyltransferases through yeast dehydrodolichyl diphosphate synthase subunit Nus1. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 515, 621–626, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2019.05.135 (2019).
- Segal, E. S. *et al.* Gene Essentiality Analyzed by *In Vivo* Transposon Mutagenesis and Machine Learning in a Stable Haploid Isolate of Candida albicans. *MBio* 9, https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02048-18 (2018).
- ElAwamy, M. et al. Alkoxyphenylthiazoles with broad-spectrum activity against multidrug-resistant gram-positive bacterial pathogens. Eur J Med Chem 152, 318–328, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2018.04.049 (2018).
- Mohammad, H. et al. Antibacterial Characterization of Novel Synthetic Thiazole Compounds against Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus pseudintermedius. PLoS One 10, e0130385, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0130385 (2015).
- Hagras, M. et al. Naphthylthiazoles: Targeting Multidrug-Resistant and Intracellular Staphylococcus aureus with Biofilm Disruption Activity. ACS infectious diseases 4, 1679–1691, https://doi.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.8b00172 (2018).
- Kotb, A. et al. Phenylthiazoles with tert-Butyl side chain: Metabolically stable with anti-biofilm activity. Eur J Med Chem 151, 110–120, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2018.03.044 (2018).
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. M27-A3 Reference Method for Broth Dilution Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Yeasts; Approved Standard. (Wayne, PA, 2008).
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. M38-A2 Reference Method for Broth Dilution Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Filamentous Fungi; Approved Standard. (Wayne, PA, 2008).
- 54. O'Toole, G. A. Microtiter dish biofilm formation assay. J Vis Exp, https://doi.org/10.3791/2437 (2011).
- 55. Pierce, C. G. *et al.* A simple and reproducible 96-well plate-based method for the formation of fungal biofilms and its application to antifungal susceptibility testing. *Nat Protoc* **3**, 1494–1500, https://doi.org/10.1038/nport.2008.141 (2008).

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank BEI Resources for providing fungal strains utilized in this study. The authors would like to thank Dr. Larisa Avrimova (Purdue University), Dr. Waleed Younis (South Valley University, Qena, Egypt), Youssef A. Hegazy (Purdue University), and Ehab Salama (Purdue University) for assistance with biological experiments.

#### Author contributions

H.M. and H.E.E. designed and conducted the biological experiments and prepared all figures. A.S.M. designed and supervised the synthesis and characterization of all compounds. T.H. conducted the chemogenomic profiling and data analysis for compound 1. H.M. wrote the main manuscript text. M.N.S. assisted with data interpretation and editing the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-55379-1.

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to M.N.S.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019