Helgol Mar Res (2012) 66:295–306 DOI 10.1007/s10152-011-0270-3

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Pelagic cephalopods of the central Mediterranean Sea determined by the analysis of the stomach content of large fish predators

Teresa Romeo · Pietro Battaglia · Cristina Pedà · Patrizia Perzia · Pierpaolo Consoli · Valentina Esposito · Franco Andaloro

Received: 14 March 2011/Revised: 26 July 2011/Accepted: 28 July 2011/Published online: 10 August 2011 © Springer-Verlag and AWI 2011

Abstract The pelagic cephalopod fauna of the central Mediterranean Sea was investigated through stomach content analyses of large fish predators. A total of 124 Xiphias gladius, 22 Thunnus thynnus, 100 Thunnus alalunga, and 25 Tetrapturus belone were analyzed. Overall, 3,096 cephalopods belonging to 23 species and 16 families were identified. The cephalopod fauna in the study area is dominated by Sepiolidae, Ommastrephidae, and Onychoteuthidae. The sepiolid Heteroteuthis dispar was the most abundant species (n = 1,402) while the ommastrephid Todarodes sagittatus showed the highest biomass. They can be considered key-species in the pelagic food web of the study area. The neutrally buoyant Histioteuthis bonnellii, H. reversa, and Chiroteuthis veranyi seem to characterize the deeper water layers. Given the difficulty in sampling pelagic cephalopods, the presence of cephalopod beaks in the stomach of predators represents a fundamental tool to assess the biodiversity and the ecological importance of these taxa in the marine ecosystem.

Communicated by H.-D. Franke.

T. Romeo (⊠) · P. Battaglia · C. Pedà · P. Consoli · V. Esposito
Laboratory of Milazzo, ISPRA,
Italian National Institute for Environmental
Protection and Research, via dei Mille 44,
98057 Milazzo, ME, Italy
e-mail: teresa.romeo@isprambiente.it

P. Perzia · F. Andaloro ISPRA, Italian National Institute for Environmental Protection and Research, c/o Residence Marbela, via Salvatore Puglisi 9, 90143 Palermo, Italy **Keywords** Pelagic cephalopods · Beaks · Large pelagic predators · Mediterranean Sea

# Introduction

Knowledge of the pelagic cephalopod community has increased over the last decades thanks to improved techniques. However, there is still a significant lack of information on these animals' biology, distribution, and importance in the food web. This is mainly due to the difficulties associated with sampling, as conventional gears used in monitoring of the pelagic environment usually collect juvenile cephalopods, while adult specimens generally avoid being captured (Clarke 1996a).

Despite the difficulties in sampling, the ecological importance of cephalopods in the marine ecosystem has already been emphasized by several authors (Clarke 1996b; Bustamante et al. 1998; Piatkowski et al. 2001; Velasco et al. 2001). In particular, muscular squids are able to quickly convert their food into biomass and to grow rapidly. They, therefore, represent a significant source of energy for predators. Moreover, while most mid-water fishes do not grow bigger than 200 mm in length, many pelagic cephalopods grow up to larger sizes. They thus fill the gap between small fishes (i.e., myctophids, etc.) and large pelagic organisms, linking secondary production with higher trophic levels, as reported in energetic models of pelagic food webs (Clarke 1996b; Olson and Watters 2003).

Studies on the feeding habits of oceanic predators, including marine mammals and sea birds, revealed the actual role played by cephalopods in the pelagic food web (Amaratunga 1983; Clarke 1996b; Santos et al. 2001; Cherel et al. 2004). The identification of this taxon in the

stomach content of top predators is often achieved via a taxonomic classification of their beaks, because these are quite resistant to digestive processes (Clarke 1962a, b). In this way, it is possible to describe the occurrence of pelagic cephalopods in an area and to obtain precious information on the ecology and behavior of cephalopods (Bello 1996; Tsuchiya et al. 1998; Cherel et al. 2004; Lansdell and Young 2007). Although several studies underlined the significant presence of cephalopod prey in the diet of large Mediterranean pelagic fishes (Bello 1991; Bello 1999; Salman 2004; Sinopoli et al. 2004; Peristeraki et al. 2005; Sarà and Sarà 2007; Castriota et al. 2008; Consoli et al. 2008; Karakulak et al. 2009; Salman and Karakulak 2009; Romeo et al. 2009), data on the specific composition and distribution of pelagic cephalopod communities in the Mediterranean are still poor.

In the present paper, stomach content analyses of large predators were performed to assess the occurrence and distribution of cephalopods in the Central Mediterranean Sea (southern Tyrrhenian Sea and Strait of Messina). To select for the most effective "cephalopod collectors," data on the species' different ecology and feeding strategy were considered. Large pelagic species usually hunt across a specific water layer at varying-although sometimes overlapping-depth levels. Considering differences between species in diving behavior, feeding strategies, and occurrence in the study area, the following top predators were selected: (1) swordfish, Xiphias gladius Linnaeus 1758; (2) blue-fin tuna, Thunnus thynnus (Linnaeus 1758); (3) albacore, Thunnus alalunga (Bonnaterre 1788); and (4) Mediterranean spearfish, Tetrapturus belone Rafinesque 1810.

#### Materials and methods

# Study area

This study was carried out between 2002 and 2008 in the central Mediterranean Sea (southern Tyrrhenian Sea and Strait of Messina) (Fig. 1). A very small continental shelf and the presence of important fish resources (Palko et al. 1981; Di Natale et al. 2005; Andaloro 2006; Battaglia et al. 2010) consolidated a fishing tradition targeting large pelagic species, which use these areas for reproduction and nursery purposes (Palko et al. 1981; De Metrio et al. 2005). In fact, since ancient times this area has represented an important fishing ground for the local populations, where several types of fisheries have been employed: harpoon, hand lines, tuna traps, and in the last decades also driftnets and longlines (Lentini and Romeo 2000; Di Natale and Mangano 2008; Battaglia et al. 2010). The Strait of Messina, in particular, is well known as an important migration and feeding area of large pelagic species, where upwelling phenomena result in high nutrient concentrations and prey biomass (Guglielmo et al. 1995).

# Data collection

Stomachs were collected during commercial fishing activities within different research projects between 2002 and 2008 aboard boats using drifting long-lines (three different types of equipment targeting T. alalunga, T. thynnus, and X. gladius, respectively) and harpoon ("feluca" boats targeting X. gladius and T. belone). Each predator specimen



Mediterranean Sea

was measured and weighed (TW = total weight in kg) on board. Lower jaw fork length (LJFL, expressed in cm) was recorded for swordfish and Mediterranean spearfish, while fork length (FL, expressed in cm) was recorded for blue-fin tuna and albacore. Stomachs were immediately removed from the fish specimens and preserved in order to stop the digestion process, using three methods: (1) preservation in formalin/sea water solution for 24 h and subsequent transfer into 80% ethanol; (2) conservation in 70% ethanol; (3) freezing at  $-20^{\circ}$ C.

#### Laboratory analyses

Stomachs were dissected in the laboratory, and their content was examined under a stereomicroscope. Entire specimens or partially digested cephalopods were identified to the lowest possible taxa, following taxonomic features reported by Roper et al. (1984), Jereb and Roper (2005), and Guerra (1992). When classification turned out to be difficult, beaks were taken as the best means to identify the species. A large portion of cephalopods was determined by lower beak identification, since the beaks were often the only structures found in stomachs. Their classification was performed by identification keys (Wolff 1982, 1984; Clarke 1986; Lu and Ickeringill 2002) and by comparison with beaks of the ISPRA reference collection (Pedà et al. 2009).

The identified preys were counted and weighed; entire specimens were preserved in 70% ethanol, while beaks were immersed in a mixture of ethanol, glycerin, and water.

#### Data analyses

In order to trace back cephalopods' size and fresh weight, the lower rostrum length (LRL) for Teuthida and the lower hood length (LHL) for Sepiolidea and Octopoda were measured to the nearest 0.1 mm. When the wet mass of prey was not available (i.e., when it had already been more or less digested), this value was calculated using equations available from Wolff (1982, 1984), Clarke (1962a, 1986), Lu and Ickeringill (2002), Zumholz and Piatkowski (2005) or calculated from specimens preserved in the ISPRA reference collection (Table 1).

To assess the cephalopod abundance in the study area through diet information, the percent abundance (%N =number of prey i/total number of prey × 100), estimated weight percentage (%eW = weight of prey i/total weight of prey × 100), and frequency of occurrence (%F =number of stomachs containing prey i/total number of stomachs containing prey × 100) were calculated for each cephalopod prey taxon (Pinkas et al. 1971; Hyslop 1980), and for each predator species. Finally, in order to evaluate the importance of the prey mass for the diet of each predator, all cephalopods were grouped into four weight classes (small = 0-50 g; medium/ small = 51-100 g; medium = 101-300 g; large  $\geq 300$  g) and also into the following categories: muscular squids, buoyant squids, sepiolids, pelagic octopuses, and demersal octopuses. The percentage of each category per each mass group was calculated for each predator diet.

# Results

Overall, 3,096 cephalopods belonging to 16 families and 23 species (Table 2) were identified through the analysis of the stomach content of 124 swordfishes (LJFL range 65-225 cm), 22 blue-fin tunas (FL range 45-270 cm), 100 albacores (FL range 48-91 cm), and 25 Mediterranean spearfishes (LJFL range 120-189 cm). In terms species number, the most represented families in the study area were the Ommastrephidae (4) and the Octopodidae (3). With 1,402 specimens, the sepiolid Heteroteuthis dispar (Rüppell, 1845) was the most abundant species in the area, although its biomass was low due to the small maximum size of this species. Ommastrephidae, especially Todarodes sagittatus (Lamarck 1798) and Illex coindetii (Vérany 1839), and Onychoteuthidae as Onychoteuthis banksii (Leach 1817) and Ancistroteuthis lichtensteinii (Férussac and d'Orbigny 1835) represented a consistent part of the local cephalopod fauna. The highest values of biomass were estimated for T. sagittatus (46,098.2 g). Similar values were reached by Thysanoteuthis rhombus Troschel 1857, but these resulted from just a few (n = 6) large individuals (Table 2).

A total of 1,032 cephalopods were recorded from swordfish (8.3 prey/predator), 131 from bluefin tuna (5.9 prey/predator), 1,876 from albacore (18.8 prey/predator), and 57 from Mediterranean spearfish (2.3 prey/predator) (Table 3). The cephalopods T. sagittatus, O. banksii, I. coindetii, Histioteuthis reversa (Verrill 1880), Ancistrocheirus lesueurii (Férussac and d'Orbigny 1842), and Argonauta argo (Linnaeus 1758) were preyed by all pelagic fish species studied. In contrast, some taxa were found only in the stomachs of a single predator species: Abralia veranyi (Rüppell 1844), Galiteuthis armata (Joubin 1898), and Octopoteuthis cfr. sicula (Rüppell 1844) in swordfish; Todaropsis eblanae in bluefin tuna; Alloteuthis subulata (Lamarck 1798) and Scaeurgus unicirrhus (Delle Chiaje 1840) in albacore. Table 3 also shows the average values of beak size (LRL or LHL in mm) and body mass (eW in g) for each cephalopod.

The abundance percentage (%N), estimated weight percentage (%eW), and frequency of occurrence (%F) of cephalopod species and families are listed in Table 4.

Table 1 Equations used	to rebuild body mass fror	n beak size (LRL or LHL) for each	species		
Superorder and order	Family	Species	Equation	References	No. of individuals
Octopodiformes					
Octopoda	Octopodidae	Eledone cirrhosa	$\ln W = 1.68 + 2.85 * \ln LHL$	Clarke (1986)	214
		Pteroctopus tetracirrhus	W = 0.951 + 0.928 * LHL	Present paper (only juveniles)	11
		Scaeurgus unicirrhus	W = 0.943 + 0.937 * LHL	Present paper (only juveniles)	6
	Argonautidae	Argonauta argo	ln W =- 0.545 + 3.26 * ln LHL	Present paper	10
	Ocythoidae	Ocythoe tuberculata	$\ln W = -1.05 + 2.51 * \ln LHL$	Lu and Ickeringill (2002)	16
	Tremoctopodidae	Tremoctopus violaceus	$\ln W = 0.390 + 2.829 * \ln LHL$	Present paper	8
Decapodiformes					
Oegopsida	Brachioteuthidae	Brachioteuthis riisei	$\ln W = -0.81 + 2.94 * \ln LRL$	Lu and Ickeringill (2002)	25
	Chiroteuthidae	Chiroteuthis veranyi	$\ln W = -0.241 + 2.7 * \ln LRL$	Clarke (1980)	14
	Cranchiidae	Galiteuthis armata	$\ln W = 0.700 + 2.233 * \ln LRL$	Present paper	5
	Ancistrocheiridae	Ancistrocheirus lesueurii	$\ln W = -0.194 + 3.56 * \ln LRL$	Clarke (1980)	21
	Enoploteuthidae	Abralia veranyi	$\ln W = 0.979 + 2.304 * \ln LRL$	Present paper	5
	Histioteuthidae	Histioteuthis bonnellii	$\ln W = 1.594 + 2.31 * \ln LRL$	Clarke (1986)	I
		Histioteuthis reversa	ln W = 1.41 + 2.35 * ln LRL	Lu and Ickeringill (2002)	10
	Octopoteuthidae	Octopoteuthis cfr sicula	$\ln W = 0.23 + 2.54 * \ln LRL$	Lu and Ickeringill (2002)	6
	Ommastrephidae	Illex coindetii	$\ln W = 1.174 + 2.47 * \ln LRL$	Clarke (1962a, b)	14
		Omnastrephes bartrami	$\ln W = 1.834 + 2.07 * \ln LRL$	Wolff (1982)	I
		Todarodes sagittatus	$\ln W = 0.783 + 2.83 * \ln LRL$	Clarke (1962a, b)	I
		Todaropsis eblanae	$\ln W = 1.066 + 2.724 * \ln LRL$	Zumholz and Piatkowski (2005)	313
	Onychoteuthidae	Ancistroteuthis lichtensteinii	$\ln W = 0.09 + 3.23 * \ln LRL$	Lu and Ickeringill (2002)	18
		Onychoteuthis banksii	$\ln W = 0.58 + 3.7 * \ln LRL$	Wolff (1984)	I
	Thysanoteuthidae	Thysanoteuthis rhombus	$\ln W = 2.855 + 3.06 * \ln LRL$	Clarke (1962a, b)	7
Myopsida	Loliginidae	Alloteuthis subulata	$\ln W = 2 + 2.75 * \ln LRL$	Clarke (1986)	116
Sepioidea	Sepiolidae	Heteroteuthis dispar	$\ln W = 1.033 + 2.527 * \ln LRL$	Present paper	14

D Springer

**Table 2** Total number (N) and estimated weight (eW) of each cephalopod species identified from the stomach contents of large pelagic predators caught in the central Mediterranean, together mean values of beak size (LRL or LHL in mm) and estimated cephalopod weight (eW)

Superorder	Family	Cephalopod species	Ν	eW(g)	LRL/LHL (mm)		<i>eW</i> (g)		
and order					Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Octopodifor	mes								
Octopoda	Octopodidae	Eledone cirrhosa (Lamarck, 1798)	2	4.8	0.8	-	2.4	-	
		Pteroctopus tetracirrhus (Delle Chiaje, 1830)	67	104.2	0.7	0.2	1.6	0.2	
		Scaeurgus unicirrhus (Delle Chiaje, 1840)	30	45.1	0.6	0.1	1.5	0.1	
	Argonautidae	Argonauta argo Linnaeus, 1758	47	456.2	2.1	1.5	8.6	12.2	
	Ocythoidae	Ocythoe tuberculata Rafinesque, 1814	18	106.7	2.1	1.8	5.5	7.5	
	Tremoctopodidae	Tremoctopus violaceus Delle Chiaje, 1830	81	11,192.6	2.5	1.5	138.2	509.9	
Decapodifor	mes								
Oegopsida	Brachioteuthidae	Brachioteuthis riisei (Steenstrup, 1882)	6	38.8	2.4	0.4	6.5	2.7	
	Chiroteuthidae	Chiroteuthis veranyi (Férussac, 1835)	20	260.1	2.4	1.1	13.0	18.6	
	Cranchiidae	Galiteuthis armata Joubin, 1898	16	178.1	2.6	0.9	11.1	17.4	
	Ancistrocheiridae	Ancistrocheirus lesueurii (Férussac and d'Orbigny, 1842)	16	1,493.7	2.0	2.1	92.9	189.5	
	Enoploteuthidae	Abralia veranyi (Rüppell, 1844)	4	8.7	1.5	0.4	2.2	1.2	
	Histioteuthidae	Histioteuthis bonnellii (Férussac, 1835)	21	797.4	2.1	1.0	38.0	52.3	
		Histioteuthis reversa (Verrill, 1880)	27	1053.2	2.9	0.7	55.7	29.8	
	Octopoteuthidae	Octopoteuthis cfr sicula Rüppell, 1844	1	935.3	_	-	-	_	
	Ommastrephidae	Illex coindetii (Vérany, 1839)	152	11,259.8	3.1	1.6	74.1	65.2	
		Ommastrephes bartrami (Lesueur, 1821)	39	6,611.5	4.2	2.5	169.5	256.9	
		Todarodes sagittatus (Lamarck, 1798)	565	46,098.2	2.7	1.8	81.6	122.5	
		Todaropsis eblanae (Ball, 1841)	2	273.8	3.7	-	136.9	_	
	Onychoteuthidae	Ancistroteuthis lichtensteinii (Férussac and d'Orbigny, 1835)	302	11,335.0	2.4	1.2	37.5	53.5	
		Onychoteuthis banksii (Leach, 1817)	270	2,587.1	1.2	0.6	9.6	22.5	
	Thysanoteuthidae	Thysanoteuthis rhombus Troschel, 1857	6	45,192.0	5.6	3.7	7,532.0	11,263.5	
Myopsida	Loliginidae	Alloteuthis subulata (Lamarck, 1798)	2	7.7	0.8	-	3.9	-	
Sepioidea	Sepiolidae	Heteroteuthis dispar (Rüppell, 1845)	1,402	1,317.9	0.9	0.2	0.8	1.3	

*T. sagittatus* (%N = 30.52; %eW = 36.53; %F = 62.9) and *A. lichtensteinii* (%N = 19.57; %eW = 8.69; %F = 48.4) were the most important cephalopods detected in swordfish stomachs, whereas blue-fin tuna preyed mainly on *Tremoctopus violaceus* Delle Chiaje 1830 (%N = 36.64; %eW =37.86; %F = 36.4) and *T. sagittatus* (%N = 19.85; %eW =6.80; %F = 59.1). *H. dispar* (%N = 65.03; %eW = 24.04; %F = 66.0) was found to be the preferential prey for albacore, followed by *T. sagittatus* (%N = 11.78; %eW =24.10; %F = 46.0) and *O. banksii* (%N = 9.65; %eW =24.43; %F = 57.0). Mediterranean spearfish preyed mostly on the epipelagic cephalopod *T. violaceus* (%N = 24.56; %eW = 22.29; %F = 1.8) and the ommastrephid *I. coindetii* (%N = 22.81; %eW = 23.08; %F = 1.5).

The analysis of cephalopod body mass in predator diet shows a clear dominance of muscular squids of all weight classes (0-50 g; 51-100 g; 101-300 g; >300 g) in sword-fish and blue-fin tuna food items (Fig. 2). These

cephalopods were less represented in samples collected from Mediterranean spearfish, as this fish also preyed on pelagic octopuses and buoyant squids. The pelagic octopuses constituted a consistent part of blue-fin tuna prey for all weight classes. The albacore showed selective feeding on small prey (99.6% of total prey), in particular sepiolids (65.0%). Moreover, this predator is able to collect also juvenile specimens of demersal octopuses (5.1%), which have not yet settled on the bottom.

# Discussion

The present study investigated the presence and distributional patterns of pelagic cephalopods by assessing the importance of these species in the diet of large predatory fish, which are considered efficient "cephalopod collectors." In fact, the analysis of the stomach content of apex

Mediterranean (SWO :	= Swordfish; BFT -	= Bluefin tuna; ALB = Albacor	e; MSP	= Medit	terranean s	pearfish), tog	ether mea	n values of	beak	size in m	n (LRL o	r LHL)		
Superorder and order	Family	Cephalopod species	OMS						BFT					
			Ν	LRL/LI	HL (mm)	<i>eW</i> (g)			Ν	LRL/LH	L (mm)	eW(g)		
				Mean	SD	Tot	Mean	SD		Mean	SD	Tot	Mean	SD
Octopodiformes														
Octopoda	Octopodidae	Eledone cirrhosa	1	I	I	2.1	I	I	0			0		
		Pteroctopus tetracirrhus	3	0.8	0.1	5.2	1.7	0.1	0			0		
		Scaeurgus unicirrhus	0			0			0			0		
	Argonautidae	Argonauta argo	14	2.5	1.2	124.3	8.9	11.9	4	3.8	0.9	86.9	21.7	12.0
	Ocythoidae	Ocythoe tuberculata	11	2.9	1.9	96.4	8.8	8.2	0			0		
	Tremoctopodidae	Tremoctopus violaceus	19	2.7	2.2	4,979.8	262.1	901.1	48	2.5	1.3	5,502.0	114.6	348.0
Decapodiformes														
Oegopsida	Brachioteuthidae	Brachioteuthis riisei	9	2.4	0.4	38.8	6.5	2.7	0			0		
	Chiroteuthidae	Chiroteuthis veranyi	19	2.3	0.8	177.8	9.4	9.2	1	I	I	82.3	I	I
	Cranchiidae	Galiteuthis armata	16	2.6	0.9	178.1	11.1	17.4	0			0		
	Ancistrocheiridae	Ancistrocheirus lesueurii	ŝ	2.6	3.0	515.7	171.9	296.8	1	I	I	1.0	I	I
	Enoploteuthidae	Abralia veranyi	4	1.5	0.4	8.7	2.2	1.2	0			0		
	Histioteuthidae	Histioteuthis bonnellii	13	2.1	0.6	393.9	30.3	21.3	-	I	I	247.4	I	I
		Histioteuthis reversa	19	2.9	0.6	1,041.7	54.8	24.6	Э	2.6	0.9	126.4	42.1	35.5
	Octopoteuthidae	Octopoteuthis cfr sicula	1	I	I	935.3	I	I	0			0		
	Ommastrephidae	Illex coindetii	103	3.7	1.2	9,916.1	96.3	62.4	4	2.9	1.4	243.3	60.8	55.6
		Ommastrephes bartrami	35	4.1	2.5	5,635.0	161.0	253.0	4	5.1	3.3	976.4	244.1	319,3
		Todarodes sagittatus	315	3.8	1.6	43,923.1	139.4	138.0	26	2.3	1.1	988.5	38.0	50.9
		Todaropsis eblanae	0			0			0	3.7	I	273.8	136.9	I
	Onychoteuthidae	Ancistroteuthis lichtensteinii	202	2.9	1.1	10,397.5	51.5	57.7	6	2.7	1.4	434.6	48.3	59.4
		Onychoteuthis banksii	71	1.4	0.6	885.7	12.5	27.0	17	1.5	0.9	468.0	27.5	45.3
	Thysanoteuthidae	Thysanoteuthis rhombus	5	5.4	4.1	40,100.8	8,020.2	12,521.8	1	I	I	5,091.2	I	I
Myopsida	Loliginidae	Alloteuthis subulata	0			0			0			0		
Sepioidea	Sepiolidae	Heteroteuthis dispar	172	1.0	0.3	224.9	1.3	3.3	10	0.8	0.2	9.3	0.5	0.4
	Total cephalopods	t per predator	1,032			119,580.8			131			14,531.2		

Superorder and order	Family	Cephalopod species	ALB						ISM	0				
			Ν	LRL/LH	L (mm)	<i>eW</i> (g)			Ν	LRL/LH	L (mm)	$eW(\mathbf{g})$		
				Mean	SD	Tot	Mean	SD		Mean	SD	Tot	Mean	SD
Octopodiformes														
Octopoda	Octopodidae	Eledone cirrhosa	1	I	I	2.7	I	I	0			0		
		Pteroctopus tetracirrhus	64	0.6	0.2	99.1	1.6	0.1	0			0		
		Scaeurgus unicirrhus	30	9.0	0.1	45.1	1.5	0.1	0			0		
	Argonautidae	Argonauta argo	18	0.6	0.2	51.7	0.1	0.1	11	2.1	0.9	193.4	17.6	13.0
	Ocythoidae	Ocythoe tuberculata	7	0.9	0.5	10.3	0.5	0.7	0			0		
	Tremoctopodidae	Tremoctopus violaceus	0			0			14	2.0	1.0	710.8	50.8	71.9
Decapodiformes														
Oegopsida	Brachioteuthidae	Brachioteuthis riisei	0			0			0			0		
	Chiroteuthidae	Chiroteuthis veranyi	0			0			0			0		
	Cranchiidae	Galiteuthis armata	0			0			0			0		
	Ancistrocheiridae	Ancistrocheirus lesueurii	8	0.7	0.3	9.4	0.4	0.6	4	4.4	1.8	967.5	241.9	243.6
	Enoploteuthidae	Abralia veranyi	0			0			0			0		
	Histioteuthidae	Histioteuthis bonnellii	0			0			Г	1.7	0.8	156.1	22.3	21.9
		Histioteuthis reversa	1	I	I	142.6	I	Ι	4	2.8	0.6	192.6	48.1	21.3
	Octopoteuthidae	Octopoteuthis cfr sicula	0			0			0			0		
	Ommastrephidae	Illex coindetii	32	1.1	0.8	364.5	11.4	24.1	13	2.7	1.6	735.8	56.6	55.0
		Ommastrephes bartrami	0			0			0			0		
		Todarodes sagittatus	221	1.2	0.5	1,086.4	4.9	6.9	б	2.3	1.2	100.2	33.4	27.7
		Todaropsis eblanae	0			0			0			0		
	Onychoteuthidae	Ancistroteuthis lichtensteini	91	1.2	0.6	502.9	5.5	18.5	0			0		
		Onychoteuthis banksii	181	1.1	0.5	1,101.3	6.1	12.8	1	I	I	132.1	I	I
	Thysanoteuthidae	Thysanoteuthis rhombus	0			0			0			0		
Myopsida	Loliginidae	Alloteuthis subulata	2	0.8	I	T.T	3.9	I	0			0		
Sepioidea	Sepiolidae	Heteroteuthis dispar	1,220	0.9	0.2	1,083.6	0.7	0.5	0			0		
	Total cephalopods	per predator	1,876			4,507.3			57			3,188.4		

Table 3 continued

**Table 4** Abundance percentage (% N), estimated weight percentage (% eW) and frequency of occurrence (% F) of cephalopod prey (species and family) identified from the stomach contents of large

pelagic predators caught in the central Mediterranean (SWO = Swordfish; BFT = Blue-fin tuna; ALB = Albacore; MSP = Mediterranean spearfish)

Superorder and order	Prey types	SWO	)		BFT			ALB			MSP		
		%N	%eW	%F	%N	%eW	%F	%N	%eW	%F	%N	%eW	%F
Octopodiformes													
Octopoda	Octopodidae	0.4	< 0.1	2.4	_	-	_	5.1	3.3	24.0	_	-	_
	E. cirrhosa	0.1	< 0.1	0.8	-	-	-	0.1	0.1	1.0	-	-	-
	P. tetracirrhus	0.3	< 0.1	1.6	-	-	-	3.4	2.2	24.0	-	-	-
	S. unicirrhus	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.6	1.0	5.0	-	-	-
	Argonautidae (A. argo)	1.4	0.1	7.3	3.1	0.6	18.2	1.0	1.1	10.0	19.3	6.1	2.0
	Ocythoidae (O. tuberculata)	1.1	0.1	5.6	_	-	_	0.4	0.2	4.0	_	-	_
	Tremoctopodidae (T. violaceus)	1.8	4.2	4.8	36.6	37.9	36.4	_	-	_	24.6	22.3	1.8
Decapodiformes													
Oegopsida	Brachioteuthidae (B. riisei)	0.6	< 0.1	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
	Chiroteuthidae (C. veranyi)	1.8	0.1	5.6	0.8	0.6	4.5	-	-	-	_	-	_
	Cranchiidae (G. armata)	1.6	0.1	6.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
	Ancistrocheiridae (A. lesueurii)	0.3	0.4	2.4	0.8	< 0.1	4.5	0.4	0.2	7.0	7.0	30.3	0.8
	Enoploteuthidae (A. veranyi)	0.4	< 0.1	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
	Histioteuthidae	3.1	1.2	15.3	3.1	2.6	13.6	0.1	3.2	1.0	19.3	10.9	1.5
	H. bonnellii	1.3	0.3	7.3	0.8	1.7	4.5	_	-	_	12.3	4.9	0.8
	H. reversa	1.8	0.9	8.9	2.3	0.9	9.1	0.1	3.2	1.0	7.0	6.0	1.0
	Octopoteuthidae (O. cfr sicula)	0.1	0.8	0.8	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	_
	Ocythoidae (O. tuberculata)	1.1	0.1	5.6	_	-	_	0.4	0.2	4.0	_	-	_
	Ommastrephidae	43.9	49.7	79.8	27.5	17.1	63.6	13.5	32.2	48.0	28.1	26.2	2.0
	I. coindetii	10.0	8.3	37.9	3.1	1.7	13.6	1.7	8.1	18.0	22.8	23.1	1.5
	O. bartrami	3.4	4.7	18.5	3.1	6.7	13.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
	T. sagittatus	30.5	36.7	62.9	19.8	6.8	59.1	11.8	24.1	46.0	5.3	3.1	0.5
	T. eblanae	-	-	-	1.5	1.9	9.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Onychoteuthidae	26.5	9.4	58.9	19.8	6.2	54.6	14.5	35.6	58.0	1.8	4.1	0.3
	A. lichtensteini	19.6	8.7	48.4	6.9	3.0	31.8	4.9	11.2	33.0	_	-	_
	O. banksii	6.9	0.7	21.8	13.0	3.2	31.8	9.6	24.4	57.0	1.8	4.1	0.3
	Thysanoteuthidae (T. rhombus)	0.5	33.5	2.4	0.8	35.0	4.5	_	-	-	-	-	-
Myopsida	Loliginidae (A. subulata)	_	-	_	-	-	-	0.1	0.2	1.0	-	-	-
Sepioidea	Sepiolidae (H. dispar)	16.7	0.2	33.9	7.6	0.1	13.6	65.0	24.0	66.0	-	-	-

predators is a significant source of data to describe this component of the marine fauna (Tsuchiya et al. 1998; Lansdell and Young 2007). Limitations of this method could be related to the retention of larger beaks in the stomachs of predators for several days (Santos et al. 2001) and to the migratory behavior of large pelagic predators. To minimize potential biases, four "cephalopod-samplers" were considered which differed in size, feeding habits, and preferential habitats were. In fact, the presence of cephalopods in the diet of large pelagics is strictly related to the water layer where the predator usually feeds and to its capability to carry out vertical movements.

Much information on horizontal and vertical migration was recently acquired by tagging experiments with swordfish (Carey and Robinson 1981; Takahashi et al. 2003; Canese et al. 2004, 2008), blue-fin tuna (Lutcavage et al. 2000; Block et al. 2001, 2005), and albacore (Arrizabalaga et al. 2002; Cosgrove et al. 2006). Swordfish perform vertical excursions, reaching depths up to 800 m during day-light and remaining near the surface at night (Carey and Robinson 1981; Carey 1990; Takahashi et al. 2003). Their diel vertical excursions are usually discontinouos and frequently interrupted by vertical rises (Canese et al. 2008).

Blue-fin tuna follows a similar behavioral path, diving to depth >600 m (Block et al. 2001), whereas the albacore depth range varies from the surface layers to 450 m (Bard 2001). While these three species are usually able to explore a large part of the water column, the Mediterranean spearfish does not seem to dive deeper than the thermocline (Nakamura 1985), as reported in studies on its feeding

**Fig. 2** Prey species composition (%) within the four weight ranges (0–50; 51–100; 101–300; >300 g) in the stomach content of predator species (*SWO* swordfish, *BFT* bluefin tuna, *ALB* albacore, *MSP* Mediterranean spearfish)



behavior in the Mediterranean Sea (Castriota et al. 2008; Romeo et al. 2009).

The analysis of cephalopod prey from a large number of stomachs of X. gladius, T. thynnus, T. alalunga, and T. belone provides a clearer picture of the pelagic cephalopod fauna in a macro-area of the central Mediterranean Sea (southern Tyrrhenian Sea and Strait of Messina). Cephalopods in the study area are mainly dominated by Sepiolidae, Ommastrephidae, and Onychoteuthidae. The pelagic Sepiolidae are only represented by H. dispar. The high number of specimens (n = 1,402) found in the present study as well as the huge biomass of this species recorded in other areas (Bello 1999; Salman and Karakulak 2009) suggest this squid being a key-species in the Mediterranean pelagic food web. In particular, H. dispar is an important food item for T. alalunga since this fish usually hunts small prey aggregated in schools (Bello 1999; Consoli et al. 2008). In fact, H. dispar is a small-sized sepiolid that usually lives in groups in lower epipelagic and in mesopelagic zones, most commonly in depths between 200 and 300 m (Jereb and Roper 2005).

The greatest overall prey biomass was represented by Ommastrephidae (especially *T. sagittatus, O. bartramii*, and *I. coindetii*) and Onychoteuthidae (*O. banksii* and *A. lichtensteinii*), highlighting the importance of these widely distributed families in the pelagic ecosystem of the area. Moreover, it is well known that these muscular fastswimming squids are high-speed growing active predators, which efficiently convert their prey into own biomass (Clarke 1996b), thus representing a primary source of energy for large marine fishes. The importance of the Ommastrephidae in the study area, especially in the area around the Aeolian Islands, is also confirmed by the presence of a specific professional fishing activity by squid hand-jig lines targeting *T. sagittatus* (Battaglia et al. 2010).

The neutrally buoyant and slowly swimming ammoniacal squids belonging to the Histioteuthidae, Histioteuthis bonnellii (Férussac 1835) and H. reversa, and to the Chiroteuthidae, Chiroteuthis veranyi (Férussac 1835) seem to characterize the deeper water layers in the study area. This is confirmed by their morphological features (e.g., the presence of light organs) as well as by their occurrence mainly in swordfish stomachs (i.e., in that predator which carries out feeding excursions to deep water layers). The abundance of Histioteuthidae in deeper waters was also recorded in other Mediterrranean areas, such as Spanish waters (Quetglas et al. 2010), where H. bonnellii and H. reversa show a spatial segregation with peaks of occurrence at 500-600 m and 600-700 m depth, respectively. Moreover, Quetglas et al. (2010) reported an increase in mean size of H. reversa with depth, indicating an ontogenetic migration to deeper waters. Therefore, the species' abundance might be even higher than reported in the present paper, because of the limited bathymetric range in which predators are usually hunting.

The occurrence of some specimens of neutrally buoyant squids in the diet of the surface-feeding predator *T. belone* may be due to the upwelling currents in the Strait of Messina that concentrates deep fauna in the area, and to the species' diel vertical migrations to shallow depths at night (Quetglas et al. 2010).

Pelagic octopuses (*T. violaceus*, *A. argo*, and *Ocythoe tuberculata* Rafinesque 1814), belonging to the Argonauthoidea, inhabit epipelagic waters of the study area and, according to our results, seem to be more common than previously thought. These cephalopods occur in near-surface waters and rarely descend below the thermocline (Voss 1953; Thomas 1977; Bello 1993). For this reason, *T. violaceus* and *A. argo* represented a consistent part of the cephalopods collected by the surface-feeding *T. belone*. A clear preference for *T. violaceus* was showed for the predator *T. thynnus*, as it was also reported also by Karakulak et al. (2009) for the eastern Mediterranean Sea.

The occurrence of small specimens of the demersal species *Eledone cirrhosa* (Lamarck 1798), *Pteroctopus tetracirrhus* (Delle Chiaje 1830), and *S. unicirrhus* is likely to be due to the local presence of schools of juveniles (Giordano et al. 2010). Pelagic predators can take advantage of demersal octopuses as long as their young stages have not yet settled on the bottom.

On the other hand, records of both adult and juvenile individuals of a prey species in the stomachs of several cephalopods (*A. lichtensteinii*, *H. dispar*, *I. coindetii*, *O. banksii*, *T. rhombus*, and *T. sagittatus*) indicate that these species are likely to complete their entire life cycle in this area.

The present study also provided the opportunity to improve our knowledge on the distribution of some scarcely known and rare cephalopod species. A large beak (LRL = 14.1 mm) probably belonging to a specimen of the octopoteuthid *Octopoteuthis sicula* (Rüppell 1844) was found in a swordfish stomach. Large individuals of this species have never been recorded before, and among the few specimens caught until now, most records remained uncertain (Villari and Ammendolia 2009). This new data suggest that *O. sicula* can reach a larger size and that the growth of this species should be revaluated. Other rare cephalopods recorded in the study area were *A. veranyi* and *G. armata*.

The highest number of different prey species (20) was recorded in swordfish stomachs. This indicates that *X. gladius* can be considered the most efficient "cephalopod collector" that probably relates to the species' hunting behavior during large vertical migrations (Canese et al. 2008). Both epipelagic (*T. violaceus, A. argo,* etc.) and deep-water cephalopods (*C. veranyi, H. bonnellii, H. reversa, O.* cfr *sicula,* and *A. veranyi*) were recorded in its diet. The intake of cephalopod prey species that follow a dial vertical migration pattern seems to be important for all predators except for *T. belone*. This species usually hunts above the thermocline and mainly during daylight, therefore not exploiting the vertical migrations of several cephalopods at night time (Castriota et al. 2008; Romeo et al. 2009).

In the light of the results achieved so far, analyses of the diet of pelagic predators are still the best tool to investigate the cephalopod community in pelagic areas (Cherel et al. 2004). In this context, the collection of cephalopod beaks in the stomachs of predators is a fundamental part in assessing the importance of cephalopods in the marine food

web and in understanding the cephalopod diversiy in pelagic waters. Therefore, as far as the Mediterranean Sea is concerned, diagnostic tools for cephalopod beak identification (Clarke 1977) should be improved.

**Acknowledgments** The authors are grateful to Dr. G. Bello for his help in the classification of some beaks and to A. Villari and G. Ammendolia for their contribution in identifying rare species, thanks to their personal cephalopod collections, made up from stranding specimens in the Strait of Messina. The authors would also like to acknowledge the collaboration of the fishermen during sampling operations.

# References

- Amaratunga T (1983) The role of cephalopods in the marine ecosystems. FAO Fish Tech Pap 231:379–415
- Andaloro F (2006) Gruppo di studio ed elementi di base per la gestione dei grandi pelagici. Sottoprogetto—Ruolo trofico del grande pelagico *Thunnus alalunga*, Bonnaterre 1788. Final report, Ministero per le Politiche Agricole e Forestali, pp 71
- Arrizabalaga H, Rodas Lopez V, Ortis de Zarate V, Costa E, González Garcés A (2002) Study on the migrations and stock structure of albacore (*Thunnus alalunga*) from the Atlantic Ocean and the Mediterranean Sea based on conventional tag release-recapture experiences. ICCAT Coll Vol Sci Pap 54(5):1479–1494
- Bard F (2001) Extension of geographical and vertical habitat of Albacore (*Thunnus alalunga*) in the North Atlantic possible consequences on true rate of exploitation of this stock. ICCAT Coll Vol Sci Pap 52(4):1447–1456
- Battaglia P, Romeo T, Consoli P, Scotti G, Andaloro F (2010) Characterization of the artisanal fishery and its socio-economic aspects in the central Mediterranean Sea (Aeolian Islands, Italy). Fish Res 102:87–97
- Bello G (1991) Role of cephalopods in the diet of the swordfish, *Xiphias gladius*, from the eastern Mediterranen sea. Bull Mar Sci 49(1-2):312-324
- Bello G (1993) *Tremoctopus violaceus* (Cephalopoda: Tremoctopodidae) in the stomach content of a swordfish from the Adriatic Sea. Boll Malacol 29:45–48
- Bello G (1996) Teuthophagous predators as collectors of oceanic cephalopods: the case of the Adriatic Sea. Boll Malacol 32(1–4):71–78
- Bello G (1999) Cephalopods in the diet of albacore, *Thunnus alalunga*, from the Adriatic Sea. J Molluscan Stud 65:233–240
- Block BA, Dewar H, Blackwell SB, Williams TD, Prince ED, Farwell CJ, Boustany A, Teo SLH, Seitz A, Walli A, Fudge D (2001) Migratory movements, depth preferences, and thermal biology of Atlantic bluefin tuna. Science 293:1310–1314
- Block BA, Teo SLH, Walli A (2005) Electronic tagging and population structure of Atlantic bluefin tuna. Nature 434:1121–1127
- Bustamante P, Caurant F, Fowler SW, Miramand P (1998) Cephalopods as a vector for the transfer of cadmium to top marine predators in the north-east Atlantic Ocean. Sci Total Environ 220(1):71–80
- Canese S, Garibaldi F, Giusti M, Romeo T, Greco S (2004) First successful attempt of swordfish tagging with popup in the Mediterranean Sea. Biol Mar Medit 11:153
- Canese S, Garibaldi F, Orsi Relini L, Greco S (2008) Swordfish tagging with pop-up satellite tags in the Mediterranean Sea. ICCAT Coll Vol Sci Pap 62(4):1052–1057

- Carey FG, Robinson BH (1981) Daily patterns in the activities of swordfish, *Xiphias gladius*, observed by acoustic telemetry. Fish Bull 79:277–292
- Carey FG (1990) Further acoustic telemetry observation of swordfish. In Planning the future of billfishes, research and management in the 90s and beyond. In: Stroud RH (ed) Kailua-Kona, Hawaii: Proceedings of the second international billfish symposium 2 pp 103–122
- Castriota L, Finoia MG, Campagnuolo S, Romeo T, Potoschi A, Andaloro F (2008) Diet of *Tetrapturus belone* (Istiophoridae) in the central Mediterranean Sea. J Mar Biol Assoc UK 88(1):183–187
- Cherel Y, Duhamel G, Gasco N (2004) Cephalopod fauna of subantarctic islands: new information from predators. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 266:143–156
- Clarke MR (1962a) The identification of cephalopod "beaks" and the relationship between beak size and total body weight. Bull Br Mus (natural history). Zoology 8:419–480
- Clarke MR (1962b) Significance of cephalopod beaks. Nature 193:560–561
- Clarke MR (1977) Beaks, nets and numbers. Symp Zool Soc London 38:89–126
- Clarke MR (1980) Cephalopoda in the diet of sperm whales of the southern hemisphere and their bearing on sperm whale biology. Discov Rep 37:1–324
- Clarke MR (1986) A handbook for the identification of cephalopods beaks. Clarendon Press, Oxford
- Clarke MR (1996a) The role of cephalopods in the world's oceans: an introduction. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B 351:979–983
- Clarke MR (1996b) The role of cephalopods in the world's oceans: general conclusion and the future. Phil Trans R Soc Lond B 351:1105–1112
- Consoli P, Romeo T, Battaglia P, Castriota L, Esposito V, Andaloro F (2008) Feeding habits of the albacore tuna *Thunnus alalunga* (Perciformes, Scombridae) from central Mediterranean Sea. Mar Biol 155:113–120
- Cosgrove R, Arregi I, Arrizabalaga H (2006) Archival tagging of albacore (*Thunnus alalunga*) in the North Atlantic, a pilot study. ICCAT Coll Vol Sci Pap 59(3):923–927
- De Metrio G, Arnold GP, De La Serna GM, Block BA, Megalofonou P, Lutcavage M, Oray I, Deflorio M (2005) Movements of bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus* L.) tagged in the Mediterranean Sea with pop-up satellite tags. ICCAT Coll Vol Sci Pap 58(4):1337–1340
- Di Natale A, Mangano A (2008) CPUE series (1985–2006) by gear type in the Tyrrhenian sea and in the Strait of Sicily. ICCAT Coll Vol Sci Pap 62(4):1128–1141
- Di Natale A, Mangano A, Celona A, Valastro M (2005) Size frequency composition of the Mediterranean spearfish (*Tetrapturus belone*, Rafinesque) catches in the Tyrrhenian Sea and in the Straits of Messina in 2003. ICCAT Coll Vol Sci Pap 58(2):589–595
- Giordano D, Busalacchi B, Bottari T, Perdichizzi F, Profeta A, Perdichizzi A, Pirrera L, Modica L, Rinelli P (2010) Population dynamics and distribution of *Eledone cirrhosa* (Lamarck, 1798) in the Southern Tyrrhenian Sea (Central Mediterranean). Cah Biol Mar 10:213–227
- Guerra A (1992) Mollusca–Cephalopoda. In: Ramos MA et al (eds) Fauna iberica, Vol 1. Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, CSIC, Madrid
- Guglielmo L, Crescenti N, Costanzo G, Zagami G (1995) Zooplankton and micronekton communities in the Straits of Messina. In: Guglielmo L, Manganaro A, De Domenico (eds) The straits of Messina ecosystem. Messina, pp 247–269
- Hyslop EJ (1980) Stomach content analysis: A review of methods and their application. J Fish Biol 17:411–422

- Jereb P, Roper CFE (2005) Cephalopods of the world. An annotated and illustrated catalogue of cephalopod species known to date. Volume 1. Chambered nautiluses and sepioids (Nautilidae, Sepiidae, Sepiolidae, Sepiadariidae, Idiosepiidae and Spirulidae). In: FAO Species Catalogue for Fishery Purposes. Rome, FAO 4(1), pp 262
- Karakulak FS, Salman A, Oray IK (2009) Diet composition of bluefin tuna (Thunnus thynnus L. 1758) in the eastern Mediterranean sea, Turkey. J Appl Ichthyol 25(6):757–761
- Lansdell M, Young J (2007) Pelagic cephalopods from eastern Australia: species composition, horizontal and vertical distribution determined from the diets of pelagic fishes. Rev Fish Biol Fish 17:125–138
- Lentini F, Romeo T (2000) Studio sulla pesca nelle Isole Eolie. Biol Mar Medit 7(2):765–769
- Lu CC, Ickeringill R (2002) Cephalopod beak identification and biomass estimation techniques: tools for dietary studies of southern Australian finfishes. Mus Vic Sci Rep 6:65
- Lutcavage ME, Brill RW, Skomal GB, Chase BC, Goldstein JL, Tutein J (2000) Tracking adult North Atlantic bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*) in the northwestern Atlantic using ultrasonic telemetry. Mar Biol 137:347–358
- Nakamura I (1985) Billfishes of the world. An annotated and illustrated catalogue of marlins, sailfishes, spearfishes and swordfishes known to date. FAO Fish Synop 125:65
- Olson RJ, Watters GM (2003) A model of the pelagic ecosystem in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean. Bull I-ATCC 22:133–217
- Palko BJ, Beardsley GL, Richards WJ (1981) Synopsis of the biology of the swordfish, *Xiphias gladius* Linnaeus. NOAA Tech Rep NMFS Circ 441:2–15
- Pedà C, Malara D, Battaglia P, Perzia P, Andaloro F, Romeo T (2009) I cefalopodi nella dieta di grandi pelagici: identificazione dei becchi e costituzione di un archivio fotografico di riferimento. Biol Mar Medit 16(1):354–355
- Peristeraki P, Tserpes G, Lefkaditou E (2005) What cephalopod remains from *Xiphias gladius* stomachs can imply about predator-prey interactions in the Mediterranean Sea. J Fish Biol 67:549–554
- Piatkowski U, Pierce GJ, Morais da Cunha M (2001) Impact of cephalopods in the food chain and their interaction with the environment and fisheries: an overview. Fish Res 52:5–10
- Pinkas L, Olipham MS, Iverson ILK (1971) Food habits of albacore, bluefin tuna and bonito in Californian waters. Fish Bull Calif Dept Fish Game 152:1–105
- Quetglas A, de Mesa A, Ordines F, Grau A (2010) Life history of the deep-sea cephalopod family Histioteuthidae in the western Mediterranean. Deep-Sea Res I 57:999–1008
- Romeo T, Consoli P, Castriota L, Andaloro F (2009) An evaluation of resource portioning between two billfish, *Tetrapturus belone* and *Xiphias gladius*, in the central Mediterranean Sea. J Mar Biol Assoc UK 89(4):849–857
- Roper CFE, Sweeney MJ, Nauen CE (1984) FAO species catalogue. Vol. 3. Cephalopods of the world. An annotated and illustrated catalogue of species of interest to fisheries. FAO Fish Synop 125(3):277
- Salman A (2004) The role of cephalopods in the diet of swordfish (*Xiphias gladius* Linnaeus, 1758) in the Aegean Sea (Eastern Mediterranean). Bull Mar Sci 74(1):21–29
- Salman A, Karakulak FS (2009) Cephalopods in the diet of albacore *Thunnus alalunga*, from the eastern Mediterranean. J Mar Biol Assoc UK 89(3):635–640
- Santos MB, Clarke MR, Pierce GJ (2001) Assessing the importance of cephalopods in the diets of marine mammals and other top predators: problems and solutions. Fish Res 52:121–139

- Sarà G, Sarà R (2007) Feeding habits and trophic levels of bluefin tuna *Thunnus thynnus* of different size classes in the Mediterranean Sea. J Appl Ichthyol 23:122–127
- Sinopoli M, Pipitone C, Campagnuolo S, Campo D, Castriota L, Mostarda E, Andaloro F (2004) Diet of young-of-the-year bluefin tuna, *Thunnus thynnus* (Linnaeus, 1758), in the southern Tyrrhenian (Mediterranean) Sea. J Appl Ichthyol 20(4):310–313
- Takahashi M, Okamura H, Yokawa K, Okazaki M (2003) Swimming behaviour and migration of a swordfish recorded by an archival tag. Mar Freshw Res 54:527–534
- Thomas RF (1977) Systematics, distribution, and biology of cephalopods of the genus Tremoctopus (Octopoda: Tremoctopodidae). Bull Mar Sci 27:353–392
- Tsuchiya K, Okamata H, Uozumi Y (1998) Cephalopods eaten by pelagic fishes in the tropical east Pacific, with special reference to the feeding habit of pelagic fish. La Mer 36:57–66
- Velasco F, Olaso I, Sánchez F (2001) The role of cephalopods as forage for the demersal fish community in the southern Bay of Biscay. Fish Res 52:65–77

- Villari A, Ammendolia G (2009) On a beached specimen of *Octopoteuthis sicula* (Cephalopoda: Octopoteuthidae) in the Strait of Messina. Boll Malacol 45:9–11
- Voss GL (1953) A contribution to the life history and biology of the sailfish, *Istiophorus americanus* Cuv. and Val., in Florida waters. Bull Mar Sci 3:206–240
- Wolff GA (1982) A beak key for eight eastern tropical Pacific cephalopod species with relationships between their beak dimensions and size. Fish Bull 80(2):357–370
- Wolff GA (1984) Identification and estimation of size from the beaks of 18 species of cephalopods from the Pacific Ocean. NOAA Tech Rep NMFS 17:50
- Zumholz K, Piatkowski U (2005) Research cruise data on the biology of the lesser flying squid, *Todaropsis eblanae*, in the North Sea. Aquat Living Resour 18:373–376