

FF_{483–484} motif of human Pol η mediates its interaction with the POLD2 subunit of Pol δ and contributes to DNA damage tolerance

Nadège Baldeck^{1,†}, Régine Janel-Bintz^{1,†}, Jérôme Wagner^{1,†}, Agnès Tissier², Robert P. Fuchs³, Peter Burkovics⁴, Lajos Haracska⁴, Emmanuelle Despras⁵, Marc Bichara¹, Bruno Chatton¹ and Agnès M. Cordonnier^{1,*}

¹Biotechnologie et Signalisation Cellulaire, Université de Strasbourg, UMR7242, Illkirch 67412, France, ²UMR-S1052, Inserm, Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie de Lyon, Lyon 69000, France, ³Cancer Research Center of Marseille (CRCM), Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Unité Mixte de Recherche 7258, Marseille 13009, France, ⁴Institute of Genetics, Biological Research Center, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, HU-6726 Szeged, Hungary and ⁵Université Paris-Sud, CNRS-UMR8200, Equipe labellisée Ligue Contre le Cancer, Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France

Received September 16, 2014; Revised January 15, 2015; Accepted January 21, 2015

ABSTRACT

Switching between replicative and translesion synthesis (TLS) DNA polymerases are crucial events for the completion of genomic DNA synthesis when the replication machinery encounters lesions in the DNA template. In eukaryotes, the translesional DNA polymerase η (Pol η) plays a central role for accurate bypass of cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers, the predominant DNA lesions induced by ultraviolet irradiation. Pol η deficiency is responsible for a variant form of the *Xeroderma pigmentosum* (XPV) syndrome, characterized by a predisposition to skin cancer. Here, we show that the FF_{483–484} amino acids in the human Pol η (designated F1 motif) are necessary for the interaction of this TLS polymerase with POLD2, the B subunit of the replicative DNA polymerase δ , both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Mutating this motif impairs Pol η function in the bypass of both an N-2-acetylaminofluorene adduct and a TT-CPD lesion in cellular extracts. By complementing XPV cells with different forms of Pol η , we show that the F1 motif contributes to the progression of DNA synthesis and to the cell survival after UV irradiation. We propose that the integrity of the F1 motif of Pol η , necessary for the Pol η /POLD2 interaction, is required for the establishment of an efficient TLS complex.

INTRODUCTION

Lesions that are continuously formed on cellular DNA upon exposure to genotoxic agents impede the progression of the replicative DNA polymerases. One DNA damage response pathway that overcomes replication arrests is translesion synthesis (TLS), in which specialized DNA polymerases allow DNA synthesis along damaged templates, albeit at the cost of reduced fidelity.

The current model for TLS proposes that this multi-step process involves several DNA polymerase switches. In a first step, PCNA, the processivity factor of the replicative polymerases, located at stalled replication forks is monoubiquitinated by the Rad18 ubiquitin ligase (1,2). This post-translational modification promotes the recruitment of Y-family TLS polymerases (Pol η , Pol ι , Pol κ and Rev1) by increasing their affinity for PCNA (3–8) and their residence time at sites of DNA damage (9). For most lesions, the bypass is then carried out by a two-polymerase reaction in which insertion of a nucleotide opposite the damage by a particular DNA polymerase is followed by extension by another DNA polymerase (10–12). Pol ζ , a B-family DNA polymerase, plays this extender role in a process mediated by Rev1, which interacts both with the Rev7 subunit of Pol ζ and with each of the other Y-family polymerases. Accordingly, recent structural studies provide evidence that Rev1, Pol ζ and Pol κ DNA polymerases cooperate within a mega-translesion polymerase complex (13,14).

Once the lesion bypass is fully completed, the primer terminus is taken over by high-fidelity polymerases of the replicative machinery. It has been shown that the B and C subunits of the replicative DNA polymerase δ (human POLD2 (p50) and POLD3 (p66) or their yeast counter-

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +33 3 68 85 46 93; Fax: +33 3 68 85 46 83; Email: agnes.cordonnier@unistra.fr

†These authors contributed equally to the paper as first authors.

parts Pol31 and Pol32) are also functional components of Pol ζ (15–17). This observation has led to the proposal that the exchange of the Pol δ and Pol ζ catalytic subunits at the lesion site might occur on a pre-assembled complex of POLD2 and POLD3 proteins bound to PCNA (16). More recently, it has been reported that purified Pol31 and Pol32 can form a complex with the TLS polymerase Rev1 in yeast (18).

Loss of Pol η in *Xeroderma pigmentosum* variant (XPV) individuals results in a strong susceptibility to sunlight-induced skin cancers, due to the activation of an error-prone TLS pathway. Pol η has a remarkable ability to perform fast and accurate bypass across a *cis*-syn cyclobutane thymine dimer (TT-CPD) *in vitro* (19–21) and *in vivo* (22). Bypass of this particular lesion may thus represent a special case in the TLS model, as Pol η is able to perform both the insertion and extension steps without the help of other TLS polymerases (23). Several domains of Pol η have been shown to be important for its function in TLS: the PIP and the UBZ domains are involved in the interaction with PCNA and its monoubiquitinated form, respectively (3–5,24). The activity of Pol η is also regulated by post-translational modifications such as monoubiquitination (25) or phosphorylation at S601 (26), S587 and T617 (27). In this paper, we investigated whether Pol η could directly interact with Pol δ . Only POLD2, the B-subunit of Pol δ , was found to bind to Pol η in a two-hybrid experiment and this interaction was further confirmed in a pull-down assay. Mutation of the FF_{483–484} motif of Pol η (designated F1 motif) involved in the interaction with POLD2 reduces the Pol η ability to perform TLS catalyzed by cellular extracts *in vitro* and to rescue the UV sensitivity of XPV fibroblasts. Taken together, our results disclose a novel role for the F1 motif of Pol η and provide the first evidence for a direct interaction of this TLS polymerase with the replicative polymerase δ . We propose that this interaction facilitates the access of Pol η to the DNA substrate.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines and plasmids

Cells were grown at 37°C in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum (Eurobio) and gentamicin (Sigma). MRC5-V1 cells (called MRC5 in this paper) are SV40-transformed normal human lung fibroblasts (28). The XP30RO cell line (SV40-transformed XPV human fibroblasts) has a homozygous deletion in the Pol η gene resulting in a truncated protein of only 42 amino acids (29). XP30RO cell lines expressing wild type (WT) or mutated forms of Pol η were generated by transfection with a pcDNA3.1/zeo(-) plasmid harboring the corresponding Pol η sequence; transfected cells were thereafter selected with 100 μ g/ml of zeocin (Invitrogen). ECFP-Pol η and EYFP-Pol η constructs have been described elsewhere (30). Mutations in the coding sequence of Pol η were generated by site-directed mutagenesis. Full oligonucleotide sequences are available from the authors on request. PIP* corresponds to the FF_{708–709}AA mutation in the Pol η sequence. DEAD Pol η harbors a DE_{115–116}AA mutation within the catalytic domain.

Fluorescence microscopy

MRC5 cells grown on glass coverslips were transfected using the jetPEI reagent according to the manufacturer's protocol (Polyplus). Cells were UV-irradiated at 8 J/m² 24 h after transfection and were processed after 8 h. Cells were washed twice with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and treated for 5 min with CSK 100 buffer (100-mM NaCl, 300-mM sucrose, 3-mM MgCl₂, 10-mM Pipes pH6.8, 1-mM EGTA) supplemented with 0.2% Triton-X100 and a protease inhibitor cocktail (Complete, Roche). Cells were washed and then fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PBS and mounted onto slides using Fluorescent mounting medium (SouthernBiotech). Slides were analyzed on a Leica DMRA2 microscope equipped with an Orca-ER CCD camera (Hamamatsu) and the capture software OpenLab 4.1 (Improvision).

The proximity ligation assay (PLA) kit was purchased from Sigma. Cells were washed twice with PBS and treated for 5 min with ice-cold CSK 100 buffer (100-mM NaCl, 300-mM sucrose, 3-mM MgCl₂, 10-mM Pipes pH6.8, 1-mM EGTA) supplemented with 0.2% Triton-X100 and a protease inhibitor cocktail (Complete, Roche) and fixed as described above. The primary antibodies (1/600 rabbit anti-Pol η , H-300 Santa Cruz; 1/500 mouse anti-PCNA, PC10, Santa Cruz; 1/300 goat anti-POLD2, sc-8800, Santa Cruz) were incubated overnight at 4°C. Secondary antibodies conjugated with the PLA-oligonucleotide probes were used (Duolink II PLA probe anti-mouse PLUS, anti-rabbit MINUS and anti-goat PLUS) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Clonogenic assay

XPV cell lines expressing WT or mutant Pol η from the pcDNA vector were plated in triplicate in 10-cm dishes (3000 cells per plate). The next day, cells were washed in PBS and UV-irradiated at a dose of 8 J/m² (254 nm). Caffeine (0.375 mM) was added to the culture medium and cells were incubated for 10 days. The number of colonies was assessed after fixation in 4% formaldehyde and crystal violet staining.

Flow cytometry (FACS)

Cells were collected by trypsinization, washed in PGE (glucose 1 g/l in PBS-1-mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)) and fixed in 70% ethanol in PBS-Glucose-EDTA (PGE) at 4°C for 1 h. Cells were washed twice in PGE and nuclear DNA was stained with propidium iodide (4 μ g/ml; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) in the presence of RNase A (10 μ g/ml; Invitrogen) for at least 30 min. Stained cells were analyzed on a FACScalibur (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) using CellQuest software. Twenty to thirty thousand cells gated as single cells were analyzed.

Two-hybrid analysis

Two-hybrid analysis was performed in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* AH109, as described previously (30). Construction of plasmid pGBKT7 containing POLD2 sequence has been made after amplification using primers 5'-ATGGCCAT

GGAGATGTTTTCTGAGCAGGCTGCC-3' and 5'-TCGACGGATCCCTCAGGGGCCAGCCCCAG-3'. The NcoI/BamHI fragment has been inserted in pGBKT7. Mutations were generated by site-directed mutagenesis into the Pol η coding sequence cloned in pACT2 plasmid. Full oligonucleotide sequences are available from the authors on request.

Proteins

His-GST, His-GST-Pol η _{393–511} and His-GST-Pol η _{393–511}(F1*) were cloned into pETM-30 plasmid, expressed in *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) pLysS bacteria and purified in one step by Nickel column chromatography. Purified proteins were stocked in 50-mM NaPO₄ (pH = 7.4), 300-mM NaCl and 10% glycerol containing buffer and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen.

GST-Pol η was purified as described previously (31).

For expressing GST-Flag-POLD2, the POLD2 gene was cloned in fusion with glutathione S-transferase (GST) and FLAG tag under the control of the *S. cerevisiae* galactose-inducible phosphoglycerate promoter using the Gateway cloning system (Invitrogen) to generate plasmid BIL2695. GST-Flag-POLD2-expressed yeast cells were disrupted in NTE buffer (20-mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 1-mM dithiothreitol, 0.01% Nonidet P-40, 10% glycerol, 1000-mM NaCl, 5-mM EDTA and protease inhibitor mixture). After clarification of the crude extract by centrifugation it was loaded onto a Glutathione-Sepharose column. First, the column was washed with buffer NTE followed by washing with buffer NT (20-mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 1-mM dithiothreitol, 0.01% Nonidet P-40, 10% glycerol, 100-mM NaCl). The GST-Flag-POLD2 protein was eluted by Prescission protease cleavage in buffer NT. The Flag-POLD2 containing fractions was pooled and stored at –80°C.

In vitro transcription/translation of full-length WT or mutant Pol η and POLD2 was performed using a TnT Quick coupled lysate system (Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The expression vectors encoding the full-length Pol η (pGBKT7-Pol η) or POLD2 (pIVEX POLD2 without His-Tag) were added to the reaction mixture and incubated for 90 min at 30°C.

Pull-down assays

Purified GST or GST-Pol η proteins (1.5 μ g) were incubated with Glutathione-Sepharose (GTH) beads for 45 min at 4°C with FLAG-POLD2 (0.5 μ g) in binding buffer containing 40-mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5, 70-mM NaCl, 0.1-mM DTT, 0.01% NP40, 10% glycerol. Beads were washed three times with the binding buffer and boiled. Elution fractions were analyzed by 10% sodium dodecyl sulphate/polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS/PAGE) followed by Coomassie blue staining or immunoblotting using anti-Flag antibody.

Equal amounts (125 μ g) of His-GST, His-GST-Pol η _{393–511} or His-GST-Pol η _{393–511}(F1*) were immobilized onto equal amounts (1.32 mg) of pre-washed Dynabeads His-Tag isolation and pull down (Life Technologies). Washed and pre-loaded beads were then mixed with 35 μ l of the POLD2 TnT reactions in 0.75x Buffer 1 (Buffer 1: 3.25-mM NaPO₄ pH = 7.4, 70-mM NaCl, 0.01% Tween20

complemented with complete mini EDTA-free proteases inhibitors, Roche) and incubated for 1.5 h at 4°C with resuspension every 5 min. Beads were washed once with 100 μ l of Buffer 1, resuspended in 20 μ l of 2x SDS page loading buffer and boiled for 10 min.

TLS assay

The construction of single-stranded plasmids containing either a single Cyclobutane Pyrimidine Dimer (CPD) lesion (pUCTT-CPD.ss) or a unique G-AAF adduct (pUC3G3-AAF.ss) has been extensively described (32). Primer extension assays were performed as previously described (33). Standard reactions (6.25 μ l) were performed using 10 fmol of primed single-strand DNA and an XPV cell extract (20 μ g) supplemented with WT or mutated Pol η (0.2 μ l of reticulocyte lysates). The quantification of the levels of TLS was determined using the ImageQuant TL software after phosphorimaging (GE Healthcare). The percentage of TLS was calculated as the ratio of the intensity of the bands of non-slipped TLS (TLS 0) or slipped TLS (TLS-1) to the sum of the intensity of the TLS and L-1 bands.

Immunoblotting

Proteins were loaded onto 8 or 10% SDS/polyacrylamide gels. After electrophoresis, separated proteins were transferred onto a PVDF membrane (Biorad) and probed with antibodies against Pol η (H300, sc-5592), Pol δ (A9, sc-1777), POLD2 (C-20, sc-8800), c-Myc (9E10, sc-40) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology or GST (G7781) from Sigma.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

DNA Pol η interacts with POLD2, the Pol δ subunit B

Human Pol δ is a replicative DNA polymerase consisting of four subunits (POLD1, POLD2, POLD3 and POLD4). POLD2 serves as a scaffold for the assembly of Pol δ by interacting simultaneously with all of the other three subunits. Using a yeast two-hybrid assay (Y2H) we tested the ability of Pol η fused to the GAL4-activation domain to interact with each of the four Pol δ subunits fused to the GAL4-DNA binding domain and found that only POLD2 interacts with Pol η . No growth was observed in strains expressing both the Pol η and empty expression plasmids, confirming the specificity of the Pol η /POLD2 interaction.

Further analysis using the same Y2H assay revealed that the region of Pol η spanning amino acids 393–511 was sufficient for the binding to POLD2 (Figure 1A). In order to identify key residues of Pol η involved in the interaction with POLD2, we performed an alanine scanning analysis of several amino acid stretches (boxed in Figure 1B) within this region (393–511). Among the selected sequences, only mutation of the TSLESFF sequence in Pol η strongly diminishes the interaction with POLD2. Further mutational analysis within the TSLESFF stretch revealed that the FF motif (FF_{483–484}, designated F1) within this region is essential for the binding to POLD2 (Supplementary Figure S1A and Figure 1C). The direct interaction of Pol η with POLD2 and the contribution of the F1 motif to this interaction was




Figure 1. Pol η interacts with the POLD2 subunit of Pol δ . (A) Pol η full length or truncation mutants and POLD2 proteins were expressed in the yeast strain AH109 as a transcription activation domain fusion protein (in pACT2) and a DNA binding domain fusion protein (in pGBKT7), respectively. Yeast transformants expressing both Pol η and POLD2 fusion proteins are selected on double drop out medium (-W-L). Positive interactions are indicated by growth on quadruple drop out medium (-W-L-A-H). (B) Minimum amino acid sequence of the Pol η region that interacts with POLD2. Residues that were mutated to alanine in the full-length Pol η coding sequence and tested for their interaction with POLD2 are boxed. (C) Wild type and FF₄₈₃₋₄₈₄AA (Pol η F1*) of full-length Pol η were examined for the interaction with POLD2. (D) Association of POLD2 with Pol η *in vitro*. Left panel: physical interaction between the purified human DNA polymerase η and the POLD2 subunit of DNA polymerase δ . GST pull-down experiment was carried out using Flag-POLD2 and GST-Pol η or GST followed by immobilization on GTH beads. The bound proteins were analyzed by immunoblotting or Coomassie blue staining. Right panel: selective binding of POLD2 with His-GST-Pol η ₃₉₃₋₅₁₁. Pull-down experiments were carried out using *in vitro* translated POLD2, His-GST, His-GST-Pol η ₃₉₃₋₅₁₁ or His-GST-Pol η ₃₉₃₋₅₁₁ (F1*) followed by immobilization on IMAC magnetic beads. Input and bound proteins were analyzed by Coomassie blue staining or immunoblotting. Inputs correspond to 1/10th the protein amount used for pull-down.

confirmed using pull-down assays (Figure 1D). Both full-length Pol η ₁₋₇₁₃ and Pol η ₃₉₃₋₅₁₁ fragment interact *in vitro* with POLD2, while the mutated F1 version of Pol η ₃₉₃₋₅₁₁ loses this ability.

Together, these results show that Pol η binds to POLD2 via the fragment spanning amino acids 393–511 and that the integrity of the F1 motif within this sequence is essential for the Pol η /POLD2 interaction. No interaction of POLD2 with Pol κ and Pol ι was detected by the Y2H assay (Supplementary Figure S1B), suggesting that POLD2 specifically interacts with Pol η , which thus may play a unique role within the replication complex in higher eukaryotes.

The F1 motif of Pol η contributes to lesion bypass *in vitro*

Using single-stranded monomodified plasmids, we have previously shown (33,34) that in cell-free extracts, TLS opposite an *N*-2-acetylaminofluorene guanine adduct (G-AAF) or a *cis*-syn cyclobutane thymine dimer (TT-CPD) is dependent on a catalytically active Pol η . Even though the primer extension assay employs an ssDNA template, we demonstrated that it recapitulates the successive DNA polymerase switches that are necessary to promote TLS. In this assay, the radiolabeled primer located 91 nucleotides away from the lesion site is extended in a Pol δ -dependent manner up to the lesion site (L-1; data not shown). We have clearly




Figure 2. Wild-type or mutant Pol η displays the same catalytic activities. Time course of DNA synthesis catalyzed by wild-type (WT) or mutant Pol η using a primed single-stranded template (pUC118.ss). Upper panel: DNA products were subjected to electrophoresis on a 20% polyacrylamide–7-M urea denaturing gel. Lower panel: immunoblot of the different forms of Pol η produced in rabbit reticulocytes lysates, using an anti-myc antibody.

established that PCNA as well as the PIP and UBZ domains of Pol η that mediate the interaction with PCNA and Ubiquitin, respectively, both contribute to the TLS reaction (34).

Besides the PIP and UBZ domains, the F1 motif has previously been shown to be involved in the interaction between Pol η and Rev1 in Y2H (35) together with a second FF motif located downstream in the sequence (FF_{531–532}, designated F2). However, recent reports (14,36) demonstrated that the specific intermolecular interactions between Pol η and Rev1 more specifically involved the F2 motif as part of the Rev1-interacting motif conserved between Pol η , Pol ι and Pol κ (RIM: FFxxK). To investigate the roles of these FF motifs in the TLS activity of Pol η , we expressed the WT and mutant F1, F2 and PIP proteins (designated F1*, F2* and PIP*), or combinations of them, in rabbit reticulocyte lysates. In order to verify that the catalytic activities of these proteins were equivalent, we tested their primer extension efficiencies on undamaged DNA templates (Figure 2). We then tested the ability of these proteins to complement an XPV cell extract for the bypass of a G-AAF adduct and a TT-CPD lesion (Figure 3A). Note that a single XPV extract is used for different experiments, making the TLS efficiency among the various forms of Pol η readily comparable.

When the AAF adduct is located at the third guanine of the 5'-GGG-3' target sequence, the bypass catalyzed by Pol η predominantly induces a -1 frameshift mutation (TLS-1) (33,37). Figure 3B shows that the Pol η F1* and F1*F2* mutant polymerases are clearly unable to complement the XPV cell extracts to generate the Pol η -specific TLS-1 product, whereas a mutation of the F2 motif has no effect. Consistently with previous data, the bypass across the G-AAF adduct is strictly dependent on the PIP motif of Pol η (34). Similarly, the TT-CPD bypass efficiency of the comple-

mented extract has been tested (Figure 3C). As compared to the reaction catalyzed by the WT enzyme, complementation of the XPV extract with Pol η F1* results, in a reproducible manner, in an increased accumulation of L-1 product, clearly indicating a defect of this mutant in the TT-CPD bypass. This defect is further highlighted when the PIP* and F1* mutations are combined. On the other hand, and as observed for the G-AAF adduct, the F2* mutation (alone or in combination with PIP*) does not affect the TT-CPD bypass activity of Pol η in this assay. Altogether, these results show that the F2 motif of Pol η , known to be involved in the interaction of Pol η with Rev1, is not necessary for TLS in cellular extracts, suggesting that Rev1 does not play any role in TLS through a TT-CPD lesion and a G-AAF adduct when assayed *in vitro*. We confirmed this hypothesis by showing that both WT and Rev1^{-/-} cell extracts (38) display similar TLS efficiencies through G-AAF or TT-CPD (Supplementary Figure S2). In agreement with this result, CPD bypass *in vivo* has also been shown to be Rev1 independent in mouse cells (39).

We have previously shown that PCNA is monoubiquitinated during the primer extension reaction catalyzed by cell-free extracts (40). We hypothesize that the presence of monoubiquitinated PCNA (Ub-PCNA) at the template/primer junction, thought to increase the residence time of Pol η at the lesion site, could compensate for the effect of the F1* mutation on TLS efficiency. To test this hypothesis, extracts from XPV cells were depleted of PCNA and then complemented with either a WT or a non-ubiquitinable form of purified PCNA (K164R mutated PCNA). As expected, endogenous PCNA and purified WT PCNA, but not K164R PCNA, were monoubiquitinated during the primer extension reaction (Supplementary Figure S3A). We observed that the efficiency of bypass is globally lower in PCNA complemented cell-free extracts as compared to the non-treated extracts (compare Figure 3C and Supplementary Figure S3B), presumably because the depletion procedure alters some protein function. Nevertheless, the bypass deficiency of WT or Pol η F1* is barely affected by the ubiquitination status of PCNA, arguing that the role of the F1 motif differs from that of Ub-PCNA for the bypass of a TT-CPD lesion assayed *in vitro* (Supplementary Figure S3B).

The F1 motif of Pol η is essential for cell survival and cell cycle progression but not for foci formation after UV irradiation

Having shown that the F1 motif of Pol η is required for its interaction with POLD2 as well as for TLS *in vitro*, we sought to determine the role of this motif in UV-induced DNA damage tolerance *in cellulo*. XPV cell lines expressing either WT or mutated Pol η were stably established, and clones that express levels of Pol η similar to the endogenous level of Pol η in normal immortalized MRC5 fibroblasts were selected (Figure 4A). The UV survival of two independent cell lines expressing the Pol η F1* is lower than that of cell lines expressing WT Pol η (Figure 4B). A previous report (41) showed that a mouse Pol η mutated in the F1 motif (FF_{482–483}AA) fully corrects the UV sensitivity of the parental XP-V cells to the level of WT cells. In this study, the complemented cells express high levels of Pol η




Figure 3. The F1 motif of Polη contributes to TLS *in vitro* through an AAF adduct and a TT-CPD lesion. (A) Outline of the experiment and diagram of the modified plasmids. The length of the strand produced upon elongation of the [32P]-labeled primer, up to the lesion site, is indicated. nts : nucleotides. (B) TLS efficiency through a G-AAF adduct located on the third guanine of a run of 3G. Monomodified DNA substrates (10 fmol) were incubated 20 min at 37°C in the presence of XPV cell-free extracts (20 μg) complemented with an equal amount (0.2 μl) of different forms of Polη produced *in vitro*. Samples were analyzed by electrophoresis through an 8% denaturing polyacrylamide gel. Product L-1 is generated when synthesis is blocked one nucleotide before the lesion. TLS0 and TLS-1 are TLS products through an AAF adduct. Below the gel: immunoblot of the different forms of Polη produced in rabbit reticulocytes lysates added to the reactions. (C) TLS efficiency through a TT-CPD lesion. Upper panel: monomodified DNA substrates (10 fmol) were incubated 10 min at 37°C in the presence of various amounts (0.33, 0.25 and 0.125 μl) of either Polη wild-type (WT) or Polη mutant (Polη F1*) mixed with XPV cell-free extracts (20 μg). Below the gel: immunoblot of the different forms of Polη produced in rabbit reticulocytes lysates added to the reactions. Lower panel: monomodified DNA substrates (10 fmol) were incubated 10 min at 37°C in the presence of XPV cell-free extracts (20 μg) complemented with the same amount (0.2 μl) of different forms of Polη produced *in vitro*. Below the gel: immunoblot of the different forms of Polη produced in rabbit reticulocytes lysates and present in the reactions. Right panel: quantitative analysis of TLS efficiency with the different versions of Polη. Error bars denote the standard deviation (SD) of at least two experiments performed with independent Polη samples (0.2 μl).

(more than 5-fold over the endogenous level). Such high-expression levels make fine regulation mechanisms dispensable as already shown for mutations in PIP or UBZ domains of Polη (34,42). As observed *in vitro*, the effect of the F1 mutation on cell survival is highly strengthened when F1* is coupled to PIP*. Indeed, cells expressing the Polη F1*PIP* double mutant are shown to be much more sensitive to UV irradiation than those expressing either the Polη F1* or PIP* single mutants. The same marked decrease in UV survival of double mutants compared to single mutants has al-

ready been described for cells harboring Polη UBZ*PIP* and Polη S601APIP* (25,26,34,42).

As shown in Figure 4C, all cell lines tested displayed a similar cell cycle distribution before UV irradiation. However, cells expressing WT or single mutant Polη showed a significant blockage in S/G2 phases 24 h after UV irradiation, in agreement with other studies (26). Remarkably, cells expressing Polη F1*PIP* exhibit a phenotype similar to XPV cells as they appear to be completely blocked in S phase.




Figure 4. The F1 and the PIP motifs of Pol η both contribute to cell survival and cell cycle progression following UV irradiation. (A) Immunoblots showing the expression of ectopic Pol η versions in the different XPV-complemented cell lines. POLD1 was used as a loading control. The values under the immunoblot represent the ratio of the intensity of Pol η to POLD1 bands, relative to MRC5. (B) Clonogenic survival assay with XPV-derived cell lines expressing Pol η wild type or mutant following UV irradiation (8 J/m^2) and growth in 0.375-mM caffeine-containing medium (EV: empty vector). Error bars represent SD from at least three independent experiments. (C) Cell cycle profiles of XPV cells complemented with the indicated wild-type and mutant forms of Pol η determined by flow cytometry after DNA staining with propidium iodide. (D) Representative images of CSK-extracted nuclei from MRC5 cells cotransfected with the indicated plasmids and UV-irradiated (8 J/m^2). Scale bar = $10 \mu\text{m}$. Representative images of one of the three independent PLA assays for Pol η /POLD2 (E) or Pol η /PCNA (F) interactions. XPV cells complemented with the indicated wild-type and mutant forms of Pol η were either non-treated or UV-irradiated (20 J/m^2) and processed after 6 h. CSK-extracted nuclei were labeled with DAPI (blue). PLA signals were observed as white dots. The percentage of positive cells (containing at least four fluorescent spots) was scored (more than 450 cells were examined for each condition in panel (E) and more than 300 cells in panel (F)). The mean values of two (panel (F)) or three independent experiments (panel (E)) \pm SD are indicated.

We compared the efficiency of replication foci formation of WT and mutant Pol η . For that purpose, we transiently co-expressed WT ECFP-Pol η and either WT or mutated EYFP-Pol η in human fibroblasts (MRC5). We observed a nearly perfect colocalization of ECFP and EYFP signals (Figure 4D) in cells expressing WT ECFP-Pol η and EYFP-Pol η F1* 8 h after UVC exposure (8 J/m²). In contrast, a mutation of the PIP motif abrogates the relocalization of Pol η in foci (Figure 4D), as already reported (42). This result indicates that the mutation of the F1 motif of Pol η does not alter the function of PIP domain, clearly indicating that the two domains are required for separate functions of Pol η .

Finally, we used the PLA to investigate the Pol η /POLD2 interaction in XPV cells stably expressing WT or mutant Pol η at physiological levels. While the interaction signal was barely detectable in the chromatin of non-irradiated cells expressing WT Pol η , a strong positive signal is observed 6 h after UV irradiation (20 J/m²). This interaction signal between Pol η and POLD2 is abolished in UV-irradiated cells stably expressing Pol η F1*, showing that, when Pol η is not overexpressed, F1 motif is required to maintain Pol η and POLD2 in close proximity within the replication complex. To further analyze the role of the F1 motif in the stabilization of Pol η within replication complexes, we used the PLA assay to analyze Pol η /PCNA interaction (Figure 4F). For both cells expressing either Pol η WT or Pol η F1*, the fraction of positive cells increases similarly (2.5–2.9-fold) upon UV irradiation, indicating that the F1 motif mutation does not affect the recruitment of Pol η . However, the interaction signal between Pol η and PCNA is systematically reduced in cells expressing Pol η F1*, as compared to cells expressing Pol η WT, confirming that F1 motif contributes to the stability of Pol η within the replication complexes.

Clearly, the assay detects more Pol η /PCNA than Pol η /POLD2 interactions in cells expressing Pol η WT or Pol η F1*. Different hypotheses, or combinations of them, may explain this difference. First, the quality of the antibodies used may largely impact the signal-to-noise ratio. Second, the POLD2/Pol η interaction may be more transient than the PCNA/Pol η one. Finally, it is conceivable that the POLD2/Pol η interaction only occurs in a fraction of replication complexes.

CONCLUSION

Using both *in vitro* and *in vivo* approaches, we show in this work that the F1 motif of Pol η contributes to generate a fully functional Pol η . Furthermore, the double F1* PIP* mutation has a much more dramatic effect than either single mutation on the efficiency of TLS *in vitro* across a T-T CPD lesion and on the survival of UV-irradiated cells. In agreement with the survival data, the cells expressing Pol η F1*PIP* were severely blocked in S phase 24 h after UV irradiation, similarly to XPV cells.

These defects indicate that F1 and PIP motifs differentially contribute to the full activity of Pol η . It has previously been observed that the UBZ domain contributes to the retention of Pol η at sites of stalled replication forks. As a consequence, a Pol η PIP*UBZ* double mutant failed to rescue the UV sensitivity of XPV cells (34,42). Here we provide evidence that the F1 motif is additionally required for

efficient TLS *in vitro*, even in the presence of Ub-PCNA. Moreover, while the F1 motif is not necessary for Pol η foci formation it nevertheless contributes to a great extent to UV survival. This result indicates that the F1 motif plays an essential role in TLS, which is not interchangeable with that of Pol η /PCNA or Pol η /Ub interactions. Pol η /POLD2 interaction via the F1 motif may provide a complementary anchor point facilitating either Pol η integration into the replicosome complex or its access to the template/primer junction in a productive conformation.

Human Pol δ , the major lagging strand DNA polymerase, is a heterotetramer composed of POLD1, POLD2, POLD3 and POLD4 subunits. This DNA polymerase is also likely responsible for filling the lesion-induced post replicative gaps, although this remains to be established. It is interesting to note that the POLD4 subunit, which binds to both POLD1 and POLD2, is degraded in response to DNA damage (for a review see (43)). This observation led to the proposal of a working model for the Pol δ /Pol η switching mechanism on PCNA (44). In this model, when Pol δ encounters a DNA damage, loss of POLD4 results in the disengagement of POLD1 from the primer terminus, which becomes accessible for Pol η . Determining whether the F1 motif is required for TLS at stalled replication forks to fill post-replicative gaps (in a situation where POLD4 is absent from Pol δ) or at processive ongoing replication forks (when POLD4 is present) may help to decipher the molecular basis of TLS regulation. For what concerns the lesions encountered during processive leading strand DNA synthesis, the mechanisms of Pol η access to the DNA substrate remains to be investigated.

In conclusion, our work provides evidences for a direct interaction between Pol η and POLD2 mediated by the F1 motif of the TLS polymerase and for a major role of this motif in both TLS *in vitro* and UV survival of human cells. Given the recent findings that POLD2 and POLD3 subunits of Pol δ are functional components of Pol ζ (15–17), we consider that the Pol η /POLD2 interaction described here may also be involved in the switch between these two TLS polymerases when they are involved together in the bypass of specific lesions (45,46).

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to N. de Wind (Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden) for providing the mouse Rev1^{-/-} cells.

FUNDING

Institut National du Cancer [2010-1-PLBIO-08]; Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique and Université de Strasbourg and EU-HU Excellence Grant [TAMOP 4.2.4.A/2-11-1-2012-0001]. Funding for open access charge: Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique; Université de Strasbourg and Ligue Régionale contre le Cancer. *Conflict of interest statement.* None declared.

REFERENCES

- Hoegge, C., Pfander, B., Moldovan, G.L., Pyrowolakis, G. and Jentsch, S. (2002) RAD6-dependent DNA repair is linked to modification of PCNA by ubiquitin and SUMO. *Nature*, **419**, 135–141.
- Stelter, P. and Ulrich, H.D. (2003) Control of spontaneous and damage-induced mutagenesis by SUMO and ubiquitin conjugation. *Nature*, **425**, 188–191.
- Watanabe, K., Tateishi, S., Kawasuji, M., Tsurimoto, T., Inoue, H. and Yamaizumi, M. (2004) Rad18 guides poleta to replication stalling sites through physical interaction and PCNA monoubiquitination. *EMBO J.*, **23**, 3886–3896.
- Kannouche, P.L., Wing, J. and Lehmann, A.R. (2004) Interaction of human DNA polymerase eta with monoubiquitinated PCNA: a possible mechanism for the polymerase switch in response to DNA damage. *Mol. Cell Biol.*, **14**, 491–500.
- Bienko, M., Green, C.M., Crosetto, N., Rudolf, F., Zapart, G., Coull, B., Kannouche, P., Wider, G., Peter, M., Lehmann, A.R. *et al.* (2005) Ubiquitin-binding domains in Y-family polymerases regulate translesion synthesis. *Science*, **310**, 1821–1824.
- Guo, C., Tang, T.S., Bienko, M., Parker, J.L., Bielen, A.B., Sonoda, E., Takeda, S., Ulrich, H.D., Dikic, I. and Friedberg, E.C. (2006) Ubiquitin-binding motifs in REV1 protein are required for its role in the tolerance of DNA damage. *Mol. Cell*, **26**, 8892–8900.
- Plosky, B.S., Vidal, A.E., Fernandez de Henestrosa, A.R., McLenigan, M.P., McDonald, J.P., Mead, S. and Woodgate, R. (2006) Controlling the subcellular localization of DNA polymerases iota and eta via interactions with ubiquitin. *EMBO J.*, **25**, 2847–2855.
- Bi, X., Barkley, L.R., Slater, D.M., Tateishi, S., Yamaizumi, M., Ohmori, H. and Vaziri, C. (2006) Rad18 regulates DNA polymerase kappa and is required for recovery from S-phase checkpoint-mediated arrest. *Mol. Cell Biol.*, **26**, 3527–3540.
- Sabbioneda, S., Gourdin, A.M., Green, C.M., Zotter, A., Giglia-Mari, G., Houtsmuller, A., Vermeulen, W. and Lehmann, A.R. (2008) Effect of proliferating cell nuclear antigen ubiquitination and chromatin structure on the dynamic properties of the Y-family DNA polymerases. *Mol. Biol. Cell*, **19**, 5193–5202.
- Johnson, R.E., Washington, M.T., Haracska, L., Prakash, S. and Prakash, L. (2000) Eukaryotic polymerases iota and zeta act sequentially to bypass DNA lesions. *Nature*, **406**, 1015–1019.
- Prakash, S. and Prakash, L. (2002) Translesion DNA synthesis in eukaryotes: a one- or two-polymerase affair. *Genes Dev.*, **16**, 1872–1883.
- Livneh, Z., Ziv, O. and Shachar, S. (2010) Multiple two-polymerase mechanisms in mammalian translesion DNA synthesis. *Cell Cycle*, **9**, 729–735.
- Wojtaszek, J., Lee, C.J., D'Souza, S., Minesinger, B., Kim, H., D'Andrea, A.D., Walker, G.C. and Zhou, P. (2012) Structural basis of Rev1-mediated assembly of a quaternary vertebrate translesion polymerase complex consisting of Rev1, heterodimeric polymerase (Pol) ζ, and Pol κ. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **287**, 33836–33846.
- Liu, D., Ryu, K.S., Ko, J., Sun, D., Lim, K., Lee, J.O., Hwang, J.M., Lee, Z.W. and Choi, B.S. (2013) Insights into the regulation of human Rev1 for translesion synthesis polymerases revealed by the structural studies on its polymerase-interacting domain. *J. Mol. Cell Biol.*, **5**, 204–206.
- Johnson, R.E., Prakash, L. and Prakash, S. (2012) Pol31 and Pol32 subunits of yeast DNA polymerase delta are also essential subunits of DNA polymerase zeta. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **109**, 12455–12460.
- Baranovskiy, A.G., Lada, A.G., Siebler, H.M., Zhang, Y., Pavlov, Y. and Tahirov, T.H. (2012) DNA polymerase delta and zeta switch by sharing accessory subunits of DNA polymerase delta. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **287**, 17281–17287.
- Makarova, A.V., Stodola, J.L. and Burgers, P.M. (2012) A four-subunit DNA polymerase zeta complex containing Pol delta accessory subunits is essential for PCNA-mediated mutagenesis. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **40**, 11618–11626.
- Daraba, A., Gali, V.K., Halmi, M., Haracska, L. and Unk, I. (2014) Def1 promotes the degradation of Pol3 for polymerase exchange to occur during DNA-damage-induced mutagenesis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *PLoS Biol.*, **12**, e1001771.
- Johnson, R.E., Prakash, S. and Prakash, L. (1999) Efficient bypass of a thymine-thymine dimer by yeast DNA polymerase, Poleta. *Science*, **283**, 1001–1004.
- Masutani, C., Kusumoto, R., Iwai, S. and Hanaoka, F. (2000) Mechanisms of accurate translesion synthesis by human DNA polymerase eta. *EMBO J.*, **19**, 3100–3109.
- McCulloch, S.D., Kokoska, R.J., Masutani, C., Iwai, S., Hanaoka, F. and Kunkel, T.A. (2004) Preferential cis-syn thymine dimer bypass by DNA polymerase eta occurs with biased fidelity. *Nature*, **428**, 97–100.
- Shachar, S., Ziv, O., Avkin, S., Adar, S., Wittschleben, J., Reissner, T., Chaney, S., Friedberg, E.C., Wang, Z., Carell, T. *et al.* (2009) Two-polymerase mechanisms dictate error-free and error-prone translesion DNA synthesis in mammals. *EMBO J.*, **28**, 383–393.
- Yoon, J.H., Prakash, L. and Prakash, S. (2009) Highly error-free role of DNA polymerase eta in the replicative bypass of UV-induced pyrimidine dimers in mouse and human cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **106**, 18219–18224.
- Haracska, L., Johnson, R.E., Unk, I., Phillips, B., Hurwitz, J., Prakash, L. and Prakash, S. (2001) Physical and functional interactions of human DNA polymerase eta with PCNA. *Mol. Cell Biol.*, **21**, 7199–7206.
- Bienko, M., Green, C.M., Sabbioneda, S., Crosetto, N., Matic, I., Hibbert, R.G., Begovic, T., Niimi, A., Mann, M., Lehmann, A.R. *et al.* (2010) Regulation of translesion synthesis DNA polymerase eta by monoubiquitination. *Mol. Cell*, **37**, 396–407.
- Gohler, T., Sabbioneda, S., Green, C.M. and Lehmann, A.R. (2011) ATR-mediated phosphorylation of DNA polymerase eta is needed for efficient recovery from UV damage. *J. Cell Biol.*, **192**, 219–227.
- Chen, Y.W., Cleaver, J.E., Hatahet, Z., Honkanen, R.E., Chang, J.Y., Yen, Y. and Chou, K.M. (2008) Human DNA polymerase eta activity and translocation is regulated by phosphorylation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **105**, 16578–16583.
- Huschtscha, L.I. and Holliday, R. (1983) Limited and unlimited growth of SV40-transformed cells from human diploid MRC-5 fibroblasts. *J. Cell Sci.*, **63**, 77–99.
- Masutani, C., Kusumoto, R., Yamada, A., Dohmae, N., Yokoi, M., Yuasa, M., Araki, M., Iwai, S., Takio, K. and Hanaoka, F. (1999) The XPV (xeroderma pigmentosum variant) gene encodes human DNA polymerase eta. *Nature*, **399**, 700–704.
- Tissier, A., Kannouche, P., Reck, M.P., Lehmann, A.R., Fuchs, R.P. and Cordonnier, A. (2004) Co-localization in replication foci and interaction of human Y-family members, DNA polymerase pol eta and REV1 protein. *DNA Repair (Amst.)*, **3**, 1503–1514.
- Sebesta, M., Burkovics, P., Juhasz, S., Zhang, S., Szabo, J.E., Lee, M.Y., Haracska, L. and Krejci, L. (2013) Role of PCNA and TLS polymerases in D-loop extension during homologous recombination in humans. *DNA Repair (Amst.)*, **12**, 691–698.
- Napolitano, R.L. and Fuchs, R.P. (1997) New strategy for the construction of single-stranded plasmids with single mutagenic lesions. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.*, **10**, 667–671.
- Cordonnier, A.M., Lehmann, A.R. and Fuchs, R.P. (1999) Impaired translesion synthesis in xeroderma pigmentosum variant extracts. *Mol. Cell Biol.*, **19**, 2206–2211.
- Schmutz, V., Janel-Bintz, R., Wagner, J., Biard, D., Shiomi, N., Fuchs, R.P. and Cordonnier, A.M. (2010) Role of the ubiquitin-binding domain of Poleta in Rad18-independent translesion DNA synthesis in human cell extracts. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **38**, 6456–6465.
- Ohashi, E., Hanafusa, T., Kamei, K., Song, I., Tomida, J., Hashimoto, H., Vaziri, C. and Ohmori, H. (2009) Identification of a novel REV1-interacting motif necessary for DNA polymerase kappa function. *Genes Cells*, **14**, 101–111.
- Pozhidavaeva, A., Pustovalova, Y., D'Souza, S., Bezsonova, I., Walker, G.C. and Korzhnev, D.M. (2012) NMR structure and dynamics of the C-terminal domain from human Rev1 and its complex with Rev1 interacting region of DNA polymerase eta. *Biochemistry*, **51**, 5506–5520.
- Janel-Bintz, R., Wagner, J., Haracska, L., Mah-Becherel, M.C., Bichara, M., Fuchs, R.P. and Cordonnier, A.M. (2012) Evidence for a Rad18-independent frameshift mutagenesis pathway in human cell-free extracts. *PLoS One*, **7**, e36004.
- Jansen, J.G., Langerak, P., Tsaalbi-Shtylik, A., van den Berk, P., Jacobs, H. and de Wind, N. (2006) Strand-biased defect in C/G

- transversions in hypermutating immunoglobulin genes in Rev1-deficient mice. *J. Exp. Med.*, **203**, 319–323.
39. Temviriyankul,P., van Hees-Stuivenberg,S., Delbos,F., Jacobs,H., de Wind,N. and Jansen,J.G. (2012) Temporally distinct translesion synthesis pathways for ultraviolet light-induced photoproducts in the mammalian genome. *DNA Repair (Amst.)*, **11**, 550–558.
 40. Schmutz,V., Wagner,J., Janel-Bintz,R., Fuchs,R.P. and Cordonnier,A.M. (2007) Requirements for PCNA monoubiquitination in human cell-free extracts. *DNA Repair (Amst.)*, **6**, 1726–1731.
 41. Akagi,J., Masutani,C., Kataoka,Y., Kan,T., Ohashi,E., Mori,T., Ohmori,H. and Hanaoka,F. (2009) Interaction with DNA polymerase eta is required for nuclear accumulation of REV1 and suppression of spontaneous mutations in human cells. *DNA Repair (Amst.)*, **8**, 585–599.
 42. Despras,E., Delrieu,N., Garandeau,C., Ahmed-Seghir,S. and Kannouche,P.L. (2012) Regulation of the specialized DNA polymerase eta: revisiting the biological relevance of its PCNA- and ubiquitin-binding motifs. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.*, **53**, 752–765.
 43. Lee,M.Y., Zhang,S., Lin,S.H., Wang,X., Darzynkiewicz,Z., Zhang,Z. and Lee,E.Y. (2014) The tail that wags the dog: p12, the smallest subunit of DNA polymerase delta, is degraded by ubiquitin ligases in response to DNA damage and during cell cycle progression. *Cell Cycle*, **13**, 23–31.
 44. Lee,M.Y., Zhang,S., Lin,S.H., Chea,J., Wang,X., LeRoy,C., Wong,A., Zhang,Z. and Lee,E.Y. (2012) Regulation of human DNA polymerase delta in the cellular responses to DNA damage. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.*, **53**, 683–698.
 45. Hirota,K., Sonoda,E., Kawamoto,T., Motegi,A., Masutani,C., Hanaoka,F., Szuts,D., Iwai,S., Sale,J.E., Lehmann,A. *et al.* (2010) Simultaneous disruption of two DNA polymerases, Pol eta and Pol zeta, in Avian DT40 cells unmasks the role of Pol eta in cellular response to various DNA lesions. *PLoS Genet.*, **6**, e1001151.
 46. Lee,Y.S., Gregory,M.T. and Yang,W. (2014) Human Pol zeta purified with accessory subunits is active in translesion DNA synthesis and complements Pol eta in cisplatin bypass. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **111**, 2954–2959.