

ROCK MASS CLASSIFICATION FOR PREDICTING ENVIRONMENTAL
IMPACT OF BLASTING ON TROPICALLY WEATHERED ROCK

BHATAWDEKAR RAMESH MAULIDHAR

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Civil Engineering

School of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2020

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my father, who taught me that the best knowledge is that which is acquired for its own sake and through lifelong learning; to my mother, who taught me to value good human relationships, as a result of which I have friends the world over; and to my sister, who taught me that even the biggest task can be accomplished if done one step at a time. Although no more, their teachings live on and will continue to guide me as long as I live.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In working for this thesis, I came in contact with many people: researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They all have contributed to my thoughts and have deepened my understanding of the subject. In particular, I wish to put on record my sincere appreciation of my thesis supervisor, Professor Dr. Edy Tonnizam Mohamad. Prof. Dr. Edy Tonnizam guided and encouraged me throughout, critiqued my work, and has become a lifelong friend in the process. I am also thankful to my co-supervisor, Dr Danial Jahed Armaghani, for his guidance, advice, and motivation. Without the continued support and interest of both of them, this thesis would not have taken its present shape.

I am also indebted to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for providing the required facilities for my doctoral work. The Siam City Cement Company also deserves special thanks for supplying me valuable and relevant information, which helped me a great deal in my work.

My fellow postgraduate students should also be recognized for their support, and my sincere appreciation extends to all my colleagues and many others who assisted me on many occasions; although it is impossible to list all of them in this limited space, their comments and tips proved particularly useful. Lastly, I wish to take this opportunity to acknowledge my debt to my wife and both my sons for their encouragement and support throughout my long journey towards my PhD degree.

ABSTRACT

Tropical climate and post tectonic impact on the rock mass cause severe and deep weathering in complex rock formations. The uniqueness of tropical influence on the geoengineering properties of rock mass leads to significant effects on blast performance especially in the developmental stage. Different rock types such as limestone and granite exhibit different weathering effects which require special attention for classifying rock mass for blastability purpose. Rock mass classification systems have been implemented for last century for various applications to simplify complexity of rock mass. Several research studies have been carried out on rock mass and material properties for five classes of weathered rock- fresh, slightly, moderately, highly and completely weathered rock. There is wide variation in rock mass properties- heterogeneity and strength of weathered rocks in different weathering zones which cause environmental effects due to blasting. Several researchers have developed different techniques for prediction of air overpressure (AOp), peak particle velocity (PPV) and flyrock primarily for production blast. These techniques may not be suitable for prediction of blast performance in development benches in tropically weathered rock mass. In this research, blast monitoring program were carried out from a limestone quarry and two granite quarries. Due to different nature of properties, tropically weathered rock mass was classified as massive, blocky and fractured rock for simpler evaluation of development blast performance. Weathering Index (WI) is introduced based on porosity, water absorption and Point Load Index (PLI) strength properties of rock. Weathering index, porosity index, water absorption index and point load index ratio showed decreasing trend from massive to fractured tropically weathered rock. On the other hand, Block Weathering Index (BWI) was developed based on hypothetical values of exploration data and computational model. Ten blasting data sets were collected for analysis with blasting data varying from 105 to 166 per data set for AOp, PPV and flyrock. For granite, one data set each was analyzed for AOp and PPV and balance five data sets were analyzed for flyrock in granite by variation in input parameters. For prediction of blasting performance, varied techniques such as empirical equations, multivariable regression analysis (MVRA), hypothetical model, computational techniques (artificial intelligence-AI, machine learning- ML) and graphical charts. Measured values of blast performance was also compared with prediction techniques used by previous researchers. Blastability Index (BI), powder factor, WI are found suitable for prediction of all blast performance. Maximum charge per delay, distance of monitoring point are found to be critical factors for prediction of AOp and PPV. Stiffness ratio is found to be a crucial factor for flyrock especially during developmental blast. Empirical equations developed for prediction of PPV in fractured, blocky, and massive limestone showed R^2 (0.82, 0.54, and 0.23) respectively confirming that there is an impact of weathering on blasting performance. Best fit equation was developed with multivariable regression analysis (MVRA) with measured blast performance values and input parameters. Prediction of flyrock for granite with MVRA for massive, blocky and fractured demonstrated R^2 (0.8843, 0.86, 0.9782) respectively. WI and BWI were interchangeably used and results showed comparable results. For limestone, AOp analysed with model PSO-ANN showed R^2 (0.961); PPV evaluated with model FA-ANN produced R^2 (0.966). For flyrock in granite with prediction model GWO-ANFIS showed R^2 (1) The same data set was analysed by replacing WI with BWI showed equivalent results. Model ANFIS produced R^2 (1). It is found the best performing models were PSO-ANN for AOp, FA-ANN for PPV and GWO-ANFIS for flyrock. Prediction charts were developed for AOp, PPV and flyrock for simple in use by site personnel. Blastability index and weathering index showed variation with reclassified weathering zones – massive, blocky and fractured and they are useful input parameters for prediction of blast performance in tropically weathered rock.

ABSTRAK

Iklim tropika dan pengaruh tektonik lampau terhadap jasad batuan menghasilkan perubahan sifat geomekanik yang kompleks. Kesan daripada sifat-sifat geokejuruteraan ini menjadi pengaruh penting dalam kerja letupan batuan terutama dalam fasa pembinaan. Jenis batuan yang berbeza seperti batu kapur dan granit mempamerkan sifat yang berlainan serta memerlukan perhatian khusus terutamanya dalam pengelasan jasad batu untuk tujuan kerja letupan. Sistem pengelasan jasad batuan khusus bagi kerja letupan batuan terluluhawa tropika bertujuan memudahkan kerumitan penggunaannya bagi kerja letupan yang lebih selamat. Pengelasan sediaada terhadap status luluhawa batuan dibahagikan kepada zon segar, sedikit terluluhawa, sederhana, tinggi dan sepenuhnya boleh dikelaskan dengan lebih mudah untuk tujuan kerja letupan berdasarkan kepada sifat geomekanik batuan yang signifikan kepada tujuan kerja tersebut. Sifat jasad batuan yang tidak seragam dan luluhawa berbeza menyebabkan kesan kompleks terhadap hasil letupan terutama semasa kerja pembangunan. Pelbagai variasi pencirian batuan – kepelbagaian dan kekuatan batuan terluluhawa pada zon berbeza menyebabkan kesan alam sekitar disebabkan oleh letupan. Beberapa penyelidik telah membangunkan sistem ramalan letupan udara (AOp), halaju zarah puncak (PPV) dan batu liar terutamanya dalam letupan pengeluaran. Teknik-teknik ini mungkin tidak sesuai untuk ramalan prestasi letupan dalam keseluruhan spektrum batuan terluluhawa tropika. Dalam kajian ini, pemantauan letupan dijalankan di satu kuari batu kapur dan dua kuari granit. Oleh kerana sifat geokejuruteraan yang pelbagai dalam profil luluhawa, jasad batuan dikelaskan sebagai batuan masif, berbongkah dan batuan retak dalam penilaian dalam pembangunan prestasi letupan. Indeks Luluhawa (WI) diperkenalkan berdasarkan keliangan, penyerapan air dan indeks beban titik (PLI) batuan. Indeks Luluhawa, indeks keliangan, indeks penyerapan air dan indeks beban menunjukkan tren menurun daripada batuan masif ke batuan retak. Seterusnya Indeks Luluhawa Blok (BWi) dibina berdasarkan nilai hipotesis data eksplorasi dan model komputeran. Sepuluh set data letupan telah dikumpul untuk dianalisa dengan data letupan daripada 105 ke 166 per set data untuk AOp, PPV dan batu terbang. Untuk granit, setiap satu data dianalisa untuk AOp dan PPV dan lima set data dianalisa untuk batu terbang dengan input parameter yang pelbagai. Pelbagai teknik digunakan dalam meramal prestasi letupan seperti persamaan empirik, analisis regrasi pelbagai (MVRA), model hipotesis, teknik komputeran (AL, ML) dan carta grafik. Nilai yang diukur dalam prestasi letupan juga dibandingkan dengan teknik ramalan yang digunakan oleh penyelidik terdahulu. Indeks Peletupan (BI), faktor peledak dan Indeks Luluhawa didapati sesuai untuk meramalkan prestasi letupan. Caj maksimum bagi setiap detik dan jarak pemantauan dari sumber punca letupan adalah factor kritikal untuk ramalan AOp dan PPV. Nisbah kepejalan didapati sebagai faktor penting untuk meramalkan batu terbang terutamanya semasa pembangunan letupan. Persamaan empirik yang telah dibangun untuk ramalan PPV pada batu retak, bongkah dan batu kapur masif menunjukkan R^2 (0.82, 0.54 dan 0.23) menunjukkan bahawa terdapat kesan luluhawa terhadap prestasi letupan. Persamaan terbaik dibangunkan dengan analisis regrasi pelbagai (MVRA) dengan mengambil kira nilai prestasi letupan dan input parameter. Hasil jangkaan batu liar batu granit dengan kaedah MVRA bagi batuan masif, blok dan hancur, memberikan nilai R^2 sebanyak 0.8843, 0.86 dan 0.9782 masing-masing. Dengan menggunakan model jangkaan pula, nilai AOp dan PPV dibandingkan antara model ANN dan hibrid. Untuk batu kapur, AOp dianalisis dengan model PSO-ANN menunjukkan R^2 sebanyak 0.961; PPV yang dinilai dengan model FA-ANN menghasilkan R^2 (0.966). Bagi batu terbang granit dengan model ramalan GWO-ANFIS menunjukkan R^2 (1). Data set yang sama dianalisa dengan menggantikan WI dengan BWi menunjukkan keputusan yang sama. Model ANFIS menghasilkan R^2 (1). Model terbaik dalam menjalankan model ialah PSO-ANN untuk AOp, FA-ANN untuk PPV dan GWO-ANFIS untuk batu terbang. Carta ramalan dibina untuk AOp, PPV dan batu terbang untuk kegunaan di lapangan. Indeks letupan dan indeks luluhawa menunjukkan pengelasan semula zon luluhawa yang pelbagai – masif, bongkah dan batu retak dan input parameter berguna dalam meramal prestasi letupan untuk batuan terluluhawa tropika.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiv
	LIST OF FIGURES	xix
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxv
	LIST OF GLOSSARIES	xxviii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxix
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	3
1.3	Objectives	4
1.4	Scope of the Study	5
1.5	Research Questions	6
1.6	Significance of Research	7
1.7	Definition of Terms	8
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1	Introduction	11
2.2	Weathering of Rock in Tropical Climate	13
2.2.1	Tropical Weathering in Granite	13
2.2.2	Weathering Profile	14
2.2.2.1	Weathering Profile of Limestone	14
2.2.2.2	Weathering Profile of Granite	16

2.2.3	Development of Weathering Indices	28
2.3	Influence of Rock Mass Characteristics on Blasting	32
2.3.1	Rock Mass	33
2.3.2	Blast design	34
2.4	Blastability	36
2.4.1	Significant Factors Affecting Blastability	37
2.4.2	Blastability of Rock Masses	37
2.4.3	Different Approaches on Blastability	38
2.4.4	Blastability Index Lilly (1986)	41
2.4.5	Blastability Index by Ghose (1988)	43
2.4.6	Comparison of assigned parameters for BI by Lilly (1986) Vs Ghose (1988)	44
2.4.7	Blastability Quality System (BQS)	46
2.5	Environmental Effect Due to The Blasting	48
2.5.1	Air Overpressure or Airblast	48
2.5.1.1	Factors influencing Air Overpressure	49
2.5.2	Ground Vibration	51
2.5.2.1	Mechanism of Ground Vibration	51
2.5.2.2	Factors Influencing Ground Vibration	52
2.5.3	Flyrock	52
2.6	Blasting effect prediction	55
2.6.1	Prediction of Air Overpressure	56
2.6.2	Prediction of Ground Vibration	58
2.6.3	Predicting Flyrock Distance	61
2.6.4	Empirical Models for Prediction of Flyrock	61
2.6.5	Semi-Empirical Trajectory Physics-Based Models for Prediction of Flyrock	64
2.6.6	Mathematical Models for Prediction of Flyrock	65
2.7	Prediction Methods by Computational Techniques	66
2.7.1	Input parameters for predicting blast performance	71

2.7.2	Computational Techniques	80
2.7.2.1	Artificial Bee Colony	80
2.7.2.2	Firefly Algorithm	81
2.7.2.3	Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)	84
2.7.2.4	Imperialist Competitive Algorithm	85
2.7.2.5	The Formation of The Initial Empires	86
2.7.2.6	Assimilation and Competition Procedure	87
2.7.2.7	Grey Wolf Optimizer	88
2.7.2.8	PSO Algorithm	90
2.7.2.9	Genetic Algorithm	90
2.7.2.10	ANFIS Modelling	92
2.7.2.11	PSO-ANFIS and GA-ANFIS	92
2.7.2.12	Machine Learning	93
2.7.2.13	Multivariate Adaptive Regression Splines (MARS)	94
2.7.2.14	Gradient Boosting Machine (GBM)	95
2.7.2.15	Gaussian Process Regression (GPR) Models	96
2.7.2.16	Extreme Learning Machine (ELM)	97
2.7.2.17	Group Method of Data Handling	98
2.8	Discussion and Summary	99
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	102
3.1	Introduction	102
3.2	Research Design	103
3.3	Studied Sites	107
3.3.1	Limestone Quarry	107
3.3.1.1	Local Geology and Field Assessment	108
3.3.2	Menang Granite Quarry	111
3.3.2.1	Local Geology and Field Assessment	112

3.3.3	Kota Tinggi Granite Quarry	113
3.4	Site Investigation and Field Testing	114
3.4.1	Site Investigation	114
3.4.2	Instrument Used for Field Investigation	115
3.4.2.1	Exploration at Kota Tinggi	116
3.4.3	Field Observation	121
3.4.4	Input Parameters for Prediction of Blast Performance	122
3.4.4.1	Measurement of Air Over Pressure (AOp) and Peak Particle Velocity (PPV)	122
3.4.4.2	Measurement of Flyrock	124
3.4.4.3	Measurement of blastability index (BI)	125
3.4.5	Laboratory Testing	126
3.4.6	Density Test	126
3.4.7	Schmidt Hammer Test	127
3.4.8	Brazilian Tensile Strength (BTS) Test	127
3.4.9	Point Load Test	128
3.4.10	Uniaxial Compressive Strength (UCS) Test	129
3.4.11	Water Absorption (%) and Porosity (%)	129
3.5	Selection of Input Parameters	130
3.5.1	Field Observation Based on Input Parameters	131
3.6	Prediction techniques	132
3.6.1	Empirical Equations	132
3.6.2	Development of Block Model/ Block Weathering Index	134
3.6.3	Computational Techniques	135
3.7	Summary	135
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	139
4.1	Introduction	139
4.2	Field Investigation	140
4.2.1	Limestone Quarry	140

4.2.2	Menang Granite Quarry	143
4.2.3	Kota Tinggi Granite Quarry	146
4.3	Results of Laboratory Tests	147
4.3.1	Limestone Quarry	148
4.3.2	Menang Granite Quarry	151
4.3.3	Kota Tinggi Granite	154
4.3.4	Comparison of Weathered Granite and Limestone	156
4.3.5	Comparison of Tropically Weathered Rock Material Properties	158
4.4	Input Parameters to predict AOp, PPV, and Flyrock	163
4.4.1	Input Parameters for AOp and PPV	167
4.4.2	Sensitivity Analysis of PPV	169
4.4.3	Input Parameters to Predict Flyrock	176
4.5	Empirical Equations for prediction of blast performance.	177
4.5.1	Results of Empirical Equations for prediction of AOp	177
4.5.2	Results of Empirical Equations for prediction of PPV	182
4.5.3	Results of Empirical Equations for prediction of Flyrock	184
4.6	Development of Empirical Equations and Charts	187
4.6.1	Development of Empirical Equation for AOp	188
4.6.2	Development of Empirical Equation for PPV	189
4.6.3	Development of Empirical Equations for Flyrock	190
4.6.4	Chart for Prediction for AOp	192
4.6.5	Chart for prediction of PPV of Granite	193
4.6.6	Chart for Prediction of Flyrock of Granite	194
4.7	Computational Model for Block Weathering Index (BWI)	195
4.7.1	Exploration at Kota Tinggi Granite Quarry	196
4.7.1.1	Qualitative interrelationship of exploratory parameters	196

4.7.2	Development of Block Model	203
4.7.3	Discussion on Testing of Block Model	204
4.8	Modelling Process of PPV and AOp	206
4.8.1	ANN Modelling	207
4.8.2	FA-ANN Modelling	213
4.8.3	PSO-ANN Modeling	217
4.8.4	ICA-ANN Modeling	221
4.8.5	ABC-ANN Modelling	225
4.8.6	Evaluation of Performance Prediction	227
4.9	Modeling for Flyrock	229
4.9.1	Results with GPR, GBM and MARS (Data set V)	229
4.9.2	Results with ANFIS, PSO-ANFIS and GA-ANFIS (Data set VI)	232
4.9.3	Results with ANFIS, PSO-ANFIS and GA-ANFIS (Data set VII)	233
4.9.4	Results with MGDH, ELM, and PSO-ANN (Data set VIII)	236
4.9.5	Results with MGDH, ELM, PSO-ANN (Data set IX)	238
4.9.6	Results with MGDH, ELM, PSO-ANN (Data set X)	240
4.9.7	Performance Evaluation of Models for Flyrock	241
4.10	Summary	244
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION	246
5.1	Conclusion	246
5.2	Recommendation for Further Studies	250
REFERENCES		251

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Characterization and classification of weathered rock masses (ISRM, 2007)	17
Table 2.2	Mean joint spacing for different degree of weathering various rock types	20
Table 2.3	Mean joint length for different degree of weathering for igneous rocks	21
Table 2.4	Criteria of weathered coefficient for granitic weathering in China (Lan et al., 2003)	22
Table 2.5	Physical and mechanical properties of weathered granite in China (Lan et al., 2003)	23
Table 2.6	Physical properties of weathered granite	24
Table 2.7	Mechanical properties of weathered granite	25
Table 2.8	Physical properties for weathered limestone	26
Table 2.9	Mechanical properties of weathered limestone	26
Table 2.10	Properties of weathered granite (Irfan and Dearman, 1978)	26
Table 2.11	Research gap with weathering indices for micro indices	29
Table 2.12	Research gap with weathering indices	30
Table 2.13	Comparison of AI techniques related to weathering	31
Table 2.14	Constants for drill hole diameter to burden	35
Table 2.15	Development of blastability system	38
Table 2.16	Critical fractural velocity and blastability (Rakishev 1982)	39
Table 2.17	Critical fractural velocity and blastability (Rakishev 1982)	40
Table 2.18	Empirical equations for blastability	41
Table 2.19	Blastability parameters with classification values (Lilly, 1986)	42
Table 2.20	Assigned ratio for the parameters of blastability index (Ghose,1988)	43
Table 2.21	Relationship between blastability index and powder factor (Ghose,1988)	44

Table 2.22	Comparison of SGI and density parameters (Lilly 1986; Ghose,1988)	44
Table 2.23	Comparison of JPS and DSR parameters (Lilly 1986; Ghose,1988)	45
Table 2.24	Comparison of JPO parameters by Lilly (1986) and Ghose (1988)	45
Table 2.25	BI calculation results for closely, intermediate and wide spaced rock (Christaras and Chatziangelou, 2016, 2017)	47
Table 2.26	Blasting output parameters (Raina et al., 2014)	53
Table 2.27	Empirical equations for AOp.	58
Table 2.28	Empirical equations for prediction of ground vibration due to blasting	59
Table 2.29	Empirical equations for prediction of flyrock	63
Table 2.30	Prediction of flyrock distance (Lundborg et al., 1975)	65
Table 2.31	Prediction of flyrock distance (Chiapetta et al., 1983)	65
Table 2.32	Prediction of flyrock distance (Roth, 1979)	66
Table 2.33	Suggested quantitative indications for classification of blastability of rock mass associated with individual factor (Latham and Lu, 1999; Azimi et al., 2010)	70
Table 2.34	Parameters for prediction of air overpressure due to blasting	72
Table 2.35	Parameters for prediction of ground vibration due blasting	75
Table 2.36	Parameters used for prediction of flyrock	78
Table 2.37	Summary of input and output parameters based on computational techniques	79
Table 3.1	Layers in limestone deposit with the thickness	109
Table 3.2	Summary of laboratory tests involved	114
Table 3.3	Summary of boreholes drilled at Kota Tinggi	116
Table 3.4	Selected input data parameters for the prediction of actual output	131
Table 3.5	Computational techniques for prediction of blast performance	133
Table 4.1	Joint properties at the limestone quarry	142
Table 4.2	Rock mass properties of limestone	143

Table 4.3	Joint properties at Menang Granite Quarry	145
Table 4.4	Rock mass properties of granite (Menang Granite Quarry)	146
Table 4.5	Mechanical properties of weathered limestone	149
Table 4.6	Point load strength index results of weathered limestone	150
Table 4.7	Mechanical properties of weathered granite	152
Table 4.8	Mechanical properties of weathered granite at Kota Tinggi Quarry	154
Table 4.9	Water absorption (%), porosity (%) and PLI strength results of weathered granite at Kota Tinggi quarry	155
Table 4.10	WI of weathered limestone based on field data	162
Table 4.11	WI of weathered granite based on field data	163
Table 4.12	Comparison of blastability index (BI), weathering index (WI) and block weathering index (BWI)	166
Table 4.13	Summary of collected data at granite quarry for the analysis of AOp	169
Table 4.14	Simple Regression (SR) equations for prediction of AOP with sensitivity analysis (SA) for granite	173
Table 4.15	PPV for limestone with SR equations and SA	175
Table 4.16	PPV for granite with SR equations and SA	176
Table 4.17	Calculation of flyrock with empirical equations	186
Table 4.18	Prediction of flyrock distance using empirical equations	187
Table 4.19	Predictive equations for flyrock for data sets VI and VII	191
Table 4.20	Prediction equation for flyrock based on data sets VIII, IX, and X	192
Table 4.21	Summary of boreholes drilled at Kota Tinggi	196
Table 4.22	Qualitative relationship with the degree of weathering and strength	197
Table 4.23	Qualitative relationship between fracturing, degree of weathering and strength of granite	197
Table 4.24	Qualitative relationship between classes of RQD, degree of weathering and strength of granite	198
Table 4.25	Qualitative relationship between classes of total core recovery (TCR) and the strength of granite	198

Table 4.26	Summary of correlation with revised weathering and different parameters	199
Table 4.27	Input parameters and values for different classes of each parameter	201
Table 4.28	Input parameters for block model with their equation for values selected	202
Table 4.29	Comparison of block WI values in training and testing models	205
Table 4.30	Results of ANN networks for prediction of AOp in granite rock-mass	208
Table 4.31	Results of ANN networks for prediction of PPV in granite rock-mass	210
Table 4.32	Results of ANN networks for prediction of AOp in limestone rock-mass	211
Table 4.33	Results of ANN networks for prediction of PPV in limestone rock-mass	212
Table 4.34	Result of five models regarding the prediction of PPV using FA-ANN hybrid model	217
Table 4.35	Effects of various swarm sizes on PSO-ANN models	219
Table 4.36	Effects of C1 and C2 on PSO-ANN models	221
Table 4.37	Effects of Ncountry on ICA-ANN models	223
Table 4.38	Effects of Nimp on ICA-ANN models	225
Table 4.39	Result of five models for prediction of AOp using ABC-ANN hybrid model	226
Table 4.40	Performance comparison for the proposed techniques in predicting PPV and AOp resulting from blasting	229
Table 4.41	Result of measured flyrock Vs predicted values with GPR, GDM, MARS models for limestone, testing data set V	231
Table 4.42	Results of measured flyrock of granite vs predicted values of flyrock (Block WI) with ANFIS, PSO-ANFIS and GA-ANFIS models (training data set VII)	233
Table 4.43	Results of measured flyrock of granite vs predicted values of flyrock (Block WI) with ANFIS, PSO-ANFIS and GA-ANFIS models (testing data set VII)	235
Table 4.44	Performance comparison of computational techniques in predicting flyrock	242

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Weathering profile of Devonian limestone in Turkey (Deere and Patton, 1971)	16
Figure 2.2	Typical weathering profiles in Malaysia (Abad et al., 2014)	19
Figure 2.3	Typical granitic weathering profiles with geological characteristics and engineering properties in Xia, South China (Lan et al., 2003)	21
Figure 2.4	PLI strength Vs porosity (%) of weathered granitic rocks (Heidadri et al., 2013)	27
Figure 2.5	BTS Vs porosity (%) of weathered granitic rocks (Heidadri et al., 2013)	27
Figure 2.6	Joint aperture in each weathering profile in granite	33
Figure 2.7	Blast design terminology	34
Figure 2.8	Factors influencing air over pressure due to the blasting (Khandelwal and Kankar, 2011)	50
Figure 2.9	Safety pyramid (Verkis, 2011)	54
Figure 2.10	Objects of concern with respect to flyrock (Raina et al., 2014)	54
Figure 2.11	Schematic diagram of flyrock (Little, 2007)	62
Figure 2.12	Illustration matrix (a) Interaction matrix of two factors, (b) general illustration of the coding of interaction matrix and the set-up of the cause and effect coordinates (Hudson, 1992)	67
Figure 2.13	Fuzzy inference systems showing inputs and output sets (Azimi et al., 2010)	68
Figure 2.14	a) Principle flow chart for UM model (Zhou and Li, 2012), b) Indexed system for blastability (Latham and Lu, 1999; Azimi et al., 2010)	69
Figure 2.15	Total process of ABC algorithm (Zhou et al., 2019)	81
Figure 2.16	Overall process of FA algorithm (Koopialipour et al., 2019)	82

Figure 2.17	The overall structure of the FA-ANN model (Koopialipoor et al., 2019)	84
Figure 2.18	Standard flow chart of PSO (Kennedy & Eberhart, 1995).	85
Figure 2.19	Sowing the initial formation of imperialists and colonies (Atashpaz-Gargari and Lucas, 2007)	87
Figure 2.20	Assimilation procedure in ICA (Atashpaz-Gargari and Lucas, 2007)	87
Figure 2.21	Competition among empires to attract the colony of weakest empire (Atashpaz-Gargari and Lucas, 2007)	88
Figure 2.22	Hierarchy of grey wolf (the decrease in supremacy and control from top down)	89
Figure 2.23	Review of AL vs ML	93
Figure 2.24	Plot of GPR fit based on data perfectly known and noisy data (Rasmussen and Williams, 2006).	96
Figure 2.25	Input and feature space mapping	98
Figure 3.1	Empirical blast design and rock mass characterization (Franklin and Maerz, 1996)	104
Figure 3.2	Flow chart of research methodology	107
Figure 3.3	Location of limestone quarry in Bangkok, Thailand	108
Figure 3.4	Geological map of limestone quarry	109
Figure 3.5	Cross-section of the quarry	110
Figure 3.6	Geological block model and the section of limestone working pit	110
Figure 3.7	Five benches developed at a limestone quarry in Thailand	111
Figure 3.8	Location of Menang Granite Quarry, Kulai	112
Figure 3.9	The geological map of Menang granite quarry (Lee Chai Peng, 2004)	113
Figure 3.10	Location of Kota Tinggi granite quarry	113
Figure 3.11	(a) Measuring tape and geological hammer, (b) Measuring joint spacing with scanline technique, (c) Brunton compass, and (d) Measuring dip with Brunton compass	116
Figure 3.12	Core samples at Kota Tinggi granite quarry	117
Figure 3.13	Flow chart for developing weathering index and block weathering index	119

Figure 3.14	a) Borehole locations in a 3D model of Kota Tinggi granite quarry, b) Preparation of the 3D terrain model, and c) Block model after the removal of empty blocks.	120
Figure 3.15	Seismograph with geophone and microphone	124
Figure 3.16	a) Blasting face and monitoring points, b) Minimate plus field application and waveforms with geophone	124
Figure 3.17	Flyrock measurement at the granite quarry	125
Figure 3.18	Samples for laboratory testing (a) Granite face samples, (b) Granite core samples, (c) Limestone face samples	126
Figure 3.19	(a) Schmidt hammer, (b) Measuring SHRN at site	127
Figure 3.20	BTS test (a) specimen samples with Vernier, (b) After BTS tests sample 1, and (c) Post-BTS test sample 2	128
Figure 3.21	Point load index test (a) PLI test machine, (b) Core samples, and (c) Core samples after the PLI test	128
Figure 3.22	Testing for water absorption (%) and porosity (%)	129
Figure 4.1	Weathering profile of limestone in Thailand	141
Figure 4.2	Weathering profile of granite at Menang Granite Quarry	144
Figure 4.3	Weathering profile of granite at Kota Tinggi Quarry	147
Figure 4.4	Comparison of indices/ ratios of different degree of weathered limestone	151
Figure 4.5	Water absorption (%), porosity (%) and PLI of weathered granite at Menang quarry	153
Figure 4.6	Working face at Menang Granite Quarry	153
Figure 4.7	Comparison of indices/ ratios of weathered granite at Kota Tinggi quarry	156
Figure 4.8	a) Boulder formation in development benches (Layer 1), b) Fractured limestone (Layer 2), c) Inclined blocky formation of limestone (Layer 3), and d) Massive limestone formation (Layer 4)	157
Figure 4.9	(a) Systematic working of developed benches, (b) Fault Zone in CW granite, (c) CW and HW granite benches, (d) MW granite bench at Menang Quarry	158
Figure 4.10	Comparison of PLI Vs porosity (%) of the present study with other researchers	160
Figure 4.11	Comparison BTS Vs porosity (%) for the present study and other researchers	161

Figure 4.12	WI of random field samples of limestone	162
Figure 4.13	WI of random field samples of granite	163
Figure 4.14	(a) Joints dipping out of face, (b) joints dipping into the face, (c) normal joints of the face, and (d) horizontal joints	166
Figure 4.15	Blasting event report of seismograph	168
Figure 4.16	Sensitivity analysis of input parameters of PPV for limestone	171
Figure 4.17	Sensitivity analysis of input parameters of PPV for granite	171
Figure 4.18	Graph showing PPV for limestone Vs maximum charge per delay (C)	172
Figure 4.19	Graph showing PPV for granite Vs maximum charge per delay (C)	172
Figure 4.20	SA of input parameters of AOp for limestone	173
Figure 4.21	SA of input parameters of AOp for granite	174
Figure 4.22	SA of input parameters for AOp of weathered limestone	174
Figure 4.23	Comparison of measured AOp and calculated AOp with empirical equations for (a) granite, and (b) limestone.	179
Figure 4.24	Comparison of AOp with site constants (H , β) Vs measured AOp (a) for granite, and (b) for limestone.	180
Figure 4.25	Comparison of AOp for (a) fractured, (b) blocky, and (c) massive granite	181
Figure 4.26	Comparison of AOp for (a) fractured, (b) blocky, and (c) massive limestone.	182
Figure 4.27	Comparison of measured PPV values with those reported by other researchers	183
Figure 4.28	Comparison of measured PPV values with those of other researchers in fractured, blocky and massive limestone	184
Figure 4.29	Chart showing predicted AOp Vs scaled distance with WI for limestone	193
Figure 4.30	Chart showing PPV Vs scaled distance of granite WI	194
Figure 4.31	Chart showing flyrock Vs Stiffness Ratio (SR) and Weathering Index (WI)	195
Figure 4.32	Laboratory test results for different degree of weathering	200
Figure 4.33	Selected parameters for developing the block model	200

Figure 4.34	(a) Block model for weathering parameter, and (b) the complete block model with integrated values	203
Figure 4.35	Training and testing block model	204
Figure 4.36	Distribution of blocks in different classes of (a) training model, (b) testing model	206
Figure 4.37	The average result of ANN networks for prediction of AOp in granite rock-mass	209
Figure 4.38	The average result of ANN networks for prediction of PPV in granite rock-mass	210
Figure 4.39	The average result of ANN networks for prediction of AOp in limestone rock-mass	212
Figure 4.40	The average result of ANN networks for prediction of PPV in limestone rock-mass	213
Figure 4.41	The effect of parameter γ on performance of FA-ANN hybrid model	214
Figure 4.42	The effect of parameter α on performance of FA-ANN hybrid model	215
Figure 4.43	Effects of number of iteration and fireflies on FA-ANN hybrid models	216
Figure 4.44	A comparison of the best models of ANN and FA-ANN for prediction of PPV in granite rock-mass	217
Figure 4.45	RMSE results for different iteration numbers in estimating AOp in limestone rock-mass	220
Figure 4.46	RMSE results for different number of decades in estimating PPV in granite rock-mass	224
Figure 4.47	Effects of number of iteration and bee on ABC-ANN models	227
Figure 4.48	A comparison of the best models of ANN and ABC-ANN for prediction of AOp	227
Figure 4.49	Result of measured flyrock vs predicted values with GPR, GBM, MARS models for limestone, training data set V	230
Figure 4.50	Comparative results of measured flyrock Vs training and testing with different models (a) Flyrock Vs PSO-ANFIS training, (b) Flyrock Vs PSO-ANFIS testing, (c) Flyrock Vs GA ANFIS training, (d) Flyrock Vs GA-ANFIS testing, (e) Flyrock Vs GA ANFIS training, and (f) Flyrock Vs GA ANFIS testing	232

Figure 4.51	Results of measured vs predicted values of flyrock with ANFIS, PSO-ANFIS and GA-ANFIS based on training data set VII	236
Figure 4.52	Measured values of flyrock vs predicted values with GMDH, ELM and PSO-ANN models for fractured, blocky and massive granite (training data set VIII)	237
Figure 4.53	Measured flyrock vs predicted values with GMDH, ELM and PSO-ANN for fractured, blocky and massive granite (testing data set VIII)	238
Figure 4.54	Result of measured flyrock Vs predicted values of flyrock with GMDH, ELM and PSO-ANN models based on training data set IX	239
Figure 4.55	Results of measured flyrock vs predicted values of flyrock with GMDH, ELM and PSO-ANN models based on testing data set IX	239
Figure 4.56	Results of measured flyrock vs predicted values of flyrock with GMDH, ELM and PSO-ANN models based on training data set X	240
Figure 4.57	Results of measured flyrock vs predicted values of flyrock with GMDH, ELM and PSO-ANN models based on testing data set X	241

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABC	-	Artificial Bee Colony
AI	-	Artificial Intelligence
ANN	-	Artificial Neural Network
ANFIS	-	Adaptive Neuro-fuzzy Inference System
AOp	-	Air Over Pressure
BART	-	Bayesian Additive Regression Trees
BH	-	Bench Height
BI	-	Blastability Index
BQS	-	Blast Quality System
BRT	-	Boosted Regression Trees
BTS	-	Brazilian Tensile Strength
CPM	-	Charge per meter
CW	-	Completely Weathered rock
DIF	-	Dip into the Face
DOF	-	Dip Out of the Face
DR	-	Density Ratio
DSR	-	Discontinuity Spacing Ratio
FA	-	Firefly Algorithm,
FIS	-	Fuzzy Inference System
GA	-	Genetic Algorithm
GBM	-	Gradient Boosting Machine
GMDH	-	Group Method of Data Handling
GP	-	Genetic Programming
GPR	-	Gaussian Process Regression
GSI	-	Geological Strength Index
GWO	-	Grey Wolf Optimizer
HD	-	Hole Depth
HW	-	Highly Weathered rock
HOR	-	Horizontal (joints, discontinuities)
ICA	-	Imperialist Competitive Algorithm
JA	-	Joint Aperture
JPS	-	Joint Spacing
JPO	-	Joint Plane Orientation
JTL	-	Joint Trace Length
KNN	-	k-Nearest Neighbours
MARS	-	Multivariate Adaptive Regression Splines
ML	-	Machine Learning
MR	-	Multiple Regression
MVRA	-	Multi-Variable Regression Analysis
MW	-	Moderately Weathered rock
PF	-	Powder Factor
PoI	-	Porosity Index
PLI	-	Point Load Index Strength
PLIR	-	Point Load Index Strength Ratio
PPV	-	Peak Particle Velocity
PSO	-	Particle Swarm Optimization
RD	-	Rock Density
RF	-	Random Forest
RFNN	-	Recurrent Fuzzy Neural Network

RMD	-	Rock Mass Description
RQD	-	Rock Quality Designation
RMR	-	Rock Mass Rating
SD	-	Scaled Distance (for prediction of AOp or PPV)
SGI	-	Specific Gravity Index
SHRN	-	Schmidt Hammer Number
SM	-	Statistical Methods
SNF	-	Strike Normal to the Face
SR	-	Stiffness Ratio (BH/B)
ST	-	Stemming Height
SVM	-	Support Vector Machine
SW	-	Slightly Weathered rock
TCR	-	Total Core Recovery
TLBM	-	Teaching–Learning-Based Optimization
UCS	-	Uniaxial Compressive Strength
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
VOD	-	Velocity of Detonation
WaI	-	Water Absorption Index
XG Boost	-	eXtreme gradient boosting;
XML	-	Extensible Markup Language

LIST OF SYMBOLS

a	-	Site constant
AF1	-	Adjustment Factor 1 for BI by Ghose (1988)
AF2	-	Adjustment Factor 2 for BI by Ghose (1988)
α	-	Site Constant for prediction of AOp
β	-	Site Constant for prediction of AOp
θ_0	-	drill hole angle
B	-	Burden
C	-	Maximum Charge per delay
d	-	Hole diameter
E	-	Young's modulus
F	-	Fresh rock
g	-	Gravitational constant
H	-	Site constant (for calculation of AOp)
H_m	-	Mohr's Scale of hardness
K	-	Site constant (for calculation of AOp)
K_N	-	Void ratio
K_r	-	Weathering coefficient
K_w	-	Water Absorption Ratio
K_ϕ	-	Factor of safety (for calculation of flyrock)
L_m	-	Maximum rock projection (m)
L_{max}	-	maximum ejection distance (m)
ls	-	Stemming length in meters
m	-	Charge per meter
n	-	Site constant (for calculation of AOp)
N	-	Number of rows for blasting
P_{O_2}	-	Porosity (%) of weathered rock
$P_{O_{MAX}}$	-	Maximum porosity (%) of completely weathered rock
S	-	Spacing
T_b	-	Size of rock fragment in m
W	-	Weight of explosives per delay (for calculation of AOp)
W_{a_2}	-	Water absorption (%) of weathered rock
$W_{a_{MAX}}$	-	Maximum Water absorption (%) of completely weathered rock

LIST OF GLOSSARIES

Burden
Spacing
Hole diameter
Bench height
Subgrade
Power factor
Ground vibration
Flyrock
MVRA
AI
Development
blasting
Production blast

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Mathematical Proofs	280

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

In July 2013, a blasting incident in Seri Alam, Johor terrorised the nation. The terror did not just end there. In July 2015, a second blasting took place at a construction site in Batu Pahat, Johor (Edy Tonnizam et al., 2013, 2016). These explosions killed several people and left others with serious injuries. Additionally, these blasting had damaged properties worth millions of Ringgit Malaysia. Investigations revealed that both accidents resulted from the site personnel's inability to identify weak geological structure (Edy Tonnizam et al., 2018). Besides, researchers had also claimed that geological inconsistency and sudden abnormality had resulted in flyrock accidents (McKenzie, 2009; Raina et al., 2015).

Rock mass classification has been widely used for more than a century in various applications of rock engineering design such as tunnelling, foundation, slopes, rippability, and excavatability. Rock mass classification is essential as it simplifies the complexity of actual rock mass into intelligible units (Singh and Goel, 1997). Moreover, it is a powerful instrument in the feasibility of design (Stille and Palmström, 2003).

During blasting operation, approximately 15 to 30% of the released energy is exclusively used for the fragmentation and displacement of the rocks (Armaghani et al., 2013; Khandelwal and Monjezi, 2013). On the contrary, the remaining 70-85% of energy causes an undesirable environmental impact such as air overpressure (AOp), ground vibration, and flyrock in the surrounding areas (Hanispanah et al., 2018). On the other hand, less than 1% of the energy may be sufficient to cause a flyrock during the blast (Adhikari, 1999). Various techniques had been developed to predict the blasting performance and compare it to the measured value. The projection of the

blasting performance is based on a wide range of the input parameters of the blasting. From 1960 to 2000, the extrapolation of the blastability was correlated to the empirical equations. Researchers had theorised that both ground vibration and AOp with empirical equations depend on the blast designs. Lilly (1986) introduced the concept of blastability index based on the site-specific rock mass properties, which in turn could be correlated to the powder factor.

Ghose (1988) developed a blastability index for the coal-bearing strata based on the rock mass properties, and stiffness ratio, which was to the powder factor. On the other hand, the Julius Kruttschnitt Mineral Research Centre (JKRMC, 1996) introduced the blastability concept mainly for the coal-bearing rocks based on the size and strength of the rock block to get the desired fragmentation. Nevertheless, such a technique was not possible to be adopted for every site. In contrast, Lu (1997) introduced a concept of blastability based on the energy required to convert the situ block size into a blasted block size. Scholars had also developed the indexed systems for blastability based on rock mass and material properties (Latham and Lu, 1999; Azimi et al., 2016). Therefore, most of the blastability indices developed since 1986 were for general blasting.

Multivariable regression analysis (MVRA) provides better results than empirical equations (Hasanipanah et al., 2017). This is mainly because the empirical equations use the blast design parameters whereas MVRA utilises more of the input parameters, which include rock mass and material properties (Armaghani et al., 2016; Fouladgar et al., 2017). During the last decade, many researchers had established artificial intelligence (AI) models to predict the environmental effect as a result of the blasting (Armaghani et al., 2019). AI models can predict better results with nonlinear input parameters.

There is a significant deterioration in the physical and geomechanical properties during the process of weathering near the earth's surface under varied climatic conditions (Tugrul, 2014). Thick and deep weathering profiles are observed in the tropical region (Shaw, 1997). The variation of the rock mass and material

properties demands special attention and classification in the blasting application, specifically during the developmental stage.

The development blast is usually carried out in near-surface during earthwork whereas the production blast is done on the developed benches (Lee and Kim, 2016). Nonetheless, it is a challenging task to develop horizontal benches because the topography and thickness of the weathered rock vary in the developmental area. Therefore, the stiffness ratio has always been an issue in the developmental blast. Abrupt changes in material and mass properties usually exist in thick tropically weathered rock, especially in the completely weathered (CW) to moderately weathered (MW) zones. The prediction of blasting operation and its impacts on the environment during the developmental stage is highly imperative. Thus, there is a need to prepare guidelines or predictive regimes for the blasting of the weathered rocks to simplify the operations carried out by field personnel who are directly involved in the blasting process.

1.2 Problem Statement

The geomechanical properties and geological features of the rock mass have great influence in the blasting operations. The complexity of these parameters become crucial when the tropical rock mass is subjected to different degrees of weathering. On the other hand, the effects of the blasting operation depend on the fracture patterns and the heterogeneity of the rock mass.

Blasting effects include the AOp, ground vibration and flyrock, which affect the environment and its surroundings. It is common for the blasting process to involve a wider spectrum of weathering zones in the developmental stage of earthwork. The issues during this stage include a lower drilling depth, variable length of burden, weak geological structures at the blasting face, and the heterogeneity of the rock mass. These issues create obstacles in the blast design as a result of the insufficient stemming of the length or burden. Therefore, it is necessary to address the issues of tropical rock mass for the process of developmental blast. The existing rock mass classification for

the purpose of blasting may need specific refinements to cater to the unique issues in the tropically weathered rock environment. Furthermore, it is imperative to examine the correlation between the exploratory qualitative parameters of the tropically weathered rock and the blasting performance. Besides, it is significant to improvise the prediction accuracy of the environmental effects with the measured values. Hence, a better prediction of the blast performance techniques, which include input parameters such as tropical weathering, blast design, and blastability is needed for better accuracy. The technique has to be simple for site personnel to practice as they are the ones who are directly involved in the blasting process.

1.3 Objectives

The aim of this study is to investigate the uniqueness of the tropical rock mass and its material properties that influence the environmental effects of the blasting such as AOp, peak particle velocity (PPV), and flyrock distance, to develop the best prediction model for this issue. Therefore, the subsequent objectives were designed to achieve the purpose of the present study:

- i. To investigate tropical rock mass profile that contributes to the performance of development blast.
- ii. To determine significant factors of tropically weathered rock properties that influence blast performance.
- iii. To develop a unique rock mass classification system for blastability of the tropically weathered rock.
- iv. To develop a method to predict the blasting effect of tropical rock mass to the environment.

1.4 Scope of the Study

The present study selected a limestone quarry in Thailand and two granite quarries in Johor, Malaysia because they were located in the tropical region. All three quarries were fully operational and met the requirements of the construction aggregates. Furthermore, the developmental area had a series of variable bench heights in the highly weathered (HW) and CW rocks. Besides, the benches in the production area were well developed with uniform heights from the MW to the fresh (F) rock.

This study examined the rock mass properties of the weathered igneous and sedimentary rocks identified based on numerous studies. Next, a method was selected to investigate the weathering zones in the field and identify similar rock mass properties, based on the conducted review. Researchers had tested the various physical and mechanical properties of the weathered rock in the laboratory. This study included simple physical and mechanical properties of the weathered rock to develop the weathering index. The block weathering index was developed based on the analysis of the exploration data. This study further compared the weathered rock mass and material properties to each degree of weathering and to each parameter, which was assigned into five groups based on these properties. The weight among these parameters was based on the inter-relationship of the individual parameter in a complete data set. The 3-Dimensional (3D) block model was developed based on exploratory data samples via the Surpac software. The processed output of the individual block in the 3D block model has been designated as block weathering index.

From 1960 to 2000, the development of blastability was assessed significantly. The production of blast performance was mainly based on empirical equations and blast design parameters. Therefore, this study compared the blastability indexes designed by Lilly (1986) and Ghose (1988). The blastability index consisted of five input parameters that were scrutinised to determine the environmental effects as a result of blasting. This study reviewed Christaras and Chatziangelou (2017) blasting quality system for widely, intermediate, and closely spaced joint spaces. Next, this study identified the research gap to develop the rock mass classification system in the tropically weathered rock. The data collection method at the field was developed

based on the evaluation of previous literature on environmental data for the AOP, PPV, and flyrock blasting. Similarly, the input parameters were selected from the works of literature on the prediction of blast performance. Then the data collection was carried out for a year and six months. It included field observations of environmental effects as a result of the blasting at three quarries, sample collection, and laboratory testing.

This study examined the existing rock mass classification systems that were still in practice for different applications such as tunnel system, excavation, and slope stability to identify the research gaps. Therefore, the classification for tunnel system and slope stability is related to the rock mass supporting against gravity. Meanwhile, the classification for excavatability depends upon the equipment, which is being used. As a result, a rock mass classification system for blastability of the tropically weathered rock was developed in this work. Then the multivariate regression analysis (MVRA) was used to develop the equations because the empirical equations that predicted the blast performance failed in terms of accuracy. Hence, the MVRA technique is a benchmark to evaluate performances based on different techniques. In the last decade, researchers had employed AI techniques to develop models that predict the blast performance. Nevertheless, recently, the hybrid AI and machine learning (ML) models were developed to predict blast performance. AI models were used to predict the AOp and ground vibrations. On the other hand, ML models were mainly used to predict flyrock. Therefore, the best computational models for AOp, PPV, and flyrock were evaluated.

The scope of the study is limited to 3 quarries in the tropical region, 10 data sets, developmental blast with a maximum of 166 blasting events per data set.

1.5 Research Questions

Researchers had studied the process of weathering in tropically weathered rocks for multiple geomechanical problems. For the last six decades, many researchers had developed the performance of general blasting, specifically on production blast. The blast performance evaluation is crucial and therefore, the latest techniques need

to be incorporated to increase its accuracy. The following questions were framed for this research:

- i. Which key tropical rock mass properties or structural characteristics influence the blast performance for AOp, ground vibration, and flyrock?
- ii. What are the properties of granite and limestone that influence the performance of developmental blast?
- iii. How does the blast design determined by various tropically weathered zones influence the performance of the developmental performance?
- v. How do the different prediction methods accurately forecast the performance of the developmental blast?

1.6 Significance of Research

The prediction of blast performance in a specific rock had been researched extensively for a long time globally. The existing prediction methods on blast performance are chiefly employed for general blasting and not specifically for the tropically weathered rock mass in development blasting. Therefore, the following are the advantages or benefits expected from this research project:

- i. The geoengineering properties of tropical rock mass for blasting will be catalogued. This significant contribution will benefit the civil and mining engineering works. Therefore, Malaysia will stand in the forefront as a research hub for studies that involve tropical rock masses.
- ii. The engineers will understand the effects and possible mechanics of blasting in the tropically weathered rock mass. This knowledge will,

therefore, minimise the inappropriate blast design, in which the tropical rock mass is involved.

- iii. Society will experience visible benefits based on their understanding of the potential risk of blasting tropical rock mass. Hence, this knowledge will increase the safety factors, especially when dealing with excavation via blasting.
- iv. A systematic approach that assesses blasting sites based on geoenvironmental aspects will be considered in the process of blasting design. Based on the economic scale, a good blasting design will minimise the risk of environmental effects such as flyrock, ground vibration and AOp.

1.7 Definition of Terms

Blasting is essential for works pertaining to hard rock excavations in the mining and civil engineering project areas. Listed below are the common terms related to blasting and the techniques to predict the blast performance in the present study.

Burden (Bhandari, 1997)	Shortest distance from the free face. Expressed in m
Spacing (Rai and Imperial, 2005)	Distance between holes parallel to the face. Expressed in m
Hole diameter (AyalaCarcedo, 2017)	The diameter of the blast hole. Expressed in mm
Bench height (Bowa, 2015)	The height of the bench along which excavation is done. Expressed in m

Subgrade (Adhikari, 1999)	Drilling, which is done below the bench height to avoid the toe. Subgrade drilling is about 10 to 15% of bench height. Expressed in m
Power factor (Amini et al., 2012)	The weight of explosives consumed per tonne of rock generated due to blasting. Expressed in kg per tonne.
Airover Pressure (Singh and Sinha, 2013)	Air pressure created due to the movement of rock during blasting is known as airover pressure. Expressed in dB.
Ground vibration (Khandelwal and Singh, 2007)	Vibration due to blasting, which is created as a shock wave in the ground or rock mass and transmitted through the ground. It does not cause permanent deformation. Expressed in mm/sec
Flyrock (Kecojevic and Radomsky, 2005)	The undesired throw of rock fragment during blasting at the quarry or civil projects. It is measured from the centre of a blast to the maximum distance travelled by the rock fragment. Expressed in m
MVRA (Kleinbaum et al., 1988)	The best-fit equation is developed for the measured parameter with the coefficient values for each independent variable or input parameter. It is also known for the widening of the simple regression concept.
AI (Negnevitsky, 2005)	Intelligence demonstrated by computers through systematic investigation motivated by humans for a given problem through learning and solving.
Development blasting (Bhanadari, 1997)	The blasting carried out during the developmental stage at the near-surface, which has the variable depth of blast holes with varying weathering stages

Production blast Blasting carried out where the normal consistent depth of
(Siskind et al., 1980) blast holes that exist in well-developed benches to achieve
 maximum production

REFERENCES

- Abad, S. A. N. K., Mohamad, E. T., & Komoo, I. (2014). Dominant weathering profiles of granite in southern Peninsular Malaysia. *Engineering geology*, *183*, 208-215.
- Abad, S. A. N. K., Tugrul, A., Gokceoglu, C., & Armaghani, D. J. (2016). Characteristics of weathering zones of granitic rocks in Malaysia for geotechnical engineering design. *Engineering geology*, *200*, 94-103.
- Abdechiri, M., Faez, K., & Bahrami, H. (2010, July). Adaptive imperialist competitive algorithm (AICA). In *9th IEEE International Conference on Cognitive Informatics (ICCI'10)* (pp. 940-945). IEEE.
- Adhikari GR., Venkatesh HS., Theresraj AI., and Balachander R. (2007). Measurement and Analysis of Air Overpressure from Blasting in Surface Mines Vol. 1, No. 2, October 2007, pp. 21-26
- Adhikari, G. A., Rajan, B., Venkatesh, H. S., & Thresraj, A. I. (1994). Blast damage assessment for underground structures. In *Proceedings of the National Symposium on Emerging Mining and Ground Control Technologies* (pp. 247-255).
- Adhikari, G. R. (1999b). Selection of blasthole diameter for a given bench height at surface mines. In *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences and Geomechanics Abstracts* (Vol. 6, No. 36, pp. 843-847).
- Adhikari, G. R., & Ghose, A. K. (1999). Various approaches to blast design for surface mines. *Journal of Mines, Metals & Fuels*, 24-30.
- Adhikari, G.R., Venkatesh, H.S., Babu, A.R., and Theresraj, A.I. (1995) Air overpressure
- Aghajani-Bazzazi, A., Osanloo, M., & Azimi, Y. (2009, September). Flyrock prediction by multiple regression analysis in Esfordi phosphate mine of Iran. In *Proceedings of the 9th international symposium on rock fragmentation by blasting. Granada, Spain* (pp. 649-657).
- Ahmadi, M. A., Ebadi, M., Shokrollahi, A., & Majidi, S. M. J. (2013). Evolving artificial neural network and imperialist competitive algorithm for prediction oil flow rate of the reservoir. *Applied Soft Computing*, *13*(2), 1085-1098.

- Aires-Barros, L. (1978). Comparative study between rates of experimental laboratory weathering of rocks and their natural environmental weathering decay. *Bulletin of the International Association of Engineering Geology-Bulletin de l'Association Internationale de Géologie de l'Ingénieur*, 18(1), 169-174.
- Ak, H., & Konuk, A. (2008). The effect of discontinuity frequency on ground vibrations produced from bench blasting: a case study. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 28(9), 686-694.
- Akin, M. (2010). A quantitative weathering classification system for yellow travertines. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 61(1), 47-61.
- Al-Qudami, Shehata WM, Al-Harhi AA, Sabtan A. 1997. On weathering of syenite under arid conditions. *Bulletin of the International Association of Engineering Geology*, 56, 3-8
- Ambraseys, N. N., & Hendron, A. J. (1968). *Dynamic behaviour of rock masses*. J. Wiley & Sons.
- Amini, H., Gholami, R., Monjezi, M., Torabi, S. R., & Zadhesh, J. (2012). Evaluation of flyrock phenomenon due to blasting operation by support vector machine. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 21(8), 2077-2085.
- Amini, Hasel, Raoof Gholami, Masoud Monjezi, Seyed Rahman Torabi, and Jamal Zadhesh. "Evaluation of flyrock phenomenon due to blasting operation by support vector machine." *Neural Computing and Applications* 21, no. 8 (2012): 2077-2085.
- Amiri, M., Amnieh, H. B., Hasanipanah, M., & Khanli, L. M. (2016). A new combination of artificial neural network and K-nearest neighbors models to predict blast-induced ground vibration and air-overpressure. *Engineering with Computers*, 32(4), 631-644.
- Andrade, P. S., & Saraiva, A. A. (2008). Estimating the joint roughness coefficient of discontinuities found in metamorphic rocks. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 67(3), 425-434.
- Anonymous (1995) The description and classification of weathered rock for engineering purposes. Geological Society Engineering Group Working Party Report. *Q J Eng Geol* 28:207–242
- Arel, E., & Tugrul, A. (2001). Weathering and its relation to geomechanical properties of Cavusbasi granitic rocks in northwestern Turkey. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 60(2), 123-133.

- Arkan, F., Ulusay, R., & Aydın, N. (2007). Characterization of weathered acidic volcanic rocks and a weathering classification based on a rating system. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 66(4), 415.
- Armaghani, D. J., Hajihassani, M., Marto, A., Faradonbeh, R. S., & Mohamad, Edy. Tonnizam. (2015). Prediction of blast-induced air overpressure: a hybrid AI-based predictive model. *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, 187(11), 666.
- Armaghani, D. J., Hajihassani, M., Mohamad, E. T., Marto, A., & Noorani, S. A. (2014). Blasting-induced flyrock and ground vibration prediction through an expert artificial neural network based on particle swarm optimization. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 7(12), 5383-5396.
- Armaghani, D. J., Hajihassani, M., Mohamad, Edy. Tonnizam., Marto, A., & Noorani, S. A. (2014). Blasting-induced flyrock and ground vibration prediction through an expert artificial neural network based on particle swarm optimization. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 7(12), 5383-5396.
- Armaghani, D. J., Hajihassani, M., Sohaei, H., Mohamad, Edy. Tonnizam., Marto, A., Motaghedi, H., & Moghaddam, M. R. (2015). Neuro-fuzzy technique to predict air-overpressure induced by blasting. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 8(12), 10937-10950.
- Armaghani, D. J., Hasanipanah, M., & Mohamad, Edy. Tonnizam. (2016). A combination of the ICA-ANN model to predict air-overpressure resulting from blasting. *Engineering with Computers*, 32(1), 155-171.
- Armaghani, D. J., Hasanipanah, M., and Mohamad, E. T. (2016). A combination of the ICA-ANN model to predict air-overpressure resulting from blasting. *Engineering with Computers*, 32(1), 155-171.
- Armaghani, D. J., Hasanipanah, M., Mahdiyar, A., Majid, M. Z. A., Amnieh, H. B., & Tahir, M. M. (2018). Airblast prediction through a hybrid genetic algorithm-ANN model. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 29(9), 619-629.
- Armaghani, D. J., Mahdiyar, A., Hasanipanah, M., Faradonbeh, R. S., Khandelwal, M., & Amnieh, H. B. (2016). Risk assessment and prediction of flyrock distance by combined multiple regression analysis and monte carlo simulation of quarry blasting. *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, 49(9), 3631-3641.
- Armaghani, D. J., Mohamad, Edy. Tonnizam., Hajihassani, M., Abad, S. A. N. K., Marto, A., & Moghaddam, M. R. (2016). Evaluation and prediction of flyrock

- resulting from blasting operations using empirical and computational methods. *Engineering with Computers*, 32(1), 109-121.
- Armaghani, D. J., Mohamad, Edy. Tonnizam., Momeni, E., & Narayanasamy, M. S. (2015). An adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system for predicting unconfined compressive strength and Young's modulus: a study on Main Range granite. *Bulletin of engineering geology and the environment*, 74(4), 1301-1319.
- Armaghani, D. J., Raja, R. S. N. S. B., Faizi, K., & Rashid, A. S. A. (2017). Developing a hybrid PSO–ANN model for estimating the ultimate bearing capacity of rock-socketed piles. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 28(2), 391-405.
- Arthur, C. K., Temeng, V. A., & Ziggah, Y. Y. (2019). Multivariate Adaptive Regression Splines (MARS) approach to blast-induced ground vibration prediction. *International Journal of Mining, Reclamation and Environment*, 1-25.
- Ashby, J. P. (1981). Production blasting and the development of open pit slopes. *Nonferrous Metals*, (4), 2.
- Atashpaz-Gargari, E., & Lucas, C. (2007, September). Imperialist competitive algorithm: an algorithm for optimization inspired by imperialistic competition. In *2007 IEEE congress on evolutionary computation* (pp. 4661-4667). IEEE.
- AyalaCarcedo, F. (2017). *Drilling and blasting of rocks*. Routledge.
- Azimi, Y., Osanloo, M., Aakbarpour-Shirazi, M., & Bazzazi, A. A. (2010). Prediction of the blastability designation of rock masses using fuzzy sets. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, 47(7), 1126-1140.
- Bagchi, A., & Gupta, R. N. (1990). Surface blasting and its impact on environment.
- Bartlett, P. L. (1998). The sample complexity of pattern classification with neural networks: the size of the weights is more important than the size of the network. *IEEE transactions on Information Theory*, 44(2), 525-536.
- Basu, A., Celestino, T. B., & Bortolucci, A. A. (2009). Evaluation of rock mechanical behaviors under uniaxial compression with reference to assessed weathering grades. *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, 42(1), 73-93.
- Bhandari S (1997) Engineering rock blasting operations. A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam 2.5.2
- Bhandari, S., & Badal, R. (1990). Post-blast studies of jointed rocks. *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 35(1-3), 439-445.

- Bharami et al. (2011) Pg79P4L16 Bahrami, A., Monjezi, M., Goshtasbi, K., & Ghazvinian, A. (2011). Prediction of rock fragmentation due to blasting using artificial neural network. *Engineering with Computers*, 27(2), 177-181.
- Bhatawdekar R.M., Sharma P., Sarma L.K., Singh A., Singh T.N., Edy T.M.(2017). Prediction of ground vibration and frequency due to blasting, using artificial neural network at a limestone quarry, Proceedings of National Cement Building Material, Seminar, New Delhi, Dec'2017, India
- Bhatawdekar, R. M., Danial, J. A., & Edy, T. M. (2018). A Review of Prediction of Blast Performance using Computational Techniques. *ISERME 2018*, 37.
- Bjerrum, L. (1967). Engineering geology of Norwegian normally-consolidated marine clays as related to settlements of buildings. *Geotechnique*, 17(2), 83-118.
- Blum, A. L., & Langley, P. (1997). Selection of relevant features and examples in machine learning. *Artificial intelligence*, 97(1-2), 245-271.
- Borquez, G. V. (1981). Estimating drilling and blasting costs-An analysis and prediction model. *E&MJ-ENGINEERING AND MINING JOURNAL*, 182(1), 83-89.
- Borrelli, L., Perri, F., Critelli, S., & Gullà, G. (2014). Characterization of granitoid and gneissic weathering profiles of the Mucone River basin (Calabria, southern Italy). *Catena*, 113, 325-340.
- Bowa, V. M. (2015). Optimization of blasting design parameters on open pit bench a case study of Nchanga open pits. *Int J Sci Technol Res*, 4(9), 45-51.
- Breiman, L. (2001). Random forests. *Machine learning*, 45(1), 5-32.
- Bui, X. N., Nguyen, H., Le, H. A., Bui, H. B., & Do, N. H. (2019). Prediction of blast-induced air over-pressure in open-pit mine: assessment of different artificial intelligence techniques. *Natural Resources Research*, 1-21.
- Cai JG, Zhao J (1997) Use of neural networks in rock tunneling. In: Proceedings of computer methods and advances in geomechanics, IACMAG, China, pp 613–618
- Caudill, M. (1988). Neural networks primer, Part III. *AI Expert*, 3(6), 53-59.
- Ceryan, S. (1999). *Weathering and classification of Harsit granitoid and the effect of weathering on engineering properties* (Doctoral dissertation, Ph. D thesis. Karadeniz Technical. University, Trabzon, Turkey, 1300p (in Turkish)).

- Ceryan, S. (2012). Weathering indices for assessment of weathering effect and classification of weathered rocks: a case study from NE Turkey. In *Earth Sciences*. IntechOpen.
- Ceryan, S., Tudes, S., & Ceryan, N. (2008). Influence of weathering on the engineering properties of Harsit granitic rocks (NE Turkey). *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 67(1), 97-104.
- Ceryan, S., Zorlu, K., Gokceoglu, C., & Temel, A. (2008). The use of cation packing index for characterizing the weathering degree of granitic rocks. *Engineering Geology*, 98(1-2), 60-74.
- Cevizci, H., & Ozkahraman, H. T. (2012). The effect of blast hole stemming length to rockpile fragmentation at limestone quarries. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, (53), 32-35.
- Chang, C. S., Wang, J. Y., & Ge, L. (2015). Modeling of minimum void ratio for sand-silt mixtures. *Engineering geology*, 196, 293-304.
- Chatziangelou, M., & Christaras, B. (2013). Blastability Index on Poor Quality Rock Mass. *Int. J. of Civil Engineering (IJCE)*, 2(5), 9-16.
- Chatziangelou, M., & Christaras, B. (2016). A Geological Classification of Rock Mass Quality and Blast Ability for Widely Spaced Formations. *Journal of Geological Resource and Engineering*, 4, 160-174.
- Chatziangelou, M., & Christaras, B. (2017). A New Development of BQS (Blastability Quality System) for Closely Spaced Formations. *Journal of Geological Resource and Engineering*, 1, 24-37.
- Chen, Shihai, Zihua Zhang, and Jian Wu. "Human comfort evaluation criteria for blast planning." *Environmental earth sciences* 74, no. 4 (2015): 2919-2923.
- Chiapetta, R. F. (1983). The use of high speed motion picture photography in blast evaluation and design. In Proceedings of the Ninth Conference on explosives and blasting technique-Society of explosives engineering-Annual meeting, Jan. 31-Feb'4, 1983, Dallas, Texas.
- Chowdhury, A. N., Punuru, A. R., & Gauri, K. L. (1990). Weathering of limestone beds at the great sphinx. *Environmental geology and water sciences*, 15(3), 217-223.
- Christaras, B., & Chatziangelou, M. (2014). Blastability quality system (BQS) for using it, in bedrock excavation. *Structural Engineering and Mechanics*, 51(5), 823-845.

- Clerc, M. and Kennedy, J. (2002) 'The particle swarm - explosion, stability, and convergence in a multidimensional complex space', *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 6(1), pp. 58–73.
- CMRI .Vibration standards, Central Mining Research Institute, Dhanbad; 1993.
- Cole, W. F., & Sandy, M. J. (1980). A proposed secondary mineral rating for basalt road aggregate durability. *Australian Road Research*, 10(3).
- Craig, R. F. (2004). *Craig's soil mechanics*. CRC press.
- Cunningham, C. V. B. (1987, August). Fragmentation estimations and the Kuz-Ram model-Four years on. In *Proc. 2nd Int. Symp. on Rock Fragmentation by Blasting* (pp. 475-487).
- Dagdelenler, G., Sezer, E. A., & Gokceoglu, C. (2011). Some non-linear models to predict the weathering degrees of a granitic rock from physical and mechanical parameters. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 38(6), 7476-7485.
- Dan, M. F. M., Mohamad, E. T., & Komoo, I. (2016). Characteristics of boulders formed in tropical weathered granite: A review. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 78(8-6).
- Dan, M., & Azlan, M. F. (2016). Physical classifications and engineering characteristics of in situ boulders in tropically weathered granite (Doctoral dissertation, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia).
- Dan, M., Firdaus, M., Muhamad, E. T., Komoo, I., & Alel, M. N. A. (2015). Physical characteristics of boulders formed in the tropically weathered granite. *Jurnal Teknologi (Sciences & Engineering)*, 72(3), 75-82.
- Davies B, Farmer IW and Attewell PB. Ground vibrations from shallow sub-surface blasts- General predictor. *Engineer* 1964; 217: 553–559.
- Davies, P. A. (1995). Risk-based approach to setting of flyrock danger zones for blast sites. In *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences and Geomechanics Abstracts*(Vol. 6, No. 32, p. 278A).
- Davis, J.C., 1986. *Statistics and Data Analysis in Geology*. Wiley, New York.
- Dearman, W. R. (1995). Description and classification of weathered rocks for engineering purposes: the background to the BS5930: 1981 proposals. *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology*, 28(3), 267-276.
- Dearman, W. R., & Irfan, T. Y. (1978, September). Classification and index properties of weathered coarse-grained granites from south-west England. In *Proceedings*

- of the Third International Congress International Association of Engineering Geology* (Vol. 2, pp. 119-130).
- Deere DU, & Patton FD (1971) Slope stability in residual soils. Proc 4th Pan-American Conf on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, San Juan, Puerto Rico, pp 87–170
- Dick, R. A., Fletcher, L. R., & D'Andrea, D. V. (1983). *Explosives and blasting procedures manual* (No. 8925). US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines.
- Dixon, H.W., 1969. Decomposition products of rock substances. Proposed engineering geological classification. Rock Mechanics Symp. Stephen Roberts Theatre, Univ. Sydney, pp.39-44.
- Dowding CH (ed) (2000) Construction vibrations. pp 204–207
- Duvall WI and Petkof BB. *Spherical propagation of explosion-generated strain pulses in rock*. Report of Investigations (RI) 5483, 1 January 1959. Washington, DC: US Bureau of Mines.
- Duvall, W. I., & Fogelson, D. E. (1962). *Review of criteria for estimating damage to residences from blasting vibrations* (p. 5968). US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines.
- Duzgoren-Aydin, N. S. (2002). Comparative study of weathering signatures in felsic igneous rocks of Hong Kong. *Chemical Speciation & Bioavailability*, 14(1-4), 1-18.
- Duzgoren-Aydin, N. S., & Aydin, A. (2003). Chemical heterogeneities of weathered Igneous Profiles: implications for chemical indices. *Environmental and Engineering Geoscience*, 9(4), 363-376.
- Eberhart, R., & Kennedy, J. (1995, October). A new optimizer using particle swarm theory. In *MHS'95. Proceedings of the Sixth International Symposium on Micro Machine and Human Science* (pp. 39-43). Ieee.
- Ehlen, J. (2002). Some effects of weathering on joints in granitic rocks. *Catena*, 49(1-2), 91-109.
- Fanelli, G., Dantone, M., Gall, J., Fossati, A., and Gool, L. (2012). Random forests for real time 3D face analysis. *Int. J. Comput. Vis.* 1, 1–22. doi: 10.1007/s11263-012-0549-0
- Faradonbeh, R. S., Armaghani, D. J., Amnieh, H. B., & Mohamad, E. T. (2018). Prediction and minimization of blast-induced flyrock using gene expression

- programming and firefly algorithm. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 29(6), 269-281.
- Faradonbeh, R. S., Armaghani, D. J., Majid, M. A., Tahir, M. M., Murlidhar, B. R., Monjezi, M., & Wong, H. M. (2016a). Prediction of ground vibration due to quarry blasting based on gene expression programming: a new model for peak particle velocity prediction. *International journal of environmental science and technology*, 13(6), 1453-1464.
- Faradonbeh, R. S., Monjezi, M., & Armaghani, D. J. (2016b). Genetic programming and non-linear multiple regression techniques to predict backbreak in blasting operation. *Engineering with computers*, 32(1), 123-133.
- Fişne, A., Kuzu, C., & Hüdaverdi, T. (2011). Prediction of environmental impacts of quarry blasting operation using fuzzy logic. *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, 174(1-4), 461-470.
- Fletcher, L. R., & D'Andrea, D. V. (1987). Reducing accident through improved blasting safety. USBM IC, 9135. *Proceedings of bureau of mines technology transfer SEM. Chicago*, 6-18.
- Fookes, P. G., & Hawkins, A. B. (1988). Limestone weathering: its engineering significance and a proposed classification scheme. *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology*, 21(1), 7-31.
- Fookes, P. G., & Horswill, P. (1970). Discussion on engineering grade zones. *Proceedings of the In Situ Investigations in Soils and Rocks, London*, 53-57.
- Fookes, P. G., Dearman, W. R., & Franklin, J. A. (1971). Some engineering aspects of rock weathering with field examples from Dartmoor and elsewhere. *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology*, 4(3), 139-185.
- Fourie, G. A., & Dohm, G. C. (1992). Open pit planning and design. *SME Mining Engineering Handbook*, 2, 1274-1297.
- Franklin, J. A., and Maerz, N. H. (1996). Empirical design and rock mass characterization: Proceedings of the Fragblast 5 Workshop on Measurement of Blast Fragmentation, pp. 193- 201.
- Friedman, J. H. (1991). Multivariate adaptive regression splines. *The annals of statistics*, 19(1), 1-67.
- G. Tsiambaos, H. Saroglou Excavatability assessment of rock masses using the Geological Strength Index (GSI) Bull. Eng. Geol. Environ., 69 (1)(2010), pp. 13-27

- Gao, W., Karbasi, M., Derakhsh, A. M., & Jalili, A. (2019). Development of a novel soft-computing framework for the simulation aims: a case study. *Engineering with Computers*, 35(1), 315-322.
- Ghasemi, E., Amini, H., Ataei, M., & Khalokakaei, R. (2014). Application of artificial intelligence techniques for predicting the flyrock distance caused by blasting operation. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 7(1), 193-202.
- Ghasemi, E., Ataei, M., & Hashemolhosseini, H. (2013). Development of a fuzzy model for predicting ground vibration caused by rock blasting in surface mining. *Journal of Vibration and Control*, 19(5), 755-770.
- Ghasemi, E., Sari, M., & Ataei, M. (2012). Development of an empirical model for predicting the effects of controllable blasting parameters on flyrock distance in surface mines. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, 52, 163-170.
- Ghoraba, S., Monjezi, M., Talebi, N., Moghadam, M. R., & Jahed Armaghani, D. (2015). Prediction of ground vibration caused by blasting operations through a neural network approach: a case study of Gol-E-Gohar Iron Mine. *Iran. J Zhejiang Univ Sci A. doi*, 10, 1631.
- Ghose A.K. (1988), "Design of drilling and blasting subsystems – A rockmass classification approach", Mine Planning and Equipment Selection, Balkema.
- Gokceoglu, C., & Aksoy, H. (2000). New approaches to the characterization of clay-bearing, densely jointed and weak rock masses. *Engineering Geology*, 58(1), 1-23.
- Gokceoglu, C., Zorlu, K., Ceryan, S., & Nefeslioglu, H. A. (2009). A comparative study on indirect determination of degree of weathering of granites from some physical and strength parameters by two soft computing techniques. *Materials Characterization*, 60(11), 1317-1327.
- Gordan, B., Koopialipoor, M., Clementking, A., Tootoonchi, H., & Mohamad, E. T. (2018). Estimating and optimizing safety factors of retaining wall through neural network and bee colony techniques. *Engineering with Computers*, 1-10.
- Gulec, K. (1973). The relationship between engineering geology and physico-mechanical properties of opium marbles and decomposition (Doctoral dissertation, ITU).
- Gumede, H., & Stacey, T. R. (2007). Measurement of typical joint characteristics in South African gold mines and the use of these characteristics in the prediction

- of rock falls. *Journal of the Southern African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy*, 107(5), 335-344.
- Guolin R, Yushan L (1990). Engineering geological zonation of Xiamen granitic weathered crust and bearing capacity of residual soil. 6 th International IAEG Congress, 1989- 1996, Balkema Rotterdam.
- Gupta R. N. et al(1990), “Design of Blasting Patterns using Presplitting with Air Deck Technique for Dragline and Heavy Shovel Benches near Populated Areas”, Proceedings of International Symposium on Explosive and Blasting Technique, Nov 17-18.
- Gupta, A. S., & Rao, K. S. (1998). Index properties of weathered rocks: inter-relationships and applicability. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 57(2), 161-172.
- Gupta, A. S., & Rao, S. K. (2001). Weathering indices and their applicability for crystalline rocks. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 60(3), 201-221.
- Gupta, V., & Sharma, R. (2012). Relationship between textural, petrophysical and mechanical properties of quartzites: a case study from northwestern Himalaya. *Engineering geology*, 135, 1-9.
- Hagan, T. N. (1983, August). The influence of controllable blast parameters on fragmentation and mining costs. In *Proceedings of the 1st international symposium on rock fragmentation by blasting* (Vol. 1, pp. 31-32).
- Hajihassani, M., Armaghani, D. J., Marto, A., & Mohamad, Edy. Tonnizam. (2015a). Ground vibration prediction in quarry blasting through an artificial neural network optimized by imperialist competitive algorithm. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 74(3), 873-886.
- Hajihassani, M., Armaghani, D. J., Monjezi, M., Mohamad, E. T., & Marto, A. (2015b). Blast-induced air and ground vibration prediction: a particle swarm optimization-based artificial neural network approach. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 74(4), 2799-2817.
- Hajihassani, M., Armaghani, D. J., Sohaei, H., Mohamad, Edy. Tonnizam., & Marto, A. (2014). Prediction of airblast-overpressure induced by blasting using a hybrid artificial neural network and particle swarm optimization. *Applied Acoustics*, 80, 57-67.

- Hamrol, A. (1961). A quantitative classification of the weathering and weatherability of rocks. In *International Conference of Soil and Mechanical Engineers, Vol. 2* (pp. 771-774).
- Hansen, L. K., and Salamon, P. (1990). Neural network ensembles. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 12(10), 993-1001
- Hao, H., Wu, Y., Ma, G., & Zhou, Y. (2001). Characteristics of surface ground motions induced by blasts in jointed rock mass. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 21(2), 85-98.
- Hasanipanah, M., Armaghani, D. J., Amnieh, H. B., Koopialipour, M., & Arab, H. (2018). A risk-based technique to analyze flyrock results through rock engineering system. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, 36(4), 2247-2260.
- Hasanipanah, M., Armaghani, D. J., Amnieh, H. B., Majid, M. Z. A., & Tahir, M. M. (2017). Application of PSO to develop a powerful equation for prediction of flyrock due to blasting. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 28(1), 1043-1050.
- Hasanipanah, M., Armaghani, D. J., Monjezi, M., & Shams, S. (2016). Risk assessment and prediction of rock fragmentation produced by blasting operation: a rock engineering system. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 75(9), 808.
- Hasanipanah, M., Monjezi, M., Shahnazar, A., Armaghani, D. J., & Farazmand, A. (2015). Feasibility of indirect determination of blast induced ground vibration based on support vector machine. *Measurement*, 75, 289-297.
- Haykin, S. (1994). *Neural networks: a comprehensive foundation*. Prentice Hall PTR.
- Heidari, M., Momeni, A. A., & Naseri, F. (2013). New weathering classifications for granitic rocks based on geomechanical parameters. *Engineering geology*, 166, 65-73.
- Heilig, J. H. (2006). *Overpressure Restrictions: Review and Implication on Blast Design*, a report to the Hong Kong Construction Association, Heilig and Partners Pty. Ltd., November 2006.
- Hoek E, Brown ET (1997) Practical estimates of rock mass strength. *Int J Rock Mech Min Sci Geomech Abstr* 34:1165– 1186
- Hoerl, A. E., & Kennard, R. W. (1970). Ridge regression: Biased estimation for nonorthogonal problems. *Technometrics*, 12(1), 55-67.
- Hopler RB (1998) *Blasters' Handbook*. International Society of Explosives Engineers

- Hornik K, Stinchcombe M, White H (1989) Multilayer feed forward networks are universal approximators. *Neural Netw* 2:359–366
- Hosseini, S. A., Tavana, A., Abdolahi, S. M., and Darvishmaslak, S. (2019). Prediction of blast-induced ground vibrations in quarry sites: a comparison of GP, RSM and MARS. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 119, 118-129.
- Hudson, J. (1992). *Rock engineering systems. Theory and practice*
- Hustrulid, W. A. (1999). *Blasting principles for open pit mining: general design concepts*. Balkema.
- Ibarra, J. A., Maerz, N. H., & Franklin, J. A. (1996). Overbreak and underbreak in underground openings part 2: causes and implications. *Geotechnical & Geological Engineering*, 14(4), 325-340.
- Illiev, I. G. (1967). An attempt to estimate the degree of weathering of intrusive rocks from their physica-mechanical properties. In *Proceedings of the 1st Congress International Society for Rock Mechanics, Lisbon* (Vol. 1, pp. 109-114). International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 36(2), 253-256.
- International Society for Rock Mechanics. (2007). *The complete ISRM suggested methods for rock characterization, testing and monitoring: 1974-2006*. International Soc. for Rock Mechanics, Commission on Testing Methods.
- International Society of Rock Mechanics (ISRM). (2007). *The Complete ISRM Suggested Methods for Rock Characterization, Testing and Monitoring. Suggested Methods Prepared by the Commission on Testing Methods, International Society for Rock Mechanics*, 628.
- Irfan, T. Y. (1996). Mineralogy, fabric properties and classification of weathered granites in Hong Kong. *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology*, 29(1), 5-35.
- Irfan, T. Y., & Dearman, W. R. (1978). Engineering classification and index properties of a weathered granite. *Bulletin of the International Association of Engineering Geology-Bulletin de l'Association Internationale de Géologie de l'Ingénieur*, 17(1), 79-90.
- Irfan, T. Y., & Powell, G. E. (1985). Engineering geological investigations for pile foundations on a deeply weathered granitic rock in Hong Kong. *Bulletin of the International Association of Engineering Geology-Bulletin de l'Association Internationale de Géologie de l'Ingénieur*, 32(1), 67-80.

- ISRM (1978) Suggested methods for quantitative description of discontinuities in rock masses. Int. Soc. for Rock Mech., Commission on Standardization of Laboratory and Field Tests. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci Geomech Abstr 15:319–368
- Ivakhnenko, A. G. (1971). Polynomial theory of complex systems. *IEEE transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, (4), 364-378.
- Jenkins, S., & Floyd, J. (2000). Stemming Enhancement Tests. In *PROCEEDINGS OF THE ANNUAL CONFERENCE ON EXPLOSIVES AND BLASTING TECHNIQUE* (Vol. 2, pp. 191-204). ISEE; 1999.
- Jenkins, S.S. (Jr.) and Floyd, J. (2000). Stemming enhancement tests, 26th Annual Conference on Explosives and Blasting Technique of ISEE, Feb. 13-16, Anaheim, 2:191-204.
- Jiang Han, Xu Weiya and Xie Shouyi (2000), “Artificial Neural Network Method of Rockmass Blastability Classification”, *Geocomputation 2000*,
- Jimeno, C. (1995). Rock drilling and blasting. *AA Balkema, Rotterdam, Brookfield*, 8-35.
- Jimeno, C. L., & Jimeno, E. Carcedo (1995). *Drilling and Blasting of Rocks*.
- JIMENO, C. L., JIMENO, E. L., CARCEDO, F. J. A., & DE RAMIRO, Y. V. *DRILLING AND BLASTING OF ROCKS*
- Johnson, R., and Zhang, T. (2012). *Learning Nonlinear Functions Using Regularized Greedy Forest*. Technical Report. arXiv:1109.0887. doi: 10.2172/1052139
- Kaelbling, L. P., Littman, M. L., & Moore, A. W. (1996). Reinforcement learning: A survey. *Journal of artificial intelligence research*, 4, 237-285.
- Kalaivani, A., Ananthi, B., & Sangeetha, S. (2019). Enhanced hierarchical attribute based encryption with modular padding for improved public auditing in cloud computing using semantic ontology. *Cluster Computing*, 22(2), 3783-3790.
- Kalantary, F., Ardalan, H., & Nariman-Zadeh, N. (2009). An investigation on the Su–NSPT correlation using GMDH type neural networks and genetic algorithms. *Engineering Geology*, 104(1-2), 144-155.
- Karaboga D (2005) An idea based on honey bee swarm for numerical optimization. Technical report. Computer Engineering Department, Engineering Faculty, Erciyes University

- Kaushik D., Phalguni S., (2003). Concept of Blastability – An Update, *The Indian Mining & Mining & Metallurgy*, 57(1), 1-10.
- Kecojevic, V., & Radomsky, M. (2005). Flyrock phenomena and area security in blasting-related accidents. *Safety science*, 43(9), 739-750.
- Kennedy, J., & Eberhart, R. (1995, November). Particle swarm optimization (PSO). In *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks, Perth, Australia* (pp. 1942-1948).
- Khandelwal, M., & Kankar, P. K. (2011). Prediction of blast-induced air overpressure using support vector machine. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 4(3-4), 427-433.
- Khandelwal, M., & Monjezi, M. (2013). Prediction of backbreak in open-pit blasting operations using the machine learning method. *Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering*, 46(2), 389-396.
- Khandelwal, M., & Singh, T. N. (2005). Prediction of blast induced air overpressure in opencast mine. *Noise & Vibration Worldwide*, 36(2), 7-16.
- Mohamed, M. T. (2011). Performance of fuzzy logic and artificial neural network in prediction of ground and air vibrations. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, 48(5), 845.
- Khandelwal, M., & Singh, T. N. (2007). Evaluation of blast-induced ground vibration predictors. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 27(2), 116-125.
- Khandelwal, M., & Singh, T. N. (2009a). Correlating static properties of coal measures rocks with P-wave velocity. *International Journal of Coal Geology*, 79(1-2), 55-60.
- Khandelwal, M., & Singh, T. N. (2009b). Prediction of blast-induced ground vibration using artificial neural network. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, 46(7), 1214-1222.
- Kilic, R. (1999). A unified alteration index (UAI) for mafic rocks. *Environmental & Engineering Geoscience*, (4), 475-483.
- Kleinbaum, D. G., Kupper, L. L., Muller, K. E., & Nizam, A. (1988). *Applied regression analysis and other multivariable methods* (Vol. 601). Belmont, CA: Duxbury Press.
- Kocbay, A. (2003). *Investigation of characteristics and alteration degree of basalts outcropped in the vicinity of Osmancik-Corum* (Doctoral dissertation, Ph. D thesis, Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey, p 46 (in Turkish)).

- Kokaneh, S. P., Maghsoodan, S., MolaAbasi, H., & Kordnaeij, A. (2013). Seepage evaluation of an earth dam using Group Method of Data Handling (GMDH) type neural network: A case study. *Scientific Research and Essays*, 8(3), 120-127.
- Komoo, I. (1985). Engineering properties of weathered rock profiles in Peninsular Malaysia. Institution of Engineers Malaysia.
- Komoo, I. (1987). Engineering properties of the igneous rocks in Peninsular Malaysia.
- Komoo, I. (1995). Weathering as an important factor in assessing engineering properties of rock materials. In *Proc. GSM Forum on Soil and Rock Properties Kuala Lumpur* (pp. 31-35).
- Komoo, I. (1995). Weathering as an important factor in assessing engineering properties of rock materials. In *Forum on Soil and Rock Properties, Geological Society Malaysia. Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur*.
- Komoo, I. (1998). Deep weathering: major cause of slope failure in wet tropical terrain. In *Engineering Geology: A global view from the Pacific Rim* (pp. 1773-1778).
- Konya, C. J., & Walter, E. J. (1990). *Surface blast design* (p. 303). Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall.
- Koopialipoor, M., Fahimifar, A., Ghaleini, E. N., Momenzadeh, M., & Armaghani, D. J. (2019). Development of a new hybrid ANN for solving a geotechnical problem related to tunnel boring machine performance. *Engineering with Computers*, 1-13.
- Koopialipoor, M., Fallah, A., Armaghani, D. J., Azizi, A., & Mohamad, E. T. (2019). Three hybrid intelligent models in estimating flyrock distance resulting from blasting. *Engineering with Computers*, 35(1), 243-256.
- Koopialipoor, M., Ghaleini, E. N., and Madani, S. H. (2018). Minimization of over break due to exploding in tunnel using intelligent methods *Iranian Journal of Mining Engineering-IRJME Vol, 13*(40), 118-129.
- Kordnaeij, A., Kalantary, F., Kordtabar, B., & Mola-Abasi, H. (2015). Prediction of recompression index using GMDH-type neural network based on geotechnical soil properties. *Soils and Foundations*, 55(6), 1335-1345.
- Kotsiantis, S. B., Zaharakis, I., & Pintelas, P. (2007). Supervised machine learning: A review of classification techniques. *Emerging artificial intelligence applications in computer engineering*, 160, 3-24.

- Kumar, R., Choudhury, D., & Bhargava, K. (2014). Response of shallow foundation in rocks subjected to underground blast loading using FLAC3D. *Disaster Adv*, 7(2), 64-71.
- Kumar, R., Choudhury, D., & Bhargava, K. (2016). Determination of blast-induced ground vibration equations for rocks using mechanical and geological properties. *Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*, 8(3), 341-349.
- Kuzu, C. (2008). The importance of site-specific characters in prediction models for blast-induced ground vibrations. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 28(5), 405-414.
- Kuzu, C., Fisne, A., & Ercelebi, S. G. (2009). Operational and geological parameters in the assessing blast induced airblast-overpressure in quarries. *Applied Acoustics*, 70(3), 404-411.
- Lan, H. X., Hu, R. L., Yue, Z. Q., Lee, C. F., & Wang, S. J. (2003). Engineering and geological characteristics of granite weathering profiles in South China. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, 21(4), 353-364.
- Langefors U, Kihlstrom B. The modern technique of blasting. New York: John Wiley and Sons; 1963.
- Latham, J. P., & Lu, P. (1999). Development of an assessment system for the blastability of rock masses. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, 36(1), 41-55.
- Lee, D. W., & Kim, S. H. (2016). An analysis of the results of trial blasting of site development project in the volcanic island. *International Science Index, Civil and Environmental Engineering*, 10(12), 1534-1539.
- Lee, S. G., & De Freitas, M. H. (1989). A revision of the description and classification of weathered granite and its application to granites in Korea. *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology*, 22(1), 31-48.
- Leighton, J. C. (1982). *Development of a correlation between rotary drill performance and controlled blasting powder factors* (Doctoral dissertation, University of British Columbia). Jimeno (1989) Pg40P5L3
- Lilly, P. A. (1986). An empirical method of assessing rock mass blastability. *The Aus.*
- Little, A. L. (1969). The engineering classification of residual tropical soils, in Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering Specialty Session on Engineering Properties of

- Little, T. N. (2007, September). Flyrock risk. In *Proceedings EXPLOR* (pp. 3-4).
- Lopez-Jimeno, C. & L. Fernandez, Optimización de los Costes Mineros a través del Control de las Voladuras. Programa OPTIVOL Canteras y Explotaciones. Diciembre, 1989.
- Lu, P. (1997). The characterisation and analysis of in-situ and blasted block-size distributions and the blastability of rock masses (Doctoral dissertation).
- Lu, P., & Latham, J. P. (1996, January). In-situ block size distribution prediction with special reference to discontinuities with fractal spacing distributions. In *ISRM International Symposium-EUROCK 96*. International Society for Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering.
- Lu, P., & Latham, J. P. (1998). A model for the transition of block sizes during fragmentation blasting of rock masses. *Fragblast*, 2(3), 341-368
- Lumb, P. (1962). The properties of decomposed granite. *Geotechnique*, 12(3), 226-243.
- Lundborg, N. (1974). The hazards of flyrock in rock blasting. *Swedish Detonic Research Foundation, Reports DS, 12*.
- Lundborg, N., Persson, N., Ladegaard-Pedersen, A., & Holmberg, R. (1975). Keeping the lid on flyrock in open pit blasting. *Eng Min J*, 176, 95-100.
- Mandal, S. K. (1997). Causes of flyrock damages and its remedial measures. Course on: recent advances in blasting techniques in mining and construction projects, HRD-CMRI Dhanbad, 130-136.
- Marinos, P., & Hoek, E. (2000, November). GSI: a geologically friendly tool for rock mass strength estimation. In *ISRM international symposium*. International Society for Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering.
- Marinos, V. I. I. I., P. Marinos, and Evert Hoek. "The geological strength index: applications and limitations." *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment* 64, no. 1 (2005): 55-65.
- Martin, R. P. (1986). Use of index tests for engineering assessment of weathered rocks. In *Proceedings of 5th congress of international association of engineering geologists, Buenos Aires* (pp. 433-450).
- Terzaghi, K. (1946). Rock Defects and
- Marto, A., Hajihassani, M., Jahed Armaghani, D., Tonnizam Mohamad, Edy., & Makhtar, A. M. (2014). A novel approach for blast-induced flyrock prediction based on imperialist competitive algorithm and artificial neural network. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2014.

- McKenzie, C. K. (2009). Flyrock range and fragment size prediction. In *Proceedings of the 35th annual conference on explosives and blasting technique* (Vol. 2). International Society of Explosives Engineers.
- Mendes FM, Aires-Barros L, Rodrigues FP (1967) The use of modal analysis in the mechanical characterization of rock masses. Proc 1st Int Congr In Soc Roc Mech Lisbon 1:217–233
- Mendes, R., Cortez, P., Rocha, M., & Neves, J. (2002). Particle swarms for feedforward neural network training. In *Proceedings of the 2002 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks. IJCNN'02 (Cat. No. 02CH37290)* (Vol. 2, pp. 1895-1899). IEEE.
- Mohamad, Edy. Tonnizam., Alshameri, B. A., Kassim, K. A., & Gofar, N. (2011). Shear strength behaviour for older alluvium under different moisture content. *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 16, 605-617.
- Mohamad, Edy. Tonnizam., Armaghani, D. J., & Motaghedi, H. (2013). The effect of geological structure and powder factor in flyrock accident, Masai, Johor, Malaysia. *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 18, 5561-5572.
- Mohamad, Edy. Tonnizam., Armaghani, D. J., Hajihassani, M., Faizi, K., & Marto, A. (2013). A simulation approach to predict blasting-induced flyrock and size of thrown rocks. *Electron J Geotech Eng*, 18(B), 365-374.
- Mohamad, Edy. Tonnizam., Armaghani, D. J., Hasanipanah, M., Murlidhar, B. R., & Alel, M. N. A. (2016). Estimation of air-overpressure produced by blasting operation through a neuro-genetic technique. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 75(2), 174.
- Mohamad, Edy. Tonnizam., Hajihassani, M., Armaghani, D. J., & Marto, A. (2012). Simulation of blasting-induced air overpressure by means of artificial neural networks. *Int Rev Modell Simulations*, 5, 2501-2506.
- Mohamad, Edy. Tonnizam., Isa, M. F. M., Komoo, I., Gofar, N., & Saad, R. (2011). Effect of moisture content on the strength of various weathering grades of granite. *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 16, 863-886.
- Mohamad, Edy. Tonnizam., Latifi, N., Arefnia, A., & Isa, M. F. (2016). Effects of moisture content on the strength of tropically weathered granite from Malaysia. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 75(1), 369-390.

- Mohamad, E. Tonnizam., Yi, C. S., Murlidhar, B. R., & Saad, R. (2018). Effect of Geological Structure on Flyrock Prediction in Construction Blasting. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, 36(4), 2217-2235.
- Mohamed, M. T. (2011). Performance of fuzzy logic and artificial neural network in prediction of ground and air vibrations. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, 48(5), 845.
- Mohammadnejad, M., Gholami, R., Ramezanzadeh, A., & Jalali, M. E. (2012). Prediction of blast-induced vibrations in limestone quarries using support vector machine. *Journal of Vibration and Control*, 18(9), 1322-1329.
- Mohan, V., Devi, K. S., Srinivasan, R., & Sushamani, K. (2014). In-vitro evaluation of chromium tolerant plant growth promoting bacteria from tannery sludge sample, Dindugal, Tamil Nadu, India. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*, 3(10), 336-344.
- Monjezi, M., Ahmadi, M., Sheikhan, M., Bahrami, A., & Salimi, A. R. (2010). Predicting blast-induced ground vibration using various types of neural networks. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 30(11), 1233-1236.
- Monjezi, M., Bahrami, A., & Varjani, A. Y. (2010). Simultaneous prediction of fragmentation and flyrock in blasting operation using artificial neural networks. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, 3(47), 476-480.
- Monjezi, M., Bahrami, A., Varjani, A. Y., & Sayadi, A. R. (2011). Prediction and controlling of flyrock in blasting operation using artificial neural network. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 4(3-4), 421-425.
- Monjezi, M., Ghafurikalajahi, M., & Bahrami, A. (2011). Prediction of blast-induced ground vibration using artificial neural networks. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology*, 26(1), 46-50.
- Monjezi, M., Hasanipanah, M., & Khandelwal, M. (2013). Evaluation and prediction of blast-induced ground vibration at Shur River Dam, Iran, by artificial neural network. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 22(7-8), 1637-1643.
- Monjezi, M., Khoshalan, H. A., & Varjani, A. Y. (2012). Prediction of flyrock and backbreak in open pit blasting operation: a neuro-genetic approach. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 5(3), 441-448.
- Moye, D. G. (1955). Engineering geology for the Snow Mountain schema. *Jour.Institution of Engineerers, Australia*, 27, 281-299.

- Muro, C., Escobedo, R., Spector, L., & Coppinger, R. P. (2011). Wolf-pack (*Canis lupus*) hunting strategies emerge from simple rules in computational simulations. *Behavioural processes*, 88(3), 192-197.
- Murthy, V. M. S. R., Dey, K., & Raitani, R. (2003). Prediction of overbreak in underground tunnel blasting: a case study.
- Nazir, R., Momeni, E., Armaghani, D. J., & Amin, M. M. (2013). Prediction of unconfined compressive strength of limestone rock samples using L-type Schmidt hammer. *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 18, 1767-1775.
- Negnevitsky, M. (2005). *Artificial intelligence: a guide to intelligent systems*. Pearson education.
- Nguyen, H., Bui, X. N., Tran, Q. H., Le, T. Q., & Do, N. H. (2019). Evaluating and predicting blast-induced ground vibration in open-cast mine using ANN: a case study in Vietnam. *SN Applied Sciences*, 1(1), 125.
- Nicholls, H. R., Johnson, C. F., & Duvall, W. I. (1971). *Blasting vibrations and their effects on structures* (p. 656). US Government Printers.
- Nicholson, D. T. (2001). Pore properties as indicators of breakdown mechanisms in experimentally weathered limestones. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms: The Journal of the British Geomorphological Research Group*, 26(8), 819-838..
- Nicholson, D. T., & Nicholson, F. H. (2000). Physical deterioration of sedimentary rocks subjected to experimental freeze–thaw weathering. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms: The Journal of the British Geomorphological Research Group*, 25(12), 1295-1307.
- Ning, Y., Yang, J., An, X., & Ma, G. (2011). Modelling rock fracturing and blast-induced rock mass failure via advanced discretisation within the discontinuous deformation analysis framework. *Computers and Geotechnics*, 38(1), 40-49.
- Nourani, V., Baghanam, A. H., Adamowski, J., & Gebremichael, M. (2013). Using self-organizing maps and wavelet transforms for space–time pre-processing of satellite precipitation and runoff data in neural network based rainfall–runoff modeling. *Journal of hydrology*, 476, 228-243.
- Ogunsola, N. O., Olaleye, B. M., & Saliu, M. A. Effects of Weathering on some Physical and Mechanical Properties of Ewekoro Limestone, South-western Nigeria. *International Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 4(11).

- Ohta, T., & Arai, H. (2007). Statistical empirical index of chemical weathering in igneous rocks: A new tool for evaluating the degree of weathering. *Chemical Geology*, 240(3-4), 280-297.
- Olofsson, S. O. (1990). Applied explosives technology for construction and mining. Applex.
- Ongen, T., Karakus, D., Konak, G., & Onur, A. H. (2018). Assessment of blast-induced vibration using various estimation models. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*, 145, 267-273.
- Onodera, T. F., Yoshinaka, R., & Oda, M. (1974). Weathering and its relation to mechanical properties of granite. *Proc 3rd Cong Int Soc Rock Mech Denver A*, 2, 71-78.
- Orhan, M., Işık, N. S., Topal, T., & Özer, M. (2006). Effect of weathering on the geomechanical properties of andesite, Ankara–Turkey. *Environmental Geology*, 50(1), 85-100.
- Oriad LL (2002) Explosive engineering, construction vibrations and geotechnology. International Society of Explosives Engineers, Cleveland, p 680
- Pal Roy P. Vibration control in an opencast mine based on improved blast vibration predictors. *Min Sci Tech* 1991; 12(2): 157–165.
- Palangio, T. C., & Maerz, N. H. (1999). Case studies using the WipFrag image analysis system. *FRAGBLAST*, 6, 117-120.
- Parker, A. (1970). An index of weathering for silicate rocks. *Geological Magazine*, 107(6), 501-504.
- Peng, L. C., Leman, M. S., Nasib, B., & Karim, R. (2004). *Stratigraphic lexicon of Malaysia* (p. 162). Kuala Lumpur: Geological Society of Malaysia.
- Perri, F., & Ohta, T. (2014). Paleoclimatic conditions and paleoweathering processes on Mesozoic continental redbeds from Western-Central Mediterranean Alpine Chains. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 395, 144-157.
- Perri, F., Scarciglia, F., Apollaro, C., & Marini, L. (2015). Characterization of granitoid profiles in the Sila Massif (Calabria, southern Italy) and reconstruction of weathering processes by mineralogy, chemistry, and reaction path modeling. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 15(6), 1351-1372.
- Persson, P. A., Holmberg, R., & Lee, J. (1993). *Rock blasting and explosives engineering*. CRC press.

- Pittman, S. J., & Brown, K. A. (2011). Multi-scale approach for predicting fish species distributions across coral reef seascapes. *PloS one*, 6(5), e20583.
- Price, J. R., & Velbel, M. A. (2003). Chemical weathering indices applied to weathering profiles developed on heterogeneous felsic metamorphic parent rocks. *Chemical geology*, 202(3-4), 397-416.
- Priest, S. D., & Hudson, J. A. (1976, May). Discontinuity spacings in rock. In *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences & Geomechanics Abstracts* (Vol. 13, No. 5, pp. 135-148). Pergamon.
- Qi, Y. (2012). Random forest for bioinformatics. In *Ensemble machine learning* (pp.307-323). Springer, Boston, MA.
- Quiñonero-Candela, J., & Rasmussen, C. E. (2005). A unifying view of sparse approximate Gaussian process regression. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 6(Dec), 1939-1959.
- Ragam, P., & Nimaje, D. S. (2018). Selection and Evolution of MEMS Accelerometer Sensor for Measurement of Blast-Induced Peak Particle Velocity. *IEEE sensors letters*, 2(4), 1-4.
- Rai, P., & Imperial, F. L. (2005). Mesh area vis-à-vis blast performance in a limestone quarry of the Philippines. *Fragblast*, 9(4), 219-232.
- Raina, A. K., Murthy, V. M. S. R., & Soni, A. K. (2014). Flyrock in bench blasting: a comprehensive review. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 73(4), 1199-1209.
- Raina, A. K., Murthy, V. M. S. R., & Soni, A. K. (2015). Flyrock in surface mine blasting: understanding the basics to develop a predictive regime. *Current Science*, 660-665.
- Raj, J. K. (1985). Characterisation of the weathering profile developed over a porphyritic biotite granite in Peninsular Malaysia. *Bulletin of the International Association of Engineering Geology-Bulletin de l'Association Internationale de Géologie de l'Ingénieur*, 32(1), 121-129.
- Raj, J. K. (1998). The failure of a slope cut into the weathering profile developed over porphyritic biotite granite. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences*, 16(4), 419-427.
- Raj, J. K. (2010). Soil-moisture retention characteristics of earth materials in the weathering profile over a porphyritic biotite granite. *Am J Geosci*, 1(1), 12-20.
- Raj, J.

- Rakishev B. R. (1982), "A New Characteristics of the Blastability of Rock in Quarries", Soviet Mining Science, Vol-17, pp248-251.
- Rakishev, B. R. (1981). A new characteristic of the blastability of rock in quarries. *Journal of Mining Science*, 17(3), 248-251.
- Rehak, T. R., Bajpayee, T. S., Mowrey, G. L., & Ingram, D. K. (2001). Flyrock issues in blasting.
- Reiche, P. (1943). Graphic representation of chemical weathering. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, 13(2), 58-68.
- Rezaei, M., Monjezi, M., & Varjani, A. Y. (2011). Development of a fuzzy model to predict flyrock in surface mining. *Safety science*, 49(2), 298-305.
- Richards A, Moore A (2004) Flyrock control-by chance or design. In: proceedings of the annual conference on explosives and blasting technique, vol 1. ISEE, 1999, pp 335–348
- Richards AB (2010) Elliptical airblast overpressure model. *Min Technol* 119(4):205–211
- Rodríguez R, Lombardia C, Torno S (2010) Prediction of the air wave due to blasting inside tunnels: approximation to a 'phonometric curve'. *Tunn Undergr Sp Technol* 25:483–489
- Rosenthal, M. F., & Morlock, G. L. (1987). Blasting guidance manual.
- Roth, J. (1979). A model for the determination of flyrock range as a function of shot conditions. NTIS.
- Roy, P. P. (2012). *A comprehensive assessment of ground vibrations and structural damage caused by blasting*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC.
- Roy, P. (1993). Putting ground vibration predictions into practice. *Colliery Guardian*, 241(2), 63-7.
- Ruxton, B. P. (1968) Measures of the degree of chemical weathering of rocks:*J. Geol.*76, 518–527.
- Ruxton, B. P., & Berry, L. (1957). Weathering of granite and associated erosional features in Hong Kong. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 68(10), 1263-1292.
- Salamon, P. (1990). Neural network ensembles. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis & Machine Intelligence*, (10), 993-1001.
- Scott, A. (Ed.). (1996). *Open pit blast design: analysis and optimisation* (No. 1). Julius Kruttschnitt Mineral Research Centre.

- Segarra, P., Domingo, J. F., López, L. M., Sanchidrián, J. A., & Ortega, M. F. (2010). Prediction of near field overpressure from quarry blasting. *Applied Acoustics*, 71(12), 1169-1176.
- Selby MJ (1993) Hillslope materials and processes. University Press, Oxford
- Şen, Z. (2014). Rock quality designation-fracture intensity index method for geomechanical classification. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 7(7), 2915-2922.
- Sharma, S. K., & Rai, P. (2017). Establishment of blasting design parameters influencing mean fragment size using state-of-art statistical tools and techniques. *Measurement*, 96, 34-51.
- Shaw, R. (1997). Variations in sub-tropical deep weathering profiles over the Kowloon Granite, Hong Kong. *Journal of the geological society*, 154(6), 1077-1085.
- Shi, Y., & Eberhart, R. (1998, May). A modified particle swarm optimizer. In 1998 IEEE international conference on evolutionary computation proceedings. IEEE world congress on computational intelligence (Cat. No. 98TH8360) (pp. 69-73). IEEE.
- Shi, Y., & Eberhart, R. C. (1998, March). Parameter selection in particle swarm optimization. In *International conference on evolutionary programming* (pp. 591-600). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Shu, C., & Burn, D. H. (2004). Artificial neural network ensembles and their application in pooled flood frequency analysis. *Water Resources Research*, 40(9).
- Simon, N., Ghani, M. F. A., Hussin, A., Goh, T. L., Rafek, A. G., Surip, N., ... & Lee, K. E. (2015). Assessment of rockfall potential of limestone hills in the Kinta Valley. *Journal of Sustainability Science and Management*, 10(2), 24-34.
- Singh, B., & Goel, R. K. (1999). Rock mass classification: a practical approach in civil engineering (Vol. 46). Elsevier.
- Singh, D., & Sastry, V. (1986). Influence of structural discontinuity on rock fragmentation by blasting. In *Proceedings of the 6th international symposium on intense dynamic loading and its effects*. Beijing.
- Singh, P. K., & Sinha, A. (Eds.). (2012). *Rock Fragmentation by Blasting: Fragblast 10*. CRC Press.

- Singh, P. K., Roy, M. P., Paswan, R. K., Sarim, M. D., Kumar, S., & Jha, R. R. (2016). Rock fragmentation control in opencast blasting. *Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*, 8(2), 225-237.
- Singh, T. N., & Singh, V. (2005). An intelligent approach to prediction and control ground vibration in mines. *Geotechnical & Geological Engineering*, 23(3), 249-262.
- Siskind, D. E., Stachura, V. J., Stagg, M. S., & Kopp, J. W. (1980). *Structure response and damage produced by airblast from surface mining* (Vol. 8485). US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines.
- Slob, S., Hack, R., van Knapen, B., & Kemeny, J. (2004, October). Automated identification and characterization of discontinuity sets in outcropping rock masses using 3D terrestrial laser scan survey techniques. In *Proceedings of the ISRM regional symposium EUROCK* (pp. 439-443).
- Smola, A. J., & Schölkopf, B. (2000). Sparse greedy matrix approximation for machine learning.
- Song, J. J. (2006). Estimation of areal frequency and mean trace length of discontinuities observed in non-planar surfaces. *Rock mechanics and rock engineering*, 39(2), 131-146.
- Sousa, L. M. (2013). The influence of the characteristics of quartz and mineral deterioration on the strength of granitic dimensional stones. *Environmental earth sciences*, 69(4), 1333-1346.
- Sousa, L. M., del Río, L. M. S., Calleja, L., de Argandona, V. G. R., & Rey, A. R. (2005). Influence of microfractures and porosity on the physico-mechanical properties and weathering of ornamental granites. *Engineering Geology*, 77(1-2), 153-168.
- Standard, D. I. (2011). Bureau of Indian Standards.
- Stille, H., & Palmström, A. (2003). Classification as a tool in rock engineering. *Tunnelling and underground space technology*, 18(4), 331-345.
- Stojadinović, S., Pantović, R., & Žikić, M. (2011). Prediction of flyrock trajectories for forensic applications using ballistic flight equations. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, 48(7), 1086-1094.
- Sundaram, N. M., Rafek, A. G., & Komoo, I. (1998, September). The influence of rock mass properties in the assessment of TBM performance. In *Proceedings of the Eighth IAEG Congress, Vancouver, Balkema* (pp. 3553-9).

- Taghavifar, H., Mardani, A., & Taghavifar, L. (2013). A hybridized artificial neural network and imperialist competitive algorithm optimization approach for prediction of soil compaction in soil bin facility. *Measurement*, 46(8), 2288-2299.
- Tating, F., Hack, R., & Jetten, V. (2015). Weathering effects on discontinuity properties in sandstone in a tropical environment: case study at Kota Kinabalu, Sabah Malaysia. *Bulletin of engineering geology and the environment*, 74(2), 427-441.
- Topal, T., & Sözmen, B. (2003). Deterioration mechanisms of tuffs in Midas monument. *Engineering Geology*, 68(3-4), 201-223.
- Török, Á. (2003). Surface strength and mineralogy of weathering crusts on limestone buildings in Budapest. *Building and Environment*, 38(9-10), 1185-1192.
- Trivedi, R., Singh, T. N., & Raina, A. K. (2014). Prediction of blast-induced flyrock in Indian limestone mines using neural networks. *Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*, 6(5), 447-454.
- Trivedi, R., Singh, T. N., & Raina, A. K. (2016). Simultaneous prediction of blast-induced flyrock and fragmentation in opencast limestone mines using back propagation neural network. *International Journal of Mining and Mineral Engineering*, 7(3), 237-252.
- Tugrul A (2004) The effect of weathering on pore geometry and compressive strength of selected rock types from Turkey. *Eng Geol* 75:215–227
- Tuğrul, A & Korkanç, M. (2004). Evaluation of selected basalts from Niğde, Turkey, as source of concrete aggregate. *Engineering Geology*, 75(3-4), 291-307.
- Tuğrul, A. (2004). The effect of weathering on pore geometry and compressive strength of selected rock types from Turkey. *Engineering Geology*, 75(3-4), 215-227.
- Tugrul, A., & GÜRPINAR, O. (1997). The effect of chemical weathering on the engineering properties of Eocene basalts in northeastern Turkey. *Environmental & Engineering Geoscience*, 3(2), 225-234.
- Tugrul, A., & Zarif, I. H. (2000). Engineering aspects of limestone weathering in Istanbul, Turkey. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 58(3), 191-206.

- Tuğrul, A., & Zarif, I. H. (1999). Correlation of mineralogical and textural characteristics with engineering properties of selected granitic rocks from Turkey. *Engineering Geology*, 51(4), 303-317.
- United States. Bureau of Mines, & Siskind, D. E. (1980). *Structure response and damage produced by ground vibration from surface mine blasting* (p. 74). New York, NY, USA: US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines.
- US Bureau of Mines -Duvall WI and Fogelson DE (1962) Review of criteria for estimating damage to residence from blasting operations. US Bureau of Mines R.I. 5968
- Verkis, H. (2011). Flyrock: a continuing blast safety threat. In *37th Annual Conference on Explosives and Blasting Technique of ISEE, Feb* (pp. 6-9).
- Vogel, G. C., & Searby, L. A. (1973). Lewis acid-base interactions of zinc. alpha., beta., gamma., delta.-tetraphenylporphine with several neutral donors. *Inorganic Chemistry*, 12(4), 936-939.a
- Vogt, T. (1927). Sulitelmafeltets Geologi og Petrographi: Norges Geol.
- Weinert, H. H. (1968). Engineering petrology for roads in South Africa. *Engineering Geology*, 2(6), 363-395.
- White, A., & Farnfield, R. A. (1993). Computers and blasting. Transactions of the Institution of Mining and Metallurgy, Section A: Mining Technology, 102.
- Williams, C. K., & Rasmussen, C. E. (2006). *Gaussian processes for machine learning* (Vol. 2, No. 3, p. 4). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Wu, C., & Hao, H. (2005). Modeling of simultaneous ground shock and airblast pressure on nearby structures from surface explosions. *International journal of impact engineering*, 31(6), 699-717.
- Wu, Y. K., Hao, H., Zhou, Y. X., & Chong, K. (1998). Propagation characteristics of blast-induced shock waves in a jointed rock mass. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 17(6), 407-412.
- XUE, J. G., ZHOU, J., SHI, X. Z., WANG, H. Y., & HU, H. Y. (2010). Assessment of classification for rock mass blastability based on entropy coefficient of attribute recognition model. *Journal of Central South University (Science and Technology)*, 1, 251-256.
- Yang, X. S. Firefly algorithms for multimodal optimization, *Stochastic Algorithms: Foundations and Applications*, 2009, Vol. 5792. DOI, 10, 978-3.

- Yang, Y. B., & Hung, H. H. (1997). A parametric study of wave barriers for reduction of train-induced vibrations. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 40(20), 3729-3747.
- Yang, X. S. (2009, October). Firefly algorithms for multimodal optimization. In *International symposium on stochastic algorithms* (pp. 169-178). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Yang, X. S. (2010). Firefly algorithm, stochastic test functions and design optimisation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1003.1409*.
- Zhang, C., & LeVeque, R. J. (1997). The immersed interface method for acoustic wave equations with discontinuous coefficients. *Wave motion*, 25(3), 237-263.
- Zhao, J., Broms, B. B., Zhou, Y., & Choa, V. (1994). A study of the weathering of the Bukit Timah granite Part B: field and laboratory investigations. *Bulletin of the International Association of Engineering Geology-Bulletin de l'Association Internationale de Géologie de l'Ingénieur*, 50(1), 105-111.
- Zhou, J., & Li, X. B. (2012). Integrating unascertained measurement and information entropy theory to assess blastability of rock mass. *Journal of Central South University*, 19(7), 1953-1960.
- Zhou, J., Li, C., Arslan, C. A., Hasanipanah, M., & Amnieh, H. B. (2019). Performance evaluation of hybrid FFA-ANFIS and GA-ANFIS models to predict particle size distribution of a muck-pile after blasting. *Engineering with Computers*, 1-10.
- Zool, C. J. (1999). Alpha status, dominance, and division of labor in wolf packs. 77(1196-203).