PSYCHOLOGICAL CAPITAL, CONTEXTUAL DEMANDS AND RESOURCES, WORK-FAMILY LINKING MECHANISMS AND SATISFACTION WITH WORK-FAMILY BALANCE IN ACADEMIA OF PAKISTAN

FARHAN SARWAR

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Azman Hashim International Business School
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

NOVEMBER 2019

DEDICATION

I would like to dedicate this thesis to my MOTHER who has made great sacrifices in life for her loved ones and is a source of unconditional love and support for everyone

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

ABSTRACT

Satisfaction with work-family balance (SWFB) represents an individual's subjective appraisal of the overall balance between work and family domains. Despite strong indications that contemporary academic faculty are facing substantial work-family balance challenges, there is a lack of studies which has explored how their SWFB is shaped. The current study investigated how psychological capital (PsyCap) and job and family demands and resources influence the SWFB of academic faculty in public sector universities of Pakistan. Additionally, the study examined the mediating role of work-family linking mechanisms (WFLMs) that is bi-directional work-family conflict and work-family enrichment, based upon job demands-resources (JD-R) model. A quantitative study was conducted where questionnaires were distributed to 760 faculty members in 20 public sector universities of Pakistan. Out of the 523 returned responses, 444 questionnaires were usable after data screening. Partial least square based structural equation modeling using SMART PLS 3.2.7 was used to analyze the measurement and structural models in this study. The results of hypothesis testing revealed that job demands, job resources, family resources, PsyCap, work to family conflict, work to family enrichment and family to work enrichment significantly affected SWFB. Job demands and job resources was significantly related to both work to family conflict and enrichment. Family demands were significantly related only to the family to work conflict, but family resources significantly predicted both family to work enrichment and conflict. PsyCap was a significant predictor of SWFB as well as four types of WFLMs. Mediation analysis revealed that work to family conflict and enrichment significantly mediate all the proposed paths while family to work conflict did not prove to be a mediator in any of the relationship. Family to work enrichment significantly mediates the relationship between family resources and SWFB. Relative weight analysis revealed that PsyCap was the most influential predictor of SWFB and both directions of enrichment. Overall work-domain factors were more important predictors of SWFB than family domain factors. It was concluded that university administration can enhance faculty's SWFB in two ways; First, design appropriate interventions to increase faculty's optimism, hope, resilience, and self-efficacy and therefore enhancing overall PsyCap. Second, they can create a work environment with higher job resources and fewer job demands. A framework based upon JD-R with family-based and personality factors widens the scope of this research's practical implications to a myriad of professions.

ABSTRAK

Kepuasan terhadap keseimbangan kerja-keluarga (SWFB) menggambarkan penilaian subjektif seseorang terhadap keseimbangan antara domain kerja dan keluarga secara keseluruhan. Walaupun kajian kontemporari menunjukkan bahawa para akademik menghadapi cabaran keseimbangan kerja keluarga, kajian-kajian tersebut tidak meneroka bagaimana SWFB dibentuk. Kajian ini mengkaji bagaimana modal psikologi (PsyCap) dan tuntutan pekerjaan dan keluarga dan sumber daya mempengaruhi SWFB dalam kalangan ahli akademik universiti awam di Pakistan. Di samping itu, kajian ini mengkaji peranan perantara keluarga-kerja (WFLMS) iaitu konflik kerja-keluarga dan pengayaan kerja-keluarga berdasarkan kepada model tuntutan-sumber pekerjaan (JD-R). Kajian kuantitatif dijalankan di mana soal selidik diedarkan kepada 760 ahli fakulti di 20 universiti awam, Pakistan. Daripada 523 soal-selidik yang diperolehi, hanya 444 soal selidik boleh digunakan selepas penapisan data. Pemodelan persamaan struktur – kuasa dua terkecil separa dengan menggunakan SMART PLS 3.2.7 digunakan untuk menganalisis model pengukuran dan model struktur kajian. Hasil ujian hipotesis mendedahkan bahawa tuntutan pekerjaan, sumber pekerjaan, sumber keluarga, PsyCap, konflik kerja terhadap keluarga, pengayaan kerja terhadap keluarga dan pengayaan keluarga terhadap kerja secara signifikan mempengaruhi SWFB. Tuntutan kerja dan sumber kerja adalah berkaitan secara signifikan dengan konflik kerja-keluarga dan pengayaan kerja-keluarga. Tuntutan keluarga secara signifikan hanya berkaitan dengan konflik keluarga kepada kerja, tetapi sumber keluarga secara signifikan mempengaruhi kedua-dua pengayaan dan konflik keluarga terhadap kerja. PsyCap merupakan peramal yang signifikan terhadap SWFB serta keempat-empat jenis WFLM. Analisis perantara mendedahkan bahawa konflik kerja terhadap keluarga dan pengayaan kerja terhadap keluarga menjadi perantara secara signifikan dalam kesemua hubungan, manakala konflik keluarga kepada kerja tidak berperanan sebagai perantara dalam kesemua hubungan. Pengayaan keluarga kepada kerja menjadi pengantara signifikan untuk hubungan antara sumber keluarga dan SWFB. Analisis berat relatif mendedahkan bahawa PsyCap adalah peramal paling kuat mempengaruhi SWFB dan kedua-dua arah pengayaan kerja-keluarga. Secara keseluruhannya, domain faktor kerja lebih penting sebagai peramal terhadap SWFB berbanding domain faktor keluarga. Kesimpulannya, pentadbiran universiti dapat meningkatkan kepuasan keseimbangan fakulti dalam dua cara; Pertama, merekabentuk intervensi yang sesuai untuk meningkatkan keyakinan, harapan, daya tahan dan keberkesanan diri yang dapat meningkatkan keseluruhan PsyCap dalam diri para akademik Kedua, mereka boleh mewujudkan persekitaran kerja dengan meningkatkan sumber pekerjaan dan mengurangkan tuntutan kerja. Kerangka kajian berdasarkan JD-R dengan faktor keluarga dan personaliti dapat meluaskan skop implikasi praktikal kajian ini kepada pelbagai profesion.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AVE	-	Average Variance Extracted
BCa	-	Bias Corrected and Accelerated
CAT	-	Cognitive Appraisal Theory
CB-SEM	-	Covariance Based Structural Equation Modeling
CFA	-	Confirmatory Factor Analysis
CI	-	Confidence Interval
CMB	-	Common Method Bias
COR	-	Conservation of Resource
CR	-	Composite Reliability
F-DR	-	Family Demands-Resources
F-WC	-	Family to Work Conflict
F-WE	-	Family to Work Enrichment
GOP	-	Government of Pakistan
HCM	-	Hierarchical Component Modeling
HEC	-	Higher Education Commission
HEI	-	Higher Education Institutes
HOC	-	Higher-Order Components
HTMT	-	Heterotrait-Monotrait Ratio of Correlations
JD-R	-	Job Demands-Resources
LOC	-	Lower-Order Components
PCA	-	Principle Component Analysis
PLS	-	Partial Least Square
POB	-	Positive Organizational Behavior
PsyCap	-	Psychological Capital
PCQ	-	Psychological Capital Questionnaire
QEEW	-	Questionnaire on the Experience and Evaluation of Work
RMS	-	Root Mean Square
RWA	-	Relative Weight Analysis
SEM	-	Structure Equation Modeling
SPSS	-	Statistical Package for Social Sciences

SRMR	-	Standardized Root Mean Square Residual
SWB	-	Subjective well-being
SWFB	-	Satisfaction with Work-Family Balance
WFB	-	Work-Family Balance
W-FC	-	Work to Family Conflict
W-FE	-	Work to Family Enrichment
WFI	-	Work-Family Interface
WFLM	-	Work-Family Linking Mechanisms

LIST OF APPENDICES

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1   Background of the Study

Higher education plays a crucial role in the economic, technological, and social development of the country (Tilak, 2015). Government of Pakistan (GOP), in their vision 2025 has set up a goal for country's higher education to act as a resource to generate capable human resource which can meet labor market needs, build the nation's capacity and accelerate economic growth of the country (Planning Commission of Pakistan, 2013).   To achieve this target, GOP promulgated a Higher Education Commission (HEC) in 2002. Its mission is to 'facilitate institutions of higher education to serve as the engine of growth for socio-economic development of Pakistan.' Reforms introduced by HEC has brought improvements in many areas of higher education in Pakistan, such as a multi-fold increase in number of higher education institutes, improvement in students enrolment ratio, better infrastructure of universities, improved research facilities, access to information technology and digital libraries, research grants, travel grants and foreign/indigenous scholarship to increase number of PhDs (Atta-ur-Rehman, 2013; Mahmood, 2016).

Despite the growth in quantitative terms, the overall impact of higher education on improvement and advancement of society has been minimal. According to the world economic forum competitiveness report, Pakistan ranked 124th / 140 in higher education (Schwab, 2016). Many university graduates are, on the one hand deficient in basic knowledge of their field of specialization and on the other hand lack professional effectiveness skills (Raza & Naqvi, 2011). The 'global innovation index' revealed that Pakistan is one of the least innovative countries ranking at 113 of 126 countries (Dutta, Lanvin, & Wunsch-Vincent, 2017). These statistics indicate that universities have not been able to develop creativity, innovativeness, and entrepreneurship among their students. Ali, Tariq, and Topping (2013) surveyed seven

1

Pakistani universities with 298 academic faculty and 568 students and found that both teachers and students were dissatisfied with the quality of teaching, curriculum, and leadership development opportunities within the institutes.

Beyond doubt, higher education is more than just labeling a building or an arrangement of infrastructure as a university. This arrangement needs to function in a manner that is suitable for the learning and growth of students and society (Hoodbhoy, 2009). The main drivers of this efficient function and quality of university output are none other than academic faculty. It seems that the essential role of university academicians in the process to advance the society technologically, socially and economically through higher education is not realized in Pakistan (Adil & Kamal, 2019; de Lourdes Machado-Taylor et al., 2016; Riaz, Jabeen, Salman, Ansari, & Moazzam, 2017). There has been more focus on the structural development of institutes and academic qualification of the faculty (Hoodbhoy, 2009; Mahmood, 2016). The policymakers have ignored that in addition to the academic qualification, the attitudes, behavior, and motivation of faculty have a tremendous influence on students' learning, and it ultimately determines the success of a university (de Lourdes Machado-Taylor et al., 2016; Umbach & Wawrzynski, 2005). One of the psychological factors that strongly relates to faculty's well-being, performance, and creativity at workplace is their work-family balance (Aleksić, Mihelič, Černe, & Škerlavaj, 2017; Casper, Vaziri, Wayne, DeHauw, & Greenhaus, 2018; H. R. Winefield, Boyd, & Winefield, 2014).

Traditionally university academic jobs have been considered low stress and low-pressure jobs (A. H. Winefield et al., 2003). For academic faculty in Pakistan, the landscape of academic assignments has changed remarkably in the last two decades. Before the establishment of HEC, the faculty had a relaxed and autonomous working environment. The research was never a priority, and the universities were following the footsteps of mid-nineteenth century tradition of British-Indian universities which were predominantly teaching-oriented (Ali et al., 2013). Whereas now there is a concurrent pressure of research besides the teaching and managerial tasks; often at odds with each other. This situation has resulted in work overload, conflicting role demands and role ambiguity in academic jobs (Bhatti, Hashmi, Raza, Shaikh, &

Shafiq, 2011; Sana & Aslam, 2018). Not only these job pressures lead to stress and burnout (Yusoff & Khan, 2013), it has also been observed that in the presence of high job demands and low job resources, balancing work and family roles also become a compelling challenge for faculty (Watanabe & Falci, 2014; Zábrodská et al., 2017).

This is the reason that many studies around the globe are highlighting that faculty are facing a swelling challenge to balance their work with family life (for example: Gatta & Roos, 2004; Jacobs & Winslow, 2004; Mudrak et al., 2017; Torp, Lysfjord, & Midje, 2018; Watanabe & Falci, 2017; Watanabe & Falci, 2014; Zábrodská et al., 2017). Interestingly, work overload and work-family imbalance have been reported as a leading cause of stress among faculty, who are highly satisfied with their job otherwise (Mudrak et al., 2017; Shin & Jung, 2014). Previous researches have shown that perception of high balance between work and family life is related to a wide range of performance and well-being outcomes (Beauregard & Henry, 2009; Casper et al., 2018; Greenhaus, Collins, & Shaw, 2003; Gröpel & Kuhl, 2009; Guest, 2002; Wayne, Butts, Casper, & Allen, 2017). Therefore, to remain competitive and contribute to the advancement of societies, universities need to ensure that their academic staff is satisfied with the way their work and family life is balanced.

Historically the concept of work-family balance (WFB) has been defined inconsistently and in various ways. Contemporary researchers have, however, developed a consensus that WFB is a unitary construct that reflects an individual's overall perception of domain balance. This perspective is generally termed as 'global balance approach' (Wayne et al., 2017). 'Satisfaction with work-family balance' (SWFB or balance satisfaction) is one such nuanced psychological construct that can be regarded as most optimum subjective conceptualization of unitary approach towards work-family balance (Cahill, McNamara, Pitt-Catsouphes, & Valcour, 2015; Casper, DeHauw, Wayne, & Greenhaus, 2014; Valcour, 2007; Wayne, Matthews, Crawford, & Casper, 2019). As a subjective appraisal of an aspect of life, SWFB conceptually overlaps with the multi-faceted concept of subjective well-being (SWB) and therefore qualifies to be a component of this umbrella term. In this aspect, it is similar to other satisfaction variables such as financial satisfaction, health satisfaction, family satisfaction, job satisfaction, and life satisfaction (Diener et al., 2016; Diener,

Suh, Lucas, & Smith, 1999). Therefore, SWFB is a versatile construct which simultaneously acts as a measure of domain balance as well as a dimension of SWB.

For universities to improve the SWFB of their employees, they must pay attention to the sources of this satisfaction and dissatisfaction. SWFB is a psychological state which is affected by personal and environmental characteristics (Barber, Grawitch, & Maloney, 2016). The current research has utilized job-demand resource (JD-R) model as the conceptual framework to explore psychological capital (PsyCap) as personality antecedents and contextual factors from work and family antecedents of SWFB. Research models based on J-DR provide flexibility to incorporate multiple theories within the framework, exhibit universal applicability and ability to cater for numerous types of well-being, and performance outcomes (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007; Bakker & Demerouti, 2014). It allows researchers to build a holistic yet parsimonious model by conceptualizing demands and resources as general constructs often encapsulating multiple dimensions or subtypes in a single higher-order construct.

JD-R based frameworks can also be mirrored to family to work perceptual processes arising from the family domain (Demerouti, Bakker, & Voydanoff, 2010; Peeters, Montgomery, Bakker, & Schaufeli, 2005). Family-based factors in the current research model add a unique perspective to the study because family dynamics in Pakistan are different from the developed countries such as the USA, Europe, Australia, or China; where most of the previous research is conducted. In addition to workplace and family-based contextual factors, personality characteristics and individual values are also important determinants of how role interaction between domains translates into the appraisal of balance (Casper et al., 2018). JD-R as framework also facilitates an individual's personality characteristics to be included as personal resources within research models.

To include the personality perspective, the researcher has also opted to test PsyCap as a predictor of work-family outcomes. It is an archetypical and resourceful personality construct based on the core philosophy of positive psychology (Seligman & Csikszentmihalyi, 2000) and positive organizational behavior (POB: F. Luthans,

2002b). Recently Morganson, Litano, and O'Neill (2014) suggested that PsyCap, as a harmonious integration of four of the most established and useful personality characteristic of optimism, hope, self-efficacy, and resilience, may act like a resource which can enhance employees' perception of overall balance by lowering their experience of conflict and improving enrichment and balance between work and family domains.

Another perspective which explains how work and family domain interact with each other is represented by work-family conflict (or conflict) and work-family enrichment (or enrichment). Conflict and enrichment are respectively negative and positive transfer of role experiences in one domain (work or family) upon role performance or well-being across the other domain (family or work) (Carlson, Grzywacz, & Zivnuska, 2009). Collectively they are termed as work-family linking mechanisms (WFLMs) and unlike SWFB are directional phenomena which give rise to four distinct constructs: work to family conflict (W-FC), family to work conflict (F-WC), work to family enrichment (W-FE) and family to work enrichment (F-WE) (Voydanoff, 2008). Greenhaus and Allen (2011) proposed a detailed theoretical model of the left side of satisfaction with balance which suggests that four types of WFLM (W-FC, F-WC, W-FE, F-WE) are important intermediary links which transmit the effect of domain characteristics and personality factors onto individual's appraisal of satisfaction with domain balance. Therefore, the current research also explored the possible mediating role of conflict and enrichment between antecedents and SWFB of university faculty.

In conclusion, the current study investigated the contextual demands and resources from work and family domains, and PsyCap as predictors of SWFB along and bi-directional conflict and enrichment as possible mediators. The study is carried out on public sector academic faculty from Pakistan. The next section explains the managerial and research problems which have led to the research questions and objectives of the current study.

1.2   Research Problem

Work-family balance challenges in academia are a universal issue. Recent transformation in the academic work environment from a 'professor oriented system' to 'market-driven system' has made it difficult for university faculty to balance their work and family life (Zabrodska et al., 2016). A recent survey of faculty from 64 countries carried out by Times Higher Education concluded that academic faculty had less satisfaction with work-family balance as compared to non-academic staff from universities or other professions while longer working hours were taking a toll on them (Bothwell, 2018). Another survey of undergraduate faculty in the USA revealed that only 32% of the respondents believed that they had achieved the balance between work and family life (Eagan et al., 2014). As per studies conducted by Kinman and Jones (2008) in the UK, and by A. H. Winefield et al. (2003), in Australia, a large number of faculty reported some form of interference between both domains. Torp et al. (2018) found from Norwegian universities that academic faculty had a higher perception of work interfering with family in comparison with technical and administrative staff. Many other pieces of evidence from the globe also indicate that contemporary faculty is not satisfied with the way their work and family roles interact and influence each other (Boyd et al., 2011; Kinman, 2014; Pattusamy & Jacob, 2016; Watanabe & Falci, 2014; Zábrodská et al., 2017). Mark and Smith (2012) posit that imbalance between the demand of academic life and personal life, and the inability to cope with them make faculty more prone to stress as compared to other population.

Subsequent reforms by HEC to enhance quality and standard of higher education such as the intense focus on faculty's research output, complex program accreditations procedures, and strict quality monitoring, have resulted in higher job pressures and enhanced workload of university faculty (Parveen, Rashid, Iqbal, & Khan, 2011; Riaz et al., 2017). The situation is worse in public sector universities, where HEC exercises more power. There is a demand for a greater number of high impact factor publications and top standard teaching without providing ample resources (Mahmood, 2016; Yusoff & Khan, 2013). Unfortunately, decades of deviant policies, bureaucratic complexities, and corruption have deteriorated the economic shape of public sector institutions (Brumfiel & Inman, 2010). The financial crisis in

the country has further worsened the job conditions in academia. The hiring is curtailed, increments are minimal and research grants are barred. Besides, foreign travel grants, research allowances, purchase of teaching and laboratory equipment, and training are hardly available (Hayward, 2015). This scenario has resulted in added pressure on faculty.

When faculty are compelled to work on various competing teaching, research, and administrative assignments with deadlines and repercussions (Riaz et al., 2017), eventually, they become overburdened and consider the working environment very stressful (Khalid, Irshad, & Mahmood, 2012). Studies have shown prevalence of burnout and occupational stress among faculty in Pakistan (Khan, Yusoffa, & Azam, 2014; Yusoff & Khan, 2013) which is most commonly attributed to imbalance between faculty's work and family life (Mudrak et al., 2017; Shin & Jung, 2014; Zábrodská et al., 2017). Rehman (2015) found that faculty of public sector universities in Pakistan experience significantly higher work-family conflict as compared to their private-sector counterparts. Nevertheless, research has not investigated those predictors and mediating factors which shape the attitude of work-family balance among public sector Pakistani faculty member. In order to improve their effectiveness, universities in Pakistan need to increase academic faculty's overall satisfaction with the state of their work-family balance; an important step to enhance the creative performance and positive attitudes in multiple domains (Casper et al., 2018; J. Choi et al., 2017; Wayne et al., 2017).

Considering the generalization of management theories, university administrators can always look up to various solutions and strategies offered by extensive work-family literature to enhance the faculty's perception of SWFB and well-being. However, a review of the work-family literature reveals that SWFB and its parent concept of global balance are relatively new entrants compared to more established constructs like conflict and enrichment. Previous studies on antecedents of SWFB are scant with some noteworthy omission. For instance, studies have failed to incorporate SWFB as an outcome variable in the JD-R model. Previous studies that had explored workplace antecedents of SWFB individually focused on objective workplace factors demands such as working hours (Abendroth & Den Dulk, 2011;

Beham, Drobnič, & Präg, 2014; McNamara, Pitt-Catsouphes, Matz-Costa, Brown, & Valcour, 2013; Valcour, 2007) or psychological demanding factors such as job insecurity, and organizational time expectations or perception of workload (Beham & Drobnič, 2010). Studies have also investigated some resourceful factors such as job control, supervisor and co-worker social support and quality of relationship with relatives as antecedents of SWFB (Abendroth & Den Dulk, 2011). Very recently, Wayne et al. (2019) conducted a study on predictors of SWFB and included a wide range of resourceful job characteristics such as work autonomy, enriched job characteristics, and family supportive supervisor behavior. Even though their study was elaborative, neither it included demanding workplace characteristics, nor the specific antecedents included in the current study.

Previous research in antecedents of SWFB is based on predictors that are uni-dimensional with limited bandwidth. Research has not explored how higher-order contextual job demands and resources, representing multiple dimensions, influence the faculty's level of satisfaction with domain balance. Exploring antecedents that are higher-order with greater bandwidth has managerial importance because broader psychological variables are practically more helpful in prediction and explanation of outcome variables in contrast to more specific constructs (Ones & Viswesvaran, 1996). So it helps managers to focus on big-picture instead of concentrating upon minuscule issues. Therefore the research has chosen second-order job and family demands and resources, comprising of several single order dimensions, as predictors of SWFB. The use of higher-order contextual demand and resource constructs is also in support of JD-R's approach in which demands are generally defined as those domain-based features which are exhaustive and impedes goal achievement. Similarly, resources are that aspect of a domain which helps in goal achievement and buffers the detrimental effects of a demand (Demerouti, Bakker, Nachreiner, & Schaufeli, 2001).

Furthermore, the researcher has also observed that there is a prevailing trend in existing literature to ignore the family domain antecedents of work-family perceptions; perhaps because of a widespread believe that organizations can only influence work domain factors (Marais, De Klerk, Nel, & De Beer, 2014; Molino, Ghislieri, & Cortese, 2013; Wayne et al., 2019). Only two studies investigated family

domain demanding and resourceful factors as predictors of SWFB (Abendroth & Den Dulk, 2011; Wayne et al., 2019). Peeters et al. (2005) posit that omitting factors from family domain inhibits a complete picture of how work-family challenges arise and affect the attitudinal and behavioral outcomes. Including predictors from work and family will elaborate that which of the domain contributes more to the faculty's overall perception of balance.

The researcher believes that studying family domain contextual factors from Pakistan, a collectivistic society having a dominant extended and patriarchal family system (Evason, 2016), adds a unique perspective to existing work-family literature. Casper, Eby, Bordeaux, Lockwood, and Lambert (2007) identified the under-representation of extended families in work-family research and advised future researchers to include a broader definition of family in their research. This can help university management to compare the relative influence of family-based predictors on work-family balance perception and consider the family perspective in designing work-family policies. Like job demands and resources, the family-based contextual demands and resources were conceptualized as higher-order constructs in the study. To include family-based antecedents, the JD-R model is extended (or mirrored) to family domain, an approach adopted by few previous studies (Marais et al., 2014; Peeters et al., 2005). In line with recommendations in the literature (Boyar, Carr, Mosley Jr, & Carson, 2007; Boyar, Maertz Jr, Mosley Jr, & Carr, 2008; Peeters et al., 2005), both work and family contextual factors are based on perceptual evaluation of respondent rather than quantitative indicators of demands or resources.

As with family-based predictors, personality antecedents of work-family perceptions have also received scant researchers' attention (Westring & Ryan, 2010). Personality characteristics guide individual tendency to behave, think and feel in a particular manner and may have a pivotal impact on the way in which individuals perceive various situations, formulate their attitudes and behaviors regarding the interaction between both domains (J. S. Michel & Clark, 2009; Tement & Korunka, 2013). Previously in the work-family research, dispositional traits such as five-factor model (Baltes, Zhdanova, & Clark, 2011; Rotondo & Kincaid, 2008; Wayne, Musisca, & Fleeson, 2004), affectivity (McNall, Scott, & Nicklin, 2015; J. S. Michel & Clark,

2009; Tement & Korunka, 2013) and core self-evaluations (Boyar & Mosley Jr, 2007; McNall, Masuda, Shanock, & Nicklin, 2011) have been consistently tested as antecedents of work-family negative and positive linkages. However, knowledge of how these personality traits influence the perception of the work-family interface has its utility for changing the environment accordingly but are not useful to change the personal attributes in response to the situation. Therefore, a modifiable personality characteristic as a predictor of work-family balance perception may provide greater leverage to managers.

It is unrealistic to believe that in current economic turmoil, universities in Pakistan has enough resources to provide a perfect family friend job environment to their employees. Similarly, work-family interface situations are so diverse that a perfect tailored situation is impossible to administer (Powell et al., 2017). The solution can be found in state-like personality types such as PsyCap, which can be modified and developed (G. Chen, Gully, Whiteman, & Kilcullen, 2000; Youssef-Morgan, 2014), and are then suitable for transforming the personality perspective aligning it with the real-life situation (Mills, Fleck, & Kozikowski, 2013). There is an acute lack of studies which test the direct relationship of positive personality resource of PsyCap with multiple work-family constructs. Up to the best of the researcher's knowledge, no earlier study has investigated the relationship between PsyCap and SWFB. In a review article, Morganson et al. (2014) suggested that PsyCap is one such positive personality resource characteristics that can facilitate coping with work-family conflict, proactively manage multiple role demands, efficiently utilizing domain resources and enhancing work-family enrichment; therefore, leading to a positive appraisal of work-family balance.

Since prior research has not examined higher-order job/family demands and resources, and PsyCap as predictors of SWFB simultaneously, it is still not clear which of these predictors are more important in influencing the satisfaction with balance, conflict, and enrichment. This comparative analysis of the impact of predictors' criterion outcome can help managers to focus on a factor to improve a particular outcome. The trend of finding the relative importance of predictors is relatively scarce in the existing literature. In the latest research, Wayne et al. (2019) also conducted a

comparison between the strength of various contextual and personal resources as a predictor of SWFB in two studies. However, this relative importance perspective still needs to be analyzed with PsyCap as a personal resource, and higher-order contextual family and work's demands and resources.

Another prominent gap in the existing literature is that scarce literature has investigated mediating mechanisms between predictors and SWFB. Conceptually SWFB is distinct from conflict and enrichment, as it considers a global appraisal of how one is managing resources and demands across the domains. WFLMs, on the other hand, describes the ways in which demands and resource of separate life domains (work or family) affect each other (Edwards & Rothbard, 2000). Hence a considerable overlap between SWFB and conflict/enrichment is that both approaches can be defined in terms of demands and resources (Grawitch, Maloney, Barber, & Mooshegian, 2013). Wayne et al. (2017) have recommended future researchers to further delineate both approaches. In addition, there is also a need to study the way both approaches influence each other. Few studies have tested four dimensions of WFLM as a predictor of global balance with mixed results (Carlson et al., 2009; Grawitch et al., 2013; Wayne et al., 2017). Greenhaus and Allen (2011) and Voydanoff (2008) postulated that conflict and enrichment may carry over the effect of personality and contextual factors to the global perception of balance; only one very recent study tested this proposition with the mixed result (Wayne et al., 2019). The knowledge whether WFLMs explains the relationship between multiple types of antecedents and SWFB will provide further insight and an accurate interpretation to academic managers about how this balance perception is formed and thus can be effectively managed.

An additional benefit of incorporating WFLMs within research model is that it has enabled the researcher to test the direct influence of contextual demands and resources and PsyCap on conflict and enrichment among university faculty. This exploration also carried immense theoretical and practical significance considering both these WFLMs (conflict and enrichment) related to a myriad of organizational and individual outcomes. Research, in general, has linked conflict negatively with a myriad of well-being and performance indicators (Amstad, Meier, Fasel, Elfering, & Semmer, 2011). On the other hand, studies have found that perception of enrichment positively

influences those attitudes and behaviors which are desired (McNall, Nicklin, & Masuda, 2010) and is also related to the perception of balance (Wayne et al., 2017). Their current framework has also allowed the researchers to test the differential salience of antecedents which depicts that contextual demands give rise to negative experiences and they have a greater effect on conflict as compared to resources while contextual resources give rise to positive outcomes and they are more salient predictors of enrichment as compared to demands. The relative contribution and importance of PsyCap as an antecedent of conflict and enrichment, in comparison to contextual antecedents, remains to be investigated.

In a nutshell, this section has identified several managerial issues and research gaps which forms the basis of the study's research questions discussed in the next section. Given the work-family challenges faced by faculty members and theoretical gaps identified in the existing literature, the current research has developed a full range model (J. S. Michel & Clark, 2009) of work-family perceptions to investigate how contextual demands and resources from work and family domains and positive psychological resource of PsyCap affect faculty's satisfaction with work-family balance, and whether four types of WFLM (bi-directional conflict and bi-directional enrichment) explains this relationship. In linking the contextual factors with WFLMs, the study follows the principle of domain specificity of WFLM antecedents according to which work domain variables cause work to family linkage while family to work linkages arise due to factors pertaining to the family domain (Frone, Yardley, & Markel, 1997). The integrative research framework which is based on the JD-R model also draws insight from several established theories. The study also examines the relative importance of contextual demands, resources and personality predictor in generating the perception of balance, conflict, and enrichment.

1.3   Research Questions

All the discussion related to research problems and literature gaps led to the research question. The first two research questions were formulated with conflict and

enrichment as outcome variables. Remaining research questions considered SWFB as the focal outcome:

1.   To what extent contextual demands and resources from work/family domains and PsyCap influence SWFB of public sector university faculty in Pakistan?

2.   To what extent contextual demands and resource from work/family domains and PsyCap influence conflict (W-FC and F-WC) of public sector university faculty in Pakistan?

3.   To what extent demands and resource from work/family domains and PsyCap influence enrichment (W-FE and F-WE) of public sector university faculty in Pakistan?

4.   To what extent bi-directional conflict and enrichment influence SWFB of public sector university faculty in Pakistan?

5.   Do conflict and enrichment mediate the relationship of contextual demands and resources and PsyCap with SWFB of public sector faculty in Pakistan?

1.4   Research Objectives

From the research questions, following objectives of the current study were derived:

1.   To examine the effect of contextual demands and resources from work/family domains and PsyCap on SWFB of public sector university faculty in Pakistan.

2.   To examine the effects of contextual demands and resource from work/family domains and PsyCap on conflict (W-FC and F-WC) of public sector university faculty in Pakistan.

3.   To examine the effects of contextual demands and resource from work/family domains and PsyCap on enrichment (W-FE and F-WE) of public sector university faculty in Pakistan

13

4. To examine the effect of bi-directional conflict and enrichment on the SWFB of public sector university faculty in Pakistan.

5. To examine the mediating role of conflict and enrichment in the relationship of contextual demands and resources, and PsyCap with SWFB of public sector faculty in Pakistan?

1.5 Scope of the Study

Universities provide higher (tertiary) education, which leads to the award of an academic degree. This study is an empirical investigation to explore the predictors and mediating processes that influence satisfaction with work-family balance of academic faculty in universities. The scope of data is limited to public sector university academics in Pakistan. The sample includes males and females, married (with and without children), and unmarried and all job levels of faculty members. However same-sex and/or live in couples is not under the scope of data since they are not religiously and legally allowed in Pakistan. Also, the researcher believes that the results of the current study can be applied to faculty members around the world and even to other white-collar professions because the research framework, which is based upon the JD-R model, is very generic and valid to a wide variety of occupations.

The model in the study divides all variables into three types; predictors, mediators and focal outcome. The predictor variables in the study are classified as personality and contextual. The personality construct is represented by PsyCap as a domain unspecific personal resource. PsyCap, as a universal personality resource in the current study, extends the scope of the model to broad occupations and variety of cultures. The contextual predictors are divided into demands and resources, both higher-order constructs representing multiple subtypes of each from work and family domains. Therefore, there are four contextual predictors; job demands, family demands, job resources, and family resources. According to the scope of the study's data, the job domain is represented by higher-education academia in Pakistan, while the family domains represent a typical collectivist culture that has already started a transition from extended family to nuclear family structure (Syed, Arain, Schalk, &

14

Freese, 2015). The mediator variables are four types of WFLMs, which can be divided into positive and negative and are directional constructs. W-FC and W-FE represent the influence of the work domain on the family while F-WC and F-WE represent the influence of the family domain on work context. Finally, the focal outcome is satisfaction with work-family balance among faculty in public sector universities of Pakistan.

1.6   Study Significance

The significance of the research is divided into two parts, theoretical contributions, and managerial significance:

1.6.1   Theoretical Significance

The study of demand and resource perspective of work-family interface among university faculty in Pakistan will extend the existing literature to a new geographical region that has scarce research in the domain of social and management sciences. A country with more than 20 million population and highly collectivist and uncertainty avoiding society, is also acutely underrepresented in work-family literature. Considering that cultural dimensions, institutional factors, and economic environment of a society influence the work-family issues (Allen, French, Dumani, & Shockley, 2015), previous research conducted in primarily western cultures and other countries may not be entirely transferable to Pakistan. Similarly, the study theoretically adds up to the current research in academia being an underrepresented group in work-family literature.

Another theoretical significance of the current thesis is to clarify the difference between a component-based approach and global approach towards work-family balance. The research model, with both types of work-family balance approaches, builds on seminal work by Carlson et al. (2009), and more recently of Wayne et al. (2017) positing that global balance is different from component-based balance, and is more optimum approach to measure the perception of balance. However, both the

global balance approach, as well as work-family conflict and enrichment, are important work-family constructs when related to outcomes (Casper et al., 2018; Greenhaus & Allen, 2011; Wayne et al., 2017). This way the study diverts from the long-held belief that balance is a formative representation of bi-directional conflict and enrichment.

The researcher opted to utilize the JD-R model to build a theoretical model of the current study and used three well-established stress and resource theories, i.e., cognitive appraisal theory (COT), conservation of resource theory (Hobfoll, 1989), and key resource theory (Thoits, 2006). Previously the dominant theory was role theory, which is ingrained within the very conceptualization of conflict and enrichment. However, there is a recent criticism that role theories do not provide a logical explanation regarding antecedents of work-family perceptions(ten Brummelhuis & Bakker, 2012). Even though the stress and resource theories have converging perspectives, they also provide a unique theoretical explanation for the relationship between constructs. Among these three theories, COR theory is more readily utilized to explain how gain and loss of resource relates to work-family perceptions and individual's well-being. To a lesser extent, some studies have also used COT to describe the work-family process with an appraisal perspective (Voydanoff, 2004a, 2005c). To the best of the researcher's knowledge, this is the first empirical study to utilize the key resource theory within work-family literature. This research would also be one of the few studies which have extended JD-R model to the family domain. Although studies have explored relationships of various types of demands and resources with conflict, enrichment, and SWFB, this is the seminal scholarly work that tested the proposed model with higher-order demands and resources. Besides, finding the relative importance also contributes to theory by improving understanding that which predictor is most important to the target outcome variable.

Previous research in the relationship of PsyCap with work-family constructs was minimal. Being a higher-order construct that was introduced way back in 2003, PsyCap research has exponentially proliferated since its inception (F. Luthans & Youssef-Morgan, 2017). However, researchers still emphasize that further studies are

needed with new samples or formulating new relationship to get extensive theoretical backing and high construct validity (Hackman, 2009a, 2009b; F. Luthans & Avolio, 2009; F. Luthans & Youssef-Morgan, 2017; Mills et al., 2013; Youssef-Morgan, 2014), which in essence is sine qua non of theory development. Majority of studies of the PsyCap has been carried out in the USA, with very few in other cultures, and it is essential to test the external validity of it in developing society such as Pakistan to understand its cultural and contextual applicability and limitations (F. Luthans, Youssef, & Avolio, 2007). Similarly, there is a proliferation of research on workplace variant of PsyCap, while theoretical studies still lack when it comes to overall PsyCap (F. Luthans, Youssef, Sweetman, & Harms, 2013), yet another academic contribution.

This study has theoretical importance concerning the fields of study. Incorporating PsyCap within the research model creates a liaison between positive psychology/positive organizational behavior literature and work-family research (Morganson et al., 2014). The advent of positive psychology as new zeitgeist in mainstream psychology and its adoption in organizational research has sparked scholars' interest in positive personality characteristics and their relationship with organizational behavioral constructs (Mills et al., 2013). The concept of positive psychology philosophically aligns with work-family positive interactions and domain balance since it aims to study all those positive characteristics, positive processes, and positive outcomes which are relevant to well-being and growth of human beings and their institutions (Seligman, Steen, Park, & Peterson, 2005). Studying SWFB as an outcome is aligned with positive psychology's philosophy, which emphasizes positive subjective experiences. Besides, positive personality characteristics can also be deemed as personal resources (Bakker & Demerouti, 2014). They are influential in guiding individual's abilities to manage demands and resources in multiple domains and influence the perception of cross-domain role interactions (Demerouti & Bakker, 2011; J. S. Michel, Clark, & Jaramillo, 2011).

This study theoretically contributes to human resource management literature as it explores the personality and structural perspective of work-family balance. There has been an ongoing debate how work-family challenges can be mitigated using human resource development perspective. By establishing PsyCap, a developable personality

characteristics as predictor of work-family balance satisfaction, now human resource development managers can plan training and development interventions to increase employees PsyCap thereby improving their WFB (MacDermid & Wittenborn, 2007). Similarly based on result of this study that how job based factors relates to work-family perceptions, managers or university administrators can plan structural interventions to improve working conditions in favor of higher satisfaction with WFB (Kossek, Baltes, & Matthews, 2011). The study also theoretically contributes to the sociology and family research since it includes factors from family domain and explore their relationship with work-family outcomes.

1.6.2 Managerial Significance

Work-family challenges directly affect multiple stakeholders such as individuals, organizations, families, and the community (Gambles, Lewis, & Rapoport, 2006). The results of the study hold importance for university administrations, especially public policymakers of universities in Pakistan. The current academic work scenario is changing from professor-oriented system towards a more market-oriented system, which is hugely influenced by external stakeholders and market forces. This transformation is draining out the traditional stress-free and resourceful job characteristics and making them more demanding (Zabrodska et al., 2016). This study will provide a practical solution to develop such policies that decrease the issue of work-family conflict and enhance enrichment between both domains; therefore, increasing their overall satisfaction with balance, a component of subjective well-being. Ample literature registers a positive relationship between the sense of well-being and performance-related outcomes (Diener et al., 2016).

Based on the influence of work-based contextual factors, as significant and salient antecedents, interventions to design resourceful and low demanding workplaces to mitigate work-family issues can improve balance situation. Provided that limitations in structural initiatives have given the role of positive personality in work-family experiences, there are soft ways (not involving policies or structural interventions) in which work, and family-based factors can be indirectly influenced. Managers are an essential source of support for employees' work-family balance.

Kossek, Pichler, Bodner, and Hammer (2011), in a meta-analysis, found that managerial support directed towards work-family issues was a better predictor of work-family conflict than generalized administrative support. Morganson et al. (2014) proposed that managers can enhance work-family balance in three ways: 1) through positive communication, 2) role modeling, effective work-family management behavior, and self-care strategies, 3) by establishing a positive mutual relationship of empathy and understanding with their subordinates. Moreover, managers cannot directly influence family domain contextual factors. One of the way to influence is to create a supportive work-place where employees feel free to discuss their personal or family matters and issues with academic manager (or head of department) and colleagues (Mauno & Rantanen, 2013; Watanabe & Falci, 2017).

More importantly, the results of this study would help managers to understand the influential role of a positive personality in work-family challenges, especially in positive work-family linking and perception of balance. Previous studies also indicate that even if demands cannot be reduced, PsyCap may act as a buffering mechanism between demands and employee outcomes (Bakker & Schaufeli, 2008; Demerouti & Bakker, 2011). Subsequent studies have proven that PsyCap is state-like and malleable. Hence it is open to human resource management interventions and can be developed by training, counseling, or contagious effect (F. Luthans, Avey, Avolio, Norman, & Combs, 2006; F. Luthans, Avey, & Patera, 2008). A contextual training strategy can be designed by human resource development managers in universities in which employees' work-family specific optimism, self-efficacy, hope, and resilience are enhanced, preparing them to effectively handle work-family challenges (Morganson et al., 2014). For managers seeking to reduce faculty's work-family issues, this can be the most economically and practically viable option.

The study will also test the relative importance of personal, work and family demands and resources to SWFB and the mechanism of conflict and enrichment. Such analysis is useful not only in theory building but has practical use in improving work-family perceptions. This analysis will provide insight to managers for different types of work-family perceptions (i.e., SWFB and WFLMs), which specific factor is most important and needs managerial attention.

19

1.7 Definitions of Constructs

There are ten constructs in the current study model. Five are predictors variables, i.e. job demands, family demands, job resources, family resources, and PsyCap. W-FC, F-WC, W-FE, and F-WE are mediating, and outcomes and SWFB is the focal outcome. All the constructs are based on the reflective approach in which indicators are considered the function of a latent variable.

1.7.1 Satisfaction with Work-Family Balance

WFB is considered as a global reflective construct. In the current research, it is represented by satisfaction with work-family balance (SWFB), which is defined as an attitude of the faculty member representing their general and cumulative evaluation that there are adequate resources to meet the demands of both work and family roles simultaneously (Valcour, 2007). The construct was operationalized such that it reflected a self-evaluation of individual satisfaction with 1) division of time and attention between both domains, 2) work-family fit, 3) ability to balance needs of both domains, and 4) performance in work and family roles simultaneously.

1.7.2 Work-Family Conflict

In conflict, the roles played by an individual in one domain is incompatible with roles played in another domain (Greenhaus & Beutell, 1985). This incompatibility and friction between roles of one domain make it challenging to perform roles of other domain leading to a negative effect on psycho-physical health and performance outcomes (Demerouti, Sanz-Vergel, Petrou, & van den Heuvel, 2016; Greenhaus, Allen, & Spector, 2006). Conflict is a bi-directional process giving rise to two distinct constructs. If roles in work domain interfere with roles in the family domain, it is W-FC; while if roles in family domain interfere with roles in work domain it is F-WC (Frone, Russell, & Cooper, 1992a). In the current research, both types of conflicts were operationalized as a combination of three processes for each direction, i.e. time

conflict, strain conflict, and behavior conflict (Greenhaus & Beutell, 1985; Matthews, Kath, & Barnes-Farrell, 2010). Carlson, Kacmar, & Williams (2000) have operationalized both types of conflict with three subtypes each. In work to family time conflict, involvement in work makes it difficult to devote time to family activities. Bad experiences from work domain if lead to bad mood in the family domain, this is work to family strain conflict; if these negative emotions spill-over to other domains, this is family to work strain conflict. Work to family behavior conflict may occur if the behaviors suitable for work domain are not expected in the family domain. Similarly, if behaviors that are usually suitable for roles in the family domain are not suitable for work-domain this is family to work behavior conflict.

1.7.3 Work-Family Enrichment

The concept behind enrichment is the transfer of positive experiences and resources from roles in one domain to roles in another domain resulting in improved performance and positive affect. This process is bi-directional, such that resources in the work domain enrich outcomes in the family domain; and resources in the family domain improve performance and affect the work domain (Greenhaus & Powell, 2006). In the current study, two directions of enrichment were operationalized as separate constructs, i.e. W-FE and F-WE. The researcher adopted the operationalization of Carlson, Kacmar, Wayne, and Grzywacz (2006) which is following the enrichment theory (Greenhaus & Powell, 2006). Accordingly, W-FE consisted of three types of enrichment mechanisms i.e. capital, affect and development. In capital enrichment, psycho-social resources gained at work help to be a better family member. In affect enrichment, positive emotions, and attitudes learned at work domain help in better performance in the family domain. The last type of enrichment is the development of the family domain due to the positive effect of many positive resources at work domain. These resources are skills, behaviors, knowledge, and perspectives. F-WE is also composed of three factors, i.e. capital, affect and efficiency. The first two are the conceptual and operational mirror of W-FE capital and affect. Efficiency F-WE occurs when an individual's involvement with family provides a sense of urgency and focus which helps to be a better performer at work.

21

1.7.4   Job Demands

Job demands are conceptually defined in the context of the JD-R model as those physical, organizational, and social dimensions of the job that require constant physical and mental effort and are related to some type of physical and psychological (emotional and cognitive) expenditure (Demerouti et al., 2001). Some examples of job demand in faculty can be high workload, emotionally demanding interaction with students or mental exertion for research activities. Adopting from previous literature (Demerouti et al., 2010; Peeters et al., 2005), the researcher had holistically operationalized the latent construct of job demands as the combination of quantitative workload, cognitive job demands, and emotional job demands in the job domain. Quantitative workload demands time and physical efforts, cognitive job demands reflect mental exertion for tasks at a job while emotional job demands indicate how emotions are to be controlled or taxed at the workplace.

1.7.5   Family Demands

Family demands are defined as a conceptual mirror of job demands as those physical, organizational and social dimension of both family and home that require constant physical and mental effort; also related to some physical as well as psychological (emotional and cognitive) expenditures (Demerouti et al., 2010). Similar to job demands, the latent construct of family demands has been operationalized in this study as the combination of family workload, cognitive family demands and emotional family demands (Demerouti et al., 2010; Peeters et al., 2005). Quantitative family load demands time and physical efforts, cognitive family demands reflect mental exertion for tasks related to family affairs while emotional family demands indicate how emotions are to be controlled or taxed at home.

1.7.6   Job Resources

Conceptually job resources are defined as physical, psychological, social, or organizational aspects of the job that help in achieving job-related goals, reduce job demands and mitigate the adverse physiological and psychological consequences; stimulate personal growth, learning, and development (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). The current study operationalized the latent variable of job resources as a combination of supervisor social support, co-worker social support, job autonomy and development opportunity (ten Brummelhuis & Bakker, 2012). Supervisor and co-worker social support reflects how these two types of people at work help instrumentally and affectively, job autonomy indicates the choice to make independent decisions regarding work, and development opportunity reflects the extent to which work provides those factors which lead to professional and personal growth.

1.7.7   Family Resources

Family resources are conceptualized as a mirrored version of job resources. These are defined as those psychological or structural assets arising from the family domain that help in achieving family-related goals, reduce family demands and mitigate their adverse effects, and assist in generating additional resources (Demerouti et al., 2010). In lieu of existing literature, the researcher opted to operationalize the latent construct of family resources as combination of family social support, family autonomy, and family developmental opportunities (Demerouti et al., 2010; ten Brummelhuis & Bakker, 2012). Family social support reflects how these two types of people at work help instrumentally and affectively, job autonomy indicates the choice to make independent decisions regarding work, and development opportunity reflects the extent to which work provides those factors which lead to professional and personal growth.

1.7.8 Psychological Capital

Psychological capital (PsyCap) is based on the synergetic effect of four positive psychological resources of optimism, self-efficacy, hope and resilience, and their unique characteristics (F. Luthans & Youssef-Morgan, 2017; F. Luthans, Youssef, et al., 2007). Since this study is based upon two domains (work and family), the researcher conceptualized PsyCap as a global and domain unspecific construct (Lorenz, Beer, Pütz, & Heinitz, 2016). F. Luthans et al. (2013) call it a global cognitive agentic component that motivates effort, perseverance, and positive outlook throughout the process of pursuing challenging life goals; one chooses to believe as possible as overall PsyCap. It is comprehensively operationalized as an individual's positive psychological state of development that is characterized by: (1) having confidence (self-efficacy) to take on and put in the necessary effort to succeed at challenging tasks; (2) making a positive attribution (optimism) about succeeding now and in the future; (3) persevering toward goals, and when necessary, redirecting paths to goals (hope) in order to succeed; and (4) when beset by problems and adversity, sustaining and bouncing back and even beyond (resilience) to attain success (F. Luthans, Avolio, Avey, & Norman, 2007).

1.8 Thesis Outline

The remainder of the thesis is organized as follows. Chapter two provides a literature review of different research streams that are pertinent to the formulation of the research model. This chapter consists of an extensive contextual overview that highlights the contribution of work and family domains in work-family interface challenges. The chapter also conceptualizes and reviews existing literature about SWFB, conflict, enrichment, contextual demands and resources, and PsyCap. The theoretical perspective section first discusses the relevant theories, JD-R as underlying mode, the assumption of domain specificity and differential salience is highlighted, and finally, the theoretical framework is presented. The final section of chapter two contains empirical review and study hypotheses.

Chapter 3 discusses the research method that is used to test the study hypotheses and the achievement of its objectives. First of all, research philosophy is presented, followed by research design and sampling design. Next, details of instruments used, how the face and content validity is carried out and details of the pilot study are highlighted. Lastly, the data analysis techniques are outlined in detail.

Chapter 4 is about data analysis. First, it discusses the data screening process. An analysis of the demographical distribution of the sample is presented. Next, the results of the measurement model analysis are presented, followed by descriptive analysis and correlation between study constructs. The details of the structural model's analysis are provided next. The path coefficients and their significance are presented under hypothesis testing, which also depicts the findings on the mediation hypothesis. Finally, the results of relative weight analysis are presented.

Chapter 5 discusses the results found in chapter 4 in the light of existing literature. It starts by recapitulating the highlights of the study. This is followed by a thorough discussion related to the empirical findings of the study. Furthermore, theoretical and managerial implications are provided followed by limitations and suggestions for future research.

REFERENCES

Abbas, S. G., & Roger, A. (2013). *Impact of overload and coping strategies on stress & burnout of university teachers*. Paper presented at the Workshop on Research Advances in Organizational Behavior and Human Resources Management,, Paris, France.

Abendroth, A.-K., & Den Dulk, L. (2011). Support for the work-life balance in Europe: The impact of state, workplace and family support on work-life balance satisfaction. *Work, employment and society, 25*(2), 234-256. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0950017011398892

Adil, A., & Kamal, A. (2019). Authentic leadership and psychological capital in job demands-resources model among Pakistani university teachers. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 1-21. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/13603124.2019.1580772

Ahmad, K. (1974). *Family life in Islam*. Leicester, UK: Islamic Foundation.

Aitchison, C. (2018, 7 December). Higher education is the gateway to peace and prosperity in Pakistan. *Times Higher Education*. Retrieved from https://www.timeshighereducation.com/blog/higher-education-gateway-peace-and-prosperity-pakistan

Akanni, A. A., & Oduaran, C. A. (2017). Work-life balance among academics: do gender and personality traits really matter? *Gender and Behaviour, 15*(4), 10143-10154.

Akhtar, C. S., Kashif, A., Arif, A., & Khan, A. (2012). Impact of long working hours on family wellbeing of corporate family. *World Applied Sciences Journal, 16*(9), 1302-1307. Retrieved from https://ssrn.com/abstract=2558324

Aleksić, D., Mihelič, K. K., Černe, M., & Škerlavaj, M. (2017). Interactive Effects of Perceived Time Pressure, Work-Family Balance Satisfaction (SWFB), and Leader-Member Exchange (LMX) on Creativity. *Personnel Review, 46*(3), 1-39. doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-04-2015-0085

Ali, A., Tariq, R. H., & Topping, K. J. (2013). Perspectives of academic activities in universities in Pakistan. *Journal of Further and Higher Education, 37*(3), 321-348. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2011.645454

Allen, T. D., French, K. A., Dumani, S., & Shockley, K. M. (2015). Meta-analysis of work–family conflict mean differences: Does national context matter? *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 90,* 90-100. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2015.07.006

Allen, T. D., Johnson, R. C., Saboe, K. N., Cho, E., Dumani, S., & Evans, S. (2012). Dispositional variables and work–family conflict: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 80*(1), 17-26. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2011.04.004

Allen, T. D., & Kiburz, K. M. (2012). Trait mindfulness and work–family balance among working parents: The mediating effects of vitality and sleep quality. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 80*(2), 372-379. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2011.09.002

Amstad, F. T., Meier, L. L., Fasel, U., Elfering, A., & Semmer, N. K. (2011). A meta-analysis of work–family conflict and various outcomes with a special emphasis on cross-domain versus matching-domain relations. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 16*(2), 151-169. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/a0022170

Anderson, J. C., & Gerbing, D. W. (1988). Structural equation modeling in practice: A review and recommended two-step approach. *Psychological bulletin, 103*(3), 411-423. doi:https://doi.org/0033-2909/88/$00.75

Antoniou, A.-S., & Cooper, C. L. (2017). *Coping, personality and the workplace: Responding to psychological crisis and critical events.* New York, USA: Routledge.

Aryee, S., Srinivas, E. S., & Tan, H. H. (2005). Rhythms of Life: Antecedents and Outcomes of Work-Family Balance in Employed Parents. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 90*(1), 132-146. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.90.1.132

Ashforth, B., Kreiner, G., & Fugate, M. (2000). All in a day's work: Boundaries and micro role transitions. *Academy of management review, 25*(3), 472-491. doi:https://doi.org/10.2307/259305

Atta-ur-Rehman. (2013). *A Revolution in Higher Education: The Pakistan Example.* Paper presented at the Vice Chanellors Forum 2013, Universities in the Islamic World; Challanges of Internationalization, Islamabad, Pakistan.

Avey, J. B., Avolio, B. J., & Luthans, F. (2011). Experimentally analyzing the impact of leader positivity on follower positivity and performance. *The Leadership Quarterly, 22*(2), 282-294. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2011.02.004

Avey, J. B., Luthans, F., & Jensen, S. M. (2009). Psychological capital: A positive resource for combating employee stress and turnover. *Human Resource Management, 48*(5), 677-693. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.20294

Avey, J. B., Luthans, F., & Mhatre, K. H. (2008). A call for longitudinal research in positive organizational behavior. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 29*(5), 705-711. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/job.517

Avey, J. B., Luthans, F., Smith, R. M., & Palmer, N. F. (2010). Impact of positive psychological capital on employee well-being over time. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 15*(1), 17-28. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016998

Avey, J. B., Luthans, F., & Youssef, C. M. (2010). The additive value of positive psychological capital in predicting work attitudes and behaviors. *Journal of Management, 36*(2), 430-452. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206308329961

Avey, J. B., Patera, J. L., & West, B. J. (2006). The implications of positive psychological capital on employee absenteeism. *Journal of leadership & organizational studies, 13*(2), 42-60. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/10717919070130020401

Avey, J. B., Reichard, R. J., Luthans, F., & Mhatre, K. H. (2011). Meta-analysis of the impact of positive psychological capital on employee attitudes, behaviors, and performance. *Human Resource Development Quarterly, 22*(2), 127-152. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2011.02.004

Avey, J. B., Wernsing, T. S., & Luthans, F. (2008). Can positive employees help positive organizational change? Impact of psychological capital and emotions on relevant attitudes and behaviors. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science, 44*(1), 48-70. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0021886307311470

Baeriswyl, S., Krause, A., & Schwaninger, A. (2016). Emotional Exhaustion and Job Satisfaction in Airport Security Officers–Work–Family Conflict as Mediator

in the Job Demands–Resources Model. *Frontiers in psychology, 7*, 663. doi:https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00663

Bakker, A. B., Boyd, C. M., Dollard, M., Gillespie, N., Winefield, A. H., & Stough, C. (2010). The role of personality in the job demands-resources model: A study of Australian academic staff. *Career Development International, 15*(7), 622-636. doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/13620431011094050

Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The job demands-resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology, 22*(3), 309-328. doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940710733115

Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2014). Job Demands–Resources Theory. In C. C. Chen PY (Ed.), *Work and Wellbeing: A Complete Reference Guide* (Vol. III, pp. 3-28). Oxford: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Sanz-Vergel, A. I. (2014). Burnout and work engagement: The JD–R approach. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, 1*(1), 389-411. doi:https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-op-04-030217-100001

Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., Taris, T. W., Schaufeli, W. B., & Schreurs, P. J. (2003). A multigroup analysis of the job demands-resources model in four home care organizations. *International Journal of Stress Management, 10*(1), 16-38. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/1072-5245.10.1.16

Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Verbeke, W. (2004). Using the job demands-resources model to predict burnout and performance. *Human Resource Management, 43*(1), 83-104. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.20004

Bakker, A. B., & Geurts, S. A. (2004). Toward a dual-process model of work-home interference. *Work and Occupations, 31*(3), 345-366. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0730888404266349

Bakker, A. B., & Oerlemans, W. (2011). Subjective well-being in organizations. In G. M. Spreitzer & K. S. Cameron (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of positive organizational scholarship* (pp. 178-189). London: Oxford University Press.

Bakker, A. B., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2008). Positive organizational behavior: Engaged employees in flourishing organizations. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 29*(2), 147-154. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/job.515

Bakker, A. B., ten Brummelhuis, L. L., Prins, J. T., & van der Heijden, F. M. (2011). Applying the job demands–resources model to the work–home interface: A

study among medical residents and their partners. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 79*(1), 170-180. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2010.12.004

Baltes, B. B., Zhdanova, L. S., & Clark, M. A. (2011). Examining the relationships between personality, coping strategies, and work–family conflict. *Journal of Business and Psychology, 26*(4), 517-530. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-010-9207-0

Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological review, 84*(2), 191-215. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037//0033-295X.84.2.191

Bandura, A. (1986). The explanatory and predictive scope of self-efficacy theory. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology, 4*(3), 359-373. doi:https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp.1986.4.3.359

Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control* (Vol. 35). New York, NY, US: W H Freeman/Times Books/ Henry Holt & Co.

Baral, R., & Bhargava, S. (2010). Work-family enrichment as a mediator between organizational interventions for work-life balance and job outcomes. *Journal of Managerial Psychology, 25*(3), 274-300. doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/02683941011023749

Baral, R., & Bhargava, S. (2011a). Examining the moderating influence of gender on the relationships between work-family antecedents and work-family enrichment. *Gender in Management: An International Journal, 26*(2), 122-147. doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/17542411111116545

Baral, R., & Bhargava, S. (2011b). Predictors of work-family enrichment: moderating effect of core self-evaluations. *Journal of Indian Business Research, 3*(4), 220-243. doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/17554191111180573

Barber, L. K., Grawitch, M. J., & Maloney, P. W. (2016). Work-life balance: Contemporary perspectives. In M. J. Grawitch & D. W. Ballard (Eds.), *The psychologically healthy workplace: Building a win-win environment for organizations and employees* (pp. 111-133). Washington, DC, US: American Psychological Association.

Barkhuizen, N., Rothmann, S., & Vijver, F. J. (2014). Burnout and work engagement of academics in higher education institutions: Effects of dispositional optimism. *Stress and Health, 30*(4), 322-332. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/smi.2520

Barnett, M. D., Martin, K. J., & Garza, C. J. (2018). Satisfaction With Work–Family Balance Mediates the Relationship Between Workplace Social Support and Depression Among Hospice Nurses. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, 1-8. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/smi.2520

Barnett, R. C. (1998). Toward a review and reconceptualization of the work/family literature. *Genetic, Social, and General Psychology Monographs, 124*(2), 125-182.

Barnett, R. C., & Hyde, J. S. (2001). Women, men, work, and family: An expansionist theory. *American psychologist, 56*(10), 781-796. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066x.56.10.781

Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator–mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of personality and social psychology, 51*(6), 1173-1182. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.51.6.1173

Baruch, G. K., & Barnett, R. C. (1986). Role quality, multiple role involvement, and psychological well-being in midlife women. *Journal of personality and social psychology, 51*(3), 578-585. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.51.3.578

Bayrakdar, S., & Coulter, R. (2018). Parents, local house prices, and leaving home in Britain. *Population, Space and Place, 24*(2), 1-13. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/psp.2087

Beauregard, T. A., & Henry, L. C. (2009). Making the link between work-life balance practices and organizational performance. *Human Resource Management Review, 19*(1), 9-22. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2008.09.001

Becker, J.-M., Klein, K., & Wetzels, M. (2012). Hierarchical latent variable models in PLS-SEM: guidelines for using reflective-formative type models. *Long Range Planning, 45*(5-6), 359-394. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2012.10.001

Beham, B., & Drobnič, S. (2010). Satisfaction with work-family balance among German office workers. *Journal of Managerial Psychology, 25*(6), 669-689. doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/02683941011056987.

Beham, B., Drobnič, S., & Präg, P. (2011). Work demands and resources and the work–family interface: Testing a salience model on German service sector

employees. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 78*(1), 110-122. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2010.07.008

Beham, B., Drobnič, S., & Präg, P. (2014). The work–family interface of service sector workers: A comparison of work resources and professional status across five European countries. *Applied psychology, 63*(1), 29-61. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/apps.12012

Behson, S. J. (2005). The relative contribution of formal and informal organizational work–family support. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 66*(3), 487-500. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2004.02.004

Bell, A. S., Rajendran, D., & Theiler, S. (2012). Job stress, wellbeing, work-life balance and work-life conflict among Australian academics. *Sensoria: A Journal of Mind, Brain & Culture, 8*(1), 25-37. doi:https://doi.org/10.7790/ejap.v8i1.320

Bhargava, S., & Baral, R. (2009). Antecedents and consequences of work-family enrichment among Indian managers. *Psychological Studies, 54*(3), 213-225. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s12646-009-0028-z

Bhatti, N., Hashmi, M. A., Raza, S. A., Shaikh, F. M., & Shafiq, K. (2011). Empirical analysis of job stress on job satisfaction among university teachers in Pakistan. *International Business Research, 4*(3), 264-270. doi:https://doi.org/10.5539/ibr.v4n3p264

Blanch, A., & Aluja, A. (2012). Social support (family and supervisor), work–family conflict, and burnout: Sex differences. *Human Relations, 65*(7), 811-833. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726712440471

Bock, L. (2014). Google's scientific approach to work-life balance (and much more). *Harvard business review, 27*.

Bollen, K. A. (1987). Total, direct, and indirect effects in structural equation models. *Sociological methodology*, 37-69. doi:https://doi.org/10.2307/271028

Bordens, K. S., & Abbott, B. B. (2002). *Research design and methods: A process approach*. NY, USA: McGraw-Hill.

Bothwell, E. (2018). Work-life balance survey 2018: long hours take their toll on academics. Retrieved from https://www.timeshighereducation.com/features/work-life-balance-survey-2018-long-hours-take-their-toll-academics

Boyar, S. L., Carr, J. C., Mosley Jr, D. C., & Carson, C. M. (2007). The development and validation of scores on perceived work and family demand scales. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 67*(1), 100-115. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164406288173

Boyar, S. L., Maertz Jr, C. P., Mosley Jr, D. C., & Carr, J. C. (2008). The impact of work/family demand on work-family conflict. *Journal of Managerial Psychology, 23*(3), 215-235. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/e518712013-302

Boyar, S. L., & Mosley Jr, D. C. (2007). The relationship between core self-evaluations and work and family satisfaction: The mediating role of work–family conflict and facilitation. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 71*(2), 265-281. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2007.06.001

Boyd, C. M., Bakker, A. B., Pignata, S., Winefield, A. H., Gillespie, N., & Stough, C. (2011). A longitudinal test of the job demands-resources model among Australian university academics. *Applied Psychology, 60*(1), 112-140. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-0597.2010.00429.x

Braunstein-Bercovitz, H., Frish-Burstein, S., & Benjamin, B. A. (2012). The role of personal resources in work–family conflict: Implications for young mothers' well-being. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 80*(2), 317-325.

Brumfiel, G., & Inman, M. (2010). Boom and bust plagues Pakistan's universities. In: Nature Publishing Group.

Buchanan, G. M. C. E., & Seligman, M. E. P. (1995). *Explanatory style* (G. M. C. E. Buchanan & M. E. P. Seligman Eds.). New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.

Butler, A., Grzywacz, J. G., Bass, B., & Linney, K. (2005). Extending the demands control model: A daily diary study of job characteristics, work family conflict and work family facilitation. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 78*(2), 155-169. doi:https://doi.org/10.1348/096317905x40097

Byrne, B. M. (2016). *Structural equation modeling with AMOS: Basic concepts, applications, and programming.* New York, NY, US: Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group.

Byron, K. (2005). A meta-analytic review of work–family conflict and its antecedents. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 67*(2), 169-198. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2004.08.009

Cahill, K. E., McNamara, T. K., Pitt-Catsouphes, M., & Valcour, M. (2015). Linking shifts in the national economy with changes in job satisfaction, employee engagement and work–life balance. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics, 56,* 40-54. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socec.2015.03.002

Calder, B. J., Phillips, L. W., & Tybout, A. M. (1982). The concept of external validity. *Journal of Consumer Research, 9*(3), 240-244.

Carlson, D. S., Grzywacz, J. G., & Kacmar, K. M. (2010). The relationship of schedule flexibility and outcomes via the work-family interface. *Journal of Managerial Psychology, 25*(4), 330-355. doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/02683941011035278

Carlson, D. S., Grzywacz, J. G., & Zivnuska, S. (2009). Is work—family balance more than conflict and enrichment? *Human Relations, 62*(10), 1459-1486. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726709336500

Carlson, D. S., Kacmar, K. M., Wayne, J. H., & Grzywacz, J. G. (2006). Measuring the positive side of the work-family interface: Development and validation of a work-family enrichment scale. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 68*(1), 131-164. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2005.02.002

Carlson, D. S., Kacmar, K. M., & Williams, L. (2000). Construction and initial validation of a multidimensional measure of work-family conflict. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 56*(2), 249-276. doi:https://doi.org/10.1006/jvbe.1999.1713

Carlson, D. S., Kacmar, K. M., Zivnuska, S., Ferguson, M., & Whitten, D. (2011). Work-family enrichment and job performance: A constructive replication of affective events theory. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 16*(3), 297-312. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/a0022880

Carvalho, V. S., & Chambel, M. J. (2018). Work–Family Conflict and Enrichment Mediates the Relationship Between Job Characteristics and Well-Being at Work With Portuguese Marine Corps. *Armed Forces & Society, 44*(2), 301-321. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0095327x17698121

Casper, W., DeHauw, S., Wayne, J. H., & Greenhaus, J. H. (2014). *A review of the meaning and measurement of work-life balance.* Paper presented at the 29th Annual Conference of the Society for Industrial-Organizational Psychology, Honolulu, HI.

Casper, W., Eby, L. T., Bordeaux, C., Lockwood, A., & Lambert, D. (2007). A review of research methods in IO/OB work-family research. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 92*(1), 28-43. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.92.1.28

Casper, W., Vaziri, H., Wayne, J. H., DeHauw, S., & Greenhaus, J. H. (2018). The jingle-jangle of work–nonwork balance: A comprehensive and meta-analytic review of its meaning and measurement. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 103*(2), 182-214. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000259.

Chadwick, I. C., & Raver, J. L. (2013). *Continuously Improving in Tough Times: Overcoming Resource Constraints with Psychological Capital.* Paper presented at the Academy of Management Proceedings.

Chao, Y.-C. E., Zhao, Y., Kupper, L. L., & Nylander-French, L. A. (2008). Quantifying the relative importance of predictors in multiple linear regression analyses for public health studies. *Journal of occupational and environmental hygiene, 5*(8), 519-529. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/15459620802225481

Chaudhry, S. A. (2018, January 25). Plight of Higher Education in Pakistan. *The Nation.* Retrieved from https://nation.com.pk/25-Jan-2018/plight-of-higher-education-in-pakistan

Chen, D. J., & Lim, V. K. (2012). Strength in adversity: The influence of psychological capital on job search. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 33*(6), 811-839. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/job.1814

Chen, G., Gully, S. M., Whiteman, J.-A., & Kilcullen, R. N. (2000). Examination of relationships among trait-like individual differences, state-like individual differences, and learning performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 85*(6), 835-847. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037//0021-9010.85.6.835

Choi, J., Kim, A., Han, K., Ryu, S., Park, J. G., & Kwon, B. (2017). Antecedents and consequences of satisfaction with work–family balance: A moderating role of perceived insider status. *Journal of Organizational Behavior,* 1-11. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2205

Choi, Y. E., Cho, E., Jung, H. J., & Sohn, Y. W. (2017). Calling as a Predictor of Life Satisfaction: The Roles of Psychological Capital, Work–Family Enrichment, and Boundary Management Strategy. *Journal of Career Assessment,* 1-16. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/1069072717723092

Cinamon, R. G. (2006). Anticipated work-family conflict: effects of gender, self-efficacy, and family background. *The Career Development Quarterly, 54*(3), 202-215. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2161-0045.2006.tb00152.x

Cinamon, R. G., Weisel, A., & Tzuk, K. (2007). Work—Family Conflict Within the Family: Crossover Effects, Perceived Parent—Child Interaction Quality, Parental Self-Efficacy, and Life Role Attributions. *Journal of Career Development, 34*(1), 79-100. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0894845307304066

Clark, S. (2000). Work/family border theory: A new theory of work/family balance. *Human Relations, 53*(6), 747-770. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726700536001

Clarke, M. C., Koch, L. C., & Hill, E. J. (2004). The work-family interface: differentiating balance and fit. *Family and Consumer Sciences Research Journal, 33*(2), 121-140. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/1077727x04269610

Cohen, J. (1988). *The analysis of variance* (Vol. 2).

Cook, T. D., Campbell, D. T., & Shadish, W. (2002). *Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for generalized causal inference*. Boston, New York: Houghton Mifflin Boston, MA.

Cooper, D. R., Schindler, P. S., & Sun, J. (2006). *Business research methods* (Vol. 9). USA: McGraw-Hill Irwin New York.

Crain, T. L., & Hammer, L. B. (2013). Work–Family Enrichment: A Systematic Review of Antecedents, Outcomes, and Mechanisms. In *Advances in positive organizational psychology* (pp. 303-328): Emerald Group Publishing Limited.

Crawford, E. R., LePine, J. A., & Rich, B. L. (2010). Linking job demands and resources to employee engagement and burnout: a theoretical extension and meta-analytic test. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 95*(5), 834. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019364

Croll, E. J. (2006). The intergenerational contract in the changing Asian family. *Oxford Development Studies, 34*(4), 473-491. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/13600810601045833

Cropanzano, R., & Wright, T. (2001). When a" happy" worker is really a" productive" worker: A review and further refinement of the happy-productive worker thesis. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research, 53*(3), 182-199. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/1061-4087.53.3.182

Currie, J., & Eveline, J. (2011). E-technology and work/life balance for academics with young children. *Higher Education, 62*(4), 533-550. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-010-9404-9

Curry, L. A., Nembhard, I. M., & Bradley, E. H. (2009). Qualitative and mixed methods provide unique contributions to outcomes research. *Circulation, 119*(10), 1442-1452.

Curtis, J. W. (2004). Balancing work and family for faculty: why it's important. *Academe-bulletin of the AAUP, 90*(6), 21-23. doi:https://doi.org/10.2307/40252701

Daukantaitė, D., Hefferon, K., & Sikström, S. (2016). The harmony in life scale complements the satisfaction with life scale: expanding the conceptualization of the cognitive component of subjective well-being. *Social Indicators Research, 126*(2), 893-919. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11205015-0903-z.

Davis, S. N., & Tuttle, J. D. (2017). Context, Opportunity, and Demands: Satisfaction with Work-Life Balance in 26 Countries. *Journal of Comparative Family Studies, 48*(4), 329-348. doi:https://doi.org/10.3138/jcfs.48.4.329

de Lourdes Machado-Taylor, M., Meira Soares, V., Brites, R., Brites Ferreira, J., Farhangmehr, M., Gouveia, O. M. R., & Peterson, M. (2016). Academic job satisfaction and motivation: findings from a nationwide study in Portuguese higher education. *Studies in Higher Education, 41*(3), 541-559. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2014.942265

De Vaus, D. A., & de Vaus, D. (2001). *Research design in social research*: Sage.

Demerouti, E., & Bakker, A. B. (2011). The job demands-resources model: challenges for future research. *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology, 37*(2), 01-09. doi:https://doi.org/10.4102/sajip.v37i2.974

Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., Nachreiner, F., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2001). The job demands-resources model of burnout. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 86*(3), 499-512. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.86.3.499

Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2005). Spillover and crossover of exhaustion and life satisfaction among dual-earner parents. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 67*(2), 266-289. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2004.07.001

Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., & Voydanoff, P. (2010). Does home life interfere with or facilitate job performance? *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology, 19*(2), 128-149. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/13594320902930939

Demerouti, E., Sanz-Vergel, A. I., Petrou, P., & van den Heuvel, M. (2016). How Work–Self Conflict/Facilitation Influences Exhaustion and Task Performance: A Three-Wave Study on the Role of Personal Resources. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 21*(4), 391-340. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000022

Derks, D., Bakker, A. B., Peters, P., & Wingerden, P. v. (2014). Smartphone use, work–home interference, and burnout: A diary study on the role of recovery. *Applied Psychology, 63*(3), 411-440. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-0597.2012.00530.x

Deuling, J. K., & Burns, L. (2017). Perfectionism and work-family conflict: Self-esteem and self-efficacy as mediator. *Personality and Individual Differences, 116*, 326-330. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2017.05.013

Dewe, P. J., O'Driscoll, M. P., & Cooper, C. L. (2012). Theories of psychological stress at work. In *Handbook of occupational health and wellness* (pp. 23-38). NY, USA: Springer.

Diener, E., Emmons, R. A., Larsen, R. J., & Griffin, S. (1985). The satisfaction with life scale. *Journal of personality assessment, 49*(1), 71-75.

Diener, E., Heintzelman, S. J., Kushlev, K., Tay, L., Wirtz, D., Lutes, L. D., & Oishi, S. (2016). Findings All Psychologists Should Know From the New Science on Subjective Well-Being. *Canadian Psychology/Psychologie canadienne, 58*(2), 87-104. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/cap0000063

Diener, E., Suh, E. M., Lucas, R. E., & Smith, H. L. (1999). Subjective well-being: Three decades of progress. *Psychological bulletin, 125*(2), 276-302. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.125.2.276

Dijkstra, T. K., & Henseler, J. (2015). Consistent Partial Least Squares Path Modeling. *Mis Quarterly, 39*(2). doi:https://doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2015/39.2.02

DiRenzo, M. S., Greenhaus, J. H., & Weer, C. H. (2011). Job level, demands, and resources as antecedents of work–family conflict. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 78*(2), 305-314.

DiRenzo, M. S., Greenhaus, J. H., & Weer, C. H. (2015). Relationship between protean career orientation and work–life balance: A resource perspective. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 36*(4), 538-560. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/job.1996

Dorsch, M. J., Törnblom, K. Y., & Kazemi, A. (2017). A Review of Resource Theories and Their Implications for Understanding Consumer Behavior. *Journal of the Association for Consumer Research, 2*(1), 5-25. doi:https://doi.org/10.1086/688860

Dutta, S., Lanvin, B., & Wunsch-Vincent, S. (2017). *The global innovation index 2017: Innovation feeding the world.* NY, USA: Johnson Cornell University.

Eagan, K., Stolzenberg, E. B., Lozano, J. B., Aragon, M. C., Suchard, M. R., & Hurtado, S. (2014). Undergraduate teaching faculty: The 2013–2014 HERI faculty survey. *Higher Education Research Institute, UCLA.*

Edwards, J. R., & Rothbard, N. P. (1999). Work and family stress and well-being: An examination of person-environment fit in the work and family domains. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 77*(2), 85-129. doi:https://doi.org/10.1006/obhd.1998.2813

Edwards, J. R., & Rothbard, N. P. (2000). Mechanisms linking work and family: Clarifying the relationship between work and family constructs. *Academy of management review, 25*(1), 178-199. doi:https://doi.org/10.2307/259269

Efron, B. (1987). Better bootstrap confidence intervals. *Journal of the American statistical Association, 82*(397), 171-185.

Erdwins, C. J., Buffardi, L. C., Casper, W., & O'Brien, A. S. (2001). The Relationship of Women's Role Strain to Social Support, Role Satisfaction, and Self-Efficacy. *Family Relations, 50*(3), 230-238. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3729.2001.00230.x

Evason, N. (2016). Pakistani Culture. *Cultural Atlast.* Retrieved from https://culturalatlas.sbs.com.au/pakistani-culture/

Folkman, S., Lazarus, R. S., Dunkel-Schetter, C., DeLongis, A., & Gruen, R. J. (1986). Dynamics of a stressful encounter: cognitive appraisal, coping, and encounter outcomes. *Journal of personality and social psychology, 50*(5), 992–1003. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.50.5.992

Ford, M. T., Heinen, B. A., & Langkamer, K. L. (2007). Work and family satisfaction and conflict: a meta-analysis of cross-domain relations. *Journal*

of Applied Psychology, 92(1), 57-80. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.92.1.57

Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error: Algebra and statistics. *Journal of Marketing Research, 18*(3), 382-388. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/002224378101800313

Friede, A., & Ryan, A. M. (2005). *The Importance of the Individual: How Self-Evaluations Influence the Work-Family Interface*. Mahwah, NJ, US: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.

Frone, M. R. (2003). *Work-family balance*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Frone, M. R., Russel, M., & Cooper, M. L. (1997). Relation of work-family conflict to health outcomes: A four-year longitudinal study of employed parents. *Journal of Occupational & Organizational Psychology, 70*(4), 325-335. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8325.1997.tb00652.x

Frone, M. R., Russell, M., & Cooper, M. (1992a). Antecedents and outcomes of work-family conflict: Testing a model of the work-family interface. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 77*(1), 65-78. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.77.1.65

Frone, M. R., Yardley, J., & Markel, K. (1997). Developing and testing an integrative model of the work-family interface. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 50*(2), 145-167. doi:https://doi.org/10.1006/jvbe.1996.1577

Gambles, R., Lewis, S., & Rapoport, R. (2006). The myth of work-life balance: The challenge of our time for men, women and societies. *Community, Work & Family, 11*(3), 337-340.

Gatta, M. L., & Roos, P. A. (2004). Balancing without a net in academia: Integrating family and work lives. *Equal Opportunities International, 23*(3/4/5), 124-142. doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/02610150410787765

Geisser, S. (1974). A predictive approach to the random effect model. *Biometrika, 61*(1), 101-107. doi:https://doi.org/10.2307/2334290

Geurts, S. A., & Demerouti, E. (2003). Work/non-work interface: A review of theories and findings. In *The handbook of work and health psychology* (Vol. 2, pp. 279-312).

Goode, W. (1960). A theory of role strain. *American Sociological Review, 25*(4), 483-496.

Gordon, H. J., Demerouti, E., Bipp, T., & Le Blanc, P. M. (2015). The job demands and resources decision making (JD-R-DM) model. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology, 24*(1), 44-58. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/1359432x.2013.842901

Gorgievski, M. J., Halbesleben, J. R., & Bakker, A. B. (2011). Expanding the boundaries of psychological resource theories. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 84*(1), 1-7. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8325.2010.02015.x

Grandey, A., & Cropanzano, R. (1999). https://doi.org/10.1006/jvbe.1998.1666. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 54*(2), 350-370.

Grawitch, M. J., Barber, L. K., & Justice, L. (2010). Rethinking the Work–Life Interface: It's Not about Balance, It's about Resource Allocation. *Applied Psychology: Health and Well-Being, 2*(2), 127-159. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-0854.2009.01023.x

Grawitch, M. J., Maloney, P. W., Barber, L. K., & Mooshegian, S. E. (2013). Examining the nomological network of satisfaction with work–life balance. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 18*(3), 276-284. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/a0032754

Greenhaus, J. H., & Allen, T. D. (2011). Work-family balance: A review and extension of the literature. In J. C. Quick & L. E. Tetrick (Eds.), *Handbook of occupational health psychology* (Vol. 2, pp. 165-183). Washington, USA: American Psychological Association.

Greenhaus, J. H., Allen, T. D., & Spector, P. E. (2006). Health consequences of work–family conflict: The dark side of the work–family interface. In *Employee health, coping and methodologies* (pp. 61-98). NY: Emerald Group Publishing Limited.

Greenhaus, J. H., & Beutell, N. J. (1985). Sources of conflict between work and family roles. *Academy of management review, 10*(1), 76-88. doi:https://doi.org/10.2307/258214

Greenhaus, J. H., Collins, K. M., & Shaw, J. D. (2003). The relation between work-family balance and quality of life. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 63*(3), 510-531. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/s0001-8791(02)00042-8

Greenhaus, J. H., & Powell, G. N. (2006). When work and family are allies: A theory of work-family enrichment. *The Academy of Management Review, 31*(1), 72-92. doi:https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.2006.19379625

Greenhaus, J. H., & Powell, G. N. (2012). The family-relatedness of work decisions: A framework and agenda for theory and research. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 80*(2), 246-255. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2011.12.007

Gröpel, P., & Kuhl, J. (2009). Work–life balance and subjective well-being: The mediating role of need fulfilment. *British Journal of Psychology, 100*(2), 365-375.

Grover, S. L., Teo, S. T., Pick, D., & Roche, M. (2017). Mindfulness as a personal resource to reduce work stress in the job demands-resources model. *Stress and Health, 33*(4), 426-436. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/smi.2726

Grover, S. L., Teo, S. T., Pick, D., Roche, M., & Newton, C. J. (2018). Psychological capital as a personal resource in the JD-R model. *Personnel Review, 47*(4), 968-984. doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/pr-08-2016-0213

Grzywacz, J., & Marks, N. (2000). Reconceptualizing the work-family interface: An ecological perspective on the correlates of positive and negative spillover between work and family. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 5*(1), 111-126. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037//1076-8998.5.1.111

Guba, E. G. (1990). *The paradigm dialog*. Thousand Oaks, CA, US: Sage publications, Inc.

Guest, D. E. (2002). Perspectives on the study of work-life balance. *Social Science Information, 41*(2), 255-279. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0539018402041002005

Haar, J. M., Roche, M., & ten Brummelhuis, L. (2018). A daily diary study of work-life balance in managers: utilizing a daily process model. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 29*(18), 2659-2681. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2017.1314311

Hackman, J. R. (2009a). The perils of positivity. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 30*(2), 309-319.

Hackman, J. R. (2009b). The point of POB: Rejoinder. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 30*(2), 321-322.

Hackman, J. R., & Oldham, G. R. (1976). Motivation through the design of work: Test of a theory. *Organizational behavior and human performance, 16*(2), 250-279. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073(76)90016-7

Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B., Anderson, R., & Tatham, R. (2010). *Multivariate Data Analysis*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.

Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2014). *Multivariate Data Analysis: Pearson New International Edition, Always Learning*: Pearson Harlow, Essex.

Hair, J. F., Hollingsworth, C. L., Randolph, A. B., & Chong, A. Y. L. (2017). An updated and expanded assessment of PLS-SEM in information systems research. *Industrial Management & Data Systems, 117*(3), 442-458. doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/imds-04-2016-0130

Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)* (2nd ed.): Sage Thousands Oaks.

Hair, J. F., Matthews, L. M., Matthews, R. L., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). PLS-SEM or CB-SEM: Updated guidelines on which method to use. *International Journal of Multivariate Data Analysis, 1*(2), 107-123. doi:https://doi.org/10.1504/IJMDA.2017.087624

Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2011). PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet. *Journal of marketing theory and practice, 19*(2), 139-152. doi:PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet

Hair, J. F., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Gudergan, S. P. (2017). *Advanced issues in partial least squares structural equation modeling*: SAGE Publications.

Hao, J., Wu, D., Liu, L., Li, X., & Wu, H. (2015). Association between work-family conflict and depressive symptoms among Chinese female nurses: The mediating and moderating role of psychological capital. *International journal of environmental research and public health, 12*(6), 6682-6699. doi:https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph120606682

Harman, D. (1967). A single factor test of common method variance. *Journal of Psychology, 35*(1967), 359-378.

Hayes, A. F. (2018). Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis second edition: A regression-based approach. In. New York, NY: The Guilford Press.

Hayward, F. (2015). Higher education transformation in Pakistan: Political and economic instability. *International Higher Education*(54). doi:https://doi.org/10.6017/ihe.2009.54.8416

Heijstra, T. M., & Rafnsdottir, G. L. (2010). The Internet and academics' workload and work–family balance. *The Internet and Higher Education, 13*(3), 158-163. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2010.03.004

Henseler, J., Dijkstra, T. K., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., Diamantopoulos, A., Straub, D. W., . . . Calantone, R. J. (2014). Common beliefs and reality about PLS: Comments on Rönkkö and Evermann (2013). *Organizational Research Methods, 17*(2), 182-209. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2014.02.005

Henseler, J., Hubona, G., & Ray, P. A. (2016). Using PLS path modeling in new technology research: updated guidelines. *Industrial Management & Data Systems, 116*(1), 2-20. doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-09-2015-0382

Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 43*(1), 115-135. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8

Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sinkovics, R. R. (2009). The use of partial least squares path modeling in international marketing. In *New challenges to international marketing* (Vol. 20, pp. 277-319). Bingley: Emerald Group Publishing Limited.

Hill, E. J. (2005). Work-family facilitation and conflict, working fathers and mothers, work-family stressors and support. *Journal of Family Issues, 26*(6), 793. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0192513x05277542

Hobfoll, S. E. (1989). Conservation of resources: A new attempt at conceptualizing stress. *American psychologist, 44*(3), 513-524. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037//0003-066x.44.3.513

Hobfoll, S. E. (2001). The Influence of Culture, Community, and the Nested Self in the Stress Process: Advancing Conservation of Resources Theory. *Applied Psychology, 50*(3), 337-421. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/1464-0597.00062

Hobfoll, S. E. (2002). Social and psychological resources and adaptation. *Review of General Psychology, 6*(4), 307-324. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037//1089-2680.6.4.307

Hobfoll, S. E., Halbesleben, J., Neveu, J.-P., & Westman, M. (2018). Conservation of resources in the organizational context: The reality of resources and their consequences. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, 5*, 103-128. doi:https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-032117-104640

Hobfoll, S. E., Johnson, R. J., Ennis, N., & Jackson, A. P. (2003). Resource loss, resource gain, and emotional outcomes among inner city women. *Journal of personality and social psychology, 84*(3), 632-643. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.84.3.632

Hoodbhoy, P. (2009). Pakistan's Higher Education System—What went Wrong and How to Fix it. *The Pakistan Development Review, 48*(4-II), 581-594. doi:https://doi.org/10.30541/v48i4iipp.581-594

Hu, L., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 6*(1), 1-55. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/10705519909540118

Hunter, E. M., Perry, S. J., Carlson, D. S., & Smith, S. A. (2010). Linking team resources to work–family enrichment and satisfaction. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 77*(2), 304-312. doi:https://doi.org/10.5465/ambpp.2010.54495113

Ilies, R., Huth, M., Ryan, A. M., & Dimotakis, N. (2015). Explaining the links between workload, distress, and work–family conflict among school employees: Physical, cognitive, and emotional fatigue. *Journal of educational psychology, 107*(4), 1-14. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/edu0000029

Ilies, R., Schwind, K. M., Wagner, D. T., Johnson, M. D., DeRue, D. S., & Ilgen, D. R. (2007). When can employees have a family life? The effects of daily workload and affect on work-family conflict and social behaviors at home. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 92*(5), 1368-1379. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.92.5.1368

Innstrand, S. T., Langballe, E. M., & Falkum, E. (2010). Exploring occupational differences in work–family interaction: Who is at risk? *International Journal of Stress Management, 17*(1), 38. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018565

Innstrand, S. T., Langballe, E. M., Falkum, E., Espnes, G. A., & Aasland, O. G. (2009). Gender-Specific Perceptions of Four Dimensions of the Work/Family

Interaction. *Journal of Career Assessment, 17*(4), 402-416. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/1069072709334238

Itrat, A., Taqui, A. M., Qazi, F., & Qidwai, W. (2007). Family systems: perceptions of elderly patients and their attendents presenting at a university hospital in Karachi, Pakistan. *Journal of pakistan medical association, 57*(2), 106-110.

Jacobs, J. A., & Winslow, S. E. (2004). Overworked faculty: Job stresses and family demands. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 596*(1), 104-129. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716204596000105

Jenkins, J. S., Heneghan, C. J., Bailey, S. F., & Barber, L. K. (2014). The Work–Family Interface as a Mediator between Job Demands and Employee Behaviour. *Stress and Health, 32*(2), 128-137. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/smi.2586

Jex, S. M., & Britt, T. W. (2014). *Organizational psychology: A scientist-practitioner approach*: John Wiley & Sons.

Johnson, J. W., & LeBreton, J. M. (2004). History and use of relative importance indices in organizational research. *Organizational Research Methods, 7*(3), 238-257. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428104266510

Jonker, J., & Pennink, B. (2010). *The essence of research methodology: A concise guide for master and PhD students in management science*: Springer Science & Business Media.

Jöreskog, K. G. (1978). Structural analysis of covariance and correlation matrices. *Psychometrika, 43*(4), 443-477. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02293808

Kacmar, K. M., Wayne, J. H., Carlson, D. S., Ferguson, M., & Whitten, D. (2014). A short and valid measure of work-family enrichment. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 19*(1), 32-45. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/a0035123

Kahn, R. L., Wolfe, D. M., Quinn, R. P., Snoek, J. D., & Rosenthal, R. A. (1964). *Organizational stress: Studies in role conflict and ambiguity*. New York: John Wiley.

Karasek Jr, R. A. (1979). Job demands, job decision latitude, and mental strain: Implications for job redesign. *Administrative science quarterly, 24*(2), 285-308. doi:https://doi.org/10.2307/2392498

Karasek, R. A. (1985). Job content questionnaire and user's guide. *PsycTESTS Dataset*. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/t03609-000

Karatepe, O. M., & Bekteshi, L. (2008). Antecedents and outcomes of work-family facilitation and family-work facilitation among frontline hotel employees. *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 27*(4), 517-528. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2007.09.004

Karatepe, O. M., & Karadas, G. (2014). The effect of psychological capital on conflicts in the work–family interface, turnover and absence intentions. *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 43*, 132-143. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2014.09.005

Karatepe, O. M., & Magaji, A. B. (2008). Work-Family Conflict and Facilitation in the Hotel Industry. *Cornell Hospitality Quarterly, 49*(4), 395-412. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/1938965508326282

Khalid, S., Irshad, M. Z., & Mahmood, B. (2012). Job satisfaction among academic staff: A comparative analysis between public and private sector universities of Punjab, Pakistan. *International Journal of Business and Management, 7*(1), 126-136.

Khan, A., Yusoffa, R. B. M., & Azam, K. (2014). Factors of Job Stress among university teachers in Pakistan A conceptual review. *Journal of Management Info, 2*(1). doi:https://doi.org/10.31580/jmi.v2i1.6

Kinman, G. (2014). Doing more with less? Work and wellbeing in academics. *Somatechnics, 4*(2), 219-235. doi:https://doi.org/10.3366/soma.2014.0129

Kinman, G., & Jones, F. (2008). A life beyond work? Job demands, work-life balance, and wellbeing in UK academics. *Journal of Human Behavior in the Social Environment, 17*(1-2), 41-60. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/10911350802165478

Kinnunen, U., Feldt, T., Mauno, S., & Rantanen, J. (2010). Interface between work and family: A longitudinal individual and crossover perspective. *Journal of Occupational & Organizational Psychology, 83*(1), 119-137. doi:https://doi.org/10.1348/096317908x399420

Kinnunen, U., Geurts, S., & Mauno, S. (2004). Work-to-family conflict and its relationship with satisfaction and well-being: a one-year longitudinal study on gender differences. *work & stress, 18*(1), 1-22. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/02678370410001682005

Kline, R. B. (2015). *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling*: Guilford publications.

Kossek, E. E., Baltes, B. B., & Matthews, R. A. (2011). How work–family research can finally have an impact in organizations. *Industrial and organizational psychology, 4*(3), 352-369. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1754-9434.2011.01353.x

Kossek, E. E., Lewis, S., & Hammer, L. B. (2009). Work–life initiatives and organizational change: Overcoming mixed messages to move from the margin to the mainstream. *Human Relations, 63*(1), 3-19. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726709352385

Kossek, E. E., & Ozeki, C. (1998). Work-family conflict, policies, and the job-life satisfaction relationship: A review and directions for organizational behavior-human resources Research. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 83*(2), 139-149. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037//0021-9010.83.2.139

Kossek, E. E., Pichler, S., Bodner, T., & Hammer, L. B. (2011). Workplace social support and work–family conflict: A meta-analysis clarifying the influence of general and work–family-specific supervisor and organizational support. *Personnel Psychology, 64*(2), 289-313. doi:Workplace social support and work–family conflict: A meta-analysis clarifying the influence of general and work–family-specific supervisor and organizational support

Kraha, A., Turner, H., Nimon, K., Zientek, L., & Henson, R. (2012). Tools to support interpreting multiple regression in the face of multicollinearity. *Frontiers in psychology, 3*, 44. doi:https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00044

Krejcie, R. V., & Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 30*(3), 607-610.

Kumar, A., Channa, K. A., & Bhutto, N. A. (2018). When and how Workplace Social Support Improves Family Performance. *Applied research in quality of life*, 1-22. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11482-018-9647-7

Kumar, R. (2014). *Research methodology: A step-by-step guide for beginners* (4 ed.). London, UK: Sage.

Kuykendall, L., Tay, L., & Ng, V. (2015). Leisure engagement and subjective well-being: A meta-analysis. *Psychological bulletin, 141*(2), 364-403. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/a0038508

L. Boyar, S., S. Campbell, N., C. Mosley Jr, D., & M. Carson, C. (2014). Development of a work/family social support measure. *Journal of*

Managerial Psychology, 29(7), 901-920. doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/jmp-06-2012-0189

Lambert, S. J. (1990). Processes linking work and family: A critical review and research agenda. *Human Relations, 43*(3), 239-257. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/001872679004300303

Landers, R. N., & Behrend, T. S. (2015). An inconvenient truth: Arbitrary distinctions between organizational, Mechanical Turk, and other convenience samples. *Industrial and organizational psychology, 8*(2), 142-164.

Lapierre, L. M., Li, Y., Kwan, H. K., Greenhaus, J. H., DiRenzo, M. S., & Shao, P. (2018). A meta-analysis of the antecedents of work–family enrichment. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 39*(4), 385-401. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2234

Larson, M., & Luthans, F. (2006). Potential added value of psychological capital in predicting work attitudes. *Journal of leadership & organizational studies, 13*(2), 75-92. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/10717919070130020601

Lazarus, R. S. (1991). Cognition and motivation in emotion. *American psychologist, 46*(4), 352-367. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037//0003-066x.46.4.352

Lazarus, R. S. (2001). Relational meaning and discrete emotions. In K. R. Scherer, A. Schorr, & T. Johnstone (Eds.), *Series in affective science. Appraisal processes in emotion: Theory, methods, research* (pp. 37-67). NY, USA: Oxford University Press.

Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal, and coping* (R. S. Lazarus & S. Folkman Eds.): Springer Publishing Company.

Le Blanc, P. M., Bakker, A. B., Peeters, M. C., van Heesch, N. C., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2001). Emotional job demands and burnout among oncology care providers. *Anxiety, Stress and Coping, 14*(3), 243-263. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/10615800108248356

LePine, J. A., Podsakoff, N. P., & LePine, M. A. (2005). A meta-analytic test of the challenge stressor–hindrance stressor framework: An explanation for inconsistent relationships among stressors and performance. *Academy of Management Journal, 48*(5), 764-775.

Lero, D. S., & Lewis, S. (2008). Assumptions, research gaps and emerging issues: Implications for research, policy and practice. In *Handbook of work-family*

integration. Research, theory, and best practices (pp. 371-397): Academic Press.

Lewis, S., Gambles, R., & Rapoport, R. (2007). The constraints of a 'work–life balance'approach: An international perspective. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 18*(3), 360-373. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2007.00083.x

Li, B., Ma, H., Guo, Y., Xu, F., Yu, F., & Zhou, Z. (2014). Positive psychological capital: A new approach to social support and subjective well-being. *Social Behavior and Personality: an international journal, 42*(1), 135-144. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.2224/sbp.2014.42.1.135

Lieke, L., van der Lippe, T., Kluwer, E. S., & Flap, H. (2008). Positive and negative effects of family involvement on work-related burnout. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 73*(3), 387-396. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2008.07.006

Liu, H., & Cheung, F. M. (2015). The role of work–family role integration in a job demands–resources model among Chinese secondary school teachers. *Asian Journal of Social Psychology, 18*(4), 288-298. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/ajsp.12103.

Liu, L., Hu, S., Wang, L., Sui, G., & Ma, L. (2013). Positive resources for combating depressive symptoms among Chinese male correctional officers: perceived organizational support and psychological capital. *BMC psychiatry, 13*(1), 1-9. doi:https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-244X-13-89

Liu, Y. (2013). Mediating Effect of Positive Psychological Capital in Taiwan's Life Insurance Industry. *Social Behavior and Personality: an international journal, 41*(1), 109-111. doi:https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.2013.41.1.109

Lohmoeller, J.-B. (1989). *Latent variable path analysis with partial least squares.* Berlin Heidelberg: Springer- Verlag

Lorenz, T., Beer, C., Pütz, J., & Heinitz, K. (2016). Measuring psychological capital: construction and validation of the compound PsyCap scale (CPC-12). *PloS one, 11*(4). doi:https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0152892

Lu, J. F., Siu, O.-L., Spector, P. E., & Shi, K. (2009). Antecedents and outcomes of a fourfold taxonomy of work-family balance in Chinese employed parents. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 14*(2), 182-192. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014115

Lu, L., Chang, T. T., Kao, S. F., & Cooper, C. L. (2015). Testing an integrated model of the work–family interface in Chinese employees: a longitudinal study. *Asian Journal of Social Psychology, 18*(1), 12-21. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/ajsp.12081

Lucas, J. W. (2003). Theory-testing, generalization, and the problem of external validity. *Sociological Theory, 21*(3), 236-253.

Luthans, B. C., Luthans, K. W., & Jensen, S. M. (2012). The Impact of Business School Students' Psychological Capital on Academic Performance. *Journal of Education for Business, 87*(5), 253-259. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/08832323.2011.609844

Luthans, F. (2002a). The need for and meaning of positive organizational behavior. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 23*(6), 695-706. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/job.165

Luthans, F. (2002b). Positive organizational behavior: Developing and managing psychological strengths. *The Academy of Management Executive, 16*(1), 57-72. doi:https://doi.org/10.5465/ame.2002.6640181

Luthans, F. (2012). Psychological capital: Implications for HRD, retrospective analysis, and future directions. *Human Resource Development Quarterly, 23*(1), 1-8. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/hrdq.21119

Luthans, F., Avey, J. B., Avolio, B. J., Norman, S. M., & Combs, G. M. (2006). Psychological capital development: toward a micro-intervention. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 27*(3), 387-393. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/job.373

Luthans, F., Avey, J. B., & Patera, J. L. (2008). Experimental analysis of a web-based training intervention to develop positive psychological capital. *Academy of Management Learning & Education, 7*(2), 209-221. doi:https://doi.org/10.5465/amle.2008.32712618

Luthans, F., & Avolio, B. J. (2009). Inquiry unplugged: Building on hackman's potential perils of POB. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 30*(2), 323-328. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/job.590

Luthans, F., Avolio, B. J., Avey, J. B., & Norman, S. M. (2007). Positive psychological capital: Measurement and relationship with performance and satisfaction. *Personnel Psychology, 60*(3), 541-572. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2007.00083.xx

Luthans, F., & Church, A. (2002). Positive organization behavior: Developing and managing psychological strengths. *The Academy of Management Executive, 16*(1), 57-75. doi:https://doi.org/10.5465/ame.2002.6640181

Luthans, F., & Jensen, S. M. (2002). Hope: A new positive strength for human resource development. *Human Resource Development Review, 1*(3), 304-322. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484302013003

Luthans, F., Norman, S. M., Avolio, B. J., & Avey, J. B. (2008). The mediating role of psychological capital in the supportive organizational climate—employee performance relationship. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 29*(2), 219-238. doi:https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-219

Luthans, F., Vogelgesang, G. R., & Lester, P. B. (2006). Developing the psychological capital of resiliency. *Human Resource Development Review, 5*(1), 25-44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484305285335

Luthans, F., & Youssef-Morgan, C. M. (2017). Psychological Capital: An Evidence-Based Positive Approach. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, 4*(1), 339-366. doi:https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-032516-113324

Luthans, F., & Youssef, C. M. (2004). Human, Social, and Now Positive Psychological Capital Management:: Investing in People for Competitive Advantage. *Organizational Dynamics, 33*(2), 143-160. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orgdyn.2004.01.003

Luthans, F., Youssef, C. M., & Avolio, B. J. (2007). Psychological capital: Investing and developing positive organizational behavior. In D. L. Nelson & C. L. Cooper (Eds.), *Positive organizational behavior* (pp. 9-24).

Luthans, F., Youssef, C. M., Sweetman, D. S., & Harms, P. D. (2013). Meeting the leadership challenge of employee well-being through relationship PsyCap and health PsyCap. *Journal of leadership & organizational studies, 20*(1), 118-133. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/1548051812465893

MacDermid, S. M., & Wittenborn, A. K. (2007). Lessons From Work—Life Research for Developing Human Resources. *Advances in Developing Human Resources, 9*(4), 556-568. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/1523422307305493

Madsen, S., John, C., & Miller, D. (2005). *Work-family conflict and health: A study of workplace, psychological, and behavioral correlates* (Vol. 6).

Mahmood, K. (2016). *Overall Assessment of the Higher Education Sector, Higher Education Commission of Pakistan*. Retrieved from Islamabad:

Makri, A. (2018, 21 DECEMBER 2018). Pakistan and Egypt had highest rises in research output in 2018. *Nature* Retrieved from https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-018-07841-9

Marais, E., De Klerk, M., Nel, J. A., & De Beer, L. (2014). The antecedents and outcomes of work-family enrichment amongst female workers. *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology, 40*(1), 1-14. doi:https://doi.org/10.4102/sajip.v40i1.1186

Mark, G., & Smith, A. P. (2012). Effects of occupational stress, job characteristics, coping, and attributional style on the mental health and job satisfaction of university employees. *Anxiety, Stress & Coping, 25*(1), 63-78.

Marks, S. R. (1977). Multiple roles and role strain: Some notes on human energy, time and commitment. *American Sociological Review, 42*(6), 921-936. doi:https://doi.org/10.2307/2094577

Marks, S. R., & MacDermid, S. M. (1996). Multiple roles and the self: A theory of role balance. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 417-432. doi:Multiple roles and the self: A theory of role balance

Mason, M. A., Wolfinger, N. H., & Goulden, M. (2013). *Do babies matter?: Gender and family in the ivory tower*: Rutgers University Press.

Masten, A. S., Cutuli, J. J., Herbers, J. E., & Reed, M.-G. J. (2009). Resilience in development. In S. J. Lopez & C. R. Snyder (Eds.), *Handbook of positive psychology* (pp. 74-88). NY, USA: Oxford University Press.

Matthews, R. A., Kath, L. M., & Barnes-Farrell, J. L. (2010). A short, valid, predictive measure of work–family conflict: Item selection and scale validation. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 15*(1), 75-90. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017443

Mauno, S., & Rantanen, M. (2013). Contextual and dispositional coping resources as predictors of work–family conflict and enrichment: which of these resources or their combinations are the most beneficial? *Journal of Family and Economic Issues, 34*(1), 87-104. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10834-012-9306-3

Mayerl, H., Stolz, E., Waxenegger, A., Rásky, É., & Freidl, W. (2016). The role of personal and job resources in the relationship between psychosocial job demands, mental strain, and health problems. *Frontiers in psychology, 7,* 1214. doi:https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01214

McNall, L. A., Masuda, A. D., Shanock, L. R., & Nicklin, J. M. (2011). Interaction of core self-evaluations and perceived organizational support on work-to-family enrichment. *The Journal of psychology, 145*(2), 133-149. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/00223980.2010.542506

McNall, L. A., & Nicklin, J. M. (2014). Work-Family Enrichment. *Encyclopedia of Quality of Life and Well-Being Research,* 7215-7218. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-0753-5_4054

McNall, L. A., Nicklin, J. M., & Masuda, A. D. (2010). A Meta-Analytic Review of the Consequnces Associated with Work-Family Enrichment. *Journal of Business Psychology, 25,* 381-396. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-009-9141-1

McNall, L. A., Scott, L. D., & Nicklin, J. M. (2015). Do positive affectivity and boundary preferences matter for work–family enrichment? A study of human service workers. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 20*(1), 93. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/a0038165

McNamara, T. K., Pitt-Catsouphes, M., Matz-Costa, C., Brown, M., & Valcour, M. (2013). Across the continuum of satisfaction with work–family balance: Work hours, flexibility-fit, and work–family culture. *Social science research, 42*(2), 283-298. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ssresearch.2012.10.002

Michel, A., Bosch, C., & Rexroth, M. (2014). Mindfulness as a cognitive–emotional segmentation strategy: An intervention promoting work–life balance. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 87*(4), 733-754. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/joop.12072

Michel, J. S., & Clark, M. A. (2009). Has it been affect all along? A test of work-to-family and family-to-work models of conflict, enrichment, and satisfaction. *Personality and Individual Differences, 47*(3), 163-168. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2009.02.015

Michel, J. S., Clark, M. A., & Jaramillo, D. (2011). The role of the Five Factor Model of personality in the perceptions of negative and positive forms of

work–nonwork spillover: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 79*(1), 191-203. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2010.12.010

Michel, J. S., Kotrba, L. M., Mitchelson, J. K., Clark, M. A., & Baltes, B. B. (2010). Antecedents of work–family conflict: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 32*(5), 689-725. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/job.695

Michel, R., & Michel, C. (2012). Faculty satisfaction and work-family enrichment: The moderating effect of human resource flexibility. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 46*, 5168-5172. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.06.402

Mills, M. J., Fleck, C., & Kozikowski, A. (2013). Positive psychology at work: A conceptual review, state-of-practice assessment, and a look ahead. *The Journal of Positive Psychology, 8*(2), 153-164. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2013.776622

Moen, P., Kelly, E., & Huang, Q. (2008). Work, family and life-course fit: Does control over work time matter? *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 73*(3), 414-425. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2008.08.002

Molino, M., Bakker, A. B., & Ghislieri, C. (2016). The role of workaholism in the job demands-resources model. *Anxiety, Stress, & Coping, 29*(4), 400-414. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10615806.2015.1070833

Molino, M., Ghislieri, C., & Cortese, C. G. (2013). When work enriches family-life: the mediational role of professional development opportunities. *Journal of Workplace Learning, 25*(2), 98-113. doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/13665621311299780

Montgomery, A., Panagopolou, E., & Benos, A. (2006). Work–family interference as a mediator between job demands and job burnout among doctors. *Stress and Health, 22*(3), 203-212. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/smi.1104

Montgomery, A., Peeters, M., Schaufeli, W., & Ouden, M. D. (2003). Work-home interference among newspaper managers: Its relationship with burnout and engagement. *Anxiety, stress, and coping, 16*(2), 195-211. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/1061580021000030535

Morganson, V. J., & Atkinson, H. C. (2017). Work–family enrichment: a literature review. In *Research Handbook on Work and Well-Being* (pp. 372-388). Cheltenham, UK: Elgar online.

Morganson, V. J., Litano, M. L., & O'Neill, S. K. (2014). Promoting Work–Family
  Balance Through Positive Psychology: A Practical Review of the Literature.
  The Psychologist-Manager Journal, 17(4), 221-244.
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/mgr0000023

Mostert, K. (2009). The balance between work and home: The relationship between
  work and home demands and ill health of employed females. *SA Journal of
  Industrial Psychology, 35*(1), 145-152.
  doi:https://doi.org/10.4102/sajip.v35i1.743

Mostert, K., Peeters, M., & Rost, I. (2011). Work–home interference and the
  relationship with job characteristics and well-being: a South African study
  among employees in the construction industry. *Stress and Health, 27*(3), 238-
  251. doi:Work–home interference and the relationship with job characteristics
  and well-being: a South African study among employees in the construction
  industry

Mudrak, J., Zabrodska, K., Kveton, P., Jelinek, M., Blatny, M., Solcova, I., &
  Machovcova, K. (2017). Occupational Well-being Among University
  Faculty: A Job Demands-Resources Model. *Research in higher education,
  59*(3), 325-348. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11162-017-9467-x

Mulder, C. H., Clark, W. A., & Wagner, M. (2002). A comparative analysis of
  leaving home in the United States, the Netherlands and West Germany.
  Demographic Research, 7, 565-592.
  doi:https://doi.org/10.4054/demres.2002.7.17

Mustapha, N., Ahmad, A., Uli, J., & Idris, K. (2011). Work-Family Facilitation and
  Family Satisfaction as Mediators in the Relationship between Job Demands
  and Intention to Stay. *Asian Social Science, 7*(6), p142.
  doi:https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v7n6p142

Naeem, A., Mirza, N. H., Ayyub, R. M., & Lodhi, R. N. (2019). HRM practices and
  faculty's knowledge sharing behavior: mediation of affective commitment
  and affect-based trust. *Studies in Higher Education, 44*(3), 499-512.
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2017.1378635

Nasurdin, A. M., & O'Driscoll, M. P. (2012). Work Overload, Parental Demand,
  Perceived Organizational Support, Family Support, and Work-Family
  Conflict among New Zealand and Malaysian Academics. *New Zealand*

Journal of Psychology, 41(1), 38-48. doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/cms-07-2017-0211

Netemeyer, R., Boles, J., & McMurrian, R. (1996). Development and Validation of Work-Family Conflict and Family-Work Conflict Scales. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 81*(4), 400-410. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.81.4.400

Njie, O. (2018). *Wisdom as It Relates to the Holy Quran* (Vol. 1). Bloomington IN, USA: Xlibris.

Noor, N. M. (2003). Work- and family-related variables, work--family conflict and women's well-being: some observations. *Community, Work & Family, 6*(3), 297-319. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/1366880032000143474

O'Driscoll, M., Brough, P., & Kalliath, T. (2006). Work-family conflict and facilitation. In *Work-life balance: A psychological perspective* (pp. 117-142). New York, NY, US: Psychology Press.

Odle-Dusseau, H. N., Britt, T. W., & Greene-Shortridge, T. M. (2012). Organizational work–family resources as predictors of job performance and attitudes: The process of work–family conflict and enrichment. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 17*(1), 28-40. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8543.2011.00852.x

Olsen, D., & Near, J. P. (1994). Role conflict and faculty life satisfaction. *The Review of Higher Education, 17*(2), 179-195. doi:https://doi.org/10.1353/rhe.1994.0026

Ones, D. S., & Viswesvaran, C. (1996). Bandwidth–fidelity dilemma in personality measurement for personnel selection. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 17*(6), 609-626. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1099-1379(199611)17:6%3C609::aid-job1828%3E3.0.co;2-k

Oren, L., & Levin, L. (2017). Work-family conflict/enrichment: the role of personal resources. *International Journal of Manpower, 38*(8), 1102-1113. doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/IJM-06-2014-0135

Parker, S. K. (1998). Enhancing role breadth self-efficacy: the roles of job enrichment and other organizational interventions. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 83*(6), 835-852. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037//0021-9010.83.6.835

Parveen, A., Rashid, K., Iqbal, M. Z., & Khan, S. (2011). System and Reforms of Higher Education in Pakistan. *International Journal of Business and Social Science, 2*(20), 260-267.

Paterson, T. A., Luthans, F., & Jeung, W. (2013). Thriving at work: Impact of psychological capital and supervisor support. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 35*(3), 434-446. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/job.1907

Pattusamy, M., & Jacob, J. (2015). A test of Greenhaus and Allen (2011) model on work-family balance. *Current Psychology, 36*(2), 193-202. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-015-9400-4

Pattusamy, M., & Jacob, J. (2016). The Mediating Role of Family-to-Work Conflict and Work-Family Balance in the Relationship between Family Support and Family Satisfaction: A Three Path Mediation Approach. *Current Psychology, 36*(4), 812–822. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-016-9470-y

PBS. (2017). *Labor Force Survey 2015-2016.* Retrieved from Islamabad:

Pedhazur, E. (1997). *Multiple regression in behavioral research: Explanation and prediction* NY: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.

Pedhazur, E., & Schmelkin, L. P. (2013). *Measurement, design, and analysis: An integrated approach*: Psychology Press.

Peeters, M. C., de Jonge, J., Janssen, P. P., & van der Linden, S. (2004). Work-home interference, job stressors, and employee health in a longitudinal perspective. *International Journal of Stress Management, 11*(4), 305. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/1072-5245.11.4.305

Peeters, M. C., Montgomery, A., Bakker, A. B., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2005). Balancing Work and Home: How Job and Home Demands Are Related to Burnout. *International Journal of Stress Management, 12*(1), 43. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/1072-5245.12.1.43. 43

PES. (2018). *Pakistan Education Statistics 2016-17.* Islmabad

Peterson, C. (2000). The future of optimism. *American psychologist, 55*(1), 44-55. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037//0003-066x.55.1.44

Pillay, S., & Abhayawansa, S. (2014). Work–family balance: perspectives from higher education. *Higher Education, 68*(5), 669-690. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-014-9738-9

Piotrkowski, C. S. (1979). *Work and the family system: A naturalistic study of working-class and lower-middle-class families.* NY, USA: Free Press.

Planning Commission of Pakistan. (2013). *Pakistan 2025- One Nation - One Vision.* Islamabad

Pocock, B., Williams, P., & Skinner, N. (2012). Conceptualizing Work, Family and Community: A Socio-Ecological Systems Model, Taking Account of Power, Time, Space and Life Stage. *British Journal of Industrial Relations, 50*(3), 391-411. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8543.2011.00852.x

Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J.-Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: a critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 88*(5), 879. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.88.5.879

Poelmans, S., Spector, P. E., Cooper, C. L., Allen, T. D., O'Driscoll, M., & Sanchez, J. I. (2003). A Cross-National Comparative Study of Work/Family Demands and Resources. *International Journal of Cross Cultural Management, 3*(3), 275-288. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/1470595803003003002

Powell, G. N., & Greenhaus, J. H. (2006). When work and family are allies: A theory of work-family enrichment. *The Academy of Management Review, 31*(1), 72-92. doi:https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2006.19379625

Powell, G. N., Greenhaus, J. H., Jaskiewicz, P., Combs, J. G., Balkin, D. B., & Shanine, K. K. (2017). Family science and the work-family interface: An interview with Gary Powell and Jeffrey Greenhaus. *Human Resource Management Review, 28*(1), 98-102. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2017.05.009

Preacher, K. J., & Hayes, A. F. (2008). Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models. *Behavior research methods, 40*(3), 879-891. doi:https://doi.org/10.3758/BRM.40.3.879.

Proost, K., De Witte, H., De Witte, K., & Schreurs, B. (2010). Work–family conflict and facilitation: The combined influence of the job demand–control model and achievement striving. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology, 19*(5), 615-628. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/13594320903027826

Qiu, L. (2011). *Linking Individual and Environmental Characteristics to Work-Family Enrichment of Chinese Employees.* Paper presented at the Management and Service Science (MASS), Wuhan, China.

Rantanen, J. (2008). *Work-family interface and psychological well-being: A personality and longitudinal perspective.* University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä.

Rantanen, J., Kinnunen, U., Mauno, S., & Tement, S. (2013). Patterns of conflict and enrichment in work-family balance: A three-dimensional typology. *work & stress, 27*(2), 141-163. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02678373.2013.791074

Rantanen, J., Kinnunen, U., Mauno, S., & Tillemann, K. (2011). Introducing theoretical approaches to work-life balance and testing a new typology among professionals. In *Creating Balance* (pp. 27-46). Berlin, Heidelberg.: Springer.

Raza, S. A., & Naqvi, S. (2011). Quality of Pakistani university graduates as perceived by employers: Implications for faculty development. *Journal of Quality and Technology Management, 7*(1), 57-72.

Rehman, R. R. (2015). Relating individual demographics, work-family conflict and decision making styles of faculty members in higher education sector of pakistan. *VFAST Transactions on Education and Social Sciences, 5*(2), 51-63.

Rehman, R. R., & Waheed, A. (2012). Work-family conflict and organizational commitment: Study of faculty members in Pakistani universities. *Pakistan Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology, 9*(2), 23-26.

Riaz, H., Jabeen, N., Salman, Y., Ansari, N., & Moazzam, A. (2017). A study of higher education reforms in Pakistan: key reforms and drivers. *Journal of the Research Society of Pakistan, 54*(2), 79-94.

Richardson, H. A., Simmering, M. J., & Sturman, M. C. (2009). A tale of three perspectives: Examining post hoc statistical techniques for detection and correction of common method variance. *Organizational Research Methods, 12*(4), 762-800. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428109332834

Rigdon, E. E., Sarstedt, M., & Ringle, C. M. (2017). On comparing results from CB-SEM and PLS-SEM: Five perspectives and five recommendations. *Marketing ZFP, 39*(3), 4-16. doi:https://doi.org/10.15358/0344-1369-2017-3-4

Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M., Mitchell, R., & Gudergan, S. P. (2018). Partial least squares structural equation modeling in HRM research. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 1-27. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2017.1416655

Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M., & Straub, D. W. (2012). Editor's Comments: A Critical Look at the Use of PLS-SEM in" MIS Quarterly". *Mis Quarterly*, 3-14. doi:https://doi.org/10.2307/41410402

Ringle, C. M., Wende, S., & Becker, J.-M. (2015). SmartPLS 3. *Boenningstedt: SmartPLS GmbH*. Retrieved from http://www. smartpls. com

Robbins, L. (1937). *An essay on the nature and significance of economic science*: Ludwig von Mises Institute.

Robson, C., & McCartan, K. (2016). *Real world research*: John Wiley & Sons.

Rothbard, N. P. (2001). Enriching or depleting? The dynamics of engagement in work and family roles. *Administrative science quarterly, 46*(4), 655-684. doi:https://doi.org/10.2307/3094827

Rothbard, N. P., & Edwards, J. R. (2003). Investment in work and family roles: A test of identity and utilitarian motives. *Personnel Psychology, 56*(3), 699-729. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2003.tb00755.x

Rotondo, D., & Kincaid, J. (2008). Conflict, facilitation, and individual coping styles across the work and family domains. *Journal of Managerial Psychology, 23*(5), 484-506. doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940810884504

Sana, F., & Aslam, N. (2018). Effect of Role Ambiguity and Role Conflict in Predicting Work-Family Conflict Among Teachers. *Pakistan Journal of Psychological Research, 33*(2), 349-365.

Santana-Cardenas, S., Viseu, J., Lopez Nunez, M. I., & Jesus, S. (2018). Validity and reliability evidence of the Psychological Capital Questionnaire-12 in a sample of Mexican workers. *Anales de psicología, 34*(3), 562-570. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.6018/analesps.34.3.319211

Sarstedt, M., Wilczynski, P., & Melewar, T. (2013). Measuring reputation in global markets—A comparison of reputation measures' convergent and criterion validities. *Journal of world business, 48*(3), 329-339. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2012.07.017

Sarwar, F., & Abbasi, A. S. (2013). An In-Depth Analysis of Women's Labor Force Participation in Pakistan. *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research, 15*(2), 208-215.

Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2009). *Research methods for business students*. London: Harlow: Prentice Hall.

Saxena, S., & Tripathi, S. (2015). Comparison of social values between children from joint and nuclear family types. *Indian Journal of Health and Wellbeing, 6*(5), 508-510.

Schaufeli, W. B., & Bakker, A. B. (2004). Job demands, job resources, and their relationship with burnout and engagement: A multi-sample study. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 25*(3), 293-315. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/job.248

Schaufeli, W. B., & Taris, T. W. (2014). A critical review of the Job Demands-Resources Model: Implications for improving work and health. In *Bridging occupational, organizational and public health* (pp. 43-68): Springer.

Scheier, M. F., & Carver, C. S. (1985). Optimism, coping, and health: Assessment and implications of generalized outcome expectancies. *Health psychology, 4*(3), 219-247. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037//0278-6133.4.3.219

Schwab, K. (2016). *World Economic Forum, Global Competitiveness Report (2015-2016)*. Retrieved from

Schwarzer, R. (2001a). Social-cognitive factors in changing health-related behaviors. *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 10*(2), 47-51. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.00112

Schwarzer, R. (2001b). Stress, resources, and proactive coping. *Applied Psychology: An International Review, 50*(3), 400-407.

Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). *Research methods for business: A skill building approach* (7 ed.). Chichester, West Sussex, United Kingdom: John Wiley & Sons.

Seligman, M. E. P. (1999). The president's address. *American psychologist, 54*(8), 559-562.

Seligman, M. E. P. (2011). *Learned optimism: How to change your mind and your life*. New York, USA: Vintage Books.

Seligman, M. E. P., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2000). Positive psychology: An introduction. *American psychologist, 55*(1), 5. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066x.55.1.5

Seligman, M. E. P., Steen, T. A., Park, N., & Peterson, C. (2005). Positive psychology progress: empirical validation of interventions. *American psychologist, 60*(5), 410-421. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066x.60.5.410

Shaughnessy, J. J., Zechmeister, E. B., & Zechmeister, J. S. (2012). *Research methods in psychology*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Shen, X., Yang, Y.-L., Wang, Y., Liu, L., Wang, S., & Wang, L. (2014). The association between occupational stress and depressive symptoms and the mediating role of psychological capital among Chinese university teachers: a

cross-sectional study. *BMC psychiatry, 14*, 1-8.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-014-0329-1

Shin, J. C., & Jung, J. (2014). Academics job satisfaction and job stress across
countries in the changing academic environments. *Higher Education, 67*(5),
603-620. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-013-9668-y

Shockley, K. M., & Singla, N. (2011). Reconsidering work–family interactions and
satisfaction: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Management, 37*(3), 861-886.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206310394864

Shrout, P. E., & Bolger, N. (2002). Mediation in experimental and nonexperimental
studies: New procedures and recommendations. *Psychological methods, 7*(4),
422-445. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989x.7.4.422

Sieber, S. D. (1974). Toward a theory of role accumulation. *American Sociological
Review, 39*(4), 567-578. doi:https://doi.org/10.2307/2094422

Siegrist, J. (1996). Adverse health effects of high-effort/low-reward conditions.
Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 1(1), 27-41.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1037//1076-8998.1.1.27

Siemsen, E., Roth, A., & Oliveira, P. (2010). Common method bias in regression
models with linear, quadratic, and interaction effects. *Organizational
Research Methods, 13*(3), 456-476.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428109351241

Siu, O.-L. (2013). Psychological capital, work well-being, and work-life balance
among Chinese employees: A cross-lagged analysis. *Journal of Personnel
Psychology, 12*(4), 170-181. doi:https://doi.org/10.1027/1866-5888/a000092

Siu, O.-l., Lu, J.-f., Brough, P., Lu, C.-q., Bakker, A. B., Kalliath, T., . . . Lo, D.
(2010). Role resources and work–family enrichment: The role of work
engagement. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 77*(3), 470-480.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/e604062012-325

Smith, V. K. (1978). Measuring natural resource scarcity: Theory and practice.
Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 5(2), 150-171.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0095-0696(78)90024-4

Snyder, C. R. (2000). *Handbook of hope: Theory, measures, and applications* (C. R.
Snyder Ed.): Academic Press.

Snyder, C. R. (2002). Hope theory: Rainbows in the mind. *Psychological Inquiry,
13*(4), 249-275. doi:https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli1304_01

Snyder, C. R., Sympson, S. C., Ybasco, F. C., Borders, T. F., Babyak, M. A., & Higgins, R. L. (1996). Development and validation of the State Hope Scale. *Journal of personality and social psychology, 70*(2), 321. doi:10.1037//0022-3514.70.2.321

Steenbergen, V. E. F., & Ellemers, N. (2009). How Family-Supportive Work Environments and Work-Supportive Home Environments Can Reduce Work-Family Conflict and Enhance Facilitation. In R. Crane & J. Hill (Eds.), *Handbook of families and work: interdisciplinary perspectives* (pp. 79): United press of America.

Stone, M. (1974). Cross-validatory choice and assessment of statistical predictions. *Journal of the royal statistical society. Series B (Methodological), 36*(1), 111-147. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1976.tb01573.x

Story, J. S., Youssef, C. M., Luthans, F., Barbuto, J. E., & Bovaird, J. (2013). Contagion effect of global leaders' positive psychological capital on followers: does distance and quality of relationship matter? *The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 24*(13), 2534-2553. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2012.744338

Sun, T., Zhao, X. W., Yang, L. B., & Fan, L. H. (2012). The impact of psychological capital on job embeddedness and job performance among nurses: a structural equation approach. *Journal of advanced nursing, 68*(1), 69-79. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2011.05715.x

Sweetman, D., Luthans, F., Avey, J. B., & Luthans, B. C. (2011). Relationship between positive psychological capital and creative performance. *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences/Revue Canadienne des Sciences de l'Administration, 28*(1), 4-13. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/cjas.175

Syed, S., Arain, G. A., Schalk, R., & Freese, C. (2015). Balancing work and family obligations in Pakistan and the Netherlands: A comparative study. *Global Business and Organizational Excellence, 34*(5), 39-52. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/joe.21625

Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2007). *Using multivariate statistics.* NY, USA: Pearson.

Tang, S. w., Siu, O. l., & Cheung, F. (2014). A study of work–family enrichment among Chinese employees: The mediating role between work support and job

satisfaction. *Applied Psychology, 63*(1), 130-150. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-0597.2012.00519.x

Taqui, A. M., Itrat, A., Qidwai, W., & Qadri, Z. (2007). Depression in the elderly: Does family system play a role? A cross-sectional study. *BMC psychiatry, 7*(1). doi:https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-244X-7-57

Tement, S., & Korunka, C. (2013). Does trait affectivity predict work-to-family conflict and enrichment beyond job characteristics? *The Journal of psychology, 147*(2), 197-216. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00223980.2012.683053

Tement, S., & Korunka, C. (2015). The moderating impact of types of caregiving on job demands, resources, and their relation to work-to-family conflict and enrichment. *Journal of Family Issues, 36*(1), 31-55. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0192513x13483971

Temme, D., & Diamantopoulos, A. (2016). Higher-order models with reflective indicators: A rejoinder to a recent call for their abandonment. *Journal of Modelling in Management, 11*(1), 180-188. doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/jm2-05-2014-0037

ten Brummelhuis, L. L., & Bakker, A. B. (2012). A resource perspective on the work–home interface: The work–home resources model. *American psychologist, 67*(7), 545. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/hrdq.21119

ten Brummelhuis, L. L., & Van Der Lippe, T. (2010). Effective work-life balance support for various household structures. *Human Resource Management, 49*(2), 173-193. doi:https://doi.org/10.4102/sajip.v36i2.841

Thoits, P. A. (1994). Stressors and problem-solving: The individual as psychological activist. *Journal of health and social behavior, 35*(2), 143-160.

Thoits, P. A. (2006). Personal agency in the stress process. *Journal of health and social behavior, 47*(4), 309-323. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/002214650604700401

Tiger, L. (1979). Optimism: The biological roots of hope. *Psychology Today, 12*(8), 18-33.

Tilak, J. B. (2015). Higher education in South Asia: crisis and challenges. *Social Scientist, 43*(1/2), 43-59.

Tims, M., & Bakker, A. B. (2010). Job crafting: Towards a new model of individual job redesign. *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology, 36*(2), 1-9. doi:https://doi.org/10.4102/sajip.v36i2.841

Tonidandel, S., & LeBreton, J. M. (2015). RWA web: A free, comprehensive, web-based, and user-friendly tool for relative weight analyses. *Journal of Business and Psychology, 30*(2), 207-216. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-014-9351-z

Torp, S., Lysfjord, L., & Midje, H. H. (2018). Workaholism and work–family conflict among university academics. *Higher Education, 76*(6), 1071--1090. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-018-0247-0

Tremblay, M. A., & Messervey, D. (2011). The Job Demands-Resources model: Further evidence for the buffering effect of personal resources. *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology, 37*(2), 10-19.

Umbach, P. D., & Wawrzynski, M. R. (2005). Faculty do matter: The role of college faculty in student learning and engagement. *Research in higher education, 46*(2), 153-184. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11162-004-1598-1

Urbach, N., & Ahlemann, F. (2010). Structural equation modeling in information systems research using partial least squares. *Journal of Information technology theory and application, 11*(2), 5-40.

Valcour, M. (2007). Work-based resources as moderators of the relationship between work hours and satisfaction with work-family balance. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 92*(6), 1-12. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.92.6.1512

van den Berg, L., Kalmijn, M., & Leopold, T. (2018). Family structure and early home leaving: A mediation analysis. *European Journal of Population, 34*(5), 873–900. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10680-017-9461-1

Van den Broeck, A., De Cuyper, N., De Witte, H., & Vansteenkiste, M. (2010). Not all job demands are equal: Differentiating job hindrances and job challenges in the Job Demands–Resources model. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology, 19*(6), 735-759. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/13594320903223839

Van Veldhoven, M., & Meijman, T. (1994). Questionnaire on the experience and assessment of work: VBBA—English version. In. Brussels: Amsterdam National Research Institute for Working Conditions.

van Woerkom, M., Bakker, A. B., & Nishii, L. H. (2016). Accumulative job demands and support for strength use: Fine-tuning the job demands-resources model using conservation of resources theory. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 101*(1), 141-150. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000033

Vera, M., Salanova, M., & Martín, B. (2010). University faculty and work-related well-being: The importance of the triple work profile. *Electronic Journal of Research in Educational Psychology, 8*(2), 581-602. doi:https://doi.org/10.25115/ejrep.v8i21.1373

Voydanoff, P. (1985). Work/family linkages over the life course. *Journal of Career Development, 12*(1), 23-32. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/089484538501200104

Voydanoff, P. (2002). Linkages between the work-family interface and work, family, and individual outcomes. *Journal of Family Issues, 23*(1), 138-164. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0192513x02023001007

Voydanoff, P. (2004a). The effects of work demands and resources on work-to-family conflict and facilitation. *Journal of Marriage and Family, 66*(2), 398-412. doi:https://doi.org/j.1741-3737.2004.00028.x

Voydanoff, P. (2004b). Implications of Work and Community Demands and Resources for Work-to-Family Conflict and Facilitation. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 9*(4), 275-285. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-8998.9.4.275

Voydanoff, P. (2005a). The differential salience of family and community demands and resources for family-to-work conflict and facilitation. *Journal of Family and Economic Issues, 26*(3), 395-417. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10834-005-5904-7

Voydanoff, P. (2005b). Social Integration, Work Family Conflict and Facilitation, and Job and Marital Quality. *Journal of Marriage and Family, 67*(3), 666-679. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3737.2005.00161.x

Voydanoff, P. (2005c). Toward a Conceptualization of Perceived Work-Family Fit and Balance: A Demands and Resources Approach. *Journal of Marriage and Family, 67*(4), 822-836. doi:https://doi.org/j.1741-3737.2005.00178.x

Voydanoff, P. (2008). A conceptual model of the work-family interface. In *Handbook of work-family integration* (pp. 37-55): Elsevier.

Voydanoff, P. (2014). *Work, family, and community: Exploring interconnections*: Psychology Press.

Wadsworth, L. L., & Owens, B. P. (2007). The effects of social support on work–family enhancement and work–family conflict in the public sector. *Public Administration Review, 67*(1), 75-87. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2006.00698.x

Wagnild, G. M., & Young, H. M. (1993). Development and psychometric evaluation of the Resilience Scale. *Journal of nursing measurement, 1*(2), 165-178.

Wang, Y., Liu, L., Wang, J., & Wang, L. (2012). Work-family conflict and burnout among Chinese doctors: the mediating role of psychological capital. *Journal of occupational health, 54*(3), 232-240. doi:https://doi.org/10.1539/joh.11-0243-oa

Watanabe, M., & Falci, C. (2017). Workplace Faculty Friendships and Work-Family Culture. *Innovative Higher Education, 42*(2), 113-125. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10755-016-9373-8

Watanabe, M., & Falci, C. D. (2014). A demands and resources approach to understanding faculty turnover intentions due to work–family balance. *Journal of Family Issues, 37*(3), 393-415. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0192513X14530972

Watanabe, M., Shimazu, A., Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., Shimada, K., & Kawakami, N. (2017). The impact of job and family demands on partner's fatigue: A study of Japanese dual-earner parents. *PloS one, 12*(2), 1-9. doi:https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0172291

Wayne, J. H. (2009). Reducing conceptual confusion: Clarifying the positive side of work and family. In J. H. DR Crane (Ed.), *Handbook of families and work: interdisciplinary perspectives* (pp. 105-140). NY, USA: University Press of America.

Wayne, J. H., Butts, M. M., Casper, W. J., & Allen, T. D. (2017). In search of balance: a conceptual and empirical integration of multiple meanings of work–family balance. *Personnel Psychology, 70*(1), 167-210. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/peps.12132

Wayne, J. H., Casper, W., & Allen, T. D. (2011). *What is work-family balance? A methodological review and empirical examination.* Paper presented at the Academy of Management Annual Meeting, San Antonio, TX.

Wayne, J. H., Grzywacz, J. G., Carlson, D. S., & Kacmar, K. (2007). Work-family facilitation: A theoretical explanation and model of primary antecedents and consequences. *Human Resource Management Review, 17*(1), 63-76. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2007.01.002

Wayne, J. H., Matthews, R., Crawford, W., & Casper, W. J. (2019). Predictors and processes of satisfaction with work–family balance: Examining the role of personal, work, and family resources and conflict and enrichment. *Human Resource Management*, 1-18. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.21971

Wayne, J. H., Musisca, N., & Fleeson, W. (2004). Considering the role of personality in the work-family experience: Relationships of the big five to work-family conflict and facilitation. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 64*(1), 108-130. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/s0001-8791(03)00035-6

Webster, J. R., Beehr, T. A., & Love, K. (2011). Extending the challenge-hindrance model of occupational stress: The role of appraisal. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 79*(2), 505-516. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2011.02.001

Westring, A. F., & Ryan, A. M. (2010). Personality and inter-role conflict and enrichment: Investigating the mediating role of support. *Human Relations, 63*(12), 1815-1834. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726710371236

Wille, B., De Fruyt, F., & Feys, M. (2013). Big five traits and intrinsic success in the new career era: A 15-Year longitudinal study on employability and Work–Family conflict. *Applied Psychology, 62*(1), 124-156. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-0597.2012.00516.x

Willie, R., & Stecklein, J. E. (1982). A three-decade comparison of college faculty characteristics, satisfactions, activities, and attitudes. *Research in higher education, 16*(1), 81-93. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00992051

Wilson, S. (2001). What is an Indigenous research methodology? *Canadian Journal of Native Education, 25*(2), 175-179.

Winefield, A. H., Gillespie, N., Stough, C., Dua, J., Hapuarachchi, J., & Boyd, C. (2003). Occupational stress in Australian university staff: Results from a national survey. *International Journal of Stress Management, 10*(1), 51-63. doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/1072-5245.10.1.51

Winefield, H. R., Boyd, C., & Winefield, A. H. (2014). Work-family conflict and well-being in university employees. *The Journal of psychology, 148*(6), 683-697. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00223980.2013.822343

Winslow, S., & Davis, S. N. (2016). Gender inequality across the academic life
course. *Sociology Compass, 10*(5), 404-416.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/soc4.12372

Xanthopoulou, D., Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2007). The
role of personal resources in the job demands-resources model. *International
Journal of Stress Management, 14*(2), 121-141.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1037/1072-5245.14.2.121

Xanthopoulou, D., Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2009).
Reciprocal relationships between job resources, personal resources, and work
engagement. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 74*(3), 235-244.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2008.11.003

Xanthopoulou, D., Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2012). A diary
study on the happy worker: How job resources relate to positive emotions and
personal resources. *European Journal of Work and Organizational
Psychology, 21*(4), 489-517.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/1359432x.2011.584386

Youssef-Morgan, C. M. (2014). Advancing OB Research An Illustration Using
Psychological Capital. *Journal of leadership & organizational studies, 21*(2),
130-140. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/1548051813515512

Youssef, C. M., & Luthans, F. (2007). Positive Organizational Behavior in the
Workplace The Impact of Hope, Optimism, and Resilience. *Journal of
Management, 33*(5), 774-800. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206307305562

Yusoff, R. M., & Khan, F. (2013). Stress and burnout in the higher education sector
in Pakistan: A systematic review of literature. *Research Journal of Recent
Sciences, 2*(11), 90-98.

Zabrodska, K., Mudrak, J., Kveton, P., Blatný, M., Machovcova, K., & Solcova, I.
(2016). Keeping marketisation at bay: The quality of academic worklife in
Czech universities. *Sociologicky Casopis//Czech Sociological Review, 52*(3),
347-374. doi:https://doi.org/10.13060/00380288.2016.52.3.262

Zábrodská, K., Mudrák, J., Šolcová, I., Květon, P., Blatný, M., & Machovcová, K.
(2017). Burnout among university faculty: the central role of work–family
conflict. *Educational psychology, 38*(6), 800-819.
doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2017.1340590

Zhao, X., Lynch Jr, J. G., & Chen, Q. (2010). Reconsidering Baron and Kenny: Myths and truths about mediation analysis. *Journal of Consumer Research, 37*(2), 197-206. doi:https://doi.org/10.1086/651257