

# Zurich Open Repository and Archive

University of Zurich Main Library Strickhofstrasse 39 CH-8057 Zurich www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2021

# Does Subthalamic Deep Brain Stimulation Impact Asymmetry and Dyscoordination of Gait in Parkinson's Disease?

Ravi, Deepak K; Baumann, Christian R; Bernasconi, Elena; Gwerder, Michelle; Ignasiak, Niklas K; Uhl, Mechtild; Stieglitz, Lennart; Taylor, William R; Singh, Navrag B

Abstract: Background. Subthalamic deep brain stimulation (STN-DBS) is an effective treatment for selected Parkinson's disease (PD) patients. Gait characteristics are often altered after surgery, but quantitative therapeutic effects are poorly described. Objective. The goal of this study was to systematically investigate modifications in asymmetry and dyscoordination of gait 6 months postoperatively in patients with PD and compare the outcomes with preoperative baseline and to asymptomatic controls without PD. Methods. A convenience sample of thirty-two patients with PD (19 with postural instability and gait disorder (PIGD) type and 13 with tremor dominant disease) and 51 asymptomatic controls participated. Parkinson patients were tested prior to the surgery in both OFF and ON medication states, and 6-months postoperatively in the ON stimulation condition. Movement Disorder Society-Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS) I to IV and medication were compared to preoperative conditions. Asymmetry ratios, phase coordination index, and walking speed were assessed. Results. MDS-UPDRS I to IV at 6 months improved significantly, and levodopa equivalent daily dosages significantly decreased. STN-DBS increased step time asymmetry (hedges' g effect sizes [95% confidence interval] between preand post-surgery: .27 [-.13, .73]) and phase coordination index (.29 [-.08, .67]). These effects were higher in the PIGD subgroup than the tremor dominant (step time asymmetry: .38 [-.06, .90] vs .09 [-.83, 1.0] and phase coordination index: .39 [-.04, .84] vs .13 [-.76, .96]). Conclusions. This study provides objective evidence of how STN-DBS increases asymmetry and dyscoordination of gait in patients with PD and suggests motor subtypes-associated differences in the treatment response.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/15459683211041309

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich ZORA URL: https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-206817 Journal Article

Published Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) License.

### Originally published at:

Ravi, Deepak K; Baumann, Christian R; Bernasconi, Elena; Gwerder, Michelle; Ignasiak, Niklas K; Uhl, Mechtild; Stieglitz, Lennart; Taylor, William R; Singh, Navrag B (2021). Does Subthalamic Deep Brain

Stimulation Impact Asymmetry and Dyscoordination of Gait in Parkinson's Disease? Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair:Epub ahead of print.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/15459683211041309





# Does Subthalamic Deep Brain Stimulation Impact Asymmetry and Dyscoordination of Gait in Parkinson's Disease?

Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair 2021, Vol. 0(0) 1–10 © The Author(s) 2021

GC BY NC

Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/15459683211041309 journals.sagepub.com/home/nnr

\$SAGE

Deepak K. Ravi, MTech<sup>1</sup>, Christian R. Baumann, MD<sup>2</sup>, Elena Bernasconi, MSc<sup>1</sup>, Michelle Gwerder, MSc<sup>1</sup>, Niklas K. Ignasiak, PhD<sup>3</sup>, Mechtild Uhl, Dipl. Nursing<sup>2</sup>, Lennart Stieglitz, MD<sup>2</sup>, William R. Taylor, PhD<sup>1</sup>, and Navrag B. Singh, PhD<sup>1</sup>

### **Abstract**

Background. Subthalamic deep brain stimulation (STN-DBS) is an effective treatment for selected Parkinson's disease (PD) patients. Gait characteristics are often altered after surgery, but quantitative therapeutic effects are poorly described. Objective. The goal of this study was to systematically investigate modifications in asymmetry and dyscoordination of gait 6 months postoperatively in patients with PD and compare the outcomes with preoperative baseline and to asymptomatic controls without PD. Methods. A convenience sample of thirty-two patients with PD (19 with postural instability and gait disorder (PIGD) type and 13 with tremor dominant disease) and 51 asymptomatic controls participated. Parkinson patients were tested prior to the surgery in both OFF and ON medication states, and 6-months postoperatively in the ON stimulation condition. Movement Disorder Society-Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS) I to IV and medication were compared to preoperative conditions. Asymmetry ratios, phase coordination index, and walking speed were assessed. Results. MDS-UPDRS I to IV at 6 months improved significantly, and levodopa equivalent daily dosages significantly decreased. STN-DBS increased step time asymmetry (hedges' g effect sizes [95% confidence interval] between pre- and post-surgery: .27 [-.13, .73]) and phase coordination index (.29 [-.08, .67]). These effects were higher in the PIGD subgroup than the tremor dominant (step time asymmetry: .38 [-.06, .90] vs .09 [-.83, I.0] and phase coordination index: .39 [-.04, .84] vs .13 [-.76, .96]). Conclusions. This study provides objective evidence of how STN-DBS increases asymmetry and dyscoordination of gait in patients with PD and suggests motor subtypes-associated differences in the treatment response.

### **Keywords**

gait symmetry, gait coordination, Parkinson's disease, deep brain stimulation, basal ganglia

# Introduction

Deep brain stimulation (DBS) that targets the subthalamic nucleus (STN) of the basal ganglia has shown therapeutic potential as an adjunct to pharmacotherapy for alleviating motor symptoms of Parkinson's disease (PD). While the treatment appears effective in providing relief to the cardinal motor deficiencies of the disease (bradykinesia/akinesia, tremor, and rigidity), the outcomes on gait remain inconclusive.<sup>2,3</sup> Evidence from long-term follow-up studies has shown that certain aspects of gait function improve initially postoperatively but then progressively worsen.<sup>4,5</sup> It is estimated that gait deterioration occurs in about 25% of patients with PD (PwPD) after subthalamic deep brain stimulation (STN-DBS)<sup>6</sup> and a subset of them may experience fall. <sup>7,8</sup> The causes of insufficient gait outcome are not well understood, and this is largely due to the paucity of objective investigations of gait characteristics in PwPD undergoing DBS.9

Most long-term studies have investigated gait outcomes using Movement Disorder Society-Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS-III) clinical motor scores. Although MDS-UPDRS-III is an internationally accepted and widely used clinical assessment scale (rapidly administered to measure clinically relevant outcomes), it only includes very

Supplementary material for this article is available on the Neurorehabilitation & Neural Repair website at https://nnr.sagepub.com/content/by/supplemental-data.

### **Corresponding Author:**

William R. Taylor, Institute for Biomechanics, ETH Zürich Institute for Biomechanics, Leopold-Ruzicka-Weg 4, Zürich 8093, Switzerland. Email: bt@ethz.ch

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Institute for Biomechanics, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Neurology, University Hospital Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Physical Therapy, Chapman University, Irvine, CA, USA

few evaluations on walking ability or gait. The subtleties involved with controlling gait such as symmetry, variability, and coordination are important but remain unevaluated in standardized MDS-UPDRS-III assessments. Moreover, such objective gait characteristics could be used as biomarkers for reliable selection of DBS candidates, <sup>11</sup> adaptive stimulation, <sup>12</sup> and assessing treatment endpoints thereafter. <sup>13</sup>

One of the defining symptomatic gait characteristics of PwPD is hypokinesia (slowness of walking) accompanied by small and variable step length. 14 The effects of STN-DBS on walking speed and step length were investigated initially by Allert and co-workers in a pre-post study (follow-up assessments after 3 months) on 8 PwPD with severe disability. They found a significant increase in step length as well as gait velocity and subsequent studies have been able to corroborate this finding in both ON-OFF stimulation as well as pre-post designs. 16-18 Previous evidence also suggests beneficial effect of STN-DBS on hip, knee, and ankle joint range of motions. 11,16 However, varying reports on other important gait parameters (e.g., cadence and dual limb support time) and a general lack of long-term objective follow-ups preclude concrete conclusions for the alleviation of gait deficits using STN-DBS in PwPD.<sup>2,3,9</sup>

One pertinent investigation of STN-DBS in PwPD that has not been adequately described is the effects on asymmetry and dyscoordination of gait. This is particularly important because asymmetrical gait characteristics are often the first motor symptoms seen in these populations, <sup>19</sup> demonstrated as a clinical marker of prodromal PD<sup>20</sup> and discriminate distinct motor stages of PwPD.<sup>21</sup> In clinics, the practice of using bilateral DBS lead implantation is quite common, with surgical targets and stimulation settings often optimized to achieve best effects on upper limb tremor, rigidity etc., while minimizing relevant adverse effects (can be referred to as 'clinically determined settings', evaluated using MDS-UPDRS I to IV). However, despite the prevalence of asymmetrical stimulation settings for bilateral DBS, asymmetry, particularly in the lower limbs has been shown to often persist 15 or increase at 6 months after surgery 11 for unknown reasons. Importantly, insufficient attention to asymmetry may be responsible for adverse events including freezing of gait (FOG) and fall episodes in a subgroup of patients after the surgery.<sup>22-24</sup>

As mobility and functional gait are critical for health and quality of life, an in-depth account of stimulation to gait and clinical outcome relations seems then essential for developing a comprehensive DBS therapy in PwPD. The current study is part of a larger research project designed to investigate the predictive value of objective gait measures for DBS therapy outcomes in PwPD. In an exploratory study design, we systematically investigated alterations in our primary outcome: MDS-UPDRS III and our secondary measures: gait asymmetry, dyscoordination, and walking speed 6 months post-DBS in PwPD. We tested the hypothesis that PD motor subtypes, including tremor dominant (TD), postural instability and gait disorder (PIGD), and indeterminate (neither

tremor nor postural instability as defining features) types would show distinct therapeutic effects on clinical and gait outcomes.

# **Methods**

### Study Design

A convenience sample of thirty-two PwPD (excluding dropouts, 26 men, 6 women, with mean age 60.2 (SD 9.6) years, PD duration: 10.3 (5.0) years, preoperative MDS-UPDRS-III in OFF medication: 39.97 (12.51), and preoperative Hoehn and Yahr scale in OFF medication: 2 (1–3) denotes mild or early PD, Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1) were recruited at the University Hospital of Zurich. In addition, 51 asymptomatic controls without PD (22 men, 29 women, and mean age 66.6 (10.7) years) participated voluntarily (in response to flyers and newspaper advertisements) in this study. Inclusion criteria for the control participants consisted of the following: 40 to 90 years of age, free from neurological, psychiatric, and orthopedic disorders and were able to independently walk for 10 minutes. The study was approved (approval no: 2015-00141) by Zurich Cantonal Ethics Commission and carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, the guidelines of Good Clinical Practice, and the Swiss regulatory authority's requirements. The subjects all provided written, informed consent prior to participation. The detailed surgical protocol and postoperative management of patients are provided in Supplementary Methods 1. Stimulation parameters are presented in Supplementary Table 2.

### Data Collection

Clinical Assessment. Motor symptoms were assessed using MDS-UPDRS III, evaluated twice pre-surgery-in the ON and OFF medication conditions (as part of the levodopa challenge test used to screen DBS candidates that demonstrates levodopa responsiveness<sup>25</sup>)—as well as once around 6 months post-surgery in the medication and stimulation ON condition. MDS-UPDRS I (non-motor symptoms), II (activities of daily living), and IV (dyskinesias and motor fluctuations) were also performed once (OFF medication condition) before surgery and once after surgery. In addition, dopaminergic treatment was recorded as levodopa equivalent daily dose. The clinical subtypes of PwPD such as TD, PIGD, and indeterminate types were also identified.<sup>26</sup> The predominant symptom side was identified by medical history and during the clinical examination and substantiated using the MDS-UPDRS asymmetry: difference between left and right motor scores of MDS-UPDRS Part III (items 3.3-3.8 and 3.15-3.17).<sup>27</sup>

*Objective Gait Measures.* PwPD were tested prior to surgery in the medication ON state, and once around 6 months after the surgery in the ON medication and ON stimulation condition.

Table I. Baseline and Longitudinal Demographics and Clinical Characteristics.

Characteristic	Healthy Controls (N = 51)	PwPD Pre-DBS (N = 32)	PwPD Post-DBS (N = 32)	Healthy Controls vs PwPD Pre-DBS <i>P</i> -value
Age (years)	66.6 (10.7)	60.2 (9.6)	60.9 (9.7)	< .01
Male/female	22/29	27/5	27/5	< .01
Weight (kg)	68.1 (12.2)	77.0 (13.7)	78.6 (11.7)	< .01
Height (cm)	168.9 (8.8)	176.0 (6.8)	175.9 (6.7)	< .01
Age of onset of disease (yr)	-	49.9 (9.3)	-	-
Disease duration (yr)	-	10.3 (5.0)	-	-
H&Y stage	-	2 (1–3)	2 (1-2.5)	-
MDS-UPDRS-based clinical subtypes	-	TD $(N = 13)$ PIGD $(N = 19)$ Indeterminate $(N = 0)$	-	-
Symptom side	-	Left-sided symptom onset (N = 18) Right-sided symptom onset	-	-
		(N = 14)		
Handedness	-	Right handedness (N = 30) Forced right handedness (N = 2)	-	-

Values expressed as mean (standard deviation) or median (lower limit-higher limit).

Differences in age, weight, and height were assessed using t-tests. Difference in no of male/female participants was assessed using a chi-square test. Statistical significance was determined at P < .01.; Abbreviations: MDS-UPDRS, Movement Disorder Society-Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale; PwPD, patients with Parkinson's disease; DBS, deep brain stimulation; H&Y, Hoehn and Yahr; TD, tremor dominant; PIGD, postural instability and gait disorder.

Here, most subjects in the post-condition were still taking a clinically adapted dose of medication (Supplementary Methods 2), except for 3 subjects that were completely OFF medication. The evaluators who performed the gait analysis were not blinded to the group status (e.g., asymptomatic controls vs PwPD). All participants were instructed to walk (barefoot, self-selected speed, and without any aid or assistance) continuously for 10 minutes in an eight-shape around 2 marked spots 10 m apart. The use of an "8-walk" protocol allows the collection of a high number of consecutive gait cycles during overground walking that is necessary in order to reliably assess gait performance.<sup>28</sup> A threedimensional motion capture camera system (10 cameras; 61 markers; 100 Hz; Vicon Nexus, version 2.3/2.8.2, Oxford Metrics, United Kingdom) was used to record the movements. Before each data collection, a calibration routine was performed across the entire capture volume (calibration error threshold set at 0.5 mm). In addition, we followed the published recommendations for minimizing error associated with marker placement.<sup>29</sup> The trajectories of the heel (calcaneus), toe (metatarsal head III), and sacrum (midpoint between left and right posterior superior iliac spines) markers were used to extract gait kinematics.

### Data Analyses

*Pre-processing.* The raw kinematic data (from  $2 \times 7$ -m straight sections of the 8-walk) were low pass filtered (zero phase fourth order Butterworth with cut-off frequency of 25 Hz).

The gait events (heel strikes and toe-offs) were automatically extracted using a custom algorithm based on foot velocity.<sup>30</sup>

Asymmetry ratio. Spatiotemporal gait measures of step length, step time, swing time, and stance time (Supplementary Methods 2) were evaluated for each foot separately. All outliers defined as intervals outside ±4 median absolute deviation (MAD) away from the median were eliminated. The number of outliers eliminated by this process across all parameters and participant groups was 15 (maximum) out of 221 (average) walking steps. For each subject, we then determined which foot had the larger vs smaller amplitude (e.g., longer vs shorter mean step length) for evaluating the asymmetry ratios<sup>31</sup>

Asymmetry

$$= \frac{\text{maximum amplitude} - \text{minimum amplitude}}{\text{maximum amplitude}} * 100$$

Asymmetry ratio of zero percentage indicates perfect symmetry.

Phase coordination index. Bilateral dyscoordination in left-right stepping phase was analyzed using the phase coordination index (PCI,<sup>32</sup> Supplementary Methods 2). Lower PCI values reflect a more accurate and consistent left-right stepping phase generation.

Walking speed was additionally evaluated for all individuals.

# Statistical Analyses

For the comparison of **demographic characteristics**, t-tests and chi-square tests were performed. Significance was set at .01.

Clinical scores. Changes in the overall clinical scores were computed as: [(Pre-surgery scores – Post-surgery scores)/Presurgery scores]  $\times$  100%. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to determine difference between the scores. Significance was set at P < .05. In addition, we tested whether the overall differences met the criteria of clinical relevance set by the minimal clinically important difference (MCID) thresholds (Part I: -2.64 points,  $^{33}$  Part II: -3.05 points,  $^{33}$  Part III: -3.25 points,  $^{34}$  and Part IV: -.9 points $^{35}$ ).

Changes to individual items (and composite of sub-items) were computed as mean differences [95% confidence interval]. The procedure for obtaining bootstrap confidence interval<sup>36</sup> is detailed in Supplementary Methods 3. These changes are not tested for significance because of large number of ties (no differences) in the data.

Gait characteristics. For the investigation of the neuro-modulatory effects of DBS treatment on asymmetry and dyscoordination, effect sizes (ES) (Hedges'  $g^{36}$ ) with 95% confidence interval CI (Supplementary Methods 3) were calculated using bootstrap resampling. The 95% CI on the effect size gives a measure of precision and confidence about our estimate and is not sensitive to the distribution of observations or underlying populations. The rationale for including a control group is to demonstrate the importance of the direction of intervention effects (e.g., modification in asymmetry with respect to physiological asymmetry observed in asymptomatic controls without PD). The *P*-value of the two-sided permutation t-test is reported in case of significant differences (Supplementary Methods 3). Significance was set at P < .05.

All analyses were conducted in MATLAB (v2019a, The Mathworks Inc., USA) and R (version 1.2.5033, The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Austria).

### Results

### Patient and Demographic Data

The overall subject dropout rate was 13.5% (5 PwPD). One participant dropped out before surgery and 4 participants dropped out after surgery as presented in Supplementary Figure 1. There were significant differences (P < .01) in the age, proportion of male/female, height, and weight between PwPD and asymptomatic controls. Conversely, the 2 PwPD subtypes groups did not significantly differ in those characteristics.

# Clinical Characteristics

MDS-UPDRS data were collected at a mean of 2.6 (SD 1.6) and 5.7 (.7) months before and after surgery, respectively. In

the standardized evaluation of motor symptoms of the disease, MDS UPDRS-III score improved from a baseline OFF medication value of 39.97 (12.5) by 58% at 6 months after surgery (Table 2). In comparison to the baseline OFF medication at 6 months, the scores for UPDRS-I improved by 27% (range: -100 to 89), those for UPDRS-II improved by 46% (range: 0 to 90), and those for UPDRS-IV improved by 70% (0 to 100). All these functional improvements were significant (P < .001) and exceeded the MCID thresholds. Postoperatively, the levodopa equivalent daily dosage also reduced significantly (P < .001) from 1118.8 (511.55) mg at baseline to 365.31 (219.86) mg at 6 months after surgery (Table 2).

A subgroup analysis was performed on MDS-UPDRS III items that address clinical subtypes. The average MDS-UPDRS TD/PIGD ratio for TD group was 3.28 (1.34) and for PIGD group .33 (.29). The mean difference [95% CI] between the groups was 2.95 [2.32, 3.79]. None of the study participants belonged to the indeterminate subtype. The composite scores for tremor improved by 3.91 [2.41, 5.47], and those for posture and gait improved by 1.19 [.59, 2.38], both near to 50% group-level improvement. MDS-UPDRS Asymmetry: The difference between left and right MDS-UPDRS III motor subscores was 2.29 (7.59) in PwPD, 4.23 (8.69) in the TD group and .89 (6.32) in the PIGD group.

### Gait Characteristics PwPD

PwPD had higher asymmetry ratios (highest effect size reported for step length asymmetry, ES [CI]: .56 [.06, 1.03], P < .05) and higher PCI (.81 [.3, 1.26], P < .05) before surgery compared to the asymptomatic control group (Supplementary Table 3).

Increase in step time asymmetry (.27 [-.13, .73]) and PCI (.29 [-.08, .67]) was observed post-surgery relative to presurgical status in PwPD. Differences in all other gait characteristics between pre- and post-surgery were negligible (Table 3).

# Gait Characteristics Clinical Subtypes

Between subtypes. The magnitude of difference between the TD and PIGD subtypes was larger for PCI and walking speed (both ES's > .50) before surgery. The differences between the subtypes increased post-surgery relative to pre-surgical status in all the gait characteristics (notably step time asymmetry .40 [-.33, .97] to .69 [-.03, 1.33] and PCI .60 [-.10, 1.13] to .78 [.06, 1.35], P < .05) except walking speed (-.55 [-1.27, 0.22] to -.32 [-.98, 0.40]), Table 4.

Within subtypes. Step time asymmetry (.38 [-.06, .90]) and PCI (.39 [-.04, .84]) increased in the PIGD group following surgery. Differences in all other gait characteristics within subtypes, between pre- and post-surgery were negligible (Table 4).

Table 2. Effect of Bilateral Stimulation of the Subthalamic Nucleus on MDS-UPDRS scores.

Characteristic	PwPD Pre-DBS OFF Med	PwPD Pre-DBS ON Med	PwPD Post-DBS ON Med*	PwPD Pre-DBS OFF Med vs PwPD Post-DBS ON Med*
		Overall score	es	
MDS-UPDRS I	10.13 (4.32)	-	6.34 (2.70)	26. 86 (36.50) <sup>†</sup>
MDS-UPDRS II	13.44 (4.65)	-	7.38 (3.90)	46.09 (23.10) <sup>†</sup>
MDS-UPDRS III	39.97 (12.5)	19.3 (7.4)	16.5 (7.7)	57.53 (15.68) <sup>†</sup>
MDS-UPDRS IV	7 (3.30)	-	1.81 (2.26)	70.02 (32.23) <sup>†</sup>
Levodopa equivalent daily dose (mg/day)	-	1118.8 (511.55)	365.31 (219.86)	64.50 (19.79) <sup>†</sup>
, <b>,</b>	9	Subscores and individ	lual items	
Sub-items 3.15a till 3.18, sum of tremor (0–40)	7.56 (6.32)	2.06 (3.39)	3.65 (3.50)	3.91 [2.41, 5.47]
Sub-items 3.10 till 3.12, sum of PIGD (0–12)	2.41 (2.23)	1.06 (.83)	1.16 (1.50)	1.19 [.59, 2.38]
2.10. Item tremor (0-4)	1.19 (.95)	-	.81 (.74)	.38 [.13, .59]
2.12. Item walking and balance $(0-4)$	` '	-	.84 (.63)	.28 [.13, .41]
2.13. Item freezing (0–4)	.66 (.85)	-	.23 (.49)	.44 [.13, .72]
3.10. Item gait (0-4)	1.38 (.70)	.78 (.41)	.68 (.53)	.66 [.44, .97]
3.11. Item freezing (0-4)	.41 (.82)	.09 (.29)	.23 (.61)	.19 [03, .56]
3.12. Item postural stability (0-4)	.63 (.96)	.19 (.46)	.29 (.73)	.34 [.00, .72]

Clinical	subtypes

	PwPD Pre- Med	DBS OFF	PwPD Pro Med	e-DBS ON	PwPD Pos Med*	st-DBS ON	PwPD Pre-DB PwPD Post-DB	
Characteristic	TD	PIGD	TD	PIGD	TD	PIGD	TD	PIGD
Sub-items 3.15a till 3.18. Sum of tremor (0-40) Sub-items 3.10 till 3.12. Sum of PIGD (0-12)	13.69 (4.18) 1.23 (.58)	3.37 (3.47) 3.21 (2.57)	3.54 (4.53) .77 (.42)	1.05 (1.67) 1.26 (.96)	6.38 (3.43) .77 (.89)	1.67 (1.80) 1.50 (1.77)	7.31 [5.62, 9.54] .46 [31, .77]	1.58 [.16, 3.16] 1.68 [.79, 3.58]

Values expressed as mean (standard deviation).

Change on MDS-UPDRS I to IV and levodopa equivalent daily dose are provided as mean (standard deviation) percentages.  $^{\dagger}P < .001$ ; The P values reported denote level of statistical significant difference.

Changes on subscores, individual items, clinical subtypes are provided as mean differences [confidence intervals].; Abbreviations: MDS-UPDRS, Movement Disorder Society-Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale; PwPD, Patients with Parkinson's disease; DBS, deep brain stimulation; TD, tremor dominant; PIGD, postural instability and gait disorder.

In comparison to asymptomatic controls without PD, the PIGD group registered significant differences (P < .05) in the following gait characteristics: step length asymmetry (-.67 [-1.35,-.06]), step time asymmetry (-.62 [-1.23,-.03]), and PCI (-1.08 [-1.64,-.42]) before surgery; step length asymmetry (-.60 [-1.3, 0.01]), step time asymmetry (-1.1 [-1.8,-.42]), swing time asymmetry (-.63 [-1.2,-.03]), stance time asymmetry (-.66 [-1.22,-.03]), and PCI (-1.45 [-2.14,-.75]) after surgery, Supplementary Table 3.

### **Discussion**

Our exploratory study was designed to investigate the therapeutic effects of STN-DBS on gait asymmetry, dyscoordination, and walking speed 6 months post-surgery in PwPD. Our results revealed the following: (1) As anticipated, PwPD

showed significant clinical motor and non-motor improvement (MDS-UPDRS I through IV) after surgery, together with a significant reduction in dopaminergic medication. (2) STN-DBS induced increase in step time asymmetry and dyscoordination at follow-up. (3) Group differences in baseline (before surgery) gait characteristics are critical to discretize treatment planning, as indicated by higher level of asymmetry, dyscoordination, and slower walking speed in PIGD patients compared to the TD group. Six-month increase in step time asymmetry and dyscoordination after surgery were higher in the PIGD group than the TD group. Collectively, our study documents novel aspects of functional differences in DBS treatment response guided by different motor subtypes with an eye toward their relevance as objective biomarkers for use in clinical settings.

<sup>\*3</sup> patients were in OFF medication state.

Characteristic	PwPD Pre-DBS	PwPD Post-DBS	Healthy Controls	PwPD Pre-DBS vs PwPD Post-DBS
Asymmetry (%)			·	
Step length	4.81 (4.19)	4.60 (3.50)	2.90 (2.69)	05 [38, 0.32]
Step time	2.79 (2.32)	3.48 (2.61)	1.93 (1.70)	.27 [13, 0.73]
Swing time	3.35 (4.19)	3.76 (4.07)	2.36 (1.92)	.10 [24, 0.49]
Stance time	2.06 (2.66)	2.36 (2.72)	1.48 (1.20)	.11 [21, 0.51]
Dyscoordination (%)	,	,	,	• •
PCI	3.06 (1.06)	3.42 (1.41)	2.29 (.82)	.29 [08, 0.67]
Other gait measures	,	,	,	• •
Walking Speed (cm/s)	117.09 (18.21)	119.11 (18.42)	120.95 (14.31)	.11 [11, 0.36]

Table 3. Effect of Bilateral Stimulation of the Subthalamic Nucleus on Gait Characteristics.

Values expressed as mean (standard deviation).

Changes are provided as Hedges' g effect size [95% confidence interval]. That is to say, we can be 95% confident that the interval contains the true effect size. If PwPD post-DBS registered larger magnitude of gait parameter in comparison to PwPD pre-DBS, the effect size is positive. Abbreviations: PCI, phase coordination index; PwPD, patients with Parkinson's disease; DBS, deep brain stimulation.

Please refer to Supplementary Table 3 for comparison against healthy controls.

Mild asymmetry in the walking patterns of asymptomatic healthy individuals is quite common and plausibly functional.<sup>38</sup> It is likely that such asymmetrical patterns during walking persist as a result of the natural hemispheric functional specificities in the relative contribution of lower limbs to propulsion (facilitate forward progression) and braking (facilitate postural stabilization). 38,39 In PwPD, asymmetry markedly increases and has been directly related to poor walking ability<sup>40,41</sup> and adverse episodes such as FOG and falls. 16,42,43 The underlying pathophysiology leading to these deficits are multifactorial and have not yet been completely understood. We may conceivably (albeit inconclusively) attribute these deficits to a bilateral but asymmetric neurodegenerative process that is characteristic of PD (asymmetrical motor function may persist over the years despite bilateral disease progression<sup>44</sup>). Patients with PD (PwPD) also appear to have reduced corpus callosum function, which interferes with the normal bilateral coordination of limb movements during walking.45 Although, there is only a marginal agreement on the underpinnings of asymmetrical motor and gait symptoms in PwPD, such features are starting to provide essential movement biomarkers to guide diagnosis and treatment. 19,21

DBS treatment seems like an ideal approach for improving asymmetry and dyscoordination of walking as it provides the opportunity to modify stimulation parameters for each hemisphere independently. However, we observed that STN-DBS increased gait asymmetry and dyscoordination, when comparing pre vs post-surgery. Similar to our findings, one recent study<sup>11</sup> also reported increased gait asymmetry 6-month post-DBS compared to preoperative assessment. These results differ from a previous work that demonstrated a significant improvement in gait asymmetry in response to STN-DBS treatment.<sup>16</sup> The difference in results can be attributed primarily to the study design (Johnsen and colleagues only presented postoperative DBS OFF vs ON gait outcomes

in OFF medication state). Postoperative microlesion effects (e.g., some permanent lesion related to electrode insertion) may introduce bias<sup>46</sup> and does not allow us to equate and compare the pre-DBS state (of our study) to OFF DBS state (in Johnsen et al 2009). Also relevant, however, is the definition of gait asymmetry (Johnsen and colleagues looked at spatial distance between heel to projected center of mass<sup>16</sup>). The increase in asymmetry and dyscoordination that we are reporting is calculated based on temporal metrics (step time asymmetry and PCI).

With specific interest to the observation of increased step time asymmetry (and PCI) and negligible effects on step length asymmetry, our study plausibly suggests a differential effect of STN-DBS on the temporal deficit or a possible adaptation of temporal characteristics to allow regulation of spatial characteristics. This coincides with other studies showing that STN-DBS increases walking speed in patients by spatial (increasing step length) rather than temporal changes (increasing cadence or step time). 2,17 There is surmounting evidence indicating that cortical centers are responsible for movement initiation, while "ongoing" movements are steadily regulated via subcortical regions within the basal ganglia and the brain stem. 47,48 These regions are responsible for providing internal cues to cortical and subcortical regions, for example, the pre-motor and supplementary motor area, accounting for the regulation of spatial parameters. <sup>49</sup> In this regard, it is possible that the regulation of temporal parameters is not under the influence of corticothalamo-basal ganglia circuitry.<sup>50</sup> However, further research is necessary to substantiate the notion that these parameters (cadence and step length, but also asymmetry in step time and length) may reflect distinct regulation but allow mutual interactions.<sup>51</sup>

Gait impairments in PwPD, from the symptom onset to their advanced stages, including response to treatments (levodopa<sup>52</sup> and DBS<sup>53</sup>), demonstrate patient as well as group

 Table 4. Effect of Bilateral Stimulation of the Subthalamic Nucleus on Gait Characteristics: Clinical Subtypes.

	PwPD Pre-DBS		PwPD Post-DBS	S	Between Subtypes		Within Subtypes	
Characteristic	₽ P	PIGD	Ð	PIGD	TD Pre vs PIGD Pre	TD Pre vs PIGD Pre TD Post vs PIGD Post TD Pre vs TD Post PIGD Pre vs Post	TD Pre vs TD Post	PIGD Pre vs Post
Asymmetry (%)								
Step length	4.52 (4.64)	5.00 (3.85)	4.24 (2.17)	4.85 (4.15)	.11 [74, .80]	.17 [51, .75]	07 [60, 0.65]	04 [46, 0.39]
Step time	2.22 (1.84)	3.18 (2.52)	2.41 (2.06)	4.21 (2.70)	.40 [33, .97]	.69 [03, 1.33]	.09 [83, 1.0]	.38 [06, 0.90]
Swing time	2.81 (3.85)	3.71 (4.37)	3.05 (2.85)	4.25 (4.66)	.20 [68, .75]	.28 [45, .80]	.06 [57, 0.67]	.11 [29, 0.67]
Stance time	1.73 (2.23)	2.28 (2.90)	1.70 (1.46)	2.82 (3.24)	.20 [63, .72]	.40 [28, .84]	<b>01</b> [64, 0.59]	.17 [22, 0.69]
Dyscoordination (%)								
D	2.67 (.73)	3.32 (1.16)	2.79 (.90)	3.86 (1.52)	.60 [10, 1.13]	.78 [.06, 1.35] *	.13 [76, 0.96]	.39 [04, 0.84]
Other gait measures Walking Speed (cm/s) 123.14 (15.59) 112.96 (18.71)	123.14 (15.59)	112.96 (18.71)	122.77 (15.52)	116.60 (19.78)	122.77 (15.52) 116.60 (19.78)55 [-1.27, .22]	32 [98, .40]	02 [34, 0.34]18 [11, 0.54]	.18 [11, 0.54]
		·		,	'		-	1

Values expressed as mean (standard deviation).

Changes are provided as Hedges' g effect size [95% confidence interval]. That is to say, we can be 95% confident that the interval contains the true effect size. How to interpret TD pre vs PIGD pre: If PIGD pre registered larger magnitude of gait parameter in comparison to TD pre, the effect size is positive.

Abbreviations. PCI, phase coordination index; PwPD, patients with Parkinson's disease; DBS, deep brain stimulation; TD, tremor dominant; PIGD, postural instability and gait disorder. 'P < .05; The P values of the two-sided permutation t-test

(TD vs PIGD) specific traits. In our study, the TD group had comparatively less impaired gait to the overall group of patients both before and after surgery. On the other hand, PIGD patients demonstrated a more pronounced increase (more than the longitudinal changes due to disease progression reported previously in PwPD<sup>54</sup>) in asymmetry and dyscoordination compared to the TD group due to DBS, consistent with a previous clinical investigation.<sup>53</sup> Natural prognosis (PIGD may naturally progress more quickly in disease course<sup>55</sup>) and inadequate targeting of the STN sub territories responsible for the manifestation of the PIGD subtype<sup>56</sup> likely underlie differences in treatment outcomes, but future studies may shed light on these hypotheses.

### Limitations

We note the following limitations in our study. First, the demographic characteristics (age, sex distribution, height, and weight) of the PwPD group were significantly different from asymptomatic controls group, but we did not factor this in the estimation of effect sizes in the present study. A better approach would be to use a statistical test that includes them as covariates; however, this may require large sample sizes which remain to be explored in future analyses. Second, the lack of information about fall history of the participants limited our ability to answer the question whether asymmetric and dyscoordinated gait is associated with increased fall risk after STN-DBS in PwPD. Third, there were also limitations in the design of the study (gait being a secondary outcome) that did not allow us to replicate novel unilateral stimulation protocols suggested toward improving gait asymmetry and dyscoordination in patients with Parkinson's disease.<sup>23</sup> Fourth, the non-randomized and unblinded design might be another limitation of our study. Last, the relatively small sample of female PwPD may have limited our ability to confirm previous observations<sup>57,58</sup> of gender-related factors for STN-DBS outcomes in PwPD.

# Conclusion

The present findings show the efficacy of DBS of the STN in reducing motor symptoms and related clinical outcomes in PwPD at 6 months after surgery. Despite clinical improvement, STN-DBS treatment altered temporal asymmetry and dyscoordination of walking. In particular, PIGD patients demonstrated a more pronounced decline in these gait characteristics compared to the TD group.

STN is a complex subcortical motor network and regulate a myriad of motor features required in daily life of PwPD. Current approaches to STN-DBS therapy are based on an inadequate assessment of such features and thus hinder providing any definitive recommendations about the therapy. Our study provides preliminary insights into the mechanisms possibly regulating DBS outcomes for subtle, yet important gait features like symmetry and coordination. However, our findings ought to be validated in future

randomized controlled trials prior to translating into clinical rehabilitation settings.

### **Acknowledgments**

The authors would like to thank all the subjects for participating in the study and their families for the support provided.

### **Author Contributions**

NKI, DKR, NBS, CRB, and WRT conceived and designed the study. CRB, LS, and MU coordinated the recruitment, pre-surgery, and post-surgery of the participants. DKR, MG, EB, and NKI coordinated the gait measurements. DKR, MG, and EB analyzed the data. DKR, NBS, and WRT drafted the manuscript. CRB provided clinical content expertise while drafting and revising the manuscript. LS provided critical opinion and revision of the manuscript as a subject expert. All the authors reviewed and approved the manuscript for submission. WRT is the guarantor.

# **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

### **Funding**

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: DKR was supported by a PhD scholarship from the State Secretariat for Education, Research, and Innovation Switzerland [grant number 2016.0463].

### **Data Availability**

Please contact the corresponding author for requests regarding data sharing and collaboration.

### **Ethics Approval**

The study was approved (approval no: 2015-00141) by Zurich Cantonal Ethics Commission and carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, the guidelines of Good Clinical Practice, and the Swiss regulatory authority's requirements. The subjects all provided written, informed consent prior to participation.

### ORCID iDs

Deepak K. Ravi https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5015-8901 Michelle Gwerder https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4484-9244 William R. Taylor https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4060-4098

### References

- Krack P, Volkmann J, Tinkhauser G, Deuschl G. Deep brain stimulation in movement disorders: from experimental surgery to evidence-based therapy. *Mov Disord*;34(12):1795-1810. 2019.
- 2. Collomb-Clerc A, Welter ML. Effects of deep brain stimulation on balance and gait in patients with Parkinson's disease: a

- systematic neurophysiological review. *Neurophysiol Clin.* 2015; 45(4-5):371-388.
- Cossu G, Pau M. Subthalamic nucleus stimulation and gait in parkinson's disease: a not always fruitful relationship. *Gait & Posture*. 2017;52:205-210.
- van Nuenen BFL, Esselink RAJ, Munneke M, Speelman JD, van Laar T, Bloem BR. Postoperative gait deterioration after bilateral subthalamic nucleus stimulation in parkinson's disease. Mov Disord. 2008;23(16):2404-2406.
- Krack P, Batir A, Van Blercom N, et al. Five-year follow-up of bilateral stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus in advanced parkinson's disease. N Engl J Med. 2003;349(20): 1925-1934.
- Yin Z, Cao Y, Zheng S, et al. Persistent adverse effects following different targets and periods after bilateral deep brain stimulation in patients with parkinson's disease. *J Neurol Sci*. 2018;393:116-127.
- Okun MS, Gallo BV, Mandybur G, et al. Subthalamic deep brain stimulation with a constant-current device in parkinson's disease: an open-label randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol*. 2012;11(2):140-149.
- Odekerken VJ, van Laar T, Staal MJ, et al. Subthalamic nucleus versus globus pallidus bilateral deep brain stimulation for advanced parkinson's disease (NSTAPS study): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol*. 2013;12(1):37-44.
- Fasano A, Aquino CC, Krauss JK, Honey CR, Bloem BR. Axial disability and deep brain stimulation in patients with parkinson disease. *Nat Rev Neurol*. 2015;11(2):98-110.
- Schlenstedt C, Shalash A, Muthuraman M, Falk D, Witt K, Deuschl G. Effect of high-frequency subthalamic neurostimulation on gait and freezing of gait in parkinson's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Neurol*. 2017;24(1):18-26.
- 11. Cebi I, Scholten M, Gharabaghi A, Weiss D. Clinical and kinematic correlates of favorable gait outcomes from subthalamic stimulation. *Front Neurol*. 2020;11:212.
- 12. Canessa A, Palmisano C, Isaias IU, Mazzoni A. Gait-related frequency modulation of beta oscillatory activity in the subthalamic nucleus of parkinsonian patients. *Brain Stimul*. 2020; 13(6):1743-1752.
- O'Day J, Syrkin-Nikolau J, Anidi C, Kidzinski L, Delp S, Bronte-Stewart H. The turning and barrier course reveals gait parameters for detecting freezing of gait and measuring the efficacy of deep brain stimulation. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(4):e0231984.
- Morris M, Iansek R, McGinley J, Matyas T, Huxham F. Threedimensional gait biomechanics in parkinson's disease: evidence for a centrally mediated amplitude regulation disorder. *Mov Disord*. 2005;20(1):40-50.
- Allert N, Volkmann J, Dotse S, Hefter H, Sturm V, Freund H-J. Effects of bilateral pallidal or subthalamic stimulation on gait in advanced parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord*. 2001;16(6): 1076-1085.
- 16. Johnsen EL, Mogensen PH, Sunde NA, Ostergaard K. Improved asymmetry of gait in parkinson's disease with dbs: gait and postural instability in parkinson's disease treated with

- bilateral deep brain stimulation in the subthalamic nucleus. *Movement Disord*. 2009;24(4):590-597.
- Hurt CP, Kuhman DJ, Guthrie BL, Lima CR, Wade M, Walker HC. Walking speed reliably measures clinically significant changes in gait by directional deep brain stimulation. *Front Hum Neurosci*. 2020;14:618366.
- Roper JA, Kang N, Ben J, Cauraugh JH, Okun MS, Hass CJ. Deep brain stimulation improves gait velocity in parkinson's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Neurol*. 2016; 263(6):1195-1203.
- 19. Mirelman A, Bonato P, Camicioli R, et al. Gait impairments in parkinson's disease. *Lancet Neurol*. 2019;18(7):697-708.
- 20. Del Din S, Elshehabi M, Galna B, et al. Gait analysis with wearables predicts conversion to parkinson disease. *Ann Neurol*. 2019;86(3):357-367.
- 21. Mirelman A, Ben Or Frank M, Melamed M, et al. Detecting sensitive mobility features for parkinson's disease stages via machine learning. *Mov Disord*. 2021.
- 22. Lai E, Bryant M, Luo P, et al. Risk of falls in parkinson's disease after deep brain stimulation. *Neurology*. 2013;80.
- 23. Fasano A, Herzog J, Seifert E, et al. Modulation of gait coordination by subthalamic stimulation improves freezing of gait. *Movement Disord*. 2011;26(5):844-851.
- 24. Waldvogel D, Baumann-Vogel H, Stieglitz L, Hanggi-Schickli R, Baumann CR. Beware of deep water after subthalamic deep brain stimulation. *Neurology*. 2020;94(1):39-41.
- 25. Saranza G, Lang AE. Levodopa challenge test: indications, protocol, and guide. *J Neurol*. 2020;268(9):3135-3143.
- 26. Stebbins GT, Goetz CG, Burn DJ, Jankovic J, Khoo TK, Tilley BC. How to identify tremor dominant and postural instability/gait difficulty groups with the movement disorder society unified parkinson's disease rating scale: comparison with the unified parkinson's disease rating scale. *Movement Disord*. 2013;28(5): 668-670.
- 27. Uitti RJ, Baba Y, Whaley NR, Wszolek ZK, Putzke JD. Parkinson disease: handedness predicts asymmetry. *Neurology*. 2005;64(11):1925-1930.
- 28. König N, Singh NB, von Beckerath J, Janke L, Taylor WR. Is gait variability reliable? An assessment of spatio-temporal parameters of gait variability during continuous overground walking. *Gait & Posture*. 2014;39(1):615-617.
- 29. Tsushima H, Morris ME, McGinley J. Test-retest reliability and inter-tester reliability of kinematic data from a three-dimensional gait analysis system. *J Jpn Phys Ther Assoc*. 2003;6(1):9-17.
- O'Connor CM, Thorpe SK, O'Malley MJ, Vaughan CL. Automatic detection of gait events using kinematic data. *Gait & Posture*. 2007;25(3):469-474.
- 31. Nanhoe-Mahabier W, Snijders AH, Delval A, et al. Walking patterns in parkinson's disease with and without freezing of gait. *Neuroscience*. 2011;182:217-224.
- 32. Plotnik M, Giladi N, Hausdorff JM. A new measure for quantifying the bilateral coordination of human gait: effects of aging and parkinson's disease. *Exp Brain Res*. 2007;181(4):561-570.

- Horváth K, Aschermann Z, Kovács M, et al. Minimal clinically important differences for the experiences of daily living parts of movement disorder society-sponsored unified parkinson's disease rating scale. *Mov Disord*. 2017;32(5):789-793.
- Horváth K, Aschermann Z, Ács P, et al. Minimal clinically important difference on the motor examination part of MDS-UPDRS. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord*. 2015;21(12):1421-1426.
- Makkos A, Kovács M, Pintér D, Janszky J, Kovács N. Minimal clinically important difference for the historic parts of the unified dyskinesia rating scale. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord*. 2019;58:79-82.
- Ho J, Tumkaya T, Aryal S, Choi H, Claridge-Chang A. Moving beyond P values: data analysis with estimation graphics. *Nat Methods*. 2019;16(7):565-566.
- Efron B, Tibshirani R. An introduction to the Bootstrap. New York: Chapman & Hall; 1993.
- Sadeghi H, Allard P, Prince F, Labelle H. Symmetry and limb dominance in able-bodied gait: a review. *Gait Posture*. 2000; 12(1):34-45.
- Gregg RD, Dhaher YY, Degani A, Lynch KM. On the mechanics of functional asymmetry in bipedal walking. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.* 2012;59(5):1310-1318.
- Yogev G, Plotnik M, Peretz C, Giladi N, Hausdorff JM. Gait asymmetry in patients with parkinson's disease and elderly fallers: when does the bilateral coordination of gait require attention. *Exp Brain Res.* 2007;177(3):336-346.
- 41. Plotnik M, Giladi N, Hausdorff JM. Bilateral coordination of walking and freezing of gait in parkinson's disease. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2008;27(8):1999-2006.
- 42. Fasano A, Schlenstedt C, Herzog J, et al. Split-belt locomotion in parkinson's disease links asymmetry, dyscoordination and sequence effect. *Gait Posture*. 2016;48:6-12.
- Bloem BR, Hausdorff JM, Visser JE, Giladi N. Falls and freezing of gait in parkinson's disease: a review of two interconnected, episodic phenomena. *Movement Disord*. 2004; 19(8):871-884.
- Miller-Patterson C, Buesa R, McLaughlin N, Jones R, Akbar U, Friedman JH. Motor asymmetry over time in parkinson's disease. *J Neurol Sci.* 2018;393:14-17.
- 45. Fling BW, Curtze C, Horak FB. Gait asymmetry in people with parkinsons disease is linked to reduced integrity of callosal sensorimotor regions. *Front Neurol*. 2018;9:215.
- Deuschl G, Krack P. Intrepidly studying deep brain stimulation in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Lancet Neurol*. 2020; 19(6):472-473.
- Grillner S, El Manira A. Current principles of motor control, with special reference to vertebrate locomotion. *Physiol Rev.* 2020;100(1):271-320.
- Takakusaki K. Functional neuroanatomy for posture and gait control. J Mov Disord. 2017;10(1):1-17.
- 49. Georgiou N, Iansek R, Bradshaw JL, Phillips JG, Mattingley JB, Bradshaw JA. An evaluation of the role of internal cues in the pathogenesis of parkinsonian hypokinesia. *Brain*. 1993; 116(Pt 6):1575-1587.

- 50. Morris ME, Iansek R, Matyas TA, Summers JJ. The pathogenesis of gait hypokinesia in Parkinson's disease. *Brain*. 1994; 117(Pt 5):1169-1181.
- Malone LA, Bastian AJ, Torres-Oviedo G. How does the motor system correct for errors in time and space during locomotor adaptation? *J Neurophysiol*. 2012;108(2):672-683.
- Mohl B, Berman BD, Shelton E, Tanabe J. Levodopa response differs in parkinson's motor subtypes: a task-based effective connectivity study. *J Comp Neurol*. 2017;525(9): 2192-2201.
- Katz M, Luciano MS, Carlson K, et al. Differential effects of deep brain stimulation target on motor subtypes in parkinson's disease. *Ann Neurol*. 2015;77(4):710-719.
- Hobert MA, Nussbaum S, Heger T, Berg D, Maetzler W, Heinzel S. Progressive gait deficits in parkinson's disease: a wearable-based biannual 5-year prospective study. Front Aging Neurosci. 2019;11:22.

- 55. Vu TC, Nutt JG, Holford NHG. Progression of motor and nonmotor features of parkinson's disease and their response to treatment. *Brit J Clin Pharmaco*. 2012;74(2):267-283.
- Telkes I, Viswanathan A, Jimenez-Shahed J, et al. Local field potentials of subthalamic nucleus contain electrophysiological footprints of motor subtypes of parkinson's disease. *P Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2018;115(36):E8567-E8576.
- 57. Chiou S-M. Sex-related prognostic predictors for parkinson disease undergoing subthalamic stimulation. *World Neurosurg*. 2015;84(4):906-912.
- 58. Romito LM, Contarino FM, Albanese A. Transient gender-related effects in parkinson's disease patients with subthalamic stimulation. *J Neurol*. 2010;257(4):603-608.
- Ravi DK, Gwerder M, König Ignasiak N, et al. Revealing the optimal thresholds for movement performance: a systematic review and meta-analysis to benchmark pathological walking behaviour. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2020;108:24-33.