

European Journal of Education Studies

ISSN: 2501 - 1111 ISSN-L: 2501 - 1111 Available on-line at: <u>www.oapub.org/edu</u>

DOI: 10.46827/ejes.v8i11.3964

Volume 8 | Issue 11 | 2021

EXPLORING STUDENTS' ATTITUDES TOWARDS ONLINE-BASED LEARNING SYSTEM IN THE NEW NORMAL: AN EXPLORATORY FACTOR ANALYSIS

Alliesa R. Acuña, Flore Aubrey R. Aman, Princess Dawn D. Apas, Tomas Jr A. Diquitoⁱ University of Mindanao, Digos College, Digos City, Philippines

Abstract:

The implementation of online learning modality in the "New Normal Education" shifted the track of education institutions across the globe from conducting face-to-face classes to holding online-classes. The study presented in this paper aimed to explore students' attitude towards online-based learning system in the "New Normal" education. Specifically, it investigated the factor structure and the level of attitudes of 200 students towards online-based learning system. This study utilized a mixed method of research utilizing in-depth interview and a dimension reduction technique through Principal Component Analysis. Results revealed that, attitudes toward online-based learning system is multidimensional exploring eight dimensions namely: Engagement, Convenience, Satisfaction, Technology Acceptance, Adaptability, Interaction, Self-Regulation and Control. Moreover, the level of attitudes of students revealed a high level of convenience, technology acceptance, adaptability, interaction, assessment satisfaction, self-regulation and control and a moderate level of student engagement. Thus, the researchers recommend a training proposal for teachers as well as recalibrating the result of the study utilizing Confirmatory Factor Analysis.

Keywords: student's attitude, online-blended learning, new normal education

1. Introduction

With the outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic, many schools, colleges and universities across the globe have shifted to online learning to strictly follow health protocols. However, the implementation of this learning modality posed different risks, problems and challenges

ⁱ Correspondence: email <u>tomasdiquito@umindanao.edu.ph</u>

Copyright © The Author(s). All Rights Reserved.

to students and other educational stakeholders, especially in the higher education institutions (Bao, 2020). While this could be the case, future research should consider learners' perceptions and attitudes regarding online learning to discover the difficulties faced by students (Mailizar, Almanthari, Maulina & Bruce, 2020).

Globally, most countries have temporarily closed their educational institutions which has affected more than 1.2 billion learners worldwide (UNESCO, 2020). With the adoption of online-based learning, most students get easily bored and show dissatisfaction due to several factors such as environmental influence (Wijaya, Zhou, Parnama & Hermita, 2020) lack of stable internet connectivity (Chua, Sibbaluca, Miranda, Palmario, Moreno & Solon, 2020) and financial crisis and mental pressure (Ramij & Sultana, 2020). Students from countries like Indonesia (Wijaya et al., 2020), India (Gaur, Mudgal, Kaur & Sharma, 2020) and Pakistan (Adnan & Anwar 2020) experienced similar issues in dealing with online learning up-to-date. Hence, Basilaia & Kvavadze (2020) proposed that the nature of online learning in consideration of students' attitude should be explored in future studies.

In the Philippines, the closure of schools has affected more than 28 million learners as revealed by the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (2020). With the increasing number of confirmed cases based on the Department of Health (2020) online tracker report, higher institutional education opted to utilize online learning system. However, some students have shown little to no interest learning in an online environment due to lack of resources and training. With this, colleges and universities have struggled with accommodating the abrupt change from face-to-face to online learning (Chua et al., 2020).

Locally, a study conducted at the University of Mindanao Digos College revealed that students have positive and negative experiences in their learning with regards to the asynchronous instruction (Diez et al., 2021). It is on the above context that the researchers would like to explore the attitudes of students when it comes to online learning in the new normal in the context of University of Mindanao Digos College. The findings may give insights to educational stakeholders about the dimensions of students' attitudes that influence their learning in an online environment. With this, existing policies can be assessed to address effective online learning in the new normal.

2. Objectives

This study aims to explore the attitude of students receiving online learning in the new normal. Specifically, it sought to answer the following objectives:

- 1) Determine the factor structure of student's attitudes towards online-based learning system in the new normal setting.
- 2) Determine the level of attitudes of students towards online-based learning system in the new normal.

3. Method

This study utilizes a mixed method approach using descriptive qualitative and quantitative techniques. Mixed method is an approach to inquiry that combines or associates both qualitative and quantitative forms of research (Creswell, 2009). Specifically, this study utilizes exploratory design of research. This design is a two-phase approach that begins qualitatively, for exploring a phenomenon, followed by the quantitative data collection phase. Moreover, a descriptive research was also employed to obtain information through determining the nature of a situation as it exists at the time of the study.

This study was conducted at the University of Mindanao-Digos College, an institution located in the Southern part of the Philippines. In employing the data gathering procedure, a qualitative data collection was gathered first followed by the quantitative data. In selecting the participants of the qualitative study, the following inclusion criteria are strictly followed: (1) the participant must be officially enrolled in the institution in the SY 2020-2021; (2) that the participant is officially enrolled in the institution for at least one (1) semester; (3) has attended online classes in the institution; (4) willing to be interviewed, (5) willing to be the representative of the program being enrolled. After the rigorous process of selecting the participants, a total of 13 participants coming from different departments were selected. In-depth Interview using google meet was used in obtaining the qualitative data. In analyzing the qualitative data, Collaizi's (1978) method of analysis was used. Identified themes were taken and constructed as the questionnaires to be used in the quantitative phase. A total of 93 items were constructed and undergo reliability test to determine the factors needed in the study. These 93 items were given to 200 respondents of the study. The selection of the respondents was similar to the selection of participants in the qualitative phase, except that respondents only answer the questions using survey questionnaire via google forms.

After obtaining the data, the factorability of the items was examined prior to the extraction of the factors. Several tests were performed to assess the suitability of the respondent data for factor analysis. These tests include Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) Measure of Sampling Adequacy and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity. The KMO index ranges from 0 to 1, with 0.50 considered suitable for factor analysis and the Bartlett's Test of Sphericity should be significant (p<.05) for factor analysis to be appropriate (Hair et al., 1995). Thus, based on the employment of these tests, the KMO measure appeared to be more than adequate with a sampling adequacy measure of 0.943. To assess the internal reliability of the data set, Cronbach's alpha was also administered and the result revealed a ratio of 0.989 which is considered to be very high. Meanwhile, Bartlett's test of sphericity measures 21975.225 and Bartlett's coefficient (p=0.000) is held significant. Given these overall findings, factor analysis was deemed suitable to be performed on the data.

4. Result and Discussion

Table 1 shows the factor structure of attitudes of students towards online-based learning in the context of UMDC. Using principal component analysis, the underlying dimensions of the 93 attitude-related items were identified and the questions were grouped accordingly resulting to the retained eight-factor groups. The factor pattern from the eight retained factors was then transformed through varimax rotation. In assessing the practical significance of standardized factor loadings, Tapia (1996) indicated that for a sample size of exactly or more than 200 respondents, the factor loadings must be 0.4 or higher to consider the item significant. With this, item 42 (*Some applications like Google Meet, Zoom, do not irritate me when it gets huge amount of data*) was eliminated because its load falls below 0.4 and thus, failed to meet the minimum criteria.

The reliability of the eight-factor groups was further tested by means of Cronbach's coefficient of reliability. The alphas for the first four factors represent excellent internal consistency as supported by a very high Cronbach's alpha statistics that verifies a high reliability of the attitude groups.

Item	Item	Component							
No.		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
39	The tasks that we are having right now compared to the task that we've had at face to face setting are easier and not demanding.	.524							
47	I don't feel any pressure brought by other workloads.	.540							
50	Feedback in this online set-up is faster.	.507							
58	Online learning is fun.	.673							
59	I can still learn online if I just read.	.505							
60	I haven't been bored learning online.	.784							
61	I am never irritated of this online learning.	.760							
62	I can avoid cheating online.	.682							
63	I don't search answers in the Google.	.688							
64	I have relaxed because of this online class.	.776							
65	I am not stressed in learning online.	.779							
67	I can really say that online learning is conducive for learning.	.797							

Table 1: Factor Analysis of the Attitude TowardsOnline – Based Learning System in the New Normal

			I	1			1	1	
68	I have showed my full potential in	.698							
	this online setting compared to								
	when I was in face to face setting.								
69	I feel that it is easier online	.830							
	compared to the face-to-face.								
70	I became more independent in	.715							
	this online learning than before.								
71	I have learned much in this online	.789							
	set-up.								
72	In this online learning, I'm always	.783							
	studying.								
73	In this online learning, I expected	.540							
	a broader learning that is not just								
	shared learning but also self-								
	learning.								
74	I think online learning in general	.814							
	is not a burden to us students.								
75	In online learning, I am not	.769							
	tempted to scroll on various								
	applications.								
76	The adjustment in online learning	.847							
	was never tough.								
77	Online learning is not tiring.	.829							
78	My study habits haven't changed.	.803							
	It's just like I am attending school.								
79	This whole online learning did not	.765							
	become teacher-centered.								
80	Online learning is not frustrating	.752							
	especially during exam.								
81	Even with an online class, I still	.585							
	understand something.								
82	Online learning gives satisfaction	.785							
	in this new normal setting.								
83	With this online set-up, I can	.748							
	really say that you will learn								
0.4	something.	010			-				
84	There are no distractions while	.810							
05	learning online.	701							
85	Online learning does not make	.791							
07	every student very lazy.	(20							
87	Online learning is manageable so	.672							
	students can adjust.	(00							
88	Online learning is somehow	.698							
	engaging and exciting because								
00	this is new to me.	704							
89	Online learning is not stressful	.726							
	and not challenging as I have a								
	stable internet connection					L			

91	Online learning is more	.656				
	convenient depending on the					
	factors.					
92	The school provides print outs or	.633				
	fact sheets that we can read					
	everytime we are not using					
	gadgets.					
01	In this online learning, my		.578			
	teachers are really understanding.					
02	The meeting with the teachers is		.491			
	done everyday.					
03	All course facilitators in this		.626			
	online learning religiously teach.					
04	The teachers have fun and		.601			
	engaging strategies and					
	techniques while teaching online.					
05	Discussion in online learning is		.684			
	engaging					
06	The course facilitators exert an		.698			
	effort in reaching out to us in this					
	online set-up.					
07	Teachers are really trying their		.792			
07	best so we can understand.		.172			
08	Teachers give the right grade that		.676			
00	I deserve while doing my tasks.		.070			
09	Teachers deliver their lessons		.724			
07	through the use of the technology		.724			
	and various applications.					
10	The delivery of instruction from		.739			
10	the course facilitators is good.		.739			
11			.685			
11	All instructors are easy to		.005			
	understand whether in the group					
10	chat or in the Google meet.		011			
12	Teachers are doing their best		.811			
	somehow to cope with the					
	problems that we are experiencing					
10	when it comes to the platform.		(14			
13	I expected that the LMS has no		.614			
1.4	problems at all.		(00			
14	I expected that there are no		.600			
4=	glitches in using the LMS.		<= 0			
15	The LMS is effective in starting		.650			
	this online set-up.					
16	It is just easy once we understand		.663			
	how the software works.					
17	Quipper is really satisfactory.		.562			
18	The Quipper has no limitations		.543			
	and there is no need to be					
	developed about the software					

							T	
19	Letters in the Powerpoint		.687					
	uploaded in Quipper are legible.						ļ	
20	The LMS is convenient.		.620					
21	I understand the materials that are		.700					
	being uploaded online.							
22	The flow and the instructions are		.678					
	organized so the students easily							
	catch up.							
23	I can still learn through the		.581					
	support of the uploaded materials							
	if I have the reliable internet							
24	It is not annoying when teachers		.598					
	send us video tutorials which just							
	also came from Youtube.							
25	I expected psychomotor activities		.575					
	rather than cognitive assessments.							
26	I expected a lot of performance		.610					
	activity to be different not just							
	essays and other very basic tasks.							
28	The assessment is not tough.			.557				
29	The assessment online is not			.513				
	stressful.							
30	I can manage my time well since			.521				
	the assessment posted by my							
	teachers online has deadlines.							
31	Activities are interesting because			.540				
	it's always different.							
32	The assessment is easier because			.544				
	almost everything is available							
	online.							
33	I'm not pressured because of time			.657				
	limit while answering my							
	assessments.							
34	The teachers thoroughly discuss	_		.595				7
	the question that has been given							
	in the Quipper.							
35	The ideas given by the teacher are			.657				
	all in the exam.							
36	The exams were discussed by the			.672				
	teacher.							
37	The assessment is never			.639				
	exhausting because the teachers							
	provide sufficient time.							
49	I have received a feedback from			.490				
	the instructors since the start of							
	this online-based learning.							
52	The topic of my classmates and I			.429				
	does not revolve on the							
	frustrations from our professors							
	1					•		

							1	
	and the hassle of all the works							
	which need to be done.							
38	I need to be more independent		.4	90				
	and responsible in doing the tasks							
	given by the teacher.							
40	I am now expert in using the		.6	46				
	technologies.							
41	There's no problem with the			53				
	applications since all are working							
	or are compatible in other devices.							
43	Technologies can transform		.6	24				
	learning into a more fun,							
	engaging and meaningful one.							
44	Technology is useful in this new		.8	05				
	normal.							
45	Technology in this whole online		7	63	ļ			
	set-up contributes to my learning							
	progress.							
46	Technology is somehow helpful,		7	77				
τŪ	engaging, purposeful and		.,	//				
	meaningful.							
48			5	10				
40	I have expected that the teachers		.0	10				
E1	will give feedback.			02				
51	I believe that feedback really plays		.6	03				
	an important role in shaping the							
	progress of the students in this							
	online set-up.			<u></u>				
53	I think my classmates and I have		.4	35				
	resonated with each other now							
	that we are receiving online							
	learning.							
66	I'm trying my best to learn				.539			
	something and explore out of this							
	technology learning.							
86	Before the online class started, we				.553			
	were briefed during the							
	orientation about the learning							
	portals that we will be using.							
93	Face-to-face is better because				.709			
	teachers can still teach even							
	without internet connection.							
54	In terms of the connection with			_		.547		
	the classmates, it's better now in							
	this online set-up.							
55	Our teamwork is getting stronger					.499		
	this time.							
57	I have a good communication					.670		
	with my friends.							
·	i ~							

90	Online learning system allows							.418	
	student to be independent in								
	exploring new ideas online.								
56	My classmates and I are not								.406
	copying because it's strict.								
27	The class assessment in the online								.510
	setting is strict and hard and is not								
	easy to cheat on.								
Eigen	value	46.702	7.305	3.594	3.147	1.890	1.644	1.519	1.197
% Va	riance	50.22	7.86	3.86	3.38	2.03	1.77	1.63	1.29
Reliat	pility by Cronbach α	0.984	0.973	0.958	0.932	0.700	0.781	-	0.777
Cronk	Cronbach $\alpha = 0.989$								
KMO	KMO = 0.943								
Bartle	ett's Test of Sphericity Chisquare = .00	0							

Meanwhile, the alphas for the next factors were considered to have good internal reliability showing values above 0.7 (Cronbach, 1951). It can be noted that Factor 7 did not indicate a reliability value since this factor only has 1 item and running a reliability test was deemed not possible. Furthermore, the eigenvalues and percentage of variance were also identified to guarantee the appropriateness of the items as indicators of the factors. The eigenvalues should be greater than one (eigenvalues > 1) as those eigenvalues less than one accounts for less variability and thus, are not retained in the analysis (Girden, 2001).

Upon reviewing the items and examining the result, the first factor has indicated 35 items which consist of Item_39, Item_47, Item_50, Item_58, Item_59, Item_60, Item_61, Item_62, Item_63, Item_64, Item_65, Item_67, Item_68, Item_69, Item_70, Item_71, Item_72, Item_73, Item_74, Item_75, Item_76, Item_77, Item_78, Item_79, Item_80, Item_91 with a very high internal consistency of 0.984 and a high level of importance as it revealed 50.22% of the total variance and has an eigenvalue of 46.702. This factor involves the general experiences of students towards online learning from attitudes, reflection, to management of their own learning. Thus, this dimension was named *Engagement*.

The second factor consisted of 26 items in the data set. It has a very high reliability of 0.973 and accounts for the total variance of 7.86% and an eigenvalue of 7.305. Included in the items are Item_1, Item_2, Item_3, Item_4, Item_5, Item_6, Item_7, Item_8, Item_9, Item_10, Item_11, Item_12, Item_13, Item_14, Item_15, Item_16, Item_17, Item_18, Item_19, Item_20, Item_21, Item_22, Item_23, Item_24, Item_25 and Item_26. This factor discusses how students were able to perform tasks through the aid of various modes of instruction. Thus, this factor was named *Convenience*. Meanwhile, the third factor has a total variance of 3.86% and an eigenvalue of 3.594. Upon the inspection of the items, 12 items were included which displayed a reliability of 0.954. These items are Item_28, Item_29, Item_30, Item_31, Item_32, Item_33, Item_34, Item_35, Item_36, Item_37, Item_49 and Item_52. This factor involves the feelings and attitudes of students regarding

their assessment stating that the assessment is not tough and stressful and emphasizing the role of feedback, among others. Thus, this dimension was named *Satisfaction*.

The fourth factor indicated 10 items which displayed a very high reliability of 0.932. These items include Item_38, Item_40, Item_41, Item_43, Item_44, Item_45, Item_46, Item_48, Item_51 and Item_53. The total variance accounts for 3.38% and has an eigenvalue of 3.147. This factor involves students' acknowledgement of the use of technology in this online learning. Thus, this dimension was named *Technology Acceptance*.

The fifth factor contained 3 items from the data set which consist of Item_66, Item_86 and Item_93 and has an internal reliability of 0.700. It has 2.03% of the total variance and an eigenvalue of 1.890. This factor involves adjusting to online learning by trying their best and learning how this modality will be accessed. Thus, this dimension was named *Adaptability*.

Going further, the sixth factor included 3 items in the data set. Items include Item_54, Item_55 and Item_57. It has a good reliability of 0.781 and accounts for the total variance of 1.77% and eigenvalue of 1.644. This factor involves the connection and communication of students over their peers while learning online. Thus, this dimension was named *Interaction*.

The seventh factor with only Item_90 has a total variance of 1.63% and an eigenvalue of 1.519. This factor involves management of learning through independently exploring ideas online. Thus, this dimension was named *Self-regulation*.

Lastly, the eighth factor included 2 items in the data set particularly Item_56 and Item_27. It has a good reliability of 0.777 and has a total variance of 1.29% and an eigenvalue of 1.197. This factor involves control of students towards their actions in the learning environment particularly with regards to their assessment. Thus, this dimension was named *Control*.

Factor	Mean	SD
Engagement	3.14	0.90
Convenience	3.73	0.70
Satisfaction	3.45	0.84
Technology Acceptance	3.80	0.69
Adaptability	3.84	0.76
Interaction	3.57	0.85
Self-regulation	3.45	0.96
Control	3.50	0.96
Overall	3.56	0.83

Table 2: Level of Attitude towards Online – Based

 Learning System in the New Normal by Factor

Based on the result of the conducted factor analysis, it can be revealed that the grouping of the attitude items into various factors is statistically justified. Table 2 shows the level of attitude of students towards online-based learning system in the new normal. Attitude of students explored eight factors namely: engagement, convenience, assessment satisfaction, technology acceptance, adaptability, interaction, self-regulation and control. The results showed that the level of attitude of students obtained an overall mean of 3.56 (SD=0.83) with a descriptive level "high". This indicates that the attitude of students towards online-based learning system in the new normal is satisfactory.

A. Adaptability

The adaptability dimension of attitude towards online-based learning system in the new normal obtained the highest mean score of 3.84 (SD=0.76) among all factors. As indicated in the data, the overall mean for the level of adaptability of students was verbally described as high. Based on the responses, the respondents perceived that they were briefed during implementation of the new modality of learning. They were oriented about the learning portals and they are trying their best to learn something and explore out of this technology learning.

B. Technology Acceptance

The technology acceptance dimension of attitude towards online-based learning system in the new normal obtained a mean of 3.80 (SD=0.69). As indicated in the data, the overall mean for the level of technology acceptance of students was high. Based on the result the respondents acknowledged the usefulness of technology-based learning.

C. Convenience

The convenience dimension of attitude towards online-based learning system in the new normal obtained a mean of 3.73 (SD=0.70). As indicated in the data, the overall mean for the level of satisfaction was high. The respondents displayed favorable responses among sub-items.

D. Interaction

The interaction dimension of attitude towards online-based learning system in the new normal obtained a mean of 3.57 (SD=0.85). As indicated in the data, the overall mean score for the level of interaction was high. Based on the result, the respondents have felt a strong bond among their peers. These include: have a good communication with friends; teamwork is getting stronger this time; and the connection with the classmates is better in online set-up.

E. Control

The control dimension of attitude towards online-based learning system in the new normal obtained a mean of 3.50 (SD=0.96). As indicated in the data, the overall mean for the level of control was high. Based on the result, respondents have showed good management over their own learning particularly with regards to dealing with their assessment. Respondents perceived that class assessment in the online setting is strict and hard and is not easy to cheat on and that they were not copying due to strict assessment implementation.

F. Satisfaction

The satisfaction dimension of attitude towards online-based learning system in the new normal obtained a mean of 3.45 (SD=0.84). Respondents perceived that the assessment is easier because almost everything is available online, the exams were discussed by the teacher, the ideas given by the teacher are all in the exam, they have received a feedback from the instructors since the start of this online-based learning, the teachers thoroughly discuss the question that has been given in the Learning Management System (LMS), the assessment is not tough, they are not pressured because of time limit while answering assessments and the assessment online is not stressful.

G. Self-regulation

The self-regulation dimension of attitude towards online-based learning system in the new normal obtained a mean of 3.45, (SD=0.96). As indicated in the data, the overall mean for the level of self-regulation of students was high. Based on the result, respondents perceived that online learning allowed them to be independent in exploring new ideas online. It can be concluded that they were able to monitor their progress as they receive online education.

H. Engagement

The engagement dimension of attitude towards online-based learning system in the new normal obtained the lowest mean score of 3.14 (SD=0.90) among all factors. As indicated in the data, the overall mean for the level of engagement of students was moderate. Students have identified their experiences while receiving online learning. Interpretation of the result shows that attitude of students towards online learning is moderately satisfactory.

Based on the result of the study, the finding was found to be significantly below the threshold. Moreover, the level of students' engagement towards online-based learning system in the new normal is moderate obtaining the lowest mean score among all present factors.

5. Conclusion

Based on the findings of the study, the authors concluded that: The attitude of students towards online-based learning system in the new normal is multidimensional. The factor structure revealed eight factors, namely: Engagement, Convenience, Assessment Satisfaction, Technology Acceptance, Adaptability, Interaction, Competence and Control. Moreover, the level of attitude of students towards online-based learning system in the new normal in the context of the University of Mindanao Digos College is of a high level of convenience, technology acceptance, adaptability, interaction, satisfaction, self-regulation and control and a moderate level of engagement.

6. Recommendation

The researchers recognized that the implications of their findings are only confined to the limits at which they interpret the results, and that these limitations must be addressed. Thus, in the light of foregoing findings and conclusions, the following recommendations are offered:

- 1) The study found out a moderate level of engagement among respondents of all academic departments. The researchers therefore recommend that administrators may evaluate and improve the effectiveness of existing policies and may implement necessary actions in addressing effective online learning in the new normal.
- 2) Training and workshop may be proposed to the faculty particularly on discussing interventions to improve their management, pedagogical and technical skills.
- 3) Based on the responses of the participants which revealed their educational needs, a focused-group discussion can be conducted to students to further discuss their learning struggles and difficulties while receiving online learning.
- 4) The researchers also recommend to further recalibrate the result of this study to address the limitations of this research. A confirmatory factor analysis can be done by future researchers to confirm the dimensionality of attitudes toward online-based learning system. Factor analysis can be utilized to validate the existing and present factors which served as dimensions of attitude towards online learning.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare no conflicts of interests.

About the Authors

Alliesa R. Acuña; Flore Aubrey R. Aman; Princess Dawn D. Apas are undergraduate students taking up Bachelor of Secondary Education major in Science at the University of Mindanao Digos College, Philippines under the supervision of Mr. Tomas Jr A. Diquito. Their research study has been presented in the international conference. Their interests include attitudes of students while learning in the new normal setting, strategies in teaching science, and game-based learning.

Tomas Jr. A. Diquito is a graduate of Master of Arts in Education major in Biology at the University of Southeastern Philippines. He is currently enrolled in PhD program at the same University. He is a Licensed Teacher in his country, teaching both professional and specialized courses.

References

- Adnan, M. & Anwar K. (2020). Online Learning amid the COVID-19 pandemic: Students' Perspectives. Journal of Pedagogical research, 1, 45-51.
- Bao W. (2020). COVID-19 and online teaching in higher Education: A case study of Peking University. Hum Behav & Emerg Tech. 1(3). Retrieved from <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/hbe2.191</u>.
- Basilaia, G., & Kvavadze, D. (2020). Transition to online education in schools during a SARS-CoV-2 Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic in Georgia. Pedagogical Research, 5(4), em0060. <u>https://doi.org/10.29333/pr/7937</u>
- Chua, E., Sibbaluca, B., Miranda, R., Palmario, G., Moreno, R. and T. Solon, J. P. (2020). The Status of The Implementation of the E-Learning Classroom in Selected Higher Education Institutions in Region Iv-A Amidst the Covid-19 Crisis. Journal of Critical Reviews. ISSN- 2394-5125 Vol 7, Issue 11, 2020.
- Collaizi, P. (1978). Reflections and Research in Psychology: A Phenomenological Study of Learning. Dubuque, IA: Kendall/Hunt
- Creswell, J. W. (2009). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. Psychometrika, 16, 297–334. Retrieved from <u>http://cda.psych.uiuc.edu/psychometrika_citation_classic_summaries/cronbach_citation_classic_alpha.pdf</u>
- Department of Health (DOH, 2020). *Updates on Novel Coronavirus Disease*. Retrieved from <u>http://www.doh.gov.ph/2019-nCoV</u>
- Diez, J., Ebro, E., Dequito, R., & Diquito, T. (2021). Uncovering learners' experiences to new normal education: implications of asynchronous instruction in GE 5: science, technology, and society course teaching. *European Journal of Education Studies*, 8(10). doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.46827/ejes.v8i10.393</u>
- Gaur, R., Mudgal, S. K., Kaur, S. and Sharma, R. (2020). Undergraduate nursing students' attitude towards online classes during lockdown period in India: imposed or interested? International Journal of Community Medicine and Public Health. *Gaur R et al. Int J Community Med Public Health. 2020 Sep;7(9):3371-3377* DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph20203892. Retrieved from http://www.ijcmph.com
- Girden, E. R. (2001). *Evaluating research articles from start to finish*. Thousand Oaks, Calif: Sage Publications. Retrieved from <u>https://openlibrary.org/books/OL6777401M/Evaluating_research_articles_from_s</u> <u>tart to finish</u>
- Hair, J. F., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L., & Black, W. C. (1995). Multivariate data analysis. Englewood Cliffs, NJ Prentice-Hall. - References - Scientific Research Publishing

- Mailizar, Almanthari, A., Maulina, S., & Bruce, S. (2020). Secondary school mathematics teachers' views on e-learning implementation barriers during the Covid-19 pandemic: The case of Indonesia. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 16(7), em1860.
- Ramij, M., & Sultana, A. (2020). Preparedness of Online Classes in Developing Countries amid COVID-19 Outbreak: A Perspective from Bangladesh (SSRN scholarly paper ID 3638718). Social Science Research Network.
- UNESCO. (2020). Education: From disruption to recovery. Retrieved from <u>https://en.unesco.org/news/covid-19-learning-disruption-recovery-snapshot-unescos-work-education-2020</u>
- Wijaya, T., Zhou, Y., Purnama, A. and Hermita, N. (2020). Indonesian students' learning attitude towards online learning during the coronavirus pandemic. Psychology, Evaluation, and Technology in Educational Research 3 (1), 2020, 17-25.

Creative Commons licensing terms

Author(s) will retain the copyright of their published articles agreeing that a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) terms will be applied to their work. Under the terms of this license, no permission is required from the author(s) or publisher for members of the community to copy, distribute, transmit or adapt the article content, providing a proper, prominent and unambiguous attribution to the authors in a manner that makes clear that the materials are being reused under permission of a Creative Commons License. Views, opinions and conclusions expressed in this research article are views, opinions and conclusions of the author(s). Open Access Publishing Group and European Journal of Education Studies shall not be responsible or answerable for any loss, damage or liability caused in relation to/arising out of conflicts of interest, copyright violations and inappropriate or inaccurate use of any kind content related or integrated into the research work. All the published works are meeting the Open Access Publishing requirements and can be freely accessed, shared, modified, distributed and used in educational, commercial and non-commercial purposes under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)</u>.