



Tizard Learning Disability F

**A Review of Making the DEC Recommended Practices “Come to Life”**

Journal:	<i>Tizard Learning Disability Review</i>
Manuscript ID	TLDR-05-2021-0012
Manuscript Type:	Book Review

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

Book Review

1  
2  
3  
4 Deris, A. R., & DiCarlo, C. F. (2021). *Making the DEC recommended practices “come*  
5  
6  
7 *to life”*: Using case method of instruction in early childhood special education. Charles C.  
8  
9  
10 Thomas. Paper (ISBN) 978-0-398-09346-4. Ebook (ISBN) 978-0-398-09347-1  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



### A Review of Making the DEC Recommended Practices “Come to Life”

Deris and Dicarlo (2021), present a resource for people working with students who have a diagnosis of developmental delay or developmental disability or who may go on to receive one. Their book is centred around the guidelines on Recommended Practices (RPs) provided by the Division of Early Childhood of the Council of Exceptional Children (Division for Early Childhood, 2014). Its primary aim is to act as a training resource for higher-education students who are training to enter the field of early education, or educators already working in the field who are pursuing continuous professional development.

The authors provide fifty-five case studies that cover seven of the eight domains of RPs that are relevant to practitioners, namely (a) assessment, (b) environment, (c) family, (d) instruction, (e) interaction, (f) teaming and collaboration, and (g) transition. The only domain not covered in the book is leadership, as that domain is not aimed at practitioners but rather educational leaders such as headteachers or local authorities (Division for Early Childhood, 2014).

The authors use a systematic approach to preparing their case studies grounded in Case Method of Instruction (CMI; Atkinson et al., 2000; Snyder & McWilliam, 2003). CMI is an instructional design strategy promoting reflective thinking, problem-solving, and decision-

## Book Review

3

1  
2  
3 making (Snyder and McWilliam, 2003). As a result, the case studies are carefully designed to  
4  
5  
6 resemble real-life scenarios. That way, they invite the reader to apply their understanding of  
7  
8  
9 the RPs to and to to come to a conclusion about each of them. What is more, the authors  
10  
11 provide a mixture of unresolved and resolved case studies. The unresolved case studies  
12  
13 provide information on the situation without going into how the situation was resolved. In  
14  
15  
16 contrast, the resolved case studies include information on how the situation was dealt with. In  
17  
18  
19 That way, the reader is expected to not only suggest what would be the best way to deal with  
20  
21  
22 the situation following the RPs but also reflect on whether any suggested resolutions were  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31 appropriate or not.

32  
33  
34 This book is an excellent resource for designing training that brings the students in  
35  
36  
37 contact with best-practice recommendations while simulating the clinical environment. It  
38  
39  
40 provides the necessary structure to guide the students through each case study by having  
41  
42  
43 dedicated questions at the end of each one and it provides opportunities both for independent  
44  
45  
46  
47 study as well as group work.

48  
49  
50 It is also another step towards improving the support being provided to families and  
51  
52  
53 children who have or may go on to have a diagnosis of developmental disabilities or delay.

54  
55  
56  
57 Education is moving towards specific standards in terms of the curricula used, the educational  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 aims set (Porter *et al.*, 2011), and the support practitioners provide (Nelson and Campbell,  
5  
6  
7 2017). Such a move is necessary if we are to increase the educational process' transparency  
8  
9  
10 while improving the fidelity of the support we provide to the families and the outcomes we  
11  
12  
13 produce for the children (William McKenna and Parenti, 2017). That move towards increased  
14  
15  
16 accountability could be one of the cornerstones of the educational sector's development and it  
17  
18  
19 would be applicable to all grade levels and types of schooling (e.g., mainstream- and special  
20  
21  
22 education (Campbell *et al.*, 2017; Nelson and Campbell, 2017).  
23  
24  
25

26  
27 Examining the RPs, it is evident that there are best-practice recommendations that  
28  
29  
30 promote a holistic approach to education. The RPs take into account all aspects of the  
31  
32  
33 educational experience and provide much-needed guidance to professionals. They go beyond  
34  
35  
36 the focus on evidence and integrate considerations around diversity and values. Therefore,  
37  
38  
39 these guidelines are in line with recent developments in education and the move from being  
40  
41  
42 evidence-based to being evidence-informed, integrating best practice but also tailoring its  
43  
44  
45 application to the unique nature of the educational process (Brown and Zhang, 2016). In line  
46  
47  
48 with the notion of evidence-informed education, the book offers educators opportunities to  
49  
50  
51 practice combining evidence-based strategies with their professional judgment; a process that  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Book Review

5

educators will hopefully be expected to engage in more in the future, despite the existing barriers (Brown and Zhang, 2016).

This book can be a great starting point for professionals. It offers them the opportunity to see the breadth of different situations they might find themselves in during their career. It goes beyond the typical case study that summarises a problem with a reasonably evident dilemma and resolution. The information provided in each case study is broad and, in some cases, complex. Therefore, the reader is placed in a position where they need to combine the information given and refer to the RPs to guide their decision-making process. Moreover, the book is set out in a way that expects more from the instructor as well. Specifically, the authors highlight that the instructor should be well-prepared to guide the students through each case study by being familiar with it and well-versed in the relevant RPs (Deris and Dicarolo, 2021). This resource is not only expecting students to engage with the case study, it also sets expectations about the instructor's contribution to the process. In other words, it guides the instructor from being a passive collaborator to actively switching between the role of the teacher and the facilitator.

One limitation of the book is the lack of a suggested resolution to each case study or commentary about the resolved case studies.. Including this additional guidance could be

## Book Review

6

1  
2  
3 particularly helpful on a practical level, especially for trainers who might need an extra layer  
4  
5  
6  
7 of support.  
8  
9

10 Despite this limitation, the book is a great resource and should be integrated into  
11  
12  
13 courses related to education, psychology, behaviour analysis, and related disciplines. The RPs  
14  
15  
16 provided by the Council of Exceptional Children (Division for Early Childhood, 2014) offer  
17  
18  
19 information that should be relevant to various professionals working with young children,  
20  
21  
22 and this book would allow those professionals to access them in a way that is both  
23  
24  
25 meaningful and accessible. That benefit of accessibility would be particularly relevant for  
26  
27  
28 higher-education institutions that offer full-time courses or distance-learning courses, which  
29  
30  
31 are not always able to offer hands-on training to their students.  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

37 In conclusion, Deris and Dicarolo (2021) have succeeded in preparing an educational  
38  
39  
40 tool that should help professionals working in the broader area of early intervention come in  
41  
42  
43 contact with real-life scenarios that demonstrate how complex the educational process can be  
44  
45  
46 at times.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## References

Atkinson, R.K., Derry, S.J., Renkl, A. and Wortham, D. (2000), "Learning from examples:

Instructional principles from the worked examples research", *Review of Educational Research*, Vol. 70 No. 2, pp. 181–214.

Brown, C. and Zhang, D. (2016), "Is engaging in evidence-informed practice in education

rational? What accounts for discrepancies in teachers' attitudes towards evidence use

and actual instances of evidence use in schools?", *British Educational Research Journal*,

Vol. 42 No. 5, pp. 780–801.

Campbell, C., Pollock, K., Briscoe, P., Carr-Harris, S. and Tuters, S. (2017), "Developing a

knowledge network for applied education research to mobilise evidence in and for

educational practice", *Educational Research*, Vol. 59 No. 2, pp. 209–227.

Deris, A.R. and Dicarolo, C.F. (2021), *Making the Recommended Practices "Come to Life"*.

*Using Case Method of Instruction in Early Childhood Special Education*, Charles C

Thomas, Springfield.

Division for Early Childhood. (2014), *DEC Recommended Practices in Early*

*Intervention/Early Childhood Special Education.*, available at: <http://www.dec->

[sped.org/recommendedpractices](http://www.dec-sped.org/recommendedpractices).



## Book Review

8

Nelson, J. and Campbell, C. (2017), "Evidence-informed practice in education: meanings and applications", *Educational Research*, Routledge, Vol. 59 No. 2, pp. 127–135.

Porter, A., McMaken, J., Hwang, J. and Yang, R. (2011), "Common Core Standards: The New U.S. Intended Curriculum", *Educational Researcher*, Vol. 40 No. 3, pp. 103–116.

Snyder, P. and McWilliam, P.J. (2003), "Using Case Method of Instruction Effectively in Early Intervention Personnel Preparation", *Infants & Young Children*, Vol. 16 No. 4, pp. 284–295.

William McKenna, J. and Parenti, M. (2017), "Fidelity Assessment to Improve Teacher Instruction and School Decision Making", *Journal of Applied School Psychology*, Taylor & Francis, Vol. 33 No. 4, pp. 331–346.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Athanasios Vostanis<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Tizard Centre, University of Kent, Cornwallis North East, Canterbury, Kent CT2 7NF,

United Kingdom

**Author Note:**

Athanasios Vostanis, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3065-4545>

We have no conflict of interest to disclose.

Correspondence for this article should be addressed to Athanasios (Thanos) Vostanis. Tizard

Centre, Cornwallis North East, University of Kent, Canterbury, CT2 7NF. Email:

thanos.vostanis@outlook.com