



Revista de Ciencias Agrícolas e-ISSN 2256-2273 https://doi.org/10.22267/rcia.213801.142

Research article: Plant protection and nutrition.

# Morphological and pathogenic characterization of *Fusarium* oxysporum in lulo (Solanum spp.)

Caracterización morfológica y patogénica de *Fusarium oxysporum* en lulo *(Solanum* spp.)

Yohana Patricia Anama<sup>1</sup>; Ricardo Andrés Díaz<sup>2</sup>; David Duarte-Alvarado<sup>3</sup>; Tulio César Lagos-Burbano<sup>4</sup>

#### ARTICLE DATA

- <sup>1</sup> Researcher, IAF. Universidad de Nariño, Pasto, Colombia, patrikfame9611@gmail.com
- <sup>2</sup> Researcher, IAF. Universidad de Nariño, Pasto, Colombia, ricardodiazoliva@gmail.com
- <sup>3</sup> Researcher, M.Sc., Grupo de Investigación en Producción de Frutales Andinos, Pasto, Colombia, deduartea@unal.edu.co
- <sup>4</sup> Professor, Ph.D., Universidad de Nariño, Pasto, Colombia, tclagos3@yahoo.com

**Cite:** Anama, Y.; Díaz, R.; Duarte-Alvarado, D.; Lagos-Burbano, T. (2021). Morphological and pathogenic characterization of *Fusarium oxysporum* in lulo (*Solanum* spp.). *Revista de Ciencias Agrícolas*. 38(1): 20-37. doi: https://doi.org/10.22267/rcia.213801.142

Received: November 16 2020. Accepted: January 28 2021.



# ABSTRACT

Fusarium oxysporum is one of the most limiting fungal pathogens of lulo crop. To determine its pathogenicity, this work morphologically and pathogenically characterized F. oxysporum isolates from different lulogrowing municipalities of the Department of Nariño. Twenty isolates were evaluated through a completely randomized design with two factors and three replicates per treatment, including a control. The first factor corresponded to 20 isolates of F. oxysporum and the second to 10 lulo genotypes. The morphological characterization involved determining growth rate (GR), color (CO), mycelial type (MT), medium coloration (Mc), shape (Sh), size (S), number (N) of macroconidial (Ma) and microconidial (Mi) septa, presence of chlamydospores (PC), and chlamydospore shape (CS). Moreover, the pathogenic characterization was based on the incubation period (IP), absolute growth rate (AGR), disease severity (DS), disease incidence (I), and vascular discoloration (VD). The morphological characterization demonstrated that all isolates corresponded to E oxysporum. For IP, genotype G1 showed the lowest average at 18 days. For AGR, genotype G2 had the lowest height increase at 0.05 cm.day<sup>-1</sup>. For DS, genotype G1 reached the highest severity level (level 9) and a disease incidence of 100%. This study provides the first report of the special form of F. oxysporum f. sp. quitoense in Nariño. Solanum hirtum, Solanum sessiliflorum, and Solanum estramonifolium were resistant to the isolates evaluated, demonstrating that wild species should be considered as sources of resistance for breeding programs aiming to obtain resistant commercial genotypes.

**Keywords:** Incidence; Severity; *Solanum hirtum; Solanum sessiliflorum; Solanum estramonifolium.* 

#### RESUMEN

*Fusarium oxysporum* es uno de los hongos más limitantes en la producción del cultivo de lulo y con el fin de conocer su patogenicidad, este trabajo caracterizó morfológica y patogénicamente aislamientos



provenientes de diferentes municipios productores del departamento de Nariño. Se evaluaron 20 aislamientos de F. oxysporum bajo el Diseño Completamente al Azar con dos factores y tres repeticiones por tratamiento más un testigo. El primer factor corresponde a 20 aislamientos de *E oxysporum* y el segundo a 10 genotipos de lulo. Para la caracterización morfológica se evaluó la velocidad de crecimiento (VC), colouración (CM) y tipo de micelio (TM), colouración del medio(Cm), forma(F), tamaño(T) y número (N) de septos de macroconidios (Ma) y microconidios (Mi), presencia de clamidosporas (PC) y forma de clamidosporas (FC); para la caracterización patogénica se evaluó el periodo de incubación (PI), tasa de crecimiento absoluto (TCA), severidad de la enfermedad (SEV), incidencia de la enfermedad (I) y decoloración vascular (DV). Los resultados de la caracterización morfológica mostraron que todos los aislamientos corresponden a *F. oxysporum*. Para la variable PI, el genotipo G1 presentó el menor promedio con 18 días. En cuanto a TCA, el genotipo G2 presentó el menor incremento en altura con un valor de 0.05cm.día<sup>-1</sup>. Para SEV, el genotipo G1 alcanzó el nivel más alto de severidad (nivel 9) y una incidencia del 100%. Solanum hirtum, Solanum sessiliflorum y Solanum estramonifolium fueron resistentes a los aislamientos evaluados, demostrando que existe la forma especial Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. quitoense identificada por primera vez en Nariño y se debe considerar a las especies silvestres como fuentes de resistencia para incluirse en programas de mejoramiento genético como base para la obtención de genotipos comerciales resistentes.

Palabras clave: Incidencia; Severidad; Solanum hirtum; Solanum sessiliflorum; Solanum estramonifolium.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Lulo (*Solanum quitoense* Lam.) is a native shrub species of the Ecuatorian and Colombian Andes. This species produces green-fleshed fruits sought after by domestic and foreign markets due to their color, flavor, and nutritional value (Lagos *et al.*, 2015). In Colombia, the cultivation of lulo represents 0.01% of the exported fruits' value in the country. Furthermore, lulo exports grew from 27.88t to 33.35t between 2016 and 2017 (MADR, 2019).

In recent years, there has been a reduction in the planted area, production, and yield of lulo crop in the Department of Nariño. In 2017, lulo production and yield decreased between 11.61% and 16.93%, resulting in a production of 2823.5t and yield of 5.48t.ha<sup>-1</sup> (MADR, 2019). Reduced production is due to the abandonment of the crop by farmers, mainly owing to limiting phytosanitary problems caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* (Lagos *et al.*, 2015). *E oxysporum* is a soil-dwelling fungus that lives as a facultative saprophyte. Additionallly, it behaves as a pathogen that causes vascular wilt under suitable humidity conditions and temperature (López-Zapata and Castaño-Zapata, 2019; Hernández *et al.*, 2020; Segura and Torres, 2020; Ochoa, 2002; Rentería-Martínez *et al.*, 2018; Espinoza-Ahumada *et al.*, 2019). The fungus infects the plant through the roots and colonizes the vascular system. Furthermore, during plant colonization, the pathogen also infects the seed as a means of transmission of the disease (Ochoa, 2002; Guerra-Fuentes *et al.*, 2019; Piñeros-Guerrero *et al.*, 2019).

*Fusarium oxysporum* reproduces through microconidia, macroconidia, and chlamydospores, which are responsible for the infection of new plants and dissemination throughout the crop. Particularly, chlamydospores confer resistance and remain viable in the soil for long periods of time (Nelson *et al.*, 1994). To date, no effective control methods are known for



affected plants; therefore, farmers are recommended to remove plants showing symptoms, leading to representative losses up to 80% (Lagos *et al.*, 2015).

Studies in several plant species have focused on identifying sources of resistance as an effective control method for the disease. Ribeiro *et al.* (2018) evaluated 41 banana accessions, identifying genotype M53 as resistant to *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense* Race 1. Likewise, Carvalho *et al.* (2021) focused on identifying completely resistant species of passion fruit to *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *passiflorae* and *Fusarium solani*, finding that *Passiflora nitida* presented complete resistance to the two pathogens and can be used in breeding programs for this species.

A study in Colombia determined that wild species such as *Solanum sessiliflorum*, *Solanum hirtum*, and *Solanum marginatum* presented resistance to *F. oxysporum* under greenhouse conditions (Betancourth *et al.*, 2005). The same study also evaluated the resistance of 37 genotypes of lulo de Castilla (*S. quitoense*) to four isolates of *F. oxysporum* collected in Nariño, finding that the genotypes evaluated presented symptoms and vascular colonization.

This research aimed to morphologically characterize different isolates of *F. oxysporum*, causing vascular wilt of lulo (VWL), from

different areas of the Department of Nariño. Furthermore, the pathogenic behavior of these isolates in commercial lulo varieties and wild species of *Solanum* was determined.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Location.** This study was conducted at a greenhouse located in Ricaurte-Nariño (1°11'17"N and 77°58'25"W) at an altitude of 1,230 m a.s.l., with temperatures ranging from 19 to 30°C and a relative humidity above 80% (IDEAM, 2020). Additionally, laboratory work was done at the phytopathology laboratory of the Grupo de Investigación en Producción de Frutales Andinos (GPFA), located at 2,488 m a.s.l., with coordinates 1°14'3"N and 77°17'7"W.

**Collection of** *F. oxysporum* **isolates.** Infected plant material was collected during the second semester of 2018 and the first semester of 2019 from plants with symptoms caused by *F. oxysporum* infection, such as leaf chlorosis, stem necrosis, wilting, and rotting of vascular bundles (García *et al.*, 2007). The sampled plants were located in commercial plots, previously identified with the Municipal Agricultural Technical Assistance Units (UMATAs) of each municipality. Seventeen municipalities in the department of Nariño were sampled for a total of 20 fungal isolates collected (Table 1).

ISO	HOST	MUN	COORD	ALT
A1	S. q Castillo	La Florida	N01°24'03.12" W77°18'46.75"	1850
A2	S. q*S. hirtum	Arboleda	N01°30'55.58" W77°08'2.57"	2301
A3	S. q Larga Vida	Arboleda	N01°30'22.84" W77°07'53.57"	2288
A4	S. q Castillo	La Llanada	N01°28'41.73 W77°34'59.21	2113
A5	S. q Castillo	La Unión	N01°35'32.5" W77°06'25.2"	1963
A6	S. q Castillo	La Unión	N01°34'22.4" W77°07'52.0"	2028
A7	S. q Castillo	Consaca	N01°13'4.15 W77°28'37.03	1244
<b>A8</b>	S. q Castillo	Samaniego	N01°19'1.17 W77°35'36.80	1470
A9	S. q Castillo	Cartago	N01°33'52.2" W77°07'12.2"	1966
A10	S. q*S. hirtum	Mallama	N01°11'43.45 W77°56'19.66	1783
A11	S. q Larga Vida	Ricaurte	N01°9'53.41 W77°57'49.37	1670
A12	S. q Castillo	Tangua	N01°04'25.1" W77°23'24.92"	1537
A13	S. q Larga Vida	Taminango	N01°33'58.94" W77°16'24.86"	1627
A14	S. q*S. hirtum	Ancuya	N01°16'09.31" W77°31'2.76"	1359
A15	S. q Castillo	Buesaco	N01°22'47.93" W77°52'27.0"	2153
A16	S. q Larga Vida	Buesaco	N01°22'10.15" W77°08'42.7"	2030
A17	S. q Castillo	Yacuanquer	N01°07'30.54" W77°26'26.66"	2218
A18	S. q Larga Vida	Colon	N01°39'2.96" W77°02'23.30"	2192
A19	S. q Castillo	EL Tambo	N01°24'25.66" W77°23'32.71"	2187
A20	S. q Castillo	Linares	N01°21'16.18" W77°31'31.24"	1457

**Table 1.** Passport data from 20 isolates of *F. oxysporum* collected in 17 lulo (*Solanum*spp.) growing municipalities in the Department of Nariño.

ISO: isolate; MUN: municipality; COORD: coordinates; ALT: altitude in m a.s.l.; S. q: Solanum quitoense.

Pathogen isolation and purification. The collected samples were transferred to the laboratory of phytopathology of Universidad de Nariño. The plant material was washed with sterile distilled water, then, 5 mmsections of healthy and diseased tissue were cut from stems and branches. The tissues were disinfected with distilled water and 2.5% sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) for 1 minute and 70% alcohol for 1 minute. Finally, they were washed with sterile distilled water, then, four cuttings per Petri dish were seeded on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) medium and incubated at 28°C for 48 hours. Once fungal structures were obtained, fungal replication was performed until pure cultures of 20 isolated colonies of the pathogen were obtained (Nelson *et al.*, 1994).

**Monosporic cultures.** To obtain pure cultures from a single spore, a low concentration suspension of conidia in sterile water was made from pure cultures on PDA medium. For this, the mycelium in the Petri dishes was washed with sterile water, 0.5 ml of the suspension was taken, the contents were added to a water-agar medium and streaked with a sterile glass rod. Germination of the conidia was observed under a stereoscope at time intervals of 24, 48 and 72 hours. Once germination started, conidia with isolated growth were observed. A section of the agar



was extracted using a sterile needle, then, a conidium was transferred to Petri dishes with PDA medium to obtain 10 replicates of pure cultures from each isolate (Nelson *et al.*, 1994).

Morphological identification of F. Morphological identification oxysporum. of the pure cultures was done using the taxonomic keys developed by Nelson et al. (1994) and Leslie and Summerell (2006). Macroscopic morphological characteristics were determined from eight-day-old fungal colonies by observing the texture, color, and PDA medium growth. On the other hand, microscopic parameters of shape, size, chlamydospores (e.g., shape, presence, size), and number of macroconidia and microconidia septa were determined from pure mycelia grown on Carnation Leaf Agar (CLA) medium with lactophenol staining, observed under light microscopy.

### **Pathogenicity test**

Plant material. The lulo plants were obtained from seeds of visually healthy plants in seven lulo growing municipalities in the Department of Nariño, namely La Florida, Arboleda, Mallama, La Union, Ancuya, Buesaco, and El Tambo, located at altitudes ranging from 1,320 to 2,301 m a.s.l. Wild plant material of S. hirtum, S. sessiliflorum and S. stramonifolium were obtained from spontaneously growing plants in the municipalities of Mocoa-Putumayo (590 m a.s.l.) and Tumaco (764 m. a.s.l.). A total of 100 seeds of each genotype were sown in order to select five plants of homogeneous growth for inoculation. A total of 500 plants were evaluated under greenhouse conditions.

Inoculation of seedlings with *F. oxysporum*. The inoculum was obtained from a mycelial wash with sterile distilled water at a concentration of 1x10<sup>6</sup> spores.ml<sup>-1</sup> of the purified fungus and calibrated in a Neubauer chamber. Subsequently, five seedlings (two months old with two true leaf pairs) per material were inoculated by injecting 3ml of the suspension at the base of the stem, according to the methodology of Cardona and Castaño (2019). Additionally, distilled water was injected as a negative control. Once the plants were inoculated, they were kept at field capacity for 15 days to facilitate the development of the disease (Betancourth et al., 2005).

#### **Traits assessed**

Morphological characterization. Observations of morphological structures were done at 40X and 100X magnification for detailed microconidia, macroconidia, and chlamydospore structures. The characterization was based on the descriptions of Nelson et al. (1994) and Leslie and Summerell (2006), considering the following variables: i) Growth rate of colonies in the PDA culture medium, estimated as the ratio between the diameter of the colonies (mm) and the time required to colonize the total Petri dish area (mm.day <sup>1</sup>). ii) Mycelium color and type according to the Auction Color Chart® (2004). iii) Growth medium color according to the Auction Color Chart® (2004). iv) shape and size of macroconidia and microconidia in µm. v) Number of macroconidia and microconidia septa (1-6 septa). vi) Chlamydospore presence and shape.

**Pathogenicity characterization.** The pathogenicity test was conducted for 98 days and variables were measured every seven days. Disease incubation period was

determined as the number of days to symptom onset after inoculation (IP); additionally, absolute growth rate (AGR) was recorded as the increase in plant height in cm.day<sup>-1</sup> from the time of inoculation and calculated using the formula:

$$AP = \frac{AP2 - AP1}{T2 - T1}$$

Where: AP1 = plant height at time 1, AP2 = plant height at time 2, T2 = evaluation time 2 and T1 = evaluation time 1.

Disease severity (DS) was expressed as a percentage (%) using the scale proposed by Estupiñan and Ossa (2007) (Table 2).

**Table 2.** Disease severity scale forFusarium oxysporum.

Severity	Percentage	Characteristics				
1	0	No symptom manifestation				
3	10	No more than 10% of the total foliage is wilted and/or chlorotic				
5	25	Leaves wilted or chlorotic				
7	50	Leaves wilted or chlorotic				
9	100	Dead or severely infected plants showing virtually all foliage with wilted, chlorotic, necrotic, and/or premature defoliation				

Vascular discoloration (VD) was determined through a transverse cut in the stem of each plant at the end of the evaluation period. VD was described according to the scale of Estupiñan and Ossa (2007), as shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Vascular discoloration	scale
according to Estupiñan and Ossa (	(2007).

VD	DS	CHARACTERISTIC
0	NONE	
1	SLIGHT	
2	INTERMEDIATE	
3	SEVERE	

Resistance (-) or incompatibility reactions were indicated by levels 0 and 1 of vascular discoloration (i.e., no evidence of damage and none or slight discoloration of the vascular system). Conversely, susceptibility (+) or compatibility reactions were manifested by levels 2 and 3 (i.e., intermediate vascular discoloration in a large part of the plant and severe vascular discoloration of the whole plant, respectively) (CIAT, 2010).

**Reisolation of the fungus.** Sections of vessels were taken from the base of stems with and without symptoms after the evaluation period. The sections were placed on PDA and incubated at 22°C for eight days to test Koch's postulates (Estupiñan and Ossa, 2007).

**Experimental design.** A completely randomized design was used with three replicates per isolate and a control isolate. The design considered two factors: the first factor corresponded to 20 isolates of *F. oxysporum* and the second factor to 10 genotypes of lulo, in addition to the control inoculated with distilled water. The experimental unit consisted of one seedling planted in a bag with a capacity of 1 Kg of sterile substrate under greenhouse conditions.

**Statistical analysis.** The observed pathogenicity data were subjected to an Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using S.A.S. software version 9.4 (Statistical Analysis

System, Institute Inc.). Significant differences were established when the hypothesis test allowed rejecting the null hypothesis, i.e., significant or highly significant statistical differences were determined when the means of the genotypes exceeded the general mean plus one standard error ( $\mu$ + $\sigma$ ) or twice the standard error ( $\mu$ + $2\sigma$ ), respectively, according to Lagos *et al.* (2015). For the traits related to pathogenicity and aggressiveness of *F. oxysporum*, the most outstanding genetic materials were those below  $\mu$ - $\sigma$  or  $\mu$ - $2\sigma$ , with significant or highly significant differences compared with the others.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Collection of isolates.** A total of 17 municipalities with established lulo crops were sampled in the Department of Nariño. The municipalities were located at elevations ranging from 1,244 to 2,301 m a.s.l. and average temperatures between 20 and 23°C. The selected areas presented visually diseased plants with typical symptoms such as foliage wilting, dwarf plants, chlorosis in

the lower leaves, and vascular tissue with orange-brown colorations observed by a longitudinal section of the stem (Figure 1). These characteristics agree with the description of Forero-Reyes *et al.* (2018), who report that pathogen hyphae growth throughout the xylem allows the colonization and obstruction of the ducts, generating an observable necrosis through longitudinal sections of the stem.

Morphological characterization of **F**. oxysporum. The macroscopic morphological identification of the 20 isolates showed colony (GR) growth rates ranging from 7 to 21 days. For mycelium color, type, and medium color, different shades ranging from whitishpink, purplish-white to intense purple and intense pink were observed. These results are similar to those obtained by Nelson et al. (1994), Betancourth et al. (2005), Leslie and Summerell (2006), Robles-Carrión et al. (2016), Arellano (2018), Leyva-Mir et al. (2018), Retana et al. (2018), who state that these mycelial colors are a characteristic feature of *F. oxysporum* since it displays great color variation on PDA medium.



**Figure 1.** Symptoms observed in the field in different municipalities of the Department of Nariño. A) Foliage wilt B) Dwarf and chlorotic plants C) Necrotic vascular tissue with brown coloring.



The mycelium of the different isolates varied from very sparse to dense, with irregular to continuous shapes, and aerial or flattened growth. These observations are similar to those described by Castro *et al.* (2018), who evaluated eight strains of *F. oxysporum* on PDA medium, isolated from cultures of *S. quitoense* in Pastaza, Ecuador. The authors found variable results regarding mycelial color (purple and white), with irregular shape, slow growth, cottony appearance, sparse, purple in the center and white on the edges, both on the back and the reverse.

The observations of the different isolates on CLA medium after 12 days of germination indicated the presence of unicellular, ovoid, and oval microconidia with one and two cells in single or short chains. Additionally, macroconidia were hyaline, slender (i.e., almost needle-shaped), multiseptated (3 to 6 septa), with a hooked apex for isolates A1, A2, A4, A5, A6, A6, A9, A11, A12, A13, A14, A15, A16, A17, A18, A19 and blunt apex for isolates A3, A7, A8, A10, A20. Foot cells were minutely notched, clear notches were

observed only for A18, and thick-walled, globose, unicellular, hyaline chlamydospores were present in chains or clusters.

These macroscopic observations allowed identifying the isolates as *F. oxysporum* and corroborated findings from previous studies (Nelson *et al.*, 1994; Leslie and Summerell, 2006; Iturbide-Zuñiga *et al.*, 2017; Mariscal-Amaro *et al.*, 2017; Buitrago, 2018; Huarhua, 2018; López *et al.*, 2018). These investigations reported that the pathogen produces three types of asexual spores: microconidia formed by one or two cells, macroconidia (spores) with three to five cells that gradually slim down and curl towards both ends, and chlamydospores constituted by one or two cells, which are thick-walled and classified as survival structures.

Finally, 20 isolates with microscopic morphological characteristics similar to *F. oxysporum* were obtained on CLA medium (Figure 2). Observations of these structures allowed identifying microconidia, macroconidia, and chlamydospores.



**Figure 2.** Structures of the fungus *Fusarium oxysporum* observed under the light microscope. A and B: macroconidia, C: chlamydospores.

**Pathogenic characterization of** *E oxysporum.* According to the ANOVA (Table 4), significant differences were found for all the traits related to the pathogenesis of the isolates. The isolates showed highly

significant differences in response to the interaction with the genotype, indicating that they behaved differentially across the different lulo genotypes evaluated in this study.

<b>Table 4.</b> Mean squares of the ANOVA for incubation period (IP), absolute growt	h
rate (AGR), and disease severity (DS) evaluated in seven genotypes of lulo and	
three wild plant materials inoculated with 20 isolates of <i>F. oxysporum</i> .	

VF	DF	IP	AGR	DS
Repetition	3	438.42ns	0.0076ns	1908.77ns
Isolate (Is)	21	1076.01*	0.042**	2012.28*
Genotype (G)	10	7535.03**	0.66**	13793.32**
IsxG	21	651.12**	0.014**	1155.51**
Error	418	247.71	0.0061	574.65
CV		160.39	31.09	186.03
R <sup>2</sup>		0.67	0.78	0.61
Average		27.89	0.25	12.71
SD		13.24	0.07	22.72

\* = significant at P<0.05; C.V= coefficient of variation; R<sup>2</sup>= coefficient of determination; SD = standard deviation.

**Incubation period (IP).** Genotypes G9, G8, and G6 showed the shortest time of symptom onset (15 – 16 days) and presented chlorosis on the lower leaves. Next, G5, G2 and G3 presented symptoms after 18 to 19 days and, finally, G1 presented the highest average time of symptom onset on day 22 (Table 5).

These results differ from findings by Duarte-Alvarado *et al.* (2020), who reported incubation periods of seven days on *S. quitoense* plants inoculated with nine *F. oxysporum* isolates obtained from lulo plants in Nariño. Furthermore, Ochoa *et al.* (2001) found incubation periods of 39 to 48 days with an inoculum concentration of  $5x10^6$ conidia.ml<sup>-1</sup>. This large difference in disease incubation period can be explained due to the inoculation method used by Ochoa *et al.* (2001), which consisted of generating wounds in the root for pathogen entry. It can also be attributed to differences in the infective capacity of the isolates and the resistance or susceptibility of the materials. This pathogen can present different rates of host colonization, depending on the time of initial infection, virulence, and climatic conditions (Gonzales *et al.*, 2012).

G	Is	IP	AGR	DS	G	Is	IP	AGR	DS
	A6			100*		A1		0.08**	
	A10			100*	G6	A2	16.7*		
	A12	22.3*				A4			100**
G1	A15	22.0*				A11		0.11*	
	A17	22.0*				A20	21.67*		
	A18		0.16*		67	A1		0.22*	
	A19		0.14*			A2		0.19**	
	A20	19.3*	0.12**			A9		0.24*	
	A9			66.7**		A1		0.03**	
	A10			58.33*	G8	A2		0.05**	
G2	A11	20.0*				A12			100**
	A12			58.33*		A13			66.7**
	A14	18.7*				A1		0.35*	
	A18		0.05*			A3			33.33**
	A1		0.15*	33.33*	G9	A5		0.38*	
	A3			33.33*		A14			33.33**
G3	A2		0.19*			A15		0.36*	33.33**
	A8			33.33*		A20	14.00*		
	A13				010	A1		0.16*	
	A19			33.33*	G10	A7		0.14*	
G4	A16		0.09*			A13		0.15*	
	A9		0.07*		1				
65	A15			66.67**					

**Table 5.** Mean incubation period (IP), absolute growth rate (AGR), and diseaseseverity (DS) in 10 genotypes of lulo (Solanum quitoense Lam.)inoculated with Fusarium oxysporum.

\* = significant at *P*<0.05; \*\* = significant at *P*<0.01.

Genotypes G4, G7, and G10, belonging to the wild materials of *S. hirtum, S. sessiliflorum* and *S. stramonifolium*, did not present any symptoms during the experiment so there were no values registered for pathogenicity traits. These findings agree with reports by Betancourth *et al.* (2005) regarding *S. hirtum* and *S. sessiliflorum* as sources of resistance to *F. oxysporum*. Moreover, given the selectivity of the isolates towards generating infection

processes in *Solanum quitoense*, it is possible to affirm that there is a special form of the fungus identified as *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *quitoense*, which has been reported by Ochoa *et al.* (2001) and Avila *et al.* (2019) in Ecuador.

**Absolute growth rate (AGR).** Table 5 shows the significant means of the AGR for each genotype, indicating the adverse effect that the isolates generate on normal plant

development. In G1, significant means were obtained with isolates A18 (0.16 cm.day<sup>-1</sup>) and A19 (0.14 cm.day<sup>-1</sup>), and highly significant with A20 (0.12 cm.day<sup>-1</sup>). Particularly, the mean for this genotype ranged from 0.12 to 0.33 cm.day<sup>-1</sup>.

For G2, only isolate A18 showed a significant AGR mean of 0.05 cm.day<sup>-1</sup>, indicating that the isolate's infective capacity generates a physiological imbalance in this genotype that limits normal apical growth. In G3, isolate A1 stands out with an AGR of 0.15 cm.day<sup>-1</sup>, as well as A2 with 0.19 cm.day<sup>-1</sup>. In genotype G4, isolate A16 showed a significant mean of 0.09 cm.day<sup>-1</sup>. The AGR in genotype G4 ranged from 0.09 to 0.337 cm.day<sup>-1</sup>. Similarly, in G5, only isolate A9 showed a significant mean of 0.07 cm.day<sup>-1</sup>. In G6, isolate A1 presented a highly significant mean of 0.083 cm.day<sup>-1</sup> and A11 displayed a significant mean of 0.113 cm.day<sup>-1</sup>. The AGR range for this genotype was 0.083 to 0.33 cm.day<sup>-1</sup> (Table 5).

For G7, isolates A1 and A9 reported significant means and A2 a highly significant mean. Specifically, A2 showed the smallest increase relative to the overall mean of 0.19 cm.day<sup>-1</sup>. Similarly, G9 showed significant means for isolates A1, A5, and A15, (0.35, 0.38, and 0.36 cm.day<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). Finally, in G10, isolates A1, A7, and A13 demonstrated significant means; particularly, A7 showed the smallest increase compared to the overall mean of 0.2 cm.day<sup>-1</sup>.

Accordingly, isolates A1, A2, A9 and A18 displayed a high infective capacity (Table 4). According to Gonzales (2012), *F. oxysporum* produces phytotoxins that cause a growth-inhibitory reaction by hormonal imbalance since these substances are strong enough to overcome the growth-stimulating action

of gibberellins. In addition, plants affected by this pathogen usually express a type of dwarfism compared to healthy plants.

On the other hand, reduced seedling growth is due to the successful development of the pathogen in the genotypes. According to Ángel-García *et al.* (2018), the pathogen enters the plant directly, or through wounds, and spreads through the xylem vessels. Subsequently, the mycelium invades the vascular tissue and conidia, thus, physically blocking these vessels and preventing the transport of water and nutrients. Consequently, the plant metabolism is altered, causing cellular processes to be reduced or stopped.

**Disease severity (DS).** In G1, isolates A6 and A10 reached the highest severity (level 9), indicated by total foliage wilting, generalized chlorosis, tissue necrosis, and premature defoliation by the end of the evaluation. Thus, these isolates showed significant means.

In G2, isolate A9 generated a severity of 66.7%, followed by A10 and A12 with 58.33% severity. In G3, the severity levels ranged from 5 to 7 with isolates A1, A3, A8, A13, and A19 (33.33%), which induced wilted or chlorotic leaves during the 14 weeks of evaluation.

Likewise, in G5, isolate A15 showed a highly significant mean and induced level 7 disease severity (66.67%), indicated by wilted or chlorotic leaves between weeks 11 and 13, respectively. In this same genotype, A3, A4, A8, A9, A10, and A11 induced disease severity levels between 1 and 5, with no more than 10% of the total foliage wilted or chlorotic.

Moreover, G6 showed a highly significant mean with isolate A4, reaching level 9 severity after



12 weeks of inoculation. Therefore, 100% of the plants were severely affected, indicated by total foliage wilting, general chlorosis, necrosis, and premature defoliation.

In G8, A12 and A13 generated highly significant means (100% and 66.7%, respectively), reaching level 9 severity by week 10. Finally, in G9, isolates A3, A14, and A15 generated significant values, reaching low severity levels between 3 and 5 (33.33%), demonstrated by wilted and chlorotic leaves (Table 5).

These results agree with those reported by Betancourth *et al.* (2005), who mention that, after 12 weeks, the disease was more aggressive, inducing general wilting of the leaves and 100% seedling death (level 9 severity).

Genotypes G4, G7, and G10, belonging to the wild materials of *S. hirtum, S. sessiliflorum,* and *S. stramonifolium,* did not show any symptoms during the experiment so no values were registered for the pathogenicity variables. These findings confirm the selectivity of the isolates towards *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *quitoense.* 

**Disease incidence (I).** The disease incidence of the isolates on the genotypes evaluated is shown in Table 6. The results are presented according to the isolates' individual effect and their pathogenic differences. These differences may be attributed to variations in the macroscopic morphological characteristics, which sometimes generate alterations in their infective capacity (Betancourth *et al.*, 2005).

(CC) BY-NC

Isolate	G1	G2	G3	G5	G6	<b>G8</b>	<b>G9</b>
A1	66.7	0	66.7	66.7	66.7	0	0
A2	100	33.3	0	0	33.3	0	0
A3	100	0	100	33.3	0	33.3	33.3
A4	100	0	0	33.3	100	33.3	0
A5	100	0	0	0	66.7	0	0
A6	100	0	0	0	0	0	0
A7	100	0	33.3	0	100	0	0
A8	100	0	33.3	33.3	66.7	0	0
A9	66.7	66.7	0	33.3	0	0	0
A10	100	100	0	33.3	0	0	0
A11	33.3	33.3	0	33.3	33.3	0	0
A12	33.3	100	0	33.3	0	100	0
A13	66.7	100	33.3	33.3	0	66.7	0
A14	66.7	33.3	0	33.3	0	33.3	33.3
A15	66.7	0	0	66.7	100	0	33.3
A16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A17	33.3	0	0	0	0	0	0
A18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A19	0	0	33.3	33.3	0	0	0
A20	33.3	0	0	33.3	33.3	0	33.3
TEST	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Table 6.** Disease incidence (%) assessed in genotypes of lulo (*Solanum* spp.)

For G1, isolates A2, A3, A4, A5, A6, A7, A8, and A10 showed a disease incidence of 100% at 14 weeks. Additionally, isolates A1, A9, A13, A14, and A15 demonstrated an incidence of 66.6% by the end of the experiment. Similarly, A11, A12, A17, and A20 affected 33.3% of plants, which displayed chlorosis in the lower leaves and loss of turgor. Conversely, isolates A16, A18 and A19 did not showed disease incidence in this genotype.

In G2, isolates A10, A12, and A13 showed 100% incidence by the end of the evaluation. Furthermore, A9 displayed 66.6% incidence, while A2, A11, and A14 caused disease in 33.3% of the plants. The remaining isolates did not affect any plants. In G3, the highest incidence was obtained by A3 (100%). Isolate A1 showed 66.6% incidence, while A7, A8, A13, and A19 displayed 33.3% disease incidence.

The highest disease incidence in G5 was obtained by isolates A1 and A15 (66.6%). Moreover, in G6, 100% of the plants were affected by isolates A4, A7, and A15; additionally, 66.6% were affected by isolates A1, A5 and A8. The highest incidences in G8 were caused by isolates A12 and A13 (100% and 66.6%, respectively), while the lowest incidence was obtained by A3, A4 and A14 (33.3%).

These results differ from those reported by Arizala *et al.* (2011), who evaluated the reactions of wild Solanaceae to the effect of *E oxysporum*, finding that *S. hirtum* showed the lowest disease incidence (5.55%) and *S. quitoense* showed the most affected plants (25% incidence). Moreover, the mean values obtained here are low compared to the findings by Maya and Lagos (2011), who report an incidence of 92.57% in half-sibling families of lulo. The control isolates (TEST) did not show any symptoms during the 14 weeks of evaluation; thus, their development was normal and they were not affected by the fungus (Table 6).

**Vascular discoloration (VD).** Different levels of VD were observed in the inoculated seedlings by the end of the 14<sup>th</sup> week of evaluation. The results allowed demonstrating the resistance or susceptibility of each genotype to the attack by the different isolates (Table 7).

In G1, isolates A11, A12, A16, A17, and A19 generated level 1 VD, which consisted of slight or light pigmentation of the vascular bundles due to the development of the pathogen inside the plant. The remaining isolates induced level 3 VD, observed by a dark brown coloring (severe) in the stem tissues, indicating the destruction and total colonization of the conductive tissues of the plant due to the development and proliferation of the pathogen.

G2 showed susceptibility to isolates A9, A10, A11, A12, A13, A14, and A20, observed by VD levels of 2 to 3. Conversely, the remaining isolates did not generate VD for this genotype. Similarly, in G3, the compatible isolates were A1, A8, A9, A12, A13, A17, A19, and A20, which induced VD of 2 to 3. The other isolates showed incompatibility with this genotype. G4, corresponding to S. hirtum, only showed susceptibility to A18, indicated by level 2 VD. Accordingly, intermediate coloring and tissue colonization was observed for G4; however, the plants survived the experiment. This genotype shows resistance to the other isolates; therefore, it can be considered a source of resistance and be included in crossbreeding schemes to obtain resistant hybrids with agronomic potential.



	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
G7, corresponding to <i>S. stramonifolium</i> ,	did not show susceptibility to any of the
showed a compatibility reaction with A4,	isolates, demonstrating complete resistance
A8, A12, A13, A14, A15, and A20, displayed	to the pathogen. Therefore, this material

_	Isolate	G1	G2	G3	<b>G4</b>	G5	G6	<b>G7</b>	<b>G8</b>	G9	G10
	A1	3	0	3	0	3	3	1	1	0	1
	A2	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	1	0
	A3	3	0	1	1	3	0	1	1	3	0
	A4	3	0	1	0	3	3	1	3	1	0
	A5	3	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0
	A6	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
	A7	3	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0
	A8	3	0	3	0	0	2	1	2	0	0
	A9	3	3	2	0	3	0	1	1	0	0
	A10	3	3	0	0	1	0	2	1	1	0
	A11	1	2	0	0	3	3	0	0	1	0
	A12	2	3	2	1	1	3	1	3	0	0
	A13	3	3	3	0	3	3	3	3	0	0
	A14	3	3	0	1	3	0	2	3	0	0
	A15	3	0	1	0	3	1	0	2	0	0
	A16	1	0	0	1	0	3	2	0	0	0
	A17	1	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	3	0
	A18	3	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
	A19	0	0	3	0	1	1	1	0	0	0
	A20	3	2	2	0	1	3	2	3	1	0
	TEST	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

and A15 (level 3 VD), while the other isolates experiment and presented an incompatibility showed incompatibility. Moreover, G6 showed reaction with the other isolates. Additionally, susceptibility to A1, A2, A4, A5, A8, A11, A12, G8 showed compatibility with A4, A8, A12, A13, A16, A17, A18, and A20 (levels 2 and A13, A14, A15, and A20, indicated by levels 3 of VD), and the remaining isolates were 2 and 3 of VD, while G9 only demonstrated susceptibility to A3 and A17 (level 3 VD). Finally, G10, corresponding to *S. sessiliflorum*,

Genotype G5 showed compatibility with

isolates A1, A3, A4, A9, A9, A11, A13, A14,

incompatible.



by levels 2 and 3 of VD. However, the

plants showed mild symptoms during the

can be considered a wild species with genes of interest in breeding programs to obtain resistant genotypes with desirable agronomic potential.

Overall, these results allowed establishing that G1 was the most susceptible with 17 compatibility reactions with the isolates, followed by G5 with 12, G6 with nine, G2 with seven, G3 with six, G8 with five, and G9 with four reactions. These differences in the compatibility of the genotypes with certain isolates are due to genetic factors that activate a series of defense mechanisms to inhibit, slow, or counteract the infection, which, in some cases, are insufficient to avoid the infectious process. Furthermore, the fungus is highly variable and can present differences in its vascular colonization capacity in different lulo materials (Forero *et al.*, 2015).

Gabriel *et al.* (2020) mention that resistance mechanisms can be structural, such as the production of waxes, cuticles, hairy structures, among others. Additionally, they can be biochemical, constituted by enzymatic changes or the production of phenolic compounds or tannins. Lastly, these mechanisms can be induced, for instance, by the synthesis of phytoalexins, in response to different types of aggression. These modes of resistance may be effective in the wild genotypes of *S. hirtum, S. sessiliflorum*, and *S. stramonifolium* evaluated in this study, which are resistant to *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *quitoense*.

The results of this study showed that all isolates of *E oxysporum* f. sp. *quitoense* were pathogenic to *Solanum quitoense*. This agrees with reports by Manangon *et al.* (2015), who found vascular colonization level 3 in several accessions of Castilla lulo, highlighting that fungal isolate 12 from the hybrid Puyo did not affect three accessions. However, the authors

mention that all *S. quitoense* accessions were susceptible and one accession of *S. sessiliflorum* showed susceptibility to one of the isolates.

On the other hand, Gallardo (2005) observed that *S. sessiliflorum* and *S. hirtum* expressed VD in response to *F. oxysporum*, contrasting with the results found in this study; however, these differences may be due to a new special form of fungus that affected these two wild species.

## CONCLUSIONS

The isolates obtained from different lulo cultivars showed differences in colony color, mycelium type, and mycelial growth. However, they did not show structural differences observed under light microscopy and corresponded to *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *quitoense*.

The isolates behaved differentially across the lulo genotypes studied and displayed pathogenic differences. Disease severities of 100% were determined for isolates A6 and A10 in G1, A4 in G6, and A12 in G8.

Wild plant materials of *S. hirtum, S. sessiliflorum,* and *S. stramonifolium* were resistant to *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *quitoense,* while *S. quitoense* materials were susceptible to attack by this special fungal form.

**Conflict of interests**: The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

## **REFERENCIAS BIBLIOGRÁFICAS**

Ángel-García, C.; Robledo-Buritica, J.; Castaño-Zapata, J. (2018). Comparación de métodos de inoculación de *Fusarium solani* f. sp. *passiflorae*  en plántulas de maracuyá (*Passiflora edulis* f. *flavicarpa*). *Revista U.D.C.A Actualidad* & *Divulgación Científica*. 21 (1): 23–31. doi:10.31910/rudca.v21.n1.2018.659

- Arellano, M. (2018). Detección de Fusarium oxysporum en cultivos de uvilla (Physalis peruviana L.) en la Sierra norte y centro del Ecuador. Retrieved from http://repositorio.puce. edu.ec/handle/22000/14683.
- Arizala, M.; Monsalvo, Á.; Betancourth, C.; Salazar, C.; Lagos, T. (2011). Evaluación de Solanaceas silvestres como patrones de lulo (Solanum quitoense Lam) y su reacción a Fusarium sp. Revista de Ciencias Agrícolas. 28(1): 147-160.
- Avila, A.; Ochoa, J.; Proaño, K.; Martínez, M. (2019). Jasmonic acid and nitric oxide protects naranjilla (Solanum quitoense) against infection by Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. quitoense by eliciting plant defense responses. Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology. 106: 129-136. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmpp.2019.01.002
- Buitrago, M. (2018). Identificación morfológica y molecular de Fusarium aislados del cultivo de la piña de la provincia de panamá oeste. Retrieved from http://up-rid.up.ac.pa/1442/
- Betancourth, C.; Zambrano, M.; Narváez, C. (2005). Reacción de diferentes genotipos de lulo (*Solanum quitoense*) al ataque de *Fusarium oxysporum. Revista de Ciencias Agrícolas.* 22(1-2).
- Cardona, L.; Castaño, J. (2019). Comparación de métodos de inoculación de *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* (Sacc.), causante del marchitamiento vascular del tomate. *Revista de la Academia Colombiana de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales*. 43(167): 1-227. doi: https:// doi.org/10.18257/raccefyn.854
- Carvalho, J.; Gonçalves, J.; Araujo, K.; Serafim, M.; Santana, T.; Grillo, L. (2021). Passion Fruit (*Passiflora* spp.) species as sources of resistance to soil phytopathogens *Fusarium solani* and *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *passiflorae* complex. *Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura*. 43(1): e-427. doi: https://doi.org/10.1590/0100-29452021427

- Castro, L. W.; Carrera, S. K.; Herrera, I. L; Cupull, S. R. (2018). Identificación de aislados de *Fusarium* spp. Asociados a *Solanum quitoense* Lam. En Pastaza, Ecuador. *Revista Centro Agrícola*. 45(4):1-11.
- CIAT Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical. (2010). Informe final técnico proyecto "Productores de lulo y mora competitivos mediante selección participativa de clones élite, manejo integrado del cultivo y fortalecimiento de cadenas de valor Fontagro Mora Lulo. Retrieved from https://www.fontagro.org/new/uploads/ adjuntos/616-06-InformeTecnicoFinal.pdf
- Duarte-Alvarado, D.; Lagos-Santander, L.; Lagos-Burbano, T. (2020). Patogenicidad de aislamientos de *Fusarium oxysporum* en Lulo (*Solanum quitoense* Lam.). In: Lagos-Burbano, T. *Mejoramiento Genético de Lulo (Solanum quitoense Lam.).* pp. 203 – 218. Primera edición. Pasto, Nariño: Editorial Universitaria, Universidad de Nariño. 253p.
- Estupiñan, H.; Ossa, J. (2007). Efecto del Agente Causal de la marchitez vascular de la uchuva (*Physalis peruviana*) el hongo *Fusarium oxysporum* Schlecht, sobre algunas Solanaceas y otras especies cultivadas afectadas por formas especiales del microorganismo. Colombia: Pontificia Universidad Javeriana. 89p.
- Espinoza-Ahumada, C.; Gallegos-Morales, G.; Hernández-Castillo, F.; Ochoa-Fuentes, Y.; Cepeda-Siller, M.; Castillo-Reyes, F. (2019). Antagonistas microbianos a *Fusarium* spp., como agente causal de pudrición de raíces y tallo en melón. *Ecosistemas y Recursos Agropecuarios*. 6(16):45-55. doi: https://doi.org/10.19136/era. a6n16.1843
- Forero, R.; Ortíz, E.; León, E.; Gómez, J.C.; Carvajal, L. (2015). Análisis de la resistencia a *Fusarium* oxysporum en plantas de *Passiflora maliformis* L. *Rev. Col. Ciencias Hortícolas*. 9(2):197-208.
- Forero-Reyes, C.; Alvarado-Fernández, A.; Ceballos-Rojas, A.; González-Carmona, L.; Linares-Linares, M.; Castañeda-Salazar, R.; Pulido-Villamarín, A.; Góngora-Medina, M.; Cortes-Vecino, J.; Rodríguez-

(cc) BY-NC

Bocanegra, M. (2018). Evaluación de la capacidad patogénica de *Fusarium* spp. en modelos vegetal y murino. *Revista Argentina de Microbiología*. 50(1): 90-96. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ram.2016.11.009

- Gabriel, J.; Indacochea, B.; Ayon, F.; Valverde, A.; Vera, M.; Castro, C.; Manibanda, M. (2020).
  Principios Básicos de la Resistencia genética a patógenos, plagas y factores abióticos. Jipijapa, Ecuador: Universidad Estatal del Sur de Manabí (UNESUM), Grupo COMPAS. 116p.
- Gallardo, A. S. (2005). Métodos de manejo del cultivo de naranjilla (*Solanum quitense* Lam.) para el control de *Fusarium oxysporum* en Ecuador. Retrieved from https://repositorio.iniap.gob.ec/ bitstream/41000/287/1/iniapsctG162m.pdf
- García, J. M.; Shagarodsky, T.; Fresneda, J.A.; Fundora, Y.H.; González, J. (2007). Caracterización de especies del género Fusarium en el cultivo del garbanzo (*Cicer arietinum*) en las provincias ciudad Habana y La Habana. *Temas de Ciencia y Tecnología*. 32(11):63-66.
- Gonzales, I.; Arias, Y.; Peteira, B. (2012). Aspectos generales de la interacción *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *Lycopersici* - Tomate. *Rev. Protección Veg.* 27(1): 1-7.
- Guerra-Fuentes, N.; Chávez-Bautista, M.; Treviño-Espinosa, R.; García-Mazcorro, J.; Torres-Castillo, J.; Méndez-Albores, A.; Marroquín-Cardona, A. (2019). Effects of Neutral Electrolysed Water on tomato seeds artificially contaminated with *Fusarium* and *Aspergillus. Seed Science and Technology.* 47(2):211-227. doi: https://doi. org/10.15258/sst.2019.47.2.08
- Hernández, C.; Vásquez, J.; Vásquez, F.; Berdeja, R.; Morales, S.; Reyes, D. (2020). Abundancia y diversidad genética de *Fusarium oxysporum* y *Trichoderma* sp. en musa AAB. *Revista Mexicana de Ciencias Agrícolas*. 10(8):14.
- Huarhua, M. (2018). Razas de *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* aisladas de tomate (*Solanum lycopersicum*) proveniente de costa central

del Perú. Retrieved from http://repositorio. lamolina.edu.pe/handle/UNALM/3513

- IDEAM Instituto de Hidrología, Meteorología y Estudios Ambientales. (2020). Informe de precipitaciones y temperatura del 2019. Retrieved from https://n9.cl/rktiu
- Iturbide-Zuñiga, A.; Colinas-León, M.; Lozoya-Saldaña, H.; Medina-Moreno, S.; Ayala-Arreola, J. (2017). Evaluación *in vitro* de extractos del genero Lilium para el control de *Fusarium oxysporum. Revista mexicana de fitopatología.* 35(3): 611-622. doi: https://doi.org/10.18781/r. mex.fit.1609-6
- Lagos, T. C.; Apráez, J.; Lagos, L.; Duarte, D. (2015). Comportamiento de 50 familias de medios hermanos de *Solanum quitoense* Lam, bajo selección recurrente. *Temas Agrarios*. 20(2):19-29. doi: https://doi.org/10.21897/rta.v20i2.755
- Leslie, F.; Summerell, B. (2006). *The Fusarium Laboratory Manual*. Manhattan, United States of America: Published, Blackwell. 387p.
- Leyva-Mir, S.; Gutiérrez-Salazar, J.; Camacho-Tapia, M.; Aguilar-Pérez, L.; García-López, E.; Tovar-Pedraza, J. (2018). Fusarium oxysporum, agente causal de la marchitez de estevia en Veracruz, México. Revista mexicana de ciencias agrícolas. 9(1): 245-250. doi: https://doi.org/10.29312/ remexca.v9i1.863
- López-Zapata, S.; Castaño-Zapata, J. (2019). Manejo integrado del mal de Panamá Fusarium oxysporum Schlechtend.: Fr. sp. cubense (E.F. SM.) W.C. Snyder & H.N. Hansen: una revisión. Rev. UDCA actual. Divulg. Cient. 22(2):e1240. doi: http://dx.doi. org/10.31910/rudca.v22.n2.2019.1240
- Manangon, M. L.; Ochoa, L.; Clavijo, F. (2015). Patogenicidad de *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp *quitoense* en la Sección Lasiocarpa. Retrieved from https://repositorio.iniap. gob.ec/bitstream/41000/2445/1/iniapscP. M266p2008.pdf
- Mariscal-Amaro, l.; Rivera-Yerena, A.; Dávalos-González, P.; Avila-Martínez, D. (2017). Situación actual de hongos asociados a la secadera de la

fresa (*Fragaria × ananassa* Duch.) en Guanajuato, México. *Agrociencia*. 51(6):673-681.

- Maya, C.; Lagos, T. (2011). Comportamiento de 70 familias de medios hermanos (mh) de lulo castilla (*Solanum quitoense* Lam) ante la inoculación artificial de *Fusarium* spp. Retrieved from http:// sired.udenar.edu.co/5229/
- MADR Ministerio de Agricultura y Desarrollo Rural. (2019). Red de Información y Comunicación del Sector Agropecuario de Colombia, Reporte: Área, producción y rendimiento nacional por cultivo. Retrieved from https://www.agronet.gov.co/ estadistica/Paginas/home.aspx?cod=1
- Nelson, P. E.; Dignani, M. C.; Anaissie, E.J. (1994). Taxonomy, Biology, and Clinical Aspects of Fusarium species. *Clin. Microbial. Rev.* 7(4):479-504. doi: 10.1128/cmr.7.4.479
- Ochoa, J. (2002). Seed Transmission of *Fusarium* oxysporum in Common Naranjilla (Solanum quitoense) in Ecuador. Online. *Plant health* progress. doi: 10.1094/PHP-2002-0719-01-HN
- Ochoa, j.; Yangari, B.; Galarza, V.; Fiallos, J. (2001). Vascular wilt of common Naranjilla (*Solanum quitoense*) caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* in Ecuador. *Plant Health Progress*. doi: 10.1094/ PHP-2001-0918-01-HN
- Piñeros-Guerrero, N.; Maldonado-Archila, G., Gómez-Caro, S. (2019). Effect of thermal and *in vitro* fungicide treatments on pathogens of the genus Fusarium associated with maize seeds. *Agronomía Colombiana*. 37(3):228-238. doi: https://dx.doi. org/10.15446/agron.colomb.v37n3.80302
- Rentería-Martínez, M.; Guerra-Camacho, M.; Ochoa-Meza, A.; Moreno-Salazar, S.; Varela-Romero, A.; Gutiérrez-Millán, l.; Meza-Moller, A. (2018).
  Análisis filogenético multilocus del complejo fúngico asociado a pudrición radicular de sandía en Sonora, México. *Revista mexicana de fitopatología*. 36(2): 233-255. doi: https://doi.org/10.18781/r.mex.fit.1710-1
- Retana, K.; Ramírez-Coché, J.; Castro, O.; Blanco-Meneses, M. (2018). Caracterización morfológica y molecular de *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *apii*

asociado a la marchitez del apio en Costa Rica. *Agronomía Costarricense*. 42(1):115-126. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.15517/rac.v42i1.32199

- Ribeiro, I.; Silva, S.; Santos de Oliveira, S.; Amorim, E.; Perito, J.; Haddad, F. (2018). Sources of resistance to *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense* in banana germplasm. *Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura.* 40(1): e-202. doi: https://doi. org/10.1590/0100-29452018202
- Robles-Carrión, A.; Leiva-Mora, M.; Herrera-Isla, I.; Sánchez-Rodríguez, A.; Torres-Gutiérrez, R. (2016). Morphological and molecular identification of Fusarium species associated with vascular wilt of babaco (Vasconcellea heilbornii var. pentagona Badillo). Revista de Protección Vegetal. 31(3): 184-193.
- Segura, B.; Torres, G. (2020). Evaluación de planes de manejo de *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp en plantas de tomate, bajo condiciones controladas. Retrieved from https://repository.unad.edu. co/bitstream/handle/10596/36796/bsegurao. pdf?sequence=3&isAllowed=y

