Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijppaw

Evaluation of haemoparasite and *Sarcocystis* infections in Australian wild deer

Jose L. Huama^a, Carlo Pacioni^{b,c}, David M. Forsyth^d, Anthony Pople^e, Jordan O. Hampton^{c,f}, Karla J. Helbig^a, Teresa G. Carvalho^{a,*}

^a Department of Physiology, Anatomy and Microbiology, School of Life Sciences, La Trobe University, Melbourne, Victoria, 3086, Australia

^b Arthur Rylah Institute for Environmental Research, Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning, Heidelberg, Victoria, 3084, Australia

^c Environmental and Conservation Sciences, Murdoch University, 90 South Street, Murdoch, Western Australia, 6150, Australia

^d Vertebrate Pest Research Unit, NSW Department of Primary Industries, Orange, New South Wales, 2800, Australia

e Invasive Plants & Animals Research, Biosecurity Queensland, Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, Ecosciences Precinct, Brisbane, Queensland, 4102, Australia

^f Ecotone Wildlife, PO Box 76, Inverloch, Victoria, 3996, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Wildlife diseases Parasites Deer PCR Immunofluorescence Australia

ABSTRACT

Wild animals are natural reservoir hosts for a variety of pathogens that can be transmitted to other wildlife, livestock, other domestic animals, and humans. Wild deer (family Cervidae) in Europe, Asia, and North and South America have been reported to be infected with gastrointestinal and vector-borne parasites. In Australia, wild deer populations have expanded considerably in recent years, yet there is little information regarding which pathogens are present and whether these pathogens pose biosecurity threats to humans, wildlife, livestock, or other domestic animals. To address this knowledge gap, PCR-based screening for five parasitic genera was conducted in blood samples (n = 243) sourced from chital deer (Axis axis), fallow deer (Dama dama), rusa deer (Rusa timorensis) and sambar deer (Rusa unicolor) sampled in eastern Australia. These blood samples were tested for the presence of DNA from Plasmodium spp., Trypanosoma spp., Babesia spp., Theileria spp. and Sarcocystis spp. Further, the presence of antibodies against *Babesia bovis* was investigated in serum samples (n = 105) by immunofluorescence. In this study, neither parasite DNA nor antibodies were detected for any of the five genera investigated. These results indicate that wild deer are not currently host reservoirs for Plasmodium, Trypanosoma, Babesia, Theileria or Sarcocystis parasites in eastern Australia. We conclude that in eastern Australia, wild deer do not currently play a significant role in the transmission of these parasites. This survey represents the first largescale molecular study of its type in Australian wild deer and provides important baseline information about the parasitic infection status of these animals. The expanding populations of wild deer throughout Australia warrant similar surveys in other parts of the country and surveillance efforts to continually assess the level of threat wild deer could pose to humans, wildlife, livestock and other domestic animals.

1. Introduction

The frequency of emerging and re-emerging infectious disease outbreaks in wildlife has increased during recent decades (Woods et al., 2019), raising new questions about disease pathogenesis and epidemiology. The increasing role of wildlife in the emergence of livestock diseases (Siembieda et al., 2011) is due to multiple changes occurring within wildlife and livestock populations, including encroachment on natural habitats, climate change and alteration of population demographics (Miller et al., 2013). Most notably, alteration of wildlife population demographics caused by anthropogenic landscape

modification and introduction of non-native species has created new interfaces between livestock and wildlife, exacerbating processes that favour pathogen transmission (Gortazar et al., 2015). Importantly, transmission of an infectious agent at the wildlife-livestock interface may occur directly through interspecies contact, or indirectly through shared space or vectors (Gortázar et al., 2006; Miller et al., 2013).

Although Australia is currently free from some of the world's most important livestock diseases such as foot-and-mouth disease and avian influenza H5N1 (Australian Government Department of Agriculture, 2020a), other endemic pathogens impact on local livestock industry. For instance, the economic losses produced by *Neospora caninum* in

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: t.carvalho@latrobe.edu.au (T.G. Carvalho).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2021.06.006

Received 12 May 2021; Received in revised form 22 June 2021; Accepted 25 June 2021 Available online 29 June 2021

2213-2244/© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Australian Society for Parasitology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/hy-nc-nd/4.0/).



Plasmodium and Trypanosoma parasites.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Geographical location of sample collection

Government Department of Agriculture, 2020b). Among Australian wildlife capable of carrying pathogens trans-Between March 2018 and November 2019, blood samples from wild missible to livestock, deer are of substantial concern. Indeed, wild deer commonly feed on pasture and crops in agricultural landscapes, they exhibit a widespread distribution and high local population densities and are susceptible to many livestock diseases (Cripps et al., 2019). Since their introduction into Australia as game animals in the 19th century, deer have successfully adapted to the climate and environmental conditions. In addition to the initial intentional releases, there are records of numerous animals establishing wild populations after escaping from deer farms (Davis et al., 2016). Originally, eighteen deer species were released in Australia, and six have established viable wild populations: chital deer (Axis axis), fallow deer (Dama dama), rusa deer (Rusa timorensis), red deer (Cervus elaphus), hog deer (Axis porcinus) and sambar deer (Rusa unicolor). Most of these species continue to expand

and montane forest (Davis et al., 2016; Forsyth et al., 2016). Numerous pathogens have been detected in several deer species worldwide, including protozoan parasites with epidemiological relevance to domestic animals and livestock (Asada et al., 2018; Cripps et al., 2019; de Las Cuevas et al., 2019; Desquesnes et al., 2013; Duncan et al., 2000; Fisher et al., 2013; Gunter et al., 2018; Holman et al., 2011; Hornok et al., 2017; Martinsen et al., 2016; Remesar et al., 2019; Yabsley et al., 2005; Zanet et al., 2014). However, there is little information about the overall infection status of Australian wild deer populations. Reports are restricted to Fasciola hepatica in fallow deer from New South Wales (Jenkins et al., 2020), parasitic helminths, Leptospira and some endemic livestock viruses in red deer from Queensland (McKenzie et al., 1985) and rusa deer from New South Wales (Moriarty, 2004). To date, the prevalence of vector-borne parasitic genera commonly detected in deer overseas such as Trypanosoma, Babesia or Theileria has not been investigated in Australian wild deer populations. Further, the role of wild deer in the spread of pathogens to livestock in Australia remains to be explored (Cripps et al., 2019). Addressing this knowledge gap is critical to establish appropriate management strategies for wild deer in Australia and to minimise potential impacts on livestock health.

their distribution and increase their abundance occupying a wide variety of Australian habitats including rangeland, farmland, plantation forests,

Australian cattle were estimated at AU\$85 million and AU\$25 million

per annum for the dairy and the beef cattle industries, respectively (Reichel, 2000). Moreover, exotic diseases constitute a major threat to

Australia's livestock industry and a severe outbreak would considerably impact Australia's production and access to export markets (Australian

To this end, we aimed to investigate the pathogen diversity carried by wild deer in Australia, including the detection of viral (Huaman et al., 2020) and parasitic organisms (this study). Babesia (Bock et al., 2006), Theileria (Jenkins, 2018) and Sarcocystis (Savini et al., 1992, 1993) parasites are endemic in Australia and cause infections in livestock. Therefore, we hypothesised that deer may be carriers of these parasites. Further, vector-borne parasites of the genera Plasmodium and Trypanosoma have been identified in wild deer populations in Europe (Desquesnes et al., 2013), North America (Guggisberg et al., 2018), and South America (Asada et al., 2018), although their presence in Australian deer populations has not been investigated. Given the number of Plasmodium and Trypanosoma spp. described in native Australian wildlife (Supplementary Table 1), it is likely that suitable vectors are widely present in Australia. Therefore, we hypothesised that wild deer might also be carriers of *Plasmodium* and *Trypanosoma* parasites in Australia. Although the species of Babesia, Theileria, and Sarcocystis organisms previously found in deer and livestock are the same, none of the Plasmodium or Trypanosoma species detected in Australian native wildlife (e. g., macropods (Botero et al., 2013)) have been described either in deer or livestock. Therefore, to investigate the parasitic diversity of wild deer in Australia, the present study combined molecular and serological methods to examine blood samples from wild deer inhabiting eastern Australia and detect the presence of Babesia, Theileria, Sarcocystis,

deer shot by professional shooters (i.e., culling operations), were collected from eight locations in eastern Australia, including New South Wales, Victoria, Queensland, and Australian Capital Territory (Fig. 1 and Table 1). Sample collection was possible through collaborations with state governments and local councils' deer management programs. For safety and logistic reasons, field operations were mostly conducted in the colder winter months (Fig. 2). Indeed, sampling in summer is challenging due to the risk of bushfires, for welfare reasons (dependent young), reduced sampling efficiency (longer daylight hours reduces shooting time which occurs mostly at night), and problematic field samples storage due to elevated temperatures.

2.2. Sample collection methods

Blood was drawn from the jugular vein, heart or thoracic cavity and collected in plain and EDTA tubes (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). EDTA tubes were inverted to mix and prevent clotting, forthwith kept under refrigerated conditions, and transported to the laboratory. Immediately upon reception, samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 2,000 g. Aliquots of blood pellet samples were stored at −20 °C.

2.3. Genomic DNA extraction from blood

Considering the relatively large number of whole blood samples processed in this study, a time- and cost-efficient DNA extraction method was required. We considered that a classical phenol-chloroform DNA extraction method (Chacon-Cortes and Griffiths, 2014) would provide an attractive low-cost advantage for a large number of samples, while a commercial bead-based approach (MagMAXTMCORE Nucleic Acid Purification Kit) might provide a faster and more reproducible outcome. To undertake a method comparison, ten blood samples were randomly selected, and genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted from an equal sample volume using both methods. The concentration and purity of the extracted gDNA were measured with an IMPLEN Nanophotometer (IMPLEN, Munich, Germany).

2.3.1. Classical phenol-chloroform method

200 $\,\mu L$ of blood were lysed with 0.15% saponin at 4 $^\circ C$ for 10 $\,$ min $\,$ and centrifuged at 2,800 g for 10 min. The pellet was washed with PBS, resuspended in 700 µL of Lysis buffer (10 mM Tris pH 8, 1 mM EDTA pH 8, 0.4 M NaCl and 1% SDS) and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. A minimum of two phenol-chloroform extractions were performed by adding 700 µL of phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol mixture (cat#: 77617, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) until the aqueous phase was clear. The DNA present in the aqueous phase was ethanol-precipitated and centrifuged at 9,000 g for 3 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet washed with 70% ethanol. The DNA pellet was resuspended in TE buffer pH 8 (1 M Tris pH 8 and 0.5 M EDTA pH 8).

2.3.2. Bead-based automated kit

Genomic DNA was extracted from 200 µL of blood pellet samples with the bead-based automated kit MagMAXTMCORE Nucleic Acid Purification Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. The extraction was processed using the KingFisherTM Duo Prime Purification System (ThermoFisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA). Genomic material was eluted in 90 µL of elution buffer and stored at -20 °C until required.



Fig. 1. Location of eight deer sampling sites in eastern Australia. Queensland: north-east Queensland (1). New South Wales: Liverpool Plains (2), Wollongong (3), and Kiah (4). Victoria: Alpine National Park (5), Upper Yarra Flats and Yellingbo (6). ACT: Canberra (7).

Table 1

Total number of deer blood samples analysed in this study. Geographical distribution, deer species and sample size are reported. * 105 additional serum samples were obtained from north-east Queensland.

Australian state or territory	Sampling location	Deer species	Number of sampled animals
New South Wales	Liverpool Plains	fallow (Dama	87
(NSW)	Kiah	dama)	18
	Wollongong	rusa (Rusa timorensis)	63
Australian Capital Territory (ACT)	Canberra	fallow	31
Victoria (VIC)	Alpine National Park	sambar (Rusa unicolor)	24
	Upper Yarra Flats		8
	Yellingbo		6
	-	fallow	2
Queensland (QLD)	north-east	chital (Axis	4 (105) *
	Queensland	axis)	
Total			243

2.4. Detection of parasite DNA by PCR

Parasite genus DNA was investigated by PCR amplification of the conserved region of the 18S subunit of the ribosomal RNA gene (18S rRNA) of *Trypanosoma, Sarcocystis, Babesia* and *Theileria* genomes, and the cytochrome *b* conserved gene of *Plasmodium*. The corresponding conserved primers were obtained from the literature (Martin et al., 2016; Schaer et al., 2013; Thompson et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2014) and PCR conditions were modified accordingly (Table 2). PCR positive controls were generated using genomic DNA extracted from parasite *in vitro* cultures of *Trypanosoma brucei, Plasmodium falciparum, Sarcocystis*

gigantea, Babesia bovis and Theileria orientalis. Non-template controls, which consisted of the PCR mix with nuclease-free water, were included in each assay as a negative control.

PCR amplification was performed in a 25 μ L reaction mixture containing 1 \times Green GoTaq Flexi buffer, 2 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM dNTPs, 0.2 μ M of both forward and reverse primers (Table 2), 0.625 units of GoTaq G2 DNA polymerase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), and 1 μ L of total genomic DNA template. The PCR program consisted of an initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 45 s, annealing at 55–60 °C for 45 s and extension at 72 °C for 45–90 s, with a final extension of 5 min at 72 °C (Table 2). Amplification occurred in a T100 thermal cycler (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA). Amplification products were visualised by gel electrophoresis, using a 2% agarose gel, RedSafeTM (iNtRON Biotechnology, Gyeonggido, Korea), and a high-resolution imaging system - ChemiDocTM MP Imaging System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

To evaluate the detection limit of the PCR assay, six samples of defibrinated horse blood spiked with various amounts of *Plasmodium falciparum*-infected erythrocytes $(10^1 - 10^6 \text{ parasites/mL})$ were prepared. Following the instructions of the MagMAXTMCORE Nucleic Acid Purification Kit, gDNA was extracted from each of these samples and amplification of the *P. falciparum* cytochrome *b* gene (3932 F/DW4 primers, 750 bp amplicon) was performed (see Supplementary Figure 1). Further, gDNA of the other positive controls (*Trypanosoma brucei, Sarcocystis gigantea, Babesia bovis* and *Theileria orientalis*) was diluted in nuclease free water to obtain a concentration range and determine the limit of detection for the corresponding PCR. The PCR detection limit for each positive control ranged between 0.5 and 1 ng/µL (data not shown).



Fig. 2. Total number of deer samples collected in eastern Australia between March 2018 and November 2019.

Table 2

Conserved primers and PCR amplification conditions used in this study to amplify the 18S rRNA gene of *Babesia*, *Theileria*, *Trypanosoma* and *Sarcocystis*, as well as the *Plasmodium* cytochrome *b* gene.

•	•						
Parasite genus	Gene	Primer name	Primer sequence $(5' - 3')$	Amplicon	PCR conditions	PCR conditions	
					annealing	extension	
Babesia	18 S rRNA	Piro1-S	CTTGACGGTAGGGTATTGGC	1400 bp	55 °C	90 s	
Theileria		Piro3-AS	CCTTCCTTTAAGTGATAAGGTTCAC				
Trypanosoma	18 S rRNA	S825F	ACCGTTTCGGCTTTTGTTGG	950 bp	60 °C	60 s	
		SLIR	ACATTGTAGTGCGCGTGTC				
Sarcocystis	18 S rRNA	cocc18SF	GAAAGTTAGGGGCTCGAAGA	400 bp	57 °C	45 s	
		cocc18SR	CCCTCTAAGAAGTGATACA				
Plasmodium	cytochrome b	3932 F	GGGTTATGTATTACCTTGGGGTC	750 bp	57 °C	60 s	
		DW4	TGTTTGCTTGGGAGCTGTAATCATAATGTG				

2.5. Testing for anti-Babesia bovis antibodies by immunofluorescence assay

samples (Wilcoxon test) with $\alpha = 0.05$. The analysis was performed using R version 4.0.0 (R Development Core Team, Vienna, Austria).

Serum samples were screened for antibodies against Babesia bovis using the commercially available immunofluorescence kit MegaFLUO® B. bovis (MegaCor Diagnostik GmbH, Hörbranz, Austria) according to the manufacturer instructions. The immunofluorescence assay (IFA) kit is validated for the detection of B. bovis antibodies in cattle serum and is provided with protocol modifications for the detection of B. bovis antibodies in deer samples. The main modification consisted of the substitution of the conjugate antibody by anti-deer IgG (H + L) antibody FITC-labelled (Seracare, Milford, MA, USA) diluted at 1:500. Briefly, IFA slides, test sera and reagents were brought to room temperature. Test sera were diluted at 1:20 in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Diluted test sera were individually placed on the slides and incubated in a humidity chamber for 30 min at 37 °C. The slides were washed in PBS for 5 min, three times. Diluted anti-deer IgG-FITC conjugate was added and again incubated in a humidity chamber for 30 min at 37 °C. The slides were washed three times in PBS for 5 min removing any excess of unbound reagents. The slides were air dried, and coverslips mounted. Examination of the slides was performed with an Olympus BX53 microscope under a 40 \times lens (total 400 \times magnification), and each well was compared to the fluorescence pattern observed in the positive and negative controls.

2.6. Parasite prevalence and statistical analysis

Comparisons were performed using a non-parametric test for paired

3. Results

3.1. Deer species and geographical distribution

During the sampling period, 243 blood samples were collected from four wild deer species (fallow, rusa, sambar and chital deer) across eastern states and territories of mainland Australia (Fig. 1 and Table 1). Most samples (69%) were collected between June and October (i.e., winter and spring) (Fig. 2). Among the four deer species included in this study, two accounted for more than 83% of the samples: fallow deer (57%) and rusa deer (26%). Of note, 69% of the specimens were collected in the state of New South Wales (Table 1). Similar numbers of females (n = 120) and males (n = 116) were sampled, with no sex information available for seven animals. Individuals were classified in three age categories based on morphological characteristics including body size, tooth wear, and antler growth: fawn (<1 year), yearling (1–2 years) and adult (≥ 2 years). Most samples were from adults (n = 149), followed by yearlings (n = 74) and fawns (n = 13), with age information unavailable for seven animals.

3.2. Comparison of DNA extraction methods

To undertake a method comparison, ten blood samples were randomly selected, and genomic DNA was extracted from an equal sample volume using a phenol-chloroform and a bead-based method. The mean DNA concentration obtained with the MagMAXTMCORE extraction kit was lower (p = 0.002); however, these samples presented a similar A260/A230 ratio (p = 0.25) and a higher A260/A280 ratio (p < 0.0001) when compared to those obtained after a phenol-chloroform DNA extraction (Table 3). Thus, the DNA purity of the samples processed with the MagMAX extraction method was deemed superior and more consistent when compared to the phenol-chloroform method. Therefore, the bead-based extraction method was used henceforth to extract genomic DNA from all the remaining 233 whole blood samples.

3.3. Detection of parasite DNA by PCR

Primers previously published targeting an internal fragment (400–1400 bp) from the 18S rRNA gene of *Babesia* and *Theileria* (Piro1-S/Piro1-AS), *Trypanosoma* (S825F/SLIR), and *Sarcocystis* (cocc18SF/cocc18SR), and a 750 bp amplicon from the cytochrome *b* gene of *Plasmodium* (3932F/DW4) were used in this study (Table 2). The PCR detection limit for the amplification of *Plasmodium* parasites was determined to be at 10^3 parasites/mL, corresponding to a gDNA concentration of 0.55 ng/µL (see Supplementary Figure 1). For each PCR amplification experiment, an amplicon of the expected size was successfully amplified using 5–10 ng/µL of purified *Babesia bovis*, *Theileria orientalis*, *Trypanosoma brucei*, *Sarcocystis gigantea* and *Plasmodium falciparum* DNA templates as the positive controls. However, no amplicon was obtained for any of the 243 deer blood samples processed for either the *Babesia*, *Theileria*, *Trypanosoma*, and *Sarcocystis* 18S rRNA gene or the *Plasmodium* cytochrome *b* gene.

Despite our best efforts to obtain whole blood samples from Queensland, where the warm climate may favour the (potential) vectors of these parasites, only four specimens were accessed and all returned negative results for Babesia, Theileria, Trypanosoma, Sarcocystis and Plasmodium DNA (described in section 3.3). However, wild chital deer serum samples (n = 105) were obtained from the tropical region of Queensland (Fig. 1). As detection of haemoparasites in serum samples has previously been demonstrated, including for detection of Plasmodium (Bharti et al., 2007; Pomari et al., 2020) and Trypanosoma (Melo et al., 2015) parasites, we decided to investigate the presence of five parasitic genera on a larger number of chital deer serum samples. Genomic DNA was extracted from serum samples (n = 50) and PCR amplification of the Babesia, Theileria, Trypanosoma, and Sarcocystis 18S rRNA gene and of the *Plasmodium* cytochrome *b* gene was performed (Table 2). Amplicons of the expected size were successfully obtained for the positive control with Babesia bovis, Theileria orientalis, Trypanosoma brucei, Sarcocystis gigantea and Plasmodium falciparum DNA template. However, no amplicons of the five parasitic genera screened were obtained in the chital deer serum samples.

Table 3

Comparison of DNA	extraction	methods	for	yield	and	purity	parameters.	SD:
Standard deviation.								

		Phenol-chloroform method	MagMAX TM CORE extraction kit
	Mean	215.3	80.4
DNA concentration	SD	35.2	81.2
(ng/µL)	range	156-286	28–283
	Mean	1.70	1.70
A260/A230 ratio	SD	0.10	0.04
	range	1.49-1.80	1.64–1.76
	Mean	1.45	1.90
A260/A280 ratio	SD	0.02	0.08
	range	1.42-1.48	1.77-2.00

3.4. Testing for anti-Babesia bovis antibodies by immunofluorescence assay

Babesia DNA was not detected in the four whole blood or 50 of the serum samples obtained from Queensland, excluding the possibility of current infections. Therefore, we investigated the presence of anti-*Babesia* antibodies as an indication of past infections. Exposure to *Babesia bovis* infections in the Queensland chital deer serum samples (n = 105) was investigated by IFA using the commercial kit Mega-FLUO® *B. bovis*. While the kit positive control provided a bright fluorescent signal, no fluorescence was observed for any of the 105 serum samples processed indicating the absence of anti-*Babesia* antibodies in these chital deer serum samples.

4. Discussion

4.1. Australian wild deer appear to be free of haemoparasite and Sarcocytis infections

The present study assessed the prevalence of four vector-borne protozoan blood parasites (*Trypanosoma*, *Plasmodium*, *Babesia* and *Theileria*) and the coccidian parasite *Sarcocystis* in four wild deer species (fallow, rusa, sambar and chital) across eastern Australia. Parasites of interest were selected based on evidence of infection of deer species overseas, or parasite detection in Australian livestock or wildlife (Supplementary Table 1).

The presence or absence of the five parasitic genera was inferred through PCR amplification of conserved genes (18S rRNA, cytochrome *b*). No evidence of infection with *Trypanosoma*, *Plasmodium*, *Babesia*, *Theileria* or *Sarcocystis* parasites was found in any of the tested blood samples. Further, no evidence of past *Babesia* infection was found via serology testing. This study represents the first large-scale investigation of haemoparasitic and *Sarcocystis* infection in Australian wild deer. The data presented here suggest that Australian wild deer are unlikely to be reservoir hosts for *Trypanosoma*, *Plasmodium*, *Babesia*, *Theileria*, *and Sarcocystis* parasites.

4.2. Theileria and Babesia infections

Theileria and Babesia parasites are endemic in livestock in Queensland and northern NSW, with B. bovis causing more than 80% of the reported outbreaks of tick fever in cattle in Oueensland and northern New South Wales (Bock et al., 2006; Jenkins, 2018). Moreover, the cattle tick, Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus, which is the vector of B. bovis, has widely spread in Queensland (Cutulle et al., 2009). These factors, together with the fact that Babesia spp. were detected in cervids from Europe (Remesar et al., 2019; Zanet et al., 2014), Asia (Zamoto--Niikura et al., 2018), and America (Cantu et al., 2009; da Silveira et al., 2011), make it possible that wild Australian deer might carry some of these parasites. While the small number of whole blood samples collected from chital deer in Queensland (n = 4), limited our opportunities for detecting infected animals, we explored the presence of antibodies against B. bovis in a group of serum samples from the same site and deer species. These analysis suggested that chital deer are not exposed to Babesia parasites. However, these serum samples were collected in a relatively small geographical area (75 km radius) and further investigations would be needed to confirm that Babesia spp. are absent from wild deer in Queensland.

4.3. Trypanosoma and Plasmodium infections

Australian livestock are currently free of *Trypanosoma* and *Plasmodium* infections, but the presence of suitable vectors, anopheline mosquitos for *Plasmodium* (Cooper et al., 1996) and day-feeding midges for *Trypanosoma* (Thompson et al., 2014) constitute a risk for livestock and other animals should a deer-infecting trypanosome species be introduced into Australia (Reid, 2002). Infections with Plasmodium parasites have been described in a variety of Australian wildlife, including mammal, such as Leadbeater's possum (Gymnobelideus leadbeateri) (Scheelings et al., 2016), birds and reptiles (Spratt and Beveridge, 2018). Trypanosoma infections are widely described in Australian marsupials, rodents and bats (Thompson et al., 2014). While Trypanosoma and Plasmodium species identified in reptiles, birds and marsupials in Australia are considered unlikely to infect eutherian species such as deer and livestock, particular interest is focussed on T. evansi, given its high risk of spreading to northern Australia from the islands of Indonesia or Papua New Guinea (Reid, 2002). It may therefore be a major threat to Australian wildlife and livestock (Aregawi et al., 2019; Desquesnes et al., 2013). Importantly, infection of deer with T. evansi has been reported in Asia (Malaysia and Thailand), offshore islands in the Indian Ocean (Mauritius), and South America (Brazil). T. evansi was detected in sambar and chital deer in Thailand (Desquesnes et al., 2013) and outbreaks associated with high morbidity and mortality have been also reported in this country in rusa and hog deer (Aregawi et al., 2019).

4.4. Sarcocystis infections

Sarcocystis parasites are generally located in striated muscle tissues and the central nervous system of the intermediate hosts. However, the opportunistic nature of the sampling and the specific field conditions of this study proved to be a challenge in obtaining and preserve tissue samples. Sarcocystis parasites penetrate endothelial cells of blood vessels and migrate to muscles tissues through the blood (Dubey et al., 2016). Therefore, Sarcocystis parasites can be found in the blood and a recent study has successfully detected Sarcocystis parasites in blood samples from domestic llamas (Lama glama) in Argentina (Martin et al., 2016). Thus, in the absence of muscle tissue, blood was considered a suitable sample for our study and used to investigate the presence of Sarcocystis parasites in wild deer. In Australia, the presence of Sarcocystis has been reported in cattle (Savini et al., 1992), sheep (Savini et al., 1993), alpacas (Gabor et al., 2010) and native terrestrial mammals including kangaroos (Macropus and Osphranter spp.) and wallabies (Petrogale and Macropus spp.) (Ladds, 2009). However, a previous report of histological examination of 72 muscle samples collected from rusa, sambar, fallow and hog deer in Australia resulted negative for the presence of Sarcocystis parasites (Munday et al., 1978). Deer are recognised as intermediate hosts for numerous species of Sarcocystis, but it is unknown whether Sarcocystis organisms are host-specific for deer species (Dubey et al., 2016). Therefore, the need for intensive surveillance of Sarcocystis infection including a variety of tissue samples and multiple detection methods remains.

4.5. The importance of reporting lack of evidence of parasitic infections

Although the limited knowledge of parasitic infections in Australian wild deer populations is restricted to helminths (Cripps et al., 2019; Jenkins et al., 2020; McKenzie et al., 1985; Moriarty, 2004), the literature shows that deer species currently present in Australia are susceptible to the pathogens screened in the present survey, except for Plasmodium (also see Supplementary Material). The report of research findings, including negative results, is important because they contribute to understanding where and when deer are potentially infected by these parasites. Moreover, null findings provide checks and balances against positive results and are important for robust meta-analyses (Fanelli et al., 2017). Numerous reviews have identified bias towards publication of positive results, and hence it is important to report negative finding (Fanelli et al., 2017; Mlinaric et al., 2017). This is of critical importance in wildlife research, where there are substantial logistical and financial constraints on extensive testing and hence any additional information is important.

It is also important to note that meta-analyses are often used in wildlife research due to the difficulties in field data collection (Aregawi

et al., 2019; Raboisson et al., 2020). Further to this, in the context of animal health, wildlife disease surveillance is an important tool to obtain information on morbidity and mortality, changes in patterns of disease occurrence over time, and early detection of disease outbreaks (Grogan et al., 2014). This is particularly true for species such as the four deer species that were screened in this study, because they could play an important role in biosecurity. To this end, we recommend the implementation of a passive pathogen surveillance program for wildlife (Duncan et al., 2008). For certain pathogens, this could be relatively easy to implement. For instance, hunters could be trained to identify, report, and collect samples of lesions compatible with *Sarcocystis* in fections (Diefenbach et al., 2004).

4.6. Limitations of detection of parasites in deer blood samples

Lack of evidence of a parasitic infection can be interpreted in various ways. The most obvious one is the absolute absence of the pathogen(s) investigated. Small sample size (e.g., in tropical environment such as Queensland) may also limit the detection of infected individuals. False negative results could have arisen due to the season of sampling, low parasitaemia at the time of sample collection or fluctuation of parasitaemia during the parasite's life cycle.

Sampling for this study occurred year-round except for summer months. It was not possible to sample deer during the summer months due to animal welfare, safety, and logistical reasons. As cold weather conditions negatively influence the transmission rates of most vectorborne diseases (Caminade et al., 2019), it is possible that sampling predominantly during autumn, winter and spring reduces the probability of detecting infected animals. Further, as our samples were provided by culling programs (i.e., lethal sampling), each sample was collected at a single time point (i.e., no serial sampling of animals), which can also minimise the probability of detecting parasites. An additional aspect to consider relates to the extremely low parasite load previously reported in wild deer. P. odocoilei, for example, is estimated to infect ~0.0015% red blood cells in white-tailed deer (Martinsen et al., 2016; Templeton et al., 2016a, 2016b), and Plasmodium parasitaemia levels (i.e., percentage of infected red blood cells) in cervids have been determined to be as low as 0.003% (Martinsen et al., 2016; Templeton et al., 2016a).

5. Conclusions

This study suggests that Australian wild deer are currently not significant host reservoirs of *Trypanosoma*, *Plasmodium*, *Babesia*, *Theileria*, and *Sarcocystis* infections. This survey represents the first large-scale molecular study of its type in Australian deer and provides important baseline information about the infection status of wild deer in eastern Australia.

Financial support

This work was supported by the Centre for Invasive Species Solutions under Grant PO1-L-002.

Authors' contributions

Funding acquisition was carried out by Carlo Pacioni, David M. Forsyth, Anthony Pople, Karla J. Helbig. and Teresa G. Carvalho. Study conception and design was carried out by Carlo Pacioni, Karla J. Helbig and Teresa G. Carvalho. Sample collection was performed by David M. Forsyth, Anthony Pople and Jordan O. Hampton. Laboratory testing was conducted by Jose L. Huaman. Data were analysed by Jose L. Huaman, Carlo Pacioni and Teresa G. Carvalho The manuscript was drafted by Jose L. Huaman, Carlo Pacioni and Teresa G. Carvalho. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

We thank Richard Francis (ABZECO), Jake Haddad (VPAC), Kirk Stone (Strathbogie Wildlife), Brendan Cowled and Edwina Leslie (Aus-Vet), Andrew Bengsen, Troy Crittle and Quentin Hart (New South Wales Department of Primary Industries), Bob McKinnon and Amy Sheridan (North West Local Land Services), Michael Brennan and Matt Amos (Biosecurity Queensland), and staff from Parks Victoria for assisting with sample collection. Kim O'Riley and Peter Mee provided technical support. Professor Peter Irwin (Murdoch University, WA), A/Prof Darren Creek (Monash Institute for Pharmaceutical Sciences, VIC), Professor Brian Cooke (Monash University, VIC) and Dr Abdul Jabbar (University of Melbourne, VIC) provided genomic DNA samples of the parasites used as PCR positive controls in this study.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjppaw.2021.06.006.

References

- Aregawi, W.G., Agga, G.E., Abdi, R.D., Buscher, P., 2019. Systematic review and metaanalysis on the global distribution, host range, and prevalence of *Trypanosoma evansi*. Parasites Vectors 12, 67.
- Asada, M., Takeda, M., Tomas, W.M., Pellegrin, A., de Oliveira, C.H.S., Barbosa, J.D., da Silveira, J.A.G., Braga, E.M., Kaneko, O., 2018. Close relationship of *Plasmodium* sequences detected from South American pampas deer (*Ozotoceros bezoarticus*) to *Plasmodium* spp. in North American white-tailed deer. Int. J. Parasitol. Parasites Wildl. 7, 44–47.
- Australian Government Department of Agriculture, 2020a. Water and the Environment. Animal pests and diseases. https://www.agriculture.gov.au/pests-diseases-weeds/a nimal. (Accessed 4 March 2021).
- Australian Government Department of Agriculture, 2020b. Water and the Environment. Livestock. https://www.agriculture.gov.au/export/controlled-goods/live-animals/ livestock. (Accessed 4 March 2021).
- Bharti, A.R., Patra, K.P., Chuquiyauri, R., Kosek, M., Gilman, R.H., Llanos-Cuentas, A., Vinetz, J.M., 2007. Polymerase chain reaction detection of *Plasmodium vivax* and *Plasmodium falciparum* DNA from stored serum samples: implications for retrospective diagnosis of malaria. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 77, 444–446.
- Bock, R.E., de Vos, A.J., Molloy, J.B., 2006. Tick-borne diseases of cattle. In: Australian and New Zealand Standard Diagnostic Procedures, pp. 1–29.
- Botero, A., Thompson, C.K., Peacock, C.S., Clode, P.L., Nicholls, P.K., Wayne, A.F., Lymbery, A.J., Thompson, R.C., 2013. Trypanosomes genetic diversity, polyparasitism and the population decline of the critically endangered Australian marsupial, the brush tailed bettong or woylie (*Bettongia penicillata*). Int. J. Parasitol. Parasites Wildl. 2, 77–89.
- Caminade, C., McIntyre, K.M., Jones, A.E., 2019. Impact of recent and future climate change on vector-borne diseases. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1436, 157–173.
- Cantu, C.A., Ortega, S.J., Garcia-Vazquez, Z., Mosqueda, J., Henke, S.E., George, J.E., 2009. Epizootiology of *Babesia bovis* and *Babesia bigemina* in free-ranging white-tailed deer in northeastern Mexico. J. Parasitol. 95, 536–542.
- Chacon-Cortes, D., Griffiths, L.R., 2014. Methods for extracting genomic DNA from whole blood samples: current perspectives. J. Biorepository Sci. Appl. Med. 2, 1–9.
- Cooper, R.D., Frances, S.P., Waterson, D.G., Piper, R.G., Sweeney, A.W., 1996. Distribution of anopheline mosquitoes in northern Australia. J. Am. Mosq. Contr. Assoc. 12, 656–663.

Cripps, J.K., Pacioni, C., Scroggie, M.P., Woolnough, A.P., Ramsey, D.S.L., 2019. Introduced deer and their potential role in disease transmission to livestock in Australia. Mamm Rev. 49, 60–77.

- Cutulle, C., Jonsson, N.N., Seddon, J., 2009. Population structure of Australian isolates of the cattle tick *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus*. Vet. Parasitol. 161, 283–291.
- da Silveira, J.A., Rabelo, E.M., Ribeiro, M.F., 2011. Detection of *Theileria* and *Babesia* in brown brocket deer (*Mazama gouazoubira*) and marsh deer (*Blastocerus dichotomus*) in the state of minas gerais, Brazil. Vet. Parasitol. 177, 61–66.
- Davis, N.E., Bennett, A., Forsyth, D.M., Bowman, D.M.J.S., Lefroy, E.C., Wood, S.W., Woolnough, A.P., West, P., Hampton, J.O., Johnson, C.N., 2016. A systematic review of the impacts and management of introduced deer (family *Cervidae*) in Australia. Wildl. Res. 43, 515–532.
- de Las Cuevas, G.E.D., Prakas, P., Strazdaite-Zieliene, Z., Martinez-Gonzalez, M., Rudaityte-Lukosiene, E., Butkauskas, D., Serviene, E., Habela, M.A., Calero-Bernal, R., 2019. Sarcocystis morae (apicomplexa) in fallow deer (Dama dama) from Spain: ultrastructure and new host record. J. Parasitol. 105, 813–815.

- Desquesnes, M., Holzmuller, P., Lai, D.H., Dargantes, A., Lun, Z.R., Jittaplapong, S., 2013. *Trypanosoma evansi* and surra: a review and perspectives on origin, history, distribution, taxonomy, morphology, hosts, and pathogenic effects. BioMed Res. Int. 2013, 194176.
- Diefenbach, D.R., Rosenberry, C.S., Boyd, R.C., 2004. From the field: efficacy of detecting Chronic Wasting Disease via sampling hunter-killed white-tailed deer. Wildl. Soc. Bull. 32, 267–272.
- Dubey, J.P., Calero-Bernal, R., Rosenthal, B.M., Speer, C.A., Fayer, R., 2016. Sarcocystosis of Animals and Humans, second ed. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 195–216.
- Duncan, C., Backus, L., Lynn, T., Powers, B., Salman, M., 2008. Passive, opportunistic wildlife disease surveillance in the Rocky Mountain Region, USA. Transbound Emerg. Dis. 55, 308–314.
- Duncan Jr., R.B., Fox, J.H., Lindsay, D.S., Dubey, J.P., Zuccaro, M.E., 2000. Acute
- sarcocystosis in a captive white-tailed deer in Virginia. J. Wildl. Dis. 36, 357–361.
 Fanelli, D., Costas, R., Ioannidis, J.P., 2017. Meta-assessment of bias in science. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 114, 3714–3719.
- Fisher, A.C., Schuster, G., Cobb, W.J., James, A.M., Cooper, S.M., Perez de Leon, A.A., Holman, P.J., 2013. Molecular characterization of *Trypanosoma (Megatrypanum)* spp. infecting cattle (*Bos taurus*), white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*), and elk (*Cervus elaphus canadensis*) in the United States. Vet. Parasitol. 197, 29–42.
- Forsyth, D.M., Stamation, K., Woodford, L., 2016. Distributions of fallow deer, red deer, hog deer and chital deer in Victoria, 11 March 2021. https://www.parliament.vic. gov.au/images/stories/committeees/enrc/Invasive_Animals_on_Crown_land/210Q. _2016.09.13_Attachment_17_-Fallow_Deer_Red_Deer_Hog_Deer_and_Chital_Deer_in_ Victoria.pdf.
- Gabor, M., Gabor, L.J., Srivastava, M., Booth, M., Reece, R., 2010. Chronic myositis in an Australian alpaca (*Llama pacos*) associated with *Sarcocystis* spp. J. Vet. Diagn. Invest. 22, 966–969.
- Gortázar, C., Acevedo, P., Ruiz-Fons, F., Vicente, J., 2006. Disease risks and overabundance of game species. Eur. J. Wildl. Res. 52, 81–87.
- Gortazar, C., Diez-Delgado, I., Barasona, J.A., Vicente, J., De La Fuente, J., Boadella, M., 2015. The wild side of disease control at the wildlife-livestock-human interface: a review. Front. Vet Sci. 1, 1–12.
- Grogan, L.F., Berger, L., Rose, K., Grillo, V., Cashins, S.D., Skerratt, L.F., 2014. Surveillance for emerging biodiversity diseases of wildlife. PLoS Pathog. 10, 5. Guggisberg, A.M., Sayler, K.A., Wisely, S.M., John, A.R.O., 2018. Natural history of
- Plasmodium odocoilei malaria infection in farmed white-tailed deer. mSphere 3, 2. Gunter, S.M., Cordray, C., Gorchakov, R., Du, I., Dittmar, B., Brown, E.L., Murray, K.O.,
- Nolan, M.S., 2018. Identification of white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) as a novel reservoir species for *Trypanosoma cruzi* in Texas, USA. J. Wildl. Dis. 54, 814–818.
- Holman, P.J., Carroll, J.E., Pugh, R., Davis, D.S., 2011. Molecular detection of *Babesia* bovis and *Babesia bigemina* in white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) from Tom Green County in central Texas. Vet. Parasitol. 177, 298–304.
- Hornok, S., Sugar, L., Horvath, G., Kovacs, T., Micsutka, A., Gonczi, E., Flaisz, B., Takacs, N., Farkas, R., Meli, M.L., Hofmann-Lehmann, R., 2017. Evidence for host specificity of *Theileria capreoli* genotypes in cervids. Parasites Vectors 10, 473.
- Huaman, J.L., Pacioni, C., Forsyth, D.M., Pople, A., Hampton, J.O., Carvalho, T.G., Helbig, K.J., 2020. Serosurveillance and molecular investigation of wild deer in Australia reveals seroprevalence of Pestivirus infection. Viruses 12, 116.
- Jenkins, C., 2018. Bovine theileriosis in Australia: a decade of disease. Microbiol. Aust. 39, 215–219.
- Jenkins, D., Baker, A., Porter, M., Shamsi, S., Barton, D., 2020. Wild fallow deer (*Dama dama*) as definitive hosts of *Fasciola hepatica* (liver fluke) in alpine New South Wales. Aust. Vet. J. 98, 546–549.
- Ladds, P., 2009. Pathology of Australian Native Wildlife. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Australia, pp. 197–230.
- Martin, M., Decker Franco, C., Romero, S., Carletti, T., Schnittger, L., Florin-Christensen, M., 2016. Molecular detection of *Sarcocystis aucheniae* in the blood of llamas from Argentina. Rev. Argent. Microbiol. 48, 200–205.
- Martinsen, E.S., McInerney, N., Brightman, H., Ferebee, K., Walsh, T., McShea, W.J., Forrester, T.D., Ware, L., Joyner, P.H., Perkins, S.L., Latch, E.K., Yabsley, M.J., Schall, J.J., Fleischer, R.C., 2016. Hidden in plain sight: cryptic and endemic malaria parasites in North American white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*). Sci. Adv. 2, 2.
- McKenzie, R.A., Green, P.E., Thornton, A.M., Chung, Y.S., MacKenzie, A.R., Cybinski, D. H., St George, T.D., 1985. Diseases of deer in south eastern Queensland. Aust. Vet. J. 62, 424.
- Melo, M.F., Moreira, O.C., Tenorio, P., Lorena, V., Lorena-Rezende, I., Junior, W.O., Gomes, Y., Britto, C., 2015. Usefulness of real time PCR to quantify parasite load in serum samples from chronic Chagas disease patients. Parasites Vectors 8, 154.
- Miller, R.S., Farnsworth, M.L., Malmberg, J.L., 2013. Diseases at the livestock-wildlife interface: status, challenges, and opportunities in the United States. Prev. Vet. Med. 110, 119–132.
- Mlinaric, A., Horvat, M., Supak Smolcic, V., 2017. Dealing with the positive publication bias: why you should really publish your negative results. Biochem. Med. 27, 3.
- Moriarty, A., 2004. Ecology and Environmental Impact of Javan Rusa Deer (*Cervus Timorensis Russa*). In: The Royal National Park. University of Western Sydney, Sydney.
- Munday, B.L., Mason, R.W., Hartley, W.J., Presidente, P.J., Obendorf, D., 1978. Sarcocystis and related organisms in Australian wildlife: I. Survey findings in mammals. J. Wildl. Dis. 14, 417–433.
- Pomari, E., Silva, R., Moro, L., La Marca, G., Perandin, F., Verra, F., Bisoffi, Z., Piubelli, C., 2020. Droplet Digital PCR for the detection of *Plasmodium falciparum* DNA in whole blood and serum: a comparative analysis with other molecular methods. Pathogens 9, 478.

J.L. Huaman et al.

International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife 15 (2021) 262-269

Raboisson, D., Ferchiou, A., Pinior, B., Gautier, T., Sans, P., Lhermie, G., 2020. The use of meta-analysis for the measurement of animal disease burden: losses due to clinical mastitis as an example. Front. Vet. Sci. 7, 149.

Reichel, M.P., 2000. Neospora caninum infections in Australia and New Zealand. Aust. Vet. J. 78, 258–261.

Reid, S.A., 2002. *Trypanosoma evansi* control and containment in Australasia. Trends Parasitol. 18, 219–224.

Remesar, S., Diaz, P., Prieto, A., Markina, F., Cao, J.M.D., Lopez-Lorenzo, G., Fernandez, G., Lopez, C.M., Panadero, R., Diez-Banos, P., Morrondo, P., 2019. Prevalence and distribution of *Babesia* and *Theileria* species in roe deer from Spain. Int. J. Parasitol. Parasites Wildl. 9, 195–201.

 Savini, G., Dunsmore, J.D., Robertson, I.D., Seneviratna, P., 1992. The epidemiology of Sarcocystis spp. in cattle of Western Australia. Epidemiol. Infect. 108, 107–113.
 Savini, G., Dunsmore, J.D., Robertson, I.D., Seneviratna, P., 1993. Sarcocystis spp in

Western Australian sheep. Aust. Vet. J. 70, 152–154. Schaer, J., Perkins, S.L., Decher, J., Leendertz, F.H., Fahr, J., Weber, N.,

Matuschewski, K., 2013. High diversity of West African bat malaria parasites and a tight link with rodent *Plasmodium* taxa. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 110, 17415–17419.

Scheelings, T.F., McLaren, P.J., Tatarczuch, L., Slocombe, R.F., 2016. Plasmodium infection in a Leadbeater's possum (Gymnobelideus leadbeateri). Aust. Vet. J. 94, 299–303.

Siembieda, J.L., Kock, R.A., McCracken, T.A., Newman, S.H., 2011. The role of wildlife in transboundary animal diseases. Anim. Health Res. Rev. 12, 95–111.

Spratt, D.M., Beveridge, I., 2018. Wildlife parasitology in Australia: past, present and future. Aust. J. Zool. 66, 286–305.

Templeton, T.J., Asada, M., Jiratanh, M., Ishikawa, S.A., Tiawsirisup, S., Sivakumar, T., Namangala, B., Takeda, M., Mohkaew, K., Ngamjituea, S., Inoue, N., Sugimoto, C., Inagaki, Y., Suzuki, Y., Yokoyama, N., Kaewthamasorn, M., Kaneko, O., 2016a. Ungulate malaria parasites. Sci. Rep. 6, 23230.

- Templeton, T.J., Martinsen, E., Kaewthamasorn, M., Kaneko, O., 2016b. The rediscovery of malaria parasites of ungulates. Parasitology 143, 1501–1508.
- Thompson, C.K., Botero, A., Wayne, A.F., Godfrey, S.S., Lymbery, A.J., Thompson, R.C., 2013. Morphological polymorphism of *Trypanosoma copemani* and description of the genetically diverse *T. vegrandis* sp. nov. from the critically endangered Australian potoroid, the brush-tailed bettong (*Bettongia penicillata* (Gray, 1837)). Parasites Vectors 6, 121.
- Thompson, C.K., Godfrey, S.S., Thompson, R.C., 2014. Trypanosomes of Australian mammals: a review. Int. J. Parasitol. Parasites Wildl. 3, 57–66.

Woods, R., Reiss, A., Cox-Witton, K., Grillo, T., Peters, A., 2019. The importance of wildlife disease monitoring as part of global surveillance for zoonotic diseases: the role of Australia. Trav. Med. Infect. Dis. 4, 29.

Yabsley, M.J., Quick, T.C., Little, S.E., 2005. Theileriosis in a white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus) fawn. J. Wildl. Dis. 41, 806–809.

Yang, J.F., Li, Y.Q., Liu, Z.J., Liu, J.L., Guan, G.Q., Chen, Z., Luo, J.X., Wang, X.L., Yin, H., 2014. Molecular evidence for piroplasms in wild Reeves' muntjac (*Muntiacus reevest*) in China. Parasitol. Int. 63, 713–716.

Zamoto-Niikura, A., Tsuji, M., Qiang, W., Morikawa, S., Hanaki, K.I., Holman, P.J., Ishihara, C., 2018. The *Babesia divergens* asia lineage is maintained through enzootic cycles between *Ixodes persulcatus* and sika deer in Hokkaido, Japan. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 84, 7.

Zanet, S., Trisciuoglio, A., Bottero, E., de Mera, I.G.F., Gortazar, C., Carpignano, M.G., Ferroglio, E., 2014. Piroplasmosis in wildlife: *Babesia* and *Theileria* affecting freeranging ungulates and carnivores in the Italian Alps. Parasites Vectors 7, 70.