

### International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical sciences and Technology



# Formulation, design, optimization and evaluation of cimetidine floating tablets by using 3<sup>2</sup> factorial design

#### Y. Prudhvi\*, S.S. Manikiran, N. Rama Rao

Department of Pharmaceutics, Chalapathi Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences (Autonomous), Lam, Guntur-522 034, Andhra Pradesh, India.

#### ABSTRACT



The present study was aimed to formulate and evaluate floating tablets of Cimetidine which is a histamine H<sub>2</sub> receptor antagonist in increasing usage in the medical management of peptic ulcer. In this study, excipients like HPMC K4M, HPMC K100M were used as polymers and sodium bi- carbonate were incorporated in 9 different concentrations (F1-F9) along with other excipients.to formulate floating tablets. Then all the nine formulations were evaluated for uniformity of weight, hardness, thickness, friability test, floating lag time, drug content, dissolution studies and stability studies. The 3<sup>2</sup> Factorial design experiments helps the investigator in resource management and economy. The dissolution profile of F2 was observed to be better than other formulations. Trial-F2 formulation showed a good dissolution profile for a controlled period of time which was noticed to be as 98 % at the end of 12th hour. Thus, it can be concluded that the floating drug delivery system of cimetidne using the appropriate polymers in right amount may enhance the activity of the drug by prolonging the gastric residence time or reducing the floating lag time.

Keywords: HPMC K4M, HPMC K100M, Cimetidine, 32 Factorial design

<b>ISSN:</b> 2581-9143
Research Article
Corresponding Author
Name: Y.Prudhvi
Email: prudhviyemineni@gmail.com
Article Info
Received on: 13-10-2020
Revised on: 29-10-2020
Accepted on: 04-11-2020
DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.33974/ijrpst.v2i3.264</u>
<b>S</b> Rubatosis Publications
Converight 2020 D Avinash Regulatory an-

**Copyright**© **2020**, D. Avinash, Regulatory approach for the approval of Artificial intelligence/ Machine language (AI/ML) Based Software as medical devices (SaMD), Production and hosting by *Rubatosis Publications*.

#### INTRODUCTION

Oral drug delivery is by far the most preferable route of drug delivery due to the Ease of administration, patient compliance and flexibility in formulation, etc. It is evident from the recent scientific and patent literature that an increased interest in novel dosage forms that are retained in the stomach for a prolonged and predictable period of time exists today in academic and industrial research groups. One of the most feasible approaches for achieving a prolonged and predictable drug delivery profile in the GI tract is to control the gastric residence time (GRT).<sup>1-5</sup> Dosage forms with a prolonged GRT, i.e. gastro retentive dosage forms (GRDFs), will provide us with new and important therapeutic options. GRDFs extend significantly the period of time over which the drug may be released. Thus, they not only prolong dosing intervals, but also increase patient compliance beyond the level of existing controlled release dosage forms. This application is especially effective in delivery of sparingly soluble and insoluble drugs. It is known that, as the solubility of a drug decreases, the time available for drug dissolution becomes less adequate and thus the transit time becomes significant factor affecting drug absorption. To address this, oral administration of sparingly soluble drugs are carried out frequently, often several times per day.



Figure 1: Intragastric residence positions of floating and non floating units

In order to formulate a successful gastroretentive drug delivery system, several techniques are currently used such as floating drug delivery system, low density systems, raft systems incorporating alginate gel, bio adhesive or mucoadhesive systems, high density systems, super porous hydrogel and magnetic system. Among these, the floating delivery system is most commonly used.

The main efficacy of Floating drug delivery systems have a bulk density less than the gastric fluids and so remain buoyant in the stomach without affecting the gastric emptying rate for a prolonged period of time. It is evident that a drug having such an "Absorption window", the effective oral CRDDS should be designed not only to deliver the drug at a controlled rate, but also to retain the drug in the stomach for a long period of time. For such type of drugs, increased or more predictable availability would result in controlled release system and thus the drug could be retained in the stomach for extended period of time. This results in an increased gastric retention time and control of the fluctuation in plasma drug concentration.<sup>7</sup>

### Mechanistic aspects of floating drug delivery system:

Various attempts have been made to retain the dosage form in the stomach as a way of increasing the retention time. These attempts include introducing floating dosage forms (gas-generating systems and swelling or expanding systems), mucoadhesive systems, high-density systems, modified shape systems, gastric- emptying delaying devices and co administration of gastric-emptying delaying drugs.

Among these, the floating dosage forms have been used most commonly. However, most of these approaches are influenced by a number of factors that affect their efficacy as a gastro retentive system.

Incorporation of the drug in a controlled release gastro retentive dosage form(CR-GRDF) Can yield significant therapeutic advantages due to a variety of pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic factors.<sup>8-12</sup>

**Pharmacokinetic aspects:-** Absorption windowvalidation that the drug is within the category of narrow window

- Enhanced bioavailability
- Enhanced first pass biotransformation
- Improved bioavailability due to reduced P-glycoprotein(P-gp)activity in the duodenum.

#### Pharmacodynamic Aspects:

Reduced fluctuation of drug concentration.

Improved selectivity in receptor activation.

Reduced counter-activity of the body.

Extended time over critical (effective) concentration.

Minimum adverse activity at the colon.

#### Pre compression Parameters

**Angle of Repose:** Angle of repose has been defined as the maximum angle possible between the surface of pile of powder and horizontal plane. Performed to determine the flow rate of powder done by the funnel method. The powder mass was allowed to flow through the funnel orifice kept vertically to a plane paper kept on the horizontal surface, giving a heap angle of powder on paper. The angle of repose was calculated by substituting the values of the base radius 'R' and pile height 'H' in the following equation:

#### $\theta$ = tan-1 (h / r)

**Posology:** Posology or study of Flow rate of powder has been defined as the rate at which particular mass emerges through the orifice of the funnel of a suitable diameter. The flow rate of powder of each formulation was determined by pouring accurately weighed quantity of powder in funnel with an orifice of 8mm diameter. The time required for complete powder mass to emerge out of the orifice was recorded using a stopwatch. The flow rate was calculated from following equation

**Bulk Density:** Bulk density was obtained by dividing the mass of powder by the bulk volume in cm<sup>3</sup>. The sample of bout 50 cm<sup>3</sup> of powder, previously been passed through a standard sieve no. 20, was carefully introduced into a 100 ml graduated cylinder. The cylinder was dropped at 2- second intervals on to hard wood surface three times from a height of 1 inch. The bulk density of each formulation was then obtained by dividing the weight of sample in grams by the final volume in cm<sup>3</sup> of the sample contained in the cylinder. It was calculated by using equation given below:

#### Df = M / Vp

Where, Df = bulk density, M = weight of sample in grams, Vp = final volume of powder in cm<sup>3</sup>.

**Tapped density:** The tapped density was obtained by dividing the mass of powder by tapped volume in cm<sup>3</sup>.The sample of about 50 cm<sup>3</sup> of powder, previously been passed through a standard sieve no.20, is carefully introduced in to a 100 ml graduated cylinder. The cylinder was dropped at 2- second intervals on to hard wood surface three times from a height of 1 inch. The tapped density of each formulation was then obtained by dividing the weight of sample in grams by the final tapped volume in cm<sup>3</sup> of the sample contained in the cylinder. It was calculated by using equation given below:

#### Do = M / Vp

Where, Do = bulk density, M = weight of sample in grams, Vp = final tapped volume of powder in cm<sup>3</sup>



**Carr's index:** Carr's developed an indirect method of measuring powder flow from bulk densities. The percentage compressibility of a powder was a direct measure of the potential powder arch or bridge strength and stability.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Cimetidine and HPMC K4M and K100M were obtained as gift samples from Biophore India Pharmaceuticals Pvt.Ltd. Hyderabad. All the other excipients were obtained from CLPT Pharmaceutical Analysis Laboratories. <sup>13-15</sup>

#### METHODOLOGY

**Preparation of Tablets by Direct compression method:** The gastro retentive tablets were prepared by using direct compression method by using HPMC K4M controlled release grade useful as a rate retarding polymer and Sodium bicarbonate as a gas generating agent, microcrystalline cellulose as a disintegrating agent. Except the Drug, the other excipients were added into a mortar and comminuted to form a fine mass. To this fine mass Cimetidine was added and then triturated again for a final clear mass.

**Drug – polymer-excipients compatibility studies:** The compatibility studies were performed to ascertain interaction if any of drug with the excipients and polymers used in the preparation of tablets.

		Table 1: Calibration of Cimetidine												
	Conc			centration (µg/ml)			Absorbance at 210 nm							
				0				0						
				2				0.203	}					
				4				0.369	)					
				0				0.545	) :					
				0				0.710	) 7					
		Table	2.00		ماللمة	for th	o indo	nonde	nt va	riable	-			
		Table	2	eu va	lues		tual Va	luoe/n	na)	Tables	5			
			Co	ded V	alue	s —	X1	X	<u>'''9/</u> 2					
				-1			50	-1						
				0			75	0						
				+1			100	+	1					
		Tabl	e 3: Fo	rmula	tion	of Cin	netidin	e floa	ting ta	ablets				
	Inar	redients												
	(mg	/Tablet)		F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	F7	F8	F9		
	Cim	netidine		200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200		
	HPN	IC K4M		50	75	100	50	75	100	50	75	100		
	HPM	C K100N		50	75	100	50	75	100	50	75	100		
		мсс		30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30		
	Sodium	bicarbo	nate	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75		
	Magnesi	ium stea	rate	6.4	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6	7.1	6.6	7.1	7.4		
		laic		6.4	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.6	/.1	6.6	/.1	1.4		
	l able 4	: Evalua	ation o	rCime	etidir	ne Floa	ating I	ablets	Desig	gn For	mulati	ons		
	Bulk densi-	Tap	oped de	nsitv		Com	oressib	ilitv in	dex	На	usner'	s	Angle of repose	
Formulation	ty (r/ml)		(g/ml)			r	(%)	)			ratio	-	(θ)	
F1	0.54		0.00								1 10		23.20°	-
F2	0.04		11 6 /				113	3			1.10		20.20	-
1 4	0.58		0.62				<u>11.3</u> 10.8	3 8			1 1 2		22.12°	-
F3	0.58		0.62				11.3 10.8 12.2	3 8 5			1.12		22.12° 27.15°	
F3 F4	0.58 0.50 0.47		0.62 0.67 0.61 0.51				11.3 10.8 12.2 11.2	3 8 5 2			1.12 1.14 1.05		22.12° 27.15° 24.22°	
F3 F4 F5	0.58 0.50 0.47 0.48		0.62 0.67 0.61 0.51 0.54				11.3 10.8 12.2 11.2 13.2	3 8 5 2 5			1.12 1.14 1.05 1.12		22.12° 27.15° 24.22° 21.36°	
F3 F4 F5 F6	0.58 0.50 0.47 0.48 0.44		0.62 0.67 0.61 0.51 0.54 0.52				11.3 10.8 12.2 11.2 13.2 12.4	3 8 5 2 5 4			1.12 1.14 1.05 1.12 1.13		22.12° 27.15° 24.22° 21.36° 21.32°	
F3 F4 F5 F6 F7	0.58 0.50 0.47 0.48 0.44 0.46		0.62 0.67 0.61 0.51 0.54 0.52 0.51				11.3 10.8 12.2 11.2 13.2 12.4 12.4 11.2	3 8 5 2 5 4 2			1.12 1.14 1.05 1.12 1.13 1.05		22.12° 27.15° 24.22° 21.36° 21.32° 24.22°	
F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8	0.58 0.50 0.47 0.48 0.44 0.46 0.45		0.62 0.67 0.61 0.51 0.54 0.52 0.51 0.56				11.3 10.8 12.2 11.2 13.2 12.4 11.2 14.6	3 8 5 2 5 4 2 2 5 4 2 5 5			1.12 1.14 1.05 1.12 1.13 1.05 1.26		22.12° 27.15° 24.22° 21.36° 21.32° 24.22° 26.75°	
F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9	0.58 0.50 0.47 0.48 0.44 0.46 0.45 0.42		0.62 0.67 0.61 0.51 0.54 0.52 0.51 0.56 0.54				11.3 10.8 12.2 11.2 13.2 12.4 11.2 12.4 11.2 14.6 13.2	3 8 5 2 5 4 2 2 5 5 5 5			1.12 1.14 1.05 1.12 1.13 1.05 1.26 1.12		22.12° 27.15° 24.22° 21.36° 21.32° 24.22° 26.75° 21.36°	
F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9	0.58 0.50 0.47 0.48 0.44 0.46 0.45 0.45 0.42	T	0.62 0.67 0.61 0.51 0.54 0.52 0.51 0.56 0.54 able 5:	Perce	entag	ge of [	11.3 10.8 12.2 11.2 13.2 12.4 11.2 14.6 13.2 Drug Re	3 8 5 2 5 4 2 5 5 5 5 5 8 <b>!ease</b>	Vs Tir	ne	1.12         1.14         1.05         1.12         1.13         1.05         1.26         1.12		22.12° 27.15° 24.22° 21.36° 21.32° 24.22° 26.75° 21.36°	
F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9	0.58 0.50 0.47 0.48 0.44 0.46 0.45 0.45 0.42 Time (hr)	T F1	0.62 0.67 0.61 0.51 0.54 0.52 0.51 0.56 0.54 able 5: F2	Perce F3	entag	ge of E F4	11.3 10.8 12.2 11.2 13.2 12.4 11.2 14.6 13.2 Drug Re F5	3 8 5 2 5 4 2 5 5 5 2 1ease F6	Vs Tir	ne F7	1.12 1.14 1.05 1.12 1.13 1.05 1.26 1.12 <b>F8</b>	F9	22.12° 27.15° 24.22° 21.36° 21.32° 24.22° 26.75° 21.36°	
F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9	0.58 0.50 0.47 0.48 0.44 0.46 0.45 0.45 0.42 Time (hr) 0	т F1 0	0.62 0.67 0.61 0.51 0.54 0.52 0.51 0.56 0.54 able 5: F2 0	Perce F3 0	entag	ge of E F4 0	11.3 10.8 12.2 11.2 13.2 12.4 11.2 14.6 13.2 Drug Re F5 0	3 8 5 2 5 4 2 5 5 5 2 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8	Vs Tir	<b>ne</b> <b>F7</b> 0	1.12 1.14 1.05 1.12 1.13 1.05 1.26 1.12 <b>F8</b> 0	<b>F9</b> 0	22.12° 27.15° 24.22° 21.36° 21.32° 24.22° 26.75° 21.36°	
F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9	0.58 0.50 0.47 0.48 0.44 0.46 0.45 0.42 Time (hr) 0 1	T F1 0 26.39	0.62 0.67 0.61 0.54 0.52 0.51 0.56 0.54 able 5: F2 0 23.55	Perce F3 0 22.9	entag B D8 2	ge of E F4 0 23.16	11.3 10.8 12.2 11.2 13.2 12.4 11.2 14.6 13.2 0rug Re F5 0 23.13	3 8 5 2 5 4 2 5 5 5 5 2 1 6 8 1 6 8 1 6 8 1 6 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 9 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	<b>Vs Ti</b> i 3 99 2	<b>ne</b> <b>F7</b> 0 4.51	1.12 1.14 1.05 1.12 1.13 1.05 1.26 1.12 <b>F8</b> 0 22.68	<b>F9</b> 0 21.11	22.12° 27.15° 24.22° 21.36° 21.32° 24.22° 26.75° 21.36°	
F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9	0.58 0.50 0.47 0.48 0.44 0.46 0.45 0.42 Time (hr) 0 1 3	<b>F1</b> 0 26.39 42.62	0.62 0.67 0.61 0.54 0.52 0.51 0.56 0.54 <b>able 5:</b> <b>F2</b> 0 23.55 43.96	Perce F3 0 22.9 36.9	entag 3 98 2 59 3	<b>ge of E</b> <b>F4</b> 0 23.16 35.26	11.3 10.8 12.2 11.2 13.2 12.4 11.2 14.6 13.2 0rug Re F5 0 23.13 49.18	3 8 5 2 5 5 4 2 5 5 5 5 2 2 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1	<b>Vs Tir</b> <b>3</b> 99 2- 32 4	<b>ne</b> <b>F7</b> 0 4.51 1.03	1.12 1.14 1.05 1.12 1.13 1.05 1.26 1.12 <b>F8</b> 0 22.68 35.62	<b>F9</b> 0 21.11 39.49	22.12° 27.15° 24.22° 21.36° 21.32° 24.22° 26.75° 21.36°	
F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9	0.58 0.50 0.47 0.48 0.44 0.46 0.45 0.42 Time (hr) 0 1 3 5	<b>F1</b> 0 26.39 42.62 56.84	0.62 0.67 0.61 0.51 0.52 0.51 0.56 0.54 <b>able 5:</b> <b>F2</b> 0 23.55 43.96 58.66	Perce F3 0 22.9 36.9 46.0	entag 3 98 2 59 2 66 4	<b>ge of E</b> <b>F4</b> 0 23.16 35.26 45.36	11.3 10.8 12.2 13.2 12.4 11.2 12.4 11.2 14.6 13.2 <b>Drug Re</b> <b>F5</b> 0 23.13 49.18 63.69	3 8 5 2 5 5 4 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	<b>Vs Tir</b> 5 99 2 32 4 77 5	<b>ne</b> <b>F7</b> 0 4.51 1.03 7.49	1.12 1.14 1.05 1.12 1.13 1.05 1.26 1.12 <b>F8</b> 0 22.68 35.62 46.95	<b>F9</b> 0 21.11 39.49 49.46	22.12° 27.15° 24.22° 21.36° 21.32° 24.22° 26.75° 21.36°	
F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9	0.58 0.50 0.47 0.48 0.44 0.46 0.45 0.42 Time (hr) 0 1 3 5 7 7	<b>F1</b> 0 26.39 42.62 56.84 67.61	0.62 0.67 0.61 0.51 0.54 0.52 0.51 0.56 0.54 <b>able 5:</b> <b>F2</b> 0 23.55 43.96 58.66 64.84	Perce F3 0 22.9 36.9 46.0 51.7	<b>entag</b> 3 98 2 59 2 566 4 73 4	<b>ge of E</b> <b>F4</b> 0 23.16 35.26 45.36 53.49	11.3 10.8 12.2 11.2 13.2 12.4 11.2 14.6 13.2 <b>Drug Re</b> <b>F5</b> 0 23.13 49.18 63.69 74.25	3 8 5 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	<b>Vs Tir</b> <b>5</b> 99 24 32 4 77 5 57 6	me F7 0 4.51 1.03 7.49 5.62	1.12 1.14 1.05 1.12 1.13 1.05 1.26 1.12 <b>F8</b> 0 22.68 35.62 46.95 59.43	<b>F9</b> 0 21.11 39.49 49.46 61.42	22.12° 27.15° 24.22° 21.36° 21.32° 24.22° 26.75° 21.36°	
F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9	0.58 0.50 0.47 0.48 0.44 0.46 0.45 0.42 Time (hr) 0 1 3 5 7 9 12	<b>F1</b> 0 26.39 42.62 56.84 67.61 76.61	0.62 0.67 0.61 0.51 0.54 0.52 0.51 0.56 0.54 <b>able 5:</b> <b>F2</b> 0 23.55 43.96 58.66 64.84 72.25	Perce F3 0 22.9 36.9 46.0 51.7 61.0	entag 3 59 2 59 2 59 2 59 2 59 2 59 2 59 2 59 2	<b>ge of E</b> <b>F4</b> 0 23.16 35.26 45.36 53.49 59.64	11.3 10.8 12.2 11.2 13.2 12.4 11.2 14.6 13.2 0rug Re F5 0 23.13 49.18 63.69 74.25 92.56	3 8 5 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Vs Tin 3 99 2 32 4 77 5 37 6 37 8 37 8	me F7 0 4.51 1.03 7.49 5.62 1.97	1.12 1.14 1.05 1.12 1.13 1.05 1.26 1.12 <b>F8</b> 0 22.68 35.62 46.95 59.43 70.14	<b>F9</b> 0 21.11 39.49 49.46 61.42 78.62	22.12° 27.15° 24.22° 21.36° 21.32° 24.22° 26.75° 21.36°	
F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9	0.58 0.50 0.47 0.48 0.44 0.46 0.45 0.42 Time (hr) 0 1 3 5 7 9 10 12	<b>F1</b> 0 26.39 42.62 56.84 67.61 76.61 81.45	0.62 0.67 0.61 0.54 0.52 0.51 0.56 0.54 <b>able 5:</b> <b>F2</b> 0 23.55 43.96 58.66 64.84 72.28 79.69	Perce F3 0 22.9 36.9 46.0 51.7 61.0 68.4	entag 3 98 2 59 3 66 4 73 9 73 9 73 9 73 9 73 9 73 9 73 9 73 9	<b>ge of E</b> <b>F4</b> 0 23.16 35.26 45.36 53.49 59.64 62.81 72.44	11.3 10.8 12.2 11.2 13.2 12.4 11.2 14.6 13.2 0rug Re 5 0 23.13 49.18 63.69 74.25 92.56 92.56 96.72	3 8 5 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Vs Tir 3 99 2 32 4 77 5 57 6 57 6 57 8 51 8 51 8	me F7 0 4.51 1.03 7.49 5.62 1.97 8.42	1.12 1.14 1.05 1.12 1.13 1.05 1.26 1.12 <b>F8</b> 0 22.68 35.62 46.95 59.43 70.14 80.18	<b>F9</b> 0 21.11 39.49 49.46 61.42 78.62 89.71	22.12° 27.15° 24.22° 21.36° 21.32° 24.22° 26.75° 21.36°	
F3 F4 F5 F6 F7 F8 F9	0.58 0.50 0.47 0.48 0.44 0.46 0.45 0.42 Time (hr) 0 1 3 5 7 9 10 12	<b>F1</b> 0 26.39 42.62 56.84 67.61 76.61 81.45 93.55	0.62 0.67 0.61 0.51 0.54 0.52 0.51 0.56 0.54 <b>able 5:</b> <b>F2</b> 0 23.55 43.96 58.66 64.84 72.28 79.69 85.32	Perce F3 0 22.9 36.9 46.6 51.7 61.0 68.4 76.0	entag 3 398 2 59 3 59 3 59 3 59 3 59 3 59 3 59 3 59 3	<b>ge of E</b> <b>F4</b> 0 23.16 35.26 45.36 53.49 59.64 62.81 72.11	11.3 10.8 12.2 11.2 13.2 12.4 11.2 14.6 13.2 <b>Drug Re</b> <b>F5</b> 0 23.13 49.18 63.69 74.25 92.56 96.72 98.64	3 8 5 2 5 5 2 2 5 5 5 2 2 8 1 8 1 5 5 5 2 2 2 5 5 5 2 8 1 8 7 5 6 2 2 2 5 5 2 2 5 5 5 5 2 2 5 5 5 5 5	<b>Vs Ti</b> <b>5</b> <b>99</b> 2 <b>32</b> 4 <b>77</b> 5 <b>57</b> 6 <b>57</b> 8 <b>51</b> 8 <b>51</b> 8 <b>53</b> 9 <b>5</b>	<b>ne</b> <b>F7</b> 0 4.51 1.03 7.49 5.62 1.97 8.42 9.92	1.12 1.14 1.05 1.12 1.13 1.05 1.26 1.12 <b>F8</b> 0 22.68 35.62 46.95 59.43 70.14 80.18 86.15	<b>F9</b> 0 21.11 39.49 49.46 61.42 78.62 89.71 96.48	22.12° 27.15° 24.22° 21.36° 21.32° 24.22° 26.75° 21.36°	

The C-N stretching ranges between 2294.11-2237.08 cm-' and the CH<sub>2</sub> in the range of 1456.21cm-'.The C-C bonds disubstituted in the range of 951 cm-' in a trans form. The N-H stretching at 2620 cm-'. The aliphatic ranges of the C-N ranges at 1236, 1200, 1154 and 1073 cm-'

FT-IR studies were performed to know the possible interactions between model drug cimetidine and other excipients. FT-IR of spectra of drug with carriers and other excipients showed similar peaks as that of Cimetidine pure drug. Based on FT-IR spectra obtained, it was evident that there was no significant interaction of Cimetidine with carriers and other excipients.

In order to optimize the process of this formulation 3<sup>2</sup> factorial design was used to design the experiment. The 2 independent factors such as HPMCK4M (X1) and HPMC K100M (X2) were taken as the inde-



pendent variables and the time required for 50% drug release t50% and the time required for 70% drug release t70% were taken as the responses. 3 different codes were taken for the 2 categorized factors for the design of the experiment.

## **Dissolution Parameters For 3<sup>2</sup> Factorial Design Batches**

Formulation optimization has been done by using 32 square factorial design to determine the effect of

amount of HPMC K4M (X1) and HPMC K100M (X2) on independent variables  $t_{50\%}$  and  $t_{70\%}$ . The equations for  $t_{50\%}$  and  $t_{70\%}$  developed as follows,  $Y_{1}$ = 4.94 +2.392X1+2.915 X2... and Y2=8.774+3.817X1+ 4.493 X2.. respectively. The positive sign for coefficeient of X2 in Y1 and Y2 equations indicates that as the concentration of HPMCK4M increases,  $t_{50\%}$  and  $t_{70\%}$  value increases

#### Formulation of Cimetidine floating tablets

**Preparation:** In this work, direct compression method has been employed to prepare Cimetidine floating tablets with Hydroxyl propyl methyl cellulose (HPMC) K4M, sodium bicarbonate, Magnesium stearate and Talc

**Procedure:** All the ingredients were accurately weighed and passed through mesh #60. In order to mix the ingredients thoroughly drug and polymer were blended geometrically in a mortar and pestle for 15 minutes then HPMC K4M, Lactose and sodium bicarbonate, talc and magnesium stearate were mixed one by one. After thoroughly mixing these ingredients, the powder blend was passed through # 44 mesh. Tablets were compressed on a single punch tablet machine (Cadmach, India) using 8 mm flat round punches.

Table 6:	Responses	of the For	mulation
			-

Formulation Code	Variable le ed f	<b>t</b> 50%(h)	<b>t</b> 70%(h)	
F1	-1	-1	0.45	1.30
F2	-1	0	1.78	5.85
F3	-1	1	6.56	10.5
F4	0	-1	0.46	2.25
F5	0	0	3.55	7.25
F6	0	1	8.25	8.40
F7	1	-1	5.78	8.20
F8	1	0	8.40	8.60
F9	1	1	9.15	10.20

#### SUMMARY

Cimetidine floating tablets were prepared by using Direct compression method. FT-IR studies were performed to check whether the possible interaction between the Drug and the Hydrophilic polymers HPMC K4M and the effervescent agent NaHCO3. Estimation of the Cimetidine at a wave length of 225nm by using pH-1.2 HCl buffer, The dissolution results for the drug under the perfect fit lines as compared with the outlines. 3<sup>2</sup> design is used to get the desired responses and the optimized formulation. Out of all the formulations the F2 formulation shows a very good range of floating lag time and total floating time along with its Drug release at the specific intervals.

#### CONCLUSION

Cimetidine Floating tablets prepared by using the direct compression process have a very good range of dissolution efficiency and also a very good period of Total floating time.It can be concluded clearly as the concentration of both the polymers increase there is an equal increase in the time period for the dissolution time.

#### REFERENCES

1. Sanjay Garg and Shringl Sharma, Gastro retentive drug delivery systems, Drug delivery oral, 2003, 160-166.

- Shweta Arora, Javed Ali, Alka Ahuja, Roop K Khar and Sanjula Baboota, Floating drug delivery system: A Review, AAPS PharmaSciTech,2005;6 (3):E372-E390.
- 3. Brahma N. Singh, Kwon H. Kim, Floating drug delivery systems: an approach to oral controlled drug delivery via gastric retention, Journal of controlled release, 2000; 63:235-259.
- AV Mayavanshi and SS Gajjar, Floating drug delivery systems to increase gastric retension of drugs: A review, Research J. Pharm. and Tech, 2008; 1(4): 1-4.
- 5. Deshpande A.A, Shah N.H., Rhodes C.T., and Malick.A. W., Controlled Release Drug Delivery Systems for prolonged gastric residence: An overview, Pharm. Res, 1996, 22(6), 531-539.
- 6. Rubinstein.A., Li.V.H.K., Gurber.P and Robinson.J.R, in Oral Sustained Release Formulatons: Design and Evaluation (Yacobi.A and Halperin-Walega, eds) 125, 1988.
- Davis SS, Stockwell AF, Taylor MJ, The effect of density on the gastric emptying of single and multiple unit dosage forms. Pharm Res. 1986;3:208-213.
- 8. Streubel A, Siepmann J, Bodmeier R. Floating microparticles based on low-density foam powder. Int J Pharm. 2002;241:279-292
- 9. Ramesh.R.Putheti, Mahesh.C.Patil, Pharmaceutical formulation and development of floating and swellable drug delivery systems: a review, e-Journal of Science & Technology,2009; 4(2):1-12.
- 10. C.Sauzet, M.Claeys-Bruno, M.Nicolas, J.Kister, P.Piccerelle, P.Prinderre, An innovative floating gastro retentive dosage system: Formulation and in vitro evaluation. International journal of Pharmaceutics, 2009; 378:23-39.
- 11.Amnon Hoffamn, David Stepensky, Eran Lavy, Sara Eyal, Eytan klausner, Michael Friendman. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic aspects of gastroretentive dosage forms,International journal of pharmaceutics, 2004; 277:141-153.
- 12. Baumgartner S, Kristel J, Vreer F, Vodopivec P, Zorko B. Optimization of floating matrix tablets and evaluation of their gastric residence time. Int J Pharm 2000; 195 (1-2):125-35.
- 13. Ramesh bomma, Rongola appala swamy naidu, Madhusudhan rao yamsani, Kishan veerabrahma, Development and evaluation of gastroretentive norfloxacin floating tablets, Acta Pharm.2009:59:211-221.
- 14. Talwar N, Himandri S, Ftaniforth KN. Orally administered controlled drug delivery system providing temporal and spatial controlled. 2001; US Patent (6, 261, 601).
- 15. Jalesh Varshosaz, N. Tavakoli, and F.Roozbahani, Formulation and in vitro characteriation of ciprofloxacin floating and bio adhesive extendedrelease tablets, Drug delivery, 2006;13: 277-285