OPEN SCIENCE

rsos.royalsocietypublishing.org

Review



Cite this article: Springate L, Frasier TR. 2017 Gamete compatibility genes in mammals: candidates, applications and a potential path forward. *R. Soc. open sci.* **4**: 170577. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsos.170577

Received: 25 May 2017 Accepted: 31 July 2017

Subject Category:

Genetics

Subject Areas:

genetics

Keywords:

cryptic female choice, gamete compatibility, mate compatibility, post-copulatory sexual selection

Author for correspondence:

Timothy R. Frasier e-mail: timothy.frasier@smu.ca

Gamete compatibility genes in mammals: candidates, applications and a potential path forward

Leah Springate and Timothy R. Frasier

Department of Biology, Saint Mary's University, 923 Robie St, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada B3H 3C3

(D) TRF, 0000-0002-3055-0199

Fertilization represents a critical stage in biology, where successful alleles of a previous generation are shuffled into new arrangements and subjected to the forces of selection in the next generation. Although much research has been conducted on how variation in morphological and behavioural traits lead to variation in fertilization patterns, surprisingly little is known about fertilization at a molecular level, and specifically about how genes expressed on the sperm and egg themselves influence fertilization patterns. In mammals, several genes have been identified whose products are expressed on either the sperm or the egg, and which influence the fertilization process, but the specific mechanisms are not yet known. Additionally, in 2014 an interacting pair of proteins was identified: 'Izumo' on the sperm, and 'Juno' on the egg. With the identification of these genes comes the first opportunity to understand the molecular aspects of fertilization in mammals, and to identify how the genetic characteristics of these genes influence fertilization patterns. Here, we review recent progress in our understanding of fertilization and gamete compatibility in mammals, which should provide a helpful guide to researchers interested in untangling the molecular mechanisms of fertilization and the resulting impacts on population biology and evolutionary processes.

1. Introduction

The process of fertilization represents one of the most important steps in population biology and evolution: being the stage at which successful alleles of a previous generation are shuffled into new combinations and packaged as individuals to be subjected to the forces of selection in the next generation. Factors that influence patterns of fertilization have subsequent impacts on the

© 2017 The Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited.

Fertilization is mediated by a complex series of interactions between the sperm and the egg, key steps of which require complementary interactions between proteins expressed on the surface of each gamete [6-8]. The properties of these proteins influence how compatible a sperm and egg are, in terms of potential for successful fertilization, which is often referred to as their 'gametic compatibility'. Until recently, the complementary genes controlling this compatibility had only been well described and studied in a few marine invertebrates, most notably abalone [9–12] and urchins [13–16]. In both taxa, the genotype of the receptor on the egg directly determines which sperm genotypes are capable of fertilization [9,12,14]. These sperm-egg interactions, and resulting non-random fertilization patterns, scale up to having large impacts on patterns of individual reproductive success within populations [14], and on the development of reproductive barriers during speciation [16–19]. The complementary nature of these genes means that there is not one 'best' genotype, but rather what genotype is 'good' depends on the genotype of the corresponding gamete. This sort of epistasis, or non-additive interaction between alleles, provides an important mechanism for maintaining genetic diversity within populations and for providing the raw material to drive reproductive isolation and speciation through the presence of segregating incompatible alleles [20]. Although the benefits of genes influencing gamete compatibility are clear in species with external fertilization such as these, to ensure eggs are fertilized by sperm of the correct species, much evidence exists that such genes are important also in organisms with internal fertilization, such as mammals.

Genes involved in reproduction, and in gamete compatibility in particular, have proved to be among the fastest evolving genes in organisms yet studied (along with those of the immune system) [11,21,22]. There are three primary hypotheses, which are not mutually exclusive, regarding the underlying selection pressures [21]. The first is sperm competition, where the genetic complement of a sperm could influence its chances of fertilization success at many stages throughout the fertilization process, and intense competition among sperm could then lead to rapid evolution of the associated genes. Second is sexual selection, where particular sperm-egg combinations have higher success rates than others, leading to the continual coevolution of genes expressed on the gametes of both sexes. Last is sexual conflict, where selection on eggs to block polyspermy, and intense competition among sperm, provide conflicting selection pressures on the gametes (on eggs to make multiple fertilization difficult, and on sperm to more rapidly fertilize the egg). This process would lead to a continual coevolution of the genes involved in such strategies. Related to the 'sexual selection' hypothesis is the rapid divergence in gamete compatibility genes often found between recently diverged species [17,19,23]. Selection against cross-species fertilization could lead to rapid divergence of gamete compatibility genes, relative to other parts of the genome, and thus lead to effective reproductive boundaries between taxa.

In mammals, many genes have been identified whose products are expressed on either the sperm or the egg, and are somewhat involved in gamete compatibility, but the specific interactions and mechanisms are not yet known [22,24–28]. However, this changed in 2014 when a pair of genes (called 'Izumo' for the sperm surface protein, and 'Juno' for the complementary egg receptor) was identified with a specific ligand–receptor relationship [29,30]. With the identification of these genes comes the first opportunity to understand the details and mechanisms of gamete compatibilities in mammals, to identify how genetic variation at these genes influence fertilization patterns and fitness, and to assess the subsequent implications for the development of reproductive barriers and speciation.

Given this recent progress, it seems timely to review our state of knowledge of these candidate genes and the processes that they influence. Here, we provide a brief overview of the structure of mammalian gametes and the fertilization process in mammals, review what is known about key candidate genes involved and provide a brief review of the key areas where the analysis of such genes may be fruitful. The hope is that such a review will provide motivation, as well as a guide, for researchers interested in untangling the mechanisms of gamete compatibility and the resulting impacts on population biology and evolutionary processes.



Figure 1. Overview of egg structure. The ovulated egg is surrounded by a hyaluronic acid matrix, which contains cumulus cells. The zona pellucida (ZP) separates the cumulus cells from the egg. The perivitelline space is the space between the ZP and the membrane of the egg. Figure drawn from [8,31].

2. Gamete structure

2.1. The egg

An ovulated mammalian egg is surrounded by two key layers (figure 1). The first is an outer layer of cumulus cells that are contained in an extracellular matrix composed mainly of hyaluronic acid (also called the cumulus oophorus) [8,32]. Cumulus cells promote oocyte growth and development, and secrete progesterone, which is probably one of the chemoattractants—attracting the sperm to the egg [31,33]. The cumulus oophorus has many soluble factors and hormones that affect the egg and sperm in several ways, such as coordinating oocyte maturation and transport, and stimulating sperm motility [32]. The cumulus cells also act as the first of several barriers to spermatozoa, representing a dense mass through which only sperm that have undergone proper initial steps (see below) can pass. Interestingly, the cumulus layer is shed shortly after ovulation in marsupials and monotremes, and is therefore only a key player in fertilization within eutherian mammals [34,35]

The second major layer is a thick glycoprotein layer called the zona pellucida (ZP) [7]. It often serves as a species-selective barrier for sperm, and the binding of sperm to the ZP represents the first (of two) major interactions between the sperm and the egg. The ZP is composed of three different glycoproteins in most mammals: ZP1, ZP2 and ZP3; but humans and other primates have an additional glycoprotein, ZP4 [36,37]. Alterations to the ZP after fertilization prevent polyspermy and protect the early-stage embryo [35].

Interior to the ZP is the perivitelline space, which separates the egg proper from the zona pellucida, creating an area of protection [38]. Lastly, the egg is surrounded by a plasma membrane, to which spermatozoa bind during fertilization.

2.2. The sperm

Mammalian sperm can be divided into three main sections: the head, midpiece and tail, with lengths and characteristics that vary across species [39]. The sperm head contains the nucleus and the acrosome. The nucleus contains the haploid genome needed for fertilization. The acrosome is a secretory organelle that covers the first two-thirds of the sperm head, and is key to the binding of spermatozoa to the egg (figure 3) [39,40]. The midpiece is the central segment that connects the tail to the sperm head. It contains a central filamentous core surrounded by a large number of mitochondria as energy suppliers for the spermatozoa. The tail, or flagellum, is the longest part of the sperm, and is responsible for propulsion to the site of the egg [39].

3. Fertilization

Fertilization is an extremely complex, multistep process of which many details remain poorly understood. For our purposes, we will consider the processes involved in fertilization that occur post copulation. This delineation point is arbitrary, and prior aspects of reproduction such as the structure of



rsos.royalsocietypublishing.org R. Soc. open sci. 4: 170577

Figure 2. Overview of major structures, sites and transport/guiding processes involved in fertilization. Although there is variation across mammals, this is meant to represent generic features of mammalian reproduction. Figure drawn from [49,51].

reproductive organs, and mating systems and behaviour, obviously influence patterns of fertilization. However, in contrast to the wide variation in these characteristics across mammals, there is much similarity in the process once sperm have entered the female reproductive tract. Therefore, this stage serves as a suitable starting point for examining the context and processes associated with the molecular aspects of fertilization.

When sperm first enter the reproductive tract, two main objectives can be envisaged: evading the female immune system and targeting movement towards the egg. The importance of the former can be seen from data where seminal fluid triggers an invasion of antisperm antibodies and white blood cells into the vagina [41–43] that can proceed to break down the spermatozoa (motile sperm) [44,45]. Indeed, it is thought that avoiding such an immune response is why many species evolved genitalia capable of depositing spermatozoa directly into the uterus, or at least close to the cervix where they can then quickly be moved through the cervix into the uterus [46]. Components within the seminal plasma also appear to provide at least some additional protection from phagocytosis [47]. This immune response, and the medium of the cervical mucus, are thought to limit the progress of a large portion of the sperm (including those that are malformed or damaged), whereas a small portion of morphologically normal sperm may proceed rapidly into the oviduct.

Numerous factors appear to aid the movement of sperm towards the egg, and the relative importance of each may vary across species. In general, four main factors are key [46,48,49]. First, uterine contractions can efficiently move large numbers of sperm through the initial components of the reproductive tract (vagina, cervix, uterus). Second, folds present in the tissues may serve as pathways directing sperm through the cervix and uterus, and towards the oviduct. Third, thermotaxis—or the movement of spermatozoa along a temperature gradient—helps guide sperm down the fallopian tube towards the site of fertilization, which is 1–2° warmer than the entrance of the fallopian tube [49,50]. The fourth, and perhaps most interesting, factor is chemoattraction: where sperm are attracted by chemical signals released from the egg [48,51]. Such a process is prevalent in the animal kingdom, but less well understood in mammals. For example, the specific chemicals used have been identified for many non-mammalian species, but have yet to be identified in mammals [49,51] (figure 2).

3.1. Modification of sperm prior to fertilization

Successful movement of the gametes towards one another is not the only hurdle to be overcome for fertilization to be successful. Instead, early studies showed that spermatozoa cannot fertilize eggs



Figure 3. Sperm structure and capacitation. Diagram of the sperm head containing the nucleus with the haploid genome, and the acrosome, which is a secretory organelle. The acrosome has two membranes, an inner and outer. Capacitation causes multiple physiological changes in the head, acrosome and tail of the sperm, which is necessary for fertilization. Figure drawn from reference [8].

immediately after ejaculation, but rather require an incubation time in the female reproductive tract before acquiring this potential [52–55]. These studies provided early indications of the complexity of fertilization, and the important role of environmental conditions within the female reproductive tract [52,55]. Although such an incubation time is required across mammals, the necessary length of time varies across species, ranging from approximately 1 h in humans to approximately 5 h in rabbits and cows [56–58]. These data demonstrate that the sperm must undergo modifications that are triggered by the environment in order to interact properly with the egg. Two such transitions are now known to occur: capacitation and the acrosome reaction.

3.1.1. Capacitation

As spermatozoa make their way through the uterus and into the oviductal isthmus, they become reversibly bound to the oviductal epithelium [51]. This is the stage at which spermatozoa become capacitated (figure 2). One of the key steps that appears to trigger this process is the removal (*in vitro*) or dilution (*in vivo*) of the seminal fluid associated with the spermatozoa, which is a known inhibitor of capacitation [55,59,60]. Not all spermatozoa undergo capacitated, with a relatively high turnover rate of which sperm are capacitated and which are not [55].

The processes that take place during capacitation have two major effects on the fertilization abilities of the sperm. First, it is at this time when sperm become 'hyperactivated'. Hyperactivation usually involves increased amplitude and asymmetry in flagellar beating patterns, and appears necessary for the spermatozoa to break free from their bonds with the oviductal epithelium, complete their journey towards the egg and penetrate the outer layers of the egg [61,62]. Second, it is during capacitation when the proteins needed for sperm–egg interactions become 'unmasked' due to the removal and/or changes in the proteins present on the plasma membrane on the head of the sperm [55,63,64] (figure 3). Thus, it is at this stage when the first proteins involved in sperm–egg interaction are exposed, and the genes underlying such proteins should be a key target in investigations into the molecular aspects of gametic compatibility.

After capacitation, spermatozoa move through the fallopian tube towards the egg, probably guided by a combination of thermotaxis, chemotaxis and oviductal contractions. Only capacitated sperm can make their way through the cumulus cells surrounding the egg [55].

3.1.2. The acrosome reaction

The second major transition that must take place in the spermatozoa for fertilization to be successful is the acrosome reaction (AR). The acrosome is a secretory vesicle in the head of mammalian spermatozoa that is enclosed by a continuous acrosomal membrane. The membrane can be further divided into the inner acrosomal membrane, which is in close proximity to the nuclear membrane, and the outer acrosomal membrane, which is under the plasma membrane that covers the acrosome [65,66] (figure 4). During the AR, the plasma membrane and outer acrosomal membrane fuse, and the acrosomal contents are released. This process uncovers a new set of proteins that will interact with the plasma membrane of the



Figure 4. Changes to spermatozoa during the acrosome reaction. The inner acrosomal membrane is exposed allowing the spermatozoa to bind to and penetrate the zona pellucida, and to bind to the egg plasma membrane. Figure drawn from [8,37].

egg during fertilization [66,67] (figure 3). However, once the spermatozoon makes its way through the ZP and reaches the plasma membrane of the egg, the point of contact with the egg is not the tip of the spermatozoon, but rather the equatorial region on either side [8] (figure 4). Thus, it is proteins expressed on these regions, after the acrosome reaction that are probably key to gamete compatibility at this stage of fertilization.

It has historically been thought that the acrosome reaction is triggered when the proteins on the head of the spermatozoa that were exposed during capacitation interact with those on the ZP. Indeed, several studies have shown that the ZP, and ZP3 in particular, have sperm-binding capabilities and can also trigger the AR [68,69]. Additionally, during the AR enzymes are released that can dissolve the ZP, creating a hole through which spermatozoa can pass [70]. However, recent studies have shown that, at least in mice, this is not necessarily the case, and that spermatozoa can undergo the acrosome reaction prior to interaction with the ZP, and even prior to encountering the cumulus cells surrounding the egg [71,72]. Therefore, at this time the trigger(s) for the acrosome reaction and the exact location where it takes place are not known. A role for an interaction with the ZP still seems likely, but what that role is, and how essential it is are now unclear. One possibility is that interaction with the ZP3 may facilitate the completion of the AR, rather than being a key aspect of AR initiation [71].

3.2. Summary of gamete interaction stages

In summary, there are two major stages where the proteins of the gametes interact with one another, and thus where the characteristics of these proteins may influence fertilization patterns. First is when the proteins on the head of the spermatozoon interact with those on the egg's zona pellucida. However, as stated above, it was originally thought that it was the proteins exposed during capacitation that interact with the ZP, triggering the acrosome reaction. However, it is now clear that many of the spermatozoa that bind to the ZP have already undergone the AR, and therefore, it is probably proteins exposed on the head post AR that are key to sperm–ZP interactions. Second is when those proteins exposed on the equatorial region of the spermatozoa during the AR interact with those on the egg's plasma membrane (figure 4). By considering which proteins are expressed when, and in what locations, it is possible to identify a suite of potentially interacting candidate genes influencing gametic compatibility.

4. Potential gamete compatibility genes

Below is a brief description of the genes that, at the time of this writing, have the most potential for being key players in gamete compatibility. It is divided into those found on each gamete. We reiterate that only one interacting pair is currently known in mammals: Izumo on the sperm, with Juno on the egg. However, the other genes described are known to influence gamete compatibility in some way, even though the details have not yet been worked out. We caution readers that this list represents many of the likely candidates given our current understanding; however, it is not completely exhaustive, and our understanding is still in its infancy. Therefore, some genes that may prove key in the future may not be included here, and some included here may be of limited use.



Figure 5. Major steps in fertilization. (1) Spermatozoa undergo the acrosome reaction probably prior to reaching the cumulus mass [71,72]; (2) spermatozoa penetrate the cumulus cells; (3) spermatozoa binds to the zona pellucida; (4) sperm moves through the zona pellucida into the perivitelline space; (5) sperm binds to the egg plasma membrane; (6) sperm fuses with the egg plasma membrane. Note that binding (step 5) and fusion (step 6) are distinct processes, and studies have shown that sperm can bind to the plasma membrane without fusing with it. Figure drawn from [7].

4.1. Sperm

4.1.1. Izumo1

The Izumo1 protein (named after a Japanese marriage shrine) has a large extracellular region, a single transmembrane region and a short cytoplasmic tail [73,74]. During the acrosome reaction, Izumo1 shifts from the anterior head of the sperm to the equatorial segment where fusion takes place [75]. Mice that lack the Izumo1 protein produce normal sperm that are capable of binding to, and penetrating, the zona pellucida, but which are unable to fuse with eggs. The sperm instead built up in the perivitelline space (the space in between the ZP and the plasma membrane of the egg) [76]. An inhibitory antibody bound to this section inhibited sperm–egg fusion but it did not affect sperm motility or egg binding [73]. Binding is a necessary step where the sperm is attached to the egg, before fusion can take place (figure 5) [32]. This suggests the inhibitory effect occurs during the sperm–egg fusion [73]. The putative functional sites where Izumo1 interacts with Juno have been identified, with amino acids 148–163 being particularly important [77,78].

4.1.2. CRISP1

CRISP1, also known as DE (due to showing up on non-denaturing gels as two bands-called proteins D and E [79]) is one member of the cysteine-rich secretory protein (CRISP) family [80]. Members of the CRISP family vary in their biological functions, are found in different mammalian tissues and can even be found in the venom of snakes, lizards and snails [81,82]. The proteins are characterized by 16 conserved cysteine residues, with 10 being clustered in the C-terminal domain [27]. CRISP1 is unique among the candidate genes considered here, in that it appears to be involved in both stages of the spermegg interaction. There are two 'populations' of CRISP1 expressed on spermatozoa: one loosely bound population that is involved in the initial binding of sperm to the ZP (and which are subsequently released from the sperm during the acrosome reaction); and a second, tightly bound, population that migrates to the equatorial region of the sperm head after the AR and is subsequently involved in egg membrane binding [27]. Mice with a mutated CRISP1 gene were still fertile, but had decreased fusion ability in an environment that promoted sperm competition with healthy sperm [83]. Additionally, the masking of CRISP1 resulted in a significantly lower ability to fertilize eggs that had the cumulus cells and zona pellucida removed. Thus, sperm lacking CRISP1 have a disadvantage in their capacity to both interact with the zona pellucida and fuse with the egg [83]. The egg-binding ability of CRISP1 is located in a specific 12 amino acid sequence known as Signature 2 [84]. However, another member of the CRISP family may compensate in sperm that are lacking CRISP1. CRISP2 may interact with common binding sites on the egg as CRISP1 [85], and CRISP2's Signature 2 region only differs from CRISP1 by two amino acids [83].

4.1.3. CRISP2

CRISP2, also called Tpx-1, is expressed exclusively in male haploid germ cells, and shows high homology (69%) to CRISP1 [85]. Unlike CRISP1, CRISP2 is not involved in ZP binding, and is only associated with binding to the plasma membrane of the egg. CRISP2 is expressed on the equatorial section of the sperm after the acrosome reaction, and experimental studies have found that an inhibitory CRISP2 antibody reduces the percentage of fertilized eggs, with sperm accumulating in the perivitelline space [85]. The antibody had no effect on ZP penetration, sperm motility or the AR [85]. A potential functional site was seen in human males: a polymorphism in exon 9 of CRISP2 resulted in sterility [86].

4.1.4. PKDREJ

PKDREJ is a large, intron-less gene that codes for an approximately 8 kb transcript in humans [87]. Its name is derived from the fact that it has high homology to two different types of genes: the PKD family and the REJ gene. The PKD family of genes code for membrane-bound proteins that form calcium ion channels and are involved in cell–cell and cell–extracellular matrix interactions [88]. A region of PKDREJ is also homologous with the sea urchin REJ gene, which is involved in sperm–egg interaction [89]. The PKDREJ protein is located on the acrosome of the sperm head, suggesting that PKDREJ is involved in ZP binding [90]. Experimental evidence indicates that although PKDREJ is involved in ZP binding, it is not essential [91]. For example, male mice homozygous for a mutated PKDREJ allele could still fertilize eggs, but had lower fertilization success when in a competitive environment with normal sperm. This reduction was due to an increase in the amount of time needed for the acrosome reaction to occur [91]. The likely location of the functionally important segment of the PKDREJ gene is the region homologous to the REJ gene, which corresponds approximately to amino acids 280–800 in humans [25].

4.1.5. PH-20

PH-20 is a plasma membrane protein located on the sperm head as well as on the inner acrosomal membrane, the latter of which appears to be released during the acrosome reaction [92–94]. For many years it was thought that PH-20 is required for sperm binding to the ZP, and this requirement led to investigations of using medicinal blockage of PH-20 as a form of male contraception [92,94]. However, more recent studies suggest that this may not be universal because PH-20-null mice are still fertile [95]. PH-20 appears to have a dual role in fertilization [93,96]. First, PH-20 has enzymatic activity and these proteins covering the head of the sperm are important for penetrating the cumulus layer of cells surrounding the egg. Second, it has a non-enzymatic role in the secondary binding of spermatozoa to the ZP after the acrosome reaction [93,96,97]. The active site of PH-20 required for hyaluronic acid binding, a step in the ability to penetrate the cumulus layer, has been identified at amino acid sites 205–235 [98], but the site required for secondary binding to the ZP has yet to be identified.

4.1.6. Zonadhesin

Zonadhesin is an acrosomal protein that is unique in its ability to bind to the zona pellucida in a species-specific manner [99]. It is localized on the outer acrosomal membrane and exposed during capacitation [100–102]. It differs between species due to rapid evolution and also domain duplication, mRNA splice variation and processing heterogeneity during the functional maturation of the protein [101]. Sperm adhesion to the ZP, or sperm penetration, was decreased when sperm cells were exposed to a zonadhesin antibody [102]. Additionally, mice that lack zonadhesin are fertile, but have lost the species specificity of sperm–ZP fusion. This loss has not been seen with knockout individuals of other sperm proteins [101]. A potential binding region is an exposed fragment of 30 amino acids in MAM (me-prin/A5 antigen/mu receptor tyrosine phosphatase) domain 3 in mice. This section is characterized by a substantially increased rate of positively selected amino acid sites and exhibits high variability in predicted post-translational modifications.

4.2. Eggs

4.2.1. The zona pellucida

The zona pellucida (ZP) is composed of three different glycoproteins: ZP1, ZP2 and ZP3. In humans and other primates, there is an additional glycoprotein, ZP4 [36]. ZP1 is necessary for forming and maintaining the structural integrity of the zona pellucida [104]. Mice lacking ZP1 still have a zona

pellucida, but it is thinner than normal, and has a poorly defined border. This disfiguration can lead to granulosa cells (which make up cumulus cells) accumulating in the perivitelline space, causing functional disorganization within the egg, and resulting in diffusion of the zona matrix. In functional studies of ZP1, ZP1-null mice had reduced fertilization rates (80% of ZP1-null mice were sterile), and those where fertilization was successful had litter sizes that were half those of normal mice [105]. The fact that ZP1-null mice can still be fertile indicates that ZP1 is not essential for proper sperm–egg interaction and fertilization.

In humans, ZP2 is responsible for secondary binding of the acrosome-reacted sperm (following initial binding with ZP3) [106]. ZP2 also provides an effective block to polyspermy. After fertilization, ZP2 is cleaved from the zona pellucida so that additional sperm are unable to bind to the early embryo, ensuring monospermic fertilization [106]. Mice without ZP2 are able to form a thin zona pellucida comprising ZP1 and ZP3. However, the resulting ZP is not sustainable, and the resulting eggs are ZP-free [104]. The absence of the zona pellucida has a negative effect on the development of the egg, resulting in sterility of that female [107].

Although, as stated above, the role of sperm binding with the ZP has been revised with respect to triggering the AR, sperm–ZP binding is still an important step in fertilization, regardless of its role in the AR. For example, mice that lack ZP3 form oocytes without a zona pellucida, which results in sterility [104]. ZP3 is also thought to be responsible for the species-specific binding of sperm to the egg [70]. Although some studies have found indications of which specific regions directly influence sperm binding [108,109], other studies have obtained conflicting results [110,111], and therefore the key regions involved remain unknown. ZP3 polypeptides do not appear to interact with the sperm directly, but rather do so via oligosaccharides that bind to the ZP3 polypeptides [70]. Thus variation within the gene itself, as well as in the associated oligosaccharides, is responsible for the subsequent effects on fertilization. Indeed, previous studies have shown that this gene is under strong selection, causing rapid divergence between species [112].

The role of the human ZP4 is not yet well understood and requires more research. As it is structurally similar to ZP1, it has been assumed that ZP4 also plays a role in maintaining the structural integrity of the human zona pellucida [104].

4.2.2. Juno

Juno is the egg receptor for Izumo1 [29]. Previously called Folr4, this gene was renamed Juno after the Roman goddess of fertility and marriage, once it was recognized as the paired receptor for Izumo. Female mice that lack Juno are completely sterile. Juno is also rapidly shed after fertilization, which could provide an additional block to polyspermy [29]. The shedding of Juno creates a layer of 'fake' eggs that could attract and bind acrosome-reacted sperm, preventing them from reaching the already fertilized egg. The interaction of Izumo1 and Juno is a necessary event for adhesion between acrosome-reacted sperm and the egg membrane [29]. Adhesion is the sustained interaction of sperm cells with the egg extracellular matrix that should lead to fertilization with normal sperm [32]. However, these proteins do not facilitate the following step, fusion, which is vital for successful fertilization [29]. Juno has a folatebinding pocket at amino acids 60–175; however, the binding site for Izumo1 has been identified as the surface behind this binding pocket, and specifically within amino acid sites 44–91 and 145–191 [77,78].

4.2.3. CD9

Another putative type of gene for moderating fusion on the egg surface is the tetraspanin family [74]. Tetraspanins are small transmembrane proteins that are thought to affect cell adhesion, motility, proliferation, differentiation and signalling. CD9 is a necessary tetraspanin for gamete fusion [113]. Knockout mice that lack CD9 have severely reduced fertility. The sperm is able to penetrate the ZP and bind to the egg membrane, but the membranes are unable to fuse. The exact role of CD9 in sperm fusion is still unknown. Research suggests that it does not interact directly with a complementary protein on the sperm, but rather it binds with another 'egg fusion protein', causing a change in conformation, which then interacts with the sperm [74,113]. The functional sites of CD9 have been identified as part of the large extracellular loop 2, amino acids 173–175. A mutation at these amino acids results in eggs without fusion ability [113].

The genes discussed here primarily code for proteins thought to interact directly with complementary proteins on the other gamete. However, the products of some gamete compatibility genes, such as ZP3, bind to sugar molecules and it is this combined glycoprotein that is involved in gamete interactions [114–116]. This greatly increases the complexity of gamete interactions, with variation in the proteins

themselves, the sugar molecules and in the post-translational modification (glycosylation), potentially impacting gamete compatibility. However, we currently know little about the role of glycoproteins in mammalian gamete compatibility, outside of those involved with the ZP, but, given their importance in other taxonomic groups [115], it seems likely that they play an important role, the details of which remain to be discovered.

5. Applications

Understanding how characteristics at gamete compatibility genes influence patterns of fertilization has implications for a broad range of fields, ranging from reproductive biology to evolutionary and conservation genetics, to speciation. Here, we will briefly summarize some of these applications, highlighting how they can fill key gaps in our understanding.

In terms of reproductive biology and evolutionary genetics, patterns of non-random fertilization are widespread in nature [117–123]. These are often studied in the context of post-copulatory mate choice, where females are able to 'choose' which sperm fertilize their eggs. In species where laboratory experiments are possible, this 'choice' appears due to differential fertilization success of different types of sperm relative to the characteristics of each egg [122,123]. Despite the widespread nature of these patterns, however, the mechanisms involved have remained elusive. Thus, identifying the underlying genes and mechanisms has long been regarded as a high priority [118,119,121]. Genes involved in gamete compatibility are clearly the most likely candidate genes influencing these non-random fertilization patterns [14], and their analyses will therefore shed much needed light on the issues of post-copulatory sexual selection, female choice and evolutionary genetics.

Understanding these patterns also has large implications for the fields of conservation biology and conservation genetics. In many of the species where non-random fertilization patterns have been found, fertilizations are biased towards gametes that are genetically dissimilar [117–119,121,123–125]. The result is offspring with higher levels of heterozygosity than expected from a similar-sized random-mating population. In this way, this process can not only slow the decline of heterozygosity expected from genetic drift, but can also maintain heterozygosity at higher levels than expected in small populations. Thus, these biased fertilization patterns can significantly counter the effects of genetic drift, and act to maintain genetic diversity in small populations [126,127]. Moreover, the resulting benefits (primarily offspring with high heterozygosity) have been proposed as one of the main driving forces behind the evolution of polyandry [128–131]. Obtaining a better understanding of how the characteristics of gamete compatibility genes shape fertilization patterns can, therefore, lead to a better understanding of the mechanisms through which patterns of genetic diversity influence reproductive performance and recovery potential in endangered species, and lead to a more thorough understanding of the evolution of different mating systems and strategies.

The process of speciation involves the evolution of reproductive barriers between closely related groups of individuals. Although the development of geographical barriers (resulting in allopatric populations) is often thought to be the trigger for the subsequent development of 'biological' barriers, it is the presence of these biological barriers that often underlies where species lines are drawn [132,133]. Gamete compatibility genes are likely candidates for the initial development of reproductive incompatibilities between closely related groups of individuals [17-19,134-136]. Indeed, Gavrilets & Waxman [17] showed that if segregating alleles within a population result in females differing in their compatibility to different males, this will lead to different 'groups' of reproductive (compatible) individuals. Over time, this can result in the sympatric evolution of reproductively isolated groups. Thus, gamete compatibility genes are probably a key factor in the evolution of biological reproductive barriers, and provide a clear path through which one species can sympatrically be split into two based solely on different fertilization patterns among existing alleles. A similar process is probably also important in many cases of allopatric speciation with gene flow, where differentiation at gamete compatibility genes underlies the development of reproductive barriers. In this way, the analysis of these genes represents a promising approach for improving our understanding of how genetic characteristics influence the speciation process.

When trying to identify loci influencing specific traits, two approaches are generally used: the candidate gene approach where specific genes or loci are targeted for sequencing and analysis; and genome-wide association studies (GWAS) where tens of thousands of loci (generally single nucleotide polymorphisms, or SNPs) are analysed to screen the genome for regions or loci showing an appropriate signature. The rapid evolution and decreasing cost of methods to characterize and genotype individuals

at tens of thousands of SNPs have led to the rapid growth of our understanding of how genotype influences fitness and phenotype based on the GWAS approach [137–139]. However, such studies often involve two stages: the first involving the large-scale genome screening to identify loci with an appropriate signature, and then a second stage of further sequencing and characterization of the area around the SNP originally identified. Therefore, the candidate gene approach may still be a more efficient option in cases where putative candidate genes have been identified [140]. Much research has been conducted on potential gamete compatibility genes, and their likely roles in the fertilization process. Therefore, the goal of this review was to bring this wealth of literature together into one cohesive paper and framework, and to create a list of candidate genes that hold the most potential for success, and therefore serve as a guide for future studies. Moreover, given the broad range, and importance, of processes influenced by gamete compatibility genes, we hope that this paper will serve as motivation for more researchers to pursue this line of inquiry.

Data accessibility. As a review, this paper did not generate new data of its own. Therefore, the data deposition and accessibility requirements for this journal are not applicable.

Authors' contributions. T.R.F. developed the idea for this review paper as part of L.S.'s MSc thesis. Both T.R.F. and L.S. conducted the literature review, worked together to develop its structure and main points, and shared the duties of writing the manuscript.

Competing interests. We do not have any competing interests to declare.

Funding. This work was funded by a National Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Discovery Development Grant no (DDG-2015-00049) to T.R.F.

Acknowledgements. We thank two anonymous reviewers whose comments greatly improved the manuscript.

References

- López-Sepulcre A, Norris K, Kokko H. 2009 Reproductive conflict delays the recovery of an endangered social species. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 78, 219–225. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2656.2008. 01475.x)
- Schluter D. 2001 Ecology and the origin of species. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 16, 372–380. (doi:10.1016/S0169-5347(01)02198-X)
- Rolshausen G, Segelbacher G, Hobson KA, Schaefer HM. 2009 Contemporary evolution of reproductive isolation and phenotypic divergence in sympatry along a migratory divide. *Curr. Biol.* 19, 2097–2101. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2009.10.061)
- Grant PR, Grant BR. 2009 The secondary contact phase of allopatric speciation in Darwin's finches. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 20 141–20 148. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0911761106)
- Ober C. 1999 Studies of HLA, fertility and mate choice in a human isolate. *Hum. Reprod. Update* 5, 103–107. (doi:10.1093/humupd/5.2.103)
- Vacquier VD. 1998 Evolution of gamete recognition proteins. *Science* 281, 1995–1998. (doi:10.1126/ science.281.5385.1995)
- Primakoff P. 2002 Penetration, adhesion, and fusion in mammalian sperm-egg interaction. *Science* 296, 2183–2185. (doi:10.1126/science. 1072029)
- Okabe M. 2013 The cell biology of mammalian fertilization. *Development* 140, 4471–4479. (doi:10.1242/dev.090613)
- Galindo BE, Vacquier VD, Swanson WJ. 2003 Positive selection in the egg receptor for abalone sperm lysin. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 100, 4639–4643. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0830022100)
- Kresge N, Vacquier VD, Stout CD. 2001 Abalone lysin: the dissolving and evolving sperm protein. *Bioessays* 23, 95–103. (doi:10.1002/1521-1878(2001 01)23:1<95::AID-BIES1012>3.3.C0;2-3)
- Panhuis TM, Clark NL, Swanson WJ. 2006 Rapid evolution of reproductive proteins in abalone and

Drosophila. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B **361**, 261–268. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2005.1793)

- Swanson WJ, Vacquier VD. 1998 Concerted evolution in an egg receptor for a rapidly evolving abalone sperm protein. *Science* 281, 710–712. (doi:10.1126/science.281.5377.710)
- Metz EC, Gómez-Gutiérrez G, Vacquier VD. 1998 Mitochondrial DNA and bindin gene sequence evolution among allopatric species of the sea urchin genus Arbacia. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 15, 185–195. (doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a025914)
- Palumbi SR. 1999 All males are not created equal: fertility differences depend on gamete recognition polymorphisms in sea urchins. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 96, 12 632–12 637. (doi:10.1073/ pnas.96.22.12632)
- Zigler KS, McCartney MA, Levitan DR, Lessios HA. 2005 Sea urchin bindin divergence predicts gamete compatibility. *Evolution* 59, 2399–2404. (doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2005.tb00949.x)
- Zigler KS, Lessios HA. 2004 Speciation on the coasts of the new world: phylogeography and the evolution of bindin in the sea urchin genus *Lytechinus. Evolution* 58, 1225–1241. (doi:10.1111/ j.0014-3820.2004.tb01702.x)
- Gavrilets S, Waxman D. 2002 Sympatric speciation by sexual conflict. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 99, 10 533–10 538. (doi:10.1073/pnas.152011499)
- Metz EC, Kane RE, Yanagimachi H, Palumbi SR. 1994 Fertilization between closely related sea urchins is blocked by incompatibilities during sperm-egg attachment and early stages of fusion. *Biol. Bull.* 187, 23–34. (doi:10.2307/1542162)
- Palumbi SR. 2009 Speciation and the evolution of gamete recognition genes: pattern and process. *Heredity* 102, 66–76. (doi:10.1038/hdy.2008.104)
- Corbett-Detig RB, Zhou J, Clark AG, Hartl DL, Ayroles JF. 2014 Genetic incompatibilities are widespread within species. *Nature* 504, 135–137. (doi:10.1038/nature12678)

- Swanson WJ, Vacquier VD. 2002 The rapid evolution of reproductive proteins. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 3, 137–144. (doi:10.1038/nrg733)
- Turner LM, Hoekstra HE. 2008 Causes and consequences of the evolution of reproductive proteins. *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* 52, 769–780. (doi:10.1387/ ijdb.082577lt)
- Hart MW, Sunday JM, Popovic I, Learning KJ, Konrad CM. 2014 Incipient speciation of sea star populations by adaptive gamete recognition coevolution. *Evolution* 68, 1294–1305. (doi:10.1111/ evo.12352)
- Dorus S, Evans PD, Wyckoff GJ, Choi SS, Lahn BT. 2004 Rate of molecular evolution of the seminal protein gene SEMG2 correlates with levels of female promiscuity. *Nat. Genet.* **36**, 1326–1329. (doi:10.1038/ng1471)
- Hamm D, Mautz BS, Wolfner MF, Aquadro CF, Swanson WJ. 2007 Evidence of amino acid diversity-enhancing selection within humans and among primates at the candidate sperm-receptor gene PKDREJ. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 81, 44–52. (doi:10.1086/518695)
- Wassarman PM, Litscher ES. 2008 Mammalian fertilization: the egg's multifunctional zona pellucida. *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* 52, 665–676. (doi:10.13 87/ijdb.072524pw)
- Cohen DJ, Busso D, Da Ros V, Ellerman DA, Maldera JA, Goldweic N, Cuasnicu PS. 2008 Participation of cysteine-rich secretory proteins (CRISP) in mammalian sperm-egg interaction. *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* 52, 737–742. (doi:10.1387/ijdb.072538dc)
- Claw KG, George RD, Swanson WJ. 2014 Detecting coevolution in mammalian sperm-egg fusion proteins. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* 81, 531–538. (doi:10.10 02/mrd.22321)
- Bianchi E, Doe B, Goulding D, Wright GJ. 2014 Juno is the egg Izumo receptor and is essential for mammalian fertilization. *Nature* 508, 483–487. (doi:10.1038/nature13203)

11

- Wassarman PM. 2014 Sperm protein finds its mate. *Nature* 508, 466–467. (doi:10.1038/nature 13227)
- Diaz FJ, Wigglesworth K, Eppig JJ. 2007 Oocytes determine cumulus cell lineage in mouse ovarian follicles. *J. Cell Sci.* **120**, 1330–1340. (doi:10.1242/ jcs.000968)
- 32. Hardy DM (ed.) 2002 *Fertilization*. New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Oren-Benaroya R, Orvieto R, Gakamsky A, Pinchasov M, Eisenbach M. 2008 The sperm chemoattractant secreted from human cumulus cells is progesterone. *Hum. Reprod.* 23, 2339–2345. (doi:10.1093/humrep/den265)
- Rodger JC, Bedford JM. 1982 Separation of sperm pairs and sperm–egg interaction in the opossum, *Didelphis virginiana. J. Reprod. Fertilization* 64, 171–179. (doi:10.1530/jrf.0.0640171)
- Cardullo RA, Thaler CD. 2002 Function of the egg's extracellular matrix. In *Fertilization* (ed. DM Hardy), pp. 119–152. New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Wilburn DB, Swanson WJ. 2015 From molecules to mating: rapid evolution and biochemical studies of reproductive proteins. *J. Proteomics* 135, 12–25. (doi:10.1016/j.jprot.2015.06.007)
- Wassarman PM, Jovine L, Litscher ES, Qi H, Williams Z. 2004 Egg-sperm interactions at fertilization in mammals. *Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol.* **115**, 557–560. (doi:10.1016/j.ejogrb. 2004.01.025)
- Shu L, Suter MJF, Räsänen K. 2015 Evolution of egg coats: linking molecular biology and ecology. *Mol. Ecol.* 24, 4052–4073. (doi:10.1111/mec.13283)
- Pesch S, Bergmann M. 2006 Structure of mammalian spermatozoa in respect to viability, fertility and cryopreservation. *Micron* 37, 597–612. (doi:10.1016/j.micron.2006.02.006)
- Gadella BM, Luna C. 2014 Cell biology and functional dynamics of the mammalian sperm surface. *Theriogenology* **81**, 74–84. (doi:10.1016/ j.theriogenology.2013.09.005)
- Kahn JA, Sunde A, von Düring V, Sørdal T, Remen A, Lippe B, Siegel J, Molne K. 1993 Formation of antisperm antibodies in women treated with fallopian tube sperm perfusion. *Hum. Reprod.* 8, 1414–1419. (doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.humrep. a138270)
- Mazumdar S, Levine AS. 1998 Antisperm antibodies: etiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment. *Fertil. Steril.* **70**, 799–810. (doi:10.1016/ S0015-0282(98)00302-1)
- Robertson SA. 2005 Seminal plasma and male factor signalling in the female reproductive tract. *Cell Tissue Res.* 322, 43–52. (doi:10.1007/s00441-005-1127-3)
- Phillips DM, Mahler S. 1977 Phagocytosis of spermatozoa by the rabbit vagina. *Anat. Rec.: Adv. Integr. Anat. Evol. Biol.* 189, 61–71.
- Woelders H, Matthijs A. 2001 Phagocytosis of boar spermatozoa *in vitro* and *in vivo. Reprod. Suppl.* 58, 113–127.
- Suarez SS. 2002 Gamete transport. In *Fertilization* (ed. DM Hardy), pp. 3–28. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Dostál J, Veselský L, Marounek M, Zelezná B, Jonáková V. 1997 Inhibition of bacterial and boar epididymal sperm immunogenicity by boar seminal immunosuppressive component in mice.

J. Reprod. Fertil. **111**, 135–141. (doi:10.1530/jrf.0. 1110135)

- Quill TA, Garbers DL. 2002 Sperm motility activation and chemoattraction. In *Fertilization* (ed. DM Hardy), pp. 29–55. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Eisenbach M, Giojalas LC. 2006 Sperm guidance in mammals: an unpaved road to the egg. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 7, 276–285. (doi:10.1038/nrm1893)
- Bahat A, Tur-Kaspa I, Gakamsky A, Giojalas LC, Breitbart H, Eisenbach M. 2003 Thermotaxis of mammalian sperm cells: a potential navigation mechanism in the female genital tract. *Nat. Med.* 9, 149–150. (doi:10.1038/nm0203-149)
- Eisenbach M. 1999 Sperm chemotaxis. *Rev. Reprod.* 4, 56–66. (doi:10.1530/ror.0.0040056)
- Chang MC. 1951 Fertilizing capacity of spermatozoa deposited into the fallopian tubes. *Nature* 168, 697–698. (doi:10.1038/168697b0)
- Austin CR. 1951 Observations on the penetration of the sperm in the mammalian egg. *Aust. J. Sci. Res.* 4, 581–596. (doi:10.1071/BI9510581)
- Austin CR. 1952 The 'capacitation' of the mammalian sperm. *Nature* **170**, 326. (doi:10.1038/ 170326a0)
- Jaiswal BS, Eisenbach M. 2002 Capacitation. In Fertilization (ed. DM Hardy), pp. 57–115. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Overstreet JW, Gould JE, Katz DF, Hanson FW. 1980 In vitro capacitation of human spermatozoa after passage through a columns of cervical mucus. Fertil. Steril. 34, 604–606. (doi:10.1016/S0015-0282(16)45204-0)
- Austin CR. 1985 Sperm maturation in the male and female genital tracts. In *Biology of fertilization* (eds CB Metz, A Monroy), pp. 121–155. New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Cohen-Dayag A, Tur-Kaspa I, Dor J, Mashiach S, Eisenbach M. 1995 Sperm capacitation in humans is transient and correlates with chemotactic responsiveness to follicular factors. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 92, 11 039–11 043. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 92.24.11039)
- Chang MC. 1957 A detrimental effect of seminal plasma on the fertilizing capacity of sperm. *Nature* 179, 258–259. (doi:10.1038/179258a0)
- Bedford JM. 1970 Sperm capacitation and fertilization in mammals. *Biol. Reprod. Suppl.* 2, 128–158. (doi:10.1095/biolreprod2.Supplement_2. 128)
- Demott RP, Suarez SS. 1992 Hyperactivated sperm progress in the mouse oviduct. *Biol. Reprod.* 46, 779–785. (doi:10.1095/biolreprod46.5.779)
- Stauss CR, Votta TJ, Suarez SS. 1995 Sperm motility hyperactivation facilitates penetration of the hamster zona pellucida. *Biol. Reprod.* 53, 1280–1285. (doi:10.1095/biolreprod53.6.1280)
- Yanagimachi R. 1994 Mammalian fertilization. In The physiology of reproduction (eds E Knobil, J Neill), pp. 189–317. New York, NY: Raven Press.
- de Lamirande E, Leclerc P, Gagnon C. 1997 Capacitation as a regulatory event that primes spermatozoa for the acrosome reaction and fertilization. *Mol. Hum. Reprod.* 3, 175–194. (doi:10.1093/molehr/3.3.175)
- Kopf GS. 2002 Signal transduction mechanisms regulating sperm acrosomal exocytosis. In *Fertilization* (ed. DM Hardy), pp. 181–223. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.

- Gerton GL. 2002 Function of the sperm acrosome. In *Fertilization* (ed. DM Hardy), pp. 265–302. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Wassarman PM, Jovine L, Qi H, Williams Z, Darie C, Litscher ES. 2005 Recent aspects of mammalian fertilization research. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 234, 95–103. (doi:10.1016/j.mce.2004.08.017)
- Liu C, Litscher ES, Mortillo S, Sakai Y, Kinloch RA, Stewart CL, Wassarman PM. 1996 Targeted disruption of the mZP3 gene results in production of eggs lacking a zona pellucida and infertility in female mice. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 93, 5431–5436. (doi:10.1073/pnas.93.11.5431)
- Rankin T, Familari M, Lee E, Ginsberg A, Dwyer N, Blanchette-Mackie J, Drago J, Westphal H, Dean J. 1996 Mice homozygous for an insertional mutation in the Zp3 gene lack a zona pellucida and are infertile. *Development* **122**, 2903–2910.
- Wassarman PM, Jovine L, Litscher ES. 2001 A profile of fertilization in mammals. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 3, E59–E64. (doi:10.1038/35055178)
- Jin M, Fujiwara E, Kakiuchi Y, Okabe M, Satouh Y, Baba SA, Chiba K, Hirohashi N. 2011 Most fertilizing mouse spermatozoa begin their acrosome reaction before contact with the zona pellucida during *in vitro* fertilization. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **108**, 4892–4896. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1018202108)
- La Spina FA, Puga Molina LC, Romarowski A, Vitale AM, Falzone TL, Krapf D, Hirohashi N, Buffone MG. 2016 Mouse sperm begin to undergo acrosomal exocytosis in the upper isthmus of the oviduct. *Dev. Biol.* 411, 172–182. (doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2016. 02.006)
- Inoue N, Hamada D, Kamikubo H, Hirata K, Kataoka M, Yamamoto M, Ikawa M, Okabe M, Hagihara Y. 2013 Molecular dissection of IZUM01, a sperm protein essential for sperm-egg fusion. *Development* 140, 3221–3229. (doi:10.1242/dev. 094854)
- Klinovska K, Sebkova N, Dvorakova-Hortova K. 2014 Sperm-egg fusion: a molecular enigma of mammalian reproduction. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 15, 10 652–10 668. (doi:10.3390/ijms150610652)
- Inoue N, Ikawa M, Okabe M. 2010 The mechanism of sperm-egg interaction and the involvement of IZUM01 in fusion. *Asian J. Androl.* 13, 81–87. (doi:10.1038/aja.2010.70)
- Inoue N, Ikawa M, Isotani A, Okabe M. 2005 The immunoglobulin superfamily protein lzumo is required for sperm to fuse with eggs. *Nature* 434, 234–238. (doi:10.1038/nature03362)
- Aydin H, Sultana A, Li S, Thavalingam A, Lee JE. 2016 Molecular architecture of the human sperm IZUM01 and egg JUNO fertilization complex. *Nature* 534, 562–565. (doi:10.1038/nature 18595)
- Ohto U, Ishida H, Krayukhina E, Uchiyama S, Inoue N, Shimizu T. 2016 Structure of IZUM01-JUN0 reveals sperm-oocyte recognition during mammalian fertilization. *Nature* 534, 566–569. (doi:10.1038/nature18596)
- Cohen DJ, Maldera JA, Vasen G, Ernesto JI, Munoz MW, Battistone MA, Cuasnicu PS. 2011 Epididymal protein CRISP1 plays different roles during the fertilization process. J. Androl. 32, 672–678. (doi:10.2164/jandrol.110.012922)
- Busso D, Cohen DJ, Maldera JA, Dematteis A, Cuasnicu PS. 2007 A novel function for CRISP1 in rodent fertilization: Involvement in sperm-zona

Downloaded from https://royalsocietypublishing.org/ on 23 September 202

pellucida interaction. *Biol. Reprod.* **77**, 848–854. (doi:10.1095/biolreprod.107.061788)

- Milne TJ, Abbenante G, Tyndall JDA, Halliday J, Lewis RJ. 2003 Isolation and characterization of a cone snail protease with homology to CRISP proteins of the pathogenesis-related protein superfamily. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 31 105–31 110. (doi:10.1074/jbc.M304843200)
- Yamazaki Y, Morita T. 2004 Structure and function of snake venom cysteine-rich secretory proteins. *Toxicon* 44, 227–231. (doi:10.1016/j.toxicon.2004. 05.023)
- Da Ros VG, Maldera JA, Willis WD, Cohen DJ, Goulding EH, Gelman DM, Rubinstein M, Eddy EM, Cuasnicu PS. 2008 Impaired sperm fertilizing ability in mice lacking Cysteine-RIch Secretory Protein 1 (CRISP1). *Dev. Biol.* **320**, 12–18. (doi:10.10 16/j.ydbio.2008.03.015)
- Ellerman DA, Cohen DJ, Da Ros VG, Morgenfeld MM, Busso D, Cuasnicu PS. 2006 Sperm protein 'DE' mediates gamete fusion through an evolutionarily conserved site of the CRISP family. *Dev. Biol.* 297, 228–237. (doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2006.05.013)
- Busso D, Goldweic NM, Hayashi M, Kasahara M, Cuasnicu PS. 2007 Evidence for the involvement of testicular protein CRISP2 in mouse sperm-egg fusion. *Biol. Reprod.* 76, 701–708. (doi:10.1095/bio Ireprod.106.056770)
- Jamsai D, Reilly A, Smith SJ, Gibbs GM, Baker HWG, McLachlan RI, de Kretser DM, O'Bryan MK. 2008 Polymorphisms in the human cysteine-rich secretory protein 2 (CRISP2) gene in Australian men. *Hum. Reprod.* 23, 2151–2159. (doi:10.1093/ humrep/den191)
- Hughes J, Ward CJ, Aspinwall R, Butler R, Harris PC. 1999 Identification of a human homologue of the sea urchin receptor for egg jelly: a polycystic kidney disease-like protein. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 8, 543–549. (doi:10.1093/hmg/8.3.543)
- Gallagher AR, Hidaka S, Gretz N, Witzgall R. 2002 Molecular basis of autosomal-dominant polycystic kidney disease. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 59, 682–693. (doi:10.1007/s00018-002-8457-z)
- Moy GW, Mendoza LM, Schulz JR, Swanson WJ, Glabe CG, Vacquier VD. 1996 The sea urchin sperm receptor for egg jelly is a modular protein with extensive homology to the human polycystic kidney disease protein, PKD1. J. Cell Biol. 133, 809–817. (doi:10.1083/jcb.133.4.809)
- Butscheid Y, Chubanov V, Steger K, Meyer D, Dietrich A, Gudermann T. 2006 Polycystic kidney disease and receptor for egg jelly is a plasma membrane protein of mouse sperm head. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* **73**, 350–360. (doi:10.1002/mrd. 20410)
- Sutton KA, Jungnickel MK, Florman HM. 2008 A polycystin-1 controls postcopulatory reproductive selection in mice. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 105, 8661–8666. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0800603105)
- Primakoff P, Lathrop W, Woolman L, Cowan A, Myles D. 1988 Fully effective contraception in male and female guinea pigs immunized with the sperm protein PH-20. *Nature* 335, 543–546. (doi:10.1038/335543a0)
- Sabeur K, Cherr GN, Yudin AI, Primakoff P, Li MW, Overstreet JW. 1997 The PH-20 protein in human spermatozoa. J. Androl. 18, 151–158.
- 94. Tung KS, Primakoff P, Woolman-Gamer L, Myles DG. 1997 Mechanism of infertility in male guinea

pigs immunized with sperm PH-20. *Biol. Reprod.* **56**, 1133–1141. (doi:10.1095/biolreprod56.5.1133)

- Baba D, Kashiwabara S-i, Honda A, Yamagata K, Wu Q, Ikawa M, Okabe M, Baba T. 2002 Mouse sperm lacking cell surface hyaluronidase PH-20 can pass through the layer of cumulus cells and fertilize the egg. *J. Biol. Chem.* **277**, 30 310–30 314. (doi:10.1074/jbc.M204596200)
- Hunnicutt GR, Primakoff P, Myles DG. 1996 Sperm surface protein PH-20 is bifunctional: one activity is a hyaluronidase and a second, distinct activity is required in secondary sperm-zona binding. *Biol. Reprod.* 55, 80–86. (doi:10.1095/biolreprod55.1.80)
- Cherr GN, Yudin AI, Overstreet JW. 2001 The dual functions of GPI-anchored PH-20: hyaluronidase and intracellular signaling. *Matrix Biol.* 20, 515–525. (doi:10.1016/S0945-053X(01)00171-8)
- Vines CA, Li MW, Deng X, Yudin AI, Cherr GN, Overstreet JW. 2001 Identification of a hyaluronic acid (HA) binding domain in the PH-20 protein that may function in cell signaling. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* 60, 542–552. (doi:10.1002/mrd.1119)
- Gasper J, Swanson WJ. 2006 Molecular population genetics of the gene encoding the human fertilization protein zonadhesin reveals rapid adaptive evolution. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **79**, 820–830. (doi:10.1086/508473)
- Hardy DM, Garbers DL. 1995 A sperm membrane protein that binds in a species-specific manner to the egg extracellular matrix is homologous to von Willebrand factor. *J. Biol. Chem.* 270, 26 025–26 028. (doi:10.1074/jbc.270.44.26025)
- Tardif S, Wilson MD, Wagner R, Hunt P. 2010 Zonadhesin is essential for species specificity of sperm adhesion to the egg zona pellucida. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 14 863–14 870. (doi:10.1074/jbc.M110. 123125)
- Tardif S, Cormier N. 2011 Role of zonadhesin during sperm-egg interaction: a species-specific acrosomal molecule with multiple functions. *Mol. Hum. Reprod.* 17, 661–668. (doi:10.1093/molehr/ gar039)
- Herlyn H, Zischler H. 2005 Identification of a positively evolving putative binding region with increased variability in posttranslational motifs in zonadhesin MAM domain 2. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 37, 62–72. (doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2005.04.001)
- Meczekalski B. 2009 Oocyte-specific genes: role in fertility and infertility. *J. Endocrinol. Invest.* 32, 474–481. (doi:10.1007/BF03346489)
- Rankin T, Talbot P, Lee E, Dean J. 1999 Abnormal zonae pellucidae in mice lacking ZP1 result in early embryonic loss. *Development* **126**, 3847–3855.
- Avella MA, Baibakov B, Dean J. 2014 A single domain of the ZP2 zona pellucida protein mediates gamete recognition in mice and humans. *J. Cell Biol.* 205, 801–809. (doi:10.1083/jcb.201404025)
- Rankin TL, O'Brien M, Lee E, Wigglesworth K, Eppig J, Dean J. 2001 Defective zonae pellucidae in Zp2-null mice disrupt folliculogenesis, fertility and development. *Development* **128**, 1119–1126.
- Florman HM, Wassarman PM. 1985 O-linked oligosaccharides of mouse egg ZP3 account for its sperm receptor activity. *Cell* **41**, 313–324. (doi:10.10 16/0092-8674(85)90084-4)
- 109. Chen J, Litscher ES, Wassarman PM. 1998 Inactivation of the mouse sperm receptor, mZP3, by site-directed mutagenesis of individual serine residues located at the combining site for sperm.

Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 95, 6193-6197. (doi:10.10 73/pnas.95.11.6193)

- Liu C, Litscher ES, Wassarman PM. 1995 Transgenic mice with reduced numbers of functional sperm receptors on their eggs reproduce normally. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 6, 577–585. (doi:10.1091/mbc.6.5.577)
- Williams SA, Xia L, Cummings RD, McEver RP, Stanley P. 2007 Fertilization in mouse does not require terminal galactose or N-acetylglucosamine on the zona pellucida glycans. J. Cell Sci. 120, 1341–1349. (doi:10.1242/jcs.004291)
- Swanson WJ, Yang Z, Wolfner MF, Aquadro CF. 2001 Positive Darwinian selection drives the evolution of several female reproductive proteins in mammals. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 98, 2509–2514. (doi:10.1073/pnas.051605998)
- Zhu G-Z, Miller BJ, Boucheix C, Rubinstein E, Liu CC, Hynes RO, Myles DG, Primakoff P. 2002 Residues SFQ (173–175) in the large extracellular loop of CD9 are required for gamete fusion. *Development* 129, 1995–2002.
- Diekman AB. 2003 Glycoconjugates in sperm function and gamete interactions: how much sugar does it take to sweet-talk the egg? *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **60**, 298–308. (doi:10.1007/s000180300025)
- Mengerink KJ, Vacquier VD. 2001 Glycobiology of sperm-egg interactions in deuterostomes. *Glycobiology* 11, 37R–43R. (doi:10.1093/glycob/ 11.4.37R)
- Tulsiani D, Yoshida-Komiya H, Araki Y. 1997 Mammalian fertilization: a carbohydrate-mediated event. *Biol. Reprod.* 57, 487–494. (doi:10.1095/biolreprod57.3.487)
- Olsson M, Shine R, Madsen T, Gullberg A, Tegelström H. 1996 Sperm selection by females. *Nature* 383, 585. (doi:10.1038/383585a0)
- Tregenza T, Wedell N. 2000 Genetic compatibility, mate choice and patterns of parentage: invited Review. *Mol. Ecol.* 9, 1013–1027. (doi:10.1046/j. 1365-294x.2000.00964.x)
- Birkhead TR, Pizzari T. 2002 Postcopulatory sexual selection. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 3, 262–273. (doi:10.10 38/nrg774)
- Birkhead T, Chaline N, Biggins J, Burke T. 2004 Nontransitivity of paternity in a bird. *Evolution* 58, 416–420. (doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2004.tb01656.x)
- Mays H, Hill G. 2004 Choosing mates: good genes versus genes that are a good fit. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 19, 554–559. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2004.07.018)
- Evans J, Marshall D. 2005 Male-by-female interactions influence fertilization success and mediate the benefits of polyandry in the sea urchin *Heliocidaris erythrogramma. Evolution* 59, 106–112. (doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2005.tb00898.x)
- Dziminski MA, Roberts JD, Simmons LW. 2008 Fitness consequences of parental compatibility in the frog *Crinia georgiana*. *Evolution* 62, 879–886. (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00328.x)
- Kempenaers B, Adriaensen F, Van Noordwijk A, Dhondt A. 1996 Genetic similarity, inbreeding and hatching failure in blue tits: are unhatched eggs infertile? *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 263, 179–185. (doi:10.1098/rspb.1996.0029)
- Firman RC, Simmons LW. 2008 Polyandry facilitates postcopulatory inbreeding avoidance in house mice. *Evolution* 62, 603–611. (doi:10.1111/j. 1558-5646.2007.00307.x)
- 126. Bensch S, Andrén H, Hansson B, Pedersen HC, Sand H, Sejberg D, Wabakken P, Åkesson M, Liberg O.

13

2006 Selection for heterozygosity gives hope to a wild population of inbred wolves. *PLoS ONE* **1**, e72–e77. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.000 0072)

- Frasier TR, Gillett RM, Hamilton PK, Brown MW, Kraus SD, White BN. 2013 Postcopulatory selection for dissimilar gametes maintains heterozygosity in the endangered North Atlantic right whale. *Ecol. Evol.* **3**, 3483–3494.
- Olsson M, Shine R. 1997 Advantages of multiple matings to females: a test of the infertility hypothesis using lizards. *Evolution* 51, 1684–1688. (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.1997. tb01491.x)
- Tregenza T, Wedell N. 2002 Polyandrous females avoid costs of inbreeding. *Nature* 415, 71–73. (doi:10.1038/415071a)
- Stockley P. 2003 Female multiple mating behaviour, early reproductive failure and litter size variation in mammals. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 270, 271–278. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2002.2228)
- 131. Fisher DO, Double MC, Blomberg SP, Jennions MD, Cockburn A. 2006 Post-mating sexual selection

increases lifetime fitness of polyandrous females in the wild. *Nature* **444**, 89–92. (doi:10.1038/nature 05206)

- Mayr E. 1942 Systematics and the origin of species from the viewpoint of a zoologist. New York, NY: Columbia University Press.
- De Queiroz K. 2007 Species concepts and species delimitation. *Syst. Biol.* 56, 879–886. (doi:10.1080/ 10635150701701083)
- 134. Rice WR. 1998 Intergenomic conflict, interlocus antagonistic coevolution, and the evolution of reproductive isolation. In *Endless forms: species and speciation* (eds DJ Howard, SH Berlocher), pp. 261–270. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- 135. Howard DJ, Reece M, Gregory PG, Chu J, Cain ML. 1998 The evolution of barriers to fertilization between closely related organisms. In *Endless forms: species and speciation* (eds DJ Howard, SH Berlocher), pp. 279–288. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- 136. Palumbi SR. 1998 Species formation and the evolution of gamete recognition loci. In *Endless*

forms: species and speciation (eds DJ Howard, SH Berlocher), pp. 271–278. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

- Hohenlohe PA, Bassham S, Etter PD, Stiffler N, Johnson EA, Cresko WA. 2010 Population genomics of parallel adaptation in threespine stickleback using sequenced RAD tags. *PLoS Genet.* 6, e1000862. (doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.100 0862)
- Cammen KM, Schultz TF, Rosel PE, Wells RS, Read AJ. 2015 Genomewide investigation of adaptation to harmful algal blooms in common bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*). *Mol. Ecol.* 24, 4697–4710. (doi:10.1111/mec.13350)
- Timmann C et al. 2017 Genome-wide association study indicates two novel resistance loci for severe malaria. Nature 489, 443–446. (doi:10.1038/ nature11334)
- Amos W, Driscoll E, Hoffman JI. 2011 Candidate genes versus genome-wide associations: which are better for detecting genetic susceptibility to infectious disease? *Proc. R. Soc. B* 278, 1183–1188. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2010.1920)

rsos.royalsocietypublishing.org *R. Soc. open sci.* **4**: 170577