# Interactive effect of irrigation regimes and sowing dates on morphophysiological response, fodder yield and quality and antinutrient HCN of multi-cut sorghum in the semi-arid region

Harpreet Kaur Oberoi<sup>1</sup>, Jaspal Singh<sup>1</sup>, Udham Singh Tiwana<sup>1</sup>, Meenakshi Goyal<sup>1</sup>

1Fodder and Millet Section, Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana-141004, Punjab, India

\* Corresponding author: E-mail: harspreetoberoi@pau.edu

Keywords: Sorghum, sowing dates, moisture regimes, yield, HCN, morpho-physiological attributes

## Abstract

A two-year field experiment (2016/2017) with three moisture regimes (60 CPE (cumulative pan evaporation), 120 CPE, and no irrigation) and six sowing dates in three replications was conducted to investigate the effect of diffe-rent moisture regimes and sowing dates on multi-cut hybrid fodder sorghum in the semi-arid region. Early sowing and irrigation at 60 CPE had resulted in improved morpho-physiological responses with better fodder quality in terms of higher crude protein, *invitro* dry matter digestibility, and lower crude fiber in both seasons. Early sowing resulted in an increase of green fodder yield (GFY) from 638.7 to 805.4 q/ha in 2016 and from 643.7 to 780.9 q/ha in 2017. Irrigation at 60 CPE showed 46% and 41% increase in GFY over no irrigation. In all three cuts, HCN content was significantly higher in the crop with no irrigation and also in late sown crop (at 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> cuts).HCN decreased drastically by 41% (2016) and 36% (2017) in the crop irrigated at 60 CPE (at 1<sup>st</sup> cut). Findings suggest that,late sowing of the sorghum affects the fodder yield and quality due to effect on growth parameters and therefore, the adverse effects of decreased precipitation as the result of change in weather conditions can be diminished particularly by sowing early and irrigating at 60 CPE.

#### **Abbreviations**

CPE-Cumulative pan evaporation	IVDMD-invitro dry matter digestibility
CF-Crude fiber	LAI-Leaf area index
CP-Crude protein	L:S-leaf to stem ratio
DAP-Days after planting	NFE-Nitrogen free extract
DAS-Days after sowing	N-Nitrogen
d.fdegree of freedom	RH-Relative humidity
DFY-Dry fodder yield	pH-potential of hydrogen (is a scale used to specify the acidity or
GFY-Green fodder yield	basicity of an aqueous solution).
HCN-Hydrogen cyanide	WSC-Water soluble carbohydrates

#### Introduction

Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench) is a multi-use crop grown for food, feed, and nowadays for bioenergy.Forage sorghum can be used for grazing, as fresh fodder, converted into hay, or for making silage (Pedersen and Fritz, 2000). Sorghum fodder contain about 15% dry matter, 3270 kcal/kg metabolizable energy for poultry, 9.5% crude protein, 2.6 % ether extract, 27 % crude fiber, 9.8% ash and 56.6 % nitrogen-free extract (NFE) (Maunder, 2002). Sorghum grows very fast in warm weather and provides sufficient feed during the lean period in mid-summer. Sorghum grows very well in warm weather and fertile soil, whereas, growth of sorghum is retarded in cool weather and waterlogged soils. Sorghum can be cultivated in numerous environments due to its wider adaptation property and this makes sorghum an important fodder crop in less and irregular rainfall areas (Bibi *et al.*, 2012). When soil moisture is not sufficient, the sorghum plant does not wilt but becomes dormant. Once moisture conditions improve, growth starts again. Compared to other cereals, drought, soil toxicity, and temperature extremes tolerance of sorghum is high. The decline in yield and growth of the plant when exposed to drought or any abiotic stress is a common outcome observed due to limited photosynthesis, photosynthetic assimilates, and energy to the plant. Plants must use this limited supply of nutrients to gain a maximum advantage under stress. Under drought stress conditions, plants should increase the uptake of water, which is usually more available

in deep soil (Xiong et al., 2006).

Drought stress effects could be seen in almost all plant developmental stages. The decrease in the availability of water also affects crop production at plant's different growth stages with a general decrease in coleoptile length, higher root to shoot ratio, and longer roots (Khayatnezhad et al., 2010). Water deficit stress has been reported to leave significantly adverse effects on green and dry fodder yield in sorghum (Jabereldar et al., 2017) which might be attributed to decreases in crop growth (Payero et al., 2006), leaf area index (LAI) (NeSmith and Ritchie, 1992) and plant height (Traore et al., 2000). Anti-nutritional factors are the plant components that have the potential to adversely affect farm productivity (Mello, 2000). Leaves and other plant parts used in feeding possess these anti-nutritional components. The quantity of HCN in sorghum varies with cultivar and the growth conditions but diminishes with age. HCN toxicity increased when normal growth is disrupted by any stress. Drought is probably the most common cause of HCN in sorghum (Vough and Cassel, 2000).

Moreover, forage productivity of sorghum along with the type of genotype depends on various other factors that include the timing of outset cutting, interval of cuttings, climatic conditions, the stubble height and frequency of cutting, planting date, mineral nutrition in the soil, plant density, etc (Ramanjaneyulu et al., 2018). The sowing date affects the crop yield and is considered as another factor that plays a role in yield assessment in areas with short growing seasons (Ozturk et al., 2017). Sowing date is probably the most subject to variation because of the very great difference in weather at planting time between season and within the range of climates. Wrong sowing dates can cause critical stages of plant growth and development to coincide with environmental stress that can potentially damage, limit, or terminate plant growth and development (Mokhtarpour, 2011). The proper management of sowing dates influences the crop growth and development and it also shapes the interactions between the growth and stress period with improvement in crop water and nitrogen use efficiencies (Abd El-Lattief, 2011). The interactive effect of sowing time and moisture regimes on forage sorghum productivity and

forage quality aspects are still quite unexplored.Therefore, keeping these considerations in view, the present study was formulated to investigate whether sowing date affects growth performance, forage productivity and quality along with hydrocyanic acid antinutrient of sorghum grown under different irrigation regimes in the semi-arid region of Northwest India.

### **Material and methods**

# Experimental location, climatic data and soil features

The present study was carried out at Forage Research Farm, Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana during *kharif* seasons of 2016 and 2017. Ludhiana, situated at 30°54'N latitude and 75°48'E longitude with an altitude of 247 meters above the mean sea level, is placed in the central plain region of Punjab under Trans-Gangetic agro-climatic zone of India. It represents sub-tropical and semi-arid climate. The data regarding temperature (maximum, minimum and mean), relative humidity, rainfall, and evaporation for the different cutting are given in Supplementary Table S1.

The soil of the experimental field was loamy sand in texture throughout from 0-30 cm. The average bulk density for the field was 1.59. The experimental fields were low in organic carbon and available nitrogen with medium available phosphorus and potassium status. The soil pH and electrical conductivity values were within the normal range. The physico-mechanical and chemical properties of soil are presented in Supplementary Table S2.

#### Experimental set-up and crop management

The Sorgum-Sudan Grass Hybrid - Punjab Sudex Chari 4 (PSC-4) was sown in 18 treatment combinations and laid out in a split plot design with three replications. Three moisture regimes (irrigation at 60 CPE (Cumulative Pan Evaporation), irrigation at 120 CPE and no irrigation) were in main plots and six sowing dates (10<sup>th</sup> April, 20<sup>th</sup> April, 30<sup>th</sup> April, 10<sup>th</sup> May, 20<sup>th</sup> May and 30<sup>th</sup> May) were in sub plots. A heavy pre-sowing irrigation (10 cm) was applied to the all treatments by dividing the field in smaller plots with ridges and bunds so as to ensure even distribution of moisture in soil profile in whole of the field and its adequate availability at the time of planting. Plots were given pre sowing irrigation 4 days prior to the respective sowing date and after harvest of each cut. Subsequent irrigations were applied when cumulative pan evaporation (CPE) reached (60 or 120). The details of which are given in Supplementary Table S3. The depth of each irrigation was 7.5 cm and was measured with the help of Parshall flume

#### (Parshall, 1950).

Sowing was done on scheduled time when field was at field capacity. Sowing was done in rows 30 cm apart with uniform seed rate of 37.5kg per ha in all treatments. Before sowing, seeds were treated with thiomethoxam @ 2ml per kg in both the experiment during both the years for protection against attack of shoot fly to get good plant stand. The uniform basal dose of 20 kg  $P_20_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> in the form of DAP and 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in the form of urea (46% N) was applied at the time of sowing and after every cut another dose of nitrogen (100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) in the form of urea was applied.

#### Sampling and observations

First cutting was done at 60 days after sowing during both the years. Subsequent cuttings were taken at an interval of 40 days and was harvested thrice  $(1^{st}, 2^{nd} \text{ and } 3^{rd} \text{ cut})$  manually. Also, all harvests were clipped manually by mower at a uniform stubble height of approximately 10 cm above the ground.

# Morpho-physiological parameters

The plants were carried to laboratory to record the following morpho-physiological responses before drying it in oven.

*Plant height:*Plant height of ten tagged plants in each plot was measured from ground level up to the base of the last fully opened leaf at 30, 45 DAS and at each harvest and expressed as average height.

*Leaf –stem ratio*:The samples were taken at each harvest. The leaves and stem were separated and separate weight of leaves and stem was measured immediately. Leaf-stem ratio was calculated by using the formula:

$$Leaf-stem \ ratio = \frac{weight \ of \ leaves \ (green)}{weight \ of \ stem \ (green)}$$

*Leaf area index:*Leaf area index (LAI) at each harvest was recorded by using the Sun Scan Canopy Analyzer, Model: Sun Scan type SS1, Manufactured by Delta-T Devices, Cambridge- England.

*Number of tillers per meter row length*: The numbers of tillers was counted from one meter row length in each plot at the time of each cutting.

#### Fodder yield parameters

Green fodder yield:The crop was harvested for green fodder and yield was recorded at 60 days after sowing (DAS) for 1<sup>st</sup> cut and at 40 days interval thereafter. The harvested green fodder was weighed plot wise using hanging scale electronic balance and was converted into q ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Dry fodder yield: Dry matter yield was recorded at each

cutting. Fresh weight (250 g) of plant samples was taken at the time of cutting and dried in sun and then in the oven at  $60 \pm 2^{\circ}$  C to a constant weight. The dried samples were weighed and expressed as dry matter in q ha<sup>-1</sup>.

# Fodder quality attributes

The difference between fresh and dry weights of representative samples from each plot at 30, 45 DAS and at each harvest were utilized to calculate the moisture percentage by using following formula:

$$Leaf-stem \ ratio = \frac{Fresh \ weight}{Dry \ weight}$$

After the harvest, the plant samples were first sun dried and then dried in hot air oven completely to obtain a constant weight. The dried samples were finely meshed by grounding with Willy grinder and are used for the quality parameters estimation. The mineral matter and crude fiber were estimated by the method as described by A.O.A.C (1990). Among quality traits crude protein (CP), hydrocyanic acid (HCN), *in-vitro* dry matter digestibility (IVDMD) and water-soluble carbohydrates were estimated by the method proposed by Kjeldhal's method (AOAC 1990), Hogg and Ahlgren (1942), Telly and Terry (1963) and Dubois *et al.* (1956), respectively.

# Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) and general linear model (GLM) procedure of SAS (SAS 9.3) means of treatment were compared using FPLSD at 5 % levels of significance. The split up of degrees of freedom (d.f.) for different sources of variation in the two experiments are given in Supplementary Table S4.

## **Results and discussion**

# Morpho-physiological responses

The plant height and leaf area index (LAI) decreased with a decrease in water availability from 60 CPE to no irrigation and at all three cuts during both seasons (Tables1 and 2). The increase in plant height at 1<sup>st</sup> cut, when irrigated at 60 CPE, was 16.2% and 81.1% over 120 CPE and no irrigation respectively in 2016. In 2017, the highest plant height was obtained with irrigating crop at 60 CPE which was 21cm (18.8%) and 58.4 cm (78.9%) higher than the crop irrigated at 120 and with no irrigation. Irrigation regimes significantly affected LAI at every stage of the crop during both years except for 2nd cut in 2016. At 1<sup>st</sup> cut, crop irrigated at 60 CPE recorded 10.8% and 19.4% more LAI compared to crop irrigated at 120 CPE in respective years. The highest L:S ratio and number of tillers were observed in no irrig-

TREATMENTS	30	DAS	45	DAS	60 DAS	(1 <sup>st</sup> cut)	100 DAS	5 (2 <sup>nd</sup> cut)	140 DAS	(3 <sup>rd</sup> cut )
	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017
Main plot (Irrigation regimes )										
60 CPE	46.8	40.9	96.5	85.5	147.2	132.4	125.0	100.2	111.2	91.7
120 CPE	32.6	31.6	75.2	71.3	126.7	111.4	113.0	90.4	87.6	84.1
No irrigation	25.0	21.9	65.5	48.3	81.1	74.0	111.6	78.3	78.9	72.0
CD (p=0.05)	3.3	4.0	6.2	5.1	19.4	13.6	10.9	9.1	10.8	11.7
Sub plot (Sowing Dates)										
10 <sup>th</sup> April	21.4	22.0	48.6	47.8	87.9	78.6	134.6	120.3	116.7	97.8
20 <sup>th</sup> April	25.0	23.0	54.9	49.8	97.0	81.3	136.8	120.8	106.8	93.9
30 <sup>th</sup> April	25.4	25.3	62.8	52.4	112.0	93.8	119.1	90.4	98.4	80.1
10 <sup>th</sup> May	32.1	30.1	86.6	71.8	131.0	120.8	111.9	78.0	82.6	74.6
20 <sup>th</sup> May	40.7	36.2	104.2	86.7	138.3	122.7	97.7	67.8	80.0	75.4
30 <sup>th</sup> May	64.1	52.1	117.5	101.8	143.9	138.6	99.1	60.6	70.9	73.8
CD (p=0.05)	3.1	3.0	8.2	6.6	11.9	11.4	11.1	12.1	13.0	11.2
Interaction (I*D)	5.5	NS	14.2	11.4	20.7	19.8	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 1 -	iffect of sowing time and irrigation regimes on periodic plant height (cm) of fodder sorghum (DAS-Days after sowing; CPE
Cumulat	re pan evaporation)

rigation plots during both the years at all stages which was significantly higher over the crop irrigated at 60 and 120 CPE. A perusal of data revealed that tillers per meter row length were not affected by irrigation regimes at 1st cut whereas, significant variation in the number of tillers was obtained during the  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{rd}$  cut in both years of study. At  $1^{st}$  cut, the highest L:S ratio of 0.50 during 2016 and 0.51 during 2017 were obtained where no irrigation was applied which was 66.7% and 38.8 % higher in 2016 and 59.4% and 37.8% higher in 2017 overirrigation at 60 and 120 CPE, respectively. The corresponding values were 44.4 to 30% and 40.5 to 22.9% at the  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{rd}$  cut during 2016. A similar trend was also observed in L:S ratio in 2017.

Sowing dates had a significant influence on all morphophysiological responses (plant height, leaf area index, number of tillers and leaf to stem ratio) of sorghum plant in all three cuts (1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>rd</sup>, and 3<sup>rd</sup> cut) in both years (2016 and 2017) except for the number of tillers in both years at 3<sup>rd</sup> cut (Tables1 and 2). In general, the plant height, leaf area index and the number of tillers were observed to decrease at 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> cut, in contrast, to increase in these morpho-physiological parameters seen at 1<sup>st</sup> cut when the crop was sown from 10<sup>th</sup> April to 30<sup>th</sup> May in both the years of study whereas L:S showed an opposite trend. Plant height, leaf area index, and the number of tillers were slightly higher in 2016 than 2017. Crop sown on 30<sup>th</sup> May recorded significantly higher plant height over the rest of the treatments at 30 DAS and 45 DAS in both years. At 2<sup>nd</sup> cut 120 and 130 %, higher LAI was obtained in 10<sup>th</sup> April sown crop as compared to 30<sup>th</sup> May sown crop in 2016 and 2017, respectively. The LAI of 10<sup>th</sup> April sown crop was double than LAI of 30th May at 3rd cut in both years. The crop sown on 30<sup>th</sup> May produced 35 tillers during 2016 and 36 tillers in 2017 which was at par with 20<sup>th</sup> May sown crop but were significantly higher than the rest of sowing dates. The crop sown on the 10<sup>th</sup> of April has been recorded with a significantly higher L:S ratio of 0.60 in 2016 and 0.50 in 2017 at 1<sup>st</sup> cut over the rest of sowing dates and as compared to the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> cut. Interaction between irrigation regimes and sowing dates were noticed to be significant at 30, 45, and 60 DAS during both years except at 30 DAS in 2017 (Supplementary Table S6). At every moisture regime, crop sown on 30<sup>th</sup> May showed significantly higher plant height at 30 DAS but at 45 DAS, statistically similar plant height was obtained when irrigated at 60 CPE and 120 CPE. Effect of sowing dates on plant height was more pronounced in irrigation at 120 CPE and no irrigation during both years of the study. Crop irrigated at 60 CPE and crop sowed on 20<sup>th</sup> April was at par in

		L	.AI					N	lumber	of Tille	ers				L	:S		
Treatments	<b>1</b> <sup>st</sup>	cut	<b>2</b> <sup>nd</sup>	cut	3 <sup>rd</sup>	cut	1 <sup>st</sup>	cut	<b>2</b> <sup>nd</sup>	cut	3 <sup>rd</sup>	cut	1 <sup>st</sup>	cut	<b>2</b> <sup>nd</sup>	cut	3 <sup>rd</sup>	cut
Ireatments	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017
							Main	plot (Irri	gation r	egimes	)							
60 CPE	4.1	3.7	2.5	2.8	3.0	2.3	32.0	33.1	16.2	14.1	13.8	15.8	0.30	0.32	0.36	0.43	0.42	0.53
120 CPE	3.7	3.1	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.0	31.1	32.3	18.4	16.2	14.8	16.9	0.36	0.37	0.40	0.50	0.48	0.55
No irrigation	2.6	2.2	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.9	29.2	30.1	20.0	19.1	15.6	17.6	0.50	0.51	0.52	0.63	0.59	0.65
CD (p=0.05)	0.3	0.2	NS	0.3	0.2	0.3	NS	NS	2.1	2.9	1.0	0.9	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.08
							Sub	o plot (S	owing [	Dates)								
10 <sup>th</sup> April	2.7	2.3	3.3	3.0	3.2	2.5	28.3	29.0	21.1	19.1	15.9	16.0	0.60	0.50	0.32	0.46	0.31	0.51
20 <sup>th</sup> April	3.1	2.4	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.3	28.1	29.1	20.2	18.2	15.8	17.2	0.41	0.43	0.38	0.49	0.40	0.49
30 <sup>th</sup> April	3.2	2.6	2.3	2.1	2.5	2.2	29.2	30.2	18.1	16.2	15.3	17.3	0.37	0.40	0.41	0.50	0.43	0.58
10 <sup>th</sup> May	3.7	3.3	2.2	1.6	2.0	2.1	32.0	33.0	18.2	16.1	14.6	15.0	0.35	0.37	0.42	0.55	0.55	0.64
20 <sup>th</sup> May	4.0	3.5	1.7	1.3	1.9	1.6	33.0	34.0	16.4	14.3	13.8	17.2	0.32	0.35	0.49	0.56	0.59	0.60
30 <sup>th</sup> May	4.1	3.9	1.5	1.3	1.6	1.5	35.0	36.0	16.1	14.2	13.0	17.8	0.26	0.34	0.55	0.58	0.68	0.64
CD(p=0.05)	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.1	NS	NS	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.51
Interaction (I*D)	0.7	0.6	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.12	NS	0.08	NS	0.10	0.08

Table 2 - Effect of sowing time and moisture regimes on leaf area index (LAI), number of tillers and leaf to stem ratio (L:S) of fodder sorghum. (DAS-Days after sowing; CPE-Cumulative pan evaporation).

plant height (at 1<sup>st</sup> cut) over rest of the sowing dates whereas, crop sown on 10th May and onward resulted in statistically similar plant height when irrigated at 120 CPE and when no irrigation was applied. In 2017, the interaction was not significant at 30 DAS. Crop sown on 30<sup>th</sup> May recorded statistically similar plant height at every moisture regime at 45 DAS whereas, at 1<sup>st</sup> cut, crop sown on 30<sup>th</sup> May recorded significantly higher plant height when irrigated at 60 CPE than rest of the treatments. The significant interaction between sowing times and moisture regimes on the leaf area index was noticed only at 1<sup>st</sup> cut in both years (Table 2). The interactive effect of sowing dates and irrigation on the leaf stem ratio was significant at all stages during 2016, but in 2017, it was significant only at 3<sup>rd</sup> cut whereas the non-significant interaction was recorded of irrigation regimes and sowing dates on the number of tillers in both year of study in all three cuts (Table 2).

To have a potential fodder yield it is important to select optimal planting dates that will assure the right synchronization in plant growth and required suitable weather conditions during its developmental stages (Sim *et al.*, 2015). The increase was seen in plant height, leaf area index, and the number of tillers morpho-physiological parameters with delayed sowing (Table 1 and 2) and was mainly attributed to the optimum environmental conditions (air temperature, rainfall, relative humidity, solar radiation and evaporation) due to optimum sowing date (Supplementary Table S1). Under optimum planting date, these climatic conditions play crucial role in improving the growth of plants through the increase of active photosynthetic radiation helping in rapid seed germination and healthy growth, ultimately increasing accumulation of dry matter. Earlier sowing dates coincide with high temperature and low humidity with a negligible amount of rainfall at 1<sup>st</sup> cut that resulted in lower plant height, LAI and the number of tillers. High rainfall and RH, low temperature at 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> cut resulted in the taller plant which is required for better fodder yield in multi-cut crops indicating early sowing at around 10<sup>th</sup> to 20<sup>th</sup> April would prove beneficial. These findings are contradictory to those reported by Dhaliwal (1974) that crop sown on 25<sup>th</sup> May produce the tallest plant under Punjab conditions and this might be due to changing weather conditions over time. Moreover, as plant height increased, the proportion of stem in the whole plant becomes comparatively higher than leaves; as the number of nodes and numbers of lea-

	GFY      1* cut    2 <sup>nd</sup> cut    3 <sup>rd</sup> cut    7 <sup>nd</sup> 2016    2017    2016    2017    2016    2017    2016    2017    2016    2017    2016    2017    2016    2017    2017    2016    2017    201											D	FY			
Treatments	1 <sup>st</sup>	cut	<b>2</b> <sup>nd</sup>	cut	3 <sup>rd</sup>	cut	Total	yield	1 <sup>st</sup>	cut	<b>2</b> <sup>nd</sup>	cut	3 <sup>rd</sup>	cut	Total	yield
Treatments	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017
						Mair	n plot (Irr	rigation r	egimes)							
60 CPE	416.9	406.2	268.4	252.9	154.6	166.2	839.6	825.3	68.1	77.9	47.3	40.6	25.8	27.4	141.2	145.8
120 CPE	348.0	336.5	236.7	224.6	131.1	145.0	724.8	706.2	67.7	76.9	42.6	45.6	25.9	30.1	133.4	152.6
No irrigation	245.5	236.3	231.3	219.2	115.8	130.1	574.9	585.7	48.5	56.2	43.9	46.1	23.7	28.1	109.4	130.4
CD (p=0.05)	42.9	39.9	28.7	24.9	26.0	10.4	31.8	55.0	9.2	8.4	NS	NS	NS	NS	13.2	7.7
						Su	ub plot (	Sowing [	Dates)							
10 <sup>th</sup> April	270.4	259.4	357.8	341.2	179.4	180.2	805.4	780.9	55.8	63.4	67.7	67.1	28.7	36.6	152.3	167.0
20 <sup>th</sup> April	290.9	280.9	332.5	323.9	163.3	168.0	771.7	772.8	55.9	62.7	62.7	58.0	31.6	33.8	150.1	154.4
30 <sup>th</sup> April	329.2	321.3	235.4	222.1	137.4	148.6	690.1	692.0	64.6	73.4	39.6	39.3	25.3	27.2	129.6	140.0
10 <sup>th</sup> May	360.7	350.3	226.3	214.8	120.0	136.9	714.3	702.1	65.9	73.7	44.0	44.4	25.6	25.4	135.5	143.5
20 <sup>th</sup> May	376.8	365.2	167.6	149.6	108.8	128.0	658.2	642.9	64.5	76.1	28.0	27.9	21.3	24.7	113.9	128.8
30 <sup>th</sup> May	392.8	380.8	153.1	142.0	94.0	120.9	638.7	643.7	61.7	72.8	25.9	27.7	18.4	23.4	105.9	124.0
CD(p=0.05)	41.2	27.3	26.5	26.3	10	14.4	48.1	40.9	7.8	5.3	4.5	5.5	3.2	4.4	12.1	7.7
Interaction (I*D)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 3 - Effect of sowing times and irrigation regimes on green fodder yield (q/ha) (GFY) and dry fodder yield (q/ha) (	DFY) of fodder
sorghum. (CPE-Cumulative pan evaporation).	

ves along the main stem usually remains same and leaf -stem ratio decreased with increased in plant height thereby, justifying the observed decreased in leaf stem ratio.

The irrigation water is one of the vital factors that influence fodder crop growth, its yield as well as its nutrition (Mohfouz et al., 2020). Water is a constituent of protoplasm which helps in maintaining cell turgor pressure and by encouraging the cell elongation, increases the stem internodal length hence produced taller plants and broader leaves which resulted in higher LAI in frequently irrigated plots in the present study (Table 1 and 2). The results are concurrent with those stated by Abbas et al. (2017). At all moisture regimes, plant height increased, however, the extent of increase was higher in frequently irrigated plots than water deficit plot. Moreover, fodder possessing wider leaf-stem ratio is more succulent, palatable, and nutritive (Smart, 1998). In the present investigation, L:S of sorghum fodder increased with the increase in water stress (Table 2) and is supported by the findings of Xiao et al. (2015) and Li and Su (2017). This might be due to stunted growth that resulted in stem length reduction along with delay in the maturity of sorghum plants that faced

water-limited conditions.

#### Yields

The green fodder yield (GFY) during 2016 was slightly higher than 2017 in 1<sup>st</sup> and 2nd cut and the reverse was seen in 3<sup>rd</sup> cut (Table 3). On the other hand, the higher dry fodder yield (DFY) was observed in 2017 as compared to 2016 at all three cuts, whereas, higher DFY was obtained in 2<sup>nd</sup> cut in 2016 compared to 2017. Fodder green and dry matter yield at three cuts were significantly affected by different irrigation regimes (Table 3). At 1<sup>st</sup> cut, more pronounced green and dry fodder yield were recorded when irrigated at 60 CPE followed by 120 CPE over no irrigation regime in both years. The crop irrigated at 60 CPE yielded 19.7 % and 20.7 % higher GFY than crop irrigated at 120 CPE and 69.8 % and 71.9 % higher than no irrigated crop in 2016 and 2017, respectively. In the 1<sup>st</sup> cut, crop irrigated at 60 CPE gave significantly higher DFY of 68.1 g/ha and 77.9 q/ha during both years of study over no irrigation and was at par with crop irrigated at 120 CPE. At both the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> cut, crop irrigated at 60 CPE recorded significantly higher GFY over no irrigation in 2016 and 2017.

	Crude Protein      30 DAS    45 DAS    1* cut    2 <sup>nd</sup> cut    3 <sup>rd</sup> 2016    2017    10.8    10.8    10.8    10.8    10.8    10.8    10.8    10.8    10.8    10.8    10.9    10.8    10.8    10.9    10.8    10.9    10.9    10.8    10.9    10.9    10.9    10.9    10.9    10.9													N	/lineral	Matte	er			
	30	DAS	45	DAS	<b>1</b> <sup>st</sup>	cut	<b>2</b> <sup>nd</sup>	cut	3 <sup>rd</sup>	cut	30	DAS	45 I	DAS	<b>1</b> <sup>st</sup>	cut	2 <sup>nd</sup>	cut	3 <sup>rd</sup>	cut
	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017
								Main p	lot (Irri	gation	regime	es)								
60 CPE	14.5	13.7	14.5	13.8	13.1	12.3	10.5	9.7	10.8	10.0	10.7	9.0	9.5	7.9	8.8	7.6	7.8	7.5	8.1	7.2
120 CPE	13.7	12.8	13.4	12.7	12.4	11.6	9.5	8.9	10.8	9.9	9.9	8.2	9.1	7.5	8.6	7.4	7.7	7.1	8.0	7.1
No irrigation	12.3	11.6	12.8	12.3	11.2	10.5	9.3	8.5	9.8	8.9	9.5	7.6	8.7	7.1	7.9	6.7	7.5	6.9	7.7	6.8
CD (p=0.05)	0.3	0.9	1.1	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	NS	NS	0.2	0.3
								Sub	plot (S	owing	Dates)									
10 <sup>th</sup> April	12.1	11.4	10.8	9.9	11.2	10.3	9.7	8.9	11.0	10.2	9.9	8.1	9.0	7.4	8.1	6.8	8.3	8.1	8.4	7.5
20 <sup>th</sup> April	12.2	11.4	12.9	11.8	11.8	10.6	9.5	8.7	11.1	10.3	10.2	8.3	8.9	7.3	8.4	7.1	7.6	7.5	7.7	6.8
30 <sup>th</sup> April	12.4	11.6	13.5	13.0	12.7	11.5	10.3	9.6	10.4	9.6	10.0	8.3	8.8	7.2	8.2	7.0	7.7	7.4	8.0	7.1
10 <sup>th</sup> May	13.1	12.3	13.4	13.8	13.1	11.3	10.0	9.5	10.3	9.5	10.1	8.0	9.1	7.5	8.4	7.2	7.8	7.6	7.9	7.0
20 <sup>th</sup> May	15.4	14.5	15.3	14.6	13.7	12.5	9.5	8.7	10.0	9.2	9.9	8.6	9.2	7.6	8.7	7.4	7.3	7.2	7.7	6.8
30 <sup>th</sup> May	15.9	15.1	15.5	14.6	14.0	12.6	9.5	8.7	9.9	9.1	10.0	8.3	9.7	8.1	8.9	7.6	7.3	7.0	8.0	7.1
CD (p=0.05)	1.5	1.0	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.0	NS	NS	0.9	0.9	NS	NS	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.1	NS	NS
Interaction (I*D)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 4 - Effect of sowing time on crude protein (%) (CP) and mineral matter (%) of fodder sorghum under different moisture regimes. (DAS-Days after sowing; CPE-Cumulative pan evaporation).

Both the green and dry fodder yields increased with delay in sowing at 1<sup>st</sup> cut, whereas, higher fodder yields were obtained in early sowing at 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> cut (Table 3). The higher GFY of 1<sup>st</sup> cut (392.8 g/ha in 2016 and 380.8 q/ha in 2017) was observed in 30<sup>th</sup> May sown crop whereas, in the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> cut, significantly higher GFY was obtained in 10<sup>th</sup> April sown crop. Similarly, at 1<sup>st</sup> cut highest DFY was obtained in 10<sup>th</sup> May (65.9 g/ ha) sown crop in 2016, whereas, 20<sup>th</sup> May sown crop produced significantly higher DFY (76.1 q/ha) in 2017. In 2<sup>nd</sup> cut, 10<sup>th</sup> April sown crop recorded significantly higher DFY (67.7 g/ha in 2016 and 67.1 g/ha in 2017) over rest of the sowing dates during both the years and at 3<sup>rd</sup> cut, 20<sup>th</sup> April and 10<sup>th</sup> April sown crop showed highest DFY of 31.6 g/ha and 33.6 g/ha in 2016 and 2017, respectively.

The magnitude of increase in total GFY at 60 CPE was 15.8 % and 46% during 2016 and 16.8 % and 40.9 % during 2017 over 120 CPE and no irrigation, respectively. The crop sown on  $10^{th}$  April recorded 26.1% higher total green fodder yield over  $30^{th}$  May sown crop during 2016 and 21.3% higher total green fodder yield over  $30^{th}$  May sown crop during 2017. Similarly, crop irrigated at 60 CPE gave significantly higher DFY (141.2

g/ha) over no irrigation in 2016 however, the significantly higher DFY was obtained when the crop was irrigated at 120 CPE (152.6 g/ha) over no irrigation (130.4 g/ ha) in 2017. The significantly higher total DFY of 152.3 g/ha and 167.0 g/ha in two consecutive years were obtained when the crop was sown on 10<sup>th</sup> April. In dry and fresh fodder yield, the interactive effect of sowing dates and irrigation was found to be non-significant at all cuts and in total yields during both years of study. The lesser availability of water in early sowing might be the reason for the clear decline in GFY in the present study (Table 3). Whereas high available soil moisture, reduction in extreme temperature, less evaporation due to high humidity at 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> cuts in early sown crop (10th April) produced taller plant (Table 1), higher LAI (Table 2) and more tiller (Table 2), which leads to high total GFY and this might be due to reason that growth of delayed sown crop might get retarded due to reduction in temperature when 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> cuts were taken from the crop sown on 30th May, thereby, giving an advantage of having 3<sup>rd</sup> cut from hybrid fodder sorghum when sown early compared to sown on 30<sup>th</sup> May. In the present investigation, the higher total dry matter yield from three cuts with early sowing (10<sup>th</sup> to 20<sup>th</sup>

Trootmonte	30	DAS	45	DAS	1 <sup>st</sup>	cut	2n <sup>d</sup>	cut	3 <sup>rd</sup>	cut	30	DAS	45	DAS	1 <sup>st</sup>	cut	<b>2</b> <sup>nd</sup>	cut	3 <sup>rd</sup>	cut
ireatilients	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017
							١	Main p	lot (Irri	gation	regime	s)								
60 CPE	20.4	23.4	21.8	24.1	22.3	24.3	23.7	25.2	24.7	25.6	67.7	66.3	66.4	65.3	64.4	63.0	67.9	66.4	62.9	61.5
120 CPE	22.5	25.9	24.0	26.5	25.8	27.8	25.7	27.4	26.8	27.8	65.3	63.9	63.5	62.0	61.5	60.1	65.9	64.5	61.0	59.2
No irrigation	25.7	28.3	26.7	29.9	29.7	31.7	26.4	29.2	29.4	30.4	58.2	56.8	56.1	55.0	55.3	53.9	58.1	56.7	57.0	55.6
CD (p=0.05)	0.84	1.2	0.7	1.6	2.3	1.8	1.2	1.8	1.5	2.0	4.1	3.2	2.4	3.8	3.9	3.3	2.3	2.5	2.6	3.4
								Sub	plot (So	owing l	Dates)									
10 <sup>th</sup> April	25.1	28.0	25.8	28.9	28.2	30.0	23.0	25.8	25.0	26.1	62.5	61.0	59.4	58.2	57.6	56.2	64.3	62.9	61.8	60.3
20 <sup>th</sup> April	23.7	27.1	24.9	27.5	27.0	29.1	23.6	26.1	26.1	27.4	63.2	61.8	60.4	59.0	58.4	57.0	64.4	63.0	62.5	61.1
30 <sup>th</sup> April	22.0	26.0	24.1	26.8	26.2	28.4	23.3	26.9	27.2	28.3	63.7	62.3	60.8	59.4	59.3	58.0	63.9	62.5	62.2	60.7
10 <sup>th</sup> May	22.2	25.7	23.8	26.2	25.8	27.8	24.2	27.5	27.8	28.1	63.9	62.5	62.7	61.0	60.9	59.5	63.5	62.1	58.4	57.0
20 <sup>th</sup> May	22.1	24.7	23.5	26.0	24.5	26.5	26.5	28.6	27.8	29.0	64.3	62.9	63.2	62.7	62.8	61.3	63.2	61.8	57.2	55.7
30 <sup>th</sup> May	21.8	23.8	23.0	25.6	23.8	25.8	27.1	28.6	27.8	28.9	64.9	63.5	65.4	64.3	63.6	62.1	62.5	61.0	57.2	55.7
CD (p=0.05)	1.8	1.9	1.4	1.5	2.6	2.8	2.2	1.4	1.7	1.9	NS	NS	3.8	3.8	3.3	2.8	NS	NS	3.5	3.4
Interaction (I*D)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 5 - Effect of sowing time on crude fiber content (%) and in vitro Dry Matter Digestibility (%) (IVDMD) of fodder sorghum under different moistureregimes. (DAS-Days after sowing; CPE-Cumulative pan evaporation).

April) as compared to late sowing might be due to high moisture content due to more rainfall at the time when  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{rd}$  cuts were taken (Supplementary Table S1 and Table 3) which facilitated better nutrient uptake by roots (Kramer and Boyer, 1995). In several studies, an increase in fodder sorghum dry matter yield has been associated with higher irrigation (Vasilakoglou *et al.*, 2011; Jahanzad *et al.*, 2013).

# Fodder Quality attributes

Crop irrigated at 60 and 120 CPE, recorded significantly higher moisture content at all growth stages over no irrigated crop except at 30 DAS during both seasons (Supplementary Table S5). Crop sown on 30th May recorded in significantly higher moisture content up to 1<sup>st</sup> cut during both years. Moisture regimes and sowing dates resulted significant decline in crude protein (CP) with age up to 2<sup>nd</sup> cut during both years (Table 4). At 30 DAS, CP content ranged between 12.3 to 14.5% during 2016 and 11.6 to 13.7% during 2017, which declined to 11.2 to 13.1% during 2016 and 10.5 to 12.5% during 2017 at 1<sup>st</sup> cut. At the 3<sup>rd</sup> cut, the higher CP content was obtained in the early sown crop. Highest CP content was observed in the 20<sup>th</sup> April sown crop which was significantly higher over 20<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> May sown crop during both years but was at par with the rest of the sowing dates. At 30 and 45 DAS, crop irrigated at 60 CPE recorded significantly higher CP over 120 CPE and no irrigation treatments whereas, at 1<sup>st</sup> cut the higher CP content of 13.1% in 2016 and 12.3% in 2017 was obtained in crop irrigated at 60 CPE which was significantly higher over other irrigation regimes. A similar trend in CP content was also observed at the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> cut. The moisture regime impacted the mineral matter at all stages (except at 1<sup>st</sup> cut) during both the years and the increase of mineral matter was recorded as irrigation frequency was increased. The mineral matter was affected significantly with different sowing dates except at 30 DAS and 3<sup>rd</sup> cut (Table 4). Mineral matter increased with delay in sowing up to 1<sup>st</sup> cut whereas, the highest mineral matter was noticed in the 10<sup>th</sup> April sown crop in the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> cut. At 45 DAS and 1<sup>st</sup> cut, 30<sup>th</sup> May sown crop produced significantly higher mineral matter whereas, at the 2nd cut, the significantly higher mineral matter was observed in the 10<sup>th</sup> April sown crop. The proteins are most important as they are essential for supplying the amino acids to the animals for the production of their body protein and CP content was more in younger plants and reduced as the

	Water soluble carbohytarters      Patheonic Interpretation interpretatint interpretation interpretation interpretation inte														н	CN				
	30	DAS	45	DAS	1 <sup>st</sup>	cut	<b>2</b> <sup>nd</sup>	cut	3 <sup>rd</sup>	cut	30	DAS	45	DAS	1 <sup>st</sup>	cut	<b>2</b> <sup>nd</sup>	cut	3 <sup>rd</sup>	cut
Ireatments	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017
							١	Main p	lot (Irriç	gation	regime	es)								
60 CPE	10.3	11.5	10.9	12.0	11.0	12.3	11.0	12.2	10.6	11.8	73	85	59	71	49	65	76	88	77	80
120 CPE	11.9	12.7	12.5	13.6	12.1	13.4	11.3	12.6	11.4	12.7	102	114	82	92	69	83	84	95	98	102
No irrigation	12.3	13.8	13.2	14.2	13.2	14.5	11.8	13.1	11.8	13.0	127	138	89	101	83	101	96	101	106	116
CD (p=0.05)	1.2	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.89	0.9	NS	NS	NS	NS	4	3	6	6	4	4	5	5	5	6
								Sub	plot (So	owing l	Dates)									
10 <sup>th</sup> April	12.6	13.6	13.1	14.2	13.1	14.3	12.8	14.0	10.8	12.1	124	136	108	120	91	105	73	85	85	86
20 <sup>th</sup> April	11.8	13.2	12.6	13.7	12.7	14.0	11.6	12.9	10.8	12.0	110	121	96	108	85	98	78	86	89	91
30 <sup>th</sup> April	11.3	12.6	12.1	13.2	12.1	13.4	10.7	11.9	10.7	11.9	104	116	86	97	67	81	79	86	88	100
10 <sup>th</sup> May	11.4	12.6	12.0	13.1	12.0	13.2	10.8	12.0	11.2	12.5	100	110	76	86	64	77	83	91	91	98
20 <sup>th</sup> May	11.0	12.2	11.9	13.0	11.6	12.9	11.4	12.7	11.6	12.9	84	96	52	64	48	60	92	98	101	106
30 <sup>th</sup> May	11.0	11.8	11.4	12.5	11.2	12.5	11.0	12.3	12.5	13.7	83	95	42	53	45	59	104	118	108	116
CD (p=0.05)	1.0	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.1	NS	NS	0.9	0.9	9	11	8	9	9	9	8	8	7	9
Interaction (I*D)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	14	NS	15	15	14	13	NS	NS

Table 6 - Effect of sowing time on mineral matter (%) and water-soluble carbohydrates (%) and HCN (ppm) of fodder sorghum under differentmoistureregimes. (DAS-Days after sowing; CPE-Cumulative pan evaporation).

plant grew older because of more accumulation of dry matter and increased fiber content (Table 3, 4 and 5). The higher CP content under frequently irrigated condition during both the years might be due to the reason that favorable soil moisture enhanced transpiration which ultimately increased the uptake of original and applied nitrogen. Moreover, higher activity of nitrate reductase under higher levels of irrigation helped in the conversion of nitrate form of nitrogen into amino acids led to high CP (Carmi *et al.*, 2006).

The perusal of data revealed the opposite trend between CF and IVDMD with the advancement in stage up to 1<sup>st</sup> cut. In this study, plots having a better water status (60 CPE and 120 CPE) had less CF than plots with water deficit during both the years. Crop irrigated at 60 recorded significantly higher IVDMD of 67.7% (30 DAS), 66.4% (45 DAS), 64.4% (1<sup>st</sup> cut), 67.9% (2<sup>nd</sup> cut), 62.9% (3<sup>rd</sup> cut) and 66.3% (30 DAS), 54.3% (45 DAS), 63.0% (1<sup>st</sup> cut), 66.4% (2<sup>nd</sup> cut), 61.5% (3<sup>rd</sup> cut) over no irrigation at all the stages of crop during 2016 and 2017, respectively but differences between 60 and 120 CPE were not significant. Planting date significantly affected both crude fiber (CF) and in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD) fodder quality indices of sorghum plant throughout the growth of the plant (30DAS to 1<sup>st</sup> cut, and at 3<sup>rd</sup> cut) in both years (Table 5). The crude fiber content was found to decrease with delay in sowing i.e. higher CF was seen on 10<sup>th</sup> April at 30 DAS (25.1% in 2016 and 28.0% in 2017), 45 DAS (25.8% in 2016 and 28.9% in 2017) and 1st cut (28.2% in 2016 and 30.0% in 2017) but the trend was reversed in 2nd and 3<sup>rd</sup> cut *i.e.* higher value was noted on 30<sup>th</sup> May. On the other hand, IVDMD increased with delay in sowing up to 1<sup>st</sup> cut whereas, the highest IVDMD was observed in the early sown crop at 3<sup>rd</sup> cut.

For higher forage intake and for the increase in forage digestibility, a lower fiber concentration is vital. The higher crude fiber contents under water deficit condition and at 1<sup>st</sup> cut in early sown crop (Table 5) were supported by Liu *et al.* (2018). Thus, it might be postulated that exposure to drought, such as the treatment applied in this study, challenges plants to alter their cell wall structure and hemicelluloses cross-link to lignin and cellulosic fibers contributing to the rigidity of cell walls (Le Gall *et al.*, 2015). In drought treated plants, these increase hemicelluloses contribute towards upholding of their structural rigidity and not let them compromise on cell wall plasticity (Tenhaken, 2015). Further, lower

IVDMD under no irrigation treatment shows a negative correlation with crude fiber content (Table 5) and ultimately lower digestibility. The 1<sup>st</sup> cut of 30th May sown crop i.e. delayed sowing and 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3rd cuts of 10<sup>th</sup> to 20<sup>th</sup> April sown crop results in better digestibility due to lesser crude fiber content which might be due to better nitrogen uptake under well-moistened soil which is the constituent of amino acids and protein and it might also lead to decreased the pectin, cellulose and hemicellulose content which are major constituents of fiber and which thereby, showed an increase in IVDMD.

Water-soluble carbohydrates (WSC)were significantly affected by irrigation and sowing times (Table 6). Nevertheless, in response to irrigation regimes, WSC followed a different trend than other forage quality parameters did. Among irrigation regimes, crop cultivated under no irrigation conditions had a higher concentration of WSC while lower concentration was observed in crop irrigated at 60 CPE at all the stages of growth but the differences between irrigation regimes were not significant at  $2^{\mbox{\tiny nd}}$  and  $3^{\mbox{\tiny rd}}$  cut. Except at 2<sup>nd</sup> cut, WSC was significantly influenced by sowing dates at all stages during both the years. The crop sown on 10<sup>th</sup> April recorded highest WSC which decreased with delay in sowing up to 2<sup>nd</sup> cut, whereas, the trend was reversed in 3<sup>rd</sup> cut and the highest WSC content was noticed on 30<sup>th</sup> May sown crop. The interaction effect between irrigation regimes and sowing dates in almost all of the forage quality aspects was entailed non-significant. In this study, plots having better water status had more mineral matter which might be due to an increased rate of uptake of minerals by plants in optimum soil moisture which resulted in a higher content of ash (Table 4). Results conform with those obtained by Saini and Tiwana (2013). Mineral matter is essential for the bone formation of the animal body and milk production. Early sowing at 1st cut had more watersoluble carbohydrates (WSC) which might be due to the reason that sugars accumulate in the sugar pool of sorghum plants subjected to moisture stress as cellular growth was reduced compared to late sown (30<sup>th</sup> May) (Table 6). A high WSC concentration in plants would result in a higher osmotic potential, which drives the uptake of soil water and is therefore of importance to minimize drought stress effects in sorghum (Jahanzad et al., 2013).

## Anti-nutrient HCN

The highest HCN content was noticed in no irrigation plots which decreased significantly with increased frequency of irrigation and with the advancement in age up to the 1<sup>st</sup> cut but values of HCN were within the permissible limit of 200 ppm (Table 6). At 1<sup>st</sup> cut, HCN

content was highest (83 ppm) in no irrigation crop and decreased drastically to 49 ppm in 2016 and 65 ppm in 2017 when the crop was irrigated at 60 CPE. Crop irrigated at 60 and 120 CPE recorded 40.9 and 18.1% less HCN in 2016 and 35.6 and 17.8% less HCN in 2017 over no irrigation. A similar trend was observed in the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> cut. HCN content was highest at 30 DAS and decreased rapidly with the advancement of crop age up to 1<sup>st</sup> cut (Table 6) and at 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> cut higher HCN values were noticed. The HCN content decreased drastically with delay in sowing up to 1<sup>st</sup> cut. The early sown crop recorded significantly higher HCN content at 30 DAS, 45 DAS, and at 1<sup>st</sup> cut whereas increased in HCN was noticed with delay in sowing at 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> cuts over rest of sowing dates. At 45 DAS, Interaction between irrigation regimes and sowing dates were significant during 2016 at the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> cuts (Supplementary Table S7). At 1<sup>st</sup> cut, the highest HCN content was noticed in the 10<sup>th</sup> April sown crop irrigated at 120 CPE and no irrigation during both the years. Less plant height (Table 1) and higher leaf-stem ratio

(Table 2) in the stressed plant (no irrigation treatment) and early sown crop caused proportional increase in weight of plant parts that contain high HCN (i.e. leaves) (Table 6) (Gleadow et al., 2016). The HCN concentration at 1<sup>st</sup> cut in the early sown crop was higher as the growth of early sown crop was limited due to environmental factors but HCN was concentrated in a small permissible amount in plant leaf tissues. HCN is toxic, and yet plants that generate HCN are an important source of green fodder for animals. The low HCN in sorghum under frequent irrigation is consistent with studies in other cyanogenic species (Gleadow and Woodrow, 2002; Vandegeer et al., 2013). However, in C4 plants under water stress, there is relatively limited capacity for photosynthesis to have an alternative electron sink that could result in reactive oxygen species (ROS) production (Ghannoun, 2009), there dhurrin i.e. HCN synthesis and turnover may prove an alternative mechanism for mitigating ROS stress (Gleadow and Moller, 2014). Increased HCN content of sorghum with moisture stress has been reported in some of the previous studies (Saini and Tiwana, 2013; Gleadow et al., 2016).

#### Conclusions

Before sowing, it is necessary to know crop yield responses to sowing dates and water stress. Selection of the suitable planting time ensures providing an optimal climate condition for the crop in each stage to endure water stress conditions via vigorous growth. When the data of all three cuts were scrutinized, results showed that plant growth parameters, green fodder yield and dry fodder yield, moisture content, crude fiber, CP, mi-

neral matter, and water-soluble carbohydrates improved with early planting date but become limited when planting date was delayed of multi-cut hybrid sorghum. Furthermore, exposure of sorghum plants to drought stress exhibited decreases in morpho-physiological responses and moisture content, CP and green yield, however, showed increases in leaf stem ratio, dry matter yield, crude fiber, and HCN in both years. The delayed sowing (30<sup>th</sup> May) recorded significantly higher HCN content as when compared to early sowing at the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> cut. Thus, it can be concluded based on the results of the current investigation that sowing of multicut hybrid sorghum from 10<sup>th</sup> to 20<sup>th</sup> April and irrigated the crop at 60 CPE ensures higher green fodder yield due to better morpho-physiological attributes with good quality and less HCN content under existing semi-arid climatic conditions. Therefore, findings suggest thatfurther research should be done on sowing date of sorghum under different environmental conditions.

## Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial or not-forprofit sectors.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

#### **Conflict of interest**

There is no conflict of interest to declare.

Udham Singh Tiwana: Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision

Jaspal Singh: Investigation, Data collection, Harpreet

Kaur Oberoi: Laboratory Resources, Statistical analysis, Writing- Original draft preparation.

Meenakshi Goyal: Resources, Editing

#### References

- AOAC, 1990. Official Methods of Analysis. Association of the Official AgriculturalChemists. Washington D C.
- Abbas ZM, Mokhtar NYO, Abo-Feteih SSM, 2017. Influence of irrigation intervals under di erent sowing dates on water relations, yield and quality nutrition of guar forage crop. Egypt JAgron39:293-305.
- Abd El-Lattief EA, 2011. Growth and fodder yield of forage pearl millet in newly cultivated land as affected by date of planting and integrated use of mineral and organic fertilizers. Asian J Crop Sci3:35-42.
- Bibi A, Sadaqat HA, Tahir MHN, Akram HM, 2012. Screening of Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*

var Moench) for drought tolerance at seedling stage in polyethyelene glycol. J. Anim. Plant Sci 22:671-678.

- Carmi A, Aharoni Y, Edelstein M, Umiel N, Hagiladi A, Yosef E, Nikbachat M, Zenou A, Miron J, 2006. Effects of irrigation and plant density on yield, composition and in vitro digestibility of a new forage sorghum variety, Tal, at two maturity stages. Anim Feed Sci Tech 131:120-132.
- Dhaliwal JS, 1974. Effect of nitrogen applied by different methods on yield and quality of jowar (Sorghum vulgare Pers) fodder sown on different dates. M.Sc. thesis Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India.
- Dubois M, Gilles KN, Hamilton JK, Rebers PA, Smith F, 1956. Colorimetric method for the determination of sugars and related substances. Anal Chem28:350-356.
- Ghannoum O, 2009. C4 photosynthesis and water stress. Ann Bot103:635-644.
- Gleadow RM, Moller BL, 2014. Cyanogenic glycosides: synthesis, physiology, and phenotypic plasticity. Annu RevPlant Biol 65:155-185.
- Gleadow RM, Woodrow IE, 2002. Defense chemistry of cyanogenic Eucalyptus cladocalyx seedlings is affected by water supply. Tree Physiol22:939-945.
- Gleadow RM, Ottman MJ, Kimball BA, Wall GW, Pinter PJ, Lamorte RL, 2016. Drought induced changes in nitrogen partitioning between cyanide and nitrate in leaves and stems of sorghum grown at elevated CO2 are age dependent. Field Crops Res 185:97-102.
- Hamdy M, Ekram AM, Aly M, Ahmed S, 2020. Integrated effect of planting dates and irrigation regimes on morpho-physiological response, forage yield and quality, and water use efficiency of clitoria (Clitoriaternatea L.) in arid region. Arch Agron Soil Sci 66:152-167.
- Hogg PG, Ahlgreen HL, 1942. A rapid method for determining hydrocyanic acid content of single plant of Sudan grass. J Am Soc Agron34:199-200.
- Jabereldar AA, Naim AME, Abdalla AA, Dagash YM, 2017. Effect of water stress on yield and water use efficiency of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) in semi-arid environment. Int J Agric Biol 7:1-6.
- Jahanzad E, Jorat M, Moghadam H, Sadeghpour A, Chaichi MR, Dashtaki M, 2013. Response of new and a commonly grown forage sorghum cultivar to limited irrigation and planting density. Agric Water Manag117:62-69.

- Khayatnezhad M, Gholamin R, Jamaatie-Somarin SH, Zabihi-Mahmoodabad R, 2010. Effects of PEG stress on corn cultivars (Zea mays L.) at germination stage. World Appl SciJ 11:504-506.
- Kramer OJK, Boyer JS, 1995. Water Relations of Plant and Soils. Academic Press, New York.
- Le Gall H, Philippe F, Domon JM, Gillet F, Pelloux J, Rayon C, 2015. Cell wall metabolism in response to abiotic stress. Plants 4:112-166.
- Li Y, Su D, 2017. Alfalfa water use and yield under different sprinkler irrigation regimes in North arid regions of China. Sustainability 9:1380-1387.
- Maunder B, 2002. Sorghum The Global Grain of the Future. Retrieved November 30, 2004 from http://www.sorghumgrowers.com/maunder. htm.
- Mello DJPF, 2000. Anti-nutritional Factors and Mycotoxins. In: Farm Animal Metabolism and Nutrition, JPF D'Mello (ed.).CAB International, Wallingford, U.K., Pp:383- 403.
- Mokhtarpour H, 2011. Impact of planting date and density on growth of maize in northern Iran [Ph. D. thesis]. Serdang: University Putra Malaysia Publications; p. 268.
- NeSmith DS, Ritchie JT, 1992. Short- and longterm responses of corn to pre-anthesis soil water deficit. Agron J 84:07-113.
- Ozturk E, Polat T, Sezek M, 2017. The effect of sowing date and nitrogen fertilizer from effects on growth, yield and yield components of oil sunflower cultivars. Turk J Field Crops 22:143-151.
- Parshall RL, 1950. Measuring water in irrigation channels with Parshall flumes and small weir. Soil Conserv 843:62.
- Payero JO, Melvin SR, Irmak S, Tarkalson D, 2006. Yield response of corn to deficit irrigation in a semiarid climate. Agric Water Manag 84:101-112.
- Pedersen JF, Fritz JO, 2000. Forages and Fodder. In: Sorghum: origin, history, technology, and production. Smith, CW; Frederiksen RA.
- Ramanjaneyulu AV, Madhavi A, Neelima TL, Naresh P, Reddy KI, Srinivas A, 2018. Effect of row spacing and sowing time on seed yield, quality parameters and nutrient uptake of guar (Cyamopsis tetragonoloba L. Taub) in semi-arid climate of southern Telanagana, India. Legume Res 41:287-292.

- Saini A, Tiwana US, 2013. Effect of irrigation and nitrogen levels on growth, yield and hydrocyanic acid (HCN) content of forage sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) under different cutting managements. Ind J Ecol 40:40-42.
- Sim RE, Moot DJ, Brown HE, Teixeira EI, 2015. Sowing date affected shoot and root biomass accumulation of Lucerne during establishment and subsequent regrowth season. Eur J Agron68:69-77.
- Smart AJ, 1998. Prediction of leaf:stem ratio in grasses using near infrared reflectance spectroscopy. J Range Manag 51:447-449.
- Tenhaken R, 2015. Cell wall remodeling under abiotic stress. Front Plant Science 5:771-779.
- Tilley JMA, Terry RA, 1963. A two-stage technique for in vitro digestion of forage crops. J Br Grassl Soc18:104-111.
- Traore SB, Carlson RE, Pilcher CD, Rice ME, 2000. Bt and Non-Bt maize growth and development as affected by temperature and drought stress. Agron J 92:1027-1035.
- Vandegeer R, Miller RE, Bain M, Gleadow RM, Cavagnaro TR, 2013. Drought adversely affects tuber development and nutritional quality of the staple crop cassava (Manihot esculentaCrantz). Funct Plant Biol 40:95-200.
- Vasilakoglou I, Dhima K, Karagiannidis N, Gatsis T, 2011. Sweet sorghum productivity for biofuels under increased soil salinity and reduced irrigation. Field Crops Res 120:38-46.
- Vough RL, CasselEK, 2000. Prussic acid poisoning of livestock: causes and prevention available online at http://agbiopubs.sdstate.edu/article/ EXEX4016Pdf.
- Xiao Y, Zhang J, Jia TT, Pang XP, Guo ZG, 2015. Effects of alternate furrow irrigation on the biomass and quality of alfalfa (Medicago sativa). AgricWater Manag 161:147-154.
- Xiong L, Wang R, Mao G, Koczan JM, 2006. Identification of drought tolerance determinants by genetic analysis of root response to drought stress and abscisic acid. Plant Physiol 142:1065-1074.

						Ye	ar					
				2016					2	017		
	Tem	perature	(0C)	RH (%)	Rain fall	Evaporation	Ter	nperature (	(0C)	- RH (%)	Rain fall	Evaporation
	Max.	Min.	Mean		(mm)	(mm)	Max.	Min.	Mean		(mm)	(mm)
1 <sup>st</sup> cut												
10 <sup>th</sup> April	39.2	23.0	31.1	35.1	28.2	559.1	39.0	23.5	31.3	37.8	40.2	513.1
20 <sup>th</sup> April	39.6	24.5	32.1	38.8	29.4	583.3	38.7	24.9	31.8	39.7	71.2	509.1
30 <sup>th</sup> April	39.6	26.2	32.9	45.7	51.0	560.9	38.2	25.6	31.9	43.2	82.0	487.1
10 <sup>th</sup> May	38.7	27.0	32.9	51.8	249.8	508.5	37.4	26.2	31.8	49.9	198.8	460.9
20 <sup>th</sup> May	37.5	27.5	32.5	57.7	302.4	453.9	36.6	26.5	31.6	55.4	208.6	425.3
30 <sup>th</sup> May	36.5	27.8	32.1	62.5	326.5	404.6	35.9	26.7	31.3	60.3	261.0	379.8
2 <sup>nd</sup> cut												
10 <sup>th</sup> April	36.4	28.2	32.3	63.0	281.8	277.9	35.6	26.7	31.1	61	177	261
20 <sup>th</sup> April	35.0	27.9	31.5	70.4	323.3	213.4	34.7	27.3	31	67	208.4	235.8
30 <sup>th</sup> April	34.0	27.5	30.8	73.0	366.7	199.3	34.1	27.4	30.8	71.3	209.6	219.6
10 <sup>th</sup> May	33.6	27.3	30.5	73.9	177.5	197.7	34.3	27.7	31.8	71.7	92.8	212.0
20 <sup>th</sup> May	33.4	26.8	30.1	75.0	191.3	185.2	34.0	27	30.7	73.1	109.6	196.4
30 <sup>th</sup> May	33.2	26.2	29.7	75.3	152.6	176.5	33.5	26.6	30.1	75.1	149.6	184.4
3 <sup>rd</sup> cut												
10 <sup>th</sup> April	33.3	26.6	29.9	75.6	197.3	202.2	33.9	27.2	30.6	73.1	126.4	219.2
20 <sup>th</sup> April	33.3	26.2	29.8	74.8	152.6	205.0	33.6	26.5	30	74.7	149.6	207.7
30 <sup>th</sup> April	33.6	25.8	29.7	73.9	89.0	196.8	33.9	25.7	29.8	73.0	129	203.1
10 <sup>th</sup> May	33.6	25.4	29.5	73.0	89.6	192.7	33.7	24.7	29.2	72.3	136.4	192.9
20 <sup>th</sup> May	33.8	25.0	29.4	71.5	21.0	185.3	33.9	23.6	28.7	70.0	154.4	238.3
30 <sup>th</sup> May	33.8	23.4	28.6	67.8	15.0	184.5	34.3	22.1	28.2	66.8	7.4	189.6

# Table S1 - Average temperature (max, min, mean), relative humidity (RH), rainfall, and evaporation during different sowing dates in 2016 and 2017.

66 ~ M 8

al propertie	25							
San	d (%)	Silt	(%)	Clay	/ (%)	Textural	Bulk den	sity (g cm-3)
2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	class	2016	2017
78.0	76.0	12.7	11.6	9.3	12.4	Loamy sand	1.57	1.60
80.3	79.2	11.1	12.0	8.6	9.7	Loamy sand	1.60	1.62
ies								
					Soil d	lepth (cm)		
				0-	15	15-3	0	Rating
				2016	2017	2016	2017	-
				8.4	8.3	8.3	8.3	Normal
2				0.13	0.14	0.17	0.18	Normal
)				0.38	0.36	0.33	0.34	Low
kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )								
				174.6	170.2	158.8	154.2	Low
				19.8	18.2	19.0	17.2	Medium
				147.6	140.2	140.8	13	Medium
	al propertie San 2016 78.0 80.3 ies kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	al properties Sand (%) 2016 2017 78.0 76.0 80.3 79.2 ies kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Sand (%)  Silt    2016  2017  2016    78.0  76.0  12.7    80.3  79.2  11.1    ies	Sand (%)  Silt (%)    2016  2017  2016  2017    78.0  76.0  12.7  11.6    80.3  79.2  11.1  12.0    ies	Sand (%)  Silt (%)  Clay    2016  2017  2016  2017  2016    78.0  76.0  12.7  11.6  9.3    80.3  79.2  11.1  12.0  8.6    ies	Sand (%)  Silt (%)  Clay (%)    2016  2017  2016  2017  2016  2017    78.0  76.0  12.7  11.6  9.3  12.4    80.3  79.2  11.1  12.0  8.6  9.7    ies    Solid    10.15    2016  2017  2016  2017    Solid    Image: Solid    Solid    Solid    Image: Solid    Solid    Solid    Solid    Solid    Image: Solid     Imag	Sand (%)  Silt (%)  Clay (%)  Pextural class    2016  2017  2016  2017  2016  2017  1000	Al properties    Sand ( $\wedge$ )  Silt ( $\wedge$ )  Clay ( $\wedge$ )  Pectural class  Bulk den class    2016  2017  2016  2017  2016  2017  2016  2017  2016  2017  2016  2017  2016  2017  2016  2017  2016  2017  2016  1.57  2016  2017  30.3  1.60  30.7  1.60  30.7  1.60  30.7  30.9  <

# Table S2 - Soil physical-mechanical and chemical properties of field under experiment.

Treatment	N	lo. and date o	f irrigation in 2	2016		No. and c	late of irrigation i	n 2017
	1 <sup>st</sup> cut	2 <sup>nd</sup> cut	3 <sup>rd</sup> cut	Total	1 <sup>st</sup> cut	2 <sup>nd</sup> cut	3 <sup>rd</sup> cut	Total
I <sub>1</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	7	2	1	10	7	3	3	13
I <sub>1</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	7	1	2	10	6	2	1	9
I <sub>1</sub> D <sub>3</sub>	7	0	1	8	6	1	2	9
I <sub>1</sub> D <sub>4</sub>	6	0	2	8	4	2	1	7
I <sub>1</sub> D <sub>5</sub>	4	1	3	8	2	1	2	5
I1D6	2	1	3	6	2	2	3	7
I <sub>2</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	3	1	0	4	3	1	1	4
I2D2	4	0	0	4	2	0	1	3
I2D3	3	0	0	3	2	0	0	2
I2D4	3	0	1	4	2	0	0	2
I2D5	2	0	1	3	1	0	1	2
I2D6	1	0	2	3	0	1	1	2
I3D1-I3D6				N	lo irrigation was	given		

# Table S3 - Number of irrigations for different irrigation regimes in each sowing dates during 2016 and 2017.

I1-Irrigation at 60 CPE, I2-Irrigation at 120-CPE, I3-No irrigation at sub plot, D1-10<sup>th</sup> April, D2-20<sup>th</sup> April, D3-30<sup>th</sup> April, D4-10<sup>th</sup> May, D5-20<sup>th</sup> May, D6-30<sup>th</sup>

# Table S4 - ANOVA table for the experiment.

Source of variation	Degrees of freedom (d.f.)				
Replications (R)	(R-1)=r	2			
Moisture regimes (A)	(A-1)=a	2			
Error a	r*a	4			
Sowing dates (B)	(b-1)	5			
Moisture regimes x sowing dates (A x B)	a*b	10			
Error b	R*a*b	30			
Total	N-1	53			

# Table S5 - Effect of sowing time on moisture content (%) of fodder sorghum under different moisture regimes. (DAS-Days after sowing; CPE-Cumulative pan evaporation).

				Мо	oisture conte	nt					
	30 DAS		45 DAS		1 <sup>st</sup>	1 <sup>st</sup> cut		2 <sup>nd</sup> cut		3 <sup>rd</sup> cut	
Treatments	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	
				Main plo	ot (Irrigation r	egimes)					
60 CPE	86	87	86	85	83	80	82	84	83	84	
120 CPE	84	84	82	81	79	76	78	80	80	79	
No irrigation	81	71	78	77	78	74	78	79	79	78	
CD (p=0.05)	3	4	3	4	3	2	3	4	2	3	
				Sub p	lot (Sowing D	ates)					
10 <sup>th</sup> April	80	78	79	76	78	74	79	80	84	80	
20 <sup>th</sup> April	80	78	79	77	80	77	79	82	80	80	
30 <sup>th</sup> April	79	80	78	79	80	77	83	82	82	81	
10 <sup>th</sup> May	79	81	81	80	82	79	80	79	78	81	
20 <sup>th</sup> May	80	81	82	81	83	79	81	82	80	80	
30 <sup>th</sup> May	81	82	83	83	84	81	79	80	80	80	
CD (p=0.05)	NS	NS	3	3	3	3	NS	2	3	NS	
Interaction (I*D)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	

	2016					2017				
		30 DAS			30 DAS					
Treatments	Irrigation at 60 CPE	Irrigation at 120 CPE	No irrigation	Mean	Irrigation at 60 CPE	Irrigation at 120 CPE	No irrigation	Mean		
10 <sup>th</sup> April	25.7	21.7	13.7	21.4	31.0	21.3	17.0	22.0		
20 <sup>th</sup> April	29.7	26.0	14.7	25.0	32.3	22.0	19.3	23.0		
30 <sup>th</sup> April	37.7	22.7	13.7	25.4	35.3	27.0	16.0	25.3		
10 <sup>th</sup> May	50.3	27.0	21.7	32.1	40.0	28.7	19.0	30.1		
20 <sup>th</sup> May	57.3	37.3	26.0	40.7	45.3	37.3	27.3	36.2		
30 <sup>th</sup> May	80.0	61.0	41.7	64.1	61.3	53.3	51.3	52.1		
Mean	46.8	32.6	25.0		40.9	31.6	21.9			
CD (p=0.05)	Irrigation	=3.3, Date of so	wing =3.1, Interac	tion=5.5	Irrigation	Irrigation=4.0, Date of sowing =3.0, Interaction=NS				
		45 DAS				45	DAS			
10 <sup>th</sup> April	69.3	53.4	20.7	47.8	69.1	53.4	29.3	48.6		
20 <sup>th</sup> April	71.7	58.3	19.4	49.8	78.7	58.3	30.7	54.9		
30 <sup>th</sup> April	76.7	60.4	20.0	52.4	85.0	60.4	45.0	62.8		
10 <sup>th</sup> May	90.5	67.2	57.7	71.8	108.0	67.2	72.0	86.6		
20 <sup>th</sup> May	92.7	87.3	80.0	86.7	114.7	87.3	98.0	104.2		
30 <sup>th</sup> May	111.9	101.3	92.1	101.8	123.8	110.3	118.0	117.5		
Mean	96.5	75.2	65.5		85.5	71.3	48.3			
CD (p=0.05)	(p=0.05) Irrigation=6.2, Date of sowing =8.2, Interaction=14.2					Irrigation=5.1, Date of sowing =6.6, Interaction=11.4				
		1st cut				1st	t cut			
10 <sup>th</sup> April	123.3	98.3	42.0	87.9	119.9	90.0	25.9	78.6		
20 <sup>th</sup> April	143.3	99.0	48.7	97.0	118.5	95.0	30.3	81.3		
30 <sup>th</sup> April	155.3	126.0	54.7	112.0	127.8	103.8	49.7	93.8		
10 <sup>th</sup> May	159.0	145.7	88.3	131.0	136.3	125.7	100.3	120.8		
20 <sup>th</sup> May	151.0	144.3	119.3	138.3	135.9	126.4	104.8	122.7		
30 <sup>th</sup> May	151.3	147.0	133.7	143.9	156.2	127.5	133.1	138.6		
Mean	125.0	113.0	111.6		132.4	111.4	74			
CD (p=0.05)	Irrigation=1	10.9, Date of sov	wing =11.9, Interac	Irrigation=1	Irrigation=13.6, Date of sowing =11.4, Interaction=19.8					

Table S6 - Interactive effect of irrigation and sowing times on periodic plant height of fodder sorghum (2016 and 2017). (DAS-Days after sowing; CPE-Cumulative pan evaporation).

19

	2016					2017				
1 <sup>st</sup> cut					1 <sup>st</sup> cut					
Treatments	Irrigation at 60 CPE	Irrigation at 120 CPE	No irrigation	Mean	Irrigation at 60 CPE	Irrigation at 120 CPE	No irrigation	Mean		
10 <sup>th</sup> April	73	99	102	91	85	113	116	105		
20 <sup>th</sup> April	62	97	98	85	74	109	112	98		
30 <sup>th</sup> April	52	64	87	67	64	77	101	81		
10 <sup>th</sup> May	42	53	95	64	56	67	109	77		
20 <sup>th</sup> May	32	49	60	48	59	69	95	74		
30 <sup>th</sup> May	36	46	52	45	52	62	71	62		
Mean	49	69	83		65	82	100			
CD (p=0.05)	Irrigatio	on=4, Date of so	wing =9, Interactio	n=15	Irrigation=4, Date of sowing =9, Interaction=15					
	2 <sup>nd</sup> cut				2 <sup>nd</sup> cut					
10 <sup>th</sup> April	71	83	101	73	71	85	98	85		
20 <sup>th</sup> April	65	78	84	78	77	90	92	86		
30 <sup>th</sup> April	77	91	77	79	77	90	94	87		
10 <sup>th</sup> May	59	73	104	83	82	95	96	91		
20 <sup>th</sup> May	66	79	104	92	89	103	104	99		
30 <sup>th</sup> May	95	107	116	104	107	119	127	118		
Mean	76	84	96		84	97	102			
CD (p=0.05)	Irrigatio	on=5, Date of so	owing=8, Interactio	Irrigation=5, Date of sowing=8, Interaction=13						

# Table S7 -Interactive effect of sowing times and irrigation regimes on periodic HCN content of fodder sorghum (2016). (CPE-Cumula-tive pan evaporation).

20