D -	-l-				1
Da	CK	2	rυ	u	u

Methods

Application

Discussion





A dynamic analysis of the relationship between employment transitions and mental health among British men

Fiona Steele* Robert French* Mel Bartley[†]

*University of Bristol, [†]University College London

NCRM Research Methods Festival, July 2012

Unemployment and mental health

Previous studies find current/previous employment status and employment transitions are strongly associated with mental health.

- Poorer mental health while individuals are unemployed and economically inactive (Wiggins et al. 2004)
- Previous exposure to unemployment associated with higher risk of onset of depression (Montgomery et al. 1999) and suicide (Lundin et al. 2010)
- Transitions out of (into) employment associated with higher (lower) risk of psychological distress (Thomas et al., 2005, 2007)

Explanations: causation and/or selection?

Causation

• Stress of unemployment is damaging to mental health

Selection

- People in poor health may be at higher risk of unemployment (direct selection/reverse causality)
- Unmeasured factors affecting the risks of both unemployment and poor mental health (indirect selection)

Previous approaches to handle selection

Direct

- Adjust for prior health
- Model effect of health on unemployment
 - Poor health in childhood increases risk of unemployment (Montgomery et al. 1996)
 - Poor perceived health associated with lower chance of being employed and higher chance of unemployment (Schuring et al. 2007)

Indirect

 Adjust for measured confounders, e.g. childhood social circumstances

Our approach to the selection problem

- Model effect of employment transitions between t 1 and t on health at t, adjusting for health at t - 1
- Simultaneously model effect of health at t 1 on employment transitions between t 1 and t
- Allow for unmeasured time-invariant confounders via residual correlation between health and employment transitions

Model of health and employment transitions



- H_t Health at t
- $\Delta \, E_{t\text{-}1} \qquad \text{Change in employment t-1 to t}$
- u^H, u^E Unmeasured time-invariant influences

Dynamic model for effect of employment transitions on mental health

Random effects model for health at $t \mid t-1$

$$H_{ti} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 H_{t-1i} + \beta_2 \Delta \mathbf{E}_{t-1i} + u_i^{(H)} + e_{ti}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} H_{ti} & \text{mental health of individual } i \text{ at time } t \\ \pmb{\Delta E}_{t-1i} & \text{change in employment status between } t-1 \text{ and } t \\ u_i^{(H)} & \text{individual random effect} \\ e_{ti} & \text{time-varying residual} \end{array}$

 β_2 are the effects of employment transitions between t-1 and t on mental health at t, adjusted for mental health at t-1.

Dynamic model for effect of health on employment transitions

 E_{ti} is employment status at t (1=employed, 2=economically inactive, 3=unemployed)

$$\pi_{ti}^{(k)} = \Pr(E_{ti} = k).$$

Random effects multinomial logit model for status at $t \mid t-1$

$$\log \left(\frac{\pi_{ti}^{(k)}}{\pi_{ti}^{(1)}}\right) = \alpha_0^{(k)} + \alpha_1^{(k)} \mathbf{E}_{t-1i} + \alpha_2^{(k)} H_{t-1i} + \alpha_3^{(k)} \mathbf{E}_{t-1i} \times H_{t-1i} + u_i^{(Ek)}, \qquad k = 2,3$$

Interactions allow effect of health to vary across employment transitions.

Joint model for health and employment transitions

Equations for H_{ti} and E_{ti} are linked by allowing for correlation between the individual random effects.

Residual correlations

 $\operatorname{Corr}(u_i^{(H)}, u_i^{(E2)})$ health and moves into economically inactive $\operatorname{Corr}(u_i^{(H)}, u_i^{(E3)})$ health and moves into unemployment

Expect positive correlations if individuals with tendency towards poorer-than-average health $(u_i^{(H)} > 0)$ also have higher risk of moving into unemployment or economic inactivity $(u_i^{(Ek)} > 0)$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Impact of selection on effect of becoming unemployed



<u>Note</u>: Higher H_t indicates poorer mental health

A problem when start of measurement does not coincide with start of process under study. Denote by y_t either outcome (health or employment) at t.

- Unmeasured time-invariant factors influencing y_t at t > 1 also likely to influence y_1 , leading to correlation between y_1 and individual random effects
- Can show that in a 1st order autoregressive model, the dependence of y_t on previous y operates entirely through y₁
- A solution is to specify a model for y_1 and estimate jointly with model for y_2, \ldots, y_T

- British household panel survey, waves 1-18 (1991-2009)
- Men of working age (16-64), after first leaving full-time education
- 12,662 men observed for 79,022 person years
- Mental health: GHQ-12 anxiety and depression scale (0-36)
- Employment status: employed, economically inactive, unemployed
- Covariates: age, partnership status, presence and age of children, household occupation class, LAD employment rate

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Transition probabilities given employment state at t-1

Transition	Percent
Employed at $t-1$	(n = 52, 372)
$E \to E$	95.3
$E \to EI$	2.4
$E \to UE$	2.4
Economically inactive at $t-1$	(n = 10, 879)
$EI \to E$	14.5
$EI \to EI$	79.0
$EI \to UE$	6.5
Unemployed at $t-1$	(n = 3, 867)
$UE \to E$	36.5
$UE \to EI$	17.5
UE ightarrow UE	46.0

E Employed, EI Economically Inactive, UE Unemployed

Estimated residual correlation matrix from joint model

All correlations significant at < 1 % level.

	GHQ	El vs E	UE vs E
GHQ	1		
El vs E	0.293	1	
UE vs E	0.289	0.564	1

- E = employed, EI = economically inactive, UE = unemployed
 - Men with tendency towards depression (high GHQ) tend to have higher chances of economic inactivity and unemployment
 - Positive residual correlation between risks of economic inactivity and unemployment

Estimated effects of employment transitions on GHQ

Transition $t - 1$ to t	Unadjusted	Adjusted
	$\operatorname{Corr}(u_i^{(H)}, u_i^{(Ek)}) = 0$	$\operatorname{Corr}(u_i^{(H)}, u_i^{(Ek)}) eq 0$
$E \rightarrow E$	0	0
E ightarrow EI	0.86**	0.65**
$E\toUE$	2.51**	2.26**
$EI \to E$	-0.64**	-0.82**
EI ightarrow EI	0.54**	0.14*
$EI \to UE$	1.18**	0.68**
$UE \to E$	-1.14^{**}	-1.37**
$UE\toEI$	1.34**	0.82**
$UE\toUE$	0.88**	0.35**

E Employed, EI Economically Inactive, UE Unemployed

**
$$p < 0.01$$
, * $p < 0.05$

Effects of GHQ on probability of transitions from employment



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

Effects of GHQ on probability of transitions from unemployment



◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> ・三 ・ のへで

Conclusions

- Weak evidence of direct selection (GHQ \rightarrow employment transitions)
- Stronger evidence of indirect selection (on time-invariant unmeasured characteristics)
- But there remains a strong effect of employment transitions on subsequent GHQ (adjusting for prior GHQ)
 - Although cannot rule out selection on *time-varying* unmeasured factors influencing GHQ and employment

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Further work

- Mediating effect of financial circumstances (Thomas et al. 2007)
- Interaction between employment transitions and socio-economic position (e.g. Wiggins et al. 2004)
- Effects of repeated transitions (e.g. Booker and Sacker 2011)

References

Booker, C. L., and Sacker, A. (2011). Psychological Well-Being and Reactions to Multiple Unemployment Events: Adaptation or Sensitisation? J. Epi. & Comm. Health.

Lundin, A., Lundberg, I., Hallsten, L., Ottosson, J., and Hemmingsson, T. (2010). Unemployment and Mortality a Longitudinal Prospective Study on Selection and Causation in 49321 Swedish Middle-Aged Men. J. Epi. & Comm. Health, 64, 22-28.

Montgomery, S. M., Bartley, M. J., Cook, D. G., and Wadsworth, M. E. J. (1996). Health and Social Precursors to Unemployment in Young Men in Great Britain. J. Epi. & Comm. Health, 50, 415-422.

Montgomery, S. M., Cook, D. G., Bartley, M. J., and Wadsworth, M. E. J. (1999). Unemployment Pre-Dates Symptoms of Depression and Anxiety Resulting in Medical Consultation in Young Men. Int. J. of Epi., 28, 95-100.

Schuring, M., Burdorf, L., Kunst, A., and Mackenbach, J. (2007). The Effects of III Health on Entering and Maintaining Paid Employment: Evidence in European Countries. *Int. J. of Epi.*, **61**, 597-604.

Thomas, C., Benzeval, M., and Stansfeld, S. A. (2005). Employment Transitions and Mental Health: An Analysis from the British Household Panel Survey. J. Epi. & Comm. Health, 59, 243-249.

Thomas, C., Benzeval, M., and Stansfeld, S. A. (2007). Psychological Distress after Employment Transitions: The Role of Subjective Financial Position as a Mediator. J. Epi. & Comm. Health, 61, 48-52.

Wiggins, R. D., Schofield, P., Sacker, A., Head, J., and Bartley, M. (2004). Social Position and Minor Psychiatric Morbidity over Time in the British Household Panel Survey 1991-1998. J. Epi. & Comm. Health, 58, 779-787.