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#### Abstract

The purpose of this study was to determine the changes, if any, of selected strength factors of typical North Dakota high school wrestlers, as these might be affected by programs of weight reduction or weight control. Right grip, left grip, push, pull, leg and back strength data of the subjects were recorded using a dynamometer. The subjects were tested five times during and after the season.

Inter-group and intra-group comparisons of results were made between the control group consisting of seven high school wrestlers and the experimental group of seven high school wrestlers who experienced a weight loss of more than 3 per cent.

A comparison of mean differences found no significance for five of the areas tested. Push strength experienced a significant difference in favor of the experimental group in between group comparisons of Test 1 to Test 5, Test 2 to Test 3, Test 2 to Test 4.

The average weight loss for the experimental group from Test 1 to Test 2 was 4.6 per cent of normal body weight taken at the time of pre-season weigh-in. The average weight gain for all wrestlers from Test 2 to Test 5 was 7.4 per cent of the post season weight.


## CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

North Dakota, not unlike other states, has experienced many growing pains in the sport of wrestling. With the completion of the first decade of wrestling and the development of keen competition, the problem of weight control and weight reduction has become critical.

Although many research studies on the college level have indicated that weight losses within certain limits appear to have no harmful effect, the same type of weight control at the high school level has had little study.

Weight reduction, as practiced by wrestlers, is a temporary weight loss brought about by means of dehydration, withholding of food, and strenuous exercise. Weight control, as defined in this study, is the maintaining of a certified weight throughout the wrestling season in an attempt to compete at a certain weight classification. Whether present methods of weight control or weight reduction, as practiced by high school wrestlers, are harmful to the growing adolescent remains questionable.

Concern about the problems of weight control and weight reduction at the high school level and their effects
on the growing adolescent led to this study. It is the belief of this writer that weight reduction beyond certain limits and the continued weight control over long periods of time decrease the normal strength patterns which are so important in high school wrestling. This study, through the use of selected strength measurements, sought to appraise the effects of weight reduction and weight control on the strength of the high school wrestler.

If the strength factors concerned with wrestling are significantly affected by weight control or weight loss, it would appear that over emphasis on this particular aspect of high school wrestling might be realized.

## Statement of the Problem

The purpose of this study was to determine the changes, if any, with respect to selected strength factors of typical. North Dakota high school wrestlers, as these might be affected by programs of weight reduction or weight control.

Right and left grip strength, arm and shoulder strength and leg and back strength were tested at the beginning of the season, on certification date, mid-season, end of season, and six weeks after the season. By comparing the various strength test results with the amount of weight gained or lost during the same testing periods, the writer hoped to find an optimal weight plan which would not be harmful to the growing youngster and yet place him at his
most desirable weight classification.
An attempt was also made to prove that the typical high school wrestler competing during the 1966 -67 wrestling season in North Dakota did lose more than the recommended 10 per cent of normal body weight.

## Need for the Study

High school wrestling in the state of North Dakota has made great advances in the past few years. As is the case with anything new, many problems have arisen. Parents, administrators, students, and even coaches themselves have criticized the practices of weight reduction and weight control that have developed along with this fast growing sport.

Many problems stem from the lack of understanding of weight problems. Safe limits of weight control actually do exist although many times parents or others involved are not aware of their presence.

As a coach, this writer hoped to develop a better understanding of the problem and to attempt a solution that would aid in the promotion of high school wrestling in North Dakota.

## Limitations of the Study

This study was limited to the members of the 1966-67 Mandan High School wrestling team. The physical factors of body strength in relationship to weight gained or lost were the only aspects considered. Interpretations were confined
to the data collected through the various tests administered.

## Definitions of Terms

Actual weight loss: Number of pounds that a wrestler lost from his normal weight.

Certified weight: A wrestler's official weight as recorded at the time of the state weigh-in.

Initial weigh-in: The first weigh-in of the season which was conducted on the 23 r d of November on the school's certified scale.

Normal weight: The weight of the individual in good physical condition at the first weigh-in or throughout the season if he does not experience weight loss.

Official weigh_in: Another term for the state weigh-in and the one that has been used throughout this study.

Reclassification: The moving of a wrestler from his certified weight upward one or more weight classes.

Weight control: Maintaining a weight classification throughout a season.

Weight division: The weight at which the wrestler actually competes. In North Dakota there are twelve weight divisions starting with the ninety-five pound class and continuing upward through the heavy-weight division.

Weight reduction: The temporary weight loss brought about by means of dehydration, withholding of food, and strenuous exercise.

Weight reduction chart: A chart prepared for this study in which the per cent of weight loss from normal body weight has been calculated.

## Review of Related Literature

The problem of weight reduction and weight control has become critical. However, very little literature exists today on the proper methods of weight control for the growing high school wrestler. Strength, and its relationship to athletics, has been critically analyzed during the past decade. Weight reduction on the college level has also been critically studied and results published.

The purpose of this study was to determine whether strength, be it important to wrestling or not, was affected by weight reduction or weight control. If an optimum weight exists beyond which the loss of weight in regard to body strength is of little value, then a just cause for this research seems evident.

Dr. F. W. Reichardt, $l_{\text {Wisconsin }}$ Interscholastic Athletic Association's Medical Advisor, wrote the following concerning weight reduction:

> "Weight reduction is definitely an intricate part of successful athletic training and probably should be better stressed at all levels of competition. In other words, there seems to be an optimum weight for all individuals considering their height and body build and the character of competition that they participate in. Any boy

[^0]or man competing in athletics either in an underweight or overweight situation is at a disadvantage."

Dr. Reichardt discussed the "crash diet," noting that it is not medically sound or competitively right. Loss of body fluid, drying out of the body tissues and disruption of body balance are not desirable. In closing Dr. Reichardt listed several considerations for weight control:
l. Gradual loss of weight with regard to body balance and nutrition and, with an optimum body weight as a goal, is not harmful.
2. Rapid weight loss is not good.
3. Each individual varies in the amount of body weight he can lose. Ten per cent of what could be considered normal body weight is not harmful.

Bedard, ${ }^{2}$ in surveying the percentage of weight loss of selected North Dakota high school wrestlers during the 1966-67 season found that at least one out of every ten of the subjects in the study exceeded the ten per cent recommended maximum amount of weight loss. He also noted that the mean percentage of weight loss from normal body weight of high school wrestlers involved in the survey during the 1966-67 wrestling season was 5.91 per cent of body weight.

[^1]
## Weight Loss and Strength

Hassman, ${ }^{3}$ in his study of physical change after a six week cessation of practice in varsity wrestlers found that there was a significant increase in elbow flexor strength. He also found a significant change in body weight but became concerned when no change in arm girth occurred. Although Hassman's study was rather vague as to the actual weight of the wrestler at the time of the first test, it was assumed that he was still in competition and therefore probably quite close to his wrestling weight. His study also showed that there was a correlation between body weight and elbow flexor strength in both initial and final tests. However, the correlation between increase in body weight and elbow flexor strength was not significant. On the basis of these findings, he concluded that an increase in body weight was not a factor in the increase of elbow flexor strength.

A doctoral study was conducted by Harold Nichols, ${ }^{4}$ Ph.D., currently head wrestling coach at the University of Iowa. Through his study it was shown that a collegiate

[^2]wrestler may safely reduce his weight rapidly up to 10 per cent of his body weight without adversely affecting his physiological responses. It was concluded that weight loss, within the limits of the study, did not materially affect the wrestler's strength, his reaction time, his ability to maintain balance while in motion, his endurance, or his ability to develop power.

The effect of rapid weight reduction on endurance was studied by Schuster. 5 Wrestlers losing up to ten pounds in a seven day period were compared to a control group not losing weight. Rapid weight loss was found to have no significant effect on the difference in performance of the subjects or their wrestling ability.

Johnson, ${ }^{6}$ in a study of selected strength test results as related to weight change in high school varsity wrestlers, found that signi.ficant unit strength increases were evident for each muscle group tested during the competitive season. He stated that:
$5^{5}$ Abraham Z. Schuster, "The Effects of Rapid Weight Reduction on the Endurance Performance of Wrestlers" (unpublished Master's dissertation, Pennsylvania State University, 1954), cited by Philip J. Rasch and Walter Kroll, What Research Tells the Coach About Wrestling, (Washington, D.C.: American Association for Health, Physical Education and Recreation, 1964), pp. 42-43.
${ }^{6}$ Clayton A. Johnson, "An Investigation of Selected Strength Test Results as Related to Weight Changes in High School Varsity Wrestlers," (unpublished Master's Thesis, Department of Physical Education, University of North Dakota, 1966).
"A high school wrestler can increase strength pound for pound when allowed to maintain actual normal weight, or if allowed to gain moderately within limits imposed by Minnesota High School regulations."

Polo ${ }^{7}$ studied the strength changes of eleven members of the 1964 Montana State University wrestling team by means of a cable tensiometer. Eight muscle groups were tested five times during and after the season. Significant changes occurred in each of the eight muscle groups at some time during the competitive season. He also found that there was a general decrease in strength throughout the first eight weeks of the season but that all muscle groups showed a significant increase in strength six weeks after the season.

Morrison, ${ }^{8}$ in a study of the effect of pre-season conditioning on selected strength factors of collegiate wrestlers at the University of North Dakota, found that the mean scores of the post season test in leg strength and back strength were higher than the mean scores of the pre-season tests. However, arm strength showed an increase one month after pre-season conditioning and then declined for the remainder of the season. The post season mean

[^3]score was lower than the pre-season mean score in arm strength. Although leg strength test scores were low at mid-season, a gradual increase in leg strength was noted until six weeks after the season when the highest mean score was recorded. The reverse appeared in regard to back strength where peak strength was reached at mid-season.

## Strength Tests

Are muscular strength tests valid means of classifying wrestlers?

Larson and Yocum ${ }^{9}$ in evaluating measurements stated that:
"In those instances where successful peformance is in proportion to the amount of muscular strength, then muscular strength tests are valid means of classification for that activity (i.e., wrestling)."

However, Gross, ${ }^{10}$ in studying motor educability,
found that a strength test was of little value in predicting individual learning ability in wrestling.

Kroll, ${ }^{l l}$ in studying selected factors associated with wrestling success, found strength and response time to be of no value in predicting success in wrestling.
${ }^{9}$ Leonard Larson and Rachel Yocum, Measurement and Evaluation in Physical Education, Health, and Recreation (St. Louis) C. V. Mosby Co., 1951.
${ }^{10}$ Elmer Gross, Donald Griesel and Alan Stull, "Relationship Between Two Motor Educability Tests, A Strength Test and Wrestling Ability After Eight Weeks Instruction." Research Quarterly, 27 (Dec. 1956).
$11_{\text {Walter }}$ Kroll, "Selected Factors Associated With Wrestling Success, "Research Quarterly, 29 (Dec. 1958).

Kroll ${ }^{12}$ had also noted in a previous study that wrestlers were low in fat measurements, below average in right and left grip strength, average in leg lift strength and above average in back strength. Kroll used Big Ten Varsity wrestlers as his sample group. Strength tests were administered immediately after weigh-in for a varsity meet,

In summary of the literature reviewed, it was found that most of the studies conducted were of collegiate wrestlers and that little study had been made of weight reduction or weight control in high school wrestling.

Those studies conducted on the high school level
have found that excessive weight loss is a typical coaching problem. North Dakota wrestlers are no exception.

12 Walter Kroll, "An Anthropometrical Study of Some Big Ten Wrestlers, "Research Quarterly, 25 (Oct. 1954).

## CHAPTER II

## METHODOLOGY

The writer, as former coach of the Mandan High School Wrestling Team, used his knowledge of weight reduction and weight control to study the effects of each on body strength as associated with wrestling. In carrying out the experiment, the writer employed the method of controlled observation as used in experimental design.

Description of Data:
The subjects used in the following study were members of the varsity wrestling team at Mandan High School, Mandan, North Dakota. They were selected on the basis of their active participation in the varsity wrestling program throughout the 1966-1967 wrestling season.

Number Tested: Twenty wrestlers who participated during the 1966-1967 wrestling season were tested. Upon completion of the five test periods, the wrestlers who were unable to take one or more of the scheduled tests because of injury or illness were eliminated. The final group of fourteen then provided the statistical information for this study. Age: The ages of the wrestlers varied from fourteen years of age to seventeen years of age. In Mandan High School only tenth, eleventh and twelfth grade students are permitted to
participate in varsity athletics.
Weight: Weight classification was based on the weight classes used in North Dakota high school competition. These classes include the $95,103,112,120,127,133,138,145,154,165$, 180, and heavyweight divisions. Individual wrestling weights were recorded on the record card kept for each of the wrestlers during the testing period.

Height: Height was also recorded for each of the participants with the range being recorded.

Test Groups:
Upon completion of the testing, the wrestlers were divided into one of the following two groups.

Group I - This group was composed of subjects that wrestled in weight divisions in which was experienced less than a 3 per cent weight loss from normal weight.

Group II - This group was composed of subjects that wrestled in weight divisions in which was experienced more than a 3 per cent weight loss from normal weight.

As a convenience factor, Group I has been referred to as the control group and Group II as the experimental group for the remainder of the study. Also, it is important to note that, while the 3 per cent level of weight loss was chosen arbitrarily, the result was two groups with seven wrestlers in each group.

The following examples will help to illustrate the procedure that was followed in classifying the wrestlers according to their particular groupings.

Wrestler C weighed in at the initial weigh-in on November 23rd at 130 pounds. This was his normal body weight after one month of conditioning. He then decided that for the official weigh-in on December l6th, he would wrestle at the 120 pound weight division. Upon weighing-in on December l6th it was found that he had lost ten pounds. It was then possible to compare the loss with the per cent of loss calculated on the Weight Reduction Chart and find that he had lost more than 3 per cent of his normal body weight. This would then classify him as a member of Group II, the experimental group. His actual weight loss was 7.7 per cent of his normal body weight.

Wrestler J weighed-in at the first weigh-in on November $23 r d$ at 165 pounds. He then decided to wrestle at the 165 pound weight division and certified his weight at 163 pounds on December l6th. It was then possible to look at the Weight Reduction Chart and find that he had lost less than 3 per cent of his normal body weight (actually 1.2 per cent) and therefore would be placed in Group I, or the control group. Measuring Strength:

Immediately following weigh-in the wrestlers were given selected strength tests as follows:

Right and left grip strengths were measured in the following manner. Taking the hand dynamometer, with the right hand and the indicator toward the palm, the student was told to grip as vigorously as possible without the aid of any supporting object. The pounds of grip strength were
then recorded. The same procedure was followed with the left hand. Two trials were given and recorded with the average for the two trials calculated for future use.

Back strength was measured by a leg-back dynamometer. The student was placed on the platform directly above the dynamometer with hands at the front of the thighs. The tester then hooked the handle into the chain so that the top of the bar was just below the student's fingers. The student bent the trunk forward at the hips with one palm pronated and the other supinated. He then steadily lifted without jerking, releasing slowly after what was thought to have been maximum lift. The number of pounds of back lift were then recorded. After a brief rest the student was tested again. The second test score was recorded and the average calculated.

Leg strength was measured by use of the same dynamometer as described above. The student was placed in the same position as when starting the back lift. The bar was placed in the angle created by the thighs and the trunk. The bar was adjusted so that the angle of the knees was as near to 120 degrees as possible. The number of pounds of leg lift was then recorded. The student was instructed to lift by straightening the legs only. A second trial was given after a brief rest and the score recorded. The average leg lift for the two tests was then calculated.

Arm and shoulder strength were measured by the push-pull strength indicated on the hand dynamometer. The hand
dynamometer was placed between the jaws of the push-and-pull attachment. With the palms of the hands facing each other and at the height of the chest, the subject was instructed to grasp the handles and to push as vigorously as possible. Pounds of push strength were then recorded. After a brief pause, a second trial was given and the score recorded. The average for the two trials was then calculated.

The positions for pulling strength were the same as for pushing. The subject was instructed to pull on the handles as vigorously as possible. The number of pounds of pull were then recorded. A second trial was given after a brief pause, the score recorded, and the average of the two trials calculated.

All scores were recorded on each wrestler's record card. After the administration of the strength tests on the fifth and final test on March 30 th, the results were tabulated for future study.

Statistical Procedure:
In analyzing the differences between the pre-season test (Test 1), the season tests (Test 2, Test 3, and Test 4), and the post-season test (Test 5) the writer assumed the null hypothesis. The null hypothesis states that the mean scores are not different and any difference found would be a result of chance and be unimportant.

The "t" technique for testing the significance of the difference between means derived from uncorrelated groups from
small samples was used in the treatment of data of this study. The ration between the mean difference and the estimate of sampling error of the mean difference is determined by the specific test employed. The ratio was checked for significance in a "t" table with the value of "t" proportional to the degree of freedom ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}$ ) allowed to determine the relationship between the mean difference and estimate of sampling error of the mean difference. This investigator decided to retain the null hypothesis at the .05 level of significance. ${ }^{1}$

All data are presented in Appendix B, page 52, including raw scores, mean differences and steps of the mathematical process employed in the analysis of each area tested.
$1_{\text {Quinn }}$ McNemar, Psychological Statistics, (New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1949), 225.

## CHAPTER III

## ANALYSIS OF DATA

## Introduction

This study was undertaken to determine the effects of weight reduction and weight control on wrestling strength among selected North Dakota high school wrestlers. The subjects were members of the 1966-1967 Mandan High School wrestling team. They were divided into two groups on the basis of the amount of weight lost from normal body weight. The control group was composed of any wrestler losing less than 3 per cent of normal body weight. The experimental group was composed of any wrestler losing more than 3 per cent of normal body weight.

The data collected and compiled in this study were analyzed in this chapter. The analysis was divided into six separate areas: right grip strength, left grip strength, push strength, pull strength, leg strength and back strength. Analysis of the data statistically to determine the significance of the differences between the means of the two groups was the next step in this study.

## Test Results

Table l, page 19, shows the data computed on the right grip strength for the pre-season test results (Test l) and
retest scores at official weigh-in (Test 2), mid-season test (Test 3), end of season test (Test 4), and the post season test (Test 5). Mean differences, estimates of sampling error of mean differences and the significance of "t" at . 05 level were also included for the other group comparisons of the control and experimental groups. Mean differences between the experimental group and the control group and "t" with 12 degrees of freedom were computed.

TABLE 1
RIGHT GRIP STRENGTH


Comparison of mean scores $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}-\mathrm{T}_{5}\right)$ With-in groups

| Control | 100.429 | 107.571 | 4.121 | 7.142 | l.733 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Experi- | 100.751 | 105.0 | 4.087 | 4.429 | Not Sig. |
| mental |  |  |  |  | Not Sig. |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Significance of the difference between means } & -.458 \\
\left(\mathrm{~T}_{1}-\mathrm{T}-5\right) \text { of uncorrelated groups } & \text { Not Sig. }
\end{array}
$$

TABLE 1

## RIGHT GRIP STRENGTH CONTINUED

| Group | Test | Retest | S |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The mean difference of the control group in right grip strength between Test 2 and Test 5 was an increase of 10.286 pounds. The "t" value of 2.884 with 6 degrees of freedom was significant at . 05 level.

The mean difference of the experimental group in right grip strength between Test 2 and Test 5 was an increase of 11.714 pounds. The " $t$ " value of 3.292 with 6 degrees of freedom was significant at . 05 level.

The between group comparisons of mean differences of right grip strength for all tests administered showed no significance at . 05 level with 12 degrees of freedom.

Table 2, page 22, shows the data computed on left grỉp strength for the pre-season test results (Test l) of the control and experimental groups and retest scores of official weigh-in test (Test 2), mid-season test (Test 3), end of season test (Test 4), and the post season test (Test 5). Mean differences, estimates of sampling error of mean differences and the significance of "t" at . 05 level are also included for the with-in-group comparisons of the control and experimental groups. Mean differences between the experimental group and the control group and "t" with 12 degrees of freedom were computed.

TABLE 2
LEFT GRIP STRENGTH

| Group | Test | Retest | $\mathrm{S}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { "t" } \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparison of mean scores ( $\mathrm{T}_{1}-\mathrm{T}_{2}$ ) With-in groups |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 98.286 | 100.286 | 4.343 | 2.0 | . 46 |
| Experimental | 99.429 | 95.143 | 3.098 | -4.71 | $\begin{aligned} & -1.52 \\ & \text { Not } \mathrm{Si} \end{aligned}$ |
| Significance of the difference $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}-\mathrm{T}_{2}\right)$ of uncorrelated groups |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -1.259 \\ & \text { Not Sig } \end{aligned}$ |
| Comparison of mean scores ( $\left.\mathrm{T}_{1}-\mathrm{T}_{5}\right)$ With-in groups |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 98.286 | 108.714 | 4.177 | 10.429 | 2.497 |
| Experimental | $99.429$ | 102.571 | 3.638 | 3.143 | Signifi .864 Not Si |
| Significance of the difference ( $\mathrm{T}_{1}-\mathrm{T}_{5}$ ) of uncorrelated groups |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -1.315 \\ & \text { Not Si\& } \end{aligned}$ |
| Comparison of mean scores ( $\left.\mathrm{T}_{2}-\mathrm{T}_{3}\right)$ With-in groups |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 100.280 | 102.571 | 2.351 | 2.286 | .972 |
| Experimental | 95.280 | 97.429 | 3.777 | 2.143 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not Si\& } \\ & .567 \\ & \text { Not Si\& } \end{aligned}$ |
| Significance of the difference between means ( $\mathrm{T}_{2}-\mathrm{T} 3$ ) of uncorrelated groups |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -.032 \\ & \text { Not Si\& } \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 2
LEFT GRIP STRENGTH CONTINUED

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | Test | Retest | S | $\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ |

Comparison of mean scores $\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}-\mathrm{T}_{5}\right)$ With-in groups

| Control | 100.286 | 108.714 | 3.981 | 8.429 | 2.117 <br>  <br> Not Sig. <br> Experi- <br> mental |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | 95.286 | 102.571 | 3.177 | 7.286 | 2.293 <br> Not Sig. |


| Significance of the difference between means |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}-\mathrm{T}_{5}\right)$ of uncorrelated groups | Not Sig. |

The mean differences of the control group in left grip strength between Test 1 and Test 5 was an increase of 10.429 pounds. The "t" value of 2.497 with 6 degrees of freedom was significant at . 05 level.

The mean differences of the experimental group in left grip strength between the initial tests and the retests showed no significance at . 05 level.

The between group comparisons of mean differences of left grip strength for all tests administered showed no significance at . 05 level with 12 degrees of freedom.

Table 3, page 24, shows the data computed on push strength for the pre-season test results (Test 1), of the control and experimental groups and retest scores of official weighin test (Test 2), mid-season test (Test 3), end-of season test (Test 4), and the post season test (Test 5). Mean differences, estimates of sampling error of mean differences and the significance of "t" at . 05 level are also included for the with-in group comparisons of the control and experimental groups. Mean differences between the experimental group and the control group and " t " with 12 degrees of freedom were computed.

## TABLE 3

## PUSH STRENGTH

| Group | Test | Retest | S |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

TABLE 3

## PUSH STRENGTH CONTINUED



The mean difference of the control group in push strength between Test 2 and Test 3 was a decrease of 13.571 pounds. The "t" value of 3.371 with 6 degrees of freedom was significant at . 05 level.

The mean difference of the control group in push strength between Test 2 and Test 4 was a decrease of 18.857 pounds. The "t" value of 3.201 with 6 degrees of freedom was significant at . 05 level.

The mean differences of the experimental group in push strength between Test 1 and Test 5 was an increase of 34.286 pounds. The " $t$ " value of 4.381 with 6 degrees of freedom was significant at . 05 level.

The mean difference of the experimental group in push strength between Test 2 and Test 5 was an increase of 26.286 pounds. The "t" value of 3.17 with 6 degrees of freedom was significant at . 05 level.

The between group comparisons of mean differences of push strength for Test 1 to Test 5 showed a "t" value of 3.196 which was significant for the experimental group at . 05 level with 12 degrees of freedom.

The between group comparisons of mean differences of push strength for Test 2 to Test 3 showed a "t" value of 3.117 which was significant for the experimental group at . 05 level with 12 degrees of freedom.

The between group comparisons of mean differences of push strength for Test 2 to Test 4 showed a "t" value of 2.210 which was significant for the experimental group with 12 degrees of freedom.

After the official weigh-in (Test 2), it was noted that the control group had experienced a gain in push strength from the pre-season weigh-in. However, through the remainder
of the season the control group experienced a loss in push strength. Push strength returned to normal after five weeks cessation of wrestling, Test l - Test 5.

The experimental group experienced several strength gains from the pre-season test to the post season test. The first gain occurred between Test 1 and Test 2, the second between Test 2 and Test 3, and the third between Test 4 and Test 5.

Table 4 , page 28 , shows the data computed on pull strength for the pre-season test results (Test l) of the control and experimental groups and retest scores of official weigh-in test (Test 2), mid-season test (Test 3), end-ofseason test (Test 4), and the post season test (Test 5). Mean differences, estimates of sampling error of mean differences, and the significance of "t" at . 05 level are also included for the with-in group comparisons of the control groups and experimental groups. Mean differences between the experimental group and the control group and "t" with 12 degrees of freedom were computed.

TABLE 4
PULL STRENGTH

| Group | Test | Retest | S |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Comparison of mean scores ( $\mathrm{T}_{2}-\mathrm{T}_{3}$ ) With-in |  |  |  |  | groups |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control | 133.857 | 139.0 | 5.075 | 5.143 | 1.013 |
| Experimental | 134.0 | 144.286 | 2.747 | 10.286 | Not Sig. <br> 3.744 <br> Significant |
| Significance of the difference between means ( $\mathrm{T}_{2}-\mathrm{T} 3$ ) of uncorrelated groups |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .891 \\ \text { Not Sig. } \end{gathered}$ |

TABLE 4
PULL STRENGTH CONTINUED


Comparison of mean scores ( $\mathrm{T}_{2}-\mathrm{T}_{5}$ ) With-in groups
Control $133.857 \quad 145.0 \quad 8.66 \quad 11.143 \quad 1.287$

| Experi- 134.0 <br> mental | 147.857 | 5.389 | 13.857 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | 2.571 |
| :--- |
| Signific |

The mean difference of the control group in pull strength between the initial tests and all retests showed no significance at . 05 level.

The mean difference of the experimental group in pull strength between Test 2 and Test 3 was an increase of 10.286 pounds. The "t" value of 3.744 with 6 degrees of freedom was significant at . 05 level.

The mean difference of the experimental group in pull strength between Test 2 and Test 5 was an increase of 13.857 pounds. The " $t$ " value of 2.571 with 6 degrees of freedom was
significant at . 05 level.
The between group comparisons of mean difference of pull strength for all tests administered showed no significance at . 05 level with 12 degrees of freedom.

Table 5, page 30, shows the data computed on leg strength for the pre-season test results (Test l) of the control and experimental groups and retest scores of the official weigh-in test (Test 2), mid-season test (Test 3), end-of-season test (Test 4), and the post season test (Test 5). Mean differences, estimates of sampling error of mean differences and the significance of "t" at . 05 level are also included for the with-in group comparisons of the control and experimental groups. Mean differences between the experimental group and the control group and "t" with 12 degrees of freedom were computed.

TABLE 5
LEG STRENGTH

| Group | Test | Retest | $\mathrm{S} \overline{\mathrm{D}}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { "t" } \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | parison | mean sco | $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}-\mathrm{T}_{2}\right)$ | With-in | groups |
| Control | 368.571 | 348.929 | 18.887 | -19.643 | -1.04 |
| Experimental | 470.714 | 383.929 | 48.143 | -86.786 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not Sig. } \\ & -1.803 \\ & \text { Not Sig. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Significance of the difference between means ( $\mathrm{T}_{1}-\mathrm{T}_{2}$ ) of uncorrelated groups |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -1.299 \\ & \text { Not Sig. } \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 5
LEG STRENGTH CONTINUED

| Group | Test | Retest | $\mathrm{S} \overline{\mathrm{D}}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { "t" } \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparison of mean scores ( $\mathrm{T}_{1}-\mathrm{T}_{5}$ ) With-in groups |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 368.571 | 388.571 | 28.358 | 20.0 | . 705 |
| Experimental | 470.714 | 432.857 | 34.29 | -37.857 | $\begin{aligned} & -1.104 \\ & \text { Not Sig. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Significance of the difference between means $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}-\mathrm{T}_{5}\right)$ of uncorrelated groups |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -1.30 \\ & \text { Not Sig. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Comparison of mean scores ( $\mathrm{T}_{2}-\mathrm{T}_{3}$ ) With-in groups |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 348.929 | 378.571 | 14.426 | 29.643 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.055 \\ & \text { Not Sig. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Experimental | $383.929$ | $360.714$ | $22.706$ | $-23.214$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.022 \\ & \text { Not Sig. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Significance of the difference between means $\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}-\mathrm{T} 3\right)$ of uncorrelated groups |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -1.965 \\ & \text { Not Sig. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Comparison of mean scores ( $\left.\mathrm{T}_{2}-\mathrm{T}_{4}\right)$ With-in groups |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 348.929 | 356.429 | 16.909 | 7.50 | . 444 |
| Experimental | 383.929 | 369.643 | 17.589 | -14.286 | $-.812$ <br> Not Sig. |
| Signifi $\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}-\mathrm{T}_{4}\right)$ | nce of th uncorre | differen <br> ted group | between | means | $\begin{aligned} & -.893 \\ & \text { Not Sig. } \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 5
LEG STRENGTH CONTINUED

|  |  | Retest | S |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | Test | $\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ | "t" <br> Compalue |  |

The mean differences of the control group in leg strength between Test 2 and Test 5 was an increase of 39.643 pounds. The "t" value of 2.787 with 6 degrees of freedom was significant at . 05 level.

The mean differences of the experimental group in leg strength between the initial tests and the retests showed no significance at . 05 level with 6 degrees of freedom.

The between group comparisons of mean differences of leg strength for all tests administered showed no significance at . 05 level with 12 degrees of freedom.

Table 6, page 33 , shows the data computed on back strength for the pre-season test results (Test l) of the control and experimental groups and retest scores of official weigh-in test (Test 2), mid-season test (Test 3), end-ofseason test (Test 4), and the post season test (Test 5).

Mean differences, estimates of sampling error of mean differences and the significance of " t " at .05 level are also included for the with-in group comparisons of the control and experimental groups. Mean differences between the experimental group and the control group and "t" with 12 degrees of freedom were computed.

TABLE 6
BACK STRENGTH

| Group | Test | Retest | $\overline{\mathrm{S}}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { "t" } \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comparison of mean scores ( $\mathrm{T}_{1}-\mathrm{T}_{2}$ ) With-in groups |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 296.786 | 264.643 | 13.057 | -32.143 | -2.462 |
| Experimental | 299.643 | 273.929 | 12.189 | -25.714 | Signif -2.11 Not Sig |
| Significance of the difference between means ( $\mathrm{T}_{1}-\mathrm{T}_{2}$ ) of uncorrelated groups |  |  |  |  | $.$ |
| Comparison of mean scores ( $\mathrm{T}_{1}-\mathrm{T}_{5}$ ) With-in) groups |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control <br> Experimental | 296.786 | 284.286 | 17.042 | -12.5 | $\begin{aligned} & -.733 \\ & \text { Not Sig } \\ & .45 \\ & \text { Not Sig } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 299.643 | 305.714 | 13.483 | 6.071 |  |
| Significance of the difference between means ( $\mathrm{T}_{1}-\mathrm{T}_{5}$ ) of uncorrelated groups |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} .854 \\ \text { Not Sig } \end{gathered}$ |

TABLE 6
BACK STRENGTH CONTINUED


The mean differences of the control group in back strength between Test 1 and Test 2 was an increase of 32.143 pounds. The " t " value of 2.462 with 6 degrees of freedom was significant at . 05 level.

The mean differences of the experimental group in back strength between the initial tests and the retests showed no significance at . 05 level.

The between group comparisons of mean differences of back strength for all tests administered showed no significance at .05 level with 12 degrees of freedom.

In conclusion it is important to recognize that the between groups comparisons on five areas of wrestling strength showed no significance at the . 05 level. Only push strength showed a significant difference in the between groups comparisons of Test 1 to Test 2, Test 2 to Test 3, and Test 2 to Test 4.

The mean differences between the experimental group and control group for Test 1 to Test 2 showed a greater mean difference loss for the experimental group in: right grip strenth, left grip strength, pull strength, and leg strength. Only back strength showed a greater mean difference loss for the control group. Push strength showed a greater mean difference gain for the control group.

The mean differences between the experimental group and control group for Test 1 to Test 5 showed a gain in mean differences for the control group in: right grip strength, left grip strength, pull strength, and leg strength. Push
strength and back strength showed mean difference gains for the experimental group and mean difference losses for the control. Leg strength showed a mean difference loss for the experimental group.

The mean differences between the experimental group and control group for Test 2 to Test 3 showed great gains in mean difference for the control group in: right grip strength, left grip strength, and leg strength. Back strength showed the same gain for both groups. Pull strength showed a greater mean difference gain for the experimental group. Push strength showed a mean difference gain for the experimental group. A mean difference loss was noted for the control group in push strength and for the experimental group in leg strength.

The mean differences between the experimental group and control group for Test 2 to Test 4 showed greater gains in mean difference for the control group in: right grip strength, left grip strength, pull strength and leg strength. The experimental group showed a greater mean difference gain for back strength. Push strength showed a greater mean difference loss for the control group. A mean difference loss was noted for the experimental group in leg strength.

The mean differences between the experimental group and control group for Test 2 to Test 5 showed greater gains in mean difference for the control group in: left grip
strength and leg strength. The experimental group showed a greater mean difference gain for: right grip strength, pull strength and back strength. The experimental group showed a greater mean difference loss for push strength.

## CHAPTER IV

DISCUSSION
Within the past few years weight control in all phases of athletics has become very important. For the athlete to maintain a competitive weight, the many aspects of body build, nutrition, energy input and output and physical conditioning must be taken into consideration. Weight reduction up to the 10 per cent level has been found not to be harmful to the college wrestler. Although the research on weight reduction at the high school level is somewhat limited, the evidence that does exist shows no harmful effect with regard to weight loss within minimum levels. The fact remains that weight reduction and weight control are serious problems not always appreciated by parents, administrators, wrestlers and even many coaches. If minimum weight levels do exist beyond which continued weight loss is detrimental to the athlete with regard to strength or endurance, then these minimum levels should be established.

This study was designed to allow for observation and testing periods for the 1966-1967 Mandan High School wrestling team. Five testing periods were selected: a pre-season test, scheduled for one month after practice began; official weigh-in test, scheduled for December 16,
as allowed for state certification; mid-season test, scheduled for January 26; end-of-season test, scheduled for February 23, one day before the state tournament; and a post season test, scheduled for March 30 th, five weeks after the season ended.

The following six strength tests were administered for each testing period: right grip strength, left grip strength, push strength, pull strength, leg strength and back strength.

Certain factors must be mentioned at this time in the discussion of this study which are pertinent to the results brought out by the testing program. Of the twenty participants in this study selected for testing only fourteen remained active participants. The others were eliminated because they either dropped the activity, were injured so that they could not participate or missed a test period for some other reason.

Upon completion of the test on the official weigh-in date (Test 2), the fourteen members were divided into two groups. The control group was composed of seven wrestlers who had lost less than 3 per cent of their normal body weight taken at the time of Test l. The experimental group was composed of those wrestlers losing more than 3 per cent of their normal body weight as determined from Test 1. See Appendix A page 49 for weight classification chart.

At this point, a clarification should be stressed. Although the wrestler was placed in the experimental group (those losing over 3 per cent of body weight) it was very likely that he may not have exceeded this arbitrary cutoff by more than a fractional amount. For example, wrestler $G$ weighed-in at Test 1 at 151 pounds. When he weighed-in for Test 2 he weighed 146 pounds for a loss of 5 pounds or 3.3 per cent of his normal weight (taken as of Test l). The mean difference in weight for the control group from Test 1 to Test 2 was . 1 per cent gain. The experimental mean difference in weight from Test 1 to Test 2 was 4.6 per cent loss. This figure was slightly less than the 5.91 per cent average weight loss of North Dakota wrestlers as reported by Bedard ${ }^{l}$ for 1966-1967.

Therefore, the arbitrary 3 per cent level falls short of the average weight loss of high school wrestlers reported for the same year. With the average weight loss of the experimental group less than the North Dakota high school wrestler's average weight loss, little difference in strength patterns between the control and experimental groups should have been expected.

[^4]The control group registered a mean decrease of 3.143 pounds in right grip strength. The experimental group registered a mean decrease of 7.286 pounds. No significance at the . 05 level was shown for either group.

The control group registered a mean increase of 2 pounds in left grip strength. The experimental group registered a mean decrease of 4.71 pounds for the same testing period. Neither of these was significant at the .05 level.

The control group registered a mean increase of 13.429 pounds in push strength. The experimental group registered a mean increase of 8 pounds for the same testing period. Neither of these was significant at the .05 level.

The control group registered a mean decrease of .571 pounds in pull strength. The experimental group registered a mean decrease of 5.143 pounds for the same testing period. Neither of these was significant at the .05 level.

The control group registered a mean decrease of 19.643 pounds in the leg strength. The experimental group registered a mean decrease of 86.786 pounds for the same testing period. No significance at the .05 level was shown for either group.

The control group registered a mean decrease of 32.143 pounds in back strength. This was significant at the .05 level. The experimental group registered a mean decrease of 25.714 pounds for the same testing period. This was not
significant at the . 05 level.
Although the between groups comparisons showed no significance at the . 05 level from Test 1 to Test 2 for all strength tests administered, a close study of the mean differences reveals noticeable differences in leg strength and back strength. Leg strength of the experimental group may have been affected by weight reduction during the period between tests. Although the mean difference in back strength between the two groups is not great, it would appear that both groups may have been affected by the weight loss on weight control during the period included.

Muscular Strength Mean Differences, Test 1 to Test 5
The control group registered a mean increase of 7.142 pounds for right grip strength. The experimental group registered a mean increase of 4.429 pounds for the same testing period. Neither gain was significant at the .05 level.

The control group registered a mean increase of 10.249 pounds for left grip strength. The experimental group registered a mean increase of 3.143 pounds for the same testing period. The control group gain proved significant at the .05 level while the experimental group gain did not.

The control group registered a mean decrease of 1 pound for push strength. This was not significant at . 05
level. The experimental group registered a mean increase of 34.286 pounds for the same testing period. This was significant at the . 05 level.

The control group registered a mean increase of 10.571 pounds for pull strength. The experimental group registered a mean increase of 8.714 pounds for the same testing period. Neither of these was significant at the .05 level.

The control group registered a mean increase of 20 pounds in leg strength. The experimental group registered a mean decrease of 37.857 pounds for the same testing period. Neither of these was significant.

The control group registered a mean decrease of 12.5 pounds in back strength. The experimental group registered a mean increase of 6.071 pounds for the same testing period. Neither of these was significant at . 05 level.

Although the between groups comparisons showed no significance at the . 05 level from Test 1 to Test 5 for all strength tests administered, a close study of the mean differences reveals noticeable differences in leg and back strength. Weight reduction above the 3 per cent level may have some effect on the leg strength of the individual during the growing years. A slight gain in back strength is apparent with respect to the experimental group. The control group does not show this normal body gain in strength for the same period.

Following are some generalizations obtained from the data gathered:

1. The experimental group lost strength in five areas between Test 1 and Test 2.
2. The experimental group had a lower mean difference than the control group in five of the six areas tested between Test 1 and Test 2.
3. The experimental group gained strength in five of the six areas between Test 1 and Test 5 .
4. In the area of leg strength, a loss developed on the part of the experimental group between Test 1 and Test 5.
5. Back strength on the part of the control group decreased between Test 1 and Test 5.
6. The control group had greater strength gains in right grip strength, left grip strength and.leg strength than the experimental group during the period between Test 2 and Test 3.
7. Both push and pull strength were areas of mean difference gain for the experimental group between Test 2 and Test 3.
8. Both the control and experimental groups experienced the same gain in back strength between Test 2 and Test 3 .
9. The control group experienced a greater mean difference gain than the experimental group in four of the areas tested between Test 2 and Test 4 .
10. Back strength experienced a greater mean
difference gain for the experimental group between Test 2 and Test 4.
11. The experimental group experienced a slightly greater strength gain in three areas tested between Test 2 and Test 5.
12. The control group experienced a slightly greater strength gain in two areas tested between Test 2 and Test 5.
13. A comparison of the wrestler's weight from Test 2 to Test 5 found an average weight gain for all wrestlers tested of 7.4 per cent of the post season weight.

Minor injuries, sickness, or other factors may have influenced the results that were obtained. However, it is interesting to note the general trend of strength loss as associated with weight loss.

The normal growing high school wrestler should experience a weight gain throughout the wrestling season. Any loss of weight during the season should be calculated from this ascending weight plane and not from the lowest point on the plane (Test l). In this study all wrestlers lost, on the average, 7.4 per cent of their normal body weight as recorded five weeks after the wrestling season.

## CHAPTER V

SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## Summary

The purpose of this study was to determine the relationship, if any, of weight reduction or weight control with selected strength factors of typical North Dakota high school wrestlers.

Right and left grip strength, push and pull strength, and leg and back strength were tested five times during and after the wrestling season.

Data were collected from fourteen members of the 1966-1967 Mandan High School wrestling team. By comparing the various strength test results with the amount of weight gained or lost during the same testing periods the writer attempted to develop an optimal weight plan.

Analysis of the data statistically to determine the significance of the difference between the means of the inter-group and intra-group comparisons was made.

The null hypothesis was assumed with respect to the with-in group and between group comparisons of mean difference. This hypothesis was tested with the "t" technique for the difference between means derived from uncorrelated scores and correlated scores from small samples.

## Conclusions

On the basis of the findings of this study the following conclusions were drawn:

1. In comparing the mean differences of the control group with the mean differences of the experimental group, no significance at the . 05 level was recorded for five of the areas tested.
2. With respect to push strength, a significant " $t$ " value at the .05 level in favor of the experimental group was evident in between group comparisons of Test 1 to Test 5, Test 2 to Test 3, Test 2 to Test 4.
3. The average weight loss for the experimental group from Test 1 to Test 2 was 4.6 per cent of normal body weight taken at the time of pre-season weigh-in.
4. The comparison of Test 2 to Test 5 found an average weight gain for all wrestlers of 7.4 per cent of the post season weight.
5. Weight reduction or weight control beyond the 3 per cent level may affect leg strength.

## Recommendations

It is suggested that in any future study of this nature, the following recommendations may be of value:

1. Comparison of wrestlers weight-strength with that of a control group of non-wrestlers in the same age classification would help to determine whether the strength
differences of either group were significant.
2. A similar study encompassing a greater number of wrestlers from several different high schools should be undertaken to give greater significance to the results in terms of weight loss as found in North Dakota high schools.
3. A weight reduction study emphasizing different areas of wrestling ability on the high school level should be undertaken.
4. A long range study of weight reduction or pro-longed weight control should be undertaken to determine whether any latent effects appear after the wrestler has matured and has become established in life.
5. A strength-weight index should be established to aid the wrestler and coach in determining whether the wrestler is at an optimal weight.

## WEIGHT REDUCTION CHART I

| Wrestler | $\underset{1}{\text { Weigh-In }}$ | $\underset{2}{\text { Weigh-In }}$ | Difference | Per Cent of Weight Gained or Lost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 91 | 90 | -1 | -1.1 |
| B | 111 | 113 | +2 | +1.8 |
| C | 130 | 120 | -10 | -7.7 |
| D | 126 | 127 | +1 | $+.8$ |
| E | 135 | 127 | -8 | -5.9 |
| F | 144 | 138 | -6 | -4.2 |
| G | 151 | 146 | -5 | -3.3 |
| H | 150 | 145 | -5 | -3.3 |
| I | 160 | 153 | -7 | $-4.4$ |
| J | 165 | 163 | -2 | -1.2 |
| K | 160 | 162 | $+2$ | +1.3 |
| L | 172 | 171 | -1 | -1.2 |
| M | 185 | 185 | - | 0 |
| N | 221 | 214 | -7 | -3.2 |
| Total |  |  | -47 | -31.6 |
|  | Average Weight Loss |  |  | 2.3 |

WEIGHT REDUCTION CHART II

| Wrestler | $\underset{2}{\text { Weigh-In }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weigh-In } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | Difference | Per Cent of Weight Gained or Lost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 90 | 96 | $+6$ | 6.3 |
| B | 11.3 | 124 | +11 | 8.9 |
| C | 120 | 142 | $+22$ | 15.5 |
| D | 127 | 130 | $+3$ | 2.3 |
| E | 127 | 142 | $+15$ | 10.6 |
| F | 138 | 158 | +20 | 12.6 |
| G | 146 | 162 | $+16$ | 9.9 |
| H | 145 | 164 | +19 | 11.6 |
| I | 153 | 169 | $+16$ | 9.5 |
| J | 163 | 162 | -1 | -. 6 |
| K | 162 | 166 | $+4$ | 2.4 |
| L | 171 | 184 | +13 | 7.2 |
| M | 185 | 189 | +4 | 2.7 |
| N | 214 | 224 | +10 | 4.5 |
| Total |  |  | 158 | 103.4 |
|  |  | Average W | ht Gained | 7.421 |

APPENDIX B

## COMPARISON OF TESTS I AND II FOR

## RIGHT GRIP STRENGTH

| Control | Test 1 | Test | 2 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | 59 | 55 |  | - -4 | 16 |
| B. | 90 | 87 |  | -3 | 9 |
| D. | 101 | 100 |  | -1 | 1 |
| J. | 115 | 113 |  | -2 | 4 |
| K. | 118 | 102 |  | -16 | 256 |
| L. | 127 | 127 |  | - | - |
| M. | 93 | 97 |  | 4 | 16 |
| Total | 703 | 681 |  | -22 | 302 |
| Mean Scor | of Test 1 |  | 100.429 |  |  |
| Mean Scor | of Test 2 |  | 97.286 |  |  |
| Sum of th | Difference |  | -22 |  |  |
| Sum of th | Difference | Squared | 302 |  |  |
| Experi.mental | Test 1 | Test | 2 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| C. | 103 | 102 |  | -1 | 1 |
| E. | 102 | 75 |  | -27 | 729 |
| F. | 125 | 110 |  | -15 | 225 |
| G. | 105 | 88 |  | -17 | 289 |
| H. | 99 | 102 |  | 3 | 9 |
| I. | 99 | 106 |  | 7 | 49 |
| N. | 71 | 70 |  | -1 | 1 |
| Total | 704 | 653 |  | -51 | 1303 |
| Mean Scor | of Test 1 |  | 100.571 |  |  |
| Mean Scor | of Test 2 |  | 93.286 |  |  |
| Sum of th | Difference |  | -51 |  |  |
| Sum of th | Difference | Squared | 1303 |  |  |

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
Test Right Grip Strength
Group Control
$N=7$
$D=-22$
$D^{2}=302$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (estimate of sampling error of

$\sqrt{N}$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}=2.35$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference) $=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{-22}{7}=-3.143$
"t" $\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{-3.143}{2.35}=-\frac{-1.337}{}$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-=6$
"t" at .05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS
DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Right Grip Strength
$N=-7$
$\mathrm{D}=-\quad-51$
$D^{2}=$ $\qquad$

S
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (estimate of sampling error of

$\sqrt{N}$

$\bar{D}=\frac{\sqrt{N}}{}$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}} \quad$ (Mean Difference) $=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{-51}{7}=-\frac{-7.286}{}$
$t=\frac{\bar{D}}{S_{\bar{D}}}=\frac{-7.286}{4.702}=-\frac{-1.549}{}$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-\mathrm{l}=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

# THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES 

Test Right Grip Strength


SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of the differences between the mean differences.)

$\wedge \sqrt{(4.702)^{2}+(2.350)^{2}}$
SDMD + 5.26
$\mathrm{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1}-\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}=\underline{-7.286}-\underline{-3.143}=-4.143$
$" \mathrm{t} "=\frac{\mathrm{D} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathrm{SDMD}}=\frac{-4.143}{5.26}=\underline{-.788}$
$\mathrm{df}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}-1\right)+\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}-1\right)=6+6=$ $\qquad$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.179$
Not Significant at . 05 level

## COMPARISON OF TESTS I AND II FOR

## LEFT GRIP STRENGTH

| Control | Test 1 | Test | 2 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | 66 | 55 |  | -11 | 121 |
| B. | 85 | 86 |  | 1 | 1 |
| D. | 99 | 99 |  | - | - |
| J. | 112 | 110 |  | -2 | 4 |
| K. | 89 | 108 |  | 19 | 361 |
| L. | 134 | 125 |  | -9 | 81 |
| M. | 103 | 119 |  | 16 | 256 |
| Total | 688 | 702 |  | 14 | 823 |
| Mean Score | of Test 1 |  | 98.286 |  |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 100.286 |  |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 14 |  |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared | 823 |  |  |
| Experimental | Test 1 | Test | 2 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| C. | 105 | 89 |  | -16 | 256 |
| E. | 102 | 90 |  | -12 | 144 |
| F. | 108 | 99 |  | -9 | 81 |
| G . | 100 | 106 |  | 6 | 36 |
| H. | 103 | 106 |  | 3 | 9 |
| I. | 102 | 105 |  | 3 | 25 |
| N. | 76 | 71 |  | 5 | 25 |
| Total | 696 | 666 |  | -33 | 560 |
| Mean Score | of Test 1 |  | 99.429 |  |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 95.143 |  |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | -33 |  |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared | 560 |  |  |

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES


THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES


THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Left Grip Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{-4.71}$ Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{2.0}$

Experimental Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=3.098$ Control Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\underline{4.343}$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of the differences between the mean differences.)


## COMPARISON OF TESTS I AND II FOR

PUSH STRENGTH

| Control | Test 1 | Test 2 |  | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | 80 | 98 |  | 18 | 324 |
| B. | 124 | 142 |  | 18 | 324 |
| D. | 137 | 133 |  | -4 | 16 |
| J. | 152 | 179 |  | 27 | 729 |
| K. | 162 | 179 |  | 17 | 289 |
| L. | 143 | 158 |  | 15 | 225 |
| M. | 187 | 190 |  | 3 | 9 |
| Toțal | 985 | 1079 |  | 94 | 1916 |
| Mean Score | of Test 1 |  | 140.714 | - |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 154.143 |  |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 94 |  |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared | 1916 |  |  |
| Experimental | Test 1 | Test 2 |  | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| C. | 156 | 161 |  | 5 | 25 |
| E. | 138 | 148 |  | 10 | 100 |
| F. | 117 | 138 |  | 21 | 441 |
| G . | 117 | 141 |  | 24 | 576 |
| H. | 131 | 155 |  | 24 | 576 |
| I. | 167 | 157 |  | -10 | 100 |
| N. | 78 | 60 |  | -18 | 324 |
| Total | 904 | 960 |  | 56 | 2142 |
| Mean Score | of Test 1 |  | 129.143 |  |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 137.143 |  |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 56 |  |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared | 2142 |  |  |

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DRIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
$\qquad$ Group Control
$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
$D=94$
$D^{2}=1916$
$\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}$ (estimate of sampling error of
$\overline{\mathrm{D}})={ }^{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\mathrm{D}}=$

$\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=12.457$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference) $=$
 $=\frac{94}{7}=$ $=13.429$
$" t "=\frac{\bar{D}}{S}=\frac{13.429}{12.457}=1.087$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-\mathrm{l}=6$
"t" at .05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
$\qquad$ Group Experimental
$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
D = $\qquad$
$D^{2}=$ $\qquad$
$\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}$ (estimate of sampling error of

$S_{\bar{D}}=6.34$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference) $=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{56}{7}=8.0$
$" t "=\frac{\bar{D}}{\bar{S} \bar{D}}=\frac{8.0}{6.34}=1.262$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-1=7-1=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Push Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=8.0$ Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{13.429}$

Experimental Group $\underset{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{ }=\underline{6.34}$ Control Group $\underset{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{ }=\underline{12.457}$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)

SDMD +13.98
$\mathrm{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1}-\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}=\underline{8}-13.429=-5.429$ $" t "=\frac{\mathrm{D}_{\bar{D}}}{\mathrm{SDMD}}=\frac{-5.429}{13.98}=-.388$
$\mathrm{df}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}-1\right)+\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}-1\right)=6+6=$ $\qquad$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.179$
Not Significant at . 05 level

## COMPARISON OF TESTS I AND II FOR

PULL STRENGTH

| Control | Test 1 | Test 2 | $2 \quad \begin{gathered} \text { Sum of } \\ \text { Difference } \end{gathered}$ | Difference Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | 78 | 78 | 3 - | - |
| B. | 141 | 140 | -1 | 1 |
| D. | 110 | 103 | -7 | 49 |
| J. | 138 | 131 | -7 | 49 |
| K. | 144 | 135 | -9 | 81 |
| L. | 168 | 171 | 3 | 9 |
| M. | 162 | 179 | 17 | 289 |
| Total | 941 | 937 | -4 | 478 |
| Mean Score | of Test 1 |  | 134.429 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 133.857 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | -4 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared | 478 |  |
| Experimental | Test 1 | Test 2 | $2 \begin{gathered}\text { Sum of } \\ \text { Difference }\end{gathered}$ | Difference Squared |
| C. | 160 | 161 | 1 | 1 |
| E. | 128 | 114 | -14 | 196 |
| F. | 151 | 131 | -20 | 400 |
| G . | 123 | 115 | -8 | 64 |
| H. | 174 | 176 | 2 | 4 |
| I. | 151 | 146 | -5 | 25 |
| N. | 87 | 95 | 8 | 64 |
| Total | 974 | 938 | -36 | 754 |
| Mean Score | of Test 1 |  | 139.143 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 134.00 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | -36 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared | 754 |  |

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
Test Pull Strength
$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
$\mathrm{D}=$ $\qquad$
$\mathrm{D}^{2}=$ 478
$\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}$ (estimate of sampling error of

$\qquad$ $\sqrt{\mathrm{N}}$


$$
S_{\bar{D}}=3.358
$$

$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference $=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{-4}{7}=-\frac{-.571}{}$ $" t "=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\frac{-.571}{3.358}=-\frac{-.17}{}$ $\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-1=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
Test Pull Strength
$N=7$
$\mathrm{D}=-36$
$D^{2}=\underline{754}$
$S_{\bar{D}}$ (estimate of sampling error of $\overline{\mathrm{D}})=\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=$


$$
\overline{\mathrm{D}}=3.675
$$

$$
\overline{\mathrm{D}}(\text { Mean Difference })=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{~N}}=\frac{-36}{7}=-\frac{-5.143}{}
$$

$$
" t "=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\bar{S} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\frac{-5.143}{3.675}=-\frac{-1.399}{}
$$

$$
\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-1=7-1=6
$$

$$
\text { "t" at } .05 \text { level }=2.447
$$

$$
\text { Not Significant at . } 05 \text { level }
$$

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Pull Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{-5.143}$ Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{-.571}$

Experimental Group $\underset{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{ }=3.675$ Control Group $\underset{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{ }=3.358$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \wedge \sqrt{\mathrm{S}_{\bar{D}_{1}}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}}{ }^{2}} \sqrt{(3.675)^{2}=(3.358)^{2}} \\
& \text { SDMD }+4.98 \\
& \mathrm{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}=\underline{-5.143}=\underline{-.571}=-\underline{-4.572} \\
& \text { D } \\
& " \mathrm{t} "=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathrm{SDMD}}=\frac{-4.572}{4.98}=-.918 \\
& d f=\left(N_{1}-1\right)+\left(N_{2}-1\right)=6+6=\underline{12} \\
& \text { "t" at . } 05 \text { level }=2.179 \\
& \text { Not Significant at . } 05 \text { level }
\end{aligned}
$$

LEG STRENGTH

| Control | Test 1 | Test 2 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | 180 | 185 | 5 | 25 |
| B. | 230 | 257.5 | 27.5 | 756.25 |
| D. | 342.5 | 315 | -27.5 | 756.25 |
| J. | 280 | 265 | -15 | 225 |
| K. | 397.5 | 360 | -37.5 | 1406.25 |
| L. | 535 | 562.5 | 27.5 | 756.25 |
| M. | 615 | 497.5 | -117.5 | 13806.25 |
| Total | 2580 | 2442.5 | -137.5 | 17731.25 |
| Mean Scor | Test 1 | 368. |  |  |
| Mean Scor | f Test 2 | 348. |  |  |
| Sum of Di | rence | -137. |  |  |
| Sum of Di | ence Squared | 17731. |  |  |
| Experimental | Test 1 | Test 2 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| C. | 670 | 367.5 | -302.5 | 91506.25 |
| E. | 350 | 267.5 | - 82.5 | 6806.25 |
| F. | 655 | 455 | -200 | 40000 |
| G. | 365 | 447.5 | 82.5 | 6806.25 |
| H. | 555 | 487.5 | -67.5 | 4556.25 |
| I. | 400 | 382.5 | -17.5 | 306.25 |
| N. | 300 | 280 | -20 | 400 |
| Total | 3295 | 2687.5 | -607.5 | 150,381. 25 |
| Mean Score of Test 1 <br> Mean Score of Test 2 <br> Sum of Difference <br> Sum of Difference Squared |  | 470.714383.929 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | 383.929 |  |
|  |  | 150,381. 25 |  |

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Test Leg Stre } \\
& \mathrm{N}=\frac{7}{\mathrm{D}=\frac{-137.5}{17,731.25}} \\
& \mathrm{D}^{2}=1
\end{aligned}
$$ Leg Strength Group Control

$$
\overline{\mathrm{S}} \text { (estimate of sampling error of }
$$

$$
\overline{\mathrm{D}})=\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}
$$


$\overline{\mathrm{D}} \quad($ Mean Difference $)=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{-137.5}{7}=-\frac{-19.643}{}$

$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-1=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Leg Strength
$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
D = $\qquad$
$D^{2}=150,381.25$
S (estimate of sampling error of $\square$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ ) = $=$
Group Experimental

$\overline{\mathrm{D}}($ Mean Difference) $=$ $\qquad$ $=-607.5$ $=\frac{-86.786}{48.143}$ $" t "=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}}}=-\underline{-86.786}=-1.803$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-\mathrm{l}=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Leg Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{-86.786}$ Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{-19.643}$ Experimental Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\underline{48.143}$ Control Group $\underset{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{ }=\underline{18.887}$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)

$S D M D+51.7$
$\mathrm{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}=\underline{-86.786}-\underline{-19.643}=\underline{-67.143}$
$" \mathrm{t} "=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\frac{-67.143}{51.7}=-\underline{-1.299}$
$d f=\left(N_{1}-1\right)+\left(N_{2}-1\right)=6+6=12$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.179$
Not Significant at . 05 level

## COMPARISON OF TESTS I AND II FOR

BACK STRENGTH

| Control | Test 1 | Test 2 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | 170 | 165 | -5 | 25 |
| B. | 210 | 205 | -5 | 25 |
| D. | 285 | 235 | -50 | 2500 |
| J. | 270 | 275 | 5 | 25 |
| K. | 350 | 330 | -20 | 400 |
| L. | 432.5 | 347.5 | -85 | 7225 |
| M. | 360 | 295 | -65 | 4225 |
| Total | 2077.5 | 1852.5 | -225 | 14425 |
| Mean Score | of Test 1 |  | 296.786 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 264.643 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | -225 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared 14, | , 425 |  |
| Experimental | Test 1 | Test 2 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| C. | 290 | 275 | -15 | 225 |
| E. | 252.5 | 245 | -7.5 | 56.25 |
| F. | 305 | 310 | 5 | 25 |
| G. | 315 | 285 | -30 | 900 |
| H. | 397.5 | 310 | -87.5 | 7656.25 |
| I. | 272.5 | 227.5 | -45 | 2025 |
| N. | 265 | 265 | - | - |
| Total | 2097.5 | 1917.5 | -180 | 10,887.5 |
| Mean Score | of Test 1 |  | 299.643 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 273.929 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | -180 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared 10, | , 887.5 |  |

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
$\qquad$
Test Back Strength
$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
D = $\qquad$

$$
D^{2}=14,425
$$

$S_{\bar{D}}$ (estimate of sampling error of $\square$

$S_{\bar{D}}=13.057$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}} \quad$ (Mean Difference) $=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{-225}{7}=\frac{-32.143}{}$
$" t "=\frac{\bar{D}}{\bar{S}}=\frac{-32.143}{13.057}=\underline{-2.462}$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-\mathrm{l}=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
$\qquad$
Test Back Strength Group Experimental
$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
D $=$ $\qquad$
$\mathrm{D}^{2}=10,887.5$
S (estimate of sampling error of

$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference) $=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{-180}{7}=-25.714$
$" t "=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\frac{-25.714}{12.189}=-\frac{-2.11}{}$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-1=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES

## Test Back Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{-25.714}$ Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{\underline{-32} .143}$
Experimental Group $\underset{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{ }=\underline{12.189}$ Control Group $\underset{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{ }=\underline{13.057}$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution
of differences between the mean differences.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \wedge \sqrt{\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1}}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}}^{2}} \sqrt{(12.189)^{2}+(13.057)^{2}} \\
& \text { SDMD }+\ldots 17.86 \\
& \mathrm{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}=-25.714-\underline{-32.143}=6.429 \\
& " \mathrm{t} "=\frac{\mathrm{D} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\operatorname{SMD}}=\frac{6.429}{17.86}=-.36 \\
& \mathrm{df}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}-1\right)+\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}-1\right)=6+6= \\
& \text { "t" at } .05 \text { level }=2.179 \\
& \text { Not Significant at . } 05 \text { level }
\end{aligned}
$$

## COMPARISON OF TESTS I AND V FOŔ

RIGHT GRIP STRENGTH

| Control | Test 1 | Test 5 | 5 Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | 59 | 64 | 45 | 25 |
| B. | 90 | 88 | -2 | 4 |
| D. | 101 | 96 | -5 | 25 |
| J. | 115 | 134 | 419 | 361 |
| K. | 118 | 118 | 8 | - |
| L. | 127 | 136 | 9 | 81 |
| M. | 93 | 117 | - 24 | 576 |
| Total | 703 | 753 | - 50 | 1072 |
| Mean Score | of Test 1 |  | 100.429 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 5 |  | 107.571 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 50 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared | 1072 |  |
| Experimental | Test 1 | Test 5 | 5 <br> Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| C. | 103 | 107 | 7 | 16 |
| E. | 102 | 96 | -6 | 36 |
| F. | 125 | 123 | -2 | 4 |
| G . | 105 | 103 | -2 | 4 |
| H. | 99 | 126 | - 27 | 729 |
| I. | 99 | 103 | 4 | 16 |
| N. | 71 | 77 | -6 | 36 |
| Total | 704 | 735 | 31 | 841 |
| Mean Score | of Test 1 |  | 100.571 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 5 |  | 105 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 31 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared 8 | 841 |  |

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Right Grip Strength
Group Control
$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
$\mathrm{D}=50$
$D^{2}=1072$

S (estimate of sampling error of

$\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=$ $\qquad$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference) $=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{50}{7}=\underline{7.142}$
$" \mathrm{t} \|=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\frac{7.142}{4.121}=1.733$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-1=7-1=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Right Grip Strength
$N=7$
D $=$ $\qquad$
$D^{2}=841$
$\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}} \quad$ (estimate of sampling error of



$S_{\bar{D}}=\frac{\sqrt[1]{N}}{4.087}$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}($ Mean Difference $)=$
 $=$
 $=4.429$
$" \mathrm{t} \|=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}}=\frac{4.429}{4.087}=\frac{1.084}{}$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-\mathrm{l}=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Right Grip Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=4.429$
Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=7.142$

Experimental Group $S_{\bar{D}}=4.087$ Control Group $\underset{\bar{D}}{ }=\underline{4.121}$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)


## COMPARISON OF TESTS I AND V FOR

## LEFT GRIP STRENGTH



THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
Test Left Grip Strength
Group Control
$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
D = $\qquad$
$D^{2}=1497$
$\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}$ (estimate of sampling error of
$\overline{\mathrm{D}})=$

$\sqrt{\mathrm{N}}$
$\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=$ $\qquad$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}($ Mean Difference) $=$

$=\frac{73}{7}$ $=10.429$
$" t "=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}}}=\frac{10.429}{4.177}=\underline{2.497}$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-1=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
Test Left Grip Strength
Group Experimental
$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
$\mathrm{D}=22$
$D^{2}=626$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}} \quad$ (estimate of sampling error of


$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference) $=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{22}{7}=3.143$
$" t "=$

$\qquad$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-1=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Left Grip Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=3.143$ Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=10.429$

Experimental Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=3.638 \quad$ Control Group $\underset{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{ }=\underline{4.177}$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)

SDMD $+\underline{5.54}$
$\mathrm{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}=\underline{3.143}-\underline{-7.286}=-1.315$
$" \mathrm{t} "=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\overline{\mathrm{D}}} \frac{-7.286}{5.54}=-1.315$
$\mathrm{df}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}-1\right)+\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}-1\right)=6+6=$ $\qquad$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.179$
Not Significant at . 05 level

COMPARISON OF TESTS I AND V FOR
PUSH STRENGTH

| Control | Test 1 | Test 5 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | 80 | 116 | 36 | 1296 |
| B. | 124 | 125 | 1 | 1 |
| D. | 137 | 110 | -27 | 729 |
| J. | 152 | 136 | -16 | 256 |
| K. | 162 | 148 | -14 | 196 |
| L. | 143 | 150 | 7 | 49 |
| M. | 187 | 193 | -6 | 36 |
| Total | 985 | 978 | -7 | 2563 |
| Mean Score | of Test 1 |  | 140.714 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 5 |  | 139.714 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | -7 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared | 2563 |  |
| Experimental | Test 1 | Test 5 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| C. | 156 | 173 | 17 | 289 |
| E. | 138 | 148 | 10 | 100 |
| F. | 117 | 142 | 25 | 625 |
| G. | 117 | 171 | 54 | 2916 |
| H. | 131 | 198 | 67 | 4489 |
| I. | 167 | 192 | 25 | 625 |
| N. | 78 | 120 | 42 | 1764 |
| Total | 904 | 1144 | 240 | 10808 |
| Mean Score | of Test 1 |  | 129.143 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 5 |  | 163.429 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 240 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared 10 | 10808 |  |

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Push Strength
$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
D $=$ $\qquad$
$\mathrm{D}^{2}=2563$

S (estimate of sampling error of $\overline{\mathrm{D})}=\xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}}=$



## $\sqrt{N}$

$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{D}}=7.789$

$" \mathrm{t} "=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\frac{-1}{7.789}=-\frac{-.128}{}$
$\mathrm{d} f=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-1=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
$\qquad$
Test Push Strength
Group Experimental
$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
D $\qquad$
$D^{2}=10,808$
Experimental
$\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}$ (estimate of sampling error of $\overline{\mathrm{D})}=$



$\bar{D}=\frac{\sqrt{N}}{}$
$\bar{D}($ Mean Difference $)=\frac{D}{N}=\frac{240}{7}=34.286$
$" \mathrm{t} "=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\frac{34.286}{7.826}=4.381$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-\mathrm{l}=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES

> Test Push Strength

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Experimental Group } \overline{\mathrm{D}}=34.286 \quad \text { Control Group } \overline{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{-1}{-1} \\
& \text { Experimental Group } \mathrm{S}=\underline{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\underline{7.826} \text { Control Group } \frac{\mathrm{S}}{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\frac{7.789}{}
\end{aligned}
$$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \wedge \sqrt{\mathrm{S}_{\bar{D}_{1}}^{2}+\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}}^{2}} \sqrt{(7.826)^{2}+(7.789)^{2}} \\
& \text { SDMD }+11.04 \\
& \mathrm{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}=\underline{34.286}-\underline{-1}=35.286 \\
& " t "=\frac{\mathrm{D} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathrm{SDMD}}=\frac{35.286}{11.04}=3.196 \\
& d f=\left(N_{1}-1\right)+\left(N_{2}-1\right)=6+6=12 \\
& \text { "t" at . } 05 \text { level }=2.179 \\
& \text { Significant at . } 05 \text { level }
\end{aligned}
$$

COMPARISON OF TESTS I AND V FOR
PULL STRENGTH


THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
$\qquad$ Group Control
$\mathrm{N}=7$
$\mathrm{D}=74$
$D^{2}=4200$
${ }_{S}^{\bar{D}}$ (estimate of sampling error of
$\overline{\mathrm{D}})=\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=$

$\bar{S}=9.008$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}($ Mean Difference $)=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{74}{7}=10.571$
$" \mathrm{t} "=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\frac{10.571}{9.008}=1.174$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-\mathrm{l}=6$
"t" at .05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

## THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS

 DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
## Test Pull Strength

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}=\frac{7}{61} \\
& \mathrm{D}=\frac{63}{} \\
& \mathrm{D}^{2}=2343
\end{aligned}
$$

S. (estimate of sampling error of $\overline{\mathrm{D}})=\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}$

$S_{\bar{D}}=6.558$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}($ Mean Difference) $=$
 $=$ $\qquad$ $=8.714$
$" \mathrm{t} "=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}$ $=\frac{8.714}{6.558}$ $=1.329$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-\mathrm{l}=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level
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Test Pull Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{8.714}$ Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=10.571$

Experimental Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\underline{6.558}$ Control Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\underline{9.008}$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \wedge \sqrt{\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1}}^{2}+\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}}^{2}} \sqrt{(6.558)^{2}+(9.008)^{2}} \\
& \text { SDMD }+\underline{11.12} \\
& \mathrm{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}=\underline{8.714}-\underline{10.571}=\underline{1.857} \\
& " \mathrm{t} "=\frac{\mathrm{D} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathrm{SDMD}}=\frac{1.857}{11.12}=\underline{.167} \\
& \mathrm{df}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}-1\right)+\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}-1\right)=6+6= \\
& \text { "t" at . } 05 \text { level }=2.179 \\
& \text { Not Significant at . } 05 \text { level }
\end{aligned}
$$

LEG STRENGTH

| Control | Test 1 | Test 5 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | 180 | 235 | 55 | 3025 |
| B. | 230 | 305 | 75 | 5625 |
| D. | 342.5 | 365 | 22.5 | 506.25 |
| J. | 280 | 365 | 85 | 7225 |
| K. | 397.5 | 340 | -57.5 | 3306.25 |
| L. | 535 | 605 | 70 | 4900 |
| M. | 615 | 505 | -110 | 12100 |
| Total | 2580 | 2720 | 140 | 36687.5 |
| Mean Score | of Test 1 |  | 368.571 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 5 |  | 388.571 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 140 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared 36, | ,687.5 |  |
| Experimental | Test 1 | Test 5 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| C. | 670 | 550 | -120 | 14400 |
| E. | 350 | 325 | -25 | 6.250 |
| F. | 655 | 482.5 | -172.5 | 29,756.25 |
| G . | 365 | 432.5 | 67.5 | 4556.25 |
| H. | 555 | 505 | -50 | 2500 |
| I. | 400 | 390 | -10 | 100 |
| N. | 300 | 345 | 45 | 2025 |
| Total | 3295 | 3030 | -265 | 59587.5 |
| Mean Score of Test 1 <br> Mean Score of Test 5 <br> Sum of the Difference <br> Sum of the Difference |  | 470.714 <br> 432.857 <br> 265 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Test Leg Strength } \\
& \mathrm{N}=\frac{7}{140} \\
& \mathrm{D}=\frac{140}{36,687.5} \\
& \mathrm{D}^{2}=\frac{3}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Group Control

S (estimate of sampling error or
$\overline{\mathrm{D}})=$

$\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\underline{28.358}$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference) $=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{140}{7}=\underline{20.0}$
$" t "=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\frac{20.0}{28.358}=-.705$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-1=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
$\qquad$
$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
D = $\qquad$
$D^{2}=\underline{59}, 587.5$
S (estimate of sampling error of
$\overline{\mathrm{D}})=$


$$
\overline{\mathrm{D}}=34.29
$$


$\mathrm{d} f=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-1=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS
DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Leg Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{-37.857}$
Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=20.0$

Experimental Group $\underset{\bar{D}}{ }=\underline{34.29}$ Control Group $\underset{\bar{D}}{ }=\underline{28.358}$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)

SDMD + $\qquad$
$\mathrm{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}=\underline{-37.857}-\underline{20.0}=\underline{-57.857}$
$" t "=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{D}} \frac{-57.857}{44.49}=-\frac{-1.30}{\text { SDMD }}$
$\mathrm{df}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}-1\right)+\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}-1\right)=6+6=12$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.179$
Not Significant at . 05 level

## COMPARISON OF TESTS I AND V FOR

BACK STRENGTH


THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
Test Back Strength
Group Control
$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
$D=-87.5$
$D^{2}=13,331.25$

S (estimate of sampling error of $\qquad$ $\sqrt{N}$

$\overline{\mathrm{D}}=17.042$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference $)=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{-87.5}{7}=\frac{-12.5}{}$
$" \mathrm{t} \|=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}}}=\frac{-12.5}{17.042}=-. .733$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-1=6$
"t" at .05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
Test Back Strength Group Experimental
$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
$D=42.5$
$D^{2}=7918.75$
$\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}$ (estimate of sampling error of
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\xrightarrow{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\mathrm{D}}=$

$S_{\bar{D}}=13.483$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference) $=$
 $=\frac{42.5}{7}$ .5 $=6.071$
$" \mathrm{t} "=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}}}=\frac{6.071}{13.483}=$ $\qquad$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-\mathrm{l}=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level
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Test Back Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=6.071$ Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{-12.5}$

Experimental Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=13.483$ Control Group $\underset{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{ }=\underline{17.042}$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)


## COMPARISON OF TESTS II AND III FOR

## RIGHT GRIP STRENGTH

| Control | Test 2 | Test 3 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | 55 | 58 | 3 | 9 |
| B. | 87 | 79 | -8 | 64 |
| D. | 100 | 98 | -2 | 4 |
| J. | 113 | 119 | 6 | 36 |
| K. | 102 | 120 | 18 | 324 |
| L. | 127 | 131 | 4 | 16 |
| M. | 97 | 113 | 16 | 256 |
| Total | 681 | 718 | 37 | 709 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 97.286 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 3 |  | 102.571 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 37 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared | 709 |  |
| Experimental | Test 2 | Test 3 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| C. | 102 | 107 | 5 | 25 |
| E. | 75 | 78 | 3 | 9 |
| F. | 110 | 113 | 3 | 9 |
| G. | 88 | 95 | 7 | 49 |
| H. | 102 | 113 | 11 | 121 |
| I. | 106 | 92 | -14 | 196 |
| N. | 70 | 78 | 8 | 64 |
| Total | 653 | 676 | 23 | 473 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 93.286 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 3 |  | 96.571 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 23 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared | 473 |  |
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Test $\qquad$ Group Control
$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
$\mathrm{D}=$ $\qquad$
$D^{2}=$ $\qquad$
$\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}} \quad$ (estimate of sampling error of $\qquad$ $=$

$\overline{\mathrm{D}}=3.491$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference $=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{37}{7}=\underline{5.286}$
$" \mathrm{t} "=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\frac{5.286}{3.491}=1.514$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-1=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level
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Test Right Grip Strength
$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
D $=$ $\qquad$
$D^{2}=$ $\qquad$
$\qquad$ $=$


$$
\mathrm{S}_{\bar{\pi}}=3.075
$$

$$
\overline{\mathrm{D}}(\text { Mean Difference })=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{~N}}=\frac{23}{7}=3.286
$$

$$
" t "=
$$

$\qquad$ $=$ $\frac{3.286}{3.075}$

$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-\mathrm{l}=6$
"t" at .05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level
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Test Right Grip Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=3.286$ Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=5.286$

Experimental Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=3.075$ Control Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=3.491$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{\mathrm{S}_{\bar{D}_{1}}^{2}+\mathrm{S}_{\bar{D}_{2}}^{2}} \sqrt{(3.075)^{2}+(3.491)^{2}} \\
& \text { SDMD }+4.65 \\
& \mathrm{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}=3.286-2.286=-\frac{-2}{} \\
& " t "=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{D}} \quad=\frac{-2}{4.65}=-.430 . \\
& \mathrm{df}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}-1\right)+\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}-1\right)=6+6=12 \\
& \text { "t" at } .05 \text { level }=2.179 \\
& \text { Not Significant at . } 05 \text { level }
\end{aligned}
$$

## COMPARISON OF TESTS II AND III FOR

LEFT GRIP STRENGTH

| Control | Test 2 | Test 3 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | 55 | 55 | - | - |
| B. | 86 | 88 | 2 | 4 |
| D. | 99 | 97 | -2 | 4 |
| J. | 110 | 122 | 12 | 144 |
| K. | 108 | 118 | 10 | 100 |
| L. | 125 | 122 | -3 | 9 |
| M. | 119 | 116 | -3 | 9 |
| Total | 702 | 718 | 16 | 270 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 100.286 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 3 |  | 102.571 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 16 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared 2 | 270 |  |
| Experimental | Test 2 | Test 3 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| C. | 89 | 104 | 15 | 225 |
| E. | 90 | 98 | 8 | 64 |
| F. | 99 | 89 | -10 | 100 |
| G. | 106 | 106 | - | - |
| H. | 106 | 118 | 12 | 144 |
| I. | 105 | 95 | -10 | 100 |
| N. | 72 | 72 | - | - |
| Total | 667 | 682 | 15 | 633 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 95.286 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 3 |  | 97.429 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 15 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared | 633 |  |
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Test $\qquad$ Left Grip Strength Group Control

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=\frac{7}{16} \\
& D=\frac{270}{D^{2}=}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{N}=\frac{2.351}{}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\overline{\mathrm{D}}(\text { Mean Difference })=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{~N}}=\frac{16}{7}=2.286
$$

$$
" \mathrm{t} "=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\frac{2.286}{2.351}=.972
$$

$$
\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-1=7-1=6
$$

$$
\text { "t" at . } 05 \text { level }=2.447
$$

$$
\text { Not Significant at . } 05 \text { level }
$$
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$\overline{\mathrm{D}}=3.777$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference) $=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{15}{7}=2.143$
$" t "=\frac{\bar{D}}{\bar{S}}=\frac{2.143}{3.777}=\underline{.567}$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-1=7-\dot{\mathrm{l}}=6$
"t" at .05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level
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Test Left Grip Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{2.143}$ Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=2.286$

Experimental Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=3.777$ Control Group $\underset{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{2.351}$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)


## COMPARISON OF TESTS II AND III FOR

PUSH STRENGTH
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Test Push Strength
Group Control
$\mathrm{N}=\ldots$
$D=-95$
$D^{2}=1973$
S (estimate of sampling error of
$\overline{\mathrm{D}})=\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=$
$\sqrt{\mathrm{N}}$

$\overline{\mathrm{D}}=4.026$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference) $=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{-95}{7}=\frac{-13.571}{}$
$" t "=\frac{\bar{D}}{\bar{S} \bar{D}}=\frac{-13.571}{4.026}=-\frac{-3.371}{}$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-\mathrm{l}=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
Test Push Strength
$\qquad$

$$
\mathrm{N}=
$$

$\qquad$

$$
\mathrm{D}=
$$

$\qquad$

$$
D^{2}=2940
$$

S (estimate of sampling error of



$" \mathrm{t} \|=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\mathrm{D}} \quad=\frac{11.429}{6.932}=1.649$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-1=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS
DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Push Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=11.429$ Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{-13.571}$

Experimental Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}={ }^{\prime} 6.932$ Control Group $\underset{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{ }=\underline{4.026}$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)


## COMPARISON OF TESTS II AND III FOR

PULL STRENGTH


THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
Test Pull Strength
$\qquad$
$\mathrm{N}=7$
$D=36$
$D^{2}=1270$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (estimate of sampling error of

$S_{\bar{D}}=5.075$

$" t "=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\frac{5.143}{5.075}=1.013$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-1=6$
"t" at .05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
$\qquad$
Test Pull Strength
Group Experimental
$\mathrm{N}=\frac{7}{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{72}{1058}$
$\mathrm{D}^{2}=\frac{105}{\mathrm{D}}$
S (estimate of sampling error of



$\sqrt{N}$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}=2.747$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}($ Mean Difference $)=$ $\qquad$ $=\frac{72}{7}=10.286$
$" t "=\frac{\bar{D}}{\bar{S}}=\frac{10.286}{2.747}=3.744$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-\mathrm{l}=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Significant at . 05 level
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Test Pull Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=10.286$
Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=5.143$
Experimental Group $S_{\bar{D}}=\underline{2.747}$
Control Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=5.075$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)

$\sqrt{(2.747)^{2}+(5.075)^{2}}$
SDMD + 5.77
$D_{\bar{D}}=\bar{D}_{1}=\bar{D}_{2}=\underline{10.286}-\underline{5.153}=5.143$
$" \mathrm{t}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\mathrm{D} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathrm{SDMD}}=\frac{5.143}{5.77}=. .891$
$d f=\left(N_{1}-1\right)+\left(N_{2}-1\right)=6+6=$ $\qquad$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.179$
Not Significant at . 05 level

## COMPARISON OF TESTS II AND III FOR <br> LEG STRENGTH

| Control | Test 2 | Test 3 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | 185 | 162.5 | -22.5 | 506.25 |
| B. | 257.5 | 287.5 | 30 | 900 |
| D. | 315 | 370 | 55 | 3025 |
| J. | 265 | 360 | 95 | 9025 |
| K. | 360 | $\therefore 380$ | 20 | 400 |
| L. | 562.5 | 560 | -2.5 | 6.25 |
| M. | 497.5 | 530 | 32.5 | 1056.25 |
| Total | 2442.5 | 2650 | 207.5 | 14918.75 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 348.929 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 3 |  | 378.571 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 207.5 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared 149 | 918.75 |  |
| Experimental | Test 2 | Test 3 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| C. | 367.5 | 410 | 42.5 | 1806.25 |
| E. | 267.5 | 295 | 27.5 | 756.25 |
| F. | 455 | 460 | 5 | 25 |
| G. | 447.5 | 415 | -32.5 | 1056.25 |
| H. | 487.5 | 435 | -52.5 | 2756.25 |
| I. | 382.5 | 245 | -137.5 | 18906.25 |
| N. | 280 | 265 | -15 | 225 |
| Total | 2687.5 | 2525 | -162.5 | 25531.25 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 383.929 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 3 |  | 360.714 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | -162.5 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared 25 | 5531.25 |  |
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Test Leg Strength

$$
\mathrm{N}=\quad 7
$$

$$
\mathrm{D}=207.5
$$

$$
\mathrm{D}^{2}=14918.75
$$

$$
\overline{\mathrm{D}} \text { (estimate of sampling error of }
$$

$\overline{\mathrm{D}})=\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=$


$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference) $=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}$ $=\frac{207.5}{7}=29.643$
$" t "=\frac{\bar{D}}{\bar{S} \bar{D}}=\frac{29.643}{14.426}=2.055$

$$
d f=N-1=7-1=6
$$

$$
\text { "t" at } .05 \text { level }=2.447
$$

Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
Test Leg Strength Group Experimental
$\mathrm{N}=\frac{7}{2}$
$\mathrm{D}=\frac{-162.5}{2}$
$\mathrm{D}^{2}=\frac{25531.25}{\text { (estimate of sampling error }}$
D
$\overline{\mathrm{D}})=$
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## Test Leg Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{-23.214}$ Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{29.643}$
Experimental Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=22.706$ Control Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\underline{14.426}$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)

SDMD +26.9
$\mathrm{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}=\underline{-23.214}=\underline{29.643}=-\underline{-52.857}$
$" t "=\frac{\mathrm{D}^{\prime} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\text { SDMD }}=\frac{-52.857}{26.9}=-\frac{-1.965}{}$
$\mathrm{df}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}-1\right)+\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}-1\right)=6+6+$ $\qquad$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.179$
Not Significant at . 05 level

## COMPARISON OF TESTS II AND III FOR

BACK STRENGTH

| Control | Test 2 | Test 3 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | 165 | 197.5 | $5 \quad 32.5$ | 1056.25 |
| B. | 205 | 257.5 | 5 52.5 | 2756.25 |
| D. | 235 | 275 | 40 | 1600 |
| J. | 275 | 270 | -5 | 25 |
| K. | 330 | 285 | -45 | 2025 |
| L. | 347.5 | 405 | 57.5 | 3306.25 |
| M | 295 | 297.5 | $5 \quad 2.5$ | 6.25 |
| Total | 1852.5 | 1987.5 | 5135 | 10775 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 264.643 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 3 |  | 283.929 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 135 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared 1 | 10775 |  |
| Experimental | Test 2 | Test 3 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| C. | 275 | 285 | 10 | 100 |
| E. | 245 | 280 | 35 | 1225 |
| F. | 310 | 310 | - | - |
| G. | 285 | 317.5 | $5 \quad 32.5$ | 1056.25 |
| H. | 310 | 375 | 65 | 4225 |
| I. | 227.5 | 220 | -7.5 | 56.25 |
| N. | 265 | 265 | - | - |
| Total | 1917.5 | 2052.5 | 5135 | 6662.5 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 273.929 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 3 |  | 293.214 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 135 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared 6 | 6662.5 |  |

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS
DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Back Strength
$N=7$
D = $\qquad$
$D^{2}=1077.5$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (estimate of sampling error of
$\overline{\mathrm{D}})=\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=$ $\sqrt{\mathrm{N}}$

$\overline{\mathrm{D}}=13.925$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}} \quad($ Mean Difference $)=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{135}{7}=\underline{19.286}$
$" t "=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\frac{19.286}{13.925}=1.385$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-1=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
Test Back Stren
$N=\frac{7}{135}$
$D=\frac{135}{} D^{2}=1662.5$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (estimate of the sampling error of $\overline{\mathrm{D}})=\underline{\mathrm{S}}=$ $\sqrt{\mathrm{N}}$

$S_{\bar{D}}=9.815$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference) $=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{135}{7}=\underline{19.286}$ $" t "=\frac{\bar{D}}{\bar{S} \bar{D}}=\frac{19.286}{9.815}=1.965$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-1=7-1=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Back Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=19.286$ Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=19.286$ Experimental Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=9.815$ Control Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=13.925$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)

SDMD $+\ldots 17.04$
$\mathrm{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}=\underline{19.286}-\underline{19.286}=\frac{0}{}$
$" t "=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\bar{D}}=\frac{0}{17.04}=\frac{0}{\text { SDMD }}$
$\mathrm{df}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}-1\right)+\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}-1\right)=6+6=$ $\qquad$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.179$
Not Significant at . 05 level

## COMPARISON OF TESTS II AND IV FOR

RIGHT GRIP STRENGTH

| Control | Test 2 | Test 4 | $4 \begin{gathered} \text { Sum of } \\ \text { Difference } \end{gathered}$ | Difference Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | 55 | 57 | 2 | 4 |
| B. | 87 | 85 | -2 | 4 |
| D. | 100 | 95 | -5 | 25 |
| J. | 113 | 118 | 5 | 25 |
| K. | 102 | 117 | 15 | 225 |
| L. | 127 | 125 | -2 | 4 |
| M. | 97 | 122 | 25 | 625 |
| Total | 681 | 719 | 38 | 912 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 97.286 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 4 |  | 102.714 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 38 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared | 912 |  |
| Experimental | Test 2 | Test 4 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| C. | 102 | 90 | -12 | 144 |
| E. | 75 | 84 | 9 | 81 |
| F. | 110 | 107 | -3 | 9 |
| G . | 88 | 97 | 9 | 81 |
| H. | 102 | 104 | 2 | 4 |
| I. | 106 | 111 | 5 | 25 |
| N. | 70 | 66 | -4 | 16 |
| Total | 653 | 659 | 6 | 360 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 93.286 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 4 |  | 94.143 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 6 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared 3 | 360 |  |

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
Test Right Grip Strength
$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
D = $\qquad$
$D^{2}=912$
$\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}$ (estimate of sampling error of $\qquad$ $\sqrt{\mathrm{N}}$

$S_{\bar{D}}=409$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference) $=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{38}{7}=5.429$
$" \mathrm{t}{ }^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}}}=\frac{5.429}{4.09}=1.327$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-1=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES

## Test Right Grip Strength

$N=7$
$D=\frac{6}{}$
$D^{2}=360$

S (estimate of sampling error of

$\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=290$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference) $=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{6}{7}=. .857$
$" \mathrm{t}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}}}=\frac{.857}{2.90}=. .296$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-\mathrm{l}=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Right Grip Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=.857$ Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{5.429}$

Experimental Group $\underset{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{ }=2.90$ Control Group $\underset{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{ }=4.09$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)

SDMD + $\qquad$

$$
\mathrm{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}=\underline{.857}-\underline{5.429}=4.57
$$

$$
" t^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\mathrm{D}^{\bar{D}}}{\text { SDMD }}=\frac{4.57}{5.01}=\underline{.912}
$$

$$
\mathrm{df}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}-1\right)+\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}-1\right)=6+6=12
$$

"t" at . 05 level $=2.179$
Not Significant at . 05 level

## COMPARISON OF TESTS II AND IV FOR

LEFT GRIP STRENGTH

| Control | Test 2 | Test 4 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | 55 | 66 | 11 | 121 |
| B. | 86 | 90 | 4 | 16 |
| D. | 99 | 98 | -1 | 1 |
| J. | 110 | 130 | 20 | 400 |
| K. | 108 | 118 | 10 | 100 |
| L. | 125 | 151 | 26 | 676 |
| M. | 119 | 112 | -7 | 49 |
| Total | 702 | 765 | 63 | 1363 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 100.286 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 4 |  | 109.286 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 63 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared 1 | 1363 |  |
| Experimental | Test 2 | Test 4 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| C. | 89 | 95 | 6 | 36 |
| E. | 90 | 98 | 8 | 64 |
| F. | 99 | 98 | -1 | 1 |
| G. | 106 | 103 | -3 | 9 |
| H. | 106 | 111 | 5 | 25 |
| I. | 105 | 95 | -10 | 100 |
| N. | 72 | 63 | -9 | 81 |
| Total | 667 | 663 | -4 | 316 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 95.286 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 4 |  | 94.714 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | -4 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared 3 | 316 |  |

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Left Grip Strength
$N=7$
D = 63
$D^{2}=1363$

S (estimate of sampling error of
$\overline{\mathrm{D}})=\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=$


$$
\bar{S}=4.34
$$

$$
\overline{\mathrm{D}}(\text { Mean Difference })=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{~N}}=\frac{63}{7}=9.0
$$

$$
" t "-\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{~S}}}=\frac{9.0}{4.34}=2.074
$$

$$
\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-1=7-1=6
$$

$$
\text { "t" at } .05 \text { level }=2.447
$$

$$
\text { Not Significant at } .05 \text { level }
$$

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES


THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Left Grip Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{-.571}$ Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{9.0}$

Experimental Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\underline{2.728}$ Control Group $\underset{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{ }=\underline{4.34}$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)


## COMPARISON OF TESTS II AND IV FOR

PUSH STRENGTH

| Control | Test 2 | Test 4 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | 98 | 94 | -4 | 16 |
| B. | 142 | 103 | -39 | 1521 |
| D. | 133 | 119 | -14 | 196 |
| J. | 179 | 159 | -20 | 400 |
| K. | 179 | 144 | -35 | 1225 |
| L. | 158 | 162 | 4 | 16 |
| M. | 190 | 166 | -24 | 576 |
| Total | 1079 | 947 | -132 | 3950 |
| Meản Score | of Test 2 |  | 154.143 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 4 |  | 135.286 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | -132 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared | 3950 |  |
| Experimental | Test 2 | Test 4 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| C. | 161 | 128 | -33 | 1089 |
| E. | 148 | 152 | 4 | 16 |
| F. | 138 | 150 | 12 | 144 |
| G. | 141 | 139 | -2 | 4 |
| H. | 155 | 166 | 11 | 121 |
| I. | 157 | 153 | -4 | 16 |
| N. | 60 | 69 | 9 | 81 |
| Total | 960 | 957 | -3 | 1471 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 137.143 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 4 |  | 136.714 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | -3 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared | 1471 |  |

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Push Strength
$N=7$
$\mathrm{D}=-132$
$D^{2}=3950$
S (estimate of sampling error of
$\overline{\mathrm{D}})=\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=$


$$
\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=5.891
$$

$$
\overline{\mathrm{D}} \text { (Mean Difference) }=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{~N}}=\frac{-132}{7}=--18.857
$$

$$
" t "=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{~S}} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\frac{-18.857}{5.891}=-\frac{-3.201}{}
$$

$$
d f=N-1=7-1=6
$$

$$
\text { "t" at } .05 \text { level }=2.447
$$

$$
\text { Significant at } .05 \text { level }
$$

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
Test Push Stree
$N=\frac{7}{7}$
$D=\frac{-3}{1471}$

S (estimate of sampling error of $\overline{\mathrm{D}})=\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=$ $\sqrt{N}$


$$
\mathrm{S}=5.89
$$

$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference $)=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{-3}{7}=\underline{-.429}$ $" \mathrm{t} "=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\frac{-.429}{5.898}=\underline{.073}$ $\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-1=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Push Strength
Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{-.429}$ Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{-18.857}$
Experimental Group $\mathrm{S}=\underline{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\underline{5.898}$ Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{5.891}$
SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution
of differences between the mean differences.)


## COMPARISON OF TESTS II AND IV FOR

PULL STRENGTH

| Control | Test 2 | Test 4 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | 78 | 88 | 10 | 100 |
| B. | 140 | 136 | -4 | 16 |
| D. | 103 | 105 | 2 | 4 |
| J. | 131 | 140 | 9 | 81 |
| K. | 135 | 165 | 30 | 900 |
| L. | 171 | 176 | 5 | 25 |
| M. | 179 | 192 | 13 | 169 |
| Toțal | 937 | 1002 | 65 | 1295 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 133.857 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 4 |  | 143.143 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 65 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared | 1295 |  |
| Experimental | Test 2 | Test 4 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| C. | 161 | 146 | -15 | 225 |
| E. | 114 | 138 | 24 | 576 |
| F. | 131 | 135 | 4 | 16 |
| G. | 115 | 106 | -9 | 81 |
| H. | 176 | 194 | 18 | 324 |
| I. | 146 | 153 | 7 | 49 |
| N. | 95 | 81 | -14 | 196 |
| Total | 938 | 953 | 15 | 1467 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 134.0 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 4 |  | 136.143 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 15 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared | 1467 |  |

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
$\qquad$
Test Pull Strength
Group Control
$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
$\mathrm{D}=$ $\qquad$
$\mathrm{D}^{2}=$ $\qquad$
$\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}$ (estimate of sampling error of
$\overline{\mathrm{D}})=$


$\overline{\mathrm{D}}=4.4 .049$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference $)=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{65}{7}=9.286$
$" t "=\frac{\bar{D}}{\bar{S} \bar{D}}=\frac{9.286}{4.049}=2.293$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-1=6$
"t" at .05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Pull Strength
$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
D = $\qquad$
$D^{2}=1467$
$S_{\bar{D}}$ (estimate of sampling error of $\overline{\mathrm{D}})=\xrightarrow{\mathrm{S}}=$ $\sqrt{N}$

$S_{\bar{D}}=\frac{\sqrt{N}}{}$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference) $=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{15}{7}=\underline{2.143}$
$" t "=\frac{\bar{D}}{\bar{S}}=\frac{2.143}{5.834}=-.367$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-1=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS
DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Pull Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{2.143}$ Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{9.286}$

Experimental Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\underline{5.834}$ Control Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\underline{4.049}$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)

SDMD +7.1

$" t "=\frac{\bar{D} \bar{D}}{\text { SDMD }}=\frac{-7.143}{7.1}=-\frac{-1.006}{}$
$d f=\left(N_{1}-1\right)+\left(N_{2}-1\right)=6+6=\underline{12}$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.179$
Not Significant at . 05 level

## COMPARISON OF TESTS II AND IV FOR

LEG STRENGTH


THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Leg Strength
$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
D $=$ $\qquad$
$D^{2}=12443.75$
$\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}$ (estimate of sampling error of
$\overline{\mathrm{D}})=\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}$
$\sqrt{N}$

$\overline{\mathrm{D}}($ Mean Difference) $=$ $\qquad$ $=\frac{52.5}{7}=$ $=7.50$
$" t "=\frac{\bar{D}}{\frac{S}{\bar{D}}}=\frac{7.50}{16.909}=-.444$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-\mathrm{l}=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES

## Test Leg Strength

$N=7$
$\mathrm{D}=-100$
$D^{2}=14462.5$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (estimate of sampling error of
$\overline{\mathrm{D}})=\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=$

$\overline{\mathrm{D}}=17.589$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference) $=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{-100}{7}=-\frac{-14.286}{}$
$" \mathrm{t} "=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\frac{-14.286}{17.589}=-. .812$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-\mathrm{l}=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES

## Test Leg Strength



Experimental Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=17.589 \quad$ Control Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=16.909$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)

SDMD +24.4

$$
\mathrm{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}=\underline{-14.286}-\underline{7.50}=\underline{-21.786}
$$

$$
" \mathrm{t} "=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\overline{\mathrm{D}}} \underset{\mathrm{SDMD}}{ }=\frac{-21.786}{24.4}=-.893
$$

$$
\mathrm{df}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}-1\right)+\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}-1\right)=6+6=12
$$

$$
\text { "t" at } .05 \text { level }=2.179
$$

$$
\text { Not Significant at . } 05 \text { level }
$$

## COMPARISON OF TESTS II AND IV FOR

BACK STRENGTH

| Control | Test 2 | Test 4 | Sum of <br> Difference | Difference <br> Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | 165 | 170 | 5 | 25 |
| B. | 205 | 222.5 | 17.5 | 306.25 |
| D. | 235 | 257.5 | 22.5 | 506.25 |
| J. | 275 | 250 | -25 | 625 |
| K. | 330 | 267.5 | -62.5 | 3906.25 |
| L. | 347.5 | 420 | 72.5 | 5256.25 |
| M. | 295 | 287.5 | -7.5 | 56.25 |
| Total | 1852.5 | 1875 | 22.5 | 10681.25 |

Mean Score of Test $2 \quad 264.643$
Mean Score of Test 4267.857
Sum of the Difference 22.5
Sum of the Difference Squared 10681.25

| Experi- <br> mental | Test 2 | Test 4 | Sum of <br> Difference | Difference <br> Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. | 275 | 290 | 15 | 225 |
| E. | 245 | 270 | 25 | 625 |
| F. | 310 | 320 | 10 | 100 |
| G. | 285 | 245 | -40 | 1600 |
| H. | 310 | 315 | 5 | 25 |
| I. | 227.5 | 257.5 | 30 | 900 |
| N. | 265. | 272.5 | 7.5 | 56.25 |
| Total | 1917.5 | 1970 | 52.5 | 3531.25 |
| Mean Score of Test 2  <br> Mean Score of Test 4  <br> Sum of the Difference  <br> Sum of the Difference Squared 3531.25 |  |  |  |  |

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Back Strength
Group Control
$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
D $=$ $\qquad$
$D^{2}=10681.25$
S. (estimate of sampling error of $\overline{\mathrm{D}})=\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=$

$S_{\bar{D}}=15.868$

$" \mathrm{t} \|=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\frac{3.214}{15.868}=$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-1=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Back Strength
$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
$\mathrm{D}=52.5$
$D^{2}=3531.25$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (estimate of sampling error of
$\overline{\mathrm{D}})=\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\mathrm{D}}$

$\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=8.623$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference) $=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{52.5}{7}=7.50$
$" \mathrm{t} \|=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\frac{7.50}{8.623}=\underline{.870}$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-\mathrm{l}=6$
"t" at .05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Back Strength


SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \wedge \sqrt{\mathrm{s}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1}}^{2}+\mathrm{s}_{\bar{D}_{2}}^{2}} \\
& \sqrt{(8.623)^{2}=(15.868)^{2}} \\
& \mathrm{SDMD}+16.35 \\
& \mathrm{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}=\underline{7.50}-3.214=4.286 \\
& " \mathrm{t} "=\frac{\mathrm{D} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathrm{SDMD}}=\frac{4.286}{16.35}=\underline{.262} \\
& \mathrm{df}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}-1\right)+\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}-1\right)=6+6=12 \\
& \text { "t" at . } 05 \text { level }=2.179 \\
& \text { Not Significant at . } 05 \text { level }
\end{aligned}
$$

## COMPARISON OF TESTS II AND V FOR <br> RIGHT GRIP STRENGTH

| Control | Test 2 | Test 5 | $5 \begin{gathered}\text { Sum of } \\ \text { Difference }\end{gathered}$ | Difference Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | 55 | 64 | 9 | 81 |
| B. | 87 | 88 | 1 | 1 |
| D. | 100 | 96 | -4 | 16 |
| J. | 113 | 134 | 21 | 441 |
| K. | 102 | 118 | 16 | 256 |
| L. | 127 | 136 | 9 | 81 |
| M. | 97 | 117 | 20 | 400 |
| Total | 681 | 753 | 72 | 1276 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 97.286 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 5 |  | 107.571 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 72 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared | 1276 |  |
| Experimental | Test 2 | Test 5 | 5 Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| C. | 102 | 107 | 5 | 25 |
| E. | 75 | 96 | 21 | 441 |
| F. | 110 | 123 | 13 | 169 |
| G. | 88 | 103 | 15 | 225 |
| H. | 102 | 126 | 24 | 576 |
| I. | 106 | 103 | -3 | 9 |
| N. | 70 | 77 | 7 | 49 |
| Total | 653 | 735 | 82 | 1494 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 93.286 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 5 |  | 105 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 82 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared | 1494 |  |

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
Test Right Gr
$N=\frac{7}{72}$
$D=\frac{7276}{}$
$S_{\bar{D}}$ (estimate of sampling error of
$\overline{\mathrm{D}})=\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=$ $\sqrt{N}$

$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference $)=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{72}{7}=10.286$
$" \mathrm{t} \|=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\frac{10.286}{3.566}=\underline{2.884}$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-1=7-1=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES


$$
\overline{\mathrm{D}}=3.558
$$

$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference) $=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{82}{7}=11.714$ $" \mathrm{t}{ }^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}}}=\frac{11.714}{3.558}=3.292 \quad$ -
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-\mathrm{l}=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES

## Test Right Grip Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{11.714}$ Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{10.286}$

Experimental Group $\underset{\bar{D}}{ }=3.558$ Control Group $S_{\bar{D}}=3.566$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)


## COMPARISON OF TESTS II AND V FOR

LEFT GRIP STRENGTH
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Test Left Grip Strength Group Control
$\mathrm{N}=$

$\qquad$
D $=$

59
$D^{2}=\quad 743$S (estimate of sampling error of$\overline{\mathrm{D}})=$
$\qquad$ $=$

$$
\sqrt{\mathrm{N}}
$$




$$
S_{\bar{D}}=3.981
$$

$\overline{\mathrm{D}}($ Mean Difference $)=$

$=8.429$
$" t "=\frac{\bar{D}}{\bar{S} \bar{D}}=\frac{8.429}{3.981}=2.117$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-1=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

## Test Left Grip Strength

$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
D $=$ $\qquad$
$D^{2}=$
S (estimate of sampling error of


$$
\overline{\mathrm{D}}=3.177
$$

$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference) $=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{51}{7}=\underline{7.286}$ $" \mathrm{t} \|=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\frac{7.286}{3.177}=2.293$

$$
d f=N-1=7-1=6
$$

$$
\text { "t" at } .05 \text { level }=2.447
$$

Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS
DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Left Grip Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=7.286 \quad$ Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=8.429$

Experimental Group $\underset{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{ }=\underline{3.177}$
Control Group $\underset{\bar{D}}{ }=3.981$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)

$\Lambda \sqrt{(3.177)^{2}+(3.981)^{2}}$
$S D M D+\underline{5.09}$

$$
\mathrm{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}=\underline{7.286}-\underline{8.429}=-1.143
$$

$$
" t "=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{D}} \frac{-1.143}{5.09}=-\frac{-.225}{\text { SDMD }}
$$

$$
\mathrm{df}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}-1\right)+\left(N_{2}-1\right)=6+6=12
$$

$$
\text { "t" at } .05 \text { level }=2.179
$$

Not Significant at . 05 level

## COMPARISON OF TESTS II AND V FOR

PUSH STRENGTH

| Control | Test 2 | Test 5 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | 98 | 116 | 18 | 324 |
| B. | 142 | 125 | -17 | 289 |
| D. | 133 | 110 | -23 | 529 |
| J. | 179 | 136 | -43 | 1849 |
| K. | 179 | 148 | -31 | 961 |
| L. | 158 | 150 | -8 | 64 |
| M. | 190 | 193 | 3 | 9 |
| Total | 1079 | 978 | -101 | 4025 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 154.143 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 5 |  | 139.714 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | -101 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared | 4025 |  |
| Experimental | Test 2 | Test 5 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| C. | 161 | 173 | 12 | 144 |
| E. | 148 | 148 | - | - |
| F. | 138 | 142 | 4 | 16 |
| G . | 141 | 171 | 30 | 900 |
| H. | 155 | 198 | 43 | 1849 |
| I. | 157 | 192 | 35 | 1225 |
| N. | 60 | 120 | 60 | 3600 |
| Total | 960 | 1144 | -184 | 7734 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 137.143 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 5 |  | 163.429 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | -184 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared | 7734 |  |

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
Test Push StrengthGroup Control
$\mathrm{N}=$

$\qquad$

$$
D=-101
$$

$$
D^{2}=4025
$$

S (estimate of sampling error of
D) $=$ $\qquad$ $=$



$\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=7.808$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}($ Mean Difference $)=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}$ $=\frac{-101}{7}=$ $=-14.429$ $" \mathrm{t} "=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{-14.429}{7.808}=\frac{1.848}{}$ $\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-1=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES

## Test Push Strength

$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
D $=$ $\qquad$

$$
D^{2}=
$$

$S_{\bar{D}}$ (estimate of sampling error of


$$
\overline{\mathrm{S}}=8.291
$$

$$
\overline{\mathrm{D}} \text { (Mean Difference })=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{~N}}=\frac{-184}{7}=\underline{-26.286}
$$

$$
" \mathrm{t} "=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{~S}}}=\frac{-26.286}{8.291}=-3.17
$$

$$
\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-1=7-1=6
$$

$$
\text { "t" at . } 05 \text { level }=2.447
$$

Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Push Strength
 Experimental Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=1.291$ Control Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=7.7 .808$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)

$\mathrm{SDMD}+\ldots 11.39$
$\mathrm{D}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{1}=\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{2}=\underline{-26.286}-\underline{-14.429}=\underline{-11.857}$
$" t "=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\overline{\mathrm{D}}} \frac{-11.857}{11.39}=-\underline{-1.041}$
$\mathrm{df}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}-1\right)+\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}-1\right)=6+6=\underline{12}$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.179$
Not Significant at . 05 level

## COMPARISON OF TESTS II AND V FOR <br> PULL STRENGTH

| Control | Test 2 | Test 5 | 5Sum of <br> Difference | Difference Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | 78 | 95 | 17 | 289 |
| B. | 140 | 164 | 24 | 576 |
| D. | 103 | 139 | 36 | 1296 |
| J. | 131 | 114 | -17 | 289 |
| K. | 135 | 160 | 25 | 625 |
| L. | 171 | 146 | -25 | 625 |
| M. | 179 | 197 | 18 | 324 |
| Total | 937 | 1015 | -78 | 4024 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 133.857 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 5 |  | 145.0 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 78 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared | 4024 |  |
| Experimental | Test 2 | Test 5 | 5 Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| C. | 161 | 164 | 4 | 9 |
| E. | 114 | 141 | - 27 | 429 |
| F. | 131 | 127 | -4 | 16 |
| G. | 115 | 148 | 33 | 1089 |
| H. | 176 | 176 | - | - |
| I. | 146 | 164 | 48 | 324 |
| N. | 95 | 115 | 20 | 400 |
| Total | 938 | 1035 | 597 | 2567 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 134.0 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 5 |  | 147.857 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 97 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared | 2567 |  |

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS
DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
Test Pull Strength $\qquad$

$$
\mathrm{N}=
$$

$\qquad$
$\mathrm{D}=$ $\qquad$
$D^{2}=$ $\qquad$
${ }_{\bar{D}} \quad$ (estimate of sampling error of
$\overline{\mathrm{D}})=\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}$ $=$


$S_{\bar{D}}=8.66$
$\overline{\mathrm{D}}($ Mean Difference) $=$ $\qquad$ $=$ $\qquad$ $=11.143$

$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-\mathrm{l}=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level
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THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Pull Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{13.857}$
Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=11.143$

Experimental Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=$ Control Group $\underset{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{ }=8.389$.

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)


## COMPARISON OF TESTS II AND V FOR

LEG STRENGTH

| Control | Test 2 | Test 5 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | 185 | 235 | 50 | 2500 |
| B. | 257.5 | 305 | 47.5 | 2256.25 |
| D. | 315 | 365 | 50 | 2500 |
| J . | 265 | 365 | 100 | 10,000 |
| K. | 360 | 340 | -20 | 400 |
| L. | 562.5 | 605 | 42.5 | 1806.25 |
| M. | 497.5 | 505 | 7.5 | 56.25 |
| Total | 2442.5 | 2720 | 277.5 | 19518.75 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 348.929 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 5 |  | 388.571 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 277.5 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared 19 | 19518.75 |  |
| Experimental | Test 2 | Test 5 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| C. | 367.5 | 550 | 182.5 | 33306.25 |
| E. | 267.5 | 325 | 57.5 | 3306.25 |
| F. | 455 | 482.5 | 527.5 | 756.25 |
| G. | 447.5 | 432.5 | 5 -15 | 225 |
| H. | 487.5 | 505 | 17.5 | 306.25 |
| I. | 382.5 | 245 | -137.5 | 18906.25 |
| N. | 280 | 345 | 65 | 4225 |
| Total | 2687.5 | 2885 | 197.5 | 61031.25 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 383.929 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 5 |  | 412.143 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 197.5 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared 610 | 61031.25 |  |

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
$\qquad$
Test Leg Strength
$\mathrm{N}=$ $\qquad$
$\mathrm{D}=$ $\qquad$
$D^{2}=19,518.75$
$S_{\bar{D}}$ (estimate of sampling error of
$\overline{\mathrm{D}})=$


$\sqrt{N}$

$$
\overline{\mathrm{D}}=14.226
$$

$\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ (Mean Difference) $=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{277.5}{7}=39.643$
$" t "=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathrm{S}} \overline{\bar{D}}=\frac{39.643}{14.226}=2.787$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7-1=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM CORRELATED SCORES FROM SMALL SAMPLES
Test Leg Strength
$\qquad$

$$
\mathrm{N}=
$$

$\qquad$
Group Experimental

$$
\mathrm{D}=
$$

$$
=197.5
$$

$$
D^{2}=61031.25
$$

S (estimate of sampling error of
 $\sqrt{N}$


D


$$
\overline{S_{D}}=36.283
$$

$" t "=\frac{\bar{D}}{\bar{S} \bar{D}}=\frac{28.214}{36.283}=.778$

$$
\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-1=7-1=6
$$

$$
\text { "t" at } .05 \text { level }=2.447
$$

Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Leg Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{28.214}$ Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\underline{39.643}$

Experimental Group $\underset{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathrm{S}}=\underline{36.283}$ Control Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=\underline{14.226}$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)


## COMPARISON OF TESTS II AND V FOR

BACK STRENGTH

| Control | Test 2 | Test 5 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. | 165 | 220 | 55 | 3025 |
| B. | 205 | 255 | 50 | 2500 |
| D. | 235 | 250 | 15 | 225 |
| J. | 275 | 270 | -5 | 25 |
| K. | 330 | 290 | 40 | 1600 |
| L. | 347.5 | 380 | 32.5 | 1056.25 |
| M. | 295 | 325 | 30 | 900 |
| Total | 1852.5 | 1990 | 137.5 | 9331.25 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 264.643 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 5 |  | 284.286 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | $137.5$ |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared 93 | $9331.25$ |  |
| Experimental | Test 2 | Test 5 | Sum of Difference | Difference Squared |
| C. | 275 | 372.5 | 597.5 | 9506.25 |
| E. | 245 | 252.5 | 5 7.5 | 56.25 |
| F. | 310 | 320 | 10 | 100 |
| G. | 285 | 305 | 20 | 400 |
| H. | 310 | 390 | 80 | 6400 |
| I. | 227.5 | 250 | 22.5 | 506.25 |
| N. | 265 | 250 | -15 | 225 |
| Total | 1917.5 | 2140 | 222.5 | 17193.75 |
| Mean Score | of Test 2 |  | 273.929 |  |
| Mean Score | of Test 5 |  | 305.714 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference |  | 222.5 |  |
| Sum of the | Difference | Squared 17 | 17193.75 |  |
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Test Back Strength
Group Experimental

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}=\frac{7}{222.5} \\
& \mathrm{D}=\frac{7}{2} \\
& \mathrm{D}^{2}=17193.75
\end{aligned}
$$

S (estimate of sampling error of

$\overline{\mathrm{D}}=15.491$

$" \mathrm{t}{ }^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}{\overline{\mathrm{S}}}=\frac{31.786}{15.491}=2.052$
$\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{l}=7$ - $\mathrm{l}=6$
"t" at . 05 level $=2.447$
Not Significant at . 05 level

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MEANS DERIVED FROM UNCORRELATED GROUPS FROM SMALL SAMPLES

Test Back Strength

Experimental Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=31.786$ Control Group $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=19.643$

Experimental Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=15.491$ Control Group $\mathrm{S}_{\overline{\mathrm{D}}}=12.547$

SDMD (the estimate of the sampling error for the distribution of differences between the mean differences.)
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