Rwanda Journal of Medicine and Health Sciences Vol.4 No.1, March 2021

#### Original article

# Ophthalmic Anthropometry among Rural Dwellers in Mashonaland Central Province, Zimbabwe

Kyei Samuel <sup>1\*,2</sup>, Tagoh Selassie<sup>2</sup>, Kwarteng Michael<sup>2,3</sup>, Aboagye Evans<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Optometry and Vision Science, School of Allied Health Sciences, College of Health and Allied Science, University of Cape-Coast, Cape-Coast, PMB, Ghana.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Optometry, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Bindura University of Science Education, Bindura, Zimbabwe.

<sup>3</sup>Discipline of Optometry, College of Health Science, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa.

**\*Corresponding author:** Kyei Samuel. Department of Optometry and Vision Science, School of Allied Health Sciences, College of Health and Allied Science, University of Cape-Coast, Cape-Coast, PMB, Ghana. Email: skyei@ucc.edu.gh, samdollar2@yahoo.com.

#### Abstract

#### Introduction

The measures of ophthalmic anthropometric parameters may vary among races and ethnic groups but are of immense importance in clinical diagnosis and management of oculo-visual defects. There is paucity of data on these measures among the Zimbabwean population.

#### Purpose

The aim was to determine ophthalmic anthropometric parameters among rural dwellers in Zimbabwe.

#### Methods

Six ophthalmic anthropometric parameters including interpupillary distance (IPD), head width (HW), temple width (TW), length to bend (LTB), and apical radius were measured using a pupillometer, PD rule, Head width calipers, Fairbank facial gauge, and ABDO frame rule.

#### Results

A total of 471 participants aged 18 to 100 years (mean age = 55.13; SD $\pm$  17.33 years). Of the 471 participants, 206 (43.7%) were males and 265 (56.3%) were females. A mean interpupillary distance at far was 65.57  $\pm$  4.80 mm, mean temple width of 12.49  $\pm$  1.53 cm, mean head width of 13.61  $\pm$  1.39 cm and a side length to bend of 10.24  $\pm$  1.20 cm and the apical radius was 9.94  $\pm$  1.37.

There was a significant (P < 0.05) difference between the ophthalmic anthropometric parameters of males and females except for temple width and apical radius.

## Conclusion

A narrower interpupillary distance but a wider temple width was observed among adult Zimbabweans. A significant difference in ophthalmic anthropometric parameters between males and females were observed except for temple width and apical radius. This should inform eyewear manufacturers and importers of frames on the facial and ocular parameters of Zimbabweans to improve the aesthetics and ensure a comfortable vision for wearers of already-made near vision spectacles for presbyopes.

Rwanda J Med Health Sci 2021;4(1):99-111

**Keywords**: temple width, head width, interpupillary distance, ophthalmic anthropometry, Zimbabwe

## Introduction

Anthropometry refers to the science of measurement of living subjects.[1] Anthropometric measurements are useful in major disciplines such as optometry and ophthalmology, psychology, public health, computer vision, and forensics sciences.[2–5] The measures ophthalmic of anthropometric parameters involve ocular and facial variables which may vary among races and ethnic groups but are of immense importance in clinical diagnosis and management of oculo-visual defects.[6] Anthropometry in the ophthalmic practice provides the necessary points of reference for surgical interventions. the

construction of optical frames and devices.[7] other In the manufacturing of optical frames, measurements such as interpupillary distance, head width, temple width, length to bend, and apical radius are paramount.[8] Knowledge of these measures are essential for the appropriate order of already made spectacles and frames designs.[8] A normative data serves as a useful guide to inform and industrialists practitioners about the variations within the population and how they compare with other populations.

Researchers have reported of variations in ophthalmic anthropometry among various races and populations such as Africans,[7] Caucasians,[9] Indians,[10] Brazilian,[11] Iranian,[12] and Chinese.[13] The measures of ophthalmic anthropometric parameters of immense are importance in clinical diagnosis and management of oculo-visual defects. [7,8] There is paucity of data on measures among these а Zimbabwean population. In a study to determine the ophthalmic anthropometric data among an urban Malawian population, there reported variations among was Malawians and other West African Hence, it has been countries.[7] recommended that considerations for the design of eyewear, as well as reconstructive surgeries, should be grounded evidence-based on empiricism.[7]

It is against this backdrop that this study sought the to assess normative ophthalmic anthropometric data for adult Zimbabweans to inform evidencebased practice. The high prevalence (54.2%) of visual impairment due to uncorrected refractive error has necessitated the urgent need for evidence-based anthropometric data for the adult population in Zimbabwe to inform holistic optical intervention.[14] This has the tendency to improve compliance to spectacle wear and its acceptability as it serves as the mainstay intervention for vision correction.

# **Materials and Methods**

## Study setting

The Republic of Zimbabwe is broken down into 10 administrative provinces, which are divided into 59 districts. The study was conducted in four communities within three Districts namely, Mushumbi-Mbire, Kamutsenzere, Mukumbura and Muzarabani of Mashonaland Central in Zimbabwe. province These districts are among the 8 designated rural districts of Mashonaland Central province (total of 10 of which 2 are urban) and are predominantly inhabited by the Shona ethnic group. A previous which randomlv selected studv these districts for a refractive error and visual impairment studies, involved the distribution of alreadymade spectacles for free to the inhabitants who were diagnosed of refractive errors. [14] This study setting was therefore chosen to determine the ophthalmic anthropometric features relevant for optimal visual performance.

## Study design

This was a population-based crosssectional study. The study involved measurement and collation of ophthalmic anthropometric data of participants. This study was among natives of Mushumbi-Mbire, Mukumbura Kamutsenzere, and Muzarabani of Mashonaland Central province, Zimbabwe. The

interpupillary distance (IPD), head width (HW), temple width (TW), length to bend (LTB), and apical radius. The study was undertaken between September and December, 2019.

# Sampling techniques and size calculation

A random sampling method was used to select 3 districts (Mt. Darwin district, Muzarabani district and Mbire district) from the 10 districts in the Mashonaland Central province using the lottery method. Within these 3 districts, 4 communities i.e. Kamustenzere, Mukumbura, Mushumbi, and Muzarabani were randomly selected. This technique resulted in the selection of 2 communities in the Mt. Darwin district and one each from Mbire and Muzarabani districts. The sample frame (total size population for the 4 communities i.e. 53,344) was obtained from the 2012 national census figures.[15] The minimum sample size was determined from the sample frame and proportionately assigned to each community per population size. Using Slovin's formula (n = N/(1 + $N(e)^2$ , [16] we got a sample of 399.

Where: n = number of samples; N = total population; e = error margin / margin of error

The required samples were selected from each of the 4 communities by first spinning a bottle at a central location or center of the community and the direction the bottle faced was followed. Every other household this direction in the along community is selected and all household members 18 years and older were invited to the community health center, after giving their consent to participate in the study, for а comprehensive eve examination and measurement of ocular anthropometric variables. Households were examined until the required number of participants in a given community was exhausted. The team then moves to the next selected community to repeat the same procedure.

# Data collection procedure

Data collection involved the use of a data extraction sheet to collect data on demographics, and facial measures. The measurements were taken by а single ophthalmic technician with over ten years of practice experience. The others were optometrists who were involved in the comprehensive eye examinations of the participants.

The data extracted included

 A digital pupillometer (Sussex Vision International, West Sussex, UK) was used to measure interpupillary distance at distance (DIPD).

- The Temple width calipers (Sussex Vision International, West Sussex, UK) were used to measure the Temple width and Head width.
- 3. The Rees-Fairbank facial Vision (Sussex gauge International. West Sussex. used UK) was to take measures of apical radius, and side length to bend. Each parameter was taken in triplicate and а mean computed.

## Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The study included all natives who had no ocular history of strabismus, and craniofacial anomalies during the study period and were 18 years This and older. is because participants with strabismus and craniofacial anomalies present with artificially high low an or measurements.(8]

## Data analysis

Data were analyzed using the IBM SPSS version 21 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, USA). Descriptive statistics were computed for all variables after the data have been screened and normality carried tests out. Independent sample t-test was used to determine whether the mean differences observed between variables statistically were significant at an alpha level (p) of 0.05. Levene's test for equality was used to determine any difference in gender and anthropometric variables.

#### **Ethical consideration**

The study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and approval was sought from the Research Ethics Committee of the University of Science Bindura Education (BUSE) Research and Postgraduate Center with reference number RBGA/01/19. Both written and oral informed consent of the participants was obtained. There were no risks and/or discomfort associated with participating in the financial study, and no remunerations were offered to the participants. To participate in this study was voluntary and participants were informed that they could withdraw their participation at any point and that in the event of refusal/withdrawal of participation, they would not incur penalty or loss of treatment or other benefits to which they would normally be entitled.

## Results

## **Demographics of participants**

A total of 471 participants within four communities were involved in this study. Their ages ranged from 18 to 100 years (mean age = 55.13; SD± 17.33 years). Of the 471 participants, 206 (43.7%) were males and 265 (56.3%) were females Table1.

Demographics		Frequency	Percentage (%)
	Young adults (18 to 35)	63	13.4
Age group (in years)	Middle age (36 to 59)	213	45.2
	Elderly (> 60)	195	41.4
Sex	Male	206	43.7
	Female	265	56.3
Total		471	100

#### Table 1. Distribution of age and gender

## Means of ophthalmic

#### anthropometric parameters

The mean distance interpupillary distance was  $65.57 \pm 4.80$  mm, the apical radius was  $9.94 \pm 1.37$  mm,

mean of temple width was  $12.49 \pm 1.53$  cm, head width had a mean value of  $13.61 \pm 1.39$  cm, bridge projection was  $0.64 \pm 0.48$  cm, and length of side was  $10.24 \pm 1.20$  cm as shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Distribution of opintianine antihopoinetric parameter	Table :	2.	Distribution	of	ophthalmic	anthro	pometric	parameter
--	---------	----	--------------	----	------------	--------	----------	-----------

	Variables		PDOU	APICAL	TEMPLE	HEAD	BRIDGE	LENGTH
				RADIUS	WIDTH	WIDTH		OF SIDE
	Vouna odulto	Mean	66.62	9.95	13.05	13.98	0.44	10.14
Age	(18 - 35)	Ν	63	63	63	63	63	63
		Std. D	3.90	1.16	0.92	0.92	0.50	1.06
	Middle age (36 – 59)	Mean	65.91	9.87	12.71	13.81	0.60	10.23
		Ν	213	213	213	213	213	213
		Std. D	5.25	1.34	1.30	0.91	0.49	1.07
	Elderly (> 60)	Mean	64.82	10.02	12.07	13.26	0.77	10.28
		Ν	195	195	195	195	195	195
		Std. D	4.40	1.47	1.79	1.82	0.42	1.37
Sex	Females	Mean	64.98	9.98	12.37	13.47	0.61	9.97
		Ν	265	265	265	265	265	265
		Std. D	4.27	1.41	1.47	1.47	0.49	1.18
	Males	Mean	66.36	9.89	12.63	13.79	0.70	10.59
		Ν	206	206	206	206	206	206
		Std. D	5.32	1.32	1.59	1.24	0.46	1.13

PDOU-distance interpupillary distance in both eyes; Bridge =-Bridge projection -

## Comparison of anthropometric measures between males and females

A Levene's Test for equality of variances and independent T-test to determine ophthalmic anthropometric parameters among male and female participants were employed in this study. There was a significant difference between the mean ophthalmic anthropometric parameters among males and females except for temple width and apical radius with *P*-value < 0.05 as shown in Table 3.

# Table 3. Comparison of mean anthropometric measures between males and females

Variables	Means		F	Sig.	Т	Sig. (2-tailed)		
PDOU	Males = 66.36	Equal variances assumed	6.39	0.01	-3.09	0.00		
	Females = 64.98	Equal variances not assumed			-3.00	0.00		
Apical radius	Males = 9.89	Equal variances assumed	2.29	0.13	0.69	0.49		
	Females = 9.98	Equal variances not assumed			0.70	0.48		
Temple width	Males = 12.63	Equal variances assumed	0.05	0.83	-1.86	0.06		
	Females = 12.37	Equal variances not assumed			-1.84	0.07		
Head width	Males = 13.79	Equal variances assumed	0.03	0.87	-2.44	0.02		
	Females = 13.47	Equal variances not assumed			-2.49	0.01		
Bridge	Males = 0.70	Equal variances assumed	19.20	0.00	-2.17	0.03		
n	Females = 0.61	Equal variances not assumed			-2.19	0.03		
Length of side	Males = 10.59	Equal variances assumed	0.13	0.72	-5.73	0.00		
	Females = 9.97	Equal variances not assumed			-5.76	0.00		
	parameters which are relevant for							

## Discussion

Of great importance to prevent antipathy to the spectacle wear both in adults and children is the need to measure accurately facial parameters which are relevant for spectacle fit among these age groups.[9] The number of participants in this study was consistent with other studies in Africa which reported 304 in Malawi and 500 in Nigeria.[7,17] This current study involved adults with an age range different from the study in Malawi,[7] which reported an age range of 6-25. and Mozambique [18] with a range of 17-26. This study involved only adults since children tend to have smaller anthropometry ophthalmic parameters compared to adults and including them in this study might have resulted in smaller average measures. However, а similar research in children is imperative to fully appreciate the trend. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first data on ophthalmic anthropometry among Zimbabweans and data can be compared only to that of other African countries and the rest of the world.

The mean interpupillary distance (IPD) was almost the same as reported in Malawi (65.5 ± 4.5 mm),[7] but slightly less than the reported 68 among mm Mozambicans.[18] However, studies of Africa have in other parts reported wider IPD in countries such as Nigeria and Ghana than reported in this study.[17,19] This might have resulted from the type of measuring instrument used such as pupillometer or millimeter rule, racial variations well as as ethnicity.[17,19] The mean interpupillary distance for distance was wider in males than females as there was a statistically significant difference between the mean value

for males and females. Similar studies have reported a wider IPD among males than females which is consistent with this study.[7,20–24] This can be attributed to larger craniofacial skeletons among males of African descent.[25–27]

The temple width recorded in this study is consistent with a similar study in Malawi (12.1 cm).[7] In contrast, a narrower temple width has been reported in Ghana while a wider temple width of 1cm has been reported among Hong Kong Chinese adults.[19,23] The mean temple width was wider among males than females but there was no statistically significant difference between the means for both sexes. This was not consistent with similar studies on ophthalmic anthropometry [7,19,23] which reported that males had a wider temple width than females. The reason for the difference from this studv could current not be determined.

А difference of more than approximately 10 mm (1cm) was observed between head width and temple width which is consistent with a similar study in Malawi.[7] However, a study in Hong Kong reported of over 30 mm difference in the two parameters.[23] This can be attributed to racial variations. Also, persons of Asian descent have wider angles and curved temples than Africans which may account for the

variation.[7] is important It to the head width measure in ophthalmic dispensing since a tight head width will result in pressure to the sides of the head resulting in a gradual movement of the frame forwards. Knowledge of these parameters are useful in aiding manufacturers in the design of spectacles for specific populations.

The side length to bend distance was consistent with the studies in Malawi [7] and Hong Kong. [23] There is no significant difference in ear dimensions of Caucasians, [25] compared to other ethnic groups and this parameter plays an integral role in the design of side length to bend distance of an optical frame. It can be concluded that there is no geographical and racial variation in the distribution of side length to bend distance among the general population. The side length to bend plays an integral part in frame selection for adults and children but this parameter can be adjusted through heating and re-bending method by an optician if the temple of the frame is made of plastic material other than a metal temple.

# Conclusion

The ophthalmic anthropometric measures of adult Zimbabweans vary significantly from that of Asian, Caucasians and West African populations but comparable to fellow Southern African countries

such as Malawi and Mozambique. This should inform evewear manufacturers and importers of frames on the facial and ocular of Zimbabweans parameters to improve the aesthetics and ensure a comfortable vision for wearers of already-made near vision spectacles for presbyopes. Non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and other philanthropic groups in eye care are to be guided by this data in the selection and sorting of already made eyewear for eye care missions across Africa.

# **Conflict of interest**

All authors declare that they do not have any conflicts of interest.

# Authors' contribution

Author SK conceived the idea and designed the study, and SK, ST, and MAK wrote the protocol, managed the literature searches, collected data and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors MAK, and EA managed the analysis of the study and interpretation of data and critically revised the content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

This article is published open access under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial NoDerivatives (CC BYNC-ND4.0). People can copy and redistribute the article only for noncommercial purposes and as long as they give appropriate credit to the authors. They cannot distribute any modified material obtained by remixing, transforming or building upon this article. See https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-ncnd/4.0/

# References

- 1. Kolar, J.C., & Salter E. Kolar, J. C., & Salter. E. M. Craniofacial anthropometry Practical measurement of the head and face for clinical. surgical and research use. Springfield, Illinois Charles C Thomas. Publisher Ltd. \_ References Scientific \_ Research Publishing [Internet]. Illinois: Charles C Thomas, Publisher Ltd; 1997 [cited 2020 Nov 19]. 334 p. Available from: https://www.scirp.org/(S(351j mbntvnsjt1aadkposzje))/refere nce/ReferencesPapers.aspx?Re
- 2. Eze BI, Uche JN, Shiweobi JO, Oculopalpebral Mba CN. Dimensions of Adult Nigerians: Report from the Enugu Normative Ocular Study. Anthropometry Med Princ Pract [Internet]. 2013 Dec [cited 2020 Nov 19];22(1):75-9. Available from: https://www.karger.com/Artic le/FullText/339800

ferenceID=990933

3. Öztürk F, Yavas G, Inan UU. Normal periocular anthropometric measurements in the Turkish population. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol*  [Internet]. 2006 Apr [cited 2020 Nov 19];13(2):145–9. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/16581619/

- 4. Carré JM, McCormick CM. In face: Facial metrics vour predict aggressive behaviour in the laboratory and in varsity and professional hockey players. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci [Internet]. 2008 Nov 22 [cited 2020 Nov 19];275(1651):2651-Available 6. from: /pmc/articles/PMC2570531/? report=abstract
- 5. Seidell JC, Kahn HS. Williamson DF, Lissner L, Valdez R. Report from а centers for disease control and prevention workshop on use of adult anthropometry for public health and primary health care. In: American J Clin Nutri [Internet]. American Society for Nutrition; 2001 [cited 2020 Nov 19]. p. 123-6. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/11124761/
- 6. Ye S, Liu S, Li W, Wang Q, Xi W, Zhang X. Associations between anthropometric indicators and both refraction and ocular biometrics in a cross-sectional study of Chinese schoolchildren. BMJ Open [Internet]. 2019 May 1 [cited] 2020 Nov

19];9(5):27212. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC6530363/? report=abstract

- 7. Abraham CH, Thandiwe Μ, Ayerakwah Ρ, Dennis S, Joshua Μ. Κ. George Ophthalmic anthropometry of urban malawian an population. Cogent Med. 2019 May 10;6(1).
- Clifford 8. W. Brooks IMB. System for Ophthalmic Dispensing Edition \_ 3rd [Internet]. 2006. p. 688. Available from: https://www.elsevier.com/boo ks/system-for-ophthalmicdispensing/brooks/978-0-7506-7480-5
- Kunjur J, Sabesan T, Ilankovan V. Anthropometric analysis of eyebrows and eyelids: An inter-racial study. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2006 Apr;44(2):89–93.
- Patil SB, Kale SM, Math M, 10. Khare Ν, Sumeet J. Anthropometry of the eyelid and palpebral fissure in an indian population. Aesthetic Surg J [Internet]. 2011 Jun [cited 2020 Nov 19];31(3):290-4. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/21385738/
- 11. Siaw Meng C. Periocular Anthropometry of Normal

Chinese and Indian Populations in Singapore. JOJ Ophthalmol [Internet]. 2019 May 15 [cited 2020 Nov 19];7(5):1–5. Available from: http://juniperpublishers.com/ jojo/JOJO.MS.ID.555722.php

- 12. Etezad-Razavi M, Jalalifar S. Correlation between Interpupillary and Inner-Outer Intercanthal Distances in Individuals Younger than 20. J Ophthalmic Vis Res [Internet]. 2008 Jan [cited 2020 Nov 19];3(1):16–22. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/23479516
- Dawei W, Guozheng Q, Mingli 13. Z, Farkas LG. Differences in horizontal, neoclassical facial canons in Chinese (Han) and North American Caucasian populations. Aesthetic Plast Surg [Internet]. 1997 Jul [cited 2020 Nov 19];21(4):265-9. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/9263550/
- Tagoh S, Kyei S, Kwarteng MA. 14. Aboagve E. Prevalence of Refractive Error and Visual Impairment Among Rural Dwellers in Mashonland Cental Province, Zimbabwe. J Curr Ophthalmol. 2020;32:402-407. DOI:10.4103/JOCO.JOCO\_22 4\_20

- 15. ZimStat. Zimbabwe Population. World Popul Rev [Internet]. 2013;1:1–152. Available from: http://worldpopulationreview. com/countries/Zimbabwe/
- 16. Tejada, J. J., & Punzalan, J. R.
  B. (2012). On the Misuse of Slovin 's Formula. The Philippine Statistician, 61(1), 129-136. [Internet]. [cited 2020 Nov 19]. Available from: http://www.sciepub.com/refer ence/232232
- 17. Esomonu U, Taura M, Anas I, Modibbo M. Anthropomethric Studies of the Interpupillary Distance among the Igbos of South Eastern Nigeria. *Bayero J Pure Appl Sci* [Internet]. 2012 Sep 7 [cited 2020 Nov 19];5(1):123–6. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/baj opas.v5i1.22
- Ruiz-Alcocer J, ... DM-C-A, 18. undefined. 2011 Interpupillary distance and head circumference in а Mozambican population. [Internet]. books.google.com [cited 2020 Nov 19]; Available from:

https://books.google.com/book s?hl=en&lr=&id=Z629jfKfSoMC &oi=fnd&pg=PA707&ots=J937 XkGl7h&sig=V73C0vvW0HFWp 72q1Y4\_bNwG5M0

19. Ilechie A, Asiaku J.

Ophthalmic Anthropometry for Ghanaian Adults. *J Heal Vis Sci* [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2020 Nov 19];12(1). Available from: https://www.ajol.info/index.p hp/jhvs/article/view/68267

- 20. Osuobeni EP, Al-Musa KA. Gender differences in interpupillary distance among Arabs. *Optom Vis Sci.* 1993;70(12):1027–30.
- 21. Osuobeni EP, Al-Gharni SS. Ocular and facial anthropometry of young adult males of arab origin. *Optom Vis Sci.* 1994;71(1):33–7.
- 22. Fesharaki H, Rezaei L, Farrahi F, Banihashem T, Jahanbkhshi A. Normal interpupillary distance values in an Iranian population. JOphthalmic Vis Res [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2020 Nov 19];7(3):231-4. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC3520592/? report=abstract
- 23. Tang CY, Tang N, Stewart MG. Ophthalmic anthropometry for Hong Kong Chinese adults. *Optom Vis Sci* [Internet]. 1998 Apr [cited 2020 Nov 19];75(4):293–301. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/9586756/
- 24. MacLachlan C, Howland HC. Normal values and standard

deviations for pupil diameter and interpupillary distance in subjects aged 1 month to 19 years. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* [Internet]. 2002 May [cited 2020 Nov 19];22(3):175–82. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/12090630/

- 25. Farkas LG, Katic MJ, Forrest CR, Alt KW, Bagič I, Baltadjiev International G, et al. anthropometric study of facial morphology in various ethnic groups/races. J Craniofac Surg [Internet]. 2005 Sep 1 [cited 19];16(4):615–46. 2020 Nov Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/16077306/
- 26. Kumah D Ben, KO A, Cann JE A, E A, EA O. Interpupillary Distance Measurements among Students in the Kumasi Metropolis. *Optom Open Access.* 2016;01(02).
- Oladipo GS, Okoh P D, Hart J S. Anthropometric Study of Ocular Dimensions in Adult Ijaws of Nigeria. Vol. 5, Research Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences. 2010;5(2): 121-124