S.-Afr. Tydskr. Dierk. 1994, 29(4)

# Comparative morphology of the feeding appendages of four mesozooplankton species in the Sundays River estuary

## H.L. Jerling\* and T.H. Wooldridge

Department of Zoology and Institute for Coastal Research, University of Port Elizabeth, P.O.Box 1600, Port Elizabeth, 6000 Republic of South Africa

#### Received 2 February 1994; accepted 29 August 1994

The morphology of feeding appendages of the coexisting estuarine copepods, *Pseudodiaptomus hessei* and *Acartia longipatella*, and mysids, *Rhopalophthalmus terranatalis* and *Mesopodopsis wooldridgei*, were examined and compared as an aid in elucidating dietary differences. The robust mandibles of *P. hessei* copepodids compared to the more pointed and slender teeth with no molar region, of *A. longipatella* suggest that the latter species relies on more fragile food particles. Mandible edge indices indicate, however, omnivorous feeding by both species. No clear dietary differences could be deduced from the dimensions of the second maxillae of these copepods. Mandible edge indices calculated for the mysid species suggest a more herbivorous feeding mode in *M. wooldridgei* and a more carnivorous one in *R. terranatalis*, supporting previous studies on their diets. No interspecific differences between the mysid size classes were evident from edge indices. Significant increments in setae and setule lengths and spacing with increasing mysid size class were evident, reflecting differences in food particle sizes utilized. The morphological differences in the feeding apparatus could reflect differences in the diets between species and indicate differences in food particle sizes utilized by the various developmental stages within species. These differences in diet should reduce inter- and intraspecific competition.

Die morfologie van die voedingsapparaat van die kopepoda-spesies, *Pseudodiaptomus hessei* en *Acartia longipatella* en mysidacea-spesies, *Rhopalophthalmus terranatalis* en *Mesopodopsis wooldridgei* is ondersoek an vergelyk as 'n hulpmiddel om verskille in dieet toe te lig. Die robuste kake van *P. hessei* in vergelyking met die meer gepunte an delikate tande, sonder enige maaltand-gedeelte, van *A. longipatella*, dui op die verbruik van meer delikate voedseldeeltjies deur laasgenoemde. Kaak-indekse dui egter op 'n omnivoriese voedingswyse deur beide spesies. Dieetverskille tuseen die twee kopepoda-spesies word nie deur afmetings van die sekondêre maksilla geïllustreer nie. Kaak-indekse vir die mysidacea-spesies dui op 'n meer herbivoriese voedingswyse vir *M. wooldridgrei* in vergelyking met 'n meer karnivoriese voedingswyse in *R. terranatalis*, wat steun verleen aan vorige studies. Geen interspesifieke verskille tussen die kaak-indekse van die mysidacea grootteklasse is gevind nie. Betekenisvolle toenames in die lengtes en spasiëring van setae en sekondêre setae is gebruik. Bogenoemde morfologiese verskille kan dui op dieetverskille tussen spesies, asook verskille in grootte van voedseldeeltjies verbruik deur verskillende ontwikkelingsstadia van 'n bepaalde spesie. Hierdie dieetverskille behoort inter- en intraspesifieke kompetisie te verminder.

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed at: Department of Zoology, University of Zululand, Private Bag X1001, KwaDlangezwa, 3886 Republic of South Africa

Mesozooplankton in the Sundays River estuary is dominated by the mysids, Rhopalophthalmus terranatalis and Mesopodopsis wooldridgei (formerly M. slabberi, Wittmann 1992), and the calanoid copepods, Pseudodiaptomus hessei and Acartia longipatella (Wooldridge & Bailey 1982). Since these species have overlapping distributions in the estuary (Wooldridge & Bailey 1982), it can be hypothesized that they utilize different food resources to reduce competition and this may be reflected in structural differences in the morphology of their respective feeding appendages. To quote Schoener (1982) '... data on morphological differences between species, traditionally considered inferior to data on resource use itself, could in fact be superior for estimating the degree of competition between species. ...; if morphological adaptations constitute a genetic memory of such competition, they will more accurately reflect its ecological importance.' It is also possible that the morphology of the mouthparts differs between the various instars of the same species, implying changes in diet during growth and development. The objective of the present study was to compare mouthpart morphology of the dominant mesozooplankton species and their instars or size classes occurring in the Sundays River estuary. thereby elucidating differences and similarities that might aid interpretation of their feeding ecology. For this comparison emphasis was placed on the structure of (a) the mandibular cutting edges of mysids and copepods, (b) the second maxillae of copepods and (c) the thoracic legs of mysids. These appendages were chosen for the following reasons:

(a) The denticulate cutting edge of copepod mandibles is known to differ between species with different feeding modes (Anraku & Omori 1963; Itoh 1970). Size and structure of mysid mandibles may also reflect dietary differences (Mauchline 1980, Webb & Wooldridge 1989).

(b) The second maxillae of copepods were traditionally thought to play an important role in the sieving of food particles from the water (Anraku & Omori 1963; Gauld 1966; Boyd 1976; Nival & Nival 1976). Since the studies of Alcaraz, Paffenhöfer & Strickler (1980) and Koehl & Strickler (1981), using microcinematography, the filtering abilities of the second maxillae have been questioned, although their importance in particle capture is still recognized (Cowles & Strickler 1983; Vanderploeg & Paffenhöfer 1985: Paffenhöfer & Stearns 1988).

(c) The thoracic legs of euphausiids function as a feeding basket to filter food particles (Mauchline & Fisher 1969). Intersetule mesh size determines the filtering efficiency of this basket (McClatchie & Boyd 1983). Although Canon & Manton (1927) attribute filtration in the mysid *Hemimysis lamornae* to the maxillae, the thoracic legs and their setae also appear to be prominent appendages used for the capturing and filtration of food particles by mysids (Siegfried & Kopache 1980; Webb & Wooldridge 1989; Schabes & Hamner 1992).

## Methods

## Copepods

Light and scanning electron microsope (SEM) studies were made of the mandibles of nauplii, copepodid and adult stages of *Acartia longipatella* and *Pseudodiaptomus hessei*. Mandibles were dissected from animals preserved in 5% formalin.

Preserved animals were prepared for SEM micrographs by gradually dehydrating specimens using ethanol. Mandibles were dissected out. critical-point dried and sputter coated with gold. SEM micrographs were made with a Joel JSM 840 scanning electron microscope (E.M. Unit, Rhodes University, Grahamstown).

A morphological comparison was made of the second maxillae of the two copepod species. Setal and setule (secondary seta) measurements were determined from specimens preserved in 5% formalin. Measurements were made using light microscopy with the aid of a camera lucida and a stage micrometer. Measurements taken included setal lengths and intersetule spacing of each seta and representative setules on each seta for the different copepod instars. The areas covered by the second maxillae setae of adults of the two copepod species were measured and compared.

## Mysids

The mandibles of three mysid classes, (juveniles, immatures and adults: Mauchline 1980) of *Mesopodopsis wooldridgei* and *Rhopalophthalmus terranatalis* were examined with the aid of SEM and light microscopy. The methods were similar to those described above.

Perciopods 2–7 were also removed from mysids and the merus, carpus and propodus segments examined and compared in terms of setae and setule arrangements and lengths. Measurements were done on intersetal spacing and setal length on each segment. One seta representative of every pair of setae on each segment of pereiopods 2–7 was measured in each mysid size class. Representative setules on each pair of setae were also measured to determine intersetule spacing and setule length.

#### **Results and Discussion**

## Copepods

#### Nauplii

*Pseudodiaptomus hessei* and *Acartia longipatella* nauplii possess strong masticatory processes on the coxa and basis of the antenna. Development of these processes is progressive, from a strong spine on the basal segment in the first nauplius stage to three spines in nauplius N6 (Jerling & Wooldridge 1989). Gauld (1958) attributed food collection by nauplii to the mandibular setae while the masticatory processes retain the food collected. Mandibular gnathobases were only evident from the N4 stage onward, suggesting the possibility of ingesting larger and more robust particles as naupliar development proceeds. The mandibles of the last nauplius stage (N6) of *P. hessei* and *A. longipatella* differ markedly (Figure 1). The mandible in nauplii N6 of P. hessei possesses a cutting edge composed of three sharp teeth of 3 to 5  $\mu$ m from cusp to tip whereas the mandible of *A. longipatella* nauplii is blunt. This would suggest that the N6 nauplius stage of *P. hessei* is more able to pierce and break particles than the N6 stage of *A. longipatella*.

#### Copepodids

A significant morphological change in the structure of the mandibular edge occurs after molting from N6 to Cl in both *Pseudodiaptomus hessei* and *Acartia longipatella*. More teeth are present on the mandibular cutting edge (Figure 1) and the second maxillae are also well developed (Jerling & Wooldridge 1989). This morphological change reflects a change in diet as the copepod develops from N6 to Cl (Marshall & Orr 1972).

Apart from the addition of setae and general enlargement, little morphological change takes place in the feeding apparatus during copepodid development of *P. hessei* (Jerling & Wooldridge 1989). An increase in mandibular size is likely to enable later developmental stages to ingest larger particles or cells compared to younger copepodid stages.

Mandibles. The mandibles of P, hessei adults consist of six strong tricusped incisor teeth and a section with two molars (Figure 2). The last molar often possesses a pointed membrane-like structure; this structure is not present on all mandibles. Light microscope observations showed that the molar process is still undeveloped in C1 although six teeth are also present (Figure 1). Teeth present on the mandibles of A. lon-



Figure 1 Diagrammatic presentation of naupliar and copepodid mandible denticulate edges in *Pseudodiaptomus hessei*: A = N6, C = C1; and *Acartia longipatella*: B = N6, D = C1.



Figure 2 Mandibles of adult females:  $A = Acartia \ longipatella$ ,  $B = Pseudodiaptomus \ hessei$ , C and D = left and right mandibles of Mesopodopsis wooldridgei respectively, E and F = left and right mandibles of Rhopalophthalmus terranatalis respectively.

gipatella increase in number from seven in C1 to 10 in adults. The general mandibular edge structure of A. longipatella differs markedly from that of P. hessei, sharply pointed teeth without any region resembling a molar section being present (Figure 2). Grinding teeth, i.e. more rounded and less sharp, as described for *Calanus finmarchicus*, would be an indication of herbivory. Sharp, strong teeth (*Tortanus discaudatus*) are characteristic of carnivorous feeding. Omnivorous copepods have mandiblular cutting edges between these two types (Anraku & Omori 1963). Herbivory in the case of *Calanus finmarchicus* implies feeding on diatoms (Anraku & Omori 1963; Marshall & Orr 1972) where the mandibles are used to crush the rigid silica frustules. The microplankton of the Sundays estuary is dominated by flagellates. Diatoms are also present but usually at very low concentrations (Hilmer 1990).

The rounded teeth of *P. hessei* suggest the ability to feed on particles such as diatoms. The slender, but sharper teeth of *A. longipatella* could suggest a diet of small delicate cells such as the flagellates. The lack of particularly large, strong and sharp teeth (e.g. in *Tortanus discaudatus;* Anraku & Omori 1963), in both *P. hessei* and *A. longipatella* suggests that predation or carnivory does not form a major part of the feeding strategy in these two species.

Itoh (1970, as quoted by Parsons & Takahashi 1973), compared differences in copepod feeding using an 'Edge index, EI' (formula provided in Parsons & Takahashi 1973). EI values of < 500 and with more than 10 teeth on the cutting edge are indicative of a predominantly herbivorous feeding habit; an EI value of 500–900 with approximately 5–10 teeth indicate a mostly omnivorous diet while EI values above 900 with less than seven teeth are calculated for more carnivorous species (Figure 42B in Parsons & Takahashi 1973). Edge indices were calculated for three copepodid developmental stages in P. hessei and A. longipatella (Table 1). There was a slight decrease in the EI value with each successive copepodid developmental stage. However, most stages in both P. hessei and A. longipatella fall within the omnivore class, or, in the case of P. hessei C3 and adult stages, on the border between the omnivore and herbivore classes.

Second maxillae. The spacing and lengths of setae and setules of the second maxillae in *P. hessei* and *A. longipatella* are given in Table 2. Although smaller and more slender in body size, *A. longipatella* possesses significantly longer setae and setules on the 2nd maxillae than *P. hessei* (Mann-Whitney *U* test,  $\alpha = 0,05$ ). The intersetule distances were also greater for *A. longipatella* (Mann-Whitney *U* test,  $\alpha = 0,05$ ). The area covered by the setae on the 2nd maxillae in adult *P. hessei* (15614 ± 3074 µm<sup>2</sup>, X ± 1SD) is, however, not significantly larger (p = 0,58; n = 9) than that in adult *A. longipatella* (14868 ± 2580 µm<sup>2</sup>, X ± 1SD).

Nival & Nival (1976) used intersetule distance on the second maxillae to determine particle sizes filtered by *Acartia clausi*. Vanderploeg & Ondricek-Fallscheer (1982), however,

Table 1Mandible edge indices, El (Itoh1970), calculated for instars of Pseudodi-aptomus hessei and Acartia longipatella

,		51
Copepod instar	EI	Number of teeth
P. hessei		
C1	588	7
C3	460	8
Adult	437	8
A. longipatella		
CI	675	7
C3	592	10
Adult	554	10

**Table 2** Mean setae and setule spacing (d) and length (l) on the 2nd maxillae of adult and copepodid (C1) *Pseudodiaptomus hessei* and *Acartia longipatella*. Values are in  $\mu$ m with ranges in brackets

	Setae		Setule	
Species	d	1	d	1
P. hessei				
Cl	3,9	50,8	2,1	1,9
	(1-7)	(50-55)	(1,5-3)	(1,5-3)
Adult	3,5	115,9	4,3	5,1
	(1-5)	(90–130)	(2–10)	(2–10)
A. longipatella				
Cl	2,4	82,5	4,1	5,4
	(1.4)	(75-90)	(2,-5)	(4-8)
Adult	2.4	170,0	7,1	10,6
	(1-4)	(135–195)	(2–11)	(7–14)

argued that intersetule distances are a poor predictor of particle-retention efficiency in Diaptomus sicilis. The latter authors suggested that the seining motion of the second maxillae, used by Acartia for filtering, may explain the discrepancy. Koehl & Strickler (1981) calculated that it is not possible for water to flow between setae, owing to boundary layers around them, and filtration would therefore be impossible. In contrast water flow between setae of the 2nd maxillae of Eucalanus elongatus has been observed (Price & Paffenhöfer 1986) even though the calculated boundary layers suggest the opposite. The latter authors however regard setule spacing as unimportant in determining retention efficiency. They observed some cells to stick to the setae but the majority of particles were 'funnelled' towards the mouth and not filtered out by the setae. The role of intersetule distance in the diet of copepods is thus not clear.

Although the differences in intersetule spacing between A. longipatella and P. hessei are significant, this difference is less than 3  $\mu$ m with the ranges being similar (Table 2). Intersetule distances in this case should therefore not exert a large influence on the planktonic food particles captured by these copepods, falling predominantly in the nano- and microplankton size range. No clear dietary differences between A. longipatella and P. hessei are therefore reflected in the morphology of the 2nd maxillae.

#### Mysids

*Mandibles*. The incisor processes of the mandibles are used to bite into large masses of food; portions bitten off are pushed onto the molar processes and ground before entering the oesophagus (Grossnickle 1982).

The left and right mandibles of *Mesopodopsis wooldridgei* (Figure 2) are asymmetric. A *lacinia mobilis* is present between the *pars incisiva* and *pars molaris* regions on the left mandible. This position on the right mandible is occupied by a circle of spines. The cutting edges and cusps and valleys on grinding areas of opposing mandibles fit into each other, allowing for maximum contact between the *pars molaris* surfaces. Spine rows occur on the proximal and a row of teeth on the distal *pars molaris* margins of both mandibles. In *Rhopal*- ophthalmus terranatalis both left and right mandibles posess a lacinia mobilis, which are more prominent with more teeth on the right mandible. The pars incisiva of the right mandible fits between the cutting edges of the pars incisiva on the left mandible (Figure 2). The incisor teeth are strong and pointed, more so than those in *M. wooldridgei*. Cusps and valleys on the pars molaris regions of the opposing mandibles fit together bringing the grinding surfaces in close contact. On the distal margin of the pars molaris a row of teeth are prominent. Sharp teeth also occur on the proximal margin of the pars molaris.

A mandibular edge index was developed for euphausiids (Nemoto 1977) relating mandible structure to diet. Direct comparison between euphausiids and mysids is not possible, but generally the large pars molaris in euphausiids indicates herbivory and this may also be true for many mysid species (Mauchline 1980). Edge indices were calculated for the size classes of both species (Table 3). No significant differences were evident between juveniles and adults within a species (ANOVA,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ). The differences between corresponding size classes of M. wooldridgei and R. terranatalis were however significantly different (Mann-Whitney U test,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ). M. wooldridgei tends more towards herbivory with its relatively large pars molaris while the lower index calculated for R. terranatalis suggests a more carnivorous diet. This is in agreement with previous studies on these mysid species (Wooldridge & Bailey 1982; Wooldridge & Webb 1988).

Although Webb & Wooldridge (1989) suggest that 'the sharp, cusped denticulate edges' of the mandibles of *M. wooldridgei* 'are better suited for cutting soft material than piercing and grinding prey', the present study shows a relatively large *pars molaris*, suitable for grinding food particles (Mauchline 1980).

Thoracic legs. The endopods of thoracic legs two to seven of both mysid species are prominent, extending forward, forming a cone-shaped feeding basket. Setae on the merus, carpus and propodus segments of the endopods are arranged in Vshaped pairs, directed anteriorly and slightly inwards. Water flows into the feeding basket and particles trapped are moved anteriorly by medially projecting setae on the basipodites (Schabes & Hamner 1992).

M. wooldridgei possess setules on the endopods of the tho-

**Table 3** *Pars molaris* to *pars incisiva* ratios (pm/pi) in the left mandible of juvenile, immature and adult *Mesopodopsis wooldridgei* and *Rhopalophthalmus terranatalis*, pm = width of *pars molaris*, pi = length of *pars incisiva* 

Faite meland, provident and parte melerra				
Species	п	pm/pi ± SD		
M. wooldridgei				
Juvenile	5	1,39 ± 0,22		
Immature	5	$1,33 \pm 0,17$		
Adult	4	1,37 ± 0,15		
R. terranatalis				
Juvenile	5	$0,76 \pm 0,05$		
Immature	4	$0,82 \pm 0,16$		
Adult	5	$0,79 \pm, 0,06$		

**Table 4** Mean setae and setule length (I) and spacing (d) on the thoracic legs of *Mesopodopsis* wooldridgei and *Rhopalophthalmus terranatalis*. Values are in  $\mu$ m with ranges in brackets

	Setae		Setules	
Species	1	d	1	d
M. wooldridgei				
Juveniles	127	26	23	8
	(40–210)	(15-45)	(10-40)	(3-12)
Immatures	224	35	27	7
	(125–350)	(15–55)	(10–50)	(5–15)
Adults	302	44	34	9
	(20-470)	(1070)	(10-80)	(2–15)
R. terranatalis				
Juveniles	97	22	-	_
	(30–240)	(10–70)	-	_
Immatures	174	34	-	-
	(50–365)	(10–100)	-	-
Adults	269	49	-	-
	(70–500)	(15–125)	-	_

racic legs. Intersetal spacing increases with increasing body size (Table 4, significant at  $\alpha = 0.05$ , Tukey test). Intersetule spacing was significantly different between adults and immatures but not between immatures and juveniles (Table 4, Tukey test,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ). Similar to Cooper & Goldman's (1980) observations on *Mysis relicta*, prey in *R. terranatalis* is captured by closing the endopods of the thoracic legs over the prey, pushing it towards the mouthparts. No setules (secondary setae) are present. Setae length and intersetal spacing increased significantly with increasing body size (Table 4, Tukey test,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

Although boundary layers around setae and setules could preclude water movement through the intersetal or setule spaces (Koehl & Strickler 1981), setule spacing is important for retaining small particles and may determine the minimum size of particle that can be retained (McClatchie & Boyd 1983). *M. wooldridgei* should accordingly be able to feed on smaller particles than any of the *R. terranatalis* size classes, owing to the presence of setules in the feeding basket of the former. The setal spacing (Table 4) of *M. wooldridgei* and *R. terranatalis* size classes suggests more efficient capturing of smaller particles by juveniles than by adults.

#### Summary and Conclusion

Although the food resources exploited by the mesozooplankton species in the Sundays River estuary probably overlap, the results from this study support the possibility of inter- and intraspecific dietary differences.

Differences in diet may be due to intraspecific morphological changes as well as interspecific differences. In the former category, the change in mandible structure between nauplii and copepodid stages suggests different food and feeding modes used by instars. An increase in the size of the feeding appendages with growth would allow later instars of copepods and mysid size classes to utilize larger food particles. With respect to interspecific differences, the mandibles of *Pseudodiaptomus hessei* naupliar stage N6 are more prominent than those of the same stage of *Acartia longipatella*. Mandibles of the adults of the two copepod species also differ markedly; *P. hessei* possesses more robust mandibles with a molar region, and this is in contrast to the mandibles of *A. longipatella* which have sharp, more fragile teeth with no molar area. No clear reflection of dietary differences could, however, be extrapolated from the morphology of the second maxillae.

Mandible edge indices calculated for the mysid species suggest a more herbivorous feeding mode for *M. wooldridgei*, and more carnivorous feeding in *R. terranatalis*. Intersetule spacings on the thoracic endopod setae of *M. wooldridgei*, compared to the absence of any setules in *R. terranatalis*, imply the ability of the former to capture small particles more effectively.

These morphological differences could therefore reflect differences in diets between species and indicate differences in food particle sizes utilized by the various developmental stages within species. This should reduce the occurrence of inter- and intraspecific competition.

## Acknowledgements

Financial assistance, from the Department of Environmental Affairs, the Estuarine Joint Venture Programme and the University of Port Elizabeth is gratefully acknowledged. We would also like to thank the staff of the E.M. Unit at Rhodes University for their assistance.

#### References

- ALCARAZ, M., PAFFENHÖFER, G.-A. & STRICKLER, J.R. 1980. Catching the algae: A first account of visual observations on filter feeding calanoids. In: The evolution and Ecology of Zooplankton Communities, (ed.) W.C. Kerfoot. University Press of New England.
- ANRAKU, M. & OMORI, M. 1963. Preliminary survey of the relationship between the feeding habit and the structure of the mouth-parts of Marine Copepods. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 8: 116-127.
- BOYD, C.M. 1976. Selection of particle sizes by filter-feeding copepods: A plea for reason. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 21: 175–183.
- CANON, G.H. & MANTON, S.M. 1927. On the feeding mechanism of a mysid crustacean, *Hemimysis lamornae*. Trans. R. Soc. Edinb. 55: 219-253.
- COOPER, S.D. & GOLDMAN, C.R. 1980. Opossum shrimp (Mysis relicta) predation on zooplankton. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 37: 909-919.
- COWLES, T.J. & STRICKLER, J.R. 1983. Characterization of feeding activity patterns in the planktonic copepod *Centropages typicus* Kroyer under various food conditions. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 28: 106-115.
- GAULD, D.T. 1958. Swimming and feeding in crustacean larvae: the nauplius larva. *Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond.* 132: 31-50.
- GAULD, D.T. 1966. The swimming and feeding of planktonic copepods. In: Some Contemporary Studies in Marine Science, (ed.) H.Barnes. Allen and Unwin, London.
- GROSSNICKLE, N.E. 1982. Feeding habits of *Mysis relicta* an overview. *Hydrobiologia* 93: 101–107.

HILMER, T. 1990. Factors influencing the estimation of primary

production in small estuaries. Ph.D. thesis, University of Port Elizabeth, Port Elizabeth.

- ITOH, K. 1970. A consideration on feeding habits of planktonic copepods in relation to the structure of their oral parts. *Bull. Plankton, Soc. Japan.* 17: 1–10.
- JERLING, H.L. & WOOLDRIDGE, T.H. 1989. The developmental stages of *Pseudodiaptomus hessei* (Copepoda: Calanoida). S. Afr. J. Zool. 24: 139-145.
- KOEHL, M.A.R. & STRICKLER, J.R. 1981. Copepod feeding currents: Food capture at low Reynolds number. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 26: 1062–1073.

MARSHALL, S.M. & ORR, A.P. 1972. The biology of a marine copepod *Calanus finmarchicus* (Gunnerus). Springer-Verlag, New York.

- MAUCHLINE, J. 1980. The biology of Mysids and Euphausiids. In: Advances in Marine Biology, (ed.) J.H.S. Blaxter, F.S. Russel and M. Yonge, Vol. 18. Academic Press, London.
- MAUCHLINE, J. & FISHER; L.R. 1969. The biology of euphausiids. Adv. Mar. Biol. 7: 1–454.
- McCLATCHIE, S. & BOYD, C.M. 1983. Morphological study of sieve efficiencies and mandibular surfaces in the antarctic krill, *Euphausia superba. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 40: 955–967.
- NEMOTO, T. 1977. Food and feeding of deep-sea Thysanopoda euphausiids. In: Oceanic sound scattering prediction. (ed.) N.R. Anderson and B.J. Zahuranec. Plenum Press, New York.
- NIVAL, P. & NIVAL, S. 1976. Particle retention efficiencies of a herbivorous copepod, *Acartia clausi* (adult and copepodite stages): Effects on grazing. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 21: 24–38.
- PAFFENHÖFER, G.-A. & STEARNS, D.E. 1988. Why is Acartia tonsa (Copepoda: Calanoida) restricted to nearshore environments? Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 42: 33–38.
- PARSONS, T. & TAKAHASHI, M. 1973. Biological Oceanographic Processes. Pergamon Press, Oxford.
- PRICE, H.J. & PAFFENHÖFER, G.-A. 1986. Capture of small cells by the copepod *Eucalanus elongatus*. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 31: 189– 194.
- SCHABES, M. & HAMNER, W. 1992. Mysid locomotion and feeding: kinematics and water-flow patterns of Antarctomysis sp., Acanthomysis sculpta, and Neomysis rayii. J. Crust. Biol. 12: 1– 10.
- SCHOENER, T.W. 1982. The controversy over interspecific competition. Am. Sci. 70: 586–595.
- SIEGFRIED, C.A. & KOPACHE, M.E. 1980. Feeding of Neomysis mercedis (Holmes). Biol. Bull. 159: 193-205.
- VANDERPLOEG, H.A. & ONDRICEK-FALLSCHEER, R.L. 1982. Intersetule distances are a poor predictor of particle-retention efficiency in *Diaptomus sicilis*. J. Plankton Res. 4: 237-244.
- VANDERPLOEG, R.A. & PAFFENHÖFER, G.-A. 1985. Modes of algal capture by the freshwater copepod *Diaptomus sicilis* and their relation to food-size selection. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 30: 871– 885.
- WEBB, P. & WOOLDRIDGE, T.H. 1989. Diet elucidation: supplementary inferences from mysid feeding appendage morphology. S. Afr. J. Zool. 24: 106–109.
- WITTMANN, K.J. 1992. Morphogeographic variations in the genus Mesopodopsis Czerniavsky with descriptions of three new species (Crustacea, Mysidacea). Hydrobiologia 241: 71-89.
- WOOLDRIDGE, T. & BAILEY, C. 1982. Euryhaline zooplankton of the Sundays estuary and notes on trophic relationships. S. Afr. J. Zool. 17: 151–163.
- WOOLDRIDGE, T.H. & WEBB, P. 1988. Predator-prey interactions between two species of estuarine mysid shrimps. *Mar. Ecol. Prog.* Ser. 50: 21–28.