ISSN 1112-9867

Available online at

online at http://www.jfas.info

COMPARISON OF ANALGESIC EFFECT OF PREGABALIN-FENTANYL AND MIDAZOLAM-FENTANYL COMBINATIONS ON THE SEVERITY OF PAIN IN THE PATIENTS UNDERGOING EXTRACORPOREAL SHOCK WAVE LITHOTRIPSY: A DOUBLE-BLIND CLINICAL TRIAL

R. Inaloo¹, A. R. Yousefi¹, A. A. Sepidkar², M. Safaei Saruei³, G. Bemana⁴, M. Radmehr^{5*}

 ¹Department of Urology, Jahrom University of Medical Sciences, Jahrom, Iran
 ²Department of surgery, Jahrom University of Medical Sciences, Jahrom, Iran
 ³Student Research Committee, Jahrom University of Medical Sciences, Jahrom, Iran
 ⁴Department of Rehabilitation, Jahrom University of Medical Sciences, Jahrom, Iran
 ⁵Anesthesiology ,critical care and pain management research center, Jahrom University of Medical Sciences, Jahrom

Published online: 24 November 2017

ABSTRACT

Background and Objective: Kidney stone disease goes back to thousands of years ago. Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy (ESWL) is currently the first line treatment for this disease. Different analgesics were already used to relieve pain in the patients but they still complain about their pain. Therefore, the effect of two combinations of pregabalin-fentanyl and midazolam-fentanyl was investigated in controlling pain in the patients undergoing ESWL in this study.

View metadata, citation and similar papers at <u>core.ac.uk</u> Materials and Methods: Luis mass qonple-pliud clinical trial ou 141 batients risiting Materials and Methods: Luis mass qonple-pliud clinical trial ou 141 batients risiting

> Author Correspondence, e-mail: *m_radmehri@yahoo.com* doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/jfas.v9i7s.98</u>

The participants were selected using a simple sampling method. Inclusion criterion was 8mm < kidney stone < 20mm. Exclusion criteria were 20 kg/m² < body mass index (BMI) < 30



1079

kg/m², a history of mental disorders, namely addiction to analgesics and opiates. Finally, the patients were randomly assigned to two groups. One microgram per kilogram fentanyl was administered intravenously and 300mg pregabalin was given orally to the patients ten minutes before surgery in the first group (pregabalin-fentanyl and n = 47). One microgram per kilogram fentanyl and one microgram per kilogram midazolam were injected intravenously to the patients ten minutes prior to operation in the second group (fentanyl-midazolam and n = 46). Then, standard shock wave lithotripsy was carried out in both groups. The severity of pain was measured every 20 minutes during the operation and two hours after the operation using the Visual Analog Scale for Pain (VAS Pain). The collected data was analyzed using SPSS version 21. Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation and percent) and analytical statistical tests (Mann-Whitney and Chi-square) were used to analyze the data.

Results: The mean age of participants was 43.80 ± 13.71 in the first group (pregabalin + fentanyl) and 39.0 ± 11.19 in the second group (midazolam + fentanyl). Chi-square test results were matched in both groups in terms of age, gender, number of shocks and size of the stone. The Mann-Whitney test results showed a significant difference between the first and second groups in terms of pain score from the first 20 minutes up to 2 hours after drug administration (p-value<0.05). The results also showed that the number of patients experiencing higher than average severity of pain in the second group was significantly less than the first group (p-value<0.05).

Conclusion: The results of this study showed that the number of patients experiencing higher than average severity of pain in the group receiving midazolam-fentanyl combination was less than the group receiving pregabalin-fentanyl combination. Therefore, it can be deduced that fentanyl in combination with midazolam has a greater analgesic effect on pain relief in the patients undergoing ESWL.

Keywords: pregabalin-fentanyl, midazolam-fentanyl, pain, extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy

INTRODUCTION

Kidney stone disease is one of the oldest diseases. Many people are dealing with this disease for thousands of years (1). Some substances deposit in the supersaturated urine and form stones (2). The prevalence of this disease varies from 4% to 15% worldwide (3). Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy (ESWL) is currently the first line treatment for the patients with kidney stones. The patients undergoing ESWL still complain about their pain despite many efforts made to control and manage postoperative pain (4). Several physical

variables are already identified that affect the severity of pain in the patients undergoing ESWL including source of shockwave, size and location of the stone and pressure threshold for shock wave (7-5). Several patient-related factors were also identified that are effective in severity of pain including age, gender and physical characteristics (8). It was also shown that young female, depressed, anxious and thin patients have experienced more pain during the operation (10, 9). Different analgesics are needed to control the pain caused by shock waves in addition to invention of new generations of ESWL [for complete pain relief in the patients]. These analgesics include opioids (e.g. morphine and fentanyl), non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs (e.g. ketorolac, propofol and piroxicam) and cutaneous creams including eutectic mixture of local anesthetic cream (EMAA). These are either used alone or in combination with other drugs. Each of these has some advantages and disadvantages (11 and 12). Fentanyl is a potent industrial narcotic with the greatest efficacy in the shortest time. This drug acts as a strong µ-opioid receptor agonist and moderates the severity of pain in the patients undergoing ESWL. For this reason, it is commonly used to relieve pain (13). Such opioids as fentanyl can be used alone or in combination with other analgesics (14-16). Midazolam is currently known as an opioid with analgesic and antianxiety effects (17). This drug is categorized in benzodiazepine pharmacologic class. It has the highest efficacy in the shortest possible time (30 to 60 seconds) (18). Pregabalin is known as a structural analogue of gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA). It inhibits calcium receptors, which inhibits the release of neurotransmitters (e.g. glutamate and dopamine) (19). It also relieves pain and reduces anxiety and dependence on opioids (21 and 20). It is necessary to examine analgesic effect of new drugs (either alone or in combination with each other) given that a unique model and guideline are not given for controlling the pain of patients undergoing ESWL. Therefore, the present study aimed to compare the analgesic effect of two combinations of pregabalinfentanyl and midazolam-fentanyl.

METHOD

This was a double-blind clinical trial. The license for scientific procedure was obtained from the ethics committee of Jahrom University of Medical Sciences. The participants consisted of 141 patients visiting the lithotripsy unit in Peymani Hospital in Jahrom Town. The simple sampling method was used to select the participants. Inclusion criteria were 20<age<50, ASA I and ASA II, consent of the patients to participate in the project, 8mm<stone size<20mm. Exclusion criteria were 20kg/m2<BMI<30kg/m2, history of mental disorders, history of cardiovascular diseases, history of respiratory diseases, bleeding disorders, peptic ulcer, active

urinary tract infection, addition to analgesics and opioids and uncooperative patients. Past medical history of the patients was collected and a complete physical examination was performed. The baseline serum tests were taken, namely sodium, potassium, total blood count, coagulation, renal function tests (blood urea nitrogen and creatinine), full urine test and urine culture. Those who were not eligible for the study were excluded from the project. One microgram per kilogram fentanyl was administered intravenously and 300mg pregabalin was given orally to the patients ten minutes before the surgery in the first group (pregabalinfentanyl and n = 47). One microgram per kilogram fentanyl and one microgram per kilogram midazolam were injected intravenously to the patients ten minutes prior to operation in the second group (fentanyl-midazolam and n = 46). Then, lithotripsy was performed with fluoroscopic projections in a standard manner in a supine position using Arian device in both groups. The severity of pain was measured every 20 minutes during the operation and two hours after the operation with the Visual Analog Scale for Pain (VAS Pain). The severity of pain was categorized into three classes, namely mild, moderate and severe. The severity of pain was scored as painless=0, 1< mild pain< 30, 30< moderate pain<70 and severe pain>70. Then, the patients were followed-up within two hours after the operation. The collected data was analyzed using SPSS version 21. Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation and percentages) and analytical statistical tests (Mann-Whitney and Chi-square) were used to analyze the data

FINDINGS

The mean age of the patients was 43.80 ± 13.71 in the first group (pregabalin + fentanyl)and 39.0 ± 11.19 in the second group (midazolam + fentanyl). Chi-square test results were matched in terms of age, gender, number of shocks and size of the stone (Table 1).

Group				
Factor		Fentanyl	pregabalin +	p-value
		+ midazolam	fentanyl (n=47)	
		(n=46)		
Gender ¹	Male	33 (71.7)	28 (59.6)	0.217
	Female	13 (28.3)	19 (40.4)	
Age ²		39.0±11.19	43.80±13.71	0.140
The number of shockwaves2		2741±289.5	2721±320.96	0.411
The stone size2		12.36±4.24	12.35±3.81	0.923

¹ Frequency (percent)

 2 Mean \pm standard deviation

The Mann-Whitney test results showed a significant difference between pregabalin-fentanyl and midazolam-fentanyl groups in terms of pain score in 20 minutes and 2 hours after drug administration (p-value <0.05) (Table 2).

Table 2. Comparison of pain score in ESWL in pregabalin + fentanyl and midazolam +

fentanyl groups

Group				
Factor		Midazolam +	pregabalin + fentanyl	p-value
		fentanyl (n=46)	(n=47)	
Before	drug	0(1-0)	0(1-0)	0.084
administration				
After 20min		0(0-0)	3(3-3)	0.00
After 40min		0(1-0)	3(3-3)	0.00
After 1h		0(0-0)	3(3-3)	0.00
After 2h		0(1-0)	3(3-3)	0.00
p-value		0.00	0.00	

Median (Q3-Q1)

Twenty minutes after taking the drug, 43 patients (91.5%) experienced severe pain, 2 patients (4.3%) experienced mild pain in the first group (pregabalin + fentanyl). However, 38 patients

(82.6%) were painless and 5 patients (10.9%) experienced mild pain in the second group (midazolam + fentanyl). Forty minutes after taking the drug, 43 patients (91.5%) experienced severe pain and 2 patients (4.3%) were painless in the first group. However, 30 patients (65.2%) patients were painless and 10 patients (21.7%) experienced mild pain in the second group. One hour after taking the drug, 44 patients (93.6%) experienced severe pain and 2 patients (4.3%) were painless in the first group. However, 39 patients (84.8%) were painless and 4 patients (8.7%) experienced mild pain in the second group. Two hours after taking the drug, 37 patients (78.7%) experienced severe pain and 6 patients (12.8%) experienced moderate pain in the first group. However, 25 patients (54.3%) were painless and 12 patients (26.1%) experienced mild pain in the second group (Table 3).

r		Tentanyi	• •	1	
		Fentanyl + midazolam (n=46)		pregabalin + fentanyl (n=47)	
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Before drug	Painless	27	58.7%	35	74.5%
administration	Mild pain	15	32.6%	11	23.4%
	Moderate	3	6.5%	1	2.1%
	pain				
	Severe pain	1	2.2%	0	0.0%
After 20min	Painless	38	82.6%	2	4.3%
	Mild pain	5	10.9%	2	4.3%
	Moderate	3	6.5%	0	0.0%
	pain				
	Severe pain	0	0.0%	43	91.5%
After forty	Painless	30	65.2%	2	4.3%
minutes	Mild pain	10	21.7%	1	2.1%
	Moderate	4	8.7%	1	2.1%
	pain				
	Severe pain	2	4.3%	43	91.5%
After one hour	Painless	39	84.8%	2	4.3%
	Mild pain	4	8.7%	1	2.1%

Table 3. Frequency of severity of pain in ESWL in pergabalin + fentanyl and midazolam + fentanyl groups

	Moderate	2	4.3%	0	0.0%
	pain				
	Severe pain	1	2.2%	44	93.6%
After two	Painless	25	54.3%	4	8.5%
hours	Mild pain	12	26.1%	0	0.0%
	Moderate	5	10.9%	6	12.8%
	pain				
	Severe pain	4	8.7%	37	78.7%

DISCUSSION

ESWL is the first line treatment for the patients with upper urinary tract stones. The patients treated with ESWL still complain about their pain despite invention of new generation of ESWL. Therefore, is necessary to use analgesics to relieve pain in the patients more easily. Simple painkillers, opioids and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are the most important drugs used so far. However, the effectiveness of these drugs is relative. No certain guideline is also given for prescription of these drugs (22). The results of this study showed that combination of midazolam and fentanyl is more effective in reducing the severity of pain in the patients undergoing ESWL than pregabalin-fentanyl combination. The results of this study are consistent with the results of other studies. Some of these studies are mentioned here. For example, Zeyneloglu et al. (2008) also aimed to compare analgesic and soothing effect of midazolam-fentanyl combination and dexmedetomidine in the patients undergoing ESWL. Most of the patients in the control (midazolam-fentanyl) were more satisfied with their medication, more relieved and painless than the intervention group (dexmedetomidine) during the surgery (23). Yang et al. (2002) compared the effect of midazolam-fentanyl and midazolam-ketorolac combinations for controlling pain in the patients undergoing ESWL. They showed that both combinations are effective in pain relief but the combination of midazolam- ketorolac has less side effects (24). Action mode of midazolam-fentanyl combination is interpreted in the below. Fentanyl and midazolam are opiate and sedative drugs. These drugs act on the central nervous system, increase the levels of endorphins and eliminate pain1 in the patients undergoing ESWL (25). Kalni et al. (2016) also compared the effect of midazolam and melatonin in controlling pain in the patients undergoing ESWL. The severity of pain in the patients receiving midazolam is significantly less than those receiving melatonin (26). According to the results of the above-mentioned studies, the combination of midazolam and fentanyl has less side effects and is highly efficient in controlling pain in the patients undergoing ESWL. It can also be used for pain relief in outpatient ESWL. Some evidence suggests that the patients receiving GABA analogs (e.g. pregabalin and gabapentin) require less painkillers during the operation and these analogs relieve pain for a longer time. However, the results of the present study showed that pregabalin in combination with fentanyl does not reduce the severity of pain in the patients undergoing ESWL. Radmehr et al. (2017) also compare the analgesic effect of pregabalin and melatonin in the patients undergoing ESWL. The severity of pain increased during and after the operation in the patients given placebo, melatonin, and pregabalin. No significant difference was found between the three groups (27). Therefore, GABA analogs alone are not enough to relieve pain in patients. It is recommended to use different doses of this combination to achieve different results. On the other hand, the number of patients with higher than average pain in the midazolam-fentanyl group was less than the pregabalin-fentanyl group. In other words, the patients receiving midazolam-fentanyl experienced less pain than the group receiving the combination of pregabalin and fentanyl. Mehrabi et al. (2011) also aimed to compare the efficacy and side effects of fentanyl opiate drug and pethidine and midazolam combination for controlling pain during ESWL. They showed that more people experienced higher than average severity of pain in the pethidine-midazolam group compared to the fentanyl group (25).

CONCLUSION

The results of this study showed that less people experienced higher than average severity of pain in the group receiving midazolam-fentanyl compared to the group receiving pregabalin-fentanyl. It can be deduced that fentanyl in combination with midazolam has a greater efficacy in controlling pain in the patients undergoing ESWL. Therefore, it is recommended to use this combination to control pain in outpatients ESWL.

REFERENCES

- 1. Chiras DD. Bu- Human Biology. Sudbury: Jones& Bartlett Publishers, Incorporated; 2007.
- McDougal WS, Wein AJ, Kavoussi LR, Novick AC, Partin AW, Peters CA, et al. Campbell-Walsh Urology. 9 ed. Philadelphia: Saunders elsevier; 2007. 1363-1365.

- Lingeman JE, Matlga BR, Evan AP.Surgical management of upper urinary tract calculi. In: 9th ed.Wein AJ, Kavoussi LR, Novick AC, et al., editors. Campbell- Walsh Urology, Vol2. Philadelphia, Pa: Saunders Elsevier; 2007:1431–1506.
- Apfelbaum JL, Chen C, Mehta SS, Gan TJ. Postoperative pain experience: results from a national survey suggest postoperative pain continues to be undermanaged. Anesth Analg 2003;97:534-40.
- D. B. Allman, D. M. Richlin, M. Ruttenberg, and J. R. J. Sotolongo, "Analgesia in anesthesia-free extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy: a standardized protocol," Journal of Urology, vol. 146, no. 3, pp. 718–720, 1991.
- H. Basar, E. Yilmaz, S. Ozcan et al., "Four analgesic techniques for shockwave lithotripsy: eutectic mixture local anesthetic is a good alternative," Journal of Endourology, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 3–6, 2003.
- 7. N. P. Gupta and A. Kumar, "Analgesia for pain control during extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy: current status," Indian Journal of Urology, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 155–158, 2008.
- J. T. Berwin, T. El-Husseiny, A. G. Papatsoris, T. Hajdinjak, J. Masood, and N. Buchholz, "Pain in extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy," Urological Research, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 51–53, 2009.
- A. S. Salinas, J. Lorenzo-Romero, M. Segura et al., "Factors determining analgesic and sedative drug requirements during extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy," Urologia Internationalis, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 92–101, 1999.
- M. Vergnolles, H. Wallerand, F. Gadrat et al., "Predictive risk factors for pain during extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy," Journal of Endourology, vol. 23, no. 12, pp. 2021– 2027, 2009.
- Drach GW, Dretler S, Fair W, Finalcoyson B, Gillenwader J, Griffith D, et al. Report of the United States cooperative study of extracorporeal shock wave Lithotrips. J Urol. 1986; 135(6): 1127-31.
- 12. Kamihira O, Ono Y, Katoh N, Yamada S, Mizutani K, Ohshima S. Long term stone recurrence rate after ESWL. J Urol. 1996 Oct; 156(4): 1267-71.
- Y. Y. Chia, "Prospective and randomized trial of intravenous tenoxicam versus fentanyl and tramadol for analgesia in outpatient extracorporeal lithotripsy," Acta Anaesthesiologica Sinica, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 17–22, 1998.
- J. A. Alhashemi and A. M. Kaki, "Anesthesiologist-controlled versus patient-controlled propofol sedation for shockwave lithotripsy," Canadian Journal of Anesthesia, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 449–455, 2006.

- 15. Z. Gesztesi, M. M. Rego, and P. F. White, "The comparative effectiveness of fentanyl and its newer analogs during extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy under monitored anesthesia care," Anesthesia and Analgesia, vol. 90, no. 3, pp. 567–570, 2000.
- 16. J. Zommick, R. Leveillee, A. Zabbo, L. Colasanto, and D. Barrette, "Comparison of general anesthesia and intravenous sedation-analgesia for SWL," Journal of Endourology, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 489–491, 1996.
- 17. maze m, Tranquilli w, Alph 2 adreoneceptor antagonist : difinding the role in clinical anesthesia . Anesthesiology , 1991 ; :581 _605 .
- Greff M . Colorectal cancer screening in France : guidelines and professional reality . Endoscopy 1999 ; 31:471 .
- 19. Ben-Menachem E. Pregabalin pharmacology and its relevance to clinical practice. Epilepsia 2004;45 Suppl 6:13-8.
- 20. Feltner DE, Crockatt JG, Dubovsky SJ, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled, fixed-dose, multicenter study of pregabalin in patients with generalized anxiety disorder. J Clin Psychopharmacol 2003;23:240-9.
- Pande AC, Feltner DE, Jefferson JW, et al. Efficacy of the novel anxiolytic pregabalin in social anxiety disorder: a placebo-controlled, multicenter study. J Clin Psychopharmacol2004;24:141-9.
- 22. Aboumarzouk M, Hasan R, Tasleem A, et al. Analgesia for patients undergoing shockwave lithotripsy for urinary stones a systematic review and meta-analysis. Vol. 43 (3): 394-406, May June, 2017
- 23. Zeyneloglu P, Pirat A, Candan S, Kuyumcu S, Tekin I, Arslan G. Dexmedetomidine causes prolonged recovery when compared with midazolam/fentanyl combination in outpatient shock wave lithotripsy. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2008 Dec;25(12):961-7. doi: 10.1017/S0265021508004699. Epub 2008 Jun 9.
- 24. Yang CP, Cherng CH, Wong CS, Ho ST. Effects of intravenous ketorolac and fentanyl combined with midazolam on analgesia and side effects during extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy. ActaAnaesthesiol Sin. 2002 Mar;40(1):9-12.
- 25. Mehrabi S, Karimzadeh-Shirazi K, Hadinia A. [Comparison of fentanyl and combination of pethedine and midazolam for pain control during extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy. J Shahrekord Univ Med Sci 2011, Aug, Sept; 13(3): 70-76.[Persian]
- 26. Radmehr M, Ghanei M, Rastegarian A, Kalani N. A comparative study on the effect of midazolam or melatonin in controlling the pain in extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy (eswl). J. Fundam. Appl. Sci., 2016, 8(2S), 1563-1571.

27. Sadegh M.S, Damshenas M. H, Hosseini Y. Radmehr M.Mortezaie M, Behzadnia A . Comparison of analgesic effect of pregabalin and melatonin in patients undergoing shock wave lithotripsy: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study . J. Fundam. Appl. Sci., 2017, 9(2), 1207-1213.

How to cite this article:

Inaloo R, Yousefi RA, Sepidkar AA, Safaei Saruei M, Bemana G, Radmehr M.Comparison of analgesic effect of pregabalin-fentanyl and midazolam-fentanyl combinations on the severity of pain in the patients undergoing extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy: a double-blind clinical trial. J. Fundam. Appl. Sci., 2017, *9*(*7S*), *1078-1088*.