

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/star.v5i1.4>

ISSN: 2226-7522 (Print) and 2305-3372 (Online)

Science, Technology and Arts Research Journal

Sci. Technol. Arts Res. J., Jan-March 2016, 5(1): 27-34

Journal Homepage: <http://www.starjournal.org/>

Original Research

Effects of Different Proportions of Dried Cafeteria Leftover Inclusion in a Concentrate Mix on Performance of Growing Pigs

Tesfaye Amene¹, Mengistu Urge², Mitiku Eshetu² and Diriba Diba³

¹Department of Animal and Range Sciences, College of Agriculture and Natural Resource Management, Mada Walabu University, P.O. Box: 247, Bale Robe, Ethiopia

²School of Animal and Range Sciences, College of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, Haramaya University, P.O. Box: 138, Dire-Dawa, Ethiopia

³Department of Animal Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Wollega University, P.O. Box: 395, Nekemte, Ethiopia

Abstract	Article Information
<p>An experiment was conducted to evaluate the effect of inclusion of dried Cafeteria leftover (DCLO) at various levels in concentrate mix (CM) on feed intake, digestibility, average daily gain (ADG), feed conversion ratio (FCR), carcass characteristics, and economic benefits of weaned pigs at Haramaya university piggery. Twenty Yorkshire pigs with initial live weight of 19.89±0.297kg (mean±SE) were randomly assigned to four dietary treatments in a completely randomized block design each with five replicates. The experimental rations were sole CM (mixture of maize grain, wheat short, wheat bran, noug seed cake, soybean mill, vitamin premix and salt), replacement of the concentrate with DCLO at different proportions as (33%CM and 67% DCLO; 67% CM and 33% DCLO) and feeding with only sole DCLO. The mean feed intake ranged 1.6-1.82kg/day and ADG was 0.4-0.64kg/day. The least feed intake was for sole DCLO ($P<0.05$) and the highest for 67% CM:33%DCLO. The FCR (w/w) was lowest ($P<0.05$) for pigs fed 33% DCLO diet, and pigs fed 67% DCLO:33%CM achieved highest FCR. Crude protein digestibility did not differ between treatments, but DM, EE and CF digestibility increased with increasing level of DCLO in the diets. The mean carcass weight (43.7kg-57.02kg) was highest in 67% CM but lowest in sole DCLO. Back fat thickness (2.4cm-3.2cm (SEM=±0.03)) was lowest in sole CM but highest in sole DCLO. The highest and lowest ($P<0.05$) rib eye area (23.9 cm²-31.9cm² (SEM=±0.81)) were recorded for sole CM and sole DCLO, respectively. Cost of feed per kg weight gain was declined significantly ($P<0.01$) with increasing level of DCLO. Despite the lower production cost of sole DCLO groups, the pigs showed lower performance and this must have contributed to lowest net return of the groups. Thus, it is concluded that DCLO can replace the conventional concentrate mix up to 67% without adverse effect on pig performance.</p>	<p>Article History: Received : 02-10-2016 Revised : 24-12-2016 Accepted : 26-12-2016</p> <p>Keywords: Carcass Digestibility Live Weight Gain Nutritive Value Profitability</p> <p>*Corresponding Author: Tesfaye Amene E-mail: tesfuam@gmail.com</p>
Copyright©2016 STAR Journal, Wollega University. All Rights Reserved.	

INTRODUCTION

Attaining and sustaining food security are among the major concerns of world leaders across the globe. In the year 2013, about 842 million people in the world were unable to meet their nutritional requirements (FAO *et al.*, 2013). Governmental programs, policies and projects are therefore aimed at ensuring regular food supply (especially those with high biological values like animal protein), local availability of food stuffs, food safety, affordability and accessibility. These goals have mounted pressure on livestock industries in devising means of increasing productivity and as well meeting the consumers' preference. Based on this demands, there has been a rise in the production of foods of animal origin, particularly from poultry and pigs in the world. In this regard, poultry accounts for about 34 percent and pork more than 40 percent share of the global meat protein market (FAOSTAT, 2012).

The world's tendency to increase pig production as a protein source of high quality has been promoted more in developing countries, which is necessitated by factors such as population increases, more demand for meat and increased consumption as rates of poverty decline (FAO, 2013). Nevertheless, increased animal production is accompanied by increased demands for feeds, particularly for ingredients which have high protein and energy values. This may be, however difficult to achieve under the scenario where population is ever increasing and production of grains per unit area remain low, particularly in developing countries leaving little opportunity of having surplus grains to formulate livestock feeds economically (Christopher *et al.*, 1997). According to FAO (2011), increment of monogastric animal production and the more intensive feeding systems with improved genotypes resulted in relatively greater demand for higher quality concentrate feeds. Thus, availability and

supply of grains and protein foodstuffs is likely to become more limited (Close, 1993; Amaefule *et al.*, 2006).

One of the options to cope up with this problem is to partially replace the conventional concentrate feeds by cafeteria leftovers. With new technology, waste along the human food supply chain could be used as a partial substitute for cereal in animal feed (FAO, 2011). Apart from its importance in animal feed, it also supports a growing green economy and greatly reduces pressures on biodiversity, environments and water resources, a truly 'win-win' solution (FAO, 2011). In accordance, studies by Luu *et al.* (2003) have shown that good economic return in pig production can be achieved by use of local feed resources instead of commercial concentrates. The use of by-products from agricultural, industrial processing and left over, such as cafeteria left over (Luu *et al.*, 2000) is a good alternative which can help improve producers' economic returns. The use of food wastes as animal feed is an alternative of high interest since it produces an environmental and public benefit besides reducing the cost of animal production (Westendorf *et al.*, 1998; Myer *et al.*, 1999; Westendorf, 2000).

Although, the chemical composition of food leftover is variable, food wastes are generally high in fat and moderately high in protein and ash (Korneygay *et al.*, 1970; Myer *et al.*, 1999). The digestibility of nutrients in food wasted is generally not poor. Westendorf and Dong (1997) reported high protein digestibility in food waste diet than in a corn-soybean meal diet (88.2% vs. 84.3%). Likewise, Myer *et al.* (1999) reported moderately higher protein, digestibility and available lysine in dried food waste relative to soybean meal, which is known to be excellent for these nutrients. Food leftovers are widely available in higher learning institutions and colleges' cafeteria, private restaurants and hotels and private cafeteria in Ethiopia. However, information on the use of the mixture of cafeteria leftover with concentrate as swine ration is generally scant and it is not studied under Ethiopian condition to make recommendation for use by producers. Thus, this experiment was conducted to investigate the effect of dried cafeteria leftover inclusion in conventional concentrate mixture on growing pig performance and economic benefits.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Description of the Study Area

The experiment was conducted at Haramaya University pig farm which is located at 42° 3' E longitudes, 9° 26' N latitude, altitude of 1980 m.a.s.l and a distance of 515 km east of Addis Ababa. The mean annual rainfall of the area amounts to 780 mm and the average minimum and maximum temperatures are 8.5 and 23.4 °C, respectively (Mishra *et al.*, 2004).

Experimental Animals and their Management

Twenty healthy growing Yorkshire pigs with an average initial body weight of 19.89±0.297g (Mean ±SE) were selected from the University piggery. All animals

were dewormed with *Ivermectin* injection, sprayed with *Diazinone* against external parasites and vaccinated against foot and mouth (FMD) disease before starting the experiment. The pigs were housed and handled in an individual pen furnished with feed and water troughs. The pigs were blocked based on their initial body weight into four blocks of five pigs, and each animal within each block were randomly assigned to one of the four dietary treatments. The pigs were individually housed in 1.2mx0.7mx0.96m concrete room. Feeds were provided twice a day at 7:30 AM and 3:30 PM *ad libitum* and water was provided free access. The pigs were weighed individually at the beginning and subsequently every 7 days during the experimental period. Based on their body weight change, the feed offered to individual pig was adjusted to ensure that they obtained feed at a rate of 4% (Okeke, 2007) of their body weight during the subsequent week days. Feed refusals were collected, weighed and recorded every morning at 7:00 AM before offering the next ration. The experiment was conducted for 90 days.

Dietary Treatments

The ingredients used in the rations formulation were maize grain, wheat short, wheat bran, noug seed cake, soybean mill, cafeteria leftover, vitamin premix and salt. The food leftover was taken from Haramaya University students' cafeteria. The wet cafeteria leftover was sun-dried for four consecutive days by sparsely spreading on canvas. It was hand stirred four times a day to enhance better drying and put indoors every evening to minimize reabsorption of moisture. The dried cafeteria leftover was tested weekly to determine whether its moisture content is at the recommended level for safe storage. The moisture content of the cafeteria leftover was 60-65% and 8.9% before and after drying, respectively. After the amount of dried cafeteria left over (DCLO) required for the entire experiment was secured, it was thoroughly mixed and placed in sacks until it was ground and used. The main constituents of the cafeteria left over were the traditional Ethiopian pancake "Enjera" which is made up of a cereal grain "Teff" and bread made of wheat flour.

All the ingredients, except soybean meal, wheat short, wheat bran, and vitamin premix were hummer milled to pass a 3 mm sieve size and made ready for formulation of the experimental rations. Experimental rations are shown in Table 1. Except cafeteria leftover alone, the three treatment rations were formulated on an isonitrogenous basis having 18% crude protein. Ration 1 (T1) contains only mixture of conventional concentrate ingredients. DCLO inclusion was 33% and 67% in rations 2 (T2) and ration 3 (T3) in daily offer, respectively and ration 4 was sole DCLO (100%). Vitamin premix was added equally to rations 1, 2 and 3 while not included in sole DCLO. Salt was added based on the level of DCLO and CM in the ration, and the sole CM, 33% DCLO and 67% DCLO received salt accordingly, but laboratory test showed that 100% DCLO contain the recommended amount of salt and did not require additional salt.

Table 1: Initial ingredients used in the ration formulation of growing pigs

Ingredients	Treatments (% DM)			
	T1	T2	T3	T4
Concentrate mix (%)	100	67	33	-
Dried Cafeteria left over (%)	-	33	67	100
Vitamin premix	0.1	0.1	0.1	-
Salt	0.2	0.1	0.1	-
Total (%)	100	100	100	100

(-) indicates no inclusion of the ingredient

Feed Sampling and Processing

Representative samples of feed offered were collected once per day and pooled by feed type for the entire experimental period. After thorough mixing, the pooled samples were sub-sampled and dried at 60°C to constant weight for chemical analysis. The dried feed samples were finely ground to pass through 1 mm sieve size and stored in an air tight plastic bags pending chemical analysis. Dry matter (DM) content of the feed was determined by drying representative feed samples in a forced draft oven at 105°C overnight.

Feed Intake, Body Weight Change and Feed Conversion Ratio

Daily diets were weighed and recorded while offering to the animals. Feed refusals were collected and weighed to determine daily feed intake. Initial body weight of weaned pigs was recorded on the first day of the experiment and every week in the morning before feed offering during the entire experiment using animal weighing scale. Weekly body weight measurement was partly adjusted for the amount of feed offer. Feed conversion ratio (FCR) was determined by dividing the amount of feed intake day⁻¹ to daily body weight gain of the animal.

Apparent Digestibility

Digestibility trial was conducted at the beginning of the experiment after an adaptation period of 15 days to the experimental pens and diets. The animals were adjusted to carrying of fecal bags for seven days and feces were collected for another seven consecutive days. The feces collected each day per animal were weighed and 15% was sub-sampled and stored frozen at -20 °C, and pooled over the collection period. At the end of the digestion trial, the fecal sample from each animal was thoroughly mixed and 15% composite sample was taken and thawed to room temperature, weighed and dried at 60 °C for 72 hours. The partially dried sample of feces were ground to pass 1mm sieve and stored in airtight polyethylene bag pending for chemical analysis.

Carcass Evaluation

At the end of the feeding trial, four pigs from each treatment were fasted for 12 hours and slaughtered for carcass analysis. Animals were weighed immediately before slaughter. The pigs were laid by grasping the leg or opposite side and rested on its back. An incision of about 2 to 4 inches long was made from the point of the breast bone forward on the exact middle of the neck to exactly harm the heart. As soon as the pig's body bled completely and life was extinct, the blood on the carcass was wiped off and the hair was removed by burning using pressurized fire. After dressing and evisceration, hot carcass weight was taken and recorded to assess dressing percentage on slaughter body weight basis. The offal's were removed after an incision given in the middle of the belly from abdomen to thoracic cavity and cut open. The body cavity was thoroughly washed with cold water. Empty stomach, large intestine, Kidney, heart, lung and liver weight were taken. Kidney fat was measured after detaching the kidney from its covering fat. The carcass length (cm) was measured in the straight line from the cranial (anterior) tip of the aitch bone to the cranial edge of the first rib and next to the vertebra. The back fat thickness was measured as the average of first rib, last rib and last lumber vertebra fat thickness. The rib eye area

measurement was done at 10th and 11th ribs after the carcass was cut perpendicular to the vertebral bone.

Diet Profitability

The partial budget analysis was employed (Upton, 199) to determine the profitability of incorporation of different proportions of DCLO in the diets of pigs. The partial budget analysis involved calculation of the variable costs and benefits. Partial budget analysis was used to measure the pig, feed and labor costs and the profit after the experiment, or differences between gains and losses for the proposed change. The net income (NI) was calculated by subtracting total variable cost (TVC) from total return (TR) and calculated as NI=TR-TVC.

The change in net income (Δ NI) was calculated as the difference between the changes in total variable cost (Δ TVC), and is calculated as follows.

$$\Delta NI = \Delta TR - \Delta TVC$$

The marginal rate of return (MRR) measured the increase in net income (Δ NI) associated with each additional unit of expenditure (Δ TVC)

$$MRR = \Delta NI / \Delta TVC$$

Chemical Analysis of Feeds

Feed samples were analyzed for dry matter (DM), ether extract (EE), crude fiber (CF) and ash content according to AOAC (1990). Nitrogen content of the feed was determined using Kjeildhal procedure to calculate crude protein (CP) value as CP= N*6.25. Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer method for Calcium and Colorimetric method for phosphorus determination were used. Metabolizable energy (ME) of the experimental diets was determined by indirect methods, according to Wiseman (1987) as follows:

$$ME \text{ (Kcal/kg DM)} = 3951 + 54.4 \text{ EE} - 88.7 \text{ CF} - 40.8 \text{ Ash}$$

Statistical Analysis

The data collected were analyzed using the general linear model (GLM) procedure of Statistical Analysis System (SAS, 2008) version 9.1.3 software program. When the analysis of variance indicated the existence of significant difference among treatment means, Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) was employed to separate the means at $\alpha=0.05$. The following model was used for the experiment.

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + B_j + e_{ij}$$

Where Y_{ij} = represents the j^{th} observation (experimental unit) taken under treatment i ; μ = over all means; T_i = i^{th} treatment effect; B_j = block effect and e_{ij} = is a random error component that incorporates all other sources of variability in the experiment.

RESULTS

Chemical Analysis and Nutritive Value

The DM content of all ingredients falls within the narrow range of 90-93% (Table 2). The CP content of DCLO was slightly higher than that for maize grain. DCLO has the highest EE content than the other ingredients with the least for wheat short. Noug seed cake has the highest ash and crude fiber among all other ingredients. Ca content was highest in pigs fed DCLO but P is for those consumed soy bean meal.

Table 2: Chemical composition of feed ingredients used in the experimental ration formulation (DM basis)

Chemical Components	Ingredients (%)					
	DCLO	Noug seed Cake	Soya bean Meal	Maize Grain	Wheat Short	Wheat Bran
DM	91.2	92.1	93	90	90	90.5
CP	9	29.6	39.0	8.5	14.7	16
EE	13	8.1	9.2	6.2	3.3	4.2
ASH	7.6	9.1	5.8	5.9	5.5	6.1
CF	3.6	18.3	5.7	2.8	9.9	12.4
Ca	0.8	0.35	0.35	0.02	0.19	0.2
P	0.71	0.32	0.83	0.82	0.78	0.79
ME(Kca/kg)	4028.8	2395.8	3710.95	3798.7	3030.7	2402

DM = dry mater; CP = crude protein; EE = ether extract; CF= crude fiber; Ca = calcium; P = phosphorus; ME = metabolizable energy, kcal = kilocalorie; kg = kilogram.

Feed Intake

The total and average daily feed intake in all treatments consistently increased with increase in proportion of DCLO in the diet (Table 3). Nevertheless, it

was lower (P<0.001) for pigs consumed sole DCLO diet but no difference was observed between pigs fed the other treatment diets. The CP intake (CPI) and CF intake (CFI) was higher for pigs offered CM mixes than DCLO.

Table 3: Nutrient intake of pigs fed ration containing different levels of dried cafeteria leftover

Items	Treatments				SEM	SL
	T1	T2	T3	T4		
ADFI (Kg/day)	1.7 ^a	1.79 ^a	1.8 ^a	1.6 ^b	0.03	***
TFI (kg)	156.5 ^a	161.1 ^a	163.7 ^a	144 ^b	0.31	**
OMI(kg/day)	1.7 ^a	1.73 ^a	1.75 ^a	1.5 ^b	1.35	***
CPI (kg/day)	0.31 ^a	0.31 ^a	0.32 ^a	0.27 ^b	1.42	***
CFI (kg/Day)	0.22 ^a	0.2 ^a	0.14 ^b	0.1 ^c	0.34	****
MEI(Kcal/kg)	3924.6 ^a	3935.52 ^a	3942 ^a	4028.8 ^b	0.08	**

^{abc}Means within the same row bearing different superscripts are significantly different; * = P<0.05, ** = P<0.01, ***=P<0.001, ****=P<0.0001; SEM = standard error of mean; SL = significant level; ns= non-significant; ADFI = average daily feed intake; TFI = total feed intake; CPI= crude protein intake; CFI= crude fiber intake; ADG = average daily gain; T1=sole concentrate mix; T2=33% DCLO:67% CM; T3=67% DCLO:33CM and T4=100% DCLO; CM= concentrate mix.

Nutrient Digestibility

The digestibility of CP is not affected by levels of DCLO inclusion in the ration but that of the DM, CF and

EE increased with increasing DCLO levels in the dietary mix.

Table 4: Nutrients digestibility in pigs fed ration containing different levels of DCLO

Parameters	Treatments				SEM	SL
	T1	T2	T3	T4		
DM	85.8 ^b	86.3 ^b	89.3 ^a	91.7 ^a	0.38	**
CP	87.4	87.82	87.0	88.6	0.34	ns
CF	50.6 ^d	54.4 ^c	60.8 ^b	67.6 ^a	1.51	**
EE	61.7 ^d	75.4 ^c	86.5 ^b	90.2 ^a	2.48	***

^{ab}Means within the same row bearing different superscripts are significantly different; * = P<0.05; ** = P< 0.01; ***= P< 0.001; ns = not significant; DM = dry matter; CP = crude protein; EE = ether extract; CF = crude fiber; DCLO = dried cafeteria leftover; SL= significant level; SE = standard error of mean; T1=sole concentrate mix; T2=33% DCLO:67% CM; T3=67% DCLO:33%CM and T4=100% DCLO.

Body Weight Change and Feed Conversion Ratio

The total and average daily body weight gain (ADG) of pigs was positive for all treatments (Table 5). The final weight, TBW gain and average daily gain (ADG) of the

pigs were increased with increase in levels of DCLO in the dietary mixture except for sole DCLO diet. The FCR was in reverse trend with ADG of the pigs.

Table 5: Body weight change and feed conversion ratio in pigs fed ration containing different levels of DCLO

Items	Treatments				SEM	SL
	T1	T2	T3	T4		
Initial weight (kg)	19.8	19.9	19.9	19.9	1.13	ns
Final weight (kg)	68.3 ^b	70.0 ^b	77.4 ^a	60.0 ^c	1.68	***
TBW gain (kg)	48.4 ^b	50.1 ^b	57.3 ^a	40.0 ^c	1.32	***
ADG (Kg/day)	0.54 ^b	0.56 ^b	0.64 ^a	0.40 ^c	0.01	**
FCR (Feed/Gain)	3.3 ^b	3.2 ^b	2.86 ^a	4 ^c	0.05	*

Carcass Characteristics

Feeding pigs with ration containing 67% CM and 33% DCLO significantly improved ($P<0.01$) carcass weight and carcass length (Tables 6) above all other treatments. Dressing percentage ($P<0.01$) and backfat thickness ($p<0.05$) were consistently increased with increase in

DCLO levels in the diet. However, the results for rib-eye-area ($p<0.01$) showed the opposite trend. Pigs consumed 67% DCLO and sole DCLO rations had lower weights of empty stomach ($P<0.01$), heart ($P<0.05$) and large intestine ($P<0.01$) than the other groups.

Table 6: Carcass characteristics of pigs fed ration containing different levels of DCLO

Variables	Treatments				SEM	SL
	T1	T2	T3	T4		
Carcass Weight (kg)	48.4 ^{bc}	50.4 ^b	57 ^a	43.7 ^c	1.38	**
Carcass length (cm)	70.2 ^a	71.2 ^a	71.8 ^a	66.9 ^b	1.42	*
Dressing Percentage	68.1 ^b	68.9 ^b	73 ^a	73.9 ^a	0.55	**
Back Fat Thickness (cm)	2.4 ^b	2.46 ^b	2.5 ^b	3.2 ^a	0.03	*
Rib eye area (cm ²)	31.9 ^a	31.4 ^a	29.6 ^a	23.9 ^b	0.81	**
Empty stomach (kg)	2.3 ^a	2.1 ^a	1.8 ^b	1.4 ^c	2.5	**
Large intestine (kg)	2.1 ^a	2 ^a	1.6 ^b	1.3 ^c	1.61	**
Kidney Fat (g)	65 ^b	68 ^{ab}	70 ^a	71.2 ^a	1.1	*
Liver Weight (g)	1060 ^c	1130 ^c	1230 ^b	1380 ^a	3.77	**
Heart Weight (g)	326 ^a	322.2 ^a	310 ^b	294 ^c	3.65	*
Kidney Weight (g)	344	339	341	338	4.7	ns
Lung Weight (g)	982	978	979.6	980.5	11.63	ns

^{abc}Means within the same row bearing different superscripts are significantly different; * = $P<0.05$, ** = $P<0.01$; SEM = standard error of mean; SL = significant level; CM= concentrate mix alone; ns = not significant; DCLO= Dried cafeteria leftover alone; T1=sole concentrate mix; T2=33% DCLO:67% CM; T3=67% DCLO:33%CM and T4=100% DCLO.

Profitability Analysis

Ration containing different levels of DCLO was economically feasible than the concentrate mix in feed cost/kg per pig (Table 7). Pigs fed sole CM had the lowest

net return compared to the other ration combinations and the economic return was more promising for pig fed 67% DCLO containing ration.

Table 7: Economics of pigs fed ration containing different levels of dried cafeteria leftover

Variables	Treatments			
	T1	T2	T3	T4
Pigs Cost (birr/pig)	794	796	794.8	798
Total Feed Consumed (kg/pig)	179	174	182	160
Total Feed Cost (birr/pig)	970.2	794.8	510.3	50
Transport Cost (birr/pig)	120	70	36	20
Average Carcass Weight (kg/pig)	50.42	48.39	59.02	38.7
Price/kg of Carcass (at HU, birr/kg)	50	50	50	50
Labor Cost (birr/pig)	0	50	100	200
Total feed cost (birr) (TVC)	1090.2	914.8	646.3	430
Gross income (birr/pig)	2521	2419.5	2951	1935
Total returns (birr/ pig) (NI)	1727	1623.5	2156.1	1137
Net return (birr/Pig)	636.8	708.7	1509.8	707
Change in total return (Δ TR)	-	103.5	429.1	590
Change in net income (Δ NI)	-	-71.9	-873	-70.2
Change in total variable cost (Δ TVC)	-	175.4	443.9	660.2
MRR (Δ NI/ Δ TVC)	-	-41	-196.7	-10.6

TVC = total variable cost; MRR = marginal rate of return; HU = Haramaya university; CM= concentrate mix alone; 33%DCLO = concentrate mix (67%) + dried cafeteria leftover (33%); 67%DCLO% = concentrate mix (34%) + dried cafeteria leftover (66%); T4 = dried cafeteria leftover alone (100%); T = Treatment.

DISCUSSION

Chemical Composition of Feed Stuff

The DM content of DCLO (91.2%) compared to the average of the DM contents of the concentrate mixes (91.12%) in the present study indicates comparable feed intake of the animals if offered the same weight of fresh matter. This was indicated by non-significant variations in TFI values among T1, T2 and T3. But, the CP value for sole DCLO is lower than a threshold required for optimum growth performance of swine (NRC, 1998) when used as a sole feed. NRC (1998) indicates that growing pigs require 17.2 to 20.0% CP in the diet intake/day depending

upon body size. The CP was also lower than the value, 15 to 23%, reported by Westendorf *et al.* (1996) and 20-28% reported by Westendorf *et al.* (2000) for DCLO which is an attribute of the difference in the composition of the food waste used. The lower value of CP below the NRC (1998) standards and most reports from other studies indicates that DCLO in the current study needs supplementation with other diets of higher CP content. The higher ash content for DCLO in the present study could be due to addition of common salt during food cooking. In similar way, the highest EE in DCLO of the present study, as compared to the average value for other concentrate mixes, could be from the oils and /or butter added during

food preparation in the cafeteria. Fat content of 17 to 24% and ash content of 3 to 6% were also reported in previous studies (Pond and Maner, 1984; Ferris *et al.*, 1995; Westendorf *et al.*, 1996).

Feed Intake

Absence of significant difference in feed intake among the groups fed with sole CM, 33% DCLO and 67% DCLO rations imply that feed intake was not hampered by the current levels of DCLO inclusion. Rather it was affected by levels of CM inclusion in the dietary mix. The higher organic matter intake (OMI) in pigs consumed CM compared to those fed DCLO is most likely due to less energy and/or nutrient concentration of the former compared to the later (McDonald *et al* 2007). On the other hand, since the CF content is higher in CM compared to DCLO, the intake is expected to be lower. However, it must be the microbes residing in the large intestine of pigs that assisted digestibility of fiber in CM so that intake was higher for pigs consumed CM (Lindberg, 2014). Such higher intake of fiber might have led to lower passage rate which ultimately resulted in lower digestibility in these groups (Klinger *et al* 2006). The digestibility of diets determine feed intake in growing pigs since it is highly correlated with the volume of digesta which in turn exerts an effect on gut filling capacity as well as the appetite (Whittemore, 1993; Noblet and LeGoff, 2001). On the other hand, dietary amino acid imbalance normally leads to marked reductions in both feed intake and growth rate in animals (D'Mello, 2003). Kyriazakis *et al.* (1990) reported growing pigs chose to eat more of a diet with a protein:energy ratio closer to the optimal for growth than one with a lower ratio. Increased viscosity in the small intestine might slow gut transit time due to suppressed intestinal contractions (Cherbut *et al.*, 1990) which in turn leads to less mixing of dietary components with endogenous digestive enzymes affecting feed intake.

Digestibility

The increased DM digestibility with increase in levels of DCLO inclusion in the diets of pigs could be due to the existence of more soluble components in the later. In addition, since the later is cafeteria leftover, there was a chance for the food to be exposed to heat treatment during cooking and such kind of heat treatment increases digestibility of food (Almeida *et al* 2014). Absence of variation in crude protein digestibility among dietary treatments might be due in part to the influence of the proportion of the mix in the diets. The result is not congruent with the finding of Rivas *et al.* (1994) who noted decreased crude protein digestibility as the proportion of restaurant waste increased in the diet. The difference might be emanated due to differences between food leftover obtained at different time and variable nature of food waste. Digestibility of CF consistently increased from sole CM to sole DCLO. This could be due to higher intake of CF that consequently resulted in lower digestibility (Longe and Fagbenro-Byron, 1990) in pigs fed concentrate mixes. Digestibility of crude fiber is of a concern in swine diets because its poor digestibility can reduce the apparent digestibility of other dietary components such as protein (Myer *et al.*, 1997). The digestibility of EE in sole DCLO fed pigs might be due to the lower level of CF and oil form of the lipid in DCLO added during cooking implying that increased level of DCLO in the ration increased EE digestibility. Higher levels of EE in the present study must have also contributed to higher DM digestibility above. In previous

studies, Rivas *et al.* (1994) and Westendorf (1998) noted superior digestibility of food waste EE as compared to the corn-soybean diet.

Body Weight Change and Feed Conversion Ratio

The lack of difference in ADG between pigs in 33% DCLO and the sole CM implies the nutrient contents in these dietary treatments elicit similar body weight gain. Highest weight gain in group fed with the ration containing 67% DCLO can be attributed to the high energy content of DCLO and balanced nutrient as a result of the proportion between DCLO and CM at this level. The lower growth performance of pigs fed sole DCLO diet, while the energy content of the diet they consumed is highest, is an attribute of the lower crude protein contents and consequently to the deficiency of essential amino acids. Desehibwa (1999) also reported slow growth of pigs fed with diets of low CP. Chao *et al.* (2008) noted that market weight is delayed by 35 days in pigs fed fermented food waste. Energy density (NCR, 1998) and balances for specific nutrient groups such as carbohydrates, fat, and protein (Revell and Williams, 1993) are known to influence voluntary feed intake, which in turn affect the growth performance of pigs.

Carcass Parameters

The reason for inferior performance of pigs fed sole DCLO in carcass weight compared to those fed CM was that sole DCLO and 33% DCLO has higher CF than 67% DCLO which affect intake and thereby body weight gain and subsequently reflected on carcass parameters. This result agrees with Chae *et al.*, (2000) who reported improved feed quality through mixing with protein and energy source diets which improved digestibility and body weight gain. The feeding level, pattern and protein: energy ratio of the diet, together with the genetic growth potential of pigs determine the growth rate and composition of weight gain at both whole-body and muscle level and intern affect carcass weight (Lebret, 2008; Merck, 2008). The lower dressing percentage in pigs fed sole CM than pigs in 67% DCLO and sole DCLO ($P<0.01$) was probably due to the increased weight of visceral organs, gastrointestinal tract and digesta, which was also noted in other studies where pigs were fed with high-fiber diets (Jorgensen *et al.*, 1996; Qin *et al.*, 2002). Pigs consumed sole DCLO has lower hot carcass weight than other groups which was attributed to low final body weight, which was the result of low nutrient contents of the diet and moderately high ash contents.

The highest backfat thickness in pigs fed sole DCLO was probably due to higher EE composition (Margareth *et al.*, 1999) of the ration compared to the rest dietary treatments. This can also be explained as the sole DCLO ration has higher energy and lower protein contents as compared to other dietary treatments. This has negative impact on loin eye area when protein is below the recommended level. It is also reported by other authors that the effects of dietary energy on back fat thickness have been shown to be variable. In one of the study by Beaulieu *et al.* (2009) increased energy did not influence back fat thickness, but in another experiment, back fat thickness increased and loin area declined as dietary energy increased, which is similar to the present study. Peterson (1967) and Myer *et al.* (1999) found that food waste did not affect carcass parameter when nutrient requirement is met. Peterson (1967) found no differences in dressing percentage and loin-eye area among pigs fed

food waste, food waste plus supplement, or concentrate diets having balanced nutrients. Apple *et al.*, (2004) and Lawrence *et al.*, (1994) also observed increased back fat thickness with increasing dietary energy. The effect of reduced CP concentration in the ration on carcass fatness is in agreement with Kay and Lee (1998). They reported increased level of back fat deposition in growing-finished pigs as protein level is reduced.

The higher empty stomach and large intestine values for the pigs fed conventional concentrate mix (sole CM) could be attributed to the higher crude fiber level. Shurson (2003) reported significant changes in the mass of the gastrointestinal tract and other intestinal organs as a result of feeding diets based on 5% wheat bran, as a source of high insoluble fibre to pigs. The increase in the stomach and large intestine weights for concentrate mix diets alone observed in the current study is in line with the finding of Shurson *et al.* (2003) and Chesson (1995). But, absence of difference in lung weight and kidney weight between pigs fed all dietary treatment indicates that the changes of these organs are not directly related to fiber content of dietary treatments. If feed offered to animal has optimum nutrient for better animal performance, feed has no effect on carcass length, this could have been entirely dependent on the breed (Fisher and Boorman, 2003).

Economic Analysis

Despite the fact that sole DCLO is the cheapest diet it failed to promote optimum growth of the pigs to their genetic potential implying that its use as a sole diet cannot be justifiable. On the contrary, performance of pigs consumed 67% DCLO validate the importance of using DCLO in combination with other feed ingredients and it has good nutritive value for growing pigs when used as a replacement to conventional concentrates at even high level such as used in the present study.

The control treatment had the lowest net return (636.8 Birr/pig; One US Dollar is currently equivalent to about 21.4 Birr) compared to the ration containing DCLO, which was in the range of 707-1483.57 Birr. The result was even more promising for pig fed 67% DCLO ration with the highest net return of 1509.8 Birr. This might be associated with the highest body weight gain and highest feed conversion efficiency. This result was coincided with Westendorf *et al.* (1998) who concluded that growing or finishing pigs fed food waste performed nearly as good as pigs fed a traditional diet when the food waste was supplemented with corn. The MRR implies that each additional unit of 1 Birr per pig cost increment resulted in 1 Birr and additional 0.41, 1.96 and 1.06 Birr/pig profit for 33% DCLO, 67% DCLO and sole DCLO, respectively. The overall results of the present experiment show that replacement of concentrate mix by 67% DCLO can be used for formulation of least-cost swine ration without adverse effect on the overall pig performance.

CONCLUSIONS

This experiment demonstrated that inclusion of different levels of dried cafeteria left over in concentrate mix bring change on feed intake, feed conversion efficiency, body weight gain, nutrient digestibility, carcass parameters and economic benefit between treatments. Pigs fed on 67% DCLO diet improved these parameters as compared to pigs fed sole CM. Thus, it can be concluded that inclusion of DCLO in CM ration up to 67% DCLO would be a better feeding strategy to improve the performance of Yorkshire pigs.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

REFERENCES

- Almeida, F.N., Htoo, J.K., Thomson, J., Stein, H.H. (2014). Effects of heat treatment on the apparent and standardized ileal digestibility of amino acids in canola meal fed to growing pigs. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 187: 44-52
- Amefulu, K.U., Ibe, S.N., Abasiokong S.F., Onwudike, C. (2006). Response of weaner pigs to diets of different proportions and high levels of palm kernel meal and brewers dried grain in the humid tropics. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition* 5: 461-466.
- AOAC. (1990). Official methods of Analysis. AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists), Ed. Washington, DC, pp. 69-88.
- Apple, J.K., Maxwell, C.V., Brown, D.C., Friesen, K.G., Musser, R.E., Johnson, Z.B., Armstrong, T.A. (2004). Effects of dietary Lysine & energy density on performance & carcass characteristics of finishing pigs fed ractopamine. *Journal of Animal Science* 82(11): 3277- 3287.
- Beaulieu, A.D., Williams, N.H., Patience, J.F. (2009). Response to dietary digestible energy Concentration in growing pigs fed cereal grain –based diets. *Journal of Animal Science* 87(3): 965-976.
- Chae, B.J., Choi, S.C., Kim, Y.G., Kim, C.H., Sohn, K.S. (2000). Effects of feeding dried food waste on growth and nutrient digestibility in growing-finishing pigs. *Asian-Austral. Journal of Animal Science* 13(9): 1304-1308.
- Chao, H.Y. and Li, F.C. (2008). Effect of level of fibre on performance and digestion traits in growing pigs. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 144:279-291
- Chesson, A. (1995). Dietary Fiber. In A. M. Stephen, & I. O'Dea (Eds.), *Food Polysaccharides and their Application* (pp. 547-576). Marcel Dekker. New York.
- Cherbut, C.E., Albina, M., Champ, J.L., Doublier, and Lecannu, G. (1990). Action of guar gums on the viscosity of digestive contents and on the gastrointestinal motor function in pigs. *Digestion* 46: 205-213.
- Christopher, D., Pierre, C. and Claude, C. (1997). The Impact of Livestock and Fisheries on Food Availability and Demand in 2020. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 79 (5): 1471-1475.
- Close, W.H. (1993). Fibrous diets for pigs. *Animal Production in Developing Countries* 16: 107-117
- Desehinwa, A. and Ogunmodede B.K. (1999). Swine feeds and practical feed composition techniques, In: N.A.E.R.L.S. Workshop training Manual, Moor Plantation, Ibadan, April 3-7, 1995; Nat. Pig Prod. pp. 27-56.
- D'Mello, J. P. F. (2003). Adverse effects of amino acids. In *Amino Acids in Animal Nutrition*, 2nd Edition (Ed. J. P. F. D'Mello). CAB International, Wallingford, pp. 125-142.
- FAOSTAT (2012). FAOSTAT data. Available at <http://faostat.fao.org/site/291/default.aspx>
- Ferris, D.A., Flores ,R.A., Shanklin, C.W. and Whitworth, M.K. (1995). Proximate analysis of food service wastes. *Applied Engineering in Agriculture* 11: 567-572.
- Fisher, C., Boorman, K.N. (2003). Nutrient Requirement of monogastric animals and Nutritional Research. *Poultry Science Symposium No 19*. pp. 47-51. Butterworth, London.
- Food and agriculture organization (FAO) (2011). Mapping supply and demand for animal- source foods to 2030. Animal production and Health working paper No. 2, FAO, Rome, Italy. <http://www.fao.org/ag/againfo/resources/documents/latest-pubs/al747eoo.pdf> .

- Food and agriculture organization (FAO) (2004). The State of the Food Insecurity in the World 2004 FAO, Rome. Available online at: <ftp://ftp.fao.org/docrep/fao/007/y5650e/y5650e00.pdf>.
- FAO, IFAD and WFP .(2013). The State of Food Insecurity in the World 2013. The multiple dimensions of food security. Rome, FAO. <www.fao.org/docrep/018/i3434e.pdf>
- Noblet, J. and LeGoff, G. (2001). Effect of dietary fibre on the energy value of feeds for pigs. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 90:35-52.
- Jorgensen, H., Zhao, X.Q., Knudsen, K.E.B. and Eggum, B. O. (1996). The influence of dietary fibre source and level on the development of the gastrointestinal tract, digestibility and energy metabolism in broiler chickens. *British Journal of Nutrition* 75: 379-395.
- Klinger, S.A., Block, H.C. and McKinnon, J.J. (2007). Nutrient digestibility, fecal output and eating behavior for different cattle background feeding strategies. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science* 87: 393–399.
- Kornegay, E.T, Vander, Noot, K.M., Barth, G., Garber, W.S., MacGrath, R.L., Gil-breath, and Bielk, F.J. (1970). Nutritive evaluation of garbage as a feed for swine; *Bull no. 829. College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences*, New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, Rutgers
- Kyriazakis, I., Emmans, G.C. and Whittemore, C.T. (1990). Diet selection in pigs: choices made by growing pigs given foods of different protein concentrations. *Animal Production* 51:189-199.
- Lawrence, B.V., Adeola, O. and Cline, T.R. (1994). Nitrogen utilisation and lean growth performance of 20- to 50-kg pigs given diets balanced for lysine: energy ratio. *Journal of Animal Science* 72: 2887-2895.
- Lee, K.H., Lee, S.K. Kim, Y.K., Cha, Y.H. and Chung, W.T. (1998). Chemical composition of dried food waste meal (I). *Kor. J. Anim. Nutr. Feed* 22(2): 87-94.
- Lebret, B. (2008). The response of various muscle types to a restriction realimentation feeding strategy in growing pigs. *Journal of Animal Science*, 2: 849–857.
- Lindberg, J. E. (2014). Fiber effects in nutrition and gut health in pigs. *Lindberg Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology* 5: 15.
- Longe, O.G. and Fagbenro-Byron, V. (1990). Composition and some physical characteristics of some fibrous wastes and by-products for pig feed in Nigeria. *Bietr. Trop. Landarietsch Vet. Med.*, 28:199-205.
- Luu Huu, M., Nguyen, N. D. and Lindberg, J. E. (2003). Effects of replacement of fish meal with rice distiller's waste (hem) on performance and carcass quality of growing pigs. In: Reg Preston and Brian Ogle eds "Sustainable Livestock Production on Local Feed Resources" Proceedings.
- Luu, H. M., Tran C. B., Nguyen, N. X. D. and Bui, P. T. H. (2000). Composition and nutritive value of rice distillers' by-product (hem) for small-holder pig production. Sustainable Livestock Production on Local Feed Resources. *Proceedings National Seminar- Workshop*. UAF, SIDA-SAREC.
- Margareth, M., Kjel-Arne, R. and Anders, S. (1999). High-fat diets improve the performance of growing-finishing pigs. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica Sect. A. Animal Science*. 49:83-88
- McDnald, P., Edwards, R.A., Greenhalgh, G.F.D., Morgan, G.A., Sinclair, L.A., and Wilkinson, R.G.(2007). *Animal Nutrition*, 7th edition. Pp: 341-343, 461-462.
- MERCK and CO., INC. (2008). Whitehouse Station, NJ USA. Published in educational partnership with Merial Ltd.
- Mishra, B. B., Heluf, G., Kibebew, K., Mohammed, A. and Bruk, E .(2004). Soil and land resource inventory at the Alemaya University research farm with reference to land evaluation for sustainable agricultural management and production. In: Heluf G/Kidan, and Mishra, B.B. (eds.). Specific features and management options of soil and land resources of eastern Ethiopian highlands for sustainable agricultural production. Synthesis of working papers. Dire Dawa, Ethiopia: Alemaya University: Soil Science Bulletin, p.1
- Mosenthin, R., Hambrecht, E. and Sauer, W. C. (2001). Utilisation of different fibres in piglet feeds. In: Recent Develop. in Pig Nutrition . Eds. P.C. Gransworthy and J. Wiseman. Nottingham University Press, Nottingham
- Myer, R.O., Brendemuhl, J.H. and Johnson, D.D. (1999). Evaluation of dehydrated restaurant food waste products as feedstuffs for finishing pigs. *Journal of Animal Science* 77: 685-692.
- NRC .(1998). *Nutrient Requirements of Swine. 10th ed.* Natl. Acad Press, Washington, DC.
- Peterson, L.A. (1967). Growth and carcass comparisons of swine fed a concentrate ration, cooked garbage and additional protein, vitamin and mineral supplements. MSc. Thesis Univ. of Connecticut, Storrs
- Pond, W. G. and Maner, J. H. (1984) *Swine Production and Nutrition*. AVI Publishing Co., pp 336-368; Westport
- Qin, G.X., Xu, L.M., Jiang, H.L., Vander, P., Bosch, M.W. and Verstegen, M. W. A. (2002). The effects of Chinese and Argentine soyabeans on nutrient digestibility and organ morphology in Landrace and Chinese Min pigs. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences* 5:555-564.
- Revell, D. K., Williams, I. H. (1993). A review of physiological control and manipulation of voluntary food intake. In: *Manipulating Pig Production (MPP) IV*, ed. E.S. Batterham. APSA, Attwood, Vic., pp. 55-80, Australia
- Rivas, M.E., Brendemuhl, J.H., Johnson, D.D. and Myer, R. O. (1994). Digestibility by Swine and Microbiological Assessment of Dehydrated Edible Restaurant Waste. Res. Rep. Al-1994-3. College of Agriculture. Florida Agricultural Experiment Station. University of Florida, Gainesville
- SAS .(2008). Statistical Analysis System, version 9.1, Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA
- Shurson, G.C. (2003). Distiller's dried grains with solubles (DDGS) suited for swine may help ileitis resistance. *Feedstuffs*. 26:11-13.
- Upton, M. (1979). *Farm Management in Africa; the principle of production and planning*. Oxford University Press, p 298; Great Britain
- Westendorf, M.L. (2000). Food waste as swine feed. In *Food Waste to Animal Feed*. M. L. Westendorf (Ed.). p69; Iowa State Univ., Ames, IA.
- Westendorf, M.L., Dong, Z.C. and Schoknecht, P.A. (1998). Recycled cafeteria food waste as a feed for swine: nutrient content, digestibility, growth, and meat quality. *Journal of Animal Sciences* 76: 3250.
- Westendorf, M. L. and Zirkle, E. W. (1997). Closing the loop with animals. *Biocycle: The Journal of Compost Recycling* 38(4): 51.
- Westendorf ,M.L, Zirkel, E.W. and Gordon, R.(1996). Feeding food or table waste to livestock. *Professional Animal Scientist* 12:129-137.
- Whittemore, C. T. (1993). *The Science and Practice of Pig Production*. Longman Scientific & Technical, England.
- Wiseman, J. (1987). *Feeding of non-ruminant livestock*. Butterworth and C. Ltd. p 370.