

Alexandria University Faculty of Medicine

Alexandria Journal of Medicine





Angiogenic output in viral hepatitis, C and B, and HCV-associated hepatocellular carcinoma



Mohamed A. Abdel Mohsen ^{a,*}, Neveen A. Hussein ^a, Abeer A. Ghazal ^b, Marwa K. El-Ghandour ^c, Mohamed Farouk ^d, Abeer E. Abd El-Wahab ^e, Amany I. Yousef ^a

^a Applied Medical Chemistry Department, Medical Research Institute, Alexandria University, 165 El-Horria Ave., P.O. 21561, Alexandria, Egypt

^b Microbiology Department, Medical Research Institute, Alexandria University, 165 El-Horria Ave., P.O. 21561, Alexandria, Egypt

^c Chemical Pathology Department, Medical Research Institute, Alexandria University, 165 El-Horria Ave., P.O. 21561, Alexandria, Egypt

^d Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University, Egypt

^e Medical Biotechnology Department, City for Scientific Research & Technology Application, Egypt

Received 23 September 2013; accepted 4 June 2014 Available online 4 July 2014

KEYWORDS	Abstract Introduction: Angiogenesis is known to play a pivotal role in most of malignancy,
Angiogenesis; HCC; HCV; HBV	 including HCC, and in chronic inflammation. <i>Aim:</i> To investigate the angiogenic output in HCV and HBV infection and its implication in the development of HCV associated HCC. <i>Materials and methods:</i> Blood samples were collected and grouped as; HS healthy subjects control group; HCC–HCV; chronic HCV infected patient group (HCV+ve) who are positive for serum anti-HCV antibodies and HCV–RNA; anti-HCV antibody positive and HCV–RNA negative patient group (HCV–ve); patients with positive HBsAg and HBV-DNA group (HBV+ve); and HBsAg positive and HBV-DNA negative patient group (HBV–ve). Serum levels of vascular endothelial growth factor, angiopoietin-2, endostatin and angiostatin were assessed in different studied groups

Abbreviations: sVEGF, serum vascular endothelial growth factor; sAng-2, serum angiopoietin-2; sEN, serum endostatin; sAS, serum angiostatin.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajme.2014.06.003

2090-5068 © 2014 Alexandria University Faculty of Medicine. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +20 01223962734; fax: +203 42 66 214.

E-mail addresses: prof_abdelmohsenma@yahoo.com (M.A. Abdel Mohsen), Neveen39@yahoo.com (N.A. Hussein), A_ghazalus@yahoo. com (A.A. Ghazal), meromarsy@yahoo.com (M.K. El-Ghandour), MohamedFarouk@yahoo.com (M. Farouk), AbdElWahababeer@ gmail.com (A.E. Abd El-Wahab), Ahmed3eshra_253@hotmail.com (A.I. Yousef).

Peer review under responsibility of Alexandria University Faculty of Medicine.

Results: The level of sVEGF was insignificantly elevated in both HCV+ve and HCV-ve groups when compared with controls, while Ang-2, sES and sAS were significantly elevated in both groups as compared with healthy controls. The studied parameters were significantly elevated in HBV-+ve patients when compared with the control. However, HBV-ve patients showed significantly elevated levels in sAng-2, sES and sAS when compared with the control while the level of sVEGF was equal to that of controls. In patients with HCC, the studied parameters showed a significant elevation when compared with healthy controls and patients either with HBV or HCV infection except for sAS in the case of HCV-+ve patients and VEGF for HBV-+ve patients who were also higher but not significant.

Conclusion: The increased hepatic angiogenesis in chronic HCV and HBV could provide the molecular basis for liver carcinogenesis and contribute to the increased risk of HCC in patients with cirrhosis due to HCV and/or HBV.

© 2014 Alexandria University Faculty of Medicine. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) comprises nearly 6% of all incident cancer cases worldwide, with the overwhelming majority occurring in the developing world.¹ With more than half a million new cases each year, HCC is the fifth most common tumor worldwide and the third cause of cancer-related deaths.² Chronic infection with HBV and HCV has been cited as by far the most important etiologic agent.³ Annual incidence of HCC in patients with cirrhosis due to HBV infection exceeds 2%, and in those with cirrhosis due to HCV infection, it is estimated between 3% and 8%. Association of the two viruses or chronic exposure to alcohol substantially increases these figures.⁴

In Egypt, the increasing importance of HCV infection in the etiology of HCC has been shown, now estimated to account for 40–50% of cases.⁵ On the other hand, the prevalence of HBsAg in Egypt is of intermediate endimicity (2–8%). Nearly 2–3 million Egyptians are chronic carriers of HBV.⁶ It appears that the cause of HBV-associated HCC is a combination of HBV-encoded oncogenic activities along with the synergistic effect of chronic inflammation.⁷

Angiogenesis is known to play a pivotal role in almost every kind of malignancy favoring growth and metastasis of several types of cancer including HCC,⁸ and in chronic inflammation, where, accumulation of the inflammatory infiltrate and the development of fibrosis increases resistance of the tissue to blood flow and delivery of oxygen, resulting in hypoxia.⁹ Tumor angiogenesis is a complex phenomenon and is regulated by a net balance between the proponents and the inhibitors of the process.¹⁰

The difference in the pathogenesis between chronic HBV and HCV viral infection and their progression into HCC may be a consequence of the angiogenic processes. Accordingly, the aim was to investigate the angiogenic output in HCV and HBV infection and its implication in the progression into HCC. The objective is approached by assessing the serum levels of proangiogenic parameters; endothelial growth factor (VEGF), angiopoitein-2 (Angio-2) and anti-angiogenic parameters; endostatin (ES) and angiostatin (AS) as. The presence or absence of the active replicating virus was taken in consideration.

2. Materials and methods

This work included 100 patients admitted to the Hepatology Units, Medical Research Institute and Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University in addition to 20 healthy volunteers with no evidence of liver disease and negative for both HCV and HBV. None of the patients had a history of alcohol abuse or previous interferon treatment. The study was approved by the local Ethics Committees of the Medical Research Institute and Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University. A signed informed consent was obtained from all the participants in the present study.

The study enrolled six groups; (1) healthy subjects (HS) group; (2) HCC–HCV patient group; (3) chronic HCV infected patient group (HCV+ve) who are positive for serum anti-HCV antibodies and HCV–RNA; (4) anti-HCV antibody positive and HCV–RNA negative patient group (HCV–ve); (5) patients with positive HBsAg and HBV–DNA group (HBV+ve); and (6) HBsAg positive HBV-DNA negative patient group (HBV–ve).

Originally, the study was designed to enroll HCC cases associated with HBV, and HCC cases with no previous history of HBV or HCV infection (spontaneous HCC) but unfortunately those cases were hard to find. However, HCC patients enrolled in the present study have no previous history of other malignant diseases. Eighty percent of those patients were at stage II/III. Also, careful subsequent exclusion of any patient with a possible alternative cause of chronic liver disease was considered.

Venous blood samples were collected and sera separated and stored at -20 °C until used. Serum samples were tested for anti-HCV Ab and HBsAg according to the manufacturer's instructions (EIA; Abbott GmbH, Delkenheim, Germany). Quantitative detection of HCV-RNA and HBV-DNA was carried out by real time PCR.¹¹

Serum level of VEGF was determined using Bender Medsystems GMBH ELISA Kits, Vienna, Austria, Europe. Serum levels of Agiopoietin-2 (Angio-2), endostatin (ES) and angiostatin (AS) were determined using RayBio® Human ELISA kits Raybiotech, Inc.

2.1. Statistical analysis

SPSS 11.5 statistical package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for data analysis. Mann Whitney *U* test was used after examining the significance of Kruskal–Wallis *H* test (p < 0.05). The *p* values of less than 0.008 were considered statistically significant according to Bonferroni adjustment. Correlations were explored using Spearman correlation coefficient (p < 0.05).

3. Results

3.1. HCV patients

The mean values of VEGF in HCV+ve and HCV-ve were insignificantly different from that in healthy control subjects p = 0.114 and 0.461, respectively (Table 1). Meanwhile, the mean levels of sAng-2, sES and sAS were significantly elevated in HCV+ve and HCV-ve patients when compared to that in the healthy control subjects p = 0.0001 (Tables 1 and 2).

The mean values of sVEGF, sAng-2 and sAS in HCV+ve patients were insignificantly different from that in HCV-ve patients p = 0.583, 0.102 and 0.060, respectively (Tables 1 and 2), while, the mean level of sES in HCV+ve patients was significantly lower from that in HCV-ve patients p = 0.0001 (Tables 1 and 2).

3.2. HBV patients

The mean levels of sVEGF, sAng-2, sES and sAS in HBV + ve patients were significantly higher than the healthy control subjects (p = 0.001 and 0.0001) (Tables 1 and 2). In HBV-ve patients, the mean levels of sVEGF showed to be insignificantly different from that in healthy control subjects p = 0.968 (Table 1), while the mean levels of sAng-2, sES and sAS showed a significant elevation p = 0.0001 (Tables 1 and 2). It should be noted that the mean levels of all angiogenic markers in HBV+ve patients were significantly higher than those in HBV-ve patients p = 0.0001 and 0.004, except for sAS which was high but not significant p = 0.242.

While the mean levels of sVEGF and sES in HBV + ve were significantly higher than those in HCV + ve p = 0.0001 (Tables

1 and 2), however, Ang-2 and As showed insignificant difference p = 0.127 and 0.023, respectively (Tables 1 and 2). Meanwhile, the mean levels of sVEGF and sES in HBV–ve patients were insignificant different from those in HCV–ve patients p = 0.718 and 0.013, respectively (Tables 1 and 2). While the mean level of sAng-2 and sAS in HCV–ve patients was significantly lower than that in HBV–ve patients, p = 0.003 and 0.0001, respectively (Tables 1 and 2).

3.3. HCC patients

A highly significant increase in the mean levels of pro- and anti-angiogenic factors were noticed when compared to that in healthy subjects, p = 0.0001, (Tables 1 and 2) and to that in HCV+ve patients p = 0.0001, except sAS was high but not significant p = 0.277 (Table 2). Moreover, serum levels of sVEGF, sAng-2, sES and sAS were significantly higher in HCC patients than that in HBV+ve patients p = 0.003 and 0.0001, except sVEGF was high but not significant p = 0.017 (Tables 1 and 2).

3.4. Bio-statistical correlation

Data of the present study showed that sVEGF is significantly correlated with sAng-1; r = 0.48, p = 0.0001, sES; r = 0.60, p = 0.0001, and sAS; r = 0.29, p = 0.0001, in a positive manner (Fig. 1a–c). Also, sES was found to be significantly correlated positively with sAng-2; r = 0.62, p = 0.0001, and sAS; r = 0.41, p = 0.0001, in a positive manner (Fig. 2a and b). Finally, the data showed a significant positive correlation between sAng-2 and sAS; r = 0.43, p = 0.0001 (Fig. 3).

4. Discussion

The formation of fibrotic septa and capillarization of sinusoids due to deposition of fibrillar extracellular matrix (ECM) in the space of disease, result in an increase in resistance to blood flow and oxygen delivery.¹² These are premises for hypoxia and the transcription of hypoxia-sensitive proangiogenic genes.¹³ Moreover, the mediators of inflammatory response in chronic liver diseases may stimulate other cells in the

Table 1	Setun levels of prolangiogenic factors, vEOF and Angio-2 (pg/m), mean \pm 5.E., in the different studied groups.											
	HS		HCC		HCV (+ve)		HCV (-ve)		HBV (+ve)		HBV (-ve)	
	VEGF	Angio-2	VEGF	Angio-2	VEGF	Angio-2	VEGF	Angio-2	VEGF	Angio-2	VEGF	Angio-2
Min.	126	234	219	10,750	118	1170	117	6795	202	8624	157	2333
Max.	388	958	1196	37,186	706	19,956	479	12,744	1038	21,310	305	10,699
Х	245	470	649	16,620	229	9925	243	9498	451	12147	237	7127
\pm S.E.	21	48	66	1388	38	907	27	364	50	736	13	558
<i>p</i> 1			0.0001^{*}	0.0001	0.114	0.0001^{*}	0.461	0.0001^{*}	0.001^{*}	0.0001^{*}	0.968	0.0001^{*}
p2					0.0001^{*}	0.0001^{*}			0.0170	0.003*		
<i>p</i> 3							0.583	0.102	0.0001^{*}	0.127		
<i>p</i> 4							0.718	0.003*	0.0001^{*}	0.0001^{*}		

Table 1 Setul levels of provingiogenic factors, v EOF and Anglo-2 (pg/iii), mean \pm 5.E., in the unterent studied group	Table 1	Serum levels of proangiogenic factors.	s, VEGF and Angio-2 (pg/ml), mean \pm S.E., in the different studied ground
---	---------	--	---

p1: Comparison against healthy subject (HS) group.

p2: Comparison against hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) group.

p3: Comparison against HCV (+ve) group.

p4: Comparison against HBV (-ve) group.

* p value was considered significant at level < 0.008.

Tuble 2 Serum levels of and anglogenie factor, ES and AS (pg/m), mean ± 5.2., in the american studied groups.												
	HS		HCC		HCV (+ve)		HCV (-ve)		HBV (+ve)		HBV (-ve)	
	ES	AS	ES	AS	ES	AS	ES	AS	ES	AS	ES	AS
Min.	0.05	17.5	68.1	28.6	1.4	38.4	4.8	54.0	11.8	19.6	2.0	42.2
Max.	1.9	75.5	116.2	840	13.2	234.2	13.2	203.3	42.6	361.3	27.5	83.8
Х	0.68	36.7	90.1	207.9	4.72	129.9	9.6	97.3	24.3	94.8	$15.3 \pm$	64.6
\pm S.E.	0.09	4.6	2.93	40.0	0.64	12.4	0.55	9.3	2.22	17.8	1.70	4.1
<i>p</i> 1			0.0001^{*}	0.0001^{*}	0.0001^{*}	0.0001^{*}	0.0001^{*}	0.0001^{*}	0.0001^{*}	0.0001^{*}	0.0001^{*}	0.0001^{*}
p2					0.0001^{*}	0.2270			0.0001^{*}	0.0001^{*}		
p3							0.0001^{*}	0.0600	0.0001^{*}	0.023		
<i>p</i> 4							0.0130	0.0001^{*}	0.004^{*}	0.242		

Table 2 Serum levels of anti-angiogenic factor, ES and AS (pg/ml), mean ± S.E., in the different studied groups

p1: Comparison against healthy subject (HS) group.

p2: Comparison against hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) group.

p3: Comparison against HCV (+ve) group.

p4: Comparison against HBV (-ve) group.

p value was considered significant at level < 0.008.



Figure 1 Overall correlation of sVEGF with (a) sAng-2, (b) sES and (c) sAS.

surrounding microenvironment to express other pro-angiogenic factors to sustain angiogenesis.¹⁴ Vespasiani–Gentilucci et al.,² demonstrated that in chronic HCV patients significant increased levels of Ang-2 and equivalent VEGF levels with respect to controls were seen. Also, they reported an association of the stage of fibrosis with sAng-2 levels. These were in agreement with results of the present study where HCV+ve and HCV-ve patients showed significantly increased levels of sAng-2 and equivalent VEGF levels with respect to controls. The insignificant elevated level of sVEGF could be attributed to significant elevation in levels of both endostatin and angiostatin, which have been reported to down-regulate the production of sVEGF.¹⁵ This in turn, may reflect the role of Ang-2 in enhancement of angiogenesis that is associated with HCV infection.

A significant elevation in both sAS and sES levels in HCV+ve and HCV-ve patients was observed when compared to that in control. Notably, an insignificant difference was shown in sVEGF, sAng-2 and sAS levels in HCV+ve patients when compared to that in HCV-ve patients. Thus, the absence of significance in those angiogenic markers may

be explained by the fact that just the attack of the HCV may initiate the process of angiogenesis. This can be supported by Hoare et al.,¹⁶ who reported that fibrosis was observed in liver biopsy of nonviremic HCV antibody-positive patients which was similar to the inflammatory infiltrate in viremic cases. They have suggested the observed immune response in the liver, supporting the view that HCV may persist in the liver in the majority of HCV RNA-negative cases.

On the other hand, HBV encoded proteins as HBx protein which stabilizes and facilitates the transactivation function of the hypoxia inducible factor- $1\alpha^{17,18}$ which regulates the expression of multiple genes involved in angiogenesis such as VEGF. VEGF could also account for the induction of Ang-2 observed in chronic hepatitis B, contributing to pathological angiogenesis and hepatocellular carcinoma progression.¹⁹ These could be the molecular basis that may explain the significant elevation in sVEGF and sAng-2 levels in HBV + ve group. In HBV + ve infection, the significant elevation in endostatin and angiostatin levels could be an attempt to counteract the action of significantly elevated levels of pro-angiogenic factors. In HBV–ve patients, an insignificant elevation in the level of



Figure 2 Overall correlation of sES with (a) sAng-2, and (b) sAS.



Figure 3 Overall correlation of sAng-2 with sAS.

sVEGF and a significant elevation in sAng-2 were observed. Meanwhile, the levels of sES and sAS were significantly elevated in those patients. The initiation of angiogenic response in those carrier HBV patients could be attributed to the production of HBx protein very early after infection which mediates the establishment and maintenance of the chronic carrier state.²⁰ The virulent nature of HCV might explain the observation that sVEGF and sES levels in HBV + ve patients were significantly higher than those in HCV + ve patients while Ang-2 level was insignificantly raised.²¹ Unlike previously stated, these observations indicate that angiogenesis is particularly linked to HBV infection, suggesting a possible contribution to HBV-related liver oncogenesis (Tables 1 and 2).²²

It has been reported that the expression pattern of Ang-2 is strongly associated with the expression of VEGF in the process of tumor angiogenesis, and subsequently, in tumor progression.²³ In agreement with previous study,²⁴ significantly elevated levels of sVEGF and sAng-2 were expected in HCC patients. It was suggested that sAng-2 may allow vessels to be more responsive to sprouting signals provided by VEGF.²⁵ It was revealed that over expression of Ang-2 blocks Ang-1 mediated Tie receptor signaling and causes loss of the tight vascular structure, which results in the exposure of endothelial cells to VEGF.²⁶ These findings may suggest that an advantageous environment for angiogenesis may be created by the HCC itself, secreting not only VEGF but also Ang-2. Accordingly, angiostatic response is not tumor-specific, but is a consequence of angiogenic normal regulatory mechanisms that couple pro and anti-angiogenic signaling to the induction of an opposing response. This obligatory coupling of pro- and anti-angiogenic signaling is advantageous since excessive angiogenesis is associated with a variety of disease states¹⁴ and uncontrolled angiogenesis would compromise normal tissue architecture in growth, morphogenesis, and wound healing.²⁷ It was reported that s-ES level was significantly higher in HCC patients and has a significantly inverse correlation with the angiogenic score of HCC.²⁰ Also, it was confirmed that the source of s-endostatin is the tumor. In our study, sES and sAS levels were significantly higher in the HCC group than all other included groups. However, it is still controversial that endostatin expression or its serum level has a significant role in predicting the prognosis of patients with HCC.^{20,25}

Thus, in HCC, the elevated anti-angiogenic markers could be attributed to the fact that tumor hypoxia produced a homeostatic feedback up-regulation of VEGF since its expression is believed to be modulated by hypoxia. In addition, angiostatin is generated through the action of matrix metalloproteinases (MMP), that are activated by proangiogenic signaling cascades such as hypoxia inducible factor induction, to oppose the angiogenic response.²⁰ As a result, the release of anti-angiogenic factors may explain the control exerted by primary tumors over metastasis.²⁸

The sequence of pathological insult of the liver in viral infection usually starts with inflammation; cirrhosis till the end stage of HCC may necessitate more pro/anti-angiogenic output. This may explain the elevation levels of the studied parameters in the HCC group when compared with HCV + ve patients in particular and HBV + ve patients in general.

In conclusion, there is a difference in angiogenic/anti-angiogenic outputs between HBV and HCV infection and HCC development. The increased hepatic angiogenesis in chronic HCV and HBV could provide the molecular basis for liver carcinogenesis and contribute to the increased risk of HCC in patients with cirrhosis due to HCV and/or HBV. Furthermore, anti-angiogenic therapeutic agents should be targeted to counteract the action of proangiogenic factors rather than enhancement of antiangiogenic factors.

Conflict of interest

We have no conflict of interest to declare.

References

- Lehman EM, Wilson ML. Epidemiology of hepatitis viruses among hepatocellular carcinoma cases and healthy people in Egypt: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Cancer* 2009;**124**:690–7.
- Vespasiani-Gentilucci U, Galati G, Mazzarelli C, D'Avola D, Spataro S, Gallo P, et al. Angiogenic cytokines in patients undergoing antiviral treatment for chronic hepatitis C virus infection. J Interferon Cytokine Res 2011;31:207–10.
- 3. Barazani Y, Hiatt JR, Tong MJ, Busuttil RW. Chronic viral hepatitis and hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Surg* 2007;**31**:1243–8.
- Semela D, Dufour JF. Angiogenesis and hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol 2004;41:864–80.
- El-Zayadi AR, Badran HM, Barakat EM, Attia Mel D, Shawky S, Mohamed MK, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma in Egypt: a single center study over a decade. *World J Gastroenterol* 2005;11:5193–8.
- 6. El-Zayadi A, Hepatitis B. Virus infection: the Egyptian situation. *Arab J Gastroenterol* 2007;**8**:94–8.
- Margaret EMD, Karl M. Viruses associated with human cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta 2008;1782:127–50.
- Mazzanti R, Messerini L, Comin CE, Fedeli L, Gannè-Carrie N, Beaugrand M. Liver angiogenesis as a risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma development in hepatitis C virus cirrhotic patients. *World J Gastroenterol* 2007;13(37):5009–14.
- 9. Amarapurkar AD, Vibhav DN, Patel ND. Angiogenesis in chronic liver disease. *Ann Hepatol* 2007;6(3):170–3.

- Ramanujan S, Koenig GC, Padera TP, Stoll BR, Jain RK. Local imbalance of proangiogenic and antiangiogenic factors: a potential mechanism of focal necrosis and dormancy in tumors. *Cancer Res* 2000;60:1442–8.
- Mitsunaga S, Fujimura K, Matsumoto C, Shiozawa R, Hirakawa K, Nakajima K, et al. High-throughput HBV DNA and HCV RNA detection system using a nucleic acid purification robot and real time detection PCR: its application to analysis post transcription hepatitis. *Transfusion* 2002;42:100–6.
- Friedman SL. Mechanisms of hepatic fibrogenesis. *Gastroenterology* 2008;134:1655–69.
- Copple BL, Bustamante JJ, Welch TP, Kim ND, Moon JO. Hypoxia-inducible factor-dependent production of profibrotic mediators by hypoxic hepatocytes. *Liver Int* 2009;29:1010–21.
- 14. Carmeliet P. Angiogenesis in health and disease. *Nat Med* 2003;9:653–60.
- Raymond E. Tumor angiogenesis inhibitors: media and scientific aspects. *Presse Med* 1998;27:1221–4.
- Hoare M, Gelson WTH, Rushbrook SM, Martin DM, Curran T, Woodall T, et al. Histological changes in HCV antibodypositive, HCV RNA-negative subjects suggest persistent virus Infection. *Hepatology* 2008;48:1737–45.
- Moon EJ, Jeong CH, Jeong JW, Kim KR, Yu DY, Murakami S, et al. Hepatitis B virus X protein induces angiogenesis by stabilizing hypoxia-inducible factor-1-alpha. *FASEB J* 2004;18:382–4.
- Pichiule P, Chavez JC, La Manna JC. Hypoxic regulation of angiopoietin-2 expression in endothelial cells. *J Biol Chem* 2004;279:12171–80.
- Szab E, Paska C, Kaposi Novak P, Schaff Z, Kiss A. Similarities and differences in hepatitis B and C virus induced hepatocarcinogenesis. *Pathol Oncol Res* 2004;1:5–11.
- Martin-Vilchez S, Lara-Pezzi E, Trapero-Marugán M, Moreno-Otero R, Sanz-Cameno P. The molecular and pathophysiological implications of hepatitis B X antigen in chronic hepatitis B virus infection. *Rev Med Virol* 2011;21:315–29.
- Dhar DK, Ono T, Yamanoi A, Soda Y, Yamaguchi E, Rahman MA, et al. Serum endostatin predicts tumor vascularity in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer* 2002;95:2188–95.
- 22. Mazzanti R, Messerini L, Monsacchi L, Buzzelli G, Zignego AL, Foschi M, et al. Chronic viral hepatitis induced by hepatitis C but not hepatitis B virus infection correlates with increased liver angiogenesis. *Hepatology* 1997;25:229–34.
- 23. Holash J, Maisonpierre PC, Compton D, Boland P, Alexander D, Zagzag D, et al. Vessel cooption, regression, and growth in tumors mediated by angiopoietins and VEGF. *Science* 1999;**284**:1994–8.
- 24. Yamaguchi R, Yano H, Nakashima O, Akiba J, Nishida N, Kurogi M, et al. Expression of vascular endothelial growth factor-C in human hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2006;21:152–60.
- Ferrara N. Vascular endothelial growth factor as a target for anticancer therapy. Oncologist 2004;9:2–10.
- 26. Stratmann A, Risau W, Plate KH. Cell type-specific expression of angiopoietin-1 and angiopoietin-2 suggests a role in glioblastoma angiogenesis. *Am J Pathol* 1998;153:1459–66.
- 27. Wahl ML, Kenan DJ, Gonzalez-Gronow M, Pizzo SV. Angiostatin's molecular mechanism: aspects of specificity and regulation elucidated. *J Cell Biochem* 2005;**96**:242–61.
- Ribatti D, Vacca A, Nico B, Sansonno D, Dammacco F. Angiogenesis and anti-angiogenesis in hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Cancer Treat Rev* 2006;32:437–44.