A Study in the Lexicographical Treatment of Arabic Synonyms

Mohamed Helmy Heliel, Department of English, Kuwait University, Kuwait

Abstract: Recently three dictionaries of Arabic synonyms were published with the aim of helping Arabic learners, writers and translators. Though Classical Arabic lexicography distinguishes itself in the field of synonymy, Modern Standard Arabic lacks reliable dictionaries in the field and hence the importance of analysing these three dictionaries, identifying their deficiencies and suggesting remedies to help establish a sound basis for a user-friendly dictionary of Arabic synonyms.

This paper deals with certain lexicographical features closely related to synonymy, i.e. concerning corpus: selection of headwords and derivatives, arrangements of headwords, contextualization, collocations, figurative usage, equivalence, polysemy, register and style.

Keywords: HEADWORD SELECTION, DERIVATIVES, HEADWORD ARRANGEMENT, CONTEXTUALIZATION, COLLOCATIONS, FIGURATIVE USAGE, EQUIVALENCE, POLYSE-MY, REGISTER, STYLE

Opsomming: 'n Studie van die leksikografiese hantering van Arabiese sinonieme. Drie woordeboeke van Arabiese sinonieme is onlangs gepubliseer met die doel om Arabiese aanleerders, skrywers en vertalers te help. Hoewel Klassieke Arabies homself op die gebied van sinonieme onderskei, is daar geen betroubare woordeboeke op hierdie gebied in Moderne Standaard-Arabies nie. Daarom is dit belangrik om hierdie drie woordeboeke te analiseer, hulle leemtes te identifiseer en oplossings aan die hand te doen om sodoende 'n stewige basis te lê vir 'n gebruikersvriendelike woordeboek van Arabiese sinonieme.

Hierdie artikel handel oor sekere leksikografiese kenmerke wat nou verwant is aan sinonimiteit, d.w.s. betreffende korpus: seleksie van soekwoorde en afleidings, rangskikking van soekwoorde, kontekstualisering, kollokasies, figuurlike gebruik, ekwivalensie, polisemie, register en styl.

Sleutelwoorde: SOEKWOORDSELEKSIE, AFLEIDINGS, SOEKWOORDRANGSKIKKING, KONTEKSTUALISERING, KOLLOKASIES, FIGUURLIKE GEBRUIK, EKWIVALENSIE, POLISE-MIE, REGISTER, STYL

1. Introduction

Recently three dictionaries of Arabic synonyms have been published to fill a niche in modern Arabic lexicography. In 1993 two dictionaries came out: Mod-

ern Arabic Thesaurus (MAT) and A Pocket Dictionary of Synonyms and Antonyms (PDSA), to be followed by A Mini Dictionary of Arabic Synonyms (MDAS) in 1996. As a matter of fact, synonymy is a grossly neglected area, whether in Arabic linguistic research or in lexicographic investigation. The publication of these three dictionaries undoubtedly marks the beginning of attaching importance to the substitutional or paradigmatic relations in Arabic dictionaries.

A dictionary of Arabic synonyms has to address itself to students, writers and translators, and native and nonnative speakers. Moreover, it has to prove that it deals with current standard Arabic, i.e., the synonyms should be words that actually are in use. It also has to adopt a lexicographical methodology which would help the user find the sought-for Arabic synonyms *easily* and *quickly*. It should provide him/her with the means of differentiating between them and choosing the appropriate one for a particular context.

In this paper we aim to study certain lexicographical features of these dictionaries and suggest ways of treating them. Some of these features are concerned with corpus: selection of headwords and derivatives, the others with lexicographical treatment: arrangement of headwords, contextualization, collocations, figurative usage, equivalence, polysemy, register and style.

2. Selection of Headwords

The policy adopted in this respect is indicated in both the *PDSA* and the *MDAS*. According to the *PDSA*, the words chosen are "the most frequently used ones". The *MDAS* indicates that it covers "8800 synonyms arranged under main entries of the most frequently used words".

When all the words starting with the letter \neg [B] were counted, it was found, as indicated below, that the number of headwords in the *MDAS* far exceeds that of the other two dictionaries:

PDSA	MAT	MDAS
40	52	174

However, there is no agreement as regards

- (a) the derivatives indicated, and
- (b) the number of senses treated in polysemous words,

but what the three have in common is the host of *archaic* and *obsolete* words incorporated, sometimes in the headwords, but very often in the list of synonyms.

Examples

1.

PDSA Headwords

> ا علندى ['alandii "crude, uncivil"] [abiil "hermit"] (thajr "breadth"] تجة [thujjat "orchard"] مسانة [ḥusbaanat "pillow"]

Synonyms

- [buwaa'] under headword كفؤ [kuf'un "efficient"] [jummat] under headword هامة [haamat "forefront"] مصدعة [mikhadda "pillow"] طريق [laahib, makhraf] under headword لاحب ، مخرف [tariiq "road"] [bisaat "rug"]
- 2. MAT

Synonyms

- [qash'am], هيثم [haytham], مضرحی [qash'am] inisr "vul- نسر [ghudaaf] under headword غداف ture"]
 - [waqih "rude"] وقع nabr] under headword نبر
 - نشنز ,[fadfad] يفاع ,[najwat] نجوة [fadfad] فدفد [nashaz] under headword نجد [najd "highland, plateau"]
 - [sayrafiyy] صبر فی [muddarras] مضرس [mu'jam] معجم [under headword مجرب [mujarrab "experienced"]
 - **[sajiiḥa]** under headword سجيحة [shanshana] and شنشنة [shanshana] شطرة [fiṭra "innate character, natural disposition"]

61

3.	MDAS Synonyms			
	أيلم	[ablama] under headword ورم [warima "became swollen"]		
	ابن بقيع	[ibn baqii'] under headword کلب [kalb "dog"]		
	شلطاء	[sikkiin "knife"] سكين [sikkiin "knife"]		
	سعراء	[sa'raa'], إدماء ['admaa'] under headword المماء		
[samraa' "a brunette"]				

The criterion for selecting the headwords especially in a small-sized dictionary of synonyms like PDSA (100 pages), MAT (150 pages) or MDAS (323 pages) should be their frequency of use in the language. Instead of having these smallsized dictionaries with useless hosts of archaic words, it would be better to start with an experimental edition, choose only the ten thousand most common words in Modern Standard Arabic, omit synonyms related to animals, birds, swords etc. (cf. MAT and MDAS) which originally are either descriptive or dialectal words and by no means synonyms in Arabic and give the common terms the fullest semantic treatment.

2.1 Derivatives

Reproduced by Sabinet Gateway under licence granted by the Publisher (dated 2011)

Arabic is a highly derivative language and the translator may have special difficulties in finding a synonym for a particular derivative whose meaning is not closely related to the root. Thus derivatives in a dictionary of Arabic synonyms should be carefully selected. The three dictionaries vary a great deal in the way they deal with derivatives. The PDSA includes different derivatives from the توف) such as خاف [khaafa "was afraid"] and the noun خوف) [khawf "fear"]. However, this is not systematically practised in the dictionary, e.g. نان [khaana "to betray"] is given as a verb with no other derivatives. This is also typical of the MAT which sometimes gives only the verb, e.g. [khaafa "was afraid"], with no other derivatives, and at other times both the verb and the noun, e.g. خاصم [khaasama "to quarrel with"] and خاصم [khasm "adversary"]. The MDAS is to some extent more systematic. As derivatives it the verb خان , the noun خوف , the verb خاف , the active participle [khiyaana "betraying, be- خياتة [khiyaana] خائن trayal"]. As far as derivatives are concerned, it is the most comprehensive of the three. However, when one item, the verb برد [barada "be cold") in the

MDAS, is compared with derivatives given in an Arabic college-level monolingual dictionary *Majaanii al-Tullaab*, it proves to be quite poor (4:14).

MDAS MJ کبَرَدَ / بِرُد / بَتَرَد / بَتَرَد / بارِد / کبَرُد / بارِد / بِتَرَادة بِرَّاد / َبَرُد / برودة / تبريد / َبَرَد / اسْتَنِيرد / تَبَرَّدَ (الماء) / تبارَد 14

It has to be noted that the MAT indicates that it caters for verbs, adjectives and nouns and uses symbols for them: ف (for verb), ص (for adjective) and س (for noun). Arabic derivatives are by no means confined to these categories. It seems that the authors are imposing an English categorization on Arabic. Arabic derivatives, among others, include اسم القاعل [ism al-faa'il, the active participle], اسم القاعل [ism al-iaala, the instrumental noun], اسم الآلة [ism al-marra, nomen vicis], اسم المقعول [ism al-maf'uul, the passive participle] and [ism al-hay'a, the noun of manner].

3. Arrangement of Headwords

The order followed in the three dictionaries is alphabetical, but each adopts a specific system to help the user find the Arabic synonyms.

The *PDSA* follows the alphabetical arrangement without any additional index or symbols except a few ones: : for synonyms, * for antonyms, \mathcal{E} for plurality and l for shift of meaning.

In the MAT the headword is followed by the root and its grammatical category noun, verb or adjective, e.g.

> [aaba "to return"] ف. أ [a.w.b.] ف (verb): ['aada, raja'a, inqalaba ...]

An index of the roots of headwords is provided together with the words derived from them, e.g.

[aaba "to return"] آب [a.w.b.] مآب [ma'aab "return" (n)] مآب

Such an index is redundant and does not serve any purpose. The natural order in an Arabic alphabetical dictionary is that reference is made to the root, and not *vice versa*. There is no need for such an index, since the items in the body of the dictionary are arranged alphabetically. Moreover, many words are wrongly placed in the index, e.g.

- (a) بلادة (n) [balaada "duilness, lethargy"]
- (b) بليد (adj) [baliid "dull, lethargic"]
- (c) بلد (n) [balad "country"]

where (c), unlike (a) and (b), is not related in meaning to the verb root μ . μ [b.l.d].

In both dictionaries retrieval of any of the synonyms of a particular headword is, in many cases, impossible, e.g.

1. PDSA

idțiraam] and اتشاد [ittiqaad] given as synonyms for the headword مرام [diraam "burning, fire"]

2. MAT

imlaaq], شطف (shazaf] and إملاق ['awaz] given as synonyms for the headword فافة [faaqa "poverty"]

The *MDAS* distinguishes itself by ease of retrieval. An index (188 pages) has been incorporated. It comprises, in alphabetical order, all the words in the dictionary (135 pages), headwords and synonyms. References to headwords pinpoint the particular sense(s) of the word being sought, enabling the user to go directly to the most appropriate main entry, e.g.

["salaba "steal] سنب [ibtazza]: ابتز

where سلب in the index refers the reader to the main entry سلب in the body of the dictionary and *ibtazza* is one of the words given in the synonym list of salaba.

By incorporating the index the MDAS managed to avoid the awkwardness of and waste of time spent on using the cross-references in the body of the dictionary, a defect also present in the otherwise excellent English dictionary of synonyms Roget's II, the New Thesaurus (1997) and another Arabic dictionary of synonyms, Mu'jam al-Ma'aanii (1971).

64 Mohamed Helmy Heliel

4. Contextualization

The three dictionaries group undifferentiated synonyms together with undefined entry words. It is our belief that unless the user, both native and nonnative speaker, can him-/herself distinguish correctly between the many semiequivalents given, he/she can hardly make use of them (see Arnold's (1979) experiment). Though dictionaries of synonyms are restricted by the projected size of the dictionary, words cannot exist in a vacuum, completely divorced from context. Unfortunately, this is often the case in English college-level dictionaries of synonyms, even the most recent ones (see *Chambers English Thesaurus*, 1995 and the 21st Century Synonym and Antonym Finder, 1993).

In order to write an adequate lexical entry in a dictionary of synonyms, the lexicographer must give a thorough treatment of four components:

- (1) A concise, carefully-worded denotation of the sense shared by the headword and its synonyms should be provided (see *Roget's II* 1988 and 1997).
- (2) This should be supplemented by at least one illustrative example showing a typical usage context (see *The Oxford Concise Thesaurus* and *Random House Webster's College Thesaurus*).
- (3) In addition to denotation, a word may have a connotation, the suggestive or associative implications beyond its literal sense. To deal with the connotation problem, status labels should be used, e.g. *derogatory*, *disapproving*, *euphemistic*, *jocular*, etc.)
- (4) In the vast majority of cases, descriptive synonyms are given, i.e. the synonyms that can be substituted for one another in all declarative sentences without affecting their truth-conditions. However, synonyms differ in their expressive or evocative meaning, e.g. [qatala] which could be translated as killed, and Ling [dhabaha] which indicates killing people rather violently or in large numbers and which could be translated as slaughtered or butchered. Synonyms have different conditions of appropriateness and the lexicographer should shed light on this feature, otherwise the dictionary will be a lethal instrument in the hands of a translator and a foreign learner.

In the majority of cases, a lexical item is in some respects different from its cognitive synonyms or the items with which it shares certain properties. This difference is reflected in:

65

- (a) The semantic or propositional mode, i.e. the content of the message. Hence the importance of a definition and an illustrative example, e.g. إنه [Jinaaya "crime"], جناية [junha "misdemeanour"] and مخالفة [mukhaalafa "fine"].
- (b) The expressive mode. Without it communication would be impossible. According to Cruse (1986: 274) "every communicative utterance must transmit as part of its meaning an indication of intended propositional attitude". Hence the need for indicating the expressive differences between the synonyms incorporated in the dictionary.

5. Collocations

Apart from the interface between a speaker's intentions and language, there are interactions among linguistic items constituting discourse (Cruse 1986: 277) which are unpredictable and a source of difficulty for the translator, both native and nonnative speaker, of the language into which he/she is translating. These are collocations. Synonyms may be of the same semantic field, but may differ a great deal in their collocational range or the set of contexts in which they occur. Related to the field of "destruction", for example, we may have کسر [kasara], کسر [kadama], کسر [kadama], مدع [hadama], مد

- [kasara] / door, leg, bread, plate
- hadama]/ building هدم
- [şada'a]/ wall, plate, glass صدع
- [hattama]/ (technical) the atom
- طه [dakka]/ wall, castle, enemy positions.

The fact that the three dictionaries do not indicate the collocates, detracts from their value (cf. *The Oxford Concise Thesaurus*). A collocate is an essential part of the meaning of the whole cohesive unit known as collocation and hence it should be included.

6. Figurative Usage

When the three dictionaries were used for finding synonyms for the lexical item hadda "destroy"] used figuratively in the sense of "ruin one's health", they proved to be inadequate. Figurative usage is an area that has been neglected even in monolingual Arabic dictionaries. Items used to indicate "destruction" in Arabic could easily be used figuratively, in which case they need a special lexicographical treatment in a dictionary of synonyms. The following are illustrative Arabic verbs, first in their literal and then in their figurative sense, translated into English with the subject or object indicated:

	Verb	Literal sense	Figurative sense	
حطم	[ḥaṭṭama]	destroy	wreck/ruin (one's hopes)	
هد آ	[hadda]	pull down, raze	(disease) ruined or weakened him	
خرب	[kharaba]	destroy	(a) ruin, bankrupt (economy)	
			(b) sabotage (factory, machinery)	

One of the dictionaries which attach importance to this aspect, is *Harrap's English Synonyms* (1989) where a clear distinction is made between literal and figurative meanings (see for example the verb *black out*).

7. Equivalence

As a rule, the three dictionaries adopt the wrong principle of taking the word as a lexicographic unit. For instance, the headwords are, except in a few cases, confined to single verbs without prepositions, though the preposition in Arabic prepositional verbs (see Heliel 1992) determines and distinguishes the meaning of the verb, e.g.

> (إلى) [aqbala (ilaa) "come to (a place or person)"] [aqbala ('alaa) "to take interest in"]

Although prepositional verbs are sparingly given as synonyms in the *PDSA* and *MAT*, they cannot unfortunately be retrieved. The proper prepositional particle normally accompanying the Arabic verb and determining its sense should be provided in dictionaries of synonyms, whether as headwords or in synonym lists. There should also be a means of retrieving them.

On the whole, the three dictionaries adopt the narrower classical sense which limits itself to the identification of meaning between words only. In this respect, a comparison should be made between Arabic and recent college-level English dictionaries of synonyms. The *Merriam-Webster Concise School and Office Thesaurus* (1991) and *The Oxford Concise Thesaurus* (1995) are sources that could be utilized. The MAT, it has to be noted, sparingly gives construct phrases and idioms in the synonym lists, which is a step forward in Arabic lexicography, e.g. فريد [fariid "unique"], منقطع النظير [munqați'u al-naziir] and نسيج وحده [nasiiju wahdihi] which are construct phrases of noun plus noun.

Synonymity relations in natural languages, it is true, not only characterize single words, but also larger linguistic units. Examples of these units in Arabic are *construct phrases* (idaafa), *binomials, collocations, prepositional verbs* and *idioms*. These units have to be incorporated in an Arabic dictionary of synonyms.

8. Polysemy

A worthwhile attempt at dealing with different senses of Arabic lexemes and their synonyms, especially the basic ones, has been made by the *MDSA*. Though it is restricted by the size limitations, it surpasses the other two dictionaries, e.g.

عدل ['adala "to act justly"] عدل (عن) ['adala 'an "change one's mind"] عدل [adala "put right"] عدل ['adala "deviate"]

The PDSA and the MAT give only one sense of the verb "to act justly", though the prepositional structure عدل عن العن إadala 'an] in the sense of "change one's mind" and the verb عدل المعل ['adala] in the sense of "put right" are frequently used. Thus a semantically carefully designed plan for selecting, dividing senses and distinguishing between them should be drawn up before compiling an Arabic dictionary of synonyms.

9. Register and Style

One of the basic differences between synonyms which has not been indicated in the three dictionaries, is register, i.e. a variety of language used by a single speaker which is considered appropriate to different occasions and situations of use (Cruse 1986: 283). Here we stress the importance of field which refers to the topic of discourse (*legal, scientific, medical, political,* etc.) and style (*derogatory, euphemistic, poetic, appreciative, formal, informal,* etc.). It is inadequate to list a

68 Mohamed Helmy Heliel

number of indiscriminate synonyms, one after the other without indication of register or style.

"The multiplication of synonyms," as Cruse (1986: 284) says, "is most marked in the case of referring to areas of experience which have a high emotive referring significance such as death, sex, excretory functions, money, religion, power relations and so on." From these areas of experience the following example of synonyms related to sex has been chosen. It could serve as an illustration of an entry with suggested labels of field and style, e.g. [jimaa' "sexual intercourse"]: رفت [rafath], مباشرة [mubaashara], ہ طع ,[muḍaaja'a] مضاجعة ,[iftişaal jinsii] اتصال جنسي ,[nikaah] نكاح ,[wat'] as رفت (mubaada'a], where جماع could be indicated as (neutral), رفت as as (derogatory), وطع as (euphemistic), وطع as (derogatory), derived from the verb وطلّ [wata'a "to tread or trample underfoot, to step or walk over, to mount"], اتصال جنسى as (neutral), as (euphemistic مضاجعة "sleeping with"), as (derogatory), derived from مباضعة [bud' "female sexual organ"].

10. Conclusions

Through an analysis of the lexicographical treatment of synonymy in three recently published Arabic dictionaries, the deficiencies have been identified and remedies suggested. Most important among these deficiencies is the decontextualization of the lexical items which depreciates their lexicographical value. The *MDAS* which is the most recent of the three, breaks new ground in certain respects. However, much has to be done about linguistic multiword units, derivatives, item selection, denotation, connotation, equivalence, polysemy, collocations, figurative usage, register and style which would pave the way for a reliable dictionary of Arabic synonyms.

References

Arnold, Donna I. 1979. Synonyms and the College-Level Dictionary. *Dictionaries* 1: 103-112. Cruse, D.A. 1986. *Lexical Semantics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Heliel, Mohamed Helmy. 1992. Verb-Particle Combinations and the Arabic/English Translator. Robert de Beaugrande et al. (Eds.). Language, Discourse, Translation in the West and Middle East. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Dictionaries Consulted

Chambers English Thesaurus. 1995. Edinburgh: Chambers. Harrap's English Synonyms. 1989. London/Paris: Harrap Books. Majaanii al-Tullaab. 1995. Beirut: Daar al-Majaanii. Merriam-Webster Concise School and Office Thesaurus. 1991. Springfield, Mass.: Merriam-Webster. A Mini Dictionary of Arabic Synonyms (Arabic - Arabic). 1996. Beirut: Librairie du Liban. Modern Arabic Thesaurus (Arabic - Arabic). 1993. Beirut: Librairie du Liban. Mu'jam al-Ma'aanii. 1971. Baghdad: al-Zamaan. The Oxford Concise Thesaurus. 1995. Oxford: Oxford University Press. A Pocket Dictionary of Synonyms and Antonyms. 1993. Beirut: Librairie du Liban. Random House Webster's College Thesaurus. 1997. New York: Random House. Roget's II, the New Thesaurus. 1988. Expanded Edition. New York: Houghton Mifflin. Roget's II, the New Thesaurus. 1997. Third Edition. New York: Berkeley. 21st Century Synonym and Antonym Finder. 1993. New York: Dell.