Sarcopaenia: Where do we stand after two decades of research?

Mollentze WF Professor/Chief Spcialist: Internal Medicine, University of the Free State, Bloemfontein

© SEMDSA

JEMDSA 2014;19(3):103-104

Age-related loss of muscle mass has received increased attention over the past two decades from both clinician and basic science researchers.¹ Evans and Campbell labeled the age-related loss of muscle mass as sarcopaenia (Greek sarx=flesh and paenia=loss).² The estimated relative annual rate of loss of muscle mass is 1% in men and 0.84% in women.¹ The average age of onset of decline in muscle mass is approximately 40 years and progress in a linear fashion to reach approximately 50% by the 8th decade.^{3.4}

Sarcopaenia is characterised by a decrease in muscle mass and cross-sectional area, the infiltration of muscle by fat and connective tissue, a decrease of type 2 muscle fiber size and number, and also a decrease of the number of type 1 fibers.⁵ In addition to muscle atrophy, a decline in muscle quality and function also plays a part in muscle dysfunction of aging.⁶ Changes in muscle quality in aged subjects include a decreased concentration of myosin, the most important motor protein; fewer cross-bridges per muscle fiber area; post-translational chemical modifications of the myosin molecule such as protein methylation, glycosylation, and/or oxidation; and finally, a decrease in muscle elasticity.⁶ The decline in physical activity frequently accompanying aging may also exert synergistic effects on these changes. Furthermore, the regulation of skeletal muscle mass and regeneration is intricately linked to skeletal muscle metabolism and the recruitment of skeletal muscle stem cells - also referred to as satellite cells.⁷

The mechanisms underlying sarcopaenia of aging are numerous and include endocrine conditions, age-related changes in sexual hormones, apoptosis, mitochondrial dysfunction, neuro-degenerative conditions, inflammation, disuse, malnutrition, and cachexia associated with serious underlying disease states.⁵ The end result, however, is an imbalance between muscle protein synthesis and breakdown with a disproportionately higher rate of breakdown over a prolonged period.¹ The mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) signaling pathway in muscle plays a key role in regulating exerciseinduced protein synthesis.⁸ Fry et al demonstrated that aging impairs contraction-induced human skeletal muscle mTORC1 signaling and protein synthesis.⁹ This observation may partially explain the blunted hypertrophic response observed after resistance exercise training in older adults and highlights the mTORC1 pathway as a potential therapeutic target for pharmacological intervention in sarcopaenia.⁹

The European Working Group on Sarcopaenia in Older People (EWGSOP) recognises sarcopaenia as a geriatric syndrome characterised by progressive and generalised loss of muscle mass and strength with a risk of adverse outcomes such as physical disability, poor quality of life and death.10 EWGSOP suggests the following diagnostic criteria for sarcopaenia:10 the presence of low muscle mass and low muscle function defined by either loss of muscle strength or performance. Dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) has become the gold standard in measuring muscle mass in clinical practice as part of body composition assessment.^{10,11} Bio-impedance analysis (BIA) may be an affordable and portable alternative to DXA.¹⁰ Muscle strength can be measured in various ways of which measuring handgrip strength with a handheld dynamometer is the most popular.¹¹ Physical performance can be measured in a variety of ways of which either the Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB), with gait speed over 4 meters; or the "timedget-up-and-go test" (TGUGT) is most popular in the clinical setting.^{10,11} Cut-points for these frequently-used criteria are readily available.11

The prevalence of sarcopaenia in the elderly differs widely according to methodology used and population studied. Morley estimated the prevalence of sarcopaenia to be 5-13% in 60-70 year-old persons and 11-50% in those 80 years and above.¹² The clinical consequences of sarcopaenia are future disability,¹³ mobility limitation,¹⁴ and impaired quality of life.¹¹ Obese older people with reduced handgrip strength are particularly at risk of walking limitation.¹¹ Lower grip strength is also positively associated with future fracture risk, cognitive decline, coronary heart disease, hospitalisation, risk of falling, and death.¹¹

Cruz-Jentoft et al recently reviewed published interventions to prevent or improve sarcopaenia.¹⁵ Exercise intervention may have a role in increasing muscle strength and improving physical performance although the impact of exercise training is inconsistent in improving muscle mass in frail elderly individuals. The effect of nutrition intervention in terms of protein and Vitamin D supplementation is uncertain due to methodological problems in the design of most studies. Some studies report encouraging findings in terms of essential amino acid supplementation in the form of leucine and HMB (β -hydroxy β -methylbutyric acid), the active metabolite of leucine, alone or in addition to exercise training.

The International Sarcopaenia Initiative (ISI) concluded in a recent review that sarcopaenia is present in at least 1 in 20 community dwelling individuals and in as many as 1 in 3 frail older people living in nursing homes.¹⁵ The ISI urge clinicians to screen for the presence of sarcopaenia both in community as well as geriatric settings and to consider supervised resistance exercise training for periods of at least 3 months. The ISI also supports the recommendation of the PROT-AGE study group to increase protein intake of elderly people (65-years and older) to 1.0-1.2 g/kg per day to help them regain and maintain lean body mass.¹⁶

References

- Visser M. Epidemiology of Muscle Mass Loss with Age. In: Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Morley JE, editors. Sarcopenia. West Sussex. John Wiley and Sons; 2012.
- 2 Evans WJ, Campbell WW. Sarcopenia and age-related changes in body composition and functional capacity. J. Nutrition 1993;(123):465-468.
- 3 Walston JD. Sarcopenia in older adults. Curr Opin Rheumatol 2012;24(6): 623-627.
- 4 Metter EJ, Conwit R, Tobin J, Fozard JL. Age-associated loss of power and strength in the upper extremities in women and men. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 1997; 52:8267–8276.
- 5 Muscaritoli M, Anker SD, Argile J, et al. Consensus definition of sarcopenia, cachexia and pre-cachexia: Joint document elaborated by Special Interest Groups (SIG) "cachexia-anorexia in chronic wasting diseases" and "nutrition in geriatrics". Clinical Nutrition 2010; 29:154–159
- 6 Frontera WR, Zayas AR, Rodriguez N. Aging of human muscle: understanding sarcopenia at the single muscle level. Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am. 2012;23(1): 201–207.
- 7 Koopman R, Hai Ly C, and James G. Ryall JG. A metabolic link to skeletal muscle wasting and regeneration. Frontiers in Physiology 2014;5:32.
- 8 Drummond ML, Fry CS, Glynn EL, Dreyer HC, Dhanani S, Timmerman KL, Volpe E, Rasmussin BB. Rapamycin administration in humans blocks the contraction-induced increase in skeletal muscle protein synthesis. J Physiol 2009;587(7):1535-46.
- 9 Fry CS, Drummond MJ, Glynn EL, Dickenson JM, Gundermann DM, Timmerman KL, Walker, DK, Dhanani S, Volpi E, Rasmussen BB. Aging impairs contraction –induced human skeletal muscle mTORC1 signalling and protein synthesis. Skelet Muscle 2011;1(1):11
- 10 Cruz-Jentoff AJ, Baeyens JP. Bauer JM, Boirie Y, Cederholm T, Landi F, Martin FC, et al. Sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis. Report of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People. Age and Ageing 2010; 39: 412–423.
- 11 Rizzoli R, Reginster J-Y, Arnal J-F, Ivan Bautmans, Beaudart C, Heike Bischoff-Ferrari H, et al. Quality of Life in Sarcopenia and Frailty. Calcif Tissue Int. 2013;93(2): 101–120.
- 12 Morley JE. Sarcopenia in the elderly. Family Practice 2012; 29:i44-i48.
- 13 Guralnik JM, Ferruci L, Simonsick EM, Salive ME, Wallace RB. Lower-extremity function in persons over the age of 70 years as a predictor of subsequent disability. N Eng J Med 1995;332:556-61.
- 14 Visser M, Goodpaster BH, Kritchevsky SB, Newman AB, Nevitt M, Rubin SM, Simonsick EM, Harris TB. Muscle mass, muscle strength, and muscle fat infiltration as predictors of incident mobility limitations in well-functioning older persons. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2005;60:324–33.
- 15 Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Landi F, Schneider SM, Zúňiga C, Arai H, Boirie Y, Chen L-K, Fielding RA, Martin FC, Michel JP, Sieber C, Stout JR, Studenski SA, Vellas B, Woo J, Zamboni M, Cederholm T. Prevalence of and interventions for sarcopenia in ageing adults: a systematic review. Report of the International Sarcopenia Initiative (EWGSOP and IWGS). Age and Ageing 2014;0: 1–12
- 16 Bauer J, Biolo G, Cederholm T, Cesari M, Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Morley JE, et al. Evidence-Based Recommendations for Optimal Dietary Protein Intake in Older People: A Position Paper From the PROT-AGE Study Group. JAMDA 2013;14: 542e559.