CHINESE HERBAL DECOCTION AS A COMPLEMENTARY THERAPY FOR ATROPHIC GASTRITIS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS

Wen-jie Fang^{a§}, Xin-ying Zhang^{b§}, Bo Yang^{c§}, Shu-jing Sui^d, Min Chen^a, Wei-hua Pan^a, Wan-qing Liao^a, Ming Zhong^{e*}, Qing-cai Wang^{d**}

^aShanghai Key Laboratory of Molecular Medical Mycology, Shanghai Institute of Mycology, Department of Dermatology, Changzheng Hospital, Second Military Medical University, Shanghai 200003, People's Republic of China. ^bChinese Engineer Company 11 Level I clinic, United Nations and African Union Hybrid Operation in Darfur, Sudan. ^cDepartment of Nephrology, Changzheng Hospital, Second Military Medical University, Shanghai 200003, People's Republic of China. ^dDepartment of Gastroenterology, Taian City Central Hospital, Tai'an, 271000, P. R. China. ^eDepartment of Critical Care Medicine, Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, 200032, P. R. China. [§]The first three authors contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding author E-mail: nodrab@163.com **Corresponding author e-mail: wqczs@163.com

Abstract

Background: Chinese herbal decoction (CHD) has been extensively used in the treatment of atrophic gastritis (AG) in China and other Far Eastern countries. We conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to estimate the efficacy and safety of CHD in AG.

Materials and Methods: Pubmed, Embase, Cochrane central register of controlled trials (central), VIP, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, Sinomed, Wanfang data were searched (up to December 2015). Randomized controlled trials recruiting patients with AG comparing CHD (alone or with western medicine (WM)) with WM were eligible. Dichotomous data were pooled to obtain relative risk (RR), with a 95% confidence interval (CI).

Results: Forty-two articles including 3,874 patients were identified. CHD, used alone or with WM, had beneficial effect over WM in the improvement of clinical manifestations (RR=1.28; 95% CI 1.22-1.34) and pathological change (RR=1.42; 95% CI 1.30-1.54) for AG patients. However, the *H. pylori* eradication effect of CHD was not supported by the existing clinical evidence, because of the significant study heterogeneity (I²>50%) and inconsistency between the primary results and sensitivity analysis.

Conclusions: CHD, if prescribed as a complementary therapy to WM, may improve the clinical manifestations and pathological change for AG patients. But its monotherapy for *H. pylori* eradication is not supported by enough clinical evidence.

Key words: atrophic gastritis; *Helicobacter pylori*; Chinese herbal decoction; meta-analysis

Abbreviations: AG, atrophic gastritis; TCM, traditional Chinese medicine; CHD, Chinese herbal decoction; WM, western medicine; Int. CHD-WM, integration of Chinese herbal decoction and western medicine; RCTs, randomized controlled trials; RR, relative risk; CI, confidence interval; I², inconsistency index

Introduction

Atrophic gastritis (AG) is defined as the non-metaplastic and metaplastic atrophy of gastric mucosa which is replaced by connective tissue or glandular structures inappropriate for location, such as intestinal-type epithelium and pyloric-type glands (Rugge et al., 2011). Epidemiological surveys revealed that the global incidence of AG is about 0-10.9% (Adamu et al., 2010), and the prevalences are higher in Far Eastern countries (such as China, Japan, and Korea) than those in the western ones (Aoki et al., 2005; Weck and Brenner,2006; Weck et al., 2007). The persistent *H. pylori*-related inflammatory condition is one of the most important pathogeneses of AG (Eid and Moss, 2002), making the risk for intestinal-type gastric cancer 5.13 to 24.71-fold higher in gastritis patients than in normal people (Kato et al., 1992). *H. pylori* eradication therapies, such as the one-week combined use of moxifloxacin, tetracycline and lansoprazole, are recommended by the western medicine (WM) system to control AG (Taş et al., 2011). However, some recent studies reported that the clinical eradication rate of *H. pylori* has decreased to an unacceptable low level of 25%-80% (Gisbert et al., 2007; Graham and Fischbach, 2010; Gumurdulu et al., 2004). The main causes of eradication failure are the poor compliance of patients, emerging resistant *H. pylori* strains and adverse drug reactions (Graham and Fischbach, 2010; Megraud, 2004; Safavi et al., 2015). The unsatisfactory efficacy and safety in WM emphasize the need for more alternative approaches to the managing AG.

Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) has been widely used for treating gastritis in China and other Far Eastern 297

countries for tens of centuries (Chen et al., 2003; Qin et al., 2013; Tang et al., 2016; Xia,2004). Nowadays, Chinese physicians often prescribe TCM combined with WM, in the belief that patients will benefit from both the western and Chinese traditional therapies (Lu and Chen, 2015). Among the widely-used herbal decoctions, tens of herbs (e.g. *Abrus cantoniensis* Hance, *Saussurea lappa* (Decne.) Sch.Bip, *Eugenia caryophyllata* Thunb) showed potent anti-*H. pylori* activity (MICs: ~40µg/ml) (Li et al., 2005; Safavi et al., 2015). Certain herbal extracts were also proved to effectively exhibit anti-inflammatory activity and reduce gastric symptoms by suppressing the production of nitric oxide, prostaglandin E(2), cyclooxygenase-2, TNF- α , IL-6 and interleukin 1 β (Meng and Yang, 2010; Song et al., 2009). Clinical trials showed that some Chinese decoctions or herbal extracts were effective in alleviating AG symptoms and eradicating *H. pylori* (Meng and Yang,2010; Song et al., 2009), without increasing the incidence of adverse effects or producing resistant colonies (Higuchi et al., 1999). Although TCM may be a promising supplement to WM, there is no evidence from large-scale, multicenter clinical trials on its clinical use. Hence, we performed a systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate the efficacy and safety of Chinese herbal decoction (CHD), the most essential and traditional part of TCM, for AG treatment.

Material and methods Search strategy and study selection

A comprehensive retrieval was conducted in seven electronic databases of PubMed (1966 to December 2015), Embase (1980 to December 2015), Cochrane central register of controlled trials (central) (Issue 7, 2015), Sinomed (up to 2015), VIP Information (up to 2015), China National Knowledge Infrastructure (up to 2015) and Wangfang Data (up to 2015), without language restriction. AG of H. pylori origin, rather than autoimmune origin, was included in this study. Only randomized controlled trials (RCTs) were eligible for inclusion in this review. Trials should compare CHD (used alone or plus WM) versus WM. In WM group, patients with gastrointestinal symptoms (gastrectasia, stomach-ache, dyspepsia, etc.) should be alleviated by medications such as proton pump inhibitor; and patients with H. pylori should be treated with eradication therapy, such as triple therapy. AG should be diagnosed according to case history and pathological diagnosis (atrophy of the gastric mucosa, intestinal metaplasia, atypical hyperplasia, inflammatory cell infiltration, exposed sub-mucosal vessels). Diagnosis of H. pylori should be based invasively on endoscopic biopsy check with a rapid urease test, histological examination, or microbial culture; or noninvasively on a blood antibody test, stool antigen test, or carbon urea breath test. Efficacy is assessed by improvement of clinical manifestations (alleviation of gastrectasia, stomach-ache, dyspepsia, etc.), pathological diagnosis (alleviation of atrophy of the gastric mucosa, intestinal metaplasia, atypical hyperplasia, inflammatory cell infiltration, and exposed submucosal vessels), and the eradication of H. pylori. The authors of related studies were contacted to provide additional information on trials where required. Search terms used in this study were traditional Chinese medicine, herbal medicine, atrophic gastritis, randomized controlled trial, phytotherapy (both as medical subject heading (MeSH) and free text terms), or the following free text terms: herbal, Chinese medicine, traditional medicine, and the names of widely used formulae, such as Ban-Xia-Xia-Xin decoction, Wei-Su-Chong-Ji decoction, Xiao-Jian-Zhong decoction, Hou-Bu-Wen-Zhong decoction, etc. We also searched the reference lists of the original reports, reviews, and letters to the editor, case reports and meta-analyses of studies to identify studies which had not yet been included in the computerized databases. The last search was performed on 1st December 2015. Two reviewers (WJF, XYZ) independently assessed the eligibility of each study to be included in our meta-analysis using predesigned eligibility forms according to eligible criteria, and this was checked by another author (BY). Any disagreement was resolved by consensus between the two reviewers (SJS, ZM), adjudicated with the support of a third reviewer (BY).

Data collection process and data items

Data extraction were performed by three reviewers (WJF, XYZ, BY) with a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet (XP professional edition; Microsoft, Redmond, Washington, USA), and any disagreement was resolved by discussion. We consulted authors of the original studies through emails to get information if any problem occurred. The following data were collected: study design, sample size, therapeutic duration, criteria for efficacy judgment, intervention and control, eradication rate of *H. pylori*, clinical manifestation improvement, pathological improvement, adverse events.

Assessment of risk of bias

The studies were appraised independently by two authors (WJF, XYZ). Considering the different features of CHD from WM, we appropriately modified the Jadad scale, as some previous meta-analyses did. (Xu. et al.,2011; Zou et al., 2011) The modified Jadad scale was as follows: (1) was the study described as randomized? (2-properly with detailed description of randomization, 1-randomized but detail not reported, 0-inappropriate randomization); (2) was allocation concealment used? (2-properly used, 1-unclear, 0-not used); (3) was the blind method used? (2-double-blind, 1-single-blind, 0-open-label); (4) were dropout and follow-up reported? (1-numbers and reasons reported, 0-not

https://doi.org/10.21010/ajtcam.v14i4.33

reported); and (5) was the treatment based on TCM symptom types (also called *Bianzheng Lunzhi* in Chinese (Karchmer,2013))? (2-properly with detailed description, 1-mentioned but detail not reported, 0-not mentioned or inappropriate). A study with a quality score ≤ 2 was considered as a study at high risk of bias, a study with a quality score ≥ 5 was considered as a study at low risk of bias, and the left were at moderate risk of bias.

Summary measures and synthesis of results

We undertook separate synthesis for each comparison. Dichotomous data were summarized as relative risk (RR) with 95% Confident Intervals (CIs), and a random effects model (DerSimonian and Laird,1986) was used whether heterogeneity was found in order to gain a more conservative outcome. When the authors reported dichotomous data (effective or ineffective), we retrieved them directly. In studies where multiple strata were given to define improvement, we converted these outcomes into dichotomous data to permit the overall analysis. Since the included study use the same validated criteria for the judgement of cure, we grouped together *cure*, *significant improvement*, and *improvement* as effective and *no improvement*, *deterioration*, as ineffective. Publication bias was examined using funnel plot and Egger's tests. Heterogeneity between studies was tested using the inconsistency index (I²) statistic with a cutoff of 50%. The statistical analysis was carried out with RevMan 5.0 software (Copenhagen, The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2008) and Stata/SE version 10.0 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA).

Sensitivity analysis

Because the poor-quality of RCT design might lead to exaggerated estimates of intervention benefit (Kjaergard et al.,2001), sensitivity analyses were performed to evaluate the robustness of outcomes and identify sources of heterogeneity. We conducted predesigned sensitivity analyses among studies of low to moderate risk of bias (modified Jadad score ≤ 2).

Results

Study characteristics and risk of bias

The search strategy was shown in the flow diagram (Figure 1). We included 42 RCTs, involving 21 studies (n=2,024) comparing CHD monotherapy with WM and 21 studies (n=1,850) comparing integration of CHD and WM (Int. CHD-WM with WM). According to the modified Jadad scale, totally 25 RCTs are at moderate risk of bias, 18 are at high risk of bias (see Supplementary Table 1). Study design details of each RCTs were shown in Supplementary Table 2, and the relationship among Chinese *PinYin* names, Chinese names, English names and Latin names of herbs mentioned in Supplementary Table 2 were demonstrated in Supplementary Table 3.



Figure 1: Flow diagram of RCTs included (Note: RCT, randomized controlled trial)

https://doi.org/10.21010/ajtcam.v14i4.33

Supplementary Table 1: Modified Jadad score of the included RCTs 1. CHD vs. WM

Trial	Year	Randomized	Allocation concealment	Blind	Dropout	Treatment based on TCM syndromes	Modified Jadad score
Wei BQ	2003	1	0	0	0	2	3
Gong DH	2004	1	0	0	0	2	3
Li JR	2005	1	0	0	0	2	3
Wang HY	2005	1	0	0	0	1	2
Wei YQ	2005	1	0	0	0	2	3
Kan SY	2006	1	0	0	0	2	3
Meng ZJ	2006	1	0	0	0	2	3
Zhao HB	2006	1	0	0	0	0	1
Chen YJ	2007	1	0	0	0	1	2
Luo LB	2007	1	0	0	0	2	3
Zhao M	2007	1	0	0	0	2	3
Ou WE	2008	1	0	0	0	2	3
Wang ZM	2008	1	0	0	0	2	3
Xiao LD	2008	1	0	0	0	0	1
Shi CH	2009	1	0	0	0	2	3
Shu H	2009	1	0	0	0	2	3
Su XH	2009	2	0	0	0	2	4
Li LH	2010	1	0	0	0	0	1
Meng L	2010	1	0	0	0	1	2
Zhang YM	2011	1	0	0	0	2	3
Zhu XP	2013	1	0	0	0	2	3

https://doi.org/10.21010/ajtcam.v14i4.33

2. Int. CHD-WM vs. WM

Trial	Year	Randomized	Allocation concealment	Blind	Dropout	Treatment based on TCM syndromes	Modified Jadad score
Wang HB	2003	1	0	0	0	0	1
Yue WJ	2003	1	0	0	0	2	3
Zhou AX	2006	1	0	0	0	2	3
Shen Y	2007	1	0	0	0	0	1
Xiang SY	2007	1	0	0	0	0	1
Zhang DF	2008	1	0	0	0	0	1
Gao Z	2009	1	0		0	0	1
Huang GC	2009	1	0	0	0	1	2
Lei CH	2009	1	0	0	1	2	4
Ma J	2009	1	0	0	0	2	3
Song FL	2009	1	0	0	0	2	3
Liu HR	2010	2	0	0	0	0	2
Kuang YJ	2011	1	0	0	0	2	3
Wang XF	2011	1	0	0	0	2	1
Li SQ	2012	1	0	0	0	2	3
Zhang WH	2012	1	0	0	0	0	1
Han XF	2013	1	0	0	0	2	3
Wang J	2013	1	0	0	0	2	1
Shan Q	2014	1	0	0	0	2	3
Fu HK	2014	1	0	0	0	2	3
Liu DX	2014	1	0	0	0	0	1

Supplementary Table 2: Study	design details	of the included RCTs
1: CHD vs. WM		

Autho r	DOI/ Website	Duration of clinical trail	Year of publicatio n	Age [range]	Participants (male/femal e)	Number of Interventio n/ Control	Intervention	Control	Duration of therapy	Adverse events
Zhu XP	10.3969/j.issn .1003-5699.2 007.03.015	2003-2013	2013	[23, 67]	60 (43/17)	30/30	Chai-Hu-Shu-Gan Decoction [ChaiHu 20g, DanShen 20g, FuLing 20g, NanShaShen 15g, BaiZhu 14g, TaiYangHua 14g, ZeXie 14g, BaiShao 12g, ChiShao12g, RouDoukou 12g, TanXiang 6g, HuangLian 6g, BanXia 6g, ZhiGanCao 6g]	Domperidone, Bismuth Biskalcitrate, Triple therapy	28 days	Not reported
Zhang YM	10.3969/j.issn .1006-0979.2 011.08.004	2000-2010	2011	[28, 69]	120 (63/57)	60/60	Tianqi 5g, BanXie 6g, GanCao 10g, HuangQin 10g, ZhiKe 10g, BaiShao 12g, PuGongYing 15g, DangGui 15g, NanShaShen 15g, MaiDong 15g, BaiZhu 15g, DangShen 15g, DanShen 30g, HuangQi 45g	Colloidal Bismuth Pectin, Metronidazole, Domperidone, Amoxicillin	60 days	Not reported
Li LH	http://www.c nki.com.cn/A rticle/CJFDT OTAL-SCZY 201011038.ht m	2006-2009	2010	[33, 72]	55 (30/25)	30/25	HuangQi 30g, TaiZiShen 30g, BaiZhu 20g, ShanYao 30g, NanShaShen 15g, YuZhu 15g, BaiHe 15g, MaiDong 20g, NvZhenZi 20g, LiChang 20g, DanShen 30g, PuHuang 15g, GanCao 10g.	Vitacoenzyme Tablets, Colloidal Bismuth Pectin, Compound Pepsin	3 months	Not reported
Meng L	http://www.c nki.com.cn/A rticle/CJFDT otal-LZXB20 1004078.htm	2004-2008	2010	[41, 72]	84 (57/27)	56/28	Shan-Jia-Yu-Wei Decoction [PaoShanJia 6g, ZaoCi 10g, TongHuaGen 10g, ChaiHu 10g, FoShou 15g, ZhiKe 15g, TaoRen 10g, HongHua 10g, JiNeiJin 30g, JiaoSanXian 30g, HuangLian 10g, WuZhuYu 3g, PuGongYing 10g, FuLing 30g, BaiZhu 30g, BaiJi 30g, BaiShao 15g, GanCao 6g]	Vitacoenzyme Tablets, Domperidone	60 days	Not reported
Shi CH	10.3969/j.issn .1671-038X.2 009.06.018	2006-2009	2009	[17, 62]	76	46/30	Jian-Pi-Yi-Wei Decoction [TaiZiShen 25g, BaiZhu 10g, MuXiang 10g, ShaRen 10g, BeiMu10g, PuHuang 15g, LianQiao 12g, MoYuGu 15g, MaiDong 10g, NanShaShen 20g]	Vitacoenzyme Tablets or Gefarnate. Patients with H.pylori infection: Tinidazole, Amoxicillin, Omeprazole, patients with bile regurgitation: Talcid, patients with gastrectasia: Domperidone	90 days	Not reported
Su XH	http://d.g.wan fangdata.com. cn/Periodical	2000-2008	2009	No data	120 (60/60)	62/58	Gan-Cao-Xie-Xin Decoction [GanCao 10g, BanXie 12g, HuangQi 15g, HuangLian 10g, DangShen 30g, HuangQi 30g, ShanYao 15g, ChaiHu 15g]	Amoxicillin, Clarithromycin, Domperidone	3 months	Not reported

https://doi.org/10.21010/ajtcam.v14i4.33

_cczyxyxb20

	0906025.aspx									
Shu H	10.3969/j.issn .1005-7072.2 009.02.028	2004-2007	2009	[24, 65]	58 (23/35)	30/28	Shen-Shi-Yang-Wei Decoction [DangShen 20g, HuangQi 30g, BaiZhu 15g, DanShen 30g, DangGui20g, ChiShao 15g, GanCao 6g]	Domperidone, Vitacoenzyme Tablets. Patients with H. pylori: De Nol, Amoxicillin	intervention: 69days, control: less than 4 weeks	Not reported
Wang ZM	10.3969/j.issn .1009-5519.2 008.12.111	2005-2007	2008	[34, 65]	78 (46/32)	45/33	BanXie 12g, HuangLian 6g, HuangQi 12g, GanJiang 12g, TaiZiShen 20g, GanCao 9g, ChaiHu 12g, ZhiKe 15g, XiangFu 10g, ChuanXiong 12g, BaiShao 18g, MuXiang 12g, ShaRen 10g, FoShou 12g, FoShou 12g	Hydrotalcite tablet, Mosapride	3 months	Not reported
Ou WE	10.3969/j.issn .1003-7705.2 008.02.015	1994-2008	2008	No data	172 (106/66)	86/86	YanHuSuo 15g, DanShen 15g, ShanZha 15g, Tianqi 3g, PuHuang 10g, DangGui 20g, BaiJi 20g, RuXiang 10g, WuYao 10g, BaiHe 30g, BaiShao 15g, ShiHu 15g, NanShaShen 15g, GanCao 5g	Vitacoenzyme Tablets, Domperidone	3 months	one case reported urticaria in intervention
Xiao LD	10.3969/j.issn .1673-7717.2 008.05.051	2006-2007	2008	[19, 77]	60 (36/ 24)	30/30	Wei-Yan Decoction [PuGongYing 30g, BaiHuaSheSheCao 30g, HuangLian 6g, HuangQi 10g, DanShen 20g, HuangQi 15g, BaiZhu 15g, FuLing 15g, BanXie 10g, ChenPi 10g, WaLengZi 30g, MoYuGu 30g, HangUunga 15g, Castiora Sal	Omeprazole, Clarithromycin, Amoxicillin	intervention: 20 days, control: 7 days	Not reported
Chen YJ	10.3969/j.issn .1006-6233.2 007.03.030	2000-2006	2007	[23, 70]	92 (49/43)	50/42	Jian-Pi-Yang-Ying-He-Wei Decoction [TaiZiShen, WuZhiMaoTao, ShiHu, FoShou, YanHuSuo, NanShaShen, BaiJi, DanShen, MaiDong]	Marzulene S	24 weeks	Not reported
Luo LB	10.3969/j.issn .1000-7369.2 007.09.032	2002-2006	2007	[25, 73]	82 (55/27)	42/40	Man-Wei-Ning Decoction [DangShen 15g, WuZao 15g, ZhiKe 10g, DangGui 10g, BaoShao 20g, HuangQi 20g, YanHuSuo 12g, GanCao 6g, TianQi 4g]	Bismuth Potassium Citrate Capsules	8 weeks	six cases reported nausea, vomit or constipatio n in control
Zhao M	10.3969/j.issn .1000-7369.2 007.09.029	2007	2007	[31, 50]	64	32/32	(1) For TCM syndrome of Weakness of Spleen and Stomach: HuangQi 15g, DangShen 15g, BaiZhu 10g, FuLing 10g, BaiShao 10g, GanCao 10g, ShengJiang 10g, DaZao 6g, ShaRen 6g, (2) for TCM syndrome of Coke and Blood Stasis: BanXie 10g, ChenPi 6g, HouPu 12g, ZhiKe 10g, WuLingZhi 12g, ChuanXiong 10g, DanShen 20g, YanHuSuo 20g, (3) for TCM syndrome of Deficiency of Stomach-Ying: NanShaShen 15g, MaiDong 12g, DiHuang 12g, DangGui15g, ShiHu 10g, BaiShao 10g, WuMei 15g, GanCao 6g.	Amoxicillin, Chinese Goldthread Rhizome, Colloidal Bismuth Pectin1, Domperidone	8 weeks	Not reported
Meng ZJ	10.3969/j.issn .1005-5304.2 006.04.028	1998-2005	2006	[27, 70]	135	75/60	Wei-Shu Decoction [HuangQi 45g, DangShen 15g, BaiZhu 15g, ZhiKe 10g, MaiDong 15g, NanShaShen 15g, DangGui15g, BaiShao 12g, HuangQi 10g, PuGongYing 15g, BanXie 6g, DanShen 30g, TianQi 5g, GanCao 10g]	Amoxicillin, Metronidazole, Colloidal Bismuth Pectin1, Domperidone	8 weeks	Not reported
Kan SY	10.3969/j.issn	1997-2005	2006	[31, 64]	110 (65/45)	70/40	Yang-Ying-Rong-Wei-Wan [DiHuang, BeiShaShen,	Vitacoenzyme	90 days	Not

	.0257-358X.2 006.02.008						DangGui, ShouWuTeng, HuangLian, BaiShao, GouQiZi, MaiDong, BanXie, JiangHuang, BianDou, GanCao,	Tablets		reported
Zhao HB	10.3969/j.issn .1000-3649.2 006.09.037	2001-2005	2006	[18, 58]	90 (52/38)	49/41	Mai Ya] Wei-Ling-San [CaoGuo 70g, WuLingZhi 70g, RuXiang 65g, MoYao 65g, GuaLou 85g, BaiHuaSheSheCao 85g, HuangLian70g, BanXie 50g] (1) For TCM syndrome of Weakness of Spleen and	Sucralfate, Metronidazole	2 months	Not reported
Li JR	10.3969/j.issn .1000-1719.2 005.02.018	2004	2005	[31, 51]	72	36/36	Stomach: HuangQi 15g, DangShen 15g, BaiZhu 10g, FuLing 10g, BaiShao 10g, GuiZhi 6g, GanCao 10g, ShengJiang 10g, DaZao 6, ShaRen 6g, (2) for TCM syndrome of Coke and Blood Stasis: BanXie 10g, ChenPi 6g, FuLing 10g, HouPu 12g, BaiZhu 15g, WuLingZhi 12g, ChuanXiong 10g, YanHuSuo 20g, SanLeng 10g, JiangHuang 10g, GanCao 10g, DanShen 20g, YiYiRen 10g, ShaRen 6g, (3) for TCM syndrome of Deficiency of Stomach-Ying: NanShaShen 15g, MaiDong 12g, DiHuang 12g.	Amoxicillin, Chinese Goldthread Rhizome, Colloidal Bismuth Pectin1, Domperidone	8 weeks	Not reported
Wang HY	10.3969/j.issn.1 000-1719.2005. 12.037	2005	2005	[26, 63]	130 (7 /56)	100/30	DangGui15g, BaiShao 10g, ShiHu 10g, WuMei 15g, GanCao 6g Shen-Ji-Yang-Wei Decoction [HuangQi 15g, NanShaShen 10g, BaiShao 15g, YanHuSuo 10g, DanShen 10g, BaiJi 8g, BeiMu15g, GanCao 5g]	Omeprazole, Amoxicillin, Metronidazole Bismuth	4 weeks	Not reported
Wei YQ	10.3969/j.issn.1 004-745X.2005. 12.067	1990-2003	2005	[31, 65]	90 (54/36)	54/36	TaiZiShen 50g, HuangQi 50g, FuLing 30g, BaiZhu 12g, DanShen 15g, JiangHuang 15g, MuGua 12g, WuMei 9g, ChaiHu 9g, BaiHuaSheSheCao 30g	Capsules, Amoxicillincapsul e, Vitacoenzyme Tabletstablet, Domperidone	6 months	Not reported
Gong DH	10.3969/j.issn.1 672-951X.2004. 12.008	1998-2004	2004	[16, 67]	100 (58/42)	50/50	HuangQi 15g, BaiZhu 10g, FuLing 10g, NanShaShen 15g, MaiDong 15g, E'Jiao 10g, PuGongYing 15g, BaiHuaSheSheCao 15g, WuLingZhi 10g, PuHuang 10g, ZhiKe 10g, ShanZha 10g	tablet. Vitacoenzyme Tabletstablet, Folic Acidtablet, domperidone Patients with	2-4 months	Not reported
Wei BQ	10.3969/j.issn.0 256-7415.2003. 12.015	1998-2002	2003	No data	176 (95/81)	96/80	Wei-Ling Decoction [DangShen15g, FuLing, DanShen 15g, XiangFu 15g, JiaoSanXian 15g, PuGongYing 15g, GaoLiangJiang 10g, NvZhenZi 10g, BaiShao 10g, GuiZhi 10g, GanCao 6g, HuangQi 30g, BaiZhu 12g]	gastrectasia: Cisapride or Domperidone; patients with stomach-ache: Compound Belladonna Mixture, patients with anemia: Vitamin B and Folic Acid; patients with H.pylori infection: Amoxicillin, metronidazole, Colloidal Bismuth Pectin	45 days	Not reported

https://doi.org/10.21010/ajtcam.v14i4.33

2: Int. CHD-WM vs. WM

Author	DOI/ Website	Duration of clinical trail	Year of publication	Age [range]	Participants	Number of Intervention/ Control	Intervention	Control	Duration of therapy	Adverse events
Fu HK	http://lib.cqvi p.com/qk/939 43A/201403/ 48935322.ht ml	2010-2012	2014	[25, 69]	50 (19/31)	25/25	WM: Omeprazole, Amoxicillin, Clarithromycin CHD: DangShen 10g, DiHuang 10g, WuLingZhi 10g, PuHuang 10g, TaiZiShen 20g, GanCao 6g, CHD: HuangQi 30g, BaiZhu 12g, NanShaShen 15g, MaiDong 15g	Omeprazole, Amoxicillin, Clarithromycin	N/R	Not reported
Liu DX	10.3969/j.issn .1004-437X2 014.01.055	2010-2012	2014	[33, 36]	80 (59/21)	40/40	WM: Omeprazole, Vatacoenayme, Tinidazole, Clarithromycin CHD: HuangQi 15g, DangShen 10g, BaiShao 10g, NanShaShen 10g, DangGui10g, PuHuang 10g, WuLingZhi 10g, PuHuang 10g, ZhiGanCao 5g WM: Thiazole, PPI, Triple therapy or Quadruple	Omeprazole, Vatacoenayme, Tinidazole, Clarithromycin	2 months	Not reported
Shan Q	10.3969/j.issn .1004-7484(x).2014.06.038	2011-2013	2014	[38, 42]	112 (31/27)	54/58	therapy CHD: for patients with stagnation of liver-QI and stomach-QI: Chai-Hu-Shu-Gan-San; for patients with epigastralgia: Hua-Gan-Jian-He-Zuo-Jin-Wan; for patients with damp heat in the spleen and the stomach: Huang-Lian-Wen-Dan Decoction; for patients with weakness of the spleen and the stomach: Liu-Jun-Zi Decoction; for patients with Stomach yin deficiency: NanShaShen, MaiDong Decoction; patients with stomach and blood stasis: DanShen	Thiazole, PPI, Triple therapy or Quadruple therapy	6 months	Not reported
Wang J	10.3969/j.issn .1009-4393.2 013.6.109	2011-2012	2013	[22, 79]	128 (74/54)	64/64	Decoction WM: Vatacoenayme, Bismuth Biskalcitrate, Amoxicillin, Tinidazole, Domperidone CHD: DangShen 20g, BaiZhu 15g, HuangQi 20g, BaiShao 15g, FuLing 15g, DanShen 20g, DangGui 12g, YanHuSuo 15g, ShaRen 10g, TianQi 3g, E'Zhu 10g, MuXiang 10g, ChaiHu 10g	Vatacoenayme, Bismuth Biskalcitrate, Amoxicillin, Tinidazole, Domperidone	2 months	Not reported
Han XF	http://d.wanfa ngdata.com.c n/Periodical/j kbd-x201308 126	2010-2012	2013	[37, 78]	40 (19/27)	20/20	WM: Vatacoenayme, Amoxicillin CHD: Yi-Wei-Suo-Xing-Wei-Yan Decoction: DangShen 30g, PuGongYing 30g, ChuanXiong 10g, HuangQi30g, E'Zhu 10g, JiNeiJin 15g, TianQi 3g, DanShen 20g, DaHuang 5g, HouPu 5g, YanHuSuo 15g	Vatacoenayme, Amoxicillin	4 months	Not reported
Li SQ	doi: 10.3969/j.issn 1007-8231.20 12.11.067	2011	2012	[30, 65]	59 (41/17)	29/29	WM: Vatacoenayme, Colloidal Bismuth, Amoxicillin, Tinidazole, Domperidone CHD: HuangQi 30g, DangShen 20g, BaiZhu 10, FuLing 10g, BaiShao 15g, MaiDong 15g, ShiHu 15g, DiHuang 30g, E'Zhu 15g, FoShou 15g, QingDai 3g, ZhiGanCao 9g	Vatacoenayme, Colloidal Bismuth, Amoxicillin, Tinidazole, Domperidone	4 weeks	Not reported

Zhang WH	http://d.wanfa ngdata.com.c n/Periodical/j kbd-z201208 150	2005-2010	2012	[28, 72]	120	68/52	WM: Tinidazole, Clarithromycin, Domperidone, Vatacoenayme CHD: NanShaShen 10g, MaiDong 10g, ShiHu 10g, ZeXie 10g, BaiZhu 10g, BaiShao 10g, TaiZiShen 15g, DanShen 15g, HuangQi 12g, ShanYao 15g, FoShou 6g, MuXiang 6g, ShaRen 5g, ShengMa 6g, Dihuang 10g CHD: Wen-Yang-Hua-Tan Decoction: HuangQi 50g, YiYiRen 20g, DangShen 20g, BaiZhu 15g, FuLing 15g, GuiPi 10g, DingXiangZhi 10g, HuoXiang 10g, PeiLan 10g, ShaRen 5g WM: Vatacoenayme, Omeprazole, Metronidazole,	Tinidazole, Clarithromycin, Domperidone, Vatacoenayme	2 months	Not reported control: 12
Wang XF	http://www.c qvip.com/QK /87361X/201 103/1003588 945.html	2009-2010	2011	[19, 72]	136 (78/58)	68/68	CHD: Wen-Yang-Hua-Tan Decoction: HuangQi 50g, YiYiRen 20g, DangShen 20g, BaiZhu 15g, FuLing 15g, ShengJiang 10g, GuiZhi 10g, DingXiangZhi 10g, HuoXiang 10g, PeiLan 10g, ShaRen 5g	Vatacoenayme, Omeprazole, Metronidazole, Amoxicillin	6-8 weeks	with gastrointesti nal adverse reaction, such as nausea, vomiting, abdominal distention; intervention : six patients with minor gastrointesti nal adverse reaction
Kuang YJ	doi:10.3969/j. issn.1009-439 3.2011.19.10 1	2008-2010	2011	[21, 67]	120 (76/44)	60/60	WM: Bismuth Biskalcitrate, Omeprazole, Clarithromycin, Amoxicillin, Domperidone CHD: TianQi 3g, ZhiGanCao 5g, WuZhuYu 5g, ShaRen 6g, HuangLian 6g, NanShaShen 6g, DangGui 10g, BaiZhu 10g, HouPu 10g, HuangQi 15g, FuLing 15g, DangShen 20g, ShanYou 20g	Bismuth Biskalcitrate, Omeprazole, Clarithromycin, Amoxicillin, Domperidone	N/R	no patient with adverse reaction both in control and intervention
Liu HR	http://www.c qvip.com/QK /71135X/201 107/3489634 6.html	2003-2007	2010	[30, 63]	100 (59/41)	50/50	WM: Omeprazole, Clarithromycin, Tinidazole; CHD: Wei-Shu-Jian-Ji [ChaiHu, BaiShao, GanCao, ZhiKe, DaHuang, PuGongYing, FuLing, BaiZhu, ChuanLianZi, YanHuSuo, LiYa, DanShen]	Omeprazole, Clarithromycin, Tinidazole	6 months	Not reported
Gao Z	http://www.c qvip.com/Mai n/Detail.aspx ?id=3142227 6	1999-2007	2009	[25, 72]	83 (54/29)	46/37	WM: Colloidal Bismuth Pectin Vitacoenzyme Compound Pepsin; CHD: Zi-Yin-Hua-Yu-Ning-Wei Decoction [TaiZiShen 20g, BaiShao 20g, ShiHu 15g, WuMei 15g, GanCao 10g, E'Zhu 10g, ZiSuGeng 10g, TianQi 6g, HuangYaoZi 12g, ChuanLianZi 9g1	Colloidal Bismuth Pectin Vitacoenzyme Compound Pepsin;	12 months	Not reported
Lei CH	http://www.c	2009	2009	[33, 65]	68	34/34	WM: Metronidazole, Folic Acid;	Metronidazole, Folic	24 weeks	Not

	nki.com.cn/A						CHD: Si-Jun-Zi Decoction [RenShen 9g BaiZhu 9g	Acid		reported
	rticle/CJFDT otal-SYBD20 0904007.htm						FuLing 9g, WuLingZhi 8g, ChuanXiong 8g, BaiHuaSheSheCao 10g, GanCao 6g]			
	http://www.c						WM: Omeprazole, Clarithromycin, Amoxicillin;	Omeprazole,		
Ma J	qvip.com/Mai n/Detail.aspx ?id=3064830 2	2007-2008	2009	[30, 65]	60 (31/29)	30/30	CHD:Jian-Pi-Yi-Wei Decoction [HuangQi 20g, Dangshen 20g, BaiZhu 15g, GuiZhi 10g, DanShen 15g, ChiShao15g, ShanZha 10g, BaiShao 15g, E'Zhu 10g, GanCao 5g]	Clarithromycin, Amoxicillin, Vitamin E, Carotene	3 months	Not reported
							WM: Colloidal Bismuth Pectin capsule;			
Huang GC	10.3969/j.issn .1672-2779.2 009.04.071	2004-2007	2009	[30, 81]	85 (58/27)	45/40	 CHD: Ban-Xia-Xie-Xin Decoction [BanXie 10g, Dangshen 20g, HuangLian6g, HuangQi 10g, GanJiang 5g, GanCao 6g, PuGongYing 15g, ZhiKe 10g, MoYuGu 15g, BaiHuaSheSheCao 15g] CHD: for TCM syndrome of Weakness of Spleen and Stomach: Dangshen, HuangQi, ShanYao, GanCao, BaiZhu, GanJiang, NanShaShen, DaZao; for TCM syndrome of Liver Stomach Disharmony: ChaiHu, MuXiang, FoShou, BaiShao, NanShaShen, MaiDong, YuZhu, HuangLian, ShiHu, GanCao, YanHuSuo, Reed Rhizome; for TCM syndrome of Stagnation of Phlegm: ChenPi, FuLing, BanXie, BaiZhu, HouPu, HuangQi, GanJiang, GanCao; for TCM syndrome of Damp-Heat in Spleen and Stomach: HuangLian, HuangQi, PuGongYing, BanXie, ZhuRu, FuLing, YiYiRen, ZeXie, FoShou, ChenPi, ZhiKe; for TCM syndrome of Stomach Collateral Stasis: YanHuSuo, MoYao, WuLingZhi, CaoGuo, DanShen, ChiShao, E'Zhu, PuHuang TaoRen. 	Colloidal Bismuth Pectin capsule	12 weeks	Not reported
	http://lib.cqvi						WM: Metronidazole, Folic Acid;			
Song FL	p.com/qk/910 70A/200903/ 29592636.ht ml	2005-2007	2009	[33, 65]	68 (41/27)	34/34	CHD: Jia-Wei-Si-Jun-Zi Decoction [Dangshen 9g, BaiZhu 9g, FuLing 9g, WuLingZhi 8g, ChuanXiong 8g, BaiHuaSheSheCao 6g, GanCao 6g] WM: Omeprazole capsule, Amoxicillin capsule.	Metronidazole, Folic Acid	24 weeks	Not reported
Zhang DF	10.3969/j.issn .1001-6910.2 008.03.016	1999-2006	2008	[45, 70]	70 (41/29)	36/34	Metronidazole tablet, Folic Acid; CHD: Dan-shen-Yin plus Chai-Shao-Liu-Jun-Zi Decoction [HuangQi 3g, RenShen 6g, FuLingg, BaiZhu 6g, DanShen 3g, DangGui 12g, TanXiang 6g, ShaRen 6g, BanXie 6g, MuXiang 6g, BaiShao 12g, ChaiHu 10g, HuangQi 12g, GanCao 3g]	Omeprazole capsule, Amoxicillin capsule, Metronidazole tablet, Folic Acid	60-150 days	Not reported
Shen Y	10.3969/j.issn .1001-9448.2 007.03.068	2000-2006	2007	[17, 75]	132 (82/50)	69/63	WM: Bismuth Potassium Citrate Capsules, Domperidone, Weilesheng tablet, Cimetidine, metronidazole, Amoxicillin CHD: Dan-Shen-Yin plus Shi-Xiao-San [DanShen 30g, YanHuSuo 10g, TanXiang 10g, ShaRen 5g,	Bismuth Potassium Citrate Capsules, Domperidone, Weilesheng tablet, Cimetidine,	3 months	two cases reported rash in intervention

							ZiSuGeng 10g, JuLuo 10g, DaHuang 5g, GanCao 3g, PuHuang 10g, WuLingZhi 10g]	metronidazole, Amoxicillin		
							WM: Sucralfate tablet, Mosapride, Folic Acid;			
Xiang SY	10.3969/j.issn .1671-4040.2 007.05.007	2007	2007	[37, 68]	130 (72/58)	80/50	CHD: Fu-Fang-Wu-Shen Decoction [Dangshen 15g, NanShaShen 12g, XuanShen 10g, DanShen 10g, KuShen 10g, MaiDong 15g, HuangJing 10g, ChuanXiong 10g, HuangLian 10g, HuangQi 12g, ShiHu 15g, JinFeiCao 10g]	Sucralfate tablet, Mosapride, Folic Acid	3 months	Not reported
71	http://192.168 .89.197:8012/						WM: one chosen from Omeprazole, Lansoprazole, Bismuth Potassium Citrate, plus one chosen from Clarithromycin, Amoxicillin, Metronidazole	One chosen from Omeprazole, Lansoprazole, Bismuth		NI /
AX	ArticleDetail. aspx?id=2190 6480	2004-2006	2006	[29, 71]	60 (30/30)	30/30	CHD: Bu-Yi-Ha-Yu Decoction [HuangQi 30g, TaiZiShen 30g, NanShaShen 20g, MaiDong 20g, DangGui20g, DiHuang 30g, E'Zhu 10g, HongHua 6g, MoYao 10g, BaiHuaSheSheCao 30g]	Potassium Citrate, plus one chosen from Clarithromycin, Amoxicillin, Metronidazole.	2 months	Not reported
Wang HB	10.3870/j.issn .1004-0781.2 003.11.010	1999-2000	2003	54 patients >4 0, 11 patients <40:	65	35/30	WM: Colloidal Bismuth Pectin, Amoxicillin, Furazolidone; CHD: Wei-Fu-Jian-Ji [TaoRen 10g, HongHua 10g, ChuanXiong 10g, ChiShao10g, PuGongYing 10g, MuXiang 10g, GanCao 10g, HuangQi 20g, Dangshen 15g]	Colloidal Bismuth Pectin, Amoxicillin, Furazolidone	4 weeks	Not reported
Yue WJ	10.3760/cma.j .issn.1008-67 06.2003.11.0 55	1998-2003	2003	[31, 79]	85 (49/36)	58/27	WM: Colloidal Bismuth Pectin capsule, Tinidazole tablet, Vitamin C tablet, Folic Acid tablet; CHD: Xiang-Sha-Liu-Jun-Zi Decoction [XiangFu 15g, ShaRen 10g, RenShen 15g, BaiZhu 20g, FuLing 15g, GanCao 10g, ChenPi 10g, BanXie 10g] CHD: Chu-Pi-Yang-Wei Decoction: [Dangshen 15g, FuLing 15g, E'Zhu 15g, WuZhuYu 6g, MuXiang 9g, GanCao 6g, HuangJing 15g , ShanYao 30g, ShaRen 6g, HuangLian 6g, BaiJiangCao 30g]	Colloidal Bismuth Pectin capsule, Tinidazole tablet, Vitamin C tablet, Folic Acid tablet	6 weeks	Not reported

Pinyin Name	Chinese Name	Latin Name	English Name
BaiHe	百合	Lilium brownii var. viridulum	Greenish lily bulb
BaiHuaSheSheCao	白花蛇舌草	Hedyotis diffusa Spreng.	Spreading hedyotis herb
BaiJi	白及	Bletilla striata (Thunb.) Rchb.f.	Common bletilla tuber
BaiJiangCao	败酱草	Hlaspi arvense L	Dahurian patrinia herb
BaiShao	白芍	Paeonia lactiflora Pall.	White peony root
BaiZhu	白术	Atractylodes macrocephala Koidz	Largehead atractylodes rhizome
BanXie	半夏	Pinellia ternata (Thunb.) Breit.	Ternate pinellia
BeiMu	贝母	Fritillaria cirrhosa D.Don	Fritillaria
BeiShaShen	北沙参	Glehnia littoralis F.Schmidt ex Miq.	Coastal glehnia root
BianDou	扁豆	Lablab purpureus (L.) Sweet	Dolichos lablab
CaoGuo	草果	Amomum tsao-ko Crevost & Lemarié	Tsao-ko amomum fruit
ChaiHu	柴胡	Bupleurum chinense DC.	Chinese thorowax root
ChenPi	陈皮	Clausena lansium (Lour.) Skeels	Tangerine peel
ChiShao	赤芍	Paeonia anomala subsp. veitchii (Lynch) D.Y.Hong & K.Y.Pan	Red peony root
ChuanXiong	川芎	Cortia striata (DC.) Leute	Szechwan lovage rhizome
ChuanLianZi	川楝子	Melia toosendan Siebold & Zucc	Szechwan chinaberry fruit
DaHuang	大黄	Rheum palmatum L.	Rhubarb
DangGui	当归	Angelica sinensis (Oliv.) Diels	Chinese angelica

Supplementary Table 3: List of traditional Chinese herbs used in included studies

DangShen	党参	Codonopsis pilosula (Franch.) Nannf.	Codonopsis pilosula
DanShen	丹参	Salvia miltiorrhiza Bunge	Dan-shen root
DaZao	大枣	Ziziphus jujuba Mill	Common jujube
DiHuang	地黄	<i>Rehmannia glutinosa</i> (Gaetn) Libosch. ex Fisch. et Mey	Rehmannia root
DingXiangZhi	丁香枝	Syzygium aromaticum (L.) Merr.Et Perry	Clovetree twig
E'Jiao	阿胶	Colla Corii Aaini	Ass-hide gelatin
E'Zhu	莪术	Curcuma zedoaria (Christm.) Roscoe	Rhizoma curcumae
FoShou	佛手	Citrus medica var. sarcodactylus (Siebold ex Hoola van Nooten) Swingle	Finger citron fruit
FuLing	茯苓	Poria cocos Wolf [Fungi]	Indian buead
GanCao	甘草	Glycyrrhiza uralensis Fisch.	Liquorice root
GanJiang	干姜	Zingiber officinale Roscoe	Dried ginger
GaoLiangJiang	高良姜	Alpinia officinarum Hance	Lesser galangal rhizome
GouQiZi	枸杞子	Lycium barbarum L.	Barbury wolfberry fruit
GuaLou	瓜蒌	Trichosanthes kirilowii Maxim	Mongolian snakegourd fruit
GuiZhi	桂枝	Cinnamomum cassia (L.) J.Presl	Cassiabarktree twig
HongHua	红花	Carthamus tinctorius L.	Safflower
HouPu	厚朴	Citrus grandis (L.) Osbeck	Officinal magnolia bark
HuangJing	黄精	Polygonatum sibiricum F.Delaroche	Siberian solomonseal rhizome
HuangLian	黄连	Coptis chinensis Franch.	Chinese goldthread rhizome
HuangQi	黄芪	Astragalus membranaceus (Fisch.) Bunge	Astragalus membranaceus

HuangQin	黄芩	Scutellaria Linn.	Radix scutellariae		
HuangYaoZi	黄药子	Dioscorea bulbifera L.	Airpotato yam rhizome		
HuoXiang	藿香	Agastache rugosa (Fisch. & C.A.Mey.) Kuntze	Agastache rugosus		
JiangHuang	姜黄	Curcuma longa L.	Turmeric rhizome		
JiaoSanXian	焦三仙	Hordeum vulgare L Plus Crataegus pinnatifida Bunge Plus Massa Medicata Fermentata	Stir-baking fructus hordei germinatus et crataegt et massa fer-mentata medicinalis		
JiNeiJin	鸡内金	Gallus gallus domesticus Brisson	Corium stomachichum galli		
JinFeiCao	金沸草	Inula japonica Thunb.	Inula flower		
JuLuo	桔络	Citrus reticulata Blanco	Tangerine pith		
KuShen	苦参	Sophora flavescens Aiton	Lightyellow sophora root		
LianQiao	连翘	Forsythia suspensa (Thunb.) Vahl	Weeping forsythia fruit		
LiChang	鳢肠	Eclipta prostrata (L.) L.	Eclipta prostrata		
LiYa	粟芽	Setaria italica (L.) P.Beauv.	Foxtail millet sprout		
MaiDong	麦冬	Ophiopogon japonicus (Thunb.) Ker Gawl.	Dwarf lilyturf root tuber		
MaiYa	麦芽	Hordeum vulgare L	Malt		
MoYao	没药	Commiphora myrrha (Nees) Engl.	Myrrh		
MoYuGu	墨鱼骨	Sepia esculenta Hoyle	Cuttlebone		
MuGua	木瓜	Chaenomeles chinensis (Dum.Cours.) Koehne	Common floweringquine fruit		
MuXiang	木香	Rosa banksiae R.Br. Costusroot			
NanShaShen	南沙参	Adenophora tetraphylla (Thunb.) Fisch.	Upright ladybell root		
NvZhenZi	女贞子	Ligustrum lucidum W.T.Aiton	Glossy privet fruit		

PaoShanJia	炮山甲	Squama Manis	Parched pangolin scales
PeiLan	佩兰	Eupatorium fortunei Turcz.	Eupatorium fortunei
PuGongYing	蒲公英	Taraxacum mongolicum HandMazz.	Mongolian dandelion herb
PuHuang	蒲黄	Typha angustifolia L.	Cattail pollen
QingDai	青黛	Baphicacanthus cusia (Nees) Bremek	Indigo naturalis
RenShen	人参	Panax ginseng C.A.Mey.	Ginseng
RouDoukou	肉豆蔻	Myristica fragrans Houtt.	Fructus amomi rotundus
RuXiang	乳香	Boswellia carteri Birdw.	Frankincense
SanLeng	三棱	Sparganium stoloniferum (BuchHam. ex Graebn.) BuchHam. ex Juz	Common burreed tuber
ShanYao	山药	Dioscorea oppositifolia L.	Common yam rhizome
ShanZha	山楂	Crataegus scabrifolia (Franch.) Rehder	Chinese hawthorn fruit
ShaRen	砂仁	Amomum villosum Lour.	Villous amonmum fruit
ShengJiang	生姜	Zingiber officinale Roscoe	Fresh ginger
ShengMa	升麻	Cimicifuga foetida L.	Rhizoma cimicifugae
ShiHu	石斛	Dendrobium catenatum Lindl	Noble dendrobium stem herb
ShouWuTeng	首乌藤	Fallopia multiflora (Thunb.) Harald	Tuber fleeceflower stem and leaf
TaiYangHua	太阳花	Portulaca grandiflora	Portulaca grandiflora
TaiZiShen	太子参	Pseudostellaria heterophylla (Miq.) Pax	Pseudostellaria root
TanXiang	檀香	Gaultheria fragrantissima Wall	Sandalwood
TaoRen	桃仁	Prunus persica (L.) Batsch	Peach seed

Tianqi	田七	Panax pseudoginseng var. notoginseng (Burkill) G.Hoo & C.L.Tseng	Pseudo-ginseng
TongHuaGen	通花根	Tetrapanax papyrifer (Hook.) K.Koch	Ricepaperplant
WaLengZi	瓦楞子	Concha Arcae	Arc shell
WuLingZhi	五灵脂	Faeces Trogopterpri	Trogopterus dung
WuMei	乌梅	Prunus mume (Siebold) Siebold & Zucc.	Smoked plum
WuYao	乌药	Lindera aggregata (Sims) Kosterm.	Combined spicebush root
WuZao	乌枣	Diospyros lotus L.	Smoked jujube
WuZhiMaoTao	五指毛桃	Ficus simplicissima Lour.	Radix fici simplicissimae
WuZhuYu	吴茱萸	Tetradium ruticarpum (A.Juss.) T.G.Hartley	Medicinal evodia immature fruit
XiangFu	香附	Cyperus rotundus L.	Nutgrass galingale rhizome
XuanShen	玄参	Scrophularia oldhamii Oliv.	Figwort root
YanHuSuo	延胡索	Corydalis yanhusuo	Yanhusuo tuber
YiYiRen	薏苡仁	Coix lacryma-jobi L.	Ma-yuen jobstears seed
YuZhu	玉竹	Polygonatum odoratum (Mill.) Druce	Fragrant solomonseal rhizome
ZaoCi	皂刺	Gleditsia sinensis Lam.	Spina gleditsiae
ZeXie	泽泻	Alisma plantago-aquatica L.	Rhizoma alismatis
ZhiGanCao	炙甘草	Glycyrrhiza uralensis Fisch.	Prepared liquorice root
ZhiKe	枳壳	Citrus \times aurantium L.	Submature bitter orange
ZhuRu	竹茹	Sinocalamus beecheyanus (Munro) McClure	Bamboo shavings
ZiSuGeng	紫苏梗	Perilla frutescens (L.) Britton	Caulis perillae acutae

Eradication of H. pylori

There were 12 trials reporting the eradication rate of H. pylori. Remission of H. pylori infection was not achieved in

https://doi.org/10.21010/ajtcam.v14i4.33

121 (21.8%) of 554 patients randomized to receive CHD (alone or integrated with WM), compared with 180 (38.2%) of 471 patients received WM (RR=1.29; 95% CI 1.11-1.50) with significant heterogeneity between studies ($I^2=71\%$) (Figure 2). There was statistically significant funnel plot asymmetry (Egger's test p=0.044), suggesting evidence of publication bias or other small study effects.

In subgroup of CHD monotherapy versus WM, six trials reported the eradication rate of *H. pylori*. There was no significant difference between CHD and WM in *H. pylori* eradication (RR=1.12, 95% CI 0.95-1.32) (Figure 2), with significant heterogeneity between studies (I^2 =59%). However, the pooled data suggested that CHD with WM had beneficial effect over WM (RR=1.52, 95% CI 1.14-2.02), with significant heterogeneity between studies (I^2 =76%).

	CHM	WM]		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events 1	otal Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
1.1.1 CHD monoth	erapy vers	sus WM				
Kan SY2006	26	43 5	25	2.8%	3.02 [1.33, 6.87]	
Ou WE2008	54	61 45	62	11.8%	1.22 [1.02, 1.46]	
Su XH2009	43	62 42	58	10.6%	0.96 [0.76, 1.20]	-
Wei BQ2003	69	78 55	65	12.7%	1.05 [0.92, 1.19]	+
Wei YQ2005	36	54 18	36	7.5%	1.33 [0.91, 1.94]	+- -
Xiao LD2008	21	30 22	30	8.7%	0.95 [0.69, 1.31]	- -
Subtotal (95% CI)		328	276	54.1%	1.12 [0.95, 1.32]	•
Total events	249	187				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.02; Chi ² =	12.13, df = 5	(P = 0.0)3); l² = 59	1%	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.40 (P	= 0.16)	•			
1.1.2 Int. CHD-WM	versus W	M				
Gao N 2002	44	46 33	40	12.2%	1.16 [0.99, 1.35]	-
Huang GC 2009	26	31 14	29	7.0%	1.74 [1.16, 2.61]	
Li SH 2009	18	21 10	20	6.0%	1.71 [1.07, 2.75]	
Liu HR 2010	22	42 9	41	4.0%	2.39 [1.25, 4.55]	
Ma J 2009	22	30 21	30	8.7%	1.05 [0.76, 1.44]	
Xiang SY 2007	52	56 17	35	8.1%	1.91 [1.35, 2.71]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		226	195	45.9%	1.52 [1.14, 2.02]	•
Total events	184	104				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.09; Chi ² =	20.44, df = 5	(P = 0.0)01); l² = 7	'6%	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.87 (P	= 0.004)				
Total (95% Cl)		554	471	100.0%	1.29 [1.11, 1.50]	•
Total events	433	291				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.04; Chi ² =	38.31, df = 11	(P < 0	.0001); l² :	= 71%	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.25 (P	= 0.001)	-			U.1 U.2 U.5 1 2 5 1L
Test for subaroup diffe	erences: Not	applicable				Favours wim Favours CHM

Figure 2: Efficacy of CHD compared with WM in eradication of H. pylori

Note: CHD, Chinese herbal decoction; WM, western medicine; Int. CHD-WM, integrated Chinese herbal decoction and western medicine; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. Each point on the figure represents a relative risk (RR). The diamond represents the pooled estimate of effect, as calculated according to the random effects model. RR<1 means numerically lower response rate than WM, and RR>1 numerically higher response rate than WM. 95% CI doesn't include the number 1 means statistical difference between the two groups.

Clinical manifestations improvement

In total, 38 trials compared CHD (alone or integrated with WM) with WM involving 3,812 patients reported clinical manifestations improvement rate. There are 173 (8.3%) of 2,091 assigned to CHD (alone or integrated with WM) who failed to improve clinical manifestations, compared with 503 (28.8%) of 1,747 patients allocated to WM (RR=1.28; 95% CI 1.22-1.34), without significant heterogeneity between studies (I^2 =44%) (Figure 3). Evidence of publication bias was observed (Egger's test p=0.000).

In subgroup of CHD monotherapy versus WM, 25 studies reported the clinical manifestations improvement rate. The pooled data suggested that CHD had beneficial effect over WM (RR=1.28, 95% CI 1.22-1.35), without significant heterogeneity between studies ($I^2=34\%$). While Int. CHD-WM was found to be beneficial over WM alone (RR=1.27, 95% CI 1.16-1.39), with significant heterogeneity between studies ($I^2=60\%$). There was statistically significant funnel plot asymmetry in the two subgroups (Egger's test p=0.0017, p=0.0019, respectively), suggesting evidence of publication bias.

	CHM		WM			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total Ev	ents	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
1.2.1 CHD monothe	erapy ve	rsus WM	I				
Chen YJ2007	46	50	32	42	3.0%	1.21 [1.00, 1.46]	-
Gong DH2004	48	50	31	50	2.5%	1.55 [1.24, 1.94]	
Kan SY2006	61	70	21	40	1.6%	1.66 [1.22, 2.26]	
Li BX2005	50	56	18	30	1.6%	1.49 [1.10, 2.02]	
Li JR2005	34	36	27	36	2.8%	1.26 [1.03, 1.55]	
Li LH2010	29	30	19	25	2.4%	1.27 [1.01, 1.60]	
Li RZ2009	223	237	180	229	5.6%	1.20 [1.11, 1.29]	—
Liu TK2008	29	32	14	30	1.0%	1.94 [1.30, 2.89]	
Ma ZH2008	48	56	26	35	2.5%	1.15 [0.92, 1.44]	
Meng L2010	61	66	55	66	4.3%	1.11 [0.98, 1.26]	
Meng ZJ2006	69	75	40	60	3.0%	1.38 [1.14, 1.67]	
Ou WE2008	83	86	58	86	3.7%	1.43 [1.23, 1.67]	
Shi CH2009	40	46	22	30	2.2%	1.19 [0.93, 1.51]	
Shu H2009	27	30	19	28	1.8%	1.33 [1.00, 1.76]	
Su XH2009	54	62	38	58	2.7%	1.33 [1.08, 1.64]	
Wang HY2005	89	100	19	30	1.8%	1.41 [1.06, 1.86]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Wang QS2007	29	32	15	24	1.4%	1.45 [1.04, 2.02]	
Wang SH2008	30	40	7	24	0.4%	2.57 [1.34, 4.92]	
Wang ZM2008	41	45	27	33	3.1%	1.11 [0.93, 1.34]	
Wei BQ2003	88	96	58	80	3.8%	1.26 [1.09, 1.47]	
Wei YQ2005	50	54	25	36	2.4%	1.33 [1.06, 1.68]	
Yan Q2003	37	40	27	38	2.5%	1.30 [1.04, 1.62]	
Zhang XJ2010	46	50	22	30	2.4%	1.25 [1.00, 1.58]	
Zhao HB2006	45	49	34	41	3.5%	1.11 [0.94, 1.30]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Zhao M2007	30	32	23	32	2.3%	1.30 [1.03, 1.65]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	4007	1520	057	1213	64.5%	1.28 [1.22, 1.35]	•
l otal events	1387	- 00 54 4	857	/n – 0	05). 12 - 04	0/	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0).01; Chi"	= 36.54, Œ	r = 24	(P = 0	.05); 1² = 34	%	
lest for overall effect: 2	2 = 9.67 (P	< 0.0000	1)				
1.2.2 Int. CHD-WM	versus V	VM					
Gao N 2002	42	46	30	40	2.8%	1.22 [1.00, 1.49]	
Gao Z 2009	46	46	21	37	1.8%	1.75 [1.32, 2.31]	
Huang GC 2009	41	45	24	40	1.9%	1.52 [1.16, 1.99]	
Lei CH 2009	32	34	29	34	3.5%	1.10 [0.94, 1.30]	
Li DF 2010	35	40	30	40	2.6%	1.17 [0.94, 1.44]	
Li SH 2009	28	30	23	30	2.5%	1.22 [0.98, 1.52]	
Liu HR 2010	43	50	30	50	2.1%	1.43 [1.11, 1.84]	
Ma J 2009	28	30	24	30	2.8%	1.17 [0.95, 1.43]	—
Shen Y 2007	66	69	39	63	2.8%	1.55 [1.26, 1.89]	
Wang HB 2003	35	35	28	30	4.7%	1.07 [0.96, 1.20]	+
Xiang SY 2007	75	80	37	50	3.3%	1.27 [1.06, 1.51]	
Zhang DF 2008	33	36	27	34	2.9%	1.15 [0.95, 1.41]	+
Zhou AX 2006	27	30	19	30	1.7%	1.42 [1.06, 1.91]	
Subtotal (95% Cl)		571		508	35.5%	1.27 [1.16, 1.39]	•
Total events	531		361			-	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = ().02; Chi ² :	= 29.90, đ	f = 12	(P = 0	.003); l² = 6	0%	
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 5.27 (P	v < 0.0000	1)	·	-		
Total (95% CI)		2091		1721	100.0%	1.28 [1.22. 1.34]	•
Total events	1918		1218				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = ().01; Chi ² :	= 66.29. d	f = 37	(P = 0	.002); l² = 4	4% -	
Test for overall effect: Z	z = 10.88 (P < 0.000	01)				0.5 0.7 1 1.5 2
Test for subaroup differ	ences: No	t applicab	le				Favours wm Favours CHM

Figure 3: Efficacy of CHD compared with WM in clinical manifestations improvement

Note: CHD, Chinese herbal decoction; WM, western medicine; Int. CHD-WM, integrated Chinese herbal decoction and western medicine; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. Each point on the figure represents a relative risk (RR). The diamond represents the pooled estimate of effect, as calculated according to the random effects model. RR<1 means numerically lower response rate than WM, and RR>1 numerically higher response rate than WM. 95% CI doesn't include the number 1 means statistical difference between the two groups.

Pathological improvement

Totally, 20 trials compared CHD (alone or integrated with WM) with WM in 1,959 patients reported pathological improvement. 154 (14.9%) of 1034 patients using CHD (alone or integrated with WM) failed to improve pathological change, compared with 360 (46.0%) of 925 patients using WM (RR=1.42; 95% CI 1.30-1.54), without significant heterogeneity between studies (I²=48%) (Figure 4). Evidence of publication bias was observed (Egger's test p=0.002).

In subgroup of CHD monotherapy versus WM, 13 studies reported pathological improvement rate. The result suggested that CHD had beneficial effect over WM (RR=1.33, 95% CI 1.22-1.45), without significant heterogeneity between studies (I^2 =36%). In subgroup of Int. CHD-WM versus WM, Int. CHD-WM was found to be beneficial over 315

https://doi.org/10.21010/ajtcam.v14i4.33

WM (RR=1.57, 95% CI 1.37-1.80), without significant heterogeneity between studies ($I^2=11\%$). Statistically significant funnel plot asymmetry was only found in subgroup of CHD monotherapy versus WM (Egger's test p=0.016), suggesting evidence of publication bias or other small study effects.

	СНМ	WM			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events To	tal Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl			
1.3.1 CHD monotherapy versus WM									
Li BX2005	43	56 14	30	3.3%	1.65 [1.09, 2.48]	—			
Li JR2005	33	36 19	36	4.5%	1.74 [1.26, 2.40]	· · · · ·			
Li RZ2009	209 2	27 173	229	11.4%	1.22 [1.12, 1.32]				
Liu TK2008	22	32 12	30	2.4%	1.72 [1.05, 2.82]				
Luo LB2007	37	42 29	40	6.9%	1.22 [0.97, 1.52]	+-			
Shi CH2009	36	46 12	30	2.7%	1.96 [1.23, 3.11]				
Shu H2009	21	30 13	28	2.7%	1.51 [0.95, 2.39]				
Su XH2009	56	62 41	58	8.0%	1.28 [1.06, 1.54]	 -			
Wang ZM2008	41	45 27	33	8.0%	1.11 [0.93, 1.34]	+ - -			
Xiao LD2008	21	30 16	30	3.3%	1.31 [0.87, 1.97]	+			
Yan Q2003	34	37 24	33	6.7%	1.26 [1.00, 1.59]	—			
Zhao HB2006	46	49 29	41	7.3%	1.33 [1.08, 1.64]	 -			
Zhao M2007	29	32 17	32	4.2%	1.71 [1.21, 2.41]				
Subtotal (95% CI)	7:	24	650	71.5%	1.33 [1.22, 1.45]	◆			
Total events	628	426							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.01; Chi ² = 1	8.89, df = 12	(P = 0	.09); l² = 3	6%				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 6.46 (P <	0.00001)							
1.3.2 Int. CHD-WM	versus WN	I							
Huang GC 2009	40	45 25	40	5.9%	1.42 [1.10, 1.85]	- • -			
Li DF 2010	30	40 21	40	4.2%	1.43 [1.01, 2.02]				
Liu HR 2010	37	50 29	50	5.3%	1.28 [0.96, 1.70]	—			
Shen Y 2007	58	66 29	62	5.4%	1.88 [1.42, 2.49]				
Song FL 2009	27	34 13	33	2.8%	2.02 [1.28, 3.18]				
Yue WJ 2003	37	45 8	20	2.0%	2.06 [1.18, 3.58]				
Zhou AX 2006	23	30 14	30	3.0%	1.64 [1.07, 2.53]				
Subtotal (95% CI)	3	10	275	28.5%	1.57 [1.37, 1.80]	◆			
Total events	252	139							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ² = 6	.71, df = 6 (F	P = 0.35	5); I² = 119	6				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 6.48 (P <	0.00001)							
Total (95% CI)	10	34	925	100.0%	1.42 [1.30, 1.54]	•			
Total events	880	565							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.02; Chi ² = 3	6.52, df = 19	(P = 0	.009); l² =	48% -				
Test for overall effect: Z = 7.92 (P < 0.00001)									
Test for subaroup diffe	Favours WM Favours CHM								

Figure 4: Efficacy of CHD compared with WM in pathological improvement

Note: CHD, Chinese herbal decoction; WM, western medicine; Int. CHD-WM, integrated Chinese herbal decoction and western medicine; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. Each point on the figure represents a relative risk (RR). The diamond represents the pooled estimate of effect, as calculated according to the random effects model. RR<1 means numerically lower response rate than WM, and RR>1 numerically higher response rate than WM. 95% CI doesn't include the number 1 means statistical difference between the two group*Adverse events*

Only minor side effects, such as urticarial, rash, and slight gastrointestinal discomfort, were found in CHD group (shown in Supplementary Table 2). There are no statistical differences in side effects between CHD (alone or with WM) and WM.

Sensitivity analysis

In order to evaluate the robustness of outcomes and identify sources of heterogeneity, we conducted prespecified sensitivity analyses. Totally 25 RCTs are at moderate risk of bias, 18 are at high risk of bias. In subgroup of CHD monotherapy the number is 15 (moderate) and six (high), while in subgroup of Int. CHD-WM the number is 10 (moderate) and 11 (high) (see Supplementary Table 1). The results were similar in direction and magnitude to the primary results expect the eradication rate of *H. pylori*, suggesting the robustness of most results in this study. However heterogeneity between trials still existed in the some outcomes (Table 1).

	Number of studies	Number of subjects	RR	95% CI	I ² value
Eradication rate of <i>H. pylori</i>					
CHM (alone or integrated with WM) versus WM	5	544	1.16	[0.96, 1.41]	66%
CHM versus WM	5	544	1.16	[0.96, 1.41]	66%
Int. CHM-WM versus WM	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Clinical manifestations impre	ovement				
CHM (alone or integrated with WM) versus WM	20	2,213	1.27	[1.22, 1.33]	12%
CHM versus WM	16	1,939	1.29	[1.23, 1.36]	10%
Int. CHM-WM versus WM	4	274	1.18	[1.07, 1.31]	0%
Pathological improvement					
CHM (alone or integrated with WM) versus WM	12	1,284	1.44	[1.27, 1.63]	60%
CHM versus WM	9	1,092	1.35	[1.20, 1.52]	53%
Int. CHM-WM versus WM	3	192	1.87	[1.42, 2.45]	0%

Table 1: Sensitivity analyses of efficacy of CHM compared with WM in AG

Note: N/A, not applicable; AG, atrophic gastritis; CHM, Chinese herbal medicine; WM, western medicine; Int. CHM-WM, integrated Chinese herbal medicine and western medicine; RR, relative risk; CI, confidence interval.

Discussion

Herbal decoction is a concentrated herbal tea in which raw roots, berries and barks are lightly simmered for hours to extract the useful constituents. Compared with Chinese herbal patent medicines, which is the ready-made pills or capsules of herbal extracts as products of modern pharmaceutical industry, CHD is considered to have more advantages such as flexibility in treatment and strictly following the basic TCM theory of *Bianzheng Lunzhi* strictly. Our study is the first systematic review and meta-analysis evaluating the efficacy and safety of all kinds of decoctions in the treatment of AG according to TCM symptom types. The results demonstrated that: 1) CHD may be more effective than WM in ameliorating clinical manifestations of AG; 2) CHD may be more effective than WM in reverting the precancerous lesions of AG; 3) CHD with WM may be more effective than WM in reverting the precancerous lesions of AG; 3) CHD with WM may be more effective than WM in reverting the precancerous lesions of AG; 3) CHD with WM may be more effective than WM in reverting the precancerous lesion of AG. Evidence from sensitivity analyses revealed that the primary results were relatively stable. However, similar conclusions cannot be drawn in the *H. pylori* eradication rate because of the significant heterogeneity between studies (I²>50%) and low robustness confirmed by sensitivity analysis.

Our findings supported the clinical use of CHD for the alleviation of AG-related symptoms and pathologic change, which is consistent with the evidence from previous experimental studies. Pathologic changes and clinical symptoms of AG are mainly caused by *H. pylori*-related chronic inflammation in human gastric epithelial cells. Some herbs inhibits the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) prostaglandin E (2), cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), and interleukin (IL)-8 (Wang et al., 2012; Yu et al., 2013; Zaidi et al., 2012), and the strong anti-inflammatory activity can effectively protect gastric epithelial cells from gastric ulcer and cancer. Some herbs, such as *Abrus cantoniensis* Hance, have potent anti-*H. pylori* activity (Li et al., 2005; Safavi et al., 2015). However, the clinical efficacy of CHD to eradicate *H. pylori* in AG patients could not be concluded in the present study. We hypothesized the clinical and pathologic improvement of AG patients were more likely to be caused by the strong activity of CHD to inhibit *H. pylori*-related inflammation, than the eradication of *H. pylori* itself. Hence, we recommended that CHD be used as an adjunctive therapy to WM, but not used as an alternative to antibiotics for *H. pylori* eradication.

We included various decoctions for treating different TCM symptom types related to AG, and used the modified Jadad scale with a new scoring item of *Bianzheng Lunzhi*. This study design made our research strictly follow the TCM therapeutic theory. The basic therapeutic theory of *Bianzheng Lunzhi* is fundamentally different from that of WM. In the *Bianzheng Lunzhi* theory, a TCM physician should take the body, mind and spirit into account to decide which symptom type (not a "disease") each patient belongs to (Chen et al., 2003). Based on TCM syndrome differentiation, diseases should be further classified into different clinical types for therapy. Hence, different kinds of decoctions can be used, and dosage and/or formula in a certain decoction can be added or subtracted according to individual's symptom types and changing states of disease. The personalized therapy according to the symptom type differentiation is the

https://doi.org/10.21010/ajtcam.v14i4.33

guarantee of its efficacy and should be integrated into clinical trial design (Flower et al., 2012). Unlike previous studies focusing on a certain herb or decoction, our study adequately considered this individual-based therapeutic features, and made an overall evaluation of all kinds of prescriptions such as *Chai-Hu-Shu-Gan* decoction, *Shan-Jia-Yu-Wei* decoction, *Jian-Pi-Yi-Wei* decoction, and *Gan-Cao-Xie-Xin* decoction for various TCM symptom types.

Limitations of this review are as follows. Firstly, all the 42 articles that met the eligible criteria were at moderate to high risk of bias. Although sensitivity analyses excluding studies at high risk of bias found that the results were relatively stable, potential bias would exaggerate the efficacy to some extent (Kjaergard et al., 2001). Secondly, heterogeneity was observed in some results, especially the results of eradication rate of *H. pylori*. However, source of heterogeneity was failed to be identified by sensitivity analysis and subgroup analysis. Thirdly, publication bias, which might come from language bias, would potentially compromise the validity of some results and led to optimistic outcomes for treatment. Fourthly, our findings provided insufficient precision in the correlation between medical herbs and clinical outcomes. In fact, practitioners of Chinese medicine always prescribe mixtures of plants (decoction) instead of single plant as therapy. Therefore most RCTs regarding traditional Chinese medicine for atrophic gastritis is designed to evaluate the efficacy of decoctions. It is hard for us to evaluate the efficacy of certain plant for gastritis management using the meta-analysis. Last but not least, the herbs mentioned in all the included studies were not validated taxonomically. Although an overall analysis on efficacy of CHD for AG could be performed based on these studies, the inadequate taxonomical information limited the further species-level review on some specific herbs.

Conclusions

We recommended that CHD be prescribed as a complementary therapy to WM for atrophic gastritis, but its monotherapy for *H. pylori* eradication is not confirmed by existing clinical evidence. The evidence should be further strengthened because studies at low risk of bias were scarce. More large-scale, multicenter, prospective RCTs are needed therefore. We believe this article will stimulate further evaluation of CHD for AG therapy.

Author contributions

Qing-cai Wang and Ming Zhong act as guarantors for the validity of the study report. Study concept and design: Wen-jie Fang. Acquisition of data: Wen-jie Fang, Xin-ying Zhang and Bo Yang. Checking of data: Xin-ying Zhang and Bo Yang. Analysis and interpretation of data: Xin-ying Zhang. Drafting of the manuscript: Wen-jie Fang. Critical revision of the manuscript: Min Chen, Wan-qing Liao and Wei-hua Pan. Statistical analysis: Wen-jie Fang.

Acknowledgements

We are thankful for the funds provided by 973 Program (2013CB531601 and 2013CB531606), the Severe Infectious Diseases program of the National Health Department (2014ZX09J14106-02A), Institute of Translational Medicine of Changzheng hospital (CZ2016ZH07), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number 81201269, 31270180); Shanghai Science and Technology Committee (grant number 14DZ2272900 and 14495800500).

References

- 1. Adamu, M.A., Weck, M.N., Gao, L., Brenner, H., (2010). Incidence of chronic atrophic gastritis: systematic review and meta-analysis of follow-up studies. Eur J Epidemiol 25: 439 448.
- Aoki, K., Kihaile, P.E., Wenyuan, Z., Xianghang, Z., Castro, M., Disla, M., Nyambo, T.B., Misumi, J., (2005). Comparison of prevalence of chronic atrophic gastritis in Japan, China, Tanzania, and the Dominican Republic. Ann Epidemiol 15: 598 - 606.
- 3. Chen, F., Wei, B., Yao, W., Luo, X., (2003). Kang wei granules in treatment of gastropathy related to *Helicobacter pylori* infection. J Tradit Chin Med 23,27-31.
- 4. DerSimonian, R., Laird, N., (1986). Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 7: 177 188.
- 5. Eid, R., Moss, S.F., (2002). *Helicobacter pylori* infection and the development of gastric cancer. New Engl J Med 346: 65 67.
- 6. Flower, A., Witt, C., Liu, J.P., Ulrich-Merzenich, G., Yu, H., Lewith, G., (2012). Guidelines for Randomized controlled trials investigating Chinese herbal medicine. J Ethnopharmacol 140: 550 554.
- 7. Gisbert, J.P., Pajares, R., Pajares, J.M., (2007). Evolution of *Helicobacter pylori* therapy from a meta-analytical perspective. Helicobacter 12 Suppl 2: 50 58.
- 8. Graham, D.Y., Fischbach, L., (2010). *Helicobacter pylori* treatment in the era of increasing antibiotic resistance. Gut 59: 1143 1153.
- 9. Gumurdulu, Y., Serin, E., Ozer, B., Kayaselcuk, F., Ozsahin, K., Cosar, A.M., Gursoy, M., Gur, G., Yilmaz, U., Boyacioglu, S., (2004). Low eradication rate of *Helicobacter pylori* with triple 7-14 days and quadriple therapy in Turkey. World J Gastroente 10: 668 671.

- 10. Higuchi, K., Arakawa, T., Ando, K., Fujiwara, Y., Uchida, T., Kuroki, T., (1999). Eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* with a Chinese herbal medicine without emergence of resistant colonies. Am J Gastroenterol 94: 1419 1420.
- 11. Karchmer, E.I., (2013). The excitations and suppressions of the times: locating the emotions in the liver in modern Chinese medicine. Cult Med Psychiatry 37: 8 29.
- 12. Kato, I., Tominaga, S., Ito, Y., Kobayashi, S., Yoshii, Y., Matsuura, A., Kameya, A., Kano, T., (1992). Atrophic gastritis and stomach cancer risk: cross-sectional analyses. Jap J Cancer Res 83: 1041 1046.
- 13. Kjaergard, L.L., Villumsen, J., Gluud, C., (2001). Reported methodologic quality and discrepancies between large and small randomized trials in meta-analyses. Ann Intern Med 135: 982 989.
- 14. Li, Y., Xu, C., Zhang, Q., Liu, J.Y., Tan, R.X., (2005). In vitro anti-*Helicobacter pylori* action of 30 Chinese herbal medicines used to treat ulcer diseases. J Ethnopharmacol 98: 329 333.
- 15. Lu, A.P., Chen, K.J., (2015). Improving clinical practice guideline development in integration of traditional Chinese medicine and Western medicine. Chin J Integr Med 21: 163 165.
- 16. Megraud, F., (2004). H pylori antibiotic resistance: prevalence, importance, and advances in testing. Gut 53: 1374 1384.
- 17. Meng, L., Yang, Z.D., (2010). Clinical Observation of Shanjia Yuwei Tang Used to Cure Atrophic Gastritis. J Liaoning Univ of Tradit Chin Med 12: 159 160. [in Chinese]
- 18. Qin, F., Liu, J.Y., Yuan, J.H., (2013). Chaihu-Shugan-San, an oriental herbal preparation, for the treatment of chronic gastritis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Ethnopharmacol 146: 433 439.
- 19. Rugge, M., Pennelli, G., Pilozzi, E., Fassan, M., Ingravallo, G., Russo, V.M., Di, M.F., (2011). Gastritis: the histology report. Digest liver Dis 43 Suppl 4: S373 384.
- 20. Safavi, M., Shams-Ardakani, M., Foroumadi, A., (2015). Medicinal plants in the treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infections. Pharm Biol 53: 939 960.
- Song, F.L., Lin, Y.F., Li, H.R., Lu, Y.P., Yang, Z., Gao, W.Y., Gong, Y., Liu, Y., Chen, S.Q., Wang, C.H., (2009). Effect of Jiawei Sijunzi decoction based triple therapy on atrophic gastritis and expression of PCNA. Chin J Tradit Chin Med Pharm 24: 367-369. [in Chinese]
- Tang, X.D., Zhou, L.Y., Zhang, S.T., Xu, Y.Q., Cui, Q.C., Li, L., Lu, J.J., Li, P., Lu, F., Wang, F.Y., Wang, P., Bian, L.Q., Bian, Z.X., (2016). Randomized double-blind clinical trial of Moluodan for the treatment of chronic atrophic gastritis with dysplasia. Chin J Integr Med 22: 9 - 18.
- 23. Taş, Akbal, E., Koçak, E., Köklü, S., (2011). Moxifloxacin-tetracycline-lansoprazole triple therapy for first-line treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection: a prospective study. Helicobacter 16: 52 54.
- Wang, Q.S., Cui, Y.L., Dong, T.J., Zhang, X.F., Lin, K.M., (2012). Ethanol extract from a Chinese herbal formula, "Zuojin Pill", inhibit the expression of inflammatory mediators in lipopolysaccharide-stimulated RAW 264.7 mouse macrophages. J Ethnopharmacol 141: 377 - 385.
- 25. Weck, M.N., Brenner, H., (2006). Prevalence of chronic atrophic gastritis in different parts of the world. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 15: 1083 1094.
- 26. Weck, M.N., Stegmaier, C., Rothenbacher, D., Brenner, H., (2007). Epidemiology of chronic atrophic gastritis: population-based study among 9444 older adults from Germany. Aliment Pharm Therap 26: 879 887.
- 27. Xia, J., (2004). Medicinal herbs used in pairs for treatment of 98 cases of chronic gastritis. J Tradit Chin Med 24: 208 209.
- 28. Xu FY, Yang B, Shi D, Li H, Zou Z, Shi XY. (2011). Antihypertensive effects and safety of eprosartan: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Eur J Clin Pharmacol, 2: 195 205.
- Yu, T., Moh, S.H., Kim, S.B., Yang, Y., Kim, E., Lee, Y.W., Cho, C.K., Kim, K.H., Yoo, B.C., Cho, J.Y., Yoo, H.S., (2013). HangAmDan-B, an ethnomedicinal herbal mixture, suppresses inflammatory responses by inhibiting Syk/NF-kappaB and JNK/ATF-2 pathways. J Med Food 16: 56 - 65.
- Zaidi, S.F., Muhammad, J.S., Shahryar, S., Usmanghani, K., Gilani, A.H., Jafri, W., Sugiyama, T., (2012). Anti-inflammatory and cytoprotective effects of selected Pakistani medicinal plants in *Helicobacter pylori*-infected gastric epithelial cells. J Ethnopharmacol 141: 403 - 410.
- Zou Z, Xu FY, Wang L, An MM, Zhang H, Shi XY. (2011). Antihypertensive and renoprotective effects of trandolapril/verapamil combination: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Hum Hypertens 25: 203 -10.