

## **Effect of Feeding Raw and Differently Processed Kapok (*Ceiba pentandra*) Seed Meal on the Growth Performance and Carcass characteristics of Weaner Rabbits**

**\* Wafar<sup>1</sup>R.J.; Yakubu<sup>2</sup> B.; Yusuf<sup>2</sup>H.B. and M. Antye<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Production and Health, Federal University Wukari, P.M.B 1020 Taraba State, Nigeria; <sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Science and Range Management, Modibbo Adama University of Technology, P.M.B 2076 Yola, Adamawa State, Nigeria; <sup>3</sup>Department of Animal Production, Taraba State College of Agriculture, P.M.B. 2025, Jalingo, Nigeria

**\*Corresponding Author:** wafar@fuwukari.edu.ng

**Target Audience:** Animal nutritionist, Rabbit farmers, Feed Miller, Researchers.

### **Abstract**

---

The study was conducted to determine the effect of feeding raw and differently processed kapok seed meal on the growth performance, carcass characteristics, internal organ weights and blood profile of weaner rabbits. Forty eight weaner rabbits were randomly assigned to six dietary treatments containing 0% kapok seed meal (T1), 10% RKSM (T2), 10% CKSM (T3), 10% TKSM (T4), 10% FKSM (T5) and 10% SKSM (T6) in a completely randomized design. Each treatment group had 8 rabbits replicated four times with 2 rabbits per replicate. The proximate composition of raw and differently processed kapok seed meal showed that crude protein contents ranged between 22.59±0.04 - 34.82±0.11%, while the crude fibre values varied between 9.28±0.89 - 17.45±0.06%. Cooked and fermented kapok seed meal had significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher metabolisable energy content than raw and other processed kapok seed meals. Cooked kapok seed meal had lower values in all anti-nutritional factors, with trypsin inhibitor completely deactivated by cooking, fermenting and toasting. The result of the growth performance showed that final body weight and total feed intake of rabbits in control diet and CKSM were significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher than that of rabbits in RKSM, FKSM, TKSM and SKSM groups. Rabbits on RKSM had the lowest final body weight gain, total feed intake, daily weight gain, average daily feed intake and poor feed conversion ratio (FCR) values compared to the processed kapok seed meal group. FCR ranged from 3.92±0.92 in CKSM to 4.70±0.31 in RKSM diets. Rabbits fed CKSM showed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) better FCR. Differences ( $P < 0.05$ ) were found in carcass, dressed, liver, liver, lungs, kidney weights. It was concluded that rabbits fed diets containing cooked kapok seed meal had the best growth performance and carcass characteristics. It could be suggested that cooking was the most efficient processing method in reducing anti-nutritional factors in kapok seed.

---

**Keywords:** Kapok seed, Processing Methods, Anti-nutritional factor, Growth performance, Rabbits

### **Description of Problem**

Majority of the developing countries are facing rapid growth in human population with resultant increase in demand for animal protein which is in short supply (1). The increase in prices of feedstuffs especially protein and

energy sources and its scarcity are important constraints affecting livestock production sector in developing countries such as Nigeria. It is therefore necessary to search for alternative sources that are cheap and readily available. The use of non-conventional

feedstuffs in livestock diets is generally recommended (2, 3, 4, and 5). A non – conventional feedstuff that is examined in this study is the kapok seed. Kapok is a tropical tree of the order *Malvales* and the family *Malvaceae* (6, 7). The raw kapok seed has been reported to contain on a dry matter basis 20-35% crude protein, 20-26% crude fibre, 5-9% ether extracts, 5-7% total ash and 29 -31% nitrogen free extracts (8, 9). It also contains appreciable amount of minerals such as calcium, potassium and sodium (10). However, according to several researchers (11, 12, 13, 14 and 15), the seeds contain anti-nutritional factors such as tannin, trypsin inhibitors, cyanogenic glycosides, haemagglutinin inhibitors, phytates and oxalates which has remain the impeding factor affecting its utilization.

In a study conducted by (11) on the partial replacement (3-9%) of sunflower meal with raw kapok seed meal in growing and finishing broiler diets, the result shows no significant ( $P>0.05$ ) difference on feed intake, growth rate and feed efficiency. However, in an inclusion level higher than 10% raw kapok seed meal depressed growth rate by 35% (11). Inclusion of raw kapok seed meal in the diets of laying hen and quails had deleterious effects on egg quality (16, 17). (18) also reported reduction in feed intake, poor feed conversion ratio and adverse effects on internal organs weight of weaner rabbits when they fed raw kapok seed meal beyond 10% inclusion. The need of processing kapok seed before its incorporation into livestock diets is therefore indispensable. Various processing methods, such as soaking, toasting, boiling, cooking, and fermenting have been reported to reduce the level of anti-nutritional factors in non-conventional feedstuffs (19, 20, 21). This study was conducted to evaluate the effect of different processed kapok seed meal on the growth performance, carcass and internal

organ weights, haematological and biochemical indices of weaner rabbits

## Materials and Methods

### *Study site*

The study was conducted at the Rabbit Unit Teaching and Research Farm of the Department of Animal Science and Range Management, Modibbo Adama University of Technology Yola. Yola is located between latitudes 7° and 11°N and longitudes 11° and 14°E. Maximum temperature in the state can reach up to 40°C particularly in April, while minimum temperature can be as low as 18°C between December and January (22)

### *Processing of kapok seed.*

Kapok seeds were obtained from a local market in Shelleng, Adamawa State Nigeria. The seeds were screened from stones and dirt, then processed using four different processing methods (cooking, toasting, soaking and fermenting) as previously described by (23). During toasting; the seeds were toasted in a metallic frying pan for 30 minutes with constant stirring to maintain uniform heating until the whitish endosperm turns to light brown. Soaking was achieved by placing the seeds in a container filled with tap water for 48hours, thereafter removed and sun dried. Fermentation was achieved by cooking the seeds in tap water for 30 minutes, decant and placed in an air tight container for 48hours to allow natural fermentation. Cooking was achieved by introducing kapok seeds into a metallic cooking pot at the point of boiling. The seeds were cooked for 30 minutes at 100°C thereafter it was decanted and sundried on a concrete floor for seven days.

### *Experimental animals and management*

Forty eight 5-weeks old weaned rabbits with an initial average weight of  $523.00 \pm 1.20g$  were allotted into six dietary treatments. Each dietary treatment group had eight rabbits (four

replicates with two rabbits per replicate). Each replicate was housed in a standard cage of 150cm x100cm x120cm in a three tier hutch system raised 120cm above the floor. Aluminum sheets and wire mesh were fitted for collection of faecal droppings. Rabbits were provided with feed and water *ad libitum* throughout the period of the experiment.

#### *Experimental diets*

Six experimental diets were formulated containing 0% kapok seed meal, 10% raw kapok seed meal (RKSM), 10% cooked kapok seed meal (CKSM), 10% toasted kapok seed meal (TKSM), 10% fermented (FKSM) and 10% soaked kapok seed meal (SKSM) representing T1,T2, T3, T4, T5 and T6 groups as shown in Table 1

#### *Data collection*

##### *Growth performance*

Data on growth performance were collected according to the method described by (24). At the beginning of the experiment, the rabbits were weighed to obtain their initial body weight and then weighed on a weekly basis to determine the weight gain. Feed intake was estimated by providing a known quantity of feed to each experimental group twice daily between 8am in the morning and 4pm in the afternoon. The left over feeds were collected the following day and weighed. The leftover of feed was then subtracted from the weight of the initial quantity of feed offered; the difference recorded and divided by number of rabbits per replicate as feed intake while feed

conversion ratio was calculated as the feed intake per unit rate of weight gain.

#### *Carcass and internal organ evaluation*

On 56<sup>th</sup> day, 4 rabbits were randomly selected from each treatment (one per replicate) and were fasted overnight to reduce the gut contents (18). Before they were slaughtered, rabbits were weighed to obtain their live body weights. Pelts of the slaughtered rabbits were removed with sharp knife and internal organs were also weighed and expressed as percentage live weights.

#### *Chemical analysis*

Experimental diets, raw and processed kapok seed meals were analyzed for proximate composition using the methods described by (25). Metabolizable energy was calculated using the formula described by (26). Nitrogen free extracts was determined by the differences of the sum of all the proximate composition from 100%. Total oxalate was determined according to (27) procedure. Phytate was determined using the method described by (28). Saponin was determined using the method of (29) as modified by (30), while tannin was determined using the method of (31).

#### *Statistical analysis*

Data were subjected to One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) procedures of (32). Means were separated by Duncan post-hoc test of the same software.

**Table 1: Ingredients and Percentage Composition of Experimental Diets**

| Ingredients           | Dietary treatments |              |              |              |              |              |
|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|                       | T1(Control)        | T2<br>(RKSM) | T3<br>(CKSM) | T4<br>(FKSM) | T5(<br>TKSM) | T6<br>(SKSM) |
| Maize                 | 54.0               | 54.0         | 54.0         | 54.0         | 54.0         | 54.0         |
| Kapok seed meal       | 0.00               | 10.0         | 10.0         | 10.0         | 10.0         | 10.0         |
| Wheat offal           | 15.0               | 10.0         | 10.0         | 10.0         | 10.0         | 10.0         |
| Groundnut cake        | 19.7               | 16.5         | 16.5         | 16.5         | 16.5         | 16.5         |
| Fish meal             | 2.00               | 1.00         | 1.00         | 1.00         | 1.00         | 1.00         |
| Groundnut haulms      | 6.00               | 5.20         | 5.20         | 5.20         | 5.20         | 5.20         |
| Bone meal             | 2.50               | 2.50         | 2.50         | 2.50         | 2.50         | 2.50         |
| Methionine            | 0.20               | 0.20         | 0.20         | 0.20         | 0.20         | 0.20         |
| Lysine                | 0.10               | 0.10         | 0.10         | 0.10         | 0.10         | 0.10         |
| Salt                  | 0.25               | 0.25         | 0.25         | 0.25         | 0.25         | 0.25         |
| *Premix               | 0.25               | 0.25         | 0.25         | 0.25         | 0.25         | 0.25         |
| Determined analysis % |                    |              |              |              |              |              |
| Dry matter            | 90.36              | 90.48        | 90.13        | 90.25        | 90.29        | 90.43        |
| Crude protein         | 17.24              | 17.13        | 17.15        | 17.15        | 17.12        | 17.16        |
| Crude fibre           | 10.08              | 10.03        | 10.07        | 10.03        | 10.09        | 10.03        |
| Ether extracts        | 4.21               | 4.19         | 4.14         | 4.14         | 4.12         | 4.10         |
| Ash                   | 7.75               | 7.14         | 7.53         | 7.55         | 7.13         | 7.19         |
| NFE                   | 51.08              | 51.99        | 51.24        | 51.38        | 51.83        | 51.95        |
| **ME kcal/kg          | 2792.2             | 2818.8       | 2788.9       | 2793.9       | 2807.1       | 2811.2       |

\*Vitamin-mineral premix provider per kg the following: Vit. A 1500 IU; Vit.D<sub>3</sub> 3000 IU; Vit.E 30 IU; Vit. K 2.5mg; Thiamine B<sub>1</sub> 3mg; Riboflavin B<sub>2</sub> 6mg; Pyrodoxine B<sub>6</sub> 4mg; Niacin 40 mg; Vit. B<sub>12</sub> 0.02mg; Pantothenic acid 10mg;Folic acid 1mg; Biotin 0.08mg; Chloride 0.125mg; Mn 0.0956g; Antioxidant 0.125g; Fe 0.024g; Cu 0.006g; Se 0.24g; Co 0.24.

\*\*Metabolizable Energy = ME (kcal/kg) = 37 x % CP + 81 x % EE + 35.5 x % NFE. Calculated according to the formula of (27)

## Results and Discussion

### *Proximate composition and anti-nutritional factors in raw and processed kapok seed meals*

Table 2 shows the proximate and anti-nutritional factors in raw and differently processed kapok seed meals. The dry matter (DM) content was not significantly influenced by the processing methods. Crude protein (CP) showed significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) differences across dietary treatments. Fermented kapok seed meal (FKSM) and cooked kapok seed meal (CKSM) had the highest CP. Soaked kapok seed meal

(SKSM) and toasted kapok seed meal (TSKM) were significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) from raw kapok seed meal (RKSM). The values of crude fibre (CF) were significantly higher in RKSM and TKSM when compared to other processing methods. The result also showed that, as the content of ether extracts (EE) decreased among the processing methods, ash content increased. Nitrogen free extracts (NFE) and metabolizable energy (ME) were significantly influenced by the processing methods. The results of all the anti-nutritional factors (ANF)

determined were higher in the RKSM than those of the processed kapok seed meal than those of the processed kapok seed meal. Among the processing methods employed; cooking and fermentation recorded higher reduction in the concentration of anti-nutritional factors.

The higher CP content recorded in CKSM and FKSM could be attributed to heat applied during cooking and absences of leaching and vaporization of some nitrogenous compound during fermentation. These results are in agreement with (33 and 34 ) who in their separate studies reported increase in CP of raw kidney bean and soybean seed meals subjected to cooking and boiling. However this finding disagreed with (34) who obtained a decrease in amount of CP in differently processed baobab seed beans than in raw seed beans. The study also revealed that the CF content of FKSM, SKSM and CKSM were significantly reduced by the processing methods. The reason for this could be attributed to the destruction of cellulose content by the processing methods

and consequently reduction in fibre content among the processing methods. The CF values observed were higher than the value of 5.4 - 7.5% reported by (34) for raw and differently processed soybean seed meal but within the range of 2.23 – 16.45% reported for tropical seeds (35 and 36). The result accords the finding of (37) who reported reduction in CP in sword beans when subjected to different processing methods. The significant decrease in EE and increase in ash contents among the processing methods could imply negative correlation between EE and ash contents. However, higher ash content observed in processed kapok seed meal is an indication that the processing methods employed increased the concentration of minerals in the meal as reported by (23). The values of metabolizable energy were lower when compare to some other alternative protein sources such as *Canavalia spp.* (4.48 kcal/g), velvet bean (4.49 kcal/g), castor oil seed (5.93 kcal/g), linseed cake (5.2 kcal/g) and lima beans (4.12 kcal/g) (38, 39).

**Table 2: Proximate composition of raw and processed kapok seed meals**

| Parameters(%DM)                         | Processing methods        |                           |                           |                           |                           | SEM                |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
|                                         | RKSM                      | FKSM                      | CKSM                      | TKSM                      | SKSM                      |                    |
| Dry matter                              |                           | 91.43±0.56                | 90.76±0.13                | 90.81±0.04                | 83.77±0.67                | 0.82 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Crude protein                           | 22.59±0.04 <sup>f</sup>   | 32.90±0.78 <sup>a</sup>   | 34.82±0.11 <sup>a</sup>   | 29.26±0.06 <sup>b</sup>   | 28.40±0.12 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.04 <sup>*</sup>  |
| Crude fibre                             | 17.45±0.06 <sup>g</sup>   | 9.28±0.89 <sup>d</sup>    | 10.140.03 <sup>±e</sup>   | 18.33±0.33 <sup>g</sup>   | 12.37±0.54 <sup>f</sup>   | 0.13 <sup>*</sup>  |
| Ether extracts                          | 10.05±0.06 <sup>a</sup>   | 7.76±0.12 <sup>c</sup>    | 6.56±0.23 <sup>d</sup>    | 9.56±0.03 <sup>b</sup>    | 7.65±0.06 <sup>c</sup>    | 0.06 <sup>*</sup>  |
| Ash                                     | 6.53±0.09 <sup>b</sup>    | 7.53±0.01 <sup>a</sup>    | 7.78±0.17 <sup>a</sup>    | 7.12±0.45 <sup>a</sup>    | 7.50±0.03 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.07 <sup>*</sup>  |
| NFE                                     | 43.38±0.67 <sup>b</sup>   | 40.53±1.09 <sup>f</sup>   | 40.70±0.9 <sup>f</sup>    | 35.73±0.34 <sup>d</sup>   | 44.08±1.34 <sup>f</sup>   | 0.40 <sup>*</sup>  |
| *ME kcal/g                              | 3180.00±0.17 <sup>h</sup> | 3240.00±0.44 <sup>g</sup> | 3260.00±0.38 <sup>g</sup> | 3120.00±0.46 <sup>g</sup> | 3230.00±0.33 <sup>g</sup> | 0.03 <sup>*</sup>  |
| <i>Anti-nutritive factors (mg/100g)</i> |                           |                           |                           |                           |                           |                    |
| Tannin                                  | 2.52±0.05 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.65±0.01 <sup>c</sup>    | 0.26±0.06 <sup>d</sup>    | 0.89±0.01 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.95±0.07 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.01 <sup>*</sup>  |
| Saponin                                 | 1.30±0.04 <sup>d</sup>    | 0.55±0.09 <sup>d</sup>    | 0.40±0.02 <sup>e</sup>    | 0.76±0.12 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.67±0.07 <sup>c</sup>    | 0.07 <sup>*</sup>  |
| Alkaloid                                | 3.34±0.03 <sup>c</sup>    | 0.42±0.02 <sup>e</sup>    | 0.17±0.11 <sup>d</sup>    | 0.14±0.05 <sup>e</sup>    | 0.87±0.01 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.09 <sup>*</sup>  |
| Phytate                                 | 1.27±0.04 <sup>e</sup>    | 0.70±0.11 <sup>c</sup>    | 0.61±0.03 <sup>d</sup>    | 0.78±0.04 <sup>e</sup>    | 0.89±0.21 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.80 <sup>*</sup>  |
| Trypsin inhibitors                      | 17.97±0.71 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.00 <sup>f</sup>         | 0.00 <sup>f</sup>         | 0.00 <sup>f</sup>         | 0.34±0.12 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.09 <sup>*</sup>  |
| Phenol                                  | 2.48±0.04 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.30±0.25 <sup>c</sup>    | 0.22±0.01 <sup>d</sup>    | 0.24±0.05 <sup>d</sup>    | 0.81±0.02 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.08 <sup>*</sup>  |
| Haemagglutinin                          | 1.69±0.52 <sup>d</sup>    | 0.14±0.02 <sup>d</sup>    | 0.12±0.02 <sup>e</sup>    | 0.27±0.14 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.20±0.05 <sup>c</sup>    | 0.04 <sup>*</sup>  |
| Oxalate                                 | 1.12±0.02 <sup>d</sup>    | 0.12±0.99 <sup>f</sup>    | 0.11±0.01 <sup>c</sup>    | 0.14±0.05 <sup>e</sup>    | 0.72±0.04 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.05 <sup>*</sup>  |
| Flavonoid                               | 2.95±0.56 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.72±0.26 <sup>c</sup>    | 0.37±0.03 <sup>e</sup>    | 0.68±0.04 <sup>d</sup>    | 0.97±0.10 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.01 <sup>*</sup>  |
| Total gossypol                          | 1.98±0.07 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.16±0.01 <sup>e</sup>    | 0.22±0.1 <sup>d</sup>     | 0.73±0.08 <sup>e</sup>    | 0.89±0.02 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.08 <sup>*</sup>  |
| Free gossypol                           | 0.20±0.01 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.17±0.04 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.10±0.09 <sup>c</sup>    | 0.09±0.01 <sup>d</sup>    | 0.12±0.06 <sup>c</sup>    | 0.02 <sup>*</sup>  |

Means within the same row with different subscripts differ significantly ( $p < 0.05$ )\*, ns= not significant ( $p > 0.05$ ), SEM= Standard error,

### ***Growth performance of weaner rabbits fed differently processed kapok seed meals***

The growth performance of weaner rabbits fed differently processed kapok seed meal is shown in Table 3. The rabbits fed the control diet ( $4281.80 \pm 0.20$ g/rabbit and cooked kapok seed meal ( $4105.00 \pm 0.50$ g/rabbit) had significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher feed intake for the rabbits fed fermented ( $3777.20 \pm 2.60$ ), toasted ( $3851.10 \pm 3.20$ ), soaked ( $3594.40 \pm 2.80$ ) and raw kapok seeds ( $2982.11 \pm 2.30$ g/rabbits). Final body weight and total body weight gains differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) from one another. Rabbits fed control diet and CKSM had significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher than those on fermented, toasted and soaked kapok diet which were similar but significantly higher than those on RKSM. Feed conversion ratio (FCR) ranged from  $3.92 \pm 0.92$  -  $4.70 \pm 0.31$ . Rabbits fed CKSM had the best FCR (3.92). Rabbits fed control diet ( $1.49 \pm 0.02$ ) and CKSM ( $1.48 \pm 0.01$ ) recorded significantly higher protein efficiency ratio (PER) than those fed fermented, toasted and

soaked kapok meal. However, the PER of rabbits on fed fermented ( $1.35 \pm 0.0$ ), toasted ( $1.37 \pm 0.01$ ) and soaked kapok ( $1.38 \pm 0.34$ ) diets were similar and significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher than those on RKSM ( $1.24 \pm 0.05$ ). The low feed intake of the rabbits fed RKSM could be due to the presence of anti-nutritional factors, which lowered the palatability of rabbits fed RKSM. Studies have shown that presence of anti-nutritional factors such as tannins, saponins in the diets results in poor palatability and consequent decrease in feed intake due to its astringent properties (18 and 39). (40, 41) reported that phytate also reduces the bioavailability of divalent cations as a result of insoluble complexes formation which are not available to monogastric animals. This implies that rabbits fed RKSM had low efficiency in the absorption of dietary minerals, protein digestibility and digestive enzymes in the gastro-intestinal tract as a result of high concentration of phytate. Trypsin inhibitors and haemagglutinins have been reported in reducing protein digestibility

resulting in poor utilization of available nutrients (18; 42). This result agreed accordance with that of (41) who reported significantly ( $P>0.05$ ) lower total feed intake and impaired nutrient utilization when broiler chickens were fed raw *Cajanus cajan* seed meal. Better performance recorded in rabbits fed CKSM diet compared to other diets could be attributed to the processing method. Moist heating (cooking and boiling) has been reported to reduce the anti-nutritional factors,

thereby improving the nutritional content of legume seeds (43).

The significantly higher protein efficiency ratios of rabbits fed control diet and CKSM showed that the protein content of these diets were more efficiently utilized by the rabbits when compared to those fed raw, fermented, toasted and cooked kapok diets. This accounts for their significantly higher final body weights and total weight gains.

**Table 3. Growth Performance of weaned rabbits fed differently processed Kapok seed meal**

| Parameter                 | Processing methods        |                           |                           |                           |                           |                           | SEM                |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
|                           | T1(Control)               | T2(RKSM)                  | T3 (CKSM)                 | T4(FKSM)                  | T5 (TKSM)                 | T6 (SKSM)                 |                    |
| Initial weight(g/rabbit)  | 522.73±4.89               | 522.69±4.93               | 522.75±4.23               | 522.76±4.90               | 520.39±4.11               | 522.71±4.55               | 5.75 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Final weight (g/rabbit)   | 1584.20±7.00 <sup>a</sup> | 1161.00±2.80 <sup>d</sup> | 1568.90±0.77 <sup>a</sup> | 1428.90±0.30 <sup>b</sup> | 1428.10±0.50 <sup>b</sup> | 1376.20±0.70 <sup>c</sup> | 46.2 <sup>*</sup>  |
| TWG (g/rabbit)            | 1106.40±2.60 <sup>a</sup> | 638.31±1.48 <sup>c</sup>  | 1046.75±4.38 <sup>a</sup> | 905.40±4.86 <sup>b</sup>  | 904.30±0.24 <sup>b</sup>  | 853.50±0.87 <sup>b</sup>  | 47.7 <sup>*</sup>  |
| ADWG (g/rabbit)           | 18.95±0.76 <sup>a</sup>   | 11.39±0.68 <sup>d</sup>   | 18.69±2.04 <sup>a</sup>   | 16.16±0.76 <sup>b</sup>   | 16.40±2.51 <sup>b</sup>   | 15.24±2.04 <sup>c</sup>   | 0.85 <sup>*</sup>  |
| TFI (g/rabbits)           | 4281.80±0.20 <sup>a</sup> | 3000.11±2.30 <sup>c</sup> | 4105.00±0.50 <sup>a</sup> | 3777.20±2.60 <sup>b</sup> | 3851.10±3.20 <sup>b</sup> | 3594.40±2.80 <sup>b</sup> | 159 <sup>*</sup>   |
| ADFI (g/rabbit)           | 76.46±0.34 <sup>a</sup>   | 53.57±1.63 <sup>b</sup>   | 73.30±1.50 <sup>a</sup>   | 67.45±2.90 <sup>b</sup>   | 68.76±2.01 <sup>ab</sup>  | 64.80±0.88 <sup>b</sup>   | 2.85 <sup>*</sup>  |
| Protein intake (g/rabbit) | 738.18±0.31 <sup>a</sup>  | 513.91±0.04 <sup>c</sup>  | 704.00±0.08 <sup>a</sup>  | 670.45±1.45 <sup>b</sup>  | 659.30±1.09 <sup>b</sup>  | 616.79±0.23 <sup>b</sup>  | 1.09 <sup>*</sup>  |
| Protein efficiency        | 1.49±0.02 <sup>a</sup>    | 1.24±0.05 <sup>c</sup>    | 1.48±0.03 <sup>a</sup>    | 1.35±0.05 <sup>b</sup>    | 1.37±0.01 <sup>b</sup>    | 1.38±0.34 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.67 <sup>*</sup>  |
| FCR                       | 4.03±0.34 <sup>b</sup>    | 4.70±0.31 <sup>a</sup>    | 3.92±0.92 <sup>c</sup>    | 4.17±0.56 <sup>b</sup>    | 4.34±0.45 <sup>b</sup>    | 4.36±0.12 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.35 <sup>*</sup>  |

Means within the same row with different subscripts differ significantly ( $p<0.05$ )\*, ns= not significant ( $p>0.05$ ), SEM= Standard error, TWG =Total weight gain, ADWG= Average daily weight gain, TFI= Total feed intake, ADFI=Average daily feed intake, FCR= Feed conversion ratio

#### ***Carcass characteristics and internal organ weights of weaner rabbits fed raw and differently processed kapok seed meals***

The carcass characteristics and internal organ weights of weaner rabbits fed raw and processed kapok seed meals are presented in Table 4. The result showed significant differences across the dietary treatments. The dressing percentages ranged from 41.45±0.60 % in SKSM to 56.31±0.30% in CKSM and were significantly ( $P<0.01$ ) affected by the dietary treatments. There were significant ( $P<0.01$ ) differences in the organ weights among the treatment groups. Rabbits fed

RKSM recorded relative highest lungs (3.14±0.13), liver (2.61±0.05) and kidney (4.01±0.52) weights. The results for large intestine length, small intestine weight and length were all significantly ( $P<0.01$ ) different across the treatment groups. Rabbits fed RKSM recorded heaviest small and large intestine weights and longer intestinal lengths. The dressing percentage were similar to the range of 56.26- 58.35% reported by (44 and 48) but higher than 48.57 -54.83% reported by (49) for tropical rabbits. The significant ( $P<0.01$ ) effects on the weight of the lung, liver and kidney agreed with the reports of (44, 50 and 51) who observed variation in internal organ weights of rabbits fed alternative source of protein in the tropics. Rabbits fed RKSM recorded relative higher lungs, liver, and kidney weights. This is an indication that there is element of toxic substance present in the diet. In feeding trials, liver or kidneys are used to study toxicity rates.

Higher weight would arise because of an increased in the metabolic rate of these organs in an attempt to convert toxic elements or anti-nutritional factors to non-toxic metabolites (52). The higher weights of liver and kidney in rabbit fed RKSM could be attributed to this increase in the metabolic rate. Higher weights

and elongation of small intestine of rabbits fed RKSM could be as a result of impact of anti-nutritive factors, which inhibit proper digestion of the diet as such, resulted into accumulation of too much of the feed consumed in the small intestine and resulted into its elongation.

**Table 4 Carcass characteristics and internal organ weights of weaned Rabbits fed differently processed Kapok seed meal.**

| Parameter                              | Processing methods        |                          |                          |                          |                           |                          | SEM                |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
|                                        | T1(Control)               | T2(RKSM)                 | T3 (CKSM)                | T4(FKSM)                 | T5 (TKSM)                 | T6 (SKSM)                |                    |
| Dressing %                             | 53.65±4.98                | 41.45±3.61 <sup>c</sup>  | 56.31±2.71 <sup>a</sup>  | 51.17±1.56 <sup>d</sup>  | 40.70±1.89 <sup>e</sup>   | 43.70±1.40 <sup>f</sup>  | 3.22 <sup>**</sup> |
| Pelt weight (g/rabbit)                 | 96.70±1.60 <sup>a</sup>   | 75.73±0.11 <sup>c</sup>  | 94.48±1.44 <sup>e</sup>  | 96.27±1.67 <sup>e</sup>  | 89.73±3.90 <sup>e</sup>   | 91.92±2.78 <sup>a</sup>  | 5.35 <sup>**</sup> |
| <i>Internal organs (% live weight)</i> |                           |                          |                          |                          |                           |                          |                    |
| Heart                                  | 0.97±0.09 <sup>b</sup>    | 1.52±0.13 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.84±0.10 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.89±0.11 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.72±0.10 <sup>b</sup>    | 1.00±0.20 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.06 <sup>**</sup> |
| Liver                                  | 0.32±0.04 <sup>f</sup>    | 2.61±0.05 <sup>e</sup>   | 0.32±0.05 <sup>e</sup>   | 0.33±0.04 <sup>f</sup>   | 0.38±0.05 <sup>e</sup>    | 0.51±0.08 <sup>b</sup>   | 2.85 <sup>**</sup> |
| Lung                                   | 1.78±0.09 <sup>f</sup>    | 3.14±0.13 <sup>a</sup>   | 2.31±1.20 <sup>b</sup>   | 2.08±0.03 <sup>b</sup>   | 2.39±0.91 <sup>b</sup>    | 2.76±2.76 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.36 <sup>**</sup> |
| Kidney                                 | 2.11±0.47 <sup>c</sup>    | 4.01±0.52 <sup>a</sup>   | 1.09±0.84 <sup>d</sup>   | 1.89±0.35 <sup>d</sup>   | 1.77±0.33 <sup>d</sup>    | 3.47±0.23 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.36 <sup>**</sup> |
| Stomach                                | 3.01±0.16 <sup>b</sup>    | 3.68±0.91 <sup>a</sup>   | 2.85±0.40 <sup>f</sup>   | 3.02±0.57 <sup>b</sup>   | 2.66±0.16 <sup>c</sup>    | 2.94±0.20 <sup>f</sup>   | 0.16 <sup>**</sup> |
| Small intestine                        | 0.90±0.17 <sup>b</sup>    | 1.85±0.36 <sup>a</sup>   | 1.17±0.20 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.93±0.03 <sup>b</sup>   | 1.11±0.12 <sup>b</sup>    | 1.58±0.05 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.10 <sup>**</sup> |
| Large intestine                        | 0.70±0.07 <sup>d</sup>    | 1.25±0.10 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.90±0.09 <sup>bc</sup>  | 0.87±0.07 <sup>c</sup>   | 0.95±0.08 <sup>bc</sup>   | 1.08±0.18 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.05 <sup>**</sup> |
| Caecal                                 | 0.95±0.11 <sup>b</sup>    | 1.45±0.06 <sup>a</sup>   | 1.09±0.19 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.83±0.14 <sup>c</sup>   | 0.83±0.03 <sup>c</sup>    | 1.05±0.05 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.05 <sup>**</sup> |
| Small intestine Length (cm)            | 177.95±8.49 <sup>bc</sup> | 206.49±6.90 <sup>a</sup> | 185.77±0.19 <sup>b</sup> | 170.02±3.90 <sup>d</sup> | 176.11±3.82 <sup>bc</sup> | 186.28±0.66 <sup>b</sup> | 3.02 <sup>**</sup> |
| Large intestine Length (cm)            | 92.84±3.89 <sup>b</sup>   | 102.92±5.30 <sup>a</sup> | 83.47±0.37 <sup>b</sup>  | 86.92±3.90 <sup>d</sup>  | 93.40±2.89 <sup>b</sup>   | 90.80±5.42 <sup>b</sup>  | 3.25 <sup>**</sup> |
| Caecal Length (cm)                     | 36.48±5.98 <sup>b</sup>   | 60.14±4.34 <sup>a</sup>  | 34.31±4.78 <sup>b</sup>  | 36.3±2.34 <sup>d</sup>   | 37.42±2.78 <sup>b</sup>   | 42.61±2.56 <sup>b</sup>  | 3.23 <sup>**</sup> |

Means within the same row with different subscripts differ significantly ( $p < 0.01$ ) \*\*, ns= not significant ( $p > 0.05$ ), SEM= Standard error Mean

#### **Haematological and biochemical values of weaner rabbits fed differently processed kapok seed meal**

The haematological and biochemical indices of weaner rabbits fed differently processed kapok seed meal are presented in Table 5. Haemoglobin (Hb) varied significantly ( $P < 0.01$ ) among treatment groups. The values obtained in control diet, CKSM, FKSM, TKSM and SKSM are within the normal range of 9.4 -17.4 g/dl for rabbits reported by (53 and 54) except RKSM which recorded lower Hb value. The lower Hb observed in RKSM implies that the dietary proteins were not of high quality. (55) attributed low Hb in rabbits to effects of anti-nutrients in the treatment diets. The PCV values for the control diet ( $38.95 \pm 0.30\%$ ), CKSM ( $37.78 \pm 1.30\%$ ), FKSM ( $39.85 \pm 0.40\%$ ), TKSM ( $39.18 \pm 0.10\%$ ) and SKSM

( $33.00 \pm 0.80\%$ ) are within the normal range for rabbits (33.0-50.0%) while RKSM was lower than the normal range. Reduction in the concentration of PCV suggests presence of a toxic factor such as haemagglutinin, which had adverse effect on blood formation (56). The quantity of haemagglutinin in the raw kapok seed meal may perhaps have been responsible for the observation of the reduction in PCV. The PCV values obtained for various dietary treatments could be viewed as the efficiency of the various processing methods employed in reducing the anti-nutritional composition and the higher the value, the more efficiency the method. This finding agreed with the finding of (57) who reported reduction in the concentration of haemagglutinins when pigeon pea was subjected to different processing methods.



White blood cells (WBC) differed significantly ( $P<0.01$ ) among processing methods. The values obtained were within the normal range of  $5 - 8 \times 10^3/\text{mm}^3$  in rabbits except RKSM that recorded higher value of  $8.31 \pm 0.31 \times 10^3/\text{mm}^3$ . High WBC count is usually associated with microbial infection or the presence of foreign body or antigen in the circulating system. The neutrophil values obtained were significantly different ( $P<0.01$ ) the value of RKSM exceeded the normal range 35 -55.00% for rabbits (53 and 54). Conversely, the lymphocyte concentrations, except for rabbits fed RKSM were within normal range (25.00- 50%) reported by (53 and 54).

Blood urea though varied significantly ( $P<0.01$ ) for all treatment groups, but is within

the normal range (30.0 - 37.3mg/dl). Higher value was observed in rabbit fed RKSM diet. Studies have attributed high blood urea levels to poor protein quality or excess tissue catabolism associated with protein deficiency (58). Total protein (TP) showed significant differences ( $P<0.01$ ) in all dietary treatments. However, the TP value of rabbits on RKSM diet was lower than the 5.5-8.0 normal range for rabbits, suggesting that the rabbits on RKSM diet survived at the expense of body reserves as a result of loss of weight. However, it is possible that the dietary protein was not fully utilized by the rabbits on RKSM diet probably because of accumulated anti-nutrients in the raw kapok seed, which did not facilitate total protein availability.

**Table 5. Haematological and biochemical indices of weaned Rabbits fed differently processed Kapok seed meal.**

| Parameter                         | Processing methods      |                         |                         |                         |                         |                         | SEM                |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
|                                   | T1(control)             | T2(RKSM)                | T3(CKSM)                | T4(FKSM)                | T5(TKSM)                | T6 (SKSM)               |                    |
| PCV (%)                           | 38.95±0.3 <sup>a</sup>  | 30.58±0.8 <sup>c</sup>  | 37.78±1.3 <sup>b</sup>  | 39.85±0.4 <sup>a</sup>  | 39.18±0.1 <sup>a</sup>  | 33.00±0.8 <sup>c</sup>  | 0.39 <sup>**</sup> |
| Haemoglobin (g/dl)                | 9.94±0.63 <sup>b</sup>  | 8.79±0.42 <sup>c</sup>  | 9.99±0.61 <sup>b</sup>  | 11.86±1.0 <sup>a</sup>  | 9.72±0.78 <sup>b</sup>  | 9.25±0.34 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.34 <sup>**</sup> |
| RBC ( $\times 10^6/\text{mm}^3$ ) | 7.82±0.44 <sup>a</sup>  | 5.82±0.16 <sup>c</sup>  | 6.63±0.35 <sup>b</sup>  | 7.22±0.15 <sup>ab</sup> | 6.91±0.66 <sup>b</sup>  | 7.23±0.30 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.19 <sup>**</sup> |
| MCV ( $\mu\text{m}^3$ )           | 49.93±2.8 <sup>c</sup>  | 52.51±1.56 <sup>c</sup> | 57.01±1.0 <sup>a</sup>  | 55.22±1.5 <sup>b</sup>  | 57.09±5.3 <sup>a</sup>  | 42.93±2.3 <sup>d</sup>  | 1.41 <sup>**</sup> |
| MCH (Pg)                          | 12.73±0.9 <sup>c</sup>  | 15.09±0.4 <sup>b</sup>  | 15.10±1.3 <sup>b</sup>  | 16.44±1.5 <sup>b</sup>  | 17.04±1.5 <sup>a</sup>  | 12.79±0.0 <sup>c</sup>  | 0.56 <sup>**</sup> |
| MCHC (%)                          | 25.53±1.7 <sup>c</sup>  | 28.76±1.2 <sup>ab</sup> | 26.48±2.0 <sup>bc</sup> | 29.75±2.3 <sup>a</sup>  | 29.91±2.1 <sup>a</sup>  | 29.86±1.5 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.94 <sup>**</sup> |
| WBC ( $\times 10^6/\text{mm}^3$ ) | 6.11±0.32 <sup>b</sup>  | 8.31±0.34 <sup>a</sup>  | 6.05±0.11 <sup>b</sup>  | 6.20±0.14 <sup>b</sup>  | 6.39±0.28 <sup>b</sup>  | 6.84±0.13 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.11 <sup>**</sup> |
| Lymphocyte %                      | 36.17±9.2 <sup>b</sup>  | 42.97±0.3 <sup>a</sup>  | 41.30±0.6 <sup>a</sup>  | 36.33±0.03 <sup>b</sup> | 37.68±0.9 <sup>b</sup>  | 38.08±0.4 <sup>b</sup>  | 2.05 <sup>**</sup> |
| Eosinophil %                      | 2.15±0.2 <sup>b</sup>   | 3.39±0.33 <sup>a</sup>  | 1.52±0.15 <sup>c</sup>  | 2.41±0.10 <sup>b</sup>  | 1.64±0.09 <sup>c</sup>  | 3.30±0.28 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.10 <sup>**</sup> |
| Neutrophils %                     | 41.50±0.7 <sup>c</sup>  | 56.25±0.08 <sup>a</sup> | 40.60±0.6 <sup>cd</sup> | 39.54±0.6 <sup>d</sup>  | 4.37±0.38 <sup>c</sup>  | 48.47±1.0 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.40 <sup>**</sup> |
| <i>Biochemical indices</i>        |                         |                         |                         |                         |                         |                         |                    |
| Cholesterol(mg/dl)                | 45.81±0.6 <sup>a</sup>  | 39.14±0.3 <sup>a</sup>  | 43.58±1.1 <sup>b</sup>  | 45.41±1.5 <sup>a</sup>  | 43.60±0.4 <sup>b</sup>  | 40.64±0.7 <sup>c</sup>  | 0.46 <sup>**</sup> |
| Total protein (g/dl)              | 6.28±0.20 <sup>b</sup>  | 5.33±0.32 <sup>c</sup>  | 6.40±0.25 <sup>ab</sup> | 6.62±0.20 <sup>ab</sup> | 6.79±0.19 <sup>a</sup>  | 6.58±0.43 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.14 <sup>**</sup> |
| Albumin (g/dl)                    | 3.45±0.25 <sup>ab</sup> | 2.45±0.14 <sup>c</sup>  | 3.17±0.12 <sup>b</sup>  | 3.66±0.40 <sup>a</sup>  | 3.349±0.2 <sup>ab</sup> | 3.46±0.3 <sup>ab</sup>  | 1.14 <sup>**</sup> |
| Globulin (g/dl)                   | 3.58±0.20 <sup>a</sup>  | 2.69±0.13 <sup>b</sup>  | 3.66±0.20 <sup>a</sup>  | 3.63±0.23 <sup>a</sup>  | 3.63±0.8 <sup>a</sup>   | 3.57±0.38 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.11 <sup>**</sup> |
| Urea (mg/dl)                      | 30.44±0.6 <sup>c</sup>  | 39.36±0.3 <sup>a</sup>  | 31.36±1.1 <sup>c</sup>  | 30.60±0.4 <sup>c</sup>  | 3.52±0.80 <sup>c</sup>  | 35.28±0.7 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.36 <sup>**</sup> |
| Glucose (mg/dl)                   | 83.11±1.0 <sup>b</sup>  | 79.24±0.2 <sup>c</sup>  | 85.25±0.4 <sup>a</sup>  | 83.94±0.6 <sup>ab</sup> | 82.67±2.0 <sup>b</sup>  | 71.19±1.0 <sup>d</sup>  | 0.55 <sup>**</sup> |

Means on the same row with different subscripts are significantly different ( $p<0.01$ )\*, SEM= Standard error, PCV= Packed cell volume RBC=Red blood cell, MCH= Mean corpuscular haemoglobin WBC= White blood cell MCHC= Mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration.

### Conclusion and Application

1. Among the processing methods employed, cooking gave the better method in reducing anti-nutrient composition of kapok seed meal.
2. CKSM at 10% did not adversely affect the growth performance, carcass and internal organ weight, haematological and biochemical indices
3. Cooking as a method of reducing the Anti-nutritive factors in RKSM can be adopted even at rural level

### References

1. Olafadehan, O. A. (2011). Carcass quality and cost-benefit of rabbits fed cassava peel meal. *Arch. Zootec.* 60 (231). 757-765.
2. Akinmutimi, A.H. (2007). Effect of cooking periods on the nutrient composition of velvet beans (*Mucunapruscens*). In: *Proceeding of the 32nd Annual Conference of the Nigeria Society for Animal production* March 18th–21st. University of Calabar, Calabar. 223–234
3. Tuleun, C.D., Carew, S.N., Ajiji. (2008). The feed value of velvet beans (*Mucunautilis*) for laying chickens. In: *Proceeding of 33rd Annual Conf. of the Nig. NSAP.* Olabisi Onabanjo University. Ayetoro, Ogun States. Pp 405–408
4. Duwa, H; Oyawoye, E.O. and Njidda, A. A. (2012). Effect of Processing Methods on the Utilization of Sorrel Seed Meal by Broilers. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition* (1): 38-46.
5. Obun, C.O; Lalabe, B.C, Wafar R.J., Olusiyi, J.A and Ojinnaka, E.P (2016). Effects of feeding broiler chicks differently processed Tallow (*Detarium microcarpum*, Guill and Sperr) seed meal on performance and blood. *Proceedings 21 Annual Conference of Animal Science Association of Nigeria* 18 – 22, Port Harcourt. 2016. 845-849.
6. Enechi, D.C Ugwu, K.K. Ugwu, O.P.C and Omeh, Y.S, (2013) “Evaluation of the antinutrient levels of Ceiba pentandra leaves”, *International Journal of Research and Review in Pharmacy and Applied Science.*, 3(3), 394
7. Anigo, K.M Dauda, B.M.D; Sallau, A.B. and Chindo, I.E (2012). “Chemical composition of Kapok (Ceiba pentandra) seed and physico-chemical properties of its oil”, *Nigerian Journal of Basic and Applied Science.* 21(2),105.
8. Ari M.M, Barde R.E, Ogah M.D, Yakubu A, Aya V.E. (2011). Performance of broilers fed silk cotton seed (Ceiba pentandra) based diets production. *Agriculture Technology Journal.* 7 (2):20-28.
9. Kadirvel R, Natanam R, Udayasurian K (1986). Use of kapok as a poultry feed. *Poultry Science.* 65(12):2363-2365.
10. Obiajunwa, E.I, Adebisi, F.M, Omoda, P.E. (200). Determination of essential Minerals and Trace elements in Nigerian Sesame seeds, using TXPF Technique. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition* 4(6): 393–395.
11. Narahari, D and Asha Rajini, R. (2003). Chemical composition and nutritive value of Kapok seed meal for broiler chickens. *British Poultry Science.* (44) 505 -509.
12. Akindahunsi, A.A, Salawu, S.O (2005). Phytochemical screening and nutrient – anti-nutrient composition of selected tropical green leafy vegetables. *African Journal of Biochemistry*, (4) 97-501.
13. Edeoga H.O, Omosun G, Uche L.C. (2006). Chemical composition of Hyptis suaveolens and Ocimum gratissimum hybrids from Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 5(10): 891-895.
14. Sarkiyayi S, Ibrahim, S. and Abubakar, M.S (2009). Toxicological studies of

- Ceiba pentandra Linn. *African Journal of Biochemical Resources*. 3(7): 279–281.
15. Fukunaga, T. ; Koga, K. ; Fujii, M. ; Ogura, H. (1990). Chemical compositions of abnormal egg yolks from chicken and quail fed on ration containing cotton seed or kapok seed meals. *Bulletin of the Faculty of Agriculture, Kagoshima University*, 40: 181-192
  16. Pattison, M. McMullin, P.; Bradbury, J. M. (2008). *Poultry diseases*. 6<sup>th</sup> Edition, Elsevier Health Sciences,
  17. Amaefule K.U, Obioha F.C. (2001). Performance of broiler starters fed raw and boiled pigeon pea seed meal. *Nigerian Journal Animal of Production*, 28:34.
  18. Wafar, R.J, Yakubu, B and Lalabe, B.C. (2017). Effect of Feeding Raw Kapok (Ceiba pentandra) Seed Meal on the Growth Performance, Nutrient Digestibility, Carcass and Organ Weights of Weaner Rabbits. *Asian Research Journal of Agriculture*.5(3): 1-8
  19. Tuleun, C.D; Adenkola, A.Y and Orayeye, K.T. (2011). Naturally fermented mucuna seed meal based Diets: Effect on performance and carcass characteristics of broiler chicken. *Research Journal of Poultry Science*. 4 (4). 50-55.
  20. Amaefule, K.U. Mbagwu, I.I., and Iyang, N.E. (2011). Performance, nutrient utilization and intestinal environment of weaned rabbits fed diets supplemented with organic acid in the humid Tropics. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Science*. 13:69-79.
  21. Wafar, R.J Ademu, L.A Kirfi, Y.B and Shehu, I.I (2016). Effect of Processing Methods on the Utilization of Mucuna Sloanei (Horse Eye Bean) Seed Meal by Broiler Chicken. *British Journal of Applied Research* 1(1), 0010-0014
  22. Adebayo, A.A. (1999). Climate II. In: Adamawa State in Maps. Edit; (A. A. Adebayo and A. L. Tukur). Paracleate publishers, Yola, Nigeria Nigeria.. Pp.112
  23. Antyeve, M., Yakubu, B., Aliyara, Y.H and Wafar, R.J. (2017). Effects of Processing Methods of Jatropha curcas Seed Meal on Growth Performance and Blood Profile of Broiler Finisher Chickens. *Asian Research Journal of Agriculture*.4 (4): 1-9
  24. Yakubu B and Wafar R.J (2014). Effects of processing methods of *Leptadenia hastata* on growth performance, nutrient digestibility and carcass characteristics of weaner rabbits. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture & Veterinary Science*, 7(I&II); 53-58.
  25. AOAC (2010). Association of Analytical Chemist. Official Methods of Analysis 17<sup>th</sup> Edition AOAC Ic, Arlington, Virginia, USA,
  26. Pazuenga, U, (1985). Feeding parent stock. Zootech characteristics of weanling rabbits fed graded levels. *Poultry International*, (34) 22-25
  27. Day, R.A and Underwood, A.L. (1986). Quantitative analysis. 5th ed. Prentice-Hall publication. 701.
  28. Reddy, M.B. and Love, M. (1999). The impact of food processing on the nutritional quality of vitamins and mineral. *Advanced Experimental Medical Biology*, 459:99-106
  29. Birk, Y., Bondi, A., Gestetner, B. and Ishaya, I.A. (1963). Thermostable hemolytic factor in soybeans. *Nature*, 197: 1089-1090
  30. Hudson, B .J, EL-Difrawi, E.A. (1979) The sapogenins of the seeds of four lupin species. *Journal Agricultural Research*, 1(3). 11
  31. Trease, G.E. and Evans, W.C. A (1978). Text book of pharmacognosy. 11ed. Bailliere-Tindall, London.

32. Statistix analytical software version 10 for window. Tallahassee FL 32317 USA. 2013.
33. Emiola, I.A., A.D. Ologhobo, O.S. Adedeji, T.A. Akanji and T.B. Olayeni, (2002). Effect of residual trypsin inhibitor and heamagglutinin in differently processed kidney beans seed on feed intake and performance characteristics of broilers. Proc. 7th Ann. Conf. ASAN. UNAAB. pp: 75-76.
34. Siulapwa, N and Mwambungu, A. (2014). Nutritional value of differently processed soybean seeds. *International Journal of Research In Agriculture and Food Sciences Vol. 2, (6) 9-16*
35. Magdi, A.O., (2004). Chemical and nutrient analysis of Baobab (*Adansonia digitata*) Fruit and Seed protein solubility. *Plant Food and Human Nutrition, 59: 29-33.*
36. Okoye, W.I., I. Kazaure and G.V. Egesi, (1980). A preliminary investigation of Baobab (*Adansonia digitata*. L) as a potential source of oilseed. Annual Report Nigerian Stored *Product Research Institute, 1977-1978: 73-75.*
37. Akinmutimi, A.H. (2004). Evaluation of sword beans (*canavali gladiate*) as alternative feed resource for broiler chickens. Ph.D Dissertation Micheal Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia state.
38. Ahamefule, FO. (2005). Evaluation of Pigeon Pea-Cassava Peel Based Diets for Goats Production in South-Eastern Nigeria Ph.D. Thesis. College of Animal Science and Animal Production, MOUAU, Umudike, Nigeria.
39. Olomu, J.M, (2011). Monogastric Animal Nutrition: Principles and practice. 2nd edn. A Jachem publication, Benin city, Nigeria., 157
40. Weaver, C.M. and Kanna, S. (2002)Phytate and mineral bioavailability In: Reddy, N.R. and Sathe, S.K., editors Food Phytates. CRC press bocaraton., 211-224
41. Ogbu N.N., Ogbu C.C. and korie A.U. (2015).Growth Performance of Broiler Chickens Fed Raw and Processed Pigeon Pea (*CajanusCajan*) Seed Meal. *Journal Animal Science Advances, 5(7): 1350-1356*
42. Esonu, B.O., Emenelom, O.O., Udedibie, A.B.I., Herbert, U., Ekpor, C.F., Okoli, I.C. and Iheukwumere, F.C. (2001). Performance and blood chemistry of weaner pigs fed raw *Mucuna* (Velvet bean) meal. *Tropical Animal Production Investigations, 4:49-54*
43. Medugu, C.L., Saleh, B., Igwebuike, J.U., Ndimbita, R.L. (2012). Strategies to improve the utilization of tannin-rich feed materials by poultry. *International Journal of Poultry Science, 11 (6), 417–423*
44. Adekojo S. A., Adama T. Z., Aremu A., Ijaiya A. T., Owoleke O. E., Ibrahim A. (2014).Effects of Dietary Inclusion of Differently Processed *Leucaena leucocephala* Leaf Meal on Carcass Characteristics of Rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*).*International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition Engineering 2014, 4(5): 118-127*
45. Jiya, E.Z. (2012). Performance and Organoleptic Qualities of Rabbits (*Oryctolaguscuniculus*) fed graded levels of Processsed Tallow (*Detarium microcarpum*) Seed Meal. Ph.D thesis. Department of Animal Production, Federal University of Technology, Minna
46. Biya, A. J., Kannan, A., Murugan, M., & Anil, K. S. (2008) Effect of different feeding system on the carcass characteristics of New zealand White Rabbit. Department of Livestock

- Production Management, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Mannuthy, Thrissur, Kerala.
47. Memieth, E.I., Radnai, I. & Sipos, L. (2004). Comparison of carcass traits and quality of Hyplus hybrid purebred pennon white rabbits and their crossbreds. 8th world rabbit congress. Puebla city, Mexico. 321-436
  48. Ijaiya, A.T. (2002). Growth and reproductive performance of rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) fed maize (*Zea mays*) and fermented cassava (*Manihot utilissima*) peel meal. Ph.D thesis. Department of Animal Production, Federal University of Technology, Minna
  49. Ahamefule, F.O., Obua, B.E., Ukwani, I.A., Oguike, M.A. & Amaka, R.A. (2006). Haematological and biochemical profile of weaner rabbits fed raw or processed pigeon pea seed meal based diets. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 3(4):315-319.
  50. Olabamiji, R. O., Farinu, G. O., Akinlade, J. A. & Ojebiyi, O. O., (2007). Growth performance, organ characteristics and carcass quality of weaner rabbits fed different levels of wild sunflower (*Tithonia diversifolia* Hemsli A. Gray) leaf-blood meal mixture. *International Journal of Agricultural Research*, 2:1014-1021.
  51. Fayemi, P. O., Onwuka, C. F., Isah, O. A., Jegede, A. V., Arigbede, O. M. & Muchenje, V. (2011). Effects of mimosine and tannin toxicity on rabbits fed processed *Leucaena leucocephala* (Lam) leaves. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 6 (17): 4081-4085
  52. Bone, FJ. (1979). Anatomy and Physiology of Farm Animals. 2nd Edn., Reston Publishing Company, Inc Virginia, USA, 560.
  53. Jenkins, J R (1993). Rabbits. In: Jenkins, J.R and Brown, S.A.(ed.) Practitioner's Guide to Rabbits and Ferrets. American Animal Hospital Association, Lake wood, U.S.A., pp 1-42.
  54. Hillyer E.V, 1994. Rabbits. Small Animal Practices., 24, 25-65.
  55. Abu, O.A., Rabo, J.S., Onifade, A.A. and Danny, C.B, (1988). Blood composition and histological changes in the gastrointestinal tract of rabbits fed untreated rice husk based diets. Proceedings of Annual Conference of Nigerian Society for Animal Production. 23,144-147
  56. Oyawoye, E.O. and Ogunkunle, M, (1998). Physiological and biochemical effects of raw jack beans on broilers. Proceedings of Annual Conference of Nigerian Society of Animal Production, 23: 141-142
  57. Ahamefule, F.O, (2005). Evaluation of Pigeon Pea-Cassava Peel Based Diets for Goats Production in South-Eastern Nigeria Ph.D. Thesis. College of Animal Science and Animal Production, MOUAU, Umudike, Nigeria
  58. Ahamefule, F.O, Eduok, G.O., Usman, A., Amaefule, KU., Obua, BE. and Oguike, SA, (2006). Blood biochemistry and hematology of weaner rabbits fed sundried, ensiled and fermented cassava peel based diets. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition, 5(3):248-253.