



## Biogas and Bio-fertilizer Production Potential of Abattoir Waste as Means of Sustainable Waste Management Option in Hawassa City, Southern Ethiopia

<sup>1</sup>SINDIBU, T; <sup>2</sup>SOLOMON, SS; <sup>2</sup>ERMIAS, D

<sup>1</sup>Department of Environmental Sciences, College of Health and Medical Sciences, Haromaya University, Ethiopia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, Hawassa University, Hawassa, Ethiopia

\*Corresponding Author Email: [sorsasota@yahoo.com](mailto:sorsasota@yahoo.com); Phone: +251916828248

**ABSTRACT:** The purpose of this study was to assess abattoir waste generation in Hawassa Municipality Abattoir (HMA) and its potential to produce biogas and bio-fertilizer employing a cross-sectional design and mathematical computation based on standard coefficients. The study demonstrated that HMA generates 885,881.6Kg of abattoir waste per year and using anaerobic digestion about 46,951.72m<sup>3</sup>/year of biogas can be produced. This waste has the potential of generating a total energy of 246,027.01KWh/year. The biogas or energy from the waste can replace the biomass (firewood and charcoal) and the expensive fossil fuels. Using the produced 46,951.72m<sup>3</sup>/year biogas could reduce the annual CO<sub>2</sub> emission of 150,600.10Kg/kWh from kerosene, 150,600.10Kg/kWh from petrol, 132,882.50Kg/kWh from diesel or 132,882.50Kg/kWh from LPG use. The abattoir will be able to produce an estimated 65,112.3 Kg/year dry bio-fertilizer from biogas technology and this bio-fertilizer can be supplied to local farmers for crop production or can be used by city municipality for growing plants used for beautification. This obtainable bio-fertilizer is valued 29,951.66 USD per annum and to a certain extent will contribute to the reduction of domestic demand of chemical fertilizer thus will reduce the annual budget. As a long-term and sustainable waste management solution, installing anaerobic digestion plant is recommended, but using proper disposal method among the existing would serve as a short-term solution.

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/jasem.v22i4.21>

**Copyright:** Copyright © 2018 Sindibu *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License (CCL), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Dates:** Received: 13 February; Revised: 08 April; 2018; Accepted: 20 April 2018

**Key-words:** - Abattoir, Bio-fertilizer, Biogas, Energy, Hawassa, Waste

According to Chukwu (2008), the most important cause of improper management of abattoir waste is absence and lack of properly designed abattoir; lack of regulations on restriction and prohibition of indiscriminate and unsafe wastes discharge; insufficient skill of waste handlers; poor quality of equipment and lack of political commitment and awareness. Some people also argue that the practice is mainly due to lack of or inadequate waste recovery and treatment facilities (Adeyemo *et al.*, 2009).

According to Cvetković *et al.* (2014), abattoir waste is an ideal substrate for biogas production, because it contains high concentration of organic matter (proteins and lipids). According to Amigun and Blotnitz (2010) and B-Sustain (2013a) the economic benefits of biogas technology include the financial benefits, social benefits to environment, health, employment, gender, and poverty reduction benefits. Although abattoir wastes could be of potential benefits, their improper disposal is a serious problem in many developing countries (Adeyemi *et al.*, 2007)

and are a major source of public health and environmental hazards if they are not treated (FAO, 2010).

The above descriptions clearly show that it is possible that part of the abattoir wastes in cities and towns of Ethiopia can be used to produce biogas and associated valuable products. Hawassa Municipality Abattoir (HMA) has been releasing its untreated abattoir waste into the open surrounding environment indicating poor waste management practice. Therefore, the main purpose of the study was to assess the amount of waste generated by HMA and to determine its potential to produce biogas and bio-fertilizer as means of sustainable waste management.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

*Description of the study area:* Hawassa Municipality Abattoir is in Hawassa city, the capital of Southern Nations, Nationalities and Peoples Region (SNNPR) which is located 275 km away from Addis Ababa. Hawassa city has an elevation ranging from 1,692 to

\*Corresponding Author: Email: [sorsasota@yahoo.com](mailto:sorsasota@yahoo.com)

1,742 m above sea level and it is located at 7005' North latitude and 38029' East longitude. The total surface area of the city is 157.2 km<sup>2</sup>. According to CSA (2015) report, Hawassa city has a population of 351,469, with an annual growth rate of just over 4%. The climate of the City characterized in warm temperate climatic zone with a mean annual precipitation of 956 mm, with extended period of wet season (March– October with varying monthly rainfall from 17 mm-126 mm in the month between January - September), and the mean annual temperature of 19.5<sup>0</sup>C ( Kirubel, 2015).

*Study Design and Approach:* A cross-section design and mathematical computations based on standard coefficients and measurements were employed in this study.

*Abattoir Waste Generation (AWG) Estimation Method:* The actual numbers of slaughtered cattle during the study period (March 2015 to February 2016) was obtained from data recorded of HMA office. The amount of waste compositions generated from the slaughtered cattle was estimated based on Anieboet *al.* (2009) mathematical computation. According to Anieboet *al.* (2009), one cattle in average could produce waste of 6.4Kg tissue, 8.0 Kg of intestinal content, and 11.8 Kg of bone and 12.6Kg of blood. In this study, the above mentioned four waste types (tissue, intestinal content, bone and blood) were considered.

*Biogas Production Potential and Energy Potential Estimation Method:* Biogas production potential of abattoir waste was estimated following the coefficient described in Ngumahet *al.* (2013). According to this method, 1ton (1000Kg) of abattoir waste can produce 53m<sup>3</sup> biogas that is 1Kg of abattoir waste can produce 0.053 m<sup>3</sup> of biogas. Hence, the volume of biogas produced (VBP) may be obtained using the following formula (eqn. 1):

$$VBP = AWG * 0.053 \text{ m}^3/\text{kg} \quad (1)$$

According to Ngumahet *al.* (2013), the energy potential of biogas generated is based on the calorific value (high heating value) of the methane content and Rohstoffe (2009) stated that the average calorific value of biogas is 21-23.5 MJ/ m<sup>3</sup> (approximately 22.0 MJ/ m<sup>3</sup>). Commonly, energy is expressed as Kilo Watt

hour (KWh) and 3.6 MJ is equal to 1KWh. If the 22.0 MJ/ m<sup>3</sup> of biogas is converted to KWh, 1 m<sup>3</sup> of biogas has an energy potential of 6.1 KWh.

Energies (electricity and heat) potential of biogas was estimated based on the energy conversion methods formulated by Banks (2009). According to Banks (2009), the efficiency of biogas to be converted to electricity is 35% and, therefore, the electricity production potential of 1 m<sup>3</sup> of biogas is 2.14 KWh (i.e. its energy potential of 6.1 KWh X 0.35). Therefore, the Electricity production potential (EPP), (KWh), may be obtained using the following formula:

$$EPP = VBP \text{ m}^3 * 2.14 \text{ KWh}/\text{m}^3 \quad (2)$$

Similarly, Banks (2009) indicated that the efficiency of biogas to be converted to heat energy is 50% and, thus the heat production potential of 1 m<sup>3</sup> of biogas is 3.1 KWh (i.e. energy potential of 6.1 KWh X 0.5). The heat production potential (HPP), (KWh), may be estimated as:

$$HPP = VBP \text{ m}^3 * 3.1 \text{ KWh}/\text{m}^3 \quad (3)$$

*Bio-Fertilizers Yield Potential Estimation Methods:* According to Ngumahet *al.* (2013), the coefficients used in estimating bio-fertilizer yields were based on the fraction of the dry mass portion of organic waste that is not converted to biogas. Therefore, in this study, bio-fertilizer was estimated based on the coefficient fraction of the Dry Mass (DM) and Volatile Solid (VS) portion of abattoir waste. According to Dublein and Steinhauser (2008), the DM percentage of fresh organic wastes was given as 15% for abattoir waste, while the VS percentage of the DM was given as 85%. In this study, the following formulas were used for calculating DM and VS.

$$DM = AWG (\text{kg}) * 0.15(15\%) \quad (4)$$

$$VS = DM * 0.85 (85\%) \quad (5)$$

Based on Dublein and Steinhauser (2008) principle, the Bio-Fertilizer Yield (BFY) of the abattoir waste was calculated considering DM (equations 4) and VS (equation 5). But according to Burke (2000), 60% of VS is the actual fraction taken to be converted to biogas and therefore, the remaining 40% portion of VS

was considered in BFY computation. Hence the following formula for computing the potential of BFY was deduced as:

$$\text{BFY} = (\text{DM} - \text{VS}) + (40\% \text{ of VS}) \quad (6)$$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Currently, HMA provides only cattle slaughtering service and the number of slaughtered cattle during the study period are presented in Table 1. The average number of slaughtered cattle were 63 per day, 429 per week, 1859 per month and 22,832 per year (Table 1). The result revealed that the slaughtered number of cattle in each month was varied and this seems to be due to different fasting periods and different holidays and, also due to occasional festivity happened in the year. The number of cattle slaughtered per day in HMA was lower than that reported by Mammed and Webb (2015) for Elfora Kombolcha abattoir (275/day), Adama abattoir (200/day) and Mekele abattoir (125/day) in Ethiopia.

The present study demonstrated that HMA generates 2,456.04Kg and 885,881.6Kg of abattoir waste per day and year, respectively (Table 1). This generation amount is consistent with the finding of Ahaneku *et al.* (2015) (2,394Kg/day and 873,810Kg/year) from Mina abattoir in Nigeria, but is less than that reported for Elfora Kombolcha, Adama and Mekele abattoirs in Ethiopia. Slightly lower generation (2,134Kg/day and 778,910Kg/year) was also reported from Temale abattoir in Ghana (Frederick *et al.*, 2010). These differences appear to be due to the difference in the cattle slaughtering capacities.

The results indicated that blood waste accounted the highest (32.5%) among the types of abattoir waste generated annually (Table 1). Consistent with the present study, Aneibo *et al.* (2009) stated that blood waste is huge as compared to other abattoir waste compositions and discharging of blood into sewer line from single slaughtered cattle is equivalent to the total sewage produced by 50 people on average per day. Therefore, blood waste generated per day in this study (i.e. from 63.26 of slaughtered cattle) was equivalent to a total effluent load of sewage produced by 3,163

people/day. Bones waste was the second highest with a generation of 746.94Kg/day and 269,417.6Kg/year (Table 1). This result is similar to the findings reported by Ahaneku *et al.* (2015) (i.e. 728Kg/day and 262,078Kg/year) from Minna abattoir, in Nigeria; and higher (i.e. 649Kg/day and 236,885Kg/year) than that reported from Temale abattoir, in Ghana (Frederick *et al.*, 2010). The intestinal content wastes generation recorded in this study (506.4Kg/day and 182,656.0Kg/year) was consistent with the finding of Oruonye (2015) (i.e. 500Kg/day and 1,825Kg/year) in Jalingo Metropolis abattoir, Nigeria. Moreover, the tissue wastes generated (405.12Kg/day and 146,124.8Kg/year) also agree with finding in Nigeria (Oruonye, 2015).

*Estimation of Biogas Production:* The present study indicated that using anaerobic digestion; about 130.17m<sup>3</sup>/day and 46,951.72m<sup>3</sup>/year of biogas can be produced from 2,456.06Kg/day and 885,881.6Kg/year of abattoir waste generated in HMA, respectively (Table 2). The daily biogas production potential recorded in this study was less than that reported by Mammed and Webb (2015) for Elfora Kombolcha (557m<sup>3</sup>/day), Adama (406m<sup>3</sup>/day) and Mekele (253m<sup>3</sup>/day) abattoirs in Ethiopian. But, almost similar annual biogas production potential was reported from Minna abattoir (Nigeria) (45,672.64m<sup>3</sup>/year) (Frederick *et al.*, 2010) and Temale abattoir (Ghana) (40,716.72m<sup>3</sup>/year) (Adzabe *et al.*, 2005).

*Energy Production Potential from Biogas:* Results (Table 3) indicated that the 130.17m<sup>3</sup>/day and 46,951.72 m<sup>3</sup>/year of biogas produced from HMA waste has the potential of generating a total energy of 682.09KWh/day (278.56 KWh of electricity and 403.53 KWh of heat) and 246,027.01KWh/year (100,476.68 KWh electricity and 145,550.33 KWh of heat) (Table 3). According to Electrigras (2016), 2 KWh of electricity is sufficient to run a 100W light bulb for 20 hours. Therefore, the biogas produced in HMA daily (130.17m<sup>3</sup>) can run 100W light bulb for 1,301.7 hrs. The potential of generated electricity can be used by HMA to meet its energy need, and the energy (electricity and heat) in surplus of the abattoir can be supplied to communities in the vicinity. According to Ethiopian Electric Power Corporation (EEPCCO, 2015), the minimum cost (tariff) of 1 KWh

of electricity or heat is 0.021USD (0.57 ETB). And if all the energy potential is utilized, the abattoir can save 14.3USD/day or 5,166.6 USD/year of its budget (Table 3).The potential biogas or energy (electricity and heat) generated from the waste can replace the biomass (firewood and charcoal) and the expensive fossil fuels (kerosene, petrol, liquefied petroleum gas (LPG), diesel, and furnace oil). As CIA (2008) reported, many people in Ethiopia still use the same traditional fuels (firewood or charcoal, dung).

According to B-sustain (2013b) biogas equivalent to fossil fuels conversion method, 1 m<sup>3</sup> of biogas is equivalent to 3.50Kg of charcoal/firewood, 0.6 Kg of

kerosene, 0.45 Kg of LPG, 0.4 Kg of furnace oil, 0.7 Kg of petrol and 0.5 Kg of diesel. Firewood, charcoal and kerosene are commonly used as domestic fuels in the study area and result (Table 4) of this study showed that the biogas generated from HMA waste can replace consumption of 455.6 Kg/day and 164,331.0 Kg/year of firewood/charcoal, and 78.1 Kg/day and 28,171.0 Kg/year kerosene. As described by Ngumah *et al.* (2013), displacing firewood, charcoal and kerosene will reduce demand for firewood and consequently deforestation, and prevent many ailments and deaths associated with indoor pollution due to use of these fuels in domestic cooking.

**Table 1.** Number of cattle slaughtered and Composition of Abattoir Waste Generation

Duration/ period	Average No. of cattle	Abattoir waste composition and generation (Kg)				
		Blood	Intestinal contents	Bone	Tissue	Total
Day	63.3	797.58	506.4	746.94	405.12	2,456.04
Week	429.1	5,406.66	3,432.80	5,063.38	2,746.24	16,649.08
Month	1,859.4	23,428.44	14,875.20	21,940.92	11,900.16	72,144.72
Year	22,832.0	287,683.2	182,656.0	269,417.6	146,124.8	885,881.6

**Table 2.** Biogas production potential of waste generated from HMA

Duration	No. of cattle slaughtered	Abattoir waste generated (Kg)	Amount of Biogas produced (m <sup>3</sup> )*
Day	63.3	2,456.04	130.17
Week	429.1	16,649.08	882.40
Month	1,859.4	72,144.72	3,823.67
Year	22,832.0	885,881.6	46,951.72

\*1 Kg of abattoir waste can produce 0.053 m<sup>3</sup> of biogas (equation 1)

**Table 3.** Energy potential of biogas produced from HMA waste and Cost Estimate

Duration	Biogas (m <sup>3</sup> )	Estimated Energy Potential from Biogas and its Cost Benefit				Total Energy (KWh) and cost (USD)
		Electric (KWh)		Heat (KWh)		
		Amount (KWh)	Cost (*USD)	Amount (KWh)	Cost (*USD)	
<b>Day</b>	130.17	278.56	5.8	403.53	8.5	14.3 (682.09)
<b>Week</b>	882.40	1,888.34	39.7	2,735.44	54.4	97.1 (4,623.78)
<b>Month</b>	3,823.67	8,182.65	171.8	11,853.38	248.9	420.7 (20,036.03)
<b>Year</b>	46,951.72	100,476.68	2,110.0	145,550.33	3,056.6	15,166.6 (246,027.)

\*USD= United States dollar (1dollar=27.0Ethiopian Birr, ETB); Value in parentheses is ETB.

*Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) Emission Reduction Benefit of Using Biogas:* Studies (B-Sustain, 2013a; Ngumah *et al.*, 2013) indicated that utilization of biogas energy using biogas technology reduces the CO<sub>2</sub> emission through reduction of the demand for fossil fuels. Results (Table 5) of the recent study showed that using 46,951.72m<sup>3</sup>/year of biogas produced from HMA could reduce the annual CO<sub>2</sub> emission of

150,600.10Kg/kWh from kerosene, 150,600.10Kg/kWh from petrol, 132,882.50Kg/kWh from diesel or 132,882.50Kg/kWh from LPG use. Therefore, using biogas produced from waste of HMA instead of LPG, kerosene, and petrol and diesel fuels can reduce environmental impacts of CO<sub>2</sub>. At the same time, as B-Sustain (2013a) indicated, by capturing uncontrolled methane emission, the second most

important greenhouse gas (methane) emission also can be reduced.

*Estimation of Bio-fertilizer Production and its Benefits:* Based on equation 4, a total of 368.4Kg/day and 132,882.2 Kg/year of DM can be produced (Table 6) from HMA solid waste generated. Moreover, based on equation 5, an estimated 313.2 Kg/day and 112,949.9 Kg/year of VS can be produced (Table 6). From this DM and VS, the abattoir will be able to produce an estimated 180.5 Kg/day and 65,112.3 Kg/year dry bio-fertilizer from biogas technology. This potential bio-fertilizer generated can be supplied to local farmers for crop production or can be used by city municipality for growing plants used for beautification of the city. According to the information from Ethiopian Ministry of Agriculture (Personal communication, 2016), the price of bio-fertilizer also estimated based on the current average price of Ethiopian chemical/inorganic fertilizer, i.e. 50Kg of UREA fertilizers costs 45.56USD(1,230 ETB) and 50Kg of DAP fertilizer equals to 53.89USD (1,455ETB). Ngumah *et al.* (2013) noted that bio-fertilizer is more useful than chemicals fertilizer. However, according to the information from Energy and Biogas program expert in SNNPR Bureau of Agriculture, (Personal communication SNNPR Bureau of Agriculture, 2016), due to farmers' and

users limited awareness about its benefits and to attract them, the price of bio-fertilizer should be reduced by half (50%) of inorganic fertilizers (i.e. DAP and UREA). Therefore, based on the above suggestion, the average current cost estimation for 50Kg bio-fertilizer would be 22.78 USD/ 615.0 ETB or 1Kg of bio-fertilizer costs 0.46 USD/ 12.3 ETB. According to the results (Table 6) using biogas technology, HMA has a potential of producing 180.52Kg/day and 65,112.30Kg/year of bio-fertilizer and to a certain extent this will contribute to the reduction of domestic demand of chemical fertilizer. This potential amount of bio-fertilizer obtainable values 83.04USD (2,220.40 ETB) per day and 29,951.66 USD (800,881.29 ETB) per annum (Table 6) and this will reduce the annual budget required for chemical fertilizer. As Amigun and von Blottnitz (2010) noted, bio-fertilizer as a co-product of biogas production can also lead into an increase in fertilizer availability. Banks, *et al.* (2011) noted that if someone utilizes bio-fertilizer, the users would increase total crop yields by 6-30% under ideal agronomic conditions. Chukwu *et al.* (2011) stated that essentially, bio-fertilizer reduces water and soil pollution, loss of micro-organisms and beneficial insects. So, this study gave a clue of bio-fertilizers significance importance which holds promising future in reducing soil quality problems with optimum crop yield for users and farmers as well.

Table 4. Equivalent of Biogas from Abattoir Waste to other Fuels

Duration/ period	Biogas(m <sup>3</sup> ) generated	Equivalent of fuels (Kg)					
		Firewood/ Charcoal	Kerosene	Diesel	Petrol	LPG*	Furnace oil
Day	130.17	455.6	78.1	65.1	91.1	58.6	52.1
Week	882.40	3,088.4	529.4	441.2	617.7	397.1	353.0
Month	3,823.67	13,383.9	2,294.2	1,911.8	2,677.6	1,720.7	1,529.5
Year	46,951.72	164,331.0	28,171.0	23,475.7	32,866.2	21,128.3	18,780.7

\*LPG = Liquid Petrol Gas

Table 5. Carbon dioxide emission reduction potential of using biogas in relation to other fuels

Fuels	Power generation potential*	Standard emission potential*	Amount of CO <sub>2</sub> (kg/kWh of fuel)			
			Daily		Annually	
			Emission	Reduction**	Emission	Reduction**
Biogas	6 kWh/m <sup>3</sup>	0.09	<b>220.90</b>	0.00	<b>79,729.49</b>	0.00
Kerosene	12 kWh/kg	0.26	638.17	417.27	230,329.60	150,600.10
Petrol	9.06 kWh/L	0.26	638.17	417.27	230,329.60	150,600.10
Diesel	9.8 kWh/L	0.24	589.08	368.18	212,612.00	132,882.50
LPG	12.2 kWh/m <sup>3</sup>	0.24	589.08	368.18	212,612.00	132,882.50

\* Source: B-sustain (2013b); \*\* CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction is equal to emission from particular fuel minus emission from biogas

Table 6. Bio-fertilizer potential of HMA waste and its cost benefits

Duration/ Period	Abattoir waste generated(Kg)	DM*(Kg)	VS**(Kg)	BFY potential (Kg)	BF Cost Estimation USD(ETB)
Daily	2,456.04	368.41	313.15	180.52	83.04 (2,220.40)
Weekly	16,649.08	2,497.36	2,122.76	1,223.70	562.90 (15,051.51)
Monthly	72,144.72	10,821.71	9,198.45	5,302.64	2,439.21 (65,222.47)
Annually	885,881.60	132,882.24	112,949.9	65,112.30	29,951.66 (800,881.29)

\* DM = Dry Mass of abattoir waste generated; \*\* VS = Volatile Solids of DM

**Conclusion:** In general, the present study demonstrated that the HMA has a favorable potential for generating biogas and bio-fertilizer if biogas technology or anaerobic digestion plant is used. In addition, the technology is expected to reduce or solve the chronic SWM problem and will positively impact sectors such as energy, agriculture, economy, public health and the environment. Considering all these benefits, the Hawassa city municipality should think forward to install and use appropriate biogas technology as a sustainable waste management option. Furthermore, additional studies are required to see the biogas and bio-fertilizer potentials of liquid waste released from the abattoir and the huge amount of organic waste generate from Hawassa city.

## REFERENCES

- Adeyemi, IG; Adeyemo, OK. (2007). Waste management practices at the Bodija abattoir, Nigeria. *International J. Environ. Stud.* 64(1): 71-82
- Adeyemo, O; Adeyemi, I; Awosanya, E. (2009). Cattle Cruelty and Risks of Meat Contamination at Akinyele Cattle Market and Slaughter Slab in Oyo State, Nigeria. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.*, 41:1715-1721.
- Adzabe, K; Asenso, BG; Bensah, EC; Dery, E; Hussein, AJ; Mensah, MY; Pinto, H; Sarpong, MD; Thompson, M; Quist-Therson, NNE. (2005). *Development of a Biogas Plant for the Kumasi Abattoir Ghana. Vol.1 pp.972*
- Ahaneku, IE; Njemanze, CF. (2015). Flow Analysis of Abattoir Solid Waste Management System in Minna, Nigeria; *Res. J. Dairy Sci.*, 41(2): 165-172.
- Amigun, B; Blottnitz, HV. (2010). Capacity-cost and location-cost analyses for biogas plants in Africa. *Resources Conservation and Recycling*, 55: 63-73.
- Aniebo, AO; Wekhe, SN; Okoli, IC. (2009). Abattoir Blood Waste Generation in River State and its Environmental Implications in the Niger Delta. *Toxicol. Environ. Chem.*; 91: 619-625.
- Arthur, R; Baidoo, MF; Antwi, E. (2011) Biogas as a potential renewable energy source: a Ghanaian case study. *Renew. Energy*. 36: 1510-1516.
- Banks, C. (2009). Optimization of anaerobic digestion to provide energy output via conversion\_ retrieved from [www.forestry.gov.uk/pdf/.../rrps\\_AD250309\\_optimising\\_anaerobic\\_digestion.pdf](http://www.forestry.gov.uk/pdf/.../rrps_AD250309_optimising_anaerobic_digestion.pdf) (Accessed March 2016).
- Banks, CJ; Chesshire, M; Heaven, S; Arnold, R. (2011). Anaerobic digestion of source segregated domestic food waste: performance assessment by mass and energy balance. *Biores.. Technol.* 102(2): 612-620.
- B-sustain.(2013a). Environmental and Social Benefits of Biogas Technology. Retrieved from: <http://www.bsustain.in/faqs.html> (accessed in March 2015).
- B-sustain.(2013b). Biogas equivalent to Fossil Fuels and its emission comparison. Retrieved from: <http://www.bsustain.in/faqs.html> (accessed in March 2015).
- Chukwu, O. (2008). Analysis of Groundwater Pollution from Abattoir Waste in Minna, Nigeria. *Res. J. Dairy Sci.* 2(4): 74-77.

- Chukwu, O; Adeoye, PA; Chidiebere I. (2011). Abattoir wastes generation, management and the environment: Minna, Nigeria; *Inter. J. Biosciences*, 1(6):100-109.
- CIA (Central Intelligence Agency). (2008). "Ethiopia" *World Fact book*. Retrieved from: <https://www.cia.gov/et.html> (Accessed in March 2016).
- Cvetković, S; Radoičić, TK; Vukadinović, B; Kijevčanin, M. (2014). Potentials and status of biogas as energy source in the Republic of Serbia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 31:407–416.
- CSA (Central Statistical Authority). (2015). Hawassa Branch. 2015. The 1999 Population projection of Hawassa city, 2013/14 Statistical Abstract, Hawassa, Ethiopia.
- Dekelver, G., Ruzigana, S., and Lam, J. 2005. *Report on the Feasibility Study for a Biogas Support Programme in the Republic of Rwanda*. SNV, 2005.
- Deublien, D; Steinhauer, A. (2008). *Biogas from Waste and Renewable Resources*, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH and Co; pp27-83.
- EPECO (Ethiopian Electric Power Corporation). (2015). Available on [http://: www.ethio.energy](http://www.ethio.energy) conversion resource (Accessed Feb. 2015).
- Electrigas. (2016). Biogas Frequently Asked Question (FAQ). Retrieved from: [https://www.Electrigas.com/faq\\_en.htm](https://www.Electrigas.com/faq_en.htm). (Accessed Dec 2015).
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization). (2010). *Global Forest Resources Assessment*, 9-44. Main Report 163
- Frederick, A; Ayum, TG; Gifty, AA; Samuel, A. (2010). Microbial Quality of Chevron and Mutton Sold in Tamale Metropolis of Northern Ghana. *J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manage*; 14 (4): 53-55.
- Gautam, R; Bara, S; Herat, S. (2009). Biogas as a sustainable energy source in Nepal: present status and future challenges. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, 13 (1): 248-252.
- Krabel, W. (2015). Investigation on storm drainage system problem of Hawassa city. MSC Thesis, Department of Civil Engineering, Institute of Technology, Hawassa University, Hawassa, Ethiopia.
- Mummed, YY; Webb, EC. (2015). Operation Facilities and management practice. *Afr. J. Agric. Res.* 10(7): 623-630.
- Ngumah, CC; Ogbulie, JN; Orji, JC; Amadi, ES. (2013). Biogas Potential of Organic Waste Nigeria, *J. Urban Environ. Engineer.* 7(1):110-116
- Oruonye, ED. (2015). Challenges of Abattoir Waste Management in Jalingo Metropolis, Nigeria; *Inter. J. Res. Geog.* 1(2):22-31
- Rabah, AB; Baki, AS; Hassan, LG; Musa, M; Ibrahim, AD. (2010). Production of Biogas using Abattoir waste at Different Retention Time. *Sci. World J.* 5(4). 23-26.
- Rohstoffe, FN. (2009). Biogas basisdaten Detschland - Comprehensive overview of the biogas station in Germany. Retrieved from: [https://www.fnr-server.de/ftp/pdf/literatur/pdf\\_185-basisdaten\\_biogas\\_2009](https://www.fnr-server.de/ftp/pdf/literatur/pdf_185-basisdaten_biogas_2009). (Accessed Dec 2015).
- White, AJ; Kirk, DW; Graydon, JW. (2011). Analysis of small scale biogas utilization systems on Ontario cattle farms. *Renew. Energy*, 36: 1019-1025.