Full Length Research Paper

# Impact of applying composted biosolids on wheat growth and yield parameters on a calcimagnesic soil in a semi-arid region

Mohamed Hafidi<sup>1\*</sup>, Soumia Amir<sup>2</sup>, Abdelilah Meddich<sup>3</sup>, Abdelmajid Jouraiphy<sup>1</sup>, Peter Winterton<sup>4</sup>, Mohamed El Gharous<sup>5</sup> and Robin Duponnois<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire d'Ecologie et Environnement (Unité Associée au CNRST, URAC32), Département de Biologie, Faculté des Sciences Semlalia, Université Cadi Ayyad, BP 2390, Marrakech, Morocco.

<sup>2</sup>Equipe Chimie Appliquée et Sciences de l'Environnement, Université Sultan Moulay Slimane, Beni Mellal, Morocco. <sup>3</sup>Pépénière Communale, Marrakech, Morocco.

<sup>4</sup>Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse 31062, France.

<sup>5</sup>Centre Arido-Culture, INRA Settat, Morocco.

<sup>6</sup>Laboratoire des Symbioses Tropicales et Méditerranéennes, UMR 119 Montpellier SupAgro 34060 Montpellier Cedex 5. France.

Accepted 8 August, 2011

A field trial in a semi-arid climate was carried out on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* var. Marchouch) growing on a calcimagnesic soil using compost applied at 42 T/ha during the three years of study, but in different ways: C1, C2 and C3. Over this period, the level of total Kjeldhal nitrogen (TKN) increased in the soil amended by high doses (C2 and C3, by about 33 and 50%) compared with steady low amendment (C1) and to both controls NF (soil without fertilisation) and MF (soil receiving mineral fertilization). Adding compost also led to a positive influence on cation exchange capacity (CEC) by increasing humic substance levels (HS) which doubled in plots C2 and C3 compared with both controls. In NF soil, the TKN, total organic carbon (TOC) and the pH of soil showed a clear negative correlation with the agronomic parameters. In the MF soil, most physico-chemical parameters correlated well with the agronomic parameters: input of mineral elements balancing export through harvest. In amended soil, especially in C3 plots, HS and CEC showed significant correlations with most agronomic parameters (P1: 69.1%) due to enhanced CEC and sequestration of available carbon in the form of stable humic structures.

Key words: Compost, wheat growth, calcimagnesic soil, semi-arid region.

## INTRODUCTION

Processing effluent in wastewater treatment plants generates a by-product-sludge, in quantities sufficiently large for its elimination to pose problems. The problems are usually underestimated or poorly taken into account in the design of the plants (Amir, 2005). However, chemical analysis of the sludge (also known as biosolids) shows it to be rich in fertilizing elements, especially nitrogen and phosphorus; hence, the proposal to use it as an amendment for soils leached by erosion and/or exhausted by intensive cropping (Bousselhaj et al., 2004). Spreading the sludge directly on the land can lead to contamination of food crops through the presence of chemical and organic micropollutants (Krogstad et al., 2005; Mantovi et al., 2005; Dai et al., 2006; Oleszczuk et al., 2006), hence the necessity to treat the biosolid before it is recycled (Amir et al., 2005a,b,c).

The treatment of sewage sludge by composting in a mixture with cellulose-based waste gives a product that is stable, presents a satisfactory level of hygiene is rich in humic substances and that has an agreeable smell (Jouraiphy et al., 2005; Lu et al., 2008). In many studies,

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: hafidi.ucam@gmail.com. Tel: +212 5 24 43 76 65.

the agronomic value of the soil has been shown to be improved by applying compost (Bazzoffi et al., 1998; Casado-Vela et al., 2007; Wie and Liu, 2005; Mantovi et al., 2005; Senesi et al., 2007). It has been demonstrated how the application of compost has a positive effect on the physical and chemical properties, the structure of the soils and on the soil-plant system (Inbar et al., 1992; Ayuso et al., 1996; Ostos et al., 2008). The authors suggest that the richness of compost in humic substances influences the fertility of the soil, improving its structure by increasing the biological activity and the availability of nutrients and by complexing toxic metals (Ayuso et al., 1996; O'Dell et al., 2007).

In addition, it would appear that spreading compost also improves the resistance of wheat to various types of stress, inhibits the activity of certain parasites and/or fungi and phytopathogenic bacteria (Beffa, 1998; Selivanovskaya et al., 2006).

Walker and Bernal (2008) reported that the increase in the CEC is directly proportional to the quantity of compost added, the larger nutrient pool being potentially available for plant growth (cations).

The greater density of plant roots following the application of compost improves the stability of the soil, reduces the risks of erosion and provides a higher soil water retention capacity (Ros et al., 2006; Chu et al., 2007).

In this study, we aimed to determine the relationship between physicochemical parameters (especially TKN, HA contents and CEC) and agronomic parameters (growth parameters and the yield) in soil amended with compost applied in different ways (C1, C2, C3) compared with un-amended soil and soil subjected to mineral fertilization. The agronomic essay was conducted using common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* var. Marchouch) on a calcimagnesic soil in a semi-arid region.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Compost preparation

The samples of activated sludge were from the aerobic waste-water treatment plant in the town of Khouribga (Morocco). The composting trial was run on quite a large scale: 10 m<sup>3</sup> of sludge was mixed with 5 m<sup>3</sup> of green waste piled into a prism-shaped heap 8 m long and 1.5 m high, on a platform. When ready for composting, the mixture contained about 60% water and had a C/N ratio of 29.8. The compost was processed over a period of 135 days and was mixed every fortnight to keep the mixture well aerated. The agronomic essay was conducted with the final compost aged 135 days.

### Experimental set-up in the field

The experiment was run in the field in the Sidi El Aydi experimental site (INRA Settat, Morocco) on fallow calcimagnesic soil. The soil had a clayey texture, was slightly basic and had a low proportion of organic matter (Table 1).

The area of field cultivated was 120 m<sup>2</sup>. The experiment was performed in twenty blocks: 3 treatments and 2 controls randomly

repeated 4 times (3 compost application protocols: C1, C2, C3; no fertilization NF and mineral fertilization MF) (Figure 1). The area of each of the 20 basic plots was  $3 \times 2$  m. Compost application was: C1 = 1.4 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (14 t/ha), C2 = 2.8 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (28 t/ha) and C3 = 4.2 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (42 t/ha). All "C" plots received 4.2 kg/m<sup>2</sup> of compost over three years, but in different ways so as to compare the impact of receiving the whole quantity at once versus fractionation of the input over two or three years.

Plots C3 received the whole amount  $(4.2 \text{ kg/m}^2)$  in the first year. Plots C1 and C2 amended during the first year were again amended at the start of the second year with an additional input of compost of about 1.4 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. In the third year, only plots C1 received the same amount  $(1.4 \text{ kg/m}^2)$  again. All plots received the same amount over the three years  $(4.2 \text{ kg/m}^2)$ .

Two controls were also used: in one, soil was not fertilised (NF) and in the second, reasonable mineral fertilisation (MF) was applied, using 150 kg/ha ammonium sulphate. This level of mineral fertilization is that generally used in the Chaouilla area where potassium and phosphorus are not considered growth-limiting factors. The wheat was sown (at about 120 kg/ha) in rows 25 cm apart.

#### Physicochemical analysis of the soil

Samples of soil were taken from different sides of the plots, in such a way as to obtain homogeneous representative samples of about 500 g. The samples were used for the various physicochemical analyses. The pH was measured in a 1:2 v:v soil-water suspension. Total organic carbon (TOC) was determined by potassium dichromate oxidation (Nelson and Sommers, 1982). Total nitrogen was assayed using the Kjeldahl method. Ammonium ion was assayed following distillation in alkaline medium and nitrates after reduction by Dewarda alloying (Ezelin, 1998). Humic substances were extracted with 0.1 M NaOH and assayed after oxidation in alkaline potassium permanganate (Jouraiphy et al., 2005). The soil cation exchange capacity (CEC) was determined by saturation with ammonium acetate at pH 7. Exchangeable alkaline cations (Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>++</sup>, Mg<sup>++</sup>) were extracted with ammonium acetate and assayed by atomic absorption spectroscopy or flame emission spectroscopy (Na, K) (Houba et al., 1995).

Following the recommendations of the French standards association (AFNOR NF X 31-151) (1993), to obtain the total Zn, Cu, Pb and Ni content; 1 g of each sample was mineralised for 4 h at 550°C and then dissolved in 5 ml of hydrofluoric acid. The solution obtained was evaporated to dryness and the residue then taken up in concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub>/HCl (1:1) solution. The acid solution was filtered (45  $\mu$ m) and diluted for analysis by atomic absorption spectrometry "Unicam 929".

#### Analysis of the yield parameters

The leaf area index (LAI 2000) was measured at the heading stage at noon under a clear sky. A sample was taken in the form of 500 mm of a line of wheat, also at the heading stage to measure fresh weight. Dry weight was determined from the fresh-weight sample dried in an oven at  $70^{\circ}$ C for 48 h.

At the time of harvest, heads of wheat were taken over 1  $m^2$  of each plot to determine the biomass and the various yield parameters studied.

#### Statistical analysis

Comparison of the averages was carried out by ANOVA test post hoc Tukey, for a confidence interval of 95%. Principal component analysis (PCA) was carried out between different physico-chemical Table 1. Physicochemical characteristics of the soil and of the compost as used.

Characteristic	Soil	Compost
Clay (%)	66	/
Silt (%)	25	/
Sand (%)	9	/
рН	8.40	6.23
Total Kjeldahl nitrogen *	0.24	1.69
Total organic carbon *	1.22	20.55
NH4 <sup>+</sup> mg/kg	4.24	40.16
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> mg/kg	12.91	9.65
CEC Cmol <sup>+</sup> /kg	35.93	84.55
Humic substances (mg/g)	7.5	57.33
C/N	5	12.20
Decomposition with respect to the biosolids/green waste starting mixture (%)	/	60.80

\*%Dry weight.



**Figure 1.** The random layout of differently treated blocks in the experiment field: NF soil without fertilization, MF soil was subjected to mineral fertilization; C1, C2 and C3 soil amended in year 1 of the experiment by 1.4, 2.8 and 4.2 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively.

Parameter	Year	NF	C1	C2	C3	MF
	1 <sup>st</sup>	8.33 <sup>a</sup>	8.17 <sup>b</sup>	7.83 <sup>c</sup>	7.42 <sup>d</sup>	8.27 <sup>ab</sup>
рН	2 <sup>nd</sup>	8.37 <sup>a</sup>	7.89 <sup>c</sup>	7.39 <sup>d</sup>	7.35 <sup>d</sup>	8.29 <sup>b</sup>
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	8.46 <sup>a</sup>	7.73 <sup>b</sup>	7.61 <sup>b</sup>	7.42 <sup>b</sup>	8.39 <sup>a</sup>
	1 <sup>st</sup>	1.15 <sup>d</sup>	1.59 <sup>a</sup>	1.50 <sup>b</sup>	1.48 <sup>ab</sup>	1.47 <sup>a</sup>
Total organic carbon (%)	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1.11 <sup>c</sup>	1.63 <sup>a</sup>	1.58 <sup>a</sup>	1.55 <sup>a</sup>	1.57 <sup>a</sup>
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	1.44 <sup>a</sup>	1.21 <sup>°</sup>	1.11 <sup>d</sup>	1.07 <sup>c</sup>	1.34 <sup>a</sup>
	1 <sup>st</sup>	0.69 <sup>d</sup>	0.94 <sup>c</sup>	1.08 <sup>b</sup>	1.29 <sup>a</sup>	0.74 <sup>d</sup>
Humic substances (g/kg)	2 <sup>nd</sup>	0.75 <sup>d</sup>	0.94 <sup>c</sup>	1.11 <sup>b</sup>	1.30 <sup>a</sup>	0.75 <sup>d</sup>
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	0.71 <sup>b</sup>	0.92 <sup>b</sup>	1.38 <sup>a</sup>	1.56 <sup>a</sup>	0.80 <sup>b</sup>
	1 <sup>st</sup>	35.38 <sup>d</sup>	42.28 <sup>c</sup>	47.89 <sup>b</sup>	52.13 <sup>a</sup>	36.77 <sup>a</sup>
CEC (meq/100g)	2 <sup>nd</sup>	36.13 <sup>d</sup>	43.17 <sup>c</sup>	48.05 <sup>b</sup>	55.21 <sup>a</sup>	35.92 <sup>d</sup>
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	35.65 <sup>b</sup>	46.83 <sup>a</sup>	46.92 <sup>a</sup>	47.20 <sup>a</sup>	32.87 <sup>d</sup>

Table 2. Effect of the biosolids-green waste compost on the key physical and chemical properties of the soil.

Values in the same row followed by the same letter are not significantly different. NF: No fertilization control; MF: mineral fertilization control; C1: 14 t/ha compost; C2: 28 t/ha compost; C3: 42t/ ha compost.

parameters of soils and agronomic parameters (growth and yield parameters) of wheat. The analysis of correlation was also performed between these parameters by the bilateral test of Pearson's correlation and the level for statistical significance was set at 0.01.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Impact on the soil of compost spreading

The physicochemical characteristics of the soil and of the compost used are reported in Table 1. The data representative of the effect of compost amendment on the physicochemical properties of the soil with respect to the controls with or without fertilizers during the three years of the experiment are reported in Tables 2 and 3. The results show some significant effects of the compost on the chemical properties of the soil according to the mode of compost application and with respect to both controls. The pH of the soil decreased, tending towards neutral values. This effect was strongest in plots originally receiving the largest quantities of compost added, the soil pH in plots C3 and C2 decreased more than in plots C1 during the first and second years. In addition to the fact that compost contains abundant buffering substances, the decrease in pH was probably also due to the decomposition of the labile organic matter of compost or soil to yield organic acids. In fact, some authors have demonstrated that the addition of organic amendments such as compost to the soils increased their mineralization capacities (Pedra et al., 2007) or contributed to the incorporation of low molecular weight structural components with little aromatic polycondensation into soil HAs (Pedra et al., 2008). Mineral fertilisation (treatment MF) did not change the pH.

Compost amendment significantly raised the level of nitrogen in the soil. During the first year of the experiment, the level of nitrogen rose, with respect to the NF control, by 13, 20 and 22% following C1, C2 and C3 compost application, respectively. Mineral fertilization raised the level of nitrogen by only about 6%. This is in line with the results of other compost-amendment studies (Pinamonti, 1998; Prone et al., 1999, Courtney and Mullen, 2008). Some N release (24%) was recorded in plots C3, after in the second year even though no compost was added. Plots C3 and C2 showed a significant increase after the three years of about 19 and 21%, respectively. Application of compost in separate amounts C1 showed no large difference in the third year compared with the control and MF. Casado-Vela et al. (2007) reported that the levels of TKN and nitrate showed an increasing trend in soil proportionally to the compost dose. Gragnon and Simard (1999) report that at the end of each growing season, the level of nitrogen remained high indicating that the mineralization of the compost occurred progressively. This can be accounted for by the steady release of nitrogen in an available form, as the compost is increasingly degraded. Olsen (1986) reported that the main advantage of organic amendments (composted manure) was their aptitude to continually release mineral nitrogen to the plants to achieve high vields.

In our study, the level of organic carbon decreased, while the amounts of humic substance (HS) were significantly improved in the compost-amended soil. Thus, depending on the way in which the compost was

Parameter	Year	NF	C1	C2	C3	MF	
	1 <sup>st</sup>	0.232 <sup>d</sup>	0.263 <sup>b</sup>	0.276 <sup>ab</sup>	0.283 <sup>a</sup>	0.246 <sup>c</sup>	
Total Kjeldahl nitrogen (%)	2 <sup>nd</sup>	0.236 <sup>d</sup>	0.276 <sup>c</sup>	0.311 <sup>b</sup>	0.353 <sup>a</sup>	0.245 <sup>d</sup>	
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	0.252 <sup>b</sup>	0.256 <sup>b</sup>	0.334 <sup>a</sup>	0.336 <sup>a</sup>	0.253 <sup>b</sup>	
	1 <sup>st</sup>	49.50 <sup>c</sup>	52.52 <sup>b</sup>	52.61 <sup>b</sup>	57.64 <sup>a</sup>	49.46 <sup>c</sup>	
Mg <sup>++</sup> (ppm)	2 <sup>nd</sup>	48.63 <sup>d</sup>	54.44 <sup>b</sup>	58.22 <sup>a</sup>	58.28 <sup>a</sup>	50.87 <sup>c</sup>	
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	48.38 <sup>c</sup>	54.97 <sup>b</sup>	58.65 <sup>a</sup>	57.38 <sup>a</sup>	53.06 <sup>b</sup>	
	1 <sup>st</sup>	1199.01 <sup>e</sup>	1677.98 <sup>c</sup>	1990.33 <sup>b</sup>	2106 <sup>a</sup>	1412.35 <sup>d</sup>	
Ca <sup>++</sup> (ppm)	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1414.67 <sup>e</sup>	1820.99 <sup>b</sup>	2102.94 <sup>a</sup>	2099.67 <sup>a</sup>	1334.65 <sup>°</sup>	
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	1399.72 <sup>c</sup>	1814.49 <sup>b</sup>	2109.99 <sup>a</sup>	2095.19 <sup>a</sup>	1333.17 <sup>c</sup>	
	1 <sup>st</sup>	20.19 <sup>e</sup>	29.13 <sup>c</sup>	33.62 <sup>b</sup>	38.65 <sup>a</sup>	22.09 <sup>d</sup>	
Na <sup>+</sup> (ppm)	2 <sup>nd</sup>	20.11 <sup>e</sup>	32.59 <sup>c</sup>	40.04 <sup>a</sup>	39.05 <sup>a</sup>	21.34 <sup>d</sup>	
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	21.17 <sup>d</sup>	33.57 <sup>c</sup>	40.89 <sup>a</sup>	38.90 <sup>a</sup>	36.47 <sup>b</sup>	
	1 <sup>st</sup>	630.73 <sup>e</sup>	693.57 <sup>c</sup>	728.88 <sup>b</sup>	679.97 <sup>d</sup>	679.97 <sup>d</sup>	
K <sup>+</sup> (ppm)	2 <sup>nd</sup>	624.66 <sup>d</sup>	727.40 <sup>b</sup>	779.20 <sup>a</sup>	774.52 <sup>a</sup>	664.15 <sup>c</sup>	
	3 <sup>rd</sup>	627.96 <sup>d</sup>	732.00 <sup>b</sup>	778.50 <sup>a</sup>	780.08 <sup>a</sup>	664.25 <sup>c</sup>	

Table 3. Effect of the biosolids-green waste compost on key soil mineral levels.

Values in the same row followed by the same letter are not significantly different. NF: No fertilization control; MF: mineral fertilization control; C1: 14 t/ha compost; C2: 28 t/ha compost; C3: 42t/ ha compost.

spread, improvements occurred especially in plots C2 and C3 compared with plots C1 and both controls NF and MF. The phenomenon was attributed to increased sequestration of biolabile compounds by hydrophobic protection from HS as suggest by many authors (Lichtfouse et al., 1998; Spaccini et al., 2002). Evanylo et al. (2008) also found in their work that significant increases in the amounts of C and N sequestered in soil were achieved with applications of compost giving agronomic N levels, but most of the C applied at 20% of the agronomic N level was rapidly mineralized. They suggest that soil C sequestration occurs at higher, but not lower, levels of compost application. Fernández et al. (2007) explained that this phenomenon is due to a decrease of acidic functional group content and an increase of proton binding affinities of carboxylic- and phenolic-type groups of soil humic acids and fulvic acids after amendment with composted sewage sludge.

The cationic exchange capacity (CEC) is one of the key parameters characterising the absorption capacity of a soil. Our results indicate that the CEC was proportional to the level of compost added. However, after three years, whatever the mode of compost addition, the CEC reached similar levels in plots C1, C2 and C3 and remained greater than both control NF and even MF (Tables 2 and 3). Hartl and Erhart (2003) and Giusquiani et al. (1995) have shown a significant correlation between

compost input and the CEC values in the soil. We also observed an increase in the levels of saturation of alkaline elements (Ca, K, Na) with the increase in the CEC, these amounts were higher in plots C2 and C3 than in plots C1 and NF control and even exceeded the values for the MF trial (Tables 2 and 3). Similar results were reported by Kahle et al. (2002), who suggest that the increased CEC in the compost-amended soil can be explained by the physicochemical properties of the constituents of the compost. Several studies have shown that humic substances in compost enhance the availability of minerals and the uptake of macro-elements (N, P, K, Mg, and Ca) by plants (Ayuso et al., 1996; Eyheraguibel et al., 2008). Spreading compost seems to have a positive effect on the physicochemical properties of the soil which can have positive effects on plant growth and yield (Celik et al., 2004). The added compost could represent a source of energy and nutrient to the microorganisms of the soil and thus, influence the biological processes taking place therein (Swift and Woomer, 1993).

Furthermore, levels of metal trace elements in the compost were lower than those reported in different international norms for compost intended for agronomic application (Table 4). This implies that this compost was safe to use in terms of the absence of micropollutants (Jouraiphy et al., 2005; Hafidi et al., 2008; Amir et al.,

Metal	Concentration in biosolid-green waste compost (mg/kg)*	Norm in France (mg/kg)	Norm in Switzerland (mg/kg)	Norm in USA (mg/kg)
Zn	208	600	400	400
Cu	52	300	100	300
Pb	81	180	150	150
Ni	12.6	60	60	50
Cr	7.2	120	100	100

Table 4. Total content of element trace metallic in compost and normalized values for agricole usage (Amlinger, 2004).

\* Results expressed in basis of dry matter.

2005 a, b, c).

# Impact of compost spreading on the growth and yield parameters of wheat

Table 5 reports the impact of the compost on the growth parameters (foliar index, fresh weight and dry weight) and on the yield and their corresponding parameters (number of heads/m<sup>2</sup>, number of grains/m<sup>2</sup> and biomass). The foliar index provides an estimation of the volume of plant cover by measuring the interception of light. The more voluminous the plant cover, the more light is intercepted and the higher the foliar index recorded. Analysis of the results indicates that the application of compost significantly enhanced the foliar index. During the first year, the foliar index increased with the input of compost by 69, 76 and 150% for compost applications C1, C2 and C3, respectively with respect to the NF control. The increase reached only 17.5% with mineral fertilisation compared with the NF control. By the third year, the foliar index reached similar values (no significant difference) for all three levels of compost application (C1, C2 and C3). However, compost input in the form of a single application in plots C3 and C2 seemed more beneficial than applications repeated over time. The data indicate that applying 42 tonnes of compost per hectare (C3) led to maximum foliar index from the first year which remained high until the third year. Dick and McCov (1993) reported that the benefits of applying compost lasted for several years. They suggest an improvement of plant nutrition due to nutrient availability with time.

The improvement of plant cover led to an increase in fresh matter and total biomass. The fresh, dry weights and biomass increased with the amount of compost added to plots C1, in contrast to both NF and MF controls which decreased. These three parameters were highest in plots C2 and mainly C3 even after three years of compost application.

The yield of grain also increased with compost spreading: for the first year the increases were 24, 56.6 and 111% for applications C1, C2 and C3 with respect to the NF control. The amount of grain increased with the amount of compost added in repeated applications C1;

but the best values were recorded in plots C3 even three years after application.

The yield was higher in compost-amended soil than in the NF control even applying the amount C1. According to Pedneault (1994), good compost increases the yields compared with non-fertilised land, even when it is applied in small quantities. The yields after applying C2 and C3 became higher than after mineral fertilization MF. Using compost in a single application in plots C3 showed a greater yield than C1 applications repeated over time and it remained high even after the 3rd year of the experiment. This indicates that the total dose all in one is more advantageous than the fractionated dosage of compost, which is probably due to this soil needing a large amount of organic amendment equivalent to more than 42 t/ha. This could also be explained by the fact that the high amounts of humic substances provided by large quantities of compost applied at the first year may have greater benefits for plant growth later.

In a study by Fagbenro and Agboola (1993) investigating the impact of humic acids on the growth of teak (*Tectona grandis* L.f.) a positive correlation was established between the concentration of humic substances and the height of the plants, the diameter of the trunks and the total dry matter content. The concentration of the humic substances plays an important role on the response of the plants. There is an optimal concentration to be applied, which varies from one plant to another (Atiyeh et al., 2002).

Mineral fertilization also improved the yield but its impact remained limited compared with that of compost (Courtney and Mullen, 2008). These results are in agreement with those of Arancon et al. (2004) who reported that the increase of the yield following application of compost is often greater than that of fertilized controls.

The yield parameters (heads/m<sup>2</sup>, grain yield in t/ha, number of grains/m<sup>2</sup>) also significantly increased with compost compared with both control NF and MF (Table 5). This is the result of a general improvement of the physical, chemical and biological condition of the soil following the amendment with compost. Ribeiro et al. (2000) reported that the addition of compost to *Pelargonium hortorum* grown on solid substrates led to a

Parameter		NF	C1	C2	C3	MF
	1 <sup>st</sup> year	1.43 <sup>e</sup>	2.42 <sup>c</sup>	2.53 <sup>b</sup>	3.58 <sup>a</sup>	1.68 <sup>d</sup>
Foliar index	2 <sup>nd</sup> year	1.24 <sup>c</sup>	2.28 <sup>b</sup>	3.25 <sup>a</sup>	3.21 <sup>a</sup>	1.35 <sup>°</sup>
	3 <sup>rd</sup> year	1.08 <sup>c</sup>	4.03 <sup>a</sup>	4.07 <sup>a</sup>	4.28 <sup>a</sup>	2.10 <sup>b</sup>
Mean		1.25	2.91	3.28	3.69	1.71
	1 <sup>st</sup> voor	120.2 <sup>e</sup>	204 7 <sup>0</sup>	242 2 <sup>b</sup>	200 0 <sup>a</sup>	172 2 <sup>d</sup>
Freeb weight (g)	<sup>1</sup> year	130.3 110.4 <sup>d</sup>	204.7	242. 3 272 6 <sup>a</sup>	200.9 070 0 <sup>a</sup>	173.2
Fresh weight (g)	2 year	70.0 <sup>d</sup>	220.0	273.0	213.0	103.0
Maan	3 year	70.0	221.9	225.8	237.1	107.6
Mean		106.6	215.8	247.3	266.6	148.2
	1 <sup>st</sup> year	52.9 <sup>c</sup>	96.3 <sup>b</sup>	91.6 <sup>b</sup>	145.6 <sup>a</sup>	76.7 <sup>bc</sup>
Dry weight (g)	2 <sup>nd</sup> year	46.9 <sup>d</sup>	82.8 <sup>b</sup>	138.7 <sup>a</sup>	137.4 <sup>a</sup>	65.5 <sup>°</sup>
	3 <sup>rd</sup> year	28.0 <sup>d</sup>	128.7 <sup>b</sup>	143.3 <sup>ab</sup>	148.4 <sup>a</sup>	55.9 <sup>°</sup>
Mean	·	42.6	102.6	124.5	143.8	66.0
	1 <sup>st</sup> vear	649 5 <sup>e</sup>	993 6 <sup>0</sup>	1210 8 <sup>b</sup>	1370 6 <sup>a</sup>	931 4 <sup>d</sup>
Biomass (a)	2 <sup>nd</sup> vear	520.3 <sup>d</sup>	793.8 <sup>b</sup>	1010 0 <sup>a</sup>	1076.8 <sup>a</sup>	607.5°
Diomass (g)	3 <sup>rd</sup> vear	369.8 <sup>d</sup>	998.1 <sup>b</sup>	1010.0	1145 4 <sup>a</sup>	555 1 <sup>°</sup>
Mean	o year	513.2	928.5	1082.7	1180.9	698.0
incan		01012	020.0	100211	110010	000.0
	1 <sup>st</sup> year	194.5 <sup>e</sup>	257.8 <sup>b</sup>	296. 8 <sup>b</sup>	380.0 <sup>a</sup>	235.3 <sup>d</sup>
N° of heads per m <sup>2</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup> year	175.5 <sup>d</sup>	274.0 <sup>b</sup>	363.5 <sup>a</sup>	365.3 <sup>a</sup>	223.8 <sup>c</sup>
	3 <sup>rd</sup> year	113.8 <sup>e</sup>	272.8 <sup>c</sup>	304.8 <sup>b</sup>	318.3 <sup>a</sup>	172.5 <sup>d</sup>
Mean		161.25	268.16	321.66	354.5	210.5
	1 <sup>st</sup> voor	4401 5 <sup>d</sup>		6902 5 <sup>b</sup>	0204 2 <sup>a</sup>	EEG1 E <sup>C</sup>
N° of grains por m2	2 <sup>nd</sup> voor	4401.5 4154.5 <sup>d</sup>	5400.5 6272 2 <sup>b</sup>	0092.0 9767 5 <sup>a</sup>	9294.3 9749.0 <sup>a</sup>	5194 0 <sup>c</sup>
N of grains per m-	2 year	4154.5	7115 9 <sup>b</sup>	7355 5 <sup>b</sup>	7002 J <sup>a</sup>	4570.0°
Mean	5 year	3050 3	6284 0	7671.8	8678.6	4379.0 5108.2
Wear		3930.3	0204.9	7071.0	0070.0	5100.2
	1 <sup>st</sup> year	17.1 <sup>d</sup>	25.1 <sup>c</sup>	28.9 <sup>b</sup>	33.1 <sup>a</sup>	23.0 <sup>c</sup>
Yield qx/ha	2 <sup>nd</sup> year	15.6 <sup>d</sup>	27.1 <sup>b</sup>	32.4 <sup>a</sup>	33.2 <sup>a</sup>	21.7 <sup>c</sup>
	3 <sup>rd</sup> year	11.5 <sup>b</sup>	26.1 <sup>b</sup>	26.3 <sup>b</sup>	26.9	14.4 <sup>d</sup>
Mean		14.2 <sup>d</sup>	26.1 <sup>b</sup>	29.2 <sup>b</sup>	31.1 <sup>a</sup>	19.7

Table 5. Effects of the amendments on the various yield parameters.

Values in the same row followed by the same letter are not significantly different. NF: Control (no fertilization); MF: control (mineral fertilization); C1: 14 t/ha compost, C2: 28 t/ha compost, C3: 42t/ ha compost.

significant increase in the number of umbels per plant and of flowers per umbel for proportions of compost reaching 20% v/v. This effect of compost on the increase in plant biomass and yield and their corresponding parameters also results from the efficiency of the mineral nutrition of the wheat. Some authors also demonstrated that the uptake of macro-elements (N, P, K, Mg and Ca) and micro-elements (Cu, Fe, Zn) is increased by the application of compost (Baca et al., 1992; Cordovil et al., 2007; Walker and Bernal, 2008).

Analyzing the overall yield parameters shows positive and significant results. In general, soil physical and chemical properties were improved with the application of compost, but the positive effects occurred more quickly with the higher levels of application. High annual levels of compost application greatly increased the soil C, N and mineral element concentrations compared with unfertilized controls.

From statistical analysis (PCA and correlations), it appeard that in non-amended soil, the levels of TKN and TOC and the pH of soil correlated negatively well with the agronomic parameters according to correlation matrices (Table 6) and the first principal component (P1:81%) (Figure 2).

In compost amended soil C1, C2 and mainly in C3 plots, it is the amount of HA and the CEC that show significant positive or negative correlations with most agronomic parameters (Table 6). Fabrizio et al. (2009)

Table 6. Coefficient Pearson's correlation between different physico-chemical parameters of each soil and agronomic parameters (growth and yield parameters) of wheat.

Parameter	рΗ	тос	HA	CEC	TKN	FI	Fw	dw	biomass	Nhe	Ngr	Y
Correlation	NF soil											
рН	1	0.915	0.115	0.125	0.993	-0.964	-0.991	-0.998	-0.985	-0.997	-0.996	-0.999
тос	0.915	1	-0.297	-0.287	0.955	-0.775	-0.96	-0.94	-0.83	-0.943	-0.947	-0.929
HA	0.115	-0.297	1	1	0	-0.37	0.019	-0.046	-0.286	-0.037	-0.024	-0.077
CEC	0.125	-0.287	1	1	0.01	-0.383	0.009	-0.057	-0.295	-0.047	-0.034	-0.087
TKN	0.993	0.955	0	0.01	1	-0.928	-1	-0.999	-0.958	-0.999	-1	-0.997
Correlations	MF soil											
рН	1	-0.823	1	-0.998	0.963	0.819	-1	-0.915	-0.723	-1	-0.973	-1
тос	-0.823	1	-0.823	0.788	-0.945	-1	0.836	0.524	0.203	0.813	0.668	0.828
HA	1	-0.823	1	-0.998	0.963	0.819	-1	-0.915	-0.723	-1	-0.973	-1
CEC	-0.998	0.788	-0.998	1	-0.946	-0.787	0.997	0.938	0.763	999	0.985	0.998
TKN	0.963	-0.945	0.963	-0.946	1	0.943	-0.969	-0.774	-0.512	-0.959	-0.875	-0.966
Correlations	C1 soil											
рН	1	0.721	0.778	-0.879	0.195	-0.73	-0.953	-0.565	0.137	-0.906	-0.986	-0.613
тос	0.721	1	0.996	-0.964	0.82	-1	-0.478	-0.979	-0.588	-0.36	-0.827	-0.106
HA	0.778	0.996	1	-0.983	0.768	-0.997	-0.522	-0.958	-0.516	-0.439	-0.873	0.02
CEC	-0.879	-0.964	-0.983	1	-0.639	0.968	0.694	0.89	0.353	0.594	0.947	0.16
TKN	0.195	0.82	0.768	-0.639	1	-0.81	0.11	-0.92	-0.95	0.24	-0.36	0.66
Correlations	C2 soil											
pН	1	-0.159	-0.091	-0.122	-0.559	-0.467	-0.645	-0.824	0.902	-0.916	-0.96	-0.565
тос	-0.159	1	-0.969	0.999	-0.695	-0.799	0.857	-0.429	0.283	0.542	0.43	0.905
HA	-0.091	-0.969	1	-0.977	0.852	0.923	-0.703	0.639	-0.512	0.317	-0.192	-0.771
CEC	-0.122	0.999	-0.977	1	-0.721	-0.82	0.838	-0.462	0.318	0.511	0.396	0.888
TKN	-0.599	-0.695	0.852	-0.721	1	0.988	-0.226	0.948	-0.886	0.227	0.351	-0.322
Correlations	s C3 soil											
рН	1	-0.612	0.47	-0.795	-0.688	0.765	-0.234	0.97	0.764	-0.289	-0.092	-0.51
тос	-0.61	1	-0.986	0.966	-0.153	-0.978	0.912	-0.786	0.042	0.934	0.844	0.992
HA	0.47	-0.99	1	-0.91	0.32	0.93	-0.97	0.67	-0.21	-0.98	-0.92	-0.999
CEC	-0.79	0.97	-0.91	1	0.11	-0.999	0.776	-0.919	-0.216	0.81	0.677	0.927
TKN	-0.69	-0.15	0.316	0.11	1	-0.059	-0.545	-0.491	-0.994	-0.496	-0.659	-0.274

TOC, Total organic carbon; HA, humic acid; CEC, cation exchange capacity; TKN, total Kjeldahl nitrogen; FI, foliar index; Fw, fresh weight; dw, dry weight; Nhe, number of heads; Ngr, number of grains; Y, yield

report a linear response between the dose of compost application and both C degradation and retention. The amount of C sequestered was similar to the total recalcitrant C content of compost and the labile C pool of compost was completely degraded. Increasing the levels of humic substances can therefore contribute to making a significant increase in the CEC, especially in light soils with a low absorption capacity.

In fact, through PCA analysis in C3, the CEC parameter become further near of numerous agronomic parameters and the HA show high negative correlation with them according to P1 (69.1%). Sodhi et al. (2009) have reported that application of rice straw compost either alone or in combination with inorganic fertilizers in a rice-wheat system significantly improved the aggregate stability and C sequestration in soil compared with a control or with inorganically fertilized plots.

In the MF soil, most physico-chemical parameters correlated well with the agronomic parameters and moreover, were situated near them (HA was on the opposite of the sheet) in the PCA diagrams. This could be explained by the fact that in the case of mineral fertilization, the plant benefits from all nutritional elements, which leads to a better equilibrium between the input of mineral elements and plant harvest. However, the yields and growth parameters after applying compost



Figure 2. Principal component analysis between different physico-chemical parameters of each soil and agronomic parameters (growth and yield parameters) of wheat.

mainly in C3 became higher than after mineral fertilization MF. A change of kinetics apparently occurred between export in crops and nutrient availability. The addition of compost simultaneously led to better mineral nutrition of the plants and also to changes in some of the physicochemical parameters of the soil such as TKN availability, pH of soil and also sequestration of available carbon in the form of stable humic matter improving soil structure, its water-retention and its ion-exchange capacity (Ouédraogo et al., 2001; Walker and Bernal, 2008). Chen and Aviad (1990) demonstrated that the humic substances contained in compost improve plant growth. They suggest that the humic substances enhance the uptake of mineral elements by plants. Evanylo et al. (2008) reported that compost can provide crop N requirements and those high levels of application supply a portion of mineralizable N from such a stable N fertility source.

In fact, the higher correlations of TKN with most other physico-chemical and agronomic parameters in MF and NF soil than in amended soil which show low correlations could be explained by the strong increase of nitrogen in C3 and C2. While in MF and also NF, the level of TKN remained apparently in equilibrium with plant consumption.

Suzuki et al. (1990) reported that after 20 years of annual compost application or mineral fertilization of a plot used to grow rice, the levels of nitrogen in the compost-amended soil were higher than those receiving mineral fertilizer.

Accordingly, the benefits from long-term additions of compost in small amounts may accrue with time. It is therefore, necessary to calculate the rate of organic amendment required to increase or maintain organic matter concentration for the enhancement of soil fertility.

## Conclusion

The agronomic value of biosolids composted with green waste was assessed in a field study on wheat (T. aestivum var. Marchouch) in the calcimagnesic soil of semi-arid areas using three levels of compost application (14, 28 and 42 t/ha) over three successive years. Application of the compost improved the various physicochemical characteristics of the soil. The difference was greatest in soil amended by the whole amount of compost (42 t/ha) in a single application (rather than progressively over the years) and remained greater even three years after compost application. This indicates that, the soil studied needed a large amount of compost. The beneficial effects on the physicochemical properties of the soil, led to an improvement of the various growth parameters (foliar index, dry matter and total biomass) and yield parameters (number of heads of wheat/m<sup>2</sup>, number of grains per head and grain yield) of the wheat. In compost amended soil and especially in C3, statistical analysis revealed that it is the amount of humic

substances and CEC that showed high correlations with the agronomic parameters compared with un-amended soil, where TKN and TOC demonstrate a high importance. The reduced of TKN availability and the fact that the carbon added in soil with compost is essentially sequestered in the form of humic substances could explain the low correlations between either TKN or TOC and the agronomic parameters, in contrast to the situation noted in soil subjected mineral fertilization. So, this confirms that the addition of compost may not only enhance plant growth, but also contribute to the maintenance of soil fertility over the following years when appropriate amounts of compost have been applied.

## REFERENCES

- Afnor (1993). French Association of Normalizations NF X 31-151, Sols Sédiments – Boues de stations d'épuration. Mise en solution des éléments métalliques traces (Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb, Zn) par attaques acides. pp. 139-145.
- Amir S, Hafidi M, Merlina G, Hamdi H, Jouraiphy A, El Gharous M, Revel JC (2005c). Fate of phthalic acid esters during composting of both lagooning and activated sludges. Process. Biochem. 40 : 2183-2190.
- Amir S, Hafidi M, Merlina G, Hamdi H, Revel JC (2005b). Fate of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons during composting of lagooning sewage sludge. Chemosphere, 58 : 449-458.
- Amir S, Hafidi M, Merlina G, Revel JC (2005a). Sequential extraction of heavy metals during composting of sewage sludge. Chemosphere, 59: 801-810.
- Amlinger D (2004). Heavy metals and organic compounds from wastes used as organic fertilisers, ENV.A.2./ETU/2001/0024, Compost-Consulting Development, Perchtoldsdorf. Final report, p. 229.
- Arancon NQ, Edwards CA, Bierman P, Welch C, Metzger JD (2004). Influences of vermicomposts on field strawberries: 1. Effects on growth and yields. Bioresour. Technol. 93: 145-153.
- Atiyeh RM, Lee S, Edwards CA, Arancon NQ, Metzger JD (2002). The influence of humic acids derived from earthworm-processed organic wastes on plant growth. Bioresour. Technol. 84 : 7-14.
- Ayuso M, Hernández T, Garcia C, Pascual JA (1996). Stimulation of barley growth and nutrient absorption by humic substances originating from various organic materials. Bioresour. Technol. 57: 251-257.
- Baca MT, Benitez E, Nogales R (1992). Effect of the addition of sugarcane bagasse composts on micronutrient assimilability in ryegrass. Waste Manage. Res. 10: 13-19.
- Bazzoffi P, Pellegrini S, Rocchini A, Morandi M, Grasselli O (1998). The effect of urban refuse compost and different tractors tyres on soil physical properties, soil erosion and maize yield. Soil. Tillage Res. 48 : 275-286.
- Bousselhaj K, Fars S, Laghmari A, Nejmeddine A, Ouazzani N, Ciavatta C (2004). Nitrogen fertilizer value of sewage sludge co-composts. Agronomie. 24 : 487-492.
- Casado-Vela J, Sellés S, Díaz-Crespo C, Navarro-Pedreño J, Mataix-Beneyto J, Gómez I (2007). Effect of composted sewage sludge application to soil on sweet pepper crop (*Capsicum annuum var. annuum*) grown under two exploitation regimes. Waste Manage. 27: 1509-1518.
- Celik I, Ortas I, Kilic S (2004). Effects of compost, mycorrhiza, manure and fertilizer on some physical properties of a Chromoxerert soil. Soil. Tillage Res. 78: 59-67.
- Chen Y, Aviad T (1990). Effects of humic substances on plant growth, in: Malcolm RL, Bloom PR (Eds.), Humic substances in soil and crop sciences: selected readings. Ed. P MacCarthy, CE Clapp, Am. Soc. Agron. Soil Sci. Soc. of Am. Madison. WI. pp. 161-186.
- Cordovil CM, Cabral SF, Coutinho J (2007). Potential mineralization of nitrogen from organic wastes to ryegrass and wheat crops. Bioresour.

Technol. 98 : 3265-3268.

- Courtney RG, Mullen GJ (2008). Soil quality and barley growth as influenced by the land application of two compost types. Bioresour. Technol. 99 : 2913-2918.
- Dai JY, Chen L, Zhao JF, Ma N (2006). Characteristics of sewage sludge and distribution of heavy metal in plants with amendment of sewage sludge. J. Environ. Sci. 18 : 1094-1100.
- Dick WA, McCoy EL (1993). Enhancing soil fertility by addition of compost. In Harry A, Hoitink J, Harold M, Wooster K (Eds.), Science and engineering of compost design Environ., microbial. utilization aspects. Ohio. pp. 622-644.
- Evanylo G, Sherony C, Spargo J, Starner D, Brosius M, Haering K (2008). Soil and water environmental effects of fertilizer-, manure-, and compost-based fertility practices in an organic vegetable cropping system. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 127: 50-58.
- Eyheraguibel B, Silvestre J, Morard P (2008). Effects of humic substances derived from organic waste enhancement on the growth and mineral nutrition of maize. Bioresour. Technol. 99 : 4206-4212.
- Ezelin De Souza K (1998). Contribution à la valorisation de la bagasse par transformation biologique et chimique. Valeur agronomique des composts et propriétés suppressives vis -à -vis du champignon phytopathogène *Fusarium solanum*. PhD, Institut National Polytechnique de Toulouse. p. 386.
- Fabrizio A, Tambone F, Genevini P (2009). Effect of compost application rate on carbon degradation and retention in soils. Waste Manage. 29: 174–179.
- Fagbenro JA, Agboola AA (1993). Effect of different levels of humic acid on the growth and nutrient uptake of teak seedlings. J. Plant. Nutr., 16:1465–1483.
- Fernández JM, Plaza C, Senesi N, Polo A (2007). Acid–base properties of humic substances from composted and thermally-dried sewage sludges and amended soils as determined by potentiometric titration and the NICA-Donnan model. Chemosphere, 69: 630–635.
- Gragnon B, Simard RR (1999). Nitrogen and phosphorus release from on-farm and industrial composts. Can. J. Soil Sci. 79: 481–489.
- Giusquiani PL, Pagliai M, Gigliotti G, Bunsinelli D, Benetti A (1995). Urban waste compost Effects on physical, chemical, and biochemical soil properties. J. Environ. Qual. 24 : 175-182.
- Hafidi M, Amir S, Jouraiphy A, Winterton P, El Gharous M, Merlina G, Revel JC (2008). Fate of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons during composting of activated sewage sludge with green waste. Bioresour. Technol. 99 : 8819-8823.
- Hartl W, Erhart E (2003). Mulching with compost improves growth of blue spruce in Christmas tree plantations. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 39: 149-156
- Houba VJG, Van Der Lee JJ, Novozamsky I (1995). Soil Analysis Procedures, Other Procedures. Soil. Plant Anal. part 5B. Syllabus.
- Inbar Y, Hadar Y, Chen Y (1992). Characterization of humic substances formed during the composting of solid wastes from wineries. Sci. Tot. Environ. 113 : 35-48.
- Jouraiphy A, Amir S, El Gharous M, Revel JC, Hafidi M (2005). Chemical and spectroscopic analysis of organic matter transformation during composting of sewage sludge and green plant waste. Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad. 56: 101-108.
- Kahle P, Belau L, Boelcke B (2002). Effects of 10 years of Miscanthus cultivation on different properties of mineral soil in North-East Germany. J. Agron. Crop Sci. 188: 43-50.
- Krogstad T, Sogn TA, Asdal AS, Sæbo A (2005). Influence of chemically and biologically stabilized sewage sludge on plantavailable phosphorous in soil. Ecol. Eng. 25: 51–60.
- Lichtfouse E, Chenu C, Baudin F, Leblond C, Da Silva M, Behar F, Derenne S, Largeau C, Wehrung P, Albrecht P (1998). A novel pathway of soil organic matter formation by selective preservation of resistant straight-chain biopolymers: chemical and isotope evidence. Org. Geochem. 28: 411- 415.
- Lu LÅ, Kumar M, Tsai JC, Lin JG (2008). High-rate composting of barley dregs with sewage sludge in a pilot scale bioreactor. Bioresour. Technol. 99: 2210–2217.
- Mantovi P, Baldoni G, Toderi G (2005). Reuse of liquid, dewatered, and composted sewage sludge on agricultural land: effects of long-term application on soil and crop. Water. Res. 39: 289-296.

- Nelson DW, Sommers LE (1982). Total carbon, organic carbon and organic matter. in: Page, A.L. (Eds.), Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2, Ed. Agronomical, second ed. Monograph 9 ASA at SSSA, Madison, WI. pp. 539–579.
- O'Dell R, Silk W, Green P, Claassen V (2007). Compost amendment of Cu–Zn minespoil reduces toxic bioavailable heavy metal concentrations and promotes establishment and biomass production of *Bromus carinatus* (Hook and Arn.). Environ. Pollut. 148 : 115-124.
- Oleszczuk P (2006). Persistence of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in sewage sludge-amended soil. Chemosphere. 65 : 1616-1626.
- Olsen SB (1986). The role of organic matter and ammonium in producing high corn yields. in Chen Y, Avenmelech Y (Eds.), The role of organic matter in modern agricultureMartinus Nithrff, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 306 p. pp. 29-54.
- Ostos JC, López-Garrido R, Murillo JM, López R (2008). Substitution of peat for municipal solid waste- and sewage sludge-based composts in nursery growing media: Effects on growth and nutrition of the native shrub *Pistacia lentiscus* L. Bioresour. Technol. 99 : 1793-1800.
- Ouédraogo E, Mando A, Zombré NP (2001). Use of compost to improve soil properties and crop productivity under low input agricultural system in West Africa. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 84 : 259-266.
- Pedneault A (1994). Les effets du compost sur les plantes. Québec Vert. pp.18-20.
- Pedra F, Plaza C, García-Gil JC, Polo A (2008). Effects of municipal waste compost and sewage sludge on proton binding behavior of humic acids from Portuguese sandy and clay loam soils. Bioresour. Technol. 99 : 2141-2147.
- Pedra F, Polo A, Ribeiro A, Domingues H (2007). Effects of municipal solid waste compost and sewage sludge on mineralization of soil organic matter. Soil Biol. Biochem. 39 : 1375-1382.
- Pinamonti F (1998). Compost mulch effects on soil fertility, nutritional status performance of grapevine. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys. 5: 239-248.
- Prone A, Lebourg A, Blanchon F, De Sweemer C (1999). Suivi des modifications physiques et chimiques de sols de vignobles à textures différentes après apport de compost urbain. Courr. Sci. Parc. Nat. Luberon. 3 : 59-72.
- Ribeiro HM, Vasconcelos E, dos Santos JQ (2000). Fertilisation of potted geranium with a municipal solid waste compost. Bioresour. Technol. 73: 247-249.
- Senesi N, Plaza C, Brunetti G, Polo A (2007). Comparative survey of recent results on humic-like fractions in organic amendments and effects on native soil humic substances. Soil Biol. Biochem. 39: 1244-1262.
- Sodhi GPS, Beri V, Benbi DK (2009). Soil aggregation and distribution of carbon and nitrogen in different fractions under long-term application of compost in rice–wheat system. Soil Tillage Res. 103: 412–418.
- Spaccini R, Piccolo A, Conte P, Haberhauer G, Gerzabek MH (2002). Increased soil organic carbon sequestration through hydrophobic protection by humic substances. Soil Biol. Biochem. 34 : 1839-1851.
- Suzuki M, Kamekawa K, Sekiya S, Sliga H (1990). Effect of continuous application of organic and inorganic fertilizer for sixty years on soil fertility and rice yield in paddy field. Transactions of the 14<sup>th</sup> Int. Congress soil Sci. IV, Kyoto, Japan. pp. 14-19.
- Swift MJ, Woomer P (1993). Organic matter and sustainability of agricultural systems: definition and measurement. In Mulongoy K, Merckx R. (Eds.). Soil Organic Matter Dynamics and Sustainability of Tropical Agriculture. John Wiley and Sons, Verlag. pp. 3–18.
- Walker DJ, Bernal MP (2008). The effects of olive mill waste compost and poultry manure on the availability and plant uptake of nutrients in a highly saline soil. Bioresour. Technol. 99: 396-403.
- Wie Y, Liu Y (2005). Effects of sewage sludge compost application on crops and cropland in a 3-year field study. Chemosphere, 59: 1257-1265.