Full Length Research Paper

Bioassay of *Geniculosporium* species for *Phytophthora megakarya* biological control on cacao pod husk pieces

P. R. TONDJE¹*, K. P. HEBBAR², G. SAMUELS⁴, J. H. BOWERS³, S. WEISE⁵, E. NYEMB¹, D. BEGOUDE¹, J. FOKO⁺ and D. FONTEM⁶

¹ Regional Biological control and Applied Microbiology Laboratory, Institute of Agricultural Research for Development (IRAD), P.O. Box 2123 Yaoundé, Cameroon.

²MARS Inc./US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Plant Science Institute, Alternate Crops Systems Lab, Beltsville, MD 20705, USA.

³ US. Deparment of Agriculture (USDA), Agricultural Research Service (ARS), Plant Science Institute (PSI), Alternate Crops Systems Lab. Beltsville, MD,20705 USA.

⁴ US. Deparment of Agriculture (USDA), Agricultural Research Service (ARS), Plant Science Institute (PSI), Systematic and Mycology Lab. Beltsville, MD,20705 USA.

⁵International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), HFS- P.O.Box 2008 Yaounde, Cameroon. ⁶University of Dschang, Faculty of Agronomy and Agricultural Science, P.O.Box 222, Dschang - Cameroon.

Accepted 17 March, 2006

Fungal endophytes (*Geniculosporium* sp.) isolated from cacao leaves were screened for biological control of *Phytophthora megakarya* the cacao black pod disease pathogen, using Cacao Pod Husk Pieces (CPHP). CPHP were pre-treated with spore suspensions of *Geniculosporium* sp. [BC13 (GJS 01-196), BC108 (GJS 01-192), BC118 (GJS 01-197), BC177 (GJS 01-198)], and were infected with *P. megakarya* zoospore suspensions (10⁵ zoospores/ml). Effects on *P. megakarya* were noticed at pod infection, mycelia growth inside infected tissues, and fungal sporulation, that are major stages of the black pod disease cycle on cacao pods. CPHP pre-treated with BC108 expressed the lowest early Infection Index (EII), but could not control disease progress into infected cacao pod husk tissues. On CPHP pre-treated with BC13, average growth rate of the necrosis was significantly reduced, but no control on *P. megakarya* sporulation was observed, while CPHP pre-treated with BC177 significantly reduced *P. megakarya* sporulation.

Key words: Cacao, biological control, *Geniculosporium sp,* endophytes, *Phytophthora megakarya,* pod husks pieces.

INTRODUCTION

Phytophthora megakarya the causative agent of cacao black pod disease is present only in Central and West African cocoa producing countries, and is the most damaging of the cocoa diseases in this region. Annual losses due to this disease range from 30 to 90% of total production (Dakwa, 1987; Djiekpor et al., 1981). To combat this disease, copper and metalaxyl-based fungicides are applied (Matthews et al., 2003). Apart from being very expensive for many African farmers, heavy reliance on such chemicals can be associated with non-target effects (WHO, 1986; Mwanti and Kimani, 1993), loss of biodiversity and spoilage of land and water and may also lead to the development of resistance by the pathogen (Davidse et al., 1981; Deahl et al., 1992; Fontem et al., 2005; Giller et al., 1998; He et al., 2005). As an alternative to chemical fungicides application, agronomic measures such as the removal of diseased pods and shade management have not proven effective enough (Tondje et al., 1993; Ndoumbe-Nkeng et al., 2004). Moreover, a cacao variety resistant to black pod disease caused by *P. megakarya* is yet to be made

^{*}Corresponding author: Email: prtondje@yahoo.com.

available. In cacao, potential biological control agents for the control of the most commonly known causative agent of cacao black pod disease, *Phytophthora palmivora*, have been reported (Figueiro and Medeiros, 1977; Gallindo, 1992; Odamtten and Clerk, 1984; Odigie and Ikotun, 1982). However, these results were not applied in natural conditions. Yet, lack of consistency was reported as one draw back of the biological control (Chalutz and Droby, 1997).

The microorganisms in the phyllosphere are frequently affected by many factors: low nutrient availability, extreme temperatures, drought, and intense radiation. However, the endophytes which live in an environment protected against sudden weather changes and radiations are more stable (Burrage, 1971). Endophytes are microorganisms that colonize the tissues of living plants and grow within healthy tissues without inducing disease symptoms in the plant (Carroll, 1998, Arnold et al. 2003). Several endophytes have been reported to support growth and improve the health of plants (Hallmann, et al. 1997; Kirchhof et al. 2001; Nowak, 1998; Sharma and Novak, 1998; Stoltzfus et al. 1998). Endophytes may be important sources of biological control agents in cacao (Arnold et al., 2003, Rubini et al., 2005). Geniculosporium species are commonly found as endophytes of tree species (Petrini and Petrini, 1985). Geniculosporium is the anamorph (asexual) form of several species of Hypoxylon (Ascomycetes, Xylariales); it is not a name previously found in the biological control literature. However, Hypoxlon species are common in natural forests as well as agricultural settings in all temperate and tropical regions (Ju and Rogers, 1996). Geniculosporium species produce dry conidia profusely in agar culture, thus providing an easy means to mass produce inoculum for biological control.

The initial step of screening for microbes with potential for disease control is the most critical in biological control of plant pathogens (Fravel, 1999). The validity of simple agar plates screening tests in selecting for antagonists is questionable; the difference between the ability of an antagonist under laboratory and field situations is frequently experienced in biological control research (Tronsmo, 1992; Huber and Watson, 1966).

The aims of this study were to evaluate (i) the antagonistic potential of the endophytic, *Geniculosporium* spp. [BC13 (GJS 01-196), BC108 (GJS 01-192), BC118 (GJS 01-197), BC177 (GJS 01-198)] against *P. megakarya*, and (ii) the use of cacao pod husk pieces for screening microbial candidates for *P. megakarya* biological control.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Isolation of the fungal endophytes from cacao leaves

Twenty seven healthy cacao leaves from a farmer's field at Nkometou III (Cameroon) were randomly collected and kept individually in polyethylene plastic bags. Collected samples were stored in a freeze container at 4°C temperature before processing in the laboratory two hours later (Bills, 1996). From each leaf, 20 healthy leaf disks (5 mm diameter) were carefully cut using a sterile cork-borer. These leaf disks were then surface-sterilized with sequential washes in tap water, 95% ethanol (30 s), 10% (v/v) commercial sodium hypochlorite (10 min), 75% ethanol (2 min), and sterile distilled water (3 rinses) (Arnold, 1999). The sterile leaf disks were then serially placed on water agar plates and incubated in the laboratory at room temperature. Emerging hyphae were plated on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) medium (per litre: 200 g pealed and sliced potatoes, 20 g dextrose, 15 g agar) and purified.

Several fungi were isolated from symptomless cacao leaves from a farmer's field at Nkometoull (Cameroon). Among these, *Geniculosporium* isolates (GJS 01-192), BC118 (GJS 01-197) and BC177 (GJS 01-198) expressed some antagonism to *P. megakarya* in preliminary experiments (Mbenoun, 2001).

Preparation of cacao pod husk pieces (CPHP)

About 18 to 24 healthy pieces (4 cm x 4 cm x 0.5 cm) of cacao pod husk were carefully cut from different mature, green, healthy cacao pod of the (*Theobroma cacao* L) cacao variety (SNK10) that was reported to be very sensitive to black pod disease in Cameroon (Blaha and Lotodé, 1976). To minimize pod origin and pod age effects (Turner, 1965) three randomly selected pieces of cacao pod husks were placed on moist filter paper in each Petri dish (90 mm diameter). Sterile distilled water was added when necessary to keep the filter paper continuously moist.

Biological control candidates and the pathogen

The endophytic *Geniculosporium* isolates were stored in sterile distilled water (Jones et al., 1991) on small plugs of potato dextrose agar (PDA). Conidia from 10-day-old PDA agar cultures were aseptically harvested and suspended in sterile distilled water at a concentration of 1×10^6 sporangia ml⁻¹, using a MALASSEZ hemacytometer (SOVIREL, France).

P. megakarya strain NKOM3-00 was isolated from a naturally infected cacao pod in a farmer's field at Nkometou III and stored in sterile distilled water on small pieces of V8 agar (200 g V8 juice, 3 g CaCO₃, 15 g agar and 1000 ml distilled water). Zoospores were obtained from sporangia of artificially infected cacao pods maintained in humid plastic boxes at 28°C (room temperature) for 10 days. Sporangia were scraped from the surface of the infected cacao pod and placed in sterile distilled water. The suspension of sporangia was placed in a refrigerator at 4°C for 3 min, and immediately transferred to room temperature for 15 min. The concentration of zoospores was adjusted to 1×10^5 zoospores ml⁻¹, using the hemacytometer.

Pretreatment of cacao pod husk pieces (CPHP)

For each of the five biological control candidate to be tested, six CPHP, distributed in two Petri dishes (90 mm diameter), were pretreated by spreading a 50 μ l drop of conidial suspension of the biological control candidate at a concentration of 1x10⁶ conidia ml⁻¹ onto the upper surface of the CPHP to facilitate the precolonization process. For the control treatments, 50 μ l of sterile distilled water was spread on the upper surface of the CPHP. Plates were kept open for about 5 h at room temperature to speed up the drying process of the upper surface of the CPHP. Closed plates were then incubated at room temperature (~28°C) for 4 days. During incubation the filter paper was kept moist inside the Petri dishes using sterile distilled water to allow for the germination

| Treatment | ^α Early Infection Index (EII) (%) | ^β Production of Sporangia (PS) | ^δ Radial progress of the necrosis |
|-----------|--|---|--|
| Control | 100 | 5.201a | 30.167a |
| BC13 | 46.15 | 5.000ab | 12.717d |
| BC181 | 51.28 | 4.934b | 22.983c |
| BC118 | 73.07 | 4.646b | 29.700a |
| BC108 | 39.74 | 4.739b | 26.000b |
| BC177 | 47.43 | 3.985c | 22.250c |
| | F | 7.619*** | 43.18*** |
| | Р | 0.005 | 0.001 |
| | CV | 3.92 | 9.97 |

Table 1. Early Infection Index (EEI), Production of Sporangia (PS) /cm² and Radial Growth of the necrosis on pre-treated cacao pod husk pieces with some selected biocontrol candidates of *P.megakarya*.

^{α}values are expressed in % relative to control.

^βExpressed as log₁₀[(PS)/cm²] 10 days after inoculation with *P. megakarya*.

⁸Expressed as *P. megakarya* necrosis (mm/24 h) during the first 4 days after a successful infection by *P. megakarya*, on pre-treated CPHP with *Geniculosporium* spp. [BC13 (GJS 01-196), BC108 (GJS 01-192), BC118 (GJS 01-197), BC177 (GJS 01-198)]. Values in a column followed by the same letters are not significantly different.

and growth on CPHP surfaces of sporangia of the biocontrol candidates.

Inoculation of pre-treated cacao pod husk pieces

A 15- μ l drop of zoospore suspension of *P. megakarya* at a concentration of 1x10⁵ zoospores ml⁻¹ was inoculated to the center of the completely dried surface of each CPHP. First observations were made two days after inoculation, and then every day for two weeks.

Early Infectivity of P. megakarya on pre-treated CPHP

An index for early infectivity (EII) on CPHP was calculated using the following formula adapted from Soria and Esquivel (1970)

 $\mathsf{EII} = 6\mathsf{X}_1 + 4\mathsf{X}_2 + 2\mathsf{X}_3 + \mathsf{X}_4$

In this formula, X_i (where *i* =1, 2, 3, and 4), for each treatment (EII) represents the sum of CPHP on which *P. megakarya* infection was observed from the point of inoculation on the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th day of observation.

Radial growth of the necrosis (RGN)

Radial growth of the necrosis on the CPHP was measured each 24 h after successful infection; for the first 4 days after inoculation with *P. megakarya.* The CPHP were incubated for one more week for observations on sporangia production potentials on pre-treated CPHP.

Production of sporangia

Sporangia were harvested 4 days after inoculation with *P. megakarya* by scraping a 1 cm2 area of the surface of each infected CPHP with a glass slide and flushed with 1 ml of distilled water into a 1.5 ml polypropylene micro tube. The suspension was mixed with a vortex mixer for 15 s, and sporangia were counted in a hemacytometer. Data was recorded as production of sporangia (PS) per square centimeter, PS/cm².

Data analysis

All experiments were performed twice. A completely randomized design was used in the experiments. The results for the two experiments were pooled and the homogeneity of variances confirmed by Bartlett's test. Results of radial growth of the necrosis and production of sporangia were analyzed by ANOVA followed by the Student, Newman, Keuls test to differentiate treatments using SAS (Statistical Analysis System, SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

All the strains of *Geniculosporium* [BC13 (GJS 01-196), BC108 (GJS 01-192), BC118 (GJS 01-197), and BC177 (GJS 01-198)] tested expressed some degree of antagonism to *P. megakarya*. The three parameters used were: Early infection Index (EII), radial growth rate of the necrosis (RGN) and production of sporangia (PS) (Table 1)

Early infection index (EII) of pre-treated CPHP

In this study, of all the strains screened, the lowest EII of pre-treated CPHP was observed for CPHP treated with strain BC108 (Table 1). We did not observe any strain of the *Geniculosporium* spp. screened so far that could completely prevent cacao pod husks pieces from being infected by *P. megakarya*.

Radial growth rate of the necrosis (RGN)

Pre-treated CPHP with strain BC13 showed a particular capacity to slow the growth of the necrosis (12.717 mm/24 h) relative to control (30.167 mm/24 h), after a successful infection by *P. megakarya.* Significant differences (P<0.001) were observed between isolates of

biocontrol candidates, but none were able to completely inhibit the radial growth of the necrosis caused by *P. megakarya* on cacao pods (Table1).

Production of sporangia (PS) of *P. megakarya* on CPHP

Significant differences were found among biological control candidates on pre-treated CPHP in regard to the production of sporangia by *P. megakarya*. Isolate BC13 was able to reduce the radial growth of the necrosis but showed no capacity to inhibit the production of sporangia 10 days after a successful infection by *P. megakarya*. However, an interesting reduction by BC177 on the number of sporangia produced on CPHP by *P. megakarya* was observed (Table1).

DISCUSSION

In this study, differences were sought according to disease assessment parameters for black pod disease incidence on pre-treated CPHP with microbial biological control candidates. Relative to control, the lowest early Infection Index (EII) was observed for pre-treated CPHP with BC108 (39.74%). However, the average production of sporangia was high (Table 1). This result reveal more specific responses of these endophytic biological control candidates on particular points of *P. megakarya* cycle on cacao pods: pod infection, mycelia growth inside infected tissues, and fungal sporulation on infected tissues.

One major difference between P. palmivora and P. megakarya, the most damaging species on cacao, is that the production, maturation, and liberation of sporangia are grouped in a short period of time for P. palmivora, and in an extended period for P. megakarya (Blaha, 1984). On a single cacao pod, zoospores can be released from sporangia for over 30 days when infected by P. megakarya (Depreaux et al., 1987). Based on this basic difference between the two species, we strongly believe that an effective screening strategy for biocontrol candidates of P. megakarya needs to lay emphasis on endophytic strains that could control the production and maturation of sporangia on cacao pods since sporangia and, in turn, zoospores are the major propagules for the dissemination of this fungal disease. The cacao pod is the most important site of the infection cycle of cacao black pod disease in cacao farms. Green cacao pod husk pieces prove to be usable for bio-tests in laboratory conditions. This material presents the advantage of making possible the screening procedure for endophytic biological control candidates of P. megakarya at infection of cacao pods, mycelia growth inside infected tissues, and fungal sporulation on infected cacao pods tissues. under laboratory conditions. However, as cacao pods are not available throughout the year, the possibility of using alternative organs as cacao leaves, needs to be investigated more, and could help for speeding the screening process for endophytic biological control candidates.

T. cacao is known to host many endophytic fungi, but work done to date has emphasized ecological aspects of the phenomenon of endophytism (Arnold and Herre, 2003) while suggesting economic potential (Rubini et al., 2005). In the present work we demonstrate biological control potential for cacao black pod disease with *Geniculosporium* species isolated from healthy leaves of cacao in Cameroon. The next steps will focus on on-farm evaluation tests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was funded by the USAID-Chocolate industry and IITA (International Institute of Tropical Agriculture) based STCP (Sustainable tree Crops Program). We thank Tertulaine Tchana and Michael Mbenoun for their valuable assistance.

REFERENCES

- Arnold BE (1999). Fungal endophytes of tropical trees: Methods and Potential for Biological Control of Fungal Pathogens of Cocoa. In: Research Methodology in Biocontrol of Plant Diseases, with special reference to fungal diseases of cocoa. (U. Krauss and P.K Hebbar) Eds. Workshop Manual, CATIE, Turrialba, Costa Rica 28 June – 4th July, 1999. pp.44-54 (To order contact, ukrauss@catie.ac.cr).
- Arnold BE and Herre EA (2003). Effects of canopy cover and leaf age on colonization by tropical fungal endophytes: ecological pattern and process in *Theobroma cacao* (Sterculiaceae). Mycologia 95.,In press.
- Arnold AE, Mejý a LC, Kyllo D, Rojas EI, Maynard Z, Robbins N, Edward AH (2003). Fungal endophytes limit pathogen in a tropical tree. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 100: 15649-15654.
- Baker KF (1987). Evolving concepts of biological control of plant pathogens. Annu.Rev. Phytopathol. 26: 67-85.
- Bills GF (1996). Isolation and Analysis of Endophytic Fungal Communities from Woody Plants. In: Endophytic Fungi in Grasses and Woody Plants (Redlin, SC, Carris LM Eds), American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul. pp. 31-66.
- Blaha G (1984). In Première réunion du groupe International de travail sur les *Phytophthora* du cacaoyer. Alliance des pays producteurs de cacao. Lomé – Togo du 20 – 22 février 1984 pp. 8-15.
- Blaha G, Lotodé R (1976). Un critère primordial de sélection du cacaoyer au Cameroun : La résistance à la pourriture brune des cabosses (*Phytophthora palmivora*). Café-acao-Thé (Paris). 20 (2): 97-116.
- Burrage SW (1971). The microclimate at the leaf surface. In: "Ecology of leaf surface micro-organisms", TF Preece, CH Dickinson, eds., Academic Press, London. pp. 91-101.
- Carroll GC (1998). Fungal endophytes in stems and leaves: from latent pathogen to mutualistic symbiont. Ecology 69: 2-9.
- Dakwa J (1987). A serious outbreak of blackpod disease in marginal area of Ghana. Proc. 10th Int. Cocoa Research Conf. Santo Domingo, pp. 447 451.
- Davidse LC, Looijen D, Turkensteen LJ, van der Wal D (1981). Occurrence of metalaxyl-resistant strains of *Phytophthora infestans* in The Netherlands. Eur. Plant Prot. Organ. Bull. 15: 403–409.
- Deahl KL, Young RJ, DeMuth SP, Inglis DA 1992. Virulence of metalaxyl-resistant strains of *Phytophthora infestans*. Phytopathology 82: 719.

- Depreaux D, Cambrony D, Clement D, Nyasse S, Partiot M (1987). Etude la pourriture brune du cacaoyer au Cameroun: Definition de nouvelles methodes de lutte. In: Proceedings 10^e Conf. Int. sur la Rech. cacaoyere, Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic May 1987, pp. 407 – 412.
- Djiekpor E, Goka K, Lucas P, Partiot M (1981). Brown rot of cocoa due to *Phytophthora sp* in Togo: Evaluation and control strategy. Cafécacao-Thé. 25(4): 269-268.
- Figueiro JM, Medeiros AG (1977). Algunos hongos antagoniscos a *Phytophthora palmivora* (Butl) en planationes de cacao. Proc. 6th Int. Cocoa Research Conf. Venezuela, pp. 240-242.
- Fontem DA., Olanya OM, Tsopmbeng GR, Owona MAP (2005). Pathogenicity and metalaxyl sensitivity of *Phytophthora infestans* isolates obtained from garden huckleberry, potato and tomato in Cameroon. Crop Prot. 24: 449–456.
- Fravel DR (1999). Hurdless and bottlenecks on the road to biocontrol of plant pathogens. Australasian Plant Pathol. 28: 53-56.
- Gallindo JJ (1992). Prospects of biological control of black pod of cocoa. In: Keane PJ and Putter CAJ (eds) Cocoa pest and disease management in South East Asia and Australasia, pp. 31-38.
- Giller KN, Witter E, Steve P, McGrath SP (1998). Toxicity of heavy metals to microorganisms and microbial processes in agricultural soils: a review. Soil Biol. Biochem. 30: 1389–414.
- Griffin MJ, Idowu OI, Madison AC, Taylor B, Ward MR (1981). Source of infection In Epidemiology of *Phytophthora* on cocoa in Nigeria. (PH Gregory, AC Madison), eds. Phytopath. Paper 25 Commonwealth Myc.Inst.Kew, Surrey England, p.188.
- Hallmann J, Quadt-Hallmann A, Mahaffee WF, Kloepper JW (1997). Bacterial endophytes in agricultural crops. Can. J. Microbiol. 43: 895–914.
- He ZL, Yang XE, Stoffella PJ (2005). Trace elements in agroecosystems and impacts on the environment. J. Trace Elements in Med. Biol. 19: 125–140.
- Huber DM, Watson RD (1966). How valid is the agar plate inhibition test for determining antagonism between soil micro-organisms? Phytopathology 56: 882.
- Jones RJ, Sizmur KJ, Wildman HG (1991). A miniaturized system for storage of fungal cultures in water. The mycologist. 5: 184-186.
- Ju YM, Rogers JD (1996). A revision of the genus *Hypoxylon*. Mycologia Mem. 20: 1-363.
- Kirchhof G, Eckert B, Stoffels M, Baldani JI, Reis VM and Hartmann A (2001). *Herbaspirillum frisingense* sp. nov., a new nitrogen-fixing bacterial species that occurs in C4-fibre plants. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 51: 157–168.
- Matthews G, Wiles T, Baleguel P (2003). A survey of pesticide application inCameroon. Crop Prot. 22: 707–714.
- Mbenoun M (2001). Evaluation du potentiel antagoniste des champignons endophytes associés au cacaoyer contre *Phytophthora megakarya* agent causal de la pourriture brune des fruits chez *Theobroma cacao L.* Memoire présenté et soutenu en vue de l'obtention de la Maîtrise de biochimie. Université de Yaoundé I Département de Biochimie. p. 32.
- Ndoumbe-Nkeng MC, Cilas E, Nyemb S, Nyasse D, Bieysse A, Flori I, Sache (2004). Impact of removing diseased pods on cocoa black pod caused by *Phytophthora megakarya* and on cocoa production in Cameroon. Crop Prot. 23: 415–424

- Nowak J (1998). Benefits of in vitro "biotization" of plant tissue cultures with microbial inoculants. In Vitro Cell Dev. Biol. Plant 34: 122–130.
- Odamtten GT, Clerk JC (1984). Studies on the possible use of two soil fungi, *Aspergillus niger* and *Trichoderma viride* as biological control agents of *Phytophthora palmivora* and the effect of their metabolites on cocoa seedlings. Proc. 11th Int. Cocoa Research Conf. Lomé Togo, pp. 293-301.
- Odigie EE, Ikotun T (1982). In vitro and in vivo inhibition of growth of *Phytophthora palmivora* (Butl) by antagonistic microorganisms. Fitopatologia Brasileira 7(2): 157-169.
- Petrini L, Petrini O (1985). Xylariaceous fungi as endophytes. Sydowia 38: 216-234.
- Sharma VK, Novak J (1998). Enhancement of verticillium wilt resistance in tomato transplants by *in vitro* co-culture of seedlings with a plant growth promoting rhizobacterium (*Pseudomonas* sp. strain PsJN). Can. J. Microbiol. 44: 528–536.
- Soria J, Esquivel O (1970). Relationship between precocity, growth and yield in cocoa. Turrialba, 20: 196-197.
- Tondje PR, Berry D, Bakala J and Ebandan S (1993). Interêt de diverses pratiques culturales dans la lutte contre la pourriture brune des cabosses dûe à *Phytophthora sp* au Cameroun. 11^e Conf. Intern. Sur la Recherche Cacaoyère. Yamoussoukro- Côte d'Ivoire, 18-24 juillet 1993, pp.175-183.
- Tronsmo A (1992). Leaf and blossom epiphytes and endophytes as biological control agents of plant diseases In: Tjamos EC., Papavizas GC., Cook RJ (eds) Biological Control of Plant Disease: progress and challenges for the future. NATO ASI series, series A: Life sciences Vol. 230. Plenum Press, New York. pp: 43-54.
- Turner PD (1965). Comparative sporulation as an indicator of resistance by cacao to *Phytophthora* pod rot. Trop. Agric, Trin. Vol 42: 305-309.
- WHO (1986). Informal consultation on planning strategy for the prevention of pesticide poisoning. WHO, Geneva. WHO/VBC/ 86.926.