African Journal of Biotechnology Vol. 11(57), pp. 12030-12039, 17 July, 2012 Available online at http://www.academicjournals.org/AJB DOI: 10.5897/AJB12.1032 ISSN 1684–5315 ©2012 Academic Journals

Full Length Research Paper

Studies on some morphological characters and yield attributes of synthetic *Brassica* hexaploids and their parents

M. A. Malek^{1,3*}, L. Rahman², L. Hassan², M. I. Uddin¹, M. Y. Rafii³ and M. R. Ismail³

¹Plant Breeding Division, Bangladesh Institute of Nuclear Agriculture, Bangladesh Agricultural University Campus, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh.

²Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh. ³Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia.

Accepted 1 June, 2012

Allopolyploidy plays an important role in plant evolution and confers better advantages on crop growth and breeding compared to low ploidy levels. The present investigation aimed to study the synthetic hexaploid Brassica (AABBCC genome) developed from Brassica cainata (BBCC genome) and Brassica rapa (AA genome) compared to their parental genotypes considering some morphological characters, yield attributes and yield. Increased vegetative growth in hexaploids over their parents was observed. Hexaploids exhibited wider ranges with higher coefficients of variations compared to the parents for all characters studied. Hexaploids had taller plants, higher number of siligua and larger flowers than those of both parents. Though average pollen fertility in the hexaploids was lower than both parents but out of 420 hexaploids, seventeen had comparatively higher percentages of pollen fertility as well as siliqua setting. Number of seeds per siliqua and siliqua length were found to be lower than their parents. Mean seed weight per plant in the hexaploids was lower than the parents though the hexaploids had higher number of siliquae along with higher seed weight. Days to flowering and maturity in the hexaploids were in between the parents and closer to the B. carinata parent. Five hexaploid plants from BARIBatishak-1 × Carinata-1 and two from each of Carinata-1 × BARIBatishak-1 and Agrani × Carinata-1 were found to be promising because they have higher seed yield per plant than their parents. Those nine selected high vielding hexaploid plants could be evaluated in the subsequent generations to select the stable and desirable Brassica hexaploids.

Key words: Brassica hexaploid, morphological characters, yield attributes.

INTRODUCTION

Polyploidization is one of the major forces in plant evolution and speciation (Soltis and Soltis, 1995, 2000; Ramsey and Schemske, 1998; Bennett, 2004; Blanc and Wolfe, 2004; Adams and Wendel, 2005; Paterson, 2005; Leitch and Leitch, 2008; Gaeta and Chris, 2010). Generally, polyploids seem to be more tolerant to different biotic and abiotic stresses than diploid pro-genitors due to enhanced production of various secondary metabolites (Lewis, 1980; Levin, 1983). In nature, it has also been observed that polyploids have a more vigorous growth habit, greater dry matter production and wider adaptation than lower ploidy counterparts (Arnold, 1997). Common wheat is a fine example of hexaploid having wider adaptation, better quality and higher yielding capacity than tetraploid durum wheat (Gooding and Davies, 1997; Leitch and Leitch, 2008). The hexaploid triticale (AABBRR), a successful allopolyploid crop species was developed artificially (Ammar et al., 2004). This hexaploid triticale has wider adaptation with higher seed yield potential than its parents, tetraploid wheat

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: mamalekbina@yahoo.com.

and diploid rye (Guesdes-Pinto et al., 1996).

There is an increasing interest in the development of trigenomic Brassica hexaploid species with genome complement AABBCC. Among the different Brassica species, Brassica carinata (Genome, BBCC) and Brassica rapa (Genome, AA) have been cultivated for thousands of years (Gomez-Campo and Prakash, 1999) as important oil seed crops. These two species are characterized by their high yield of seeds with high oil content and by certain species traits, such as selfincompatibility, early maturity and disease resistance in B. rapa (Ren et al., 2000), and resistance against drought and pod shattering and a better performance under saline and late sowing conditions in B. carinata (Getinet et al., 1996). So, synthesis of a new hexaploid Brassica species from *B. carinata* and *B. rapa* with genome AABBCC may combine the traits of the parental progenitors as well as can provide a number of biotic and abiotic stresses, increased vield in terms of seed production and higher oil content. Brassica hexaploids were therefore, developed from B. carinata and B. rapa (Malek, 2006, unpublished). We report here a comparison of synthetic hexaploid Brassica (AABBCC) with their parental genotypes considering some morphological characters and yield attributes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Synthetic *Brassica* hexaploids developed from the triploid *Brassica* hybrids (ABC) (Malek et al., 2006, unpublished) along with their parental genotypes were the plant materials. These hexaploids were developed from triploid hybrids (Genome, ABC) through the application of colchicine. Parental genotypes were Carinata-1 of *B. carinata* (Genome, BBCC), Agrani, Safal and Sampad and BAU-M/91 of *B. rapa* var. yellow sarson (Genome, AA), Tori-7 of *B. rapa* var. toria (Genome, AA), BARIBatishak-1 of *B. rapa* spp. *chinensis* (Genome, AA) and BARIChinashak-1 of *B. rapa* spp. *pekinensis* (Genome, AA).

Growing of plant materials

Brassica hexaploids and parental genotypes were grown following plant progeny rows with single replicate. The experiment was conducted in the experimental field of Bangladesh Institute of Nuclear Agriculture (BINA) (24°75″ N and 90°50″ E), Mymensingh, Bangladesh during Rabi season (November to March).

Pollen fertility study

For pollen fertility study, 1% acetocarmine was used. Intensely stained and normal shaped pollen grains were scored as fertile while the unstained and collapsed ones were scored as sterile. The ratio of stained pollen to the total was expressed as percentage of pollen fertility.

Data recording

Different morphological characters and yield attributes like plant

height, number of primary branches per plant, siliqua and beak length, length and breadth of petal, length of main fruiting axis, siliqua density, siliqua angle with axis, pollen fertility (%), siliqua setting (%), number of siliquae per plant, number of viable and sterile seeds per siliqua, 100-seed weight, seed yield per plant, days to flowering and maturity, from randomly selected 30 hexaploid plants of each cross combination and 10 plants of each parental genotypes. Measurement of mean, range and coefficients of variation (CV%) of each character were calculated following the formulae suggested by Burton (1952).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Performance of the hexaploids from Carinata-1 x BARIBatishak-1 and Carinata-1 × Agrani along with their parents considering some morphological characters, yield attributes and seed yield/plant are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. It is mentionable that the observations from the crosses, Carinata-1 x BARIBatishak-1 and Carinata-1 x Agrani were also applicable to the other five crosses viz., Carinata-1 x Safal, Carinata-1 × BAU-M/91, Carinata-1 × Sampad, Carinata-1 x Tori-7 and Carinata-1 x BARIChinashak-1. So, data on the performance of hexaploids from these five crosses with their reciprocals and parents are not presented.

Morphological characters

Increased vegetative growth and development of hexaploid plants over their corresponding F₁s was observed (Figure 1A to B). This increased growth in the amphidiploids over their corresponding F₁ agreed to the earlier results (Chrungu et al., 1999; Choudhary et al., 2000). Color of stem and leaf of hexaploids was similar to that of B. carinata parent while leaf shape and dentition was similar to the B. rapa parents. Leaf size of hexaploid was observed larger than that respective triploid hybrid ((Figure 2A to D) and, flower buds and flowers of the hexaploids were larger than those of both parents (Figure 3A to D). Seed coat color of the hexaploids derived from the cross of reddish-black seeded Carinata-1 with four yellow seeded genotypes of B. rapa was similar to Carinata-1. Hexaploids derived from the cross between Carinata-1 and BARIBatishak-1 and their reciprocal, seed coat color varied from black to reddish black. This variation in seed coat color in hexaploids might be due to genic interaction (Meng et al., 1998). Colour of siliqua surface of hexaploids was similar to that of Carinata-1 (Figure 4B to C).

Hexaploids exhibited wider ranges with higher coefficients of variation (CV%) compared to the parents for all characters studied. Plant height of hexaploids was higher and had vigorous growth over the parents (Figure 4A). The present result agreed to the earlier result of Meng et al. (1998). Petal length and width, the indicator of flower size, were higher than the parents. McNaughton

 Table 1. Performance of the hexaploids on some morphological characters, yield attributes and yield compared to their parents Carinata-1 and BARIBatishak-1 (BBS-1).

Characters		Carinata-1	Hexaploids (Carinata-1 × BBS-1)	Hexaploids (BBS-1 × Carinata-1)	BBS-1
	Mean	181	234	237	139
Plant beight (cm)	Range	163 – 200	215 – 256	220 – 263	125 – 160
	CV(%)	6.2	5.3	5.5	8.2
	Mean	1.40	1.50	1.47	0.99
Petal length (cm)	Range	1.35 – 1.44	1.42 – 1.54	1.40 – 1.54	0.95– 1.05
	CV(%)	2.0	2.2	3.0	2.1
	Mean	0.79	0.92	0.93	0.58
Rotal width (am)	Range	0.74 – 0.81	0.85 - 1.00	0.88 – 1.00	0.53 – 0.66
	CV(%)	3.2	4.4	3.5	3.4
	Mean	90	78	79	90
Pollen fertility (%)	Range	87 – 93	65 – 90	67 – 92	82 – 94
	CV(%)	3.0	7.7	7.4	4.4
	Mean	96	90	91	89
	Range	91 – 99	75 – 98	77 – 98	82 – 93
Siliqua setting (%)	CV(%)	2.8	6.8	6.7	3.6
	Mean	11.7	7.7	7.5	5.2
Primary branches/plant (no.)	Range	9 – 14	6 – 9	6 – 9	4 – 7
	CV(%)	12.8	13.8	16.3	21.8
	Mean	26	54	59	61
Length of main fruiting axis (cm)	Range	22 – 30	44 – 62	50 – 70	49 – 70
	CV(%)	10	8.1	9.8	10.4
	Mean	0.83	0.75	0.76	1.82
Siliqua density (per cm)	Range	0.75 – 1.00	0.65 - 0.80	0.65 – 0.94	1.67 – 2.00
	CV(%)	10.5	6.3	8.7	8.3
	Mean	35	71	79	61
Siliqua angle with axis	Range	32 – 37	65 – 78	70 – 90	58 – 65
	CV(%)	4.8	5.6	5.4	4.2
	Mean	3.45	3.11	3.27	3.66
Siliqua length (cm)	Range	3.33 – 3.77	2.77 – 3.74	2.86 - 4.07	3.10 – 4.21
	CV(%)	4.8	7.6	10.0	6.8
	Mean	0.49	0.77	0.90	1.26
Beak length (cm)	Range	0.40 – 0.53	0.33 – 0.93	0.73 – 1.13	1.10 – 1.41
	CV(%)	9.0	10.0	11.6	9.3
	Mean	235	290	292	168
Siliquae/plant (no.)	Range	206 – 270	209 - 402	230-437	138 – 193
,,,,	CV(%)	9.1	20.5	20.1	10.7
Sterile seeds/siliqua (no.)	Mean	-	2.80	3.04	-
• • • •	Range	-	1.4 - 6.0	1.3 – 4.8	-
	CV(%)	-	42.6	31.0	-

Table 1. Continued.

	Mean	14.7	7.9	7.7	26.0
Seeds/siliqua (no.)	Range	12 – 17	4.6 – 10.8	4.7 – 11.0	23 – 32
	CV(%)	9.5	22.0	20.0	10.4
	Mean	0.267	0.338	0.363	0.162
100-seed wt. (g)	Range	0.253 – 0.278	0.295 - 0.393	0.300 - 0.429	0.153 – 0.172
	CV(%)	3.0	8.9	9.1	4.3
	Mean	8.66	6.67	6.60	7.07
Seed yield/ plant (g)	Range	7.36 – 10.10	3.84 – 10.40	4.15 – 12.40	5.81 – 8.13
	CV(%)	11.0	24.0	32.7	10.7
	Mean	63	62	62	47
Days to flowering	Range	-	57 – 63	55 – 62	-
	CV(%)	-	3.2	3.4	-
	Mean	133	128	129	105
Days to maturity	Range	-	125 – 130	126 – 130	-
	CV(%)	-	2.2	2.1	-

Table 2. Comparison of some morpho-physiological characters and seed yield and yield components of hexaploids with their parents, Carinata-1 and Agrani.

Characters		Carinata-1	Hexaploid (Carinata-1 × Agrani)	Hexaploid (Agrani × Carinata-1)	Agrani
	Mean	181	272	238	116
Plant height (cm)	Range	163 – 200	245 – 291	191 – 268	100 – 125
	CV(%)	6.3	5.9	8.6	6.8
	Mean	1.40	1.47	1.51	1.08
Petal length (cm)	Range	1.35 – 1.44	1.40 – 1.55	1.40 – 1.59	1.00 – 1.15
	CV(%)	2.0	3.1	3.0	2.1
	Mean	0.79	0.90	0.87	0.43
Petal width (cm)	Range	0.74 – 0.81	0.76 – 0.93	0.75 – 0.93	0.40 - 0.47
	CV(%)	3.2	4.0	5.6	3.3
	Mean	90	61	72	92
Pollen fertility (%)	Range	87 – 93	35 – 75	53 – 85	87 – 95
	CV(%)	3.0	16.0	12.0	3.5
	Mean	96	68	92	93
Siliqua setting (%)	Range	93 – 99	43 – 92	71 – 97	90 – 97
	CV(%)	2.8	25	6.4	2.7
	Mean	11.7	8.3	8.4	8.8
Primary branches/plant (no.)	Range	9 – 14	6 – 10	6 – 10	6 – 10
	CV(%)	12.8	17.2	17.8	15.8
Length of main fruiting axis (cm)	Mean	26	74	69	40

Table 2. Continued.

CV(%)10.014.312.17.7Siliqua density (per cm)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 0.83 10.50.80 0.60 - 0.90 11.50.82-1.00 6.70.82-1.10 8.3Siliqua angle with axisMean Range $CV(%)$ 35 32 - 37 4.850 4.849 45 - 5857 45 - 58Siliqua length (cm)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 3.45 4.82.47 1.84 - 3.30 0.66 - 1.132.08 2.07 - 3.60 0.67 - 3.60 10.23.81 - 4.92 6.3Siliqua length (cm)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 0.49 9.00.84 0.65 - 1.13 14.00.90 1.57 1.31 - 1.751.57 1.31 - 1.75 1.00 1.0.7Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 2.35 9.13.71 1.2 - 4.6 3.1.03.3 2.7.4-Sterile seeds/ siliqua (no.)Mean Range $CV(%)$ -3.0 1.2 - 4.6 3.1.03.3 2.1 - 5.0 2.1 - 5.0-Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 14.7 9.12.7 3.1.04.5 3.0 - 6.82.35 9.2100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 0.267 8.440.450 9.0.400 - 0.496 3.00.302 - 0.503 0.302 - 0.5030.302 - 0.503 0.302 - 0.5030.322 0.307 - 0.336 2.2.5100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range Range $CV(%)$ 0.267 3.00.450 8.840.423 3.50 - 10.300.322 - 8.90100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range Range $CV(%)$ 0.267 3.00.450 8.840.423 3.50 - 10.300.322 - 8.90100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range 		Range	22 – 30	60 – 91	48 – 82	36 – 45
Siliqua density (per cm)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 0.83 0.75 - 1.00 10.50.80 0.60 - 0.90 11.50.82 - 1.00 0.82 - 1.00 6.70.92 0.82 - 1.00 8.3Siliqua angle with axisMean Range CV(%)35 4.850 4.849 8.257 45 - 58 8.249 9.657 50 - 60 58Siliqua length (cm)Mean Range CV(%)3.45 4.82.47 1.84 - 3.30 10.22.07 - 3.60 6.33.81 - 4.92 6.3Beak length (cm)Mean Range CV(%)0.48 9.00.84 1.8.30.90 0.67 - 1.101.57 1.31 - 1.75Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range CV(%)2.25 9.0371 1.4.0437 2.10110 1.31 - 1.75 1.0.7Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range CV(%)-3.00 9.13.3 1.2 - 4.6 3.1.03.3 2.1 - 5.0 2.1 - 5.0Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%)-2.77 8.44.5 9.2Mean CV(%)0.267 8.40.450 9.00.423 9.00.302 - 0.503 9.2100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range CV(%)0.267 3.00.450 8.440.423 9.20.322 - 0.303 2.30100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range Range0.267 3.00.450 8.440.423 9.302 - 0.503 3.300.302 - 0.503 8.440.302 - 0.503 3.302 - 0.503 3.300.302 - 0.503 8.44		CV(%)	10.0	14.3	12.1	7.7
Siliqua density (per cm)Mean Range CV(%) 0.83 $0.75 - 1.00$ 10.5 $0.60 - 0.90$ 11.5 $0.82 - 1.00$ 6.7 $0.82 - 1.10$ $0.82 - 1.10$ $0.82 - 1.10$ Siliqua angle with axisMean Range CV(%) 3.5 50 4.8 49 8.2 57 8.3 Siliqua length (cm)Mean Range CV(%) 3.45 4.8 2.47 1.83 2.88 $2.07 - 3.60$ 1.65 4.27 $3.81 - 4.92$ 6.3 Beak length (cm)Mean Range CV(%) 0.49 4.8 0.90 1.65 1.57 $1.84 - 3.30$ 1.02 $0.67 - 1.10$ 1.20 1.57 $1.31 - 1.75$ $1.31 - 1.75$ Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range CV(%) 0.49 9.1 0.84 $1.4.0$ 0.90 $1.65 - 1.13$ 1.57 1.20 Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range CV(%) 2.35 9.1 371 $1.278 - 465$ 437 21.6 110.7 Siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%) $1.4.7$ $1.2 - 4.6$ 31.0 $2.1 - 5.0$ $2.1 - 5.0$ -10.7 $1.2 - 4.6$ Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range Range CV(%) $1.2 - 4.6$ 36.1 $2.1 - 5.0$ $2.5 - 0.278$ 0.450 $0.400 - 0.456$ $0.302 - 0.503$ $0.302 - 0.503$ 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range CV(%) 0.2677 3.0 0.450 $0.400 - 0.456$ $0.302 - 0.503$ $0.302 - 0.503$ $0.322 - 0.336$ 2.8 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range CV(%) $0.450 - 0.456$ 3.0 $0.302 - 0.503$ $3.037 - 0.336$ 2.4 $0.402 - 0.503$ $3.037 - 0.336$ 2.4 $0.402 - 0.50$						
Siliqua density (per cm)Range CV(%) $0.75 - 1.00$ 10.5 $0.60 - 0.90$ 11.5 $0.82 - 1.00$ 6.7 $0.82 - 1.0$ 8.3 Siliqua angle with axisMean Range CV(%) $32 - 37$ $45 - 58$ $45 - 60$ 8.2 57 9.6 Siliqua length (cm)Mean CV(%) 3.45 4.8 2.47 $1.84 - 3.30$ $2.07 - 3.60$ 2.88 $3.81 - 4.92$ 6.3 Siliqua length (cm)Mean CV(%) 0.49 4.8 0.84 0.90 $0.07 - 3.60$ 1.57 $1.84 - 3.30$ 1.62 $0.67 - 1.00$ 1.67 Beak length (cm)Mean Range CV(%) $0.49 - 0.53$ $0.40 - 0.53$ $0.55 - 1.13$ $0.67 - 1.10$ $0.67 - 1.10$ 1.20 Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range CV(%) 2.35 $0.14.0$ $3.31 - 3.32$ 2.74 110 $1.31 - 1.75$ 1.07 Sterile seeds/ siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%) -3.00 -3.10 3.3 -2.74 -3.00 -2.74 Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range Runge CV(%) -2.77 -3.10 $3.0 - 6.8$ -3.10 -2.53 -2.50 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range CV(%) 0.267 3.0 0.450 0.450 0.423 $0.302 - 0.503$ $0.302 - 0.503$ $0.302 - 0.503$ 0.322 $0.302 - 0.503$ $0.302 - 0.503$ $0.302 - 0.503$ 0.322 $0.302 - 0.503$ $0.302 - 0.503$ $0.302 - 0.503$		Mean	0.83	0.80	0.93	0.92
CV(%)10.511.56.78.3Siliqua angle with axisMean Range $CV(%)$ 35 4.8 50 $45-58$ 49 $45-60$ 9.6 57 $50-60$ 5.8 Siliqua length (cm)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 3.45 4.8 2.47 $1.84-3.30$ $0.65-1.13$ 2.88 $2.07-3.60$ $0.67-1.10$ 4.27 $3.81-4.92$ 6.3 Beak length (cm)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 0.49 9.0 0.84 $0.65-1.13$ 0.90 $0.67-1.10$ 1.20 1.57 $1.31-1.75$ $1.01-7$ Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 0.40 9.0 0.81 $0.65-1.13$ 0.67-1.10 $0.67-1.10$ 1.57 $1.31-1.75$ 1.20 Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 0.40 9.1 3.71 $1.21-0$ 4.37 2.7 $1.2-1.6$ 1.10 $2.1-5.0$ Sterile seeds/ siliqua (no.)Mean Range Range $CV(%)$ 1.2-16 $1.2-16$ 3.0 $1.2-4.6$ 3.3 $2.1-5.0$ Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 1.2-16 $1.2-16$ 3.0-6.8 $3.0-6.8$ 19-26 9.2 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 0.267 3.0 0.450 $0.400-0.496$ 0.423 $0.302-0.503$ 1.30 0.322 $0.307-0.336$ 2.8 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 0.267 3.0 0.450 8.44 0.423 1.3 0.322 2.8 Mean $RangeCV(%)0.2673.00.4508.440.4231.30.3222.8100-seed wt. (g)MeanRangeRangeCV(%)0.4503.00.6655.65$	Siliqua density (per cm)	Range	0.75 – 1.00	0.60 -0.90	0.82-1.00	0.82-1.10
Siliqua angle with axisMean Range $CV(\%)$ 35 $32-37$ 50 $45-58$ 49 $5-60$ 57 $50-60$ Siliqua length (cm)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 3.45 4.8 2.47 $1.84-3.30$ 2.07-3.60 10.2 3.81-4.92 6.3 Siliqua length (cm)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 0.49 9.0 0.84 14.0 0.90 $0.65-1.13$ 1.57 10.2 Beak length (cm)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 0.40-0.53 9.0 0.65-1.13 14.0 0.67-1.10 12.0 1.57 10.7 Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 235 9.1 371 9.1 437 27.4 110 $84-120$ Sterile seeds/ siliqua (no.)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ -3.0 $1.2-4.6$ 3.3 $2.1-5.0$ -Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 2.77 $12-16$ 3.0 $1.2-4.6$ 3.0 $2.1-6.8$ 3.0 9.2 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 0.267 3.0 0.450 8.44 0.423 $0.302-0.503$ $0.307-0.336$ 2.8 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 0.267 3.0 0.450 8.44 0.423 $0.302-0.503$ 11.3 0.322 2.8 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 8.66 3.0 4.50 8.44 0.665 $3.50-10.30$ 7.65 $6.22-8.90$		CV(%)	10.5	11.5	6.7	8.3
Siliqua angle with axisMean Range $CV(%)$ 32 - 37 4.8 45 - 58 8.2 46 - 60 9.6 50 - 60 $50 - 60$ Siliqua length (cm)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 3.45 4.8 2.47 $1.84 - 3.30$ 2.07 - 3.60 1.20 3.81 - 4.92 6.3 Siliqua length (cm)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 0.49 4.8 0.84 18.3 0.90 10.2 1.57 6.3 Beak length (cm)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 0.40 - 0.53 9.0 0.65 - 1.13 14.0 0.90 12.0 1.57 1.20 Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 235 9.1 371 19.1 437 27.4 110 27.4 Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range $CV(%)$ -3.0 $1.2 - 4.6$ 31.0 3.3 21.6 -Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 12.7 $12 - 16$ 3.0 3.0 - 6.8 $35.0 - 6.8$ 19 - 26 9.2 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 0.267 3.0 0.450 $0.400 - 0.496$ 8.44 0.322 - 0.503 11.3 0.322 $0.307 - 0.336$ 2.8 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 3.0 3.0 6.65 8.44 7.65 2.8						
Siliqua angle with axisRange $CV(\%)$ $32 - 37$ 4.8 $45 - 58$ 8.2 $45 - 60$ 9.6 $50 - 60$ 5.8 Siliqua length (cm)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 3.45 4.8 2.47 $1.84 - 3.30$ 10.2 $2.07 - 3.60$ $2.07 - 3.60$ $3.81 - 4.92$ 6.3 Beak length (cm)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 0.49 4.8 0.84 $0.65 - 1.13$ $0.65 - 1.13$ $0.67 - 1.10$ 1.20 $0.77 - 3.60$ 1.57 $1.31 - 1.75$ $0.67 - 1.10$ $1.31 - 1.75$ 1.20 $0.67 - 1.10$ $1.31 - 1.75$ 1.20 1.57 $1.31 - 1.75$ 1.20 Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 235 9.1 371 19.1 437 27.4 110 10.7 Sterile seeds/ siliqua (no.)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ $-$ 31.0 3.3 2.16 $-$ 2.16 Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 12.77 4.5 3.0 2.35 2.16 Mean $12.7 + 16$ 3.10 $2.16 - 5.0$ 2.16 $-$ 2.16 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 0.267 3.0 0.450 $0.400 - 0.496$ $0.302 - 0.503$ $0.307 - 0.336$ 2.8 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 0.267 3.0 0.450 $0.400 - 0.496$ $0.302 - 0.503$ 11.3 0.322 $0.307 - 0.336$ 2.8		Mean	35	50	49	57
CV(%) 4.8 8.2 9.6 5.8 Siliqua length (cm)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 3.45 4.8 2.47 $1.84 - 3.30$ 18.3 $2.07 - 3.60$ 10.2 $3.81 - 4.92$ 6.3 Beak length (cm)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 0.49 4.8 0.84 18.3 0.90 10.2 1.57 $1.31 - 1.75$ 1.20 Beak length (cm)Mean Range $CV(%)$ $0.40 - 0.53$ 9.0 $0.65 - 1.13$ 14.0 $0.67 - 1.10$ 12.0 1.57 $1.31 - 1.75$ 10.7 Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 235 9.1 371 19.1 437 27.4 110 $84 - 120$ 27.4 Sterile seeds/ siliqua (no.)Mean Range $CV(%)$ $-$ 3.10 3.3 21.6 $-$ 25.0 $3.0 - 6.8$ $19 - 26$ 9.2 Mean 10 -seed wt. (g)Mean Range $CV(%)$ 0.267 3.0 0.450 $0.400 - 0.496$ 8.44 0.423 $0.302 - 0.503$ $0.307 - 0.336$ 2.8 Mean 11.3 0.267 $0.400 - 0.496$ 8.44 0.423 $0.302 - 0.503$ 11.3 0.322 $0.307 - 0.336$ 2.8	Siliqua angle with axis	Range	32 – 37	45 – 58	45 – 60	50 – 60
Siliqua length (cm)Mean Range CV(%) 3.45 4.8 2.47 $1.84 - 3.30$ 2.88 $2.07 - 3.60$ 10.2 4.27 $3.81 - 4.92$ 6.3 Beak length (cm)Mean CV(%) 0.49 $0.40 - 0.53$ $0.65 - 1.13$ 14.0 0.90 12.0 1.57 $1.31 - 1.75$ 12.0 Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range CV(%) 235 9.0 371 19.1 437 $218 - 608$ 27.4 110 $84 - 120$ 10.7 Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range CV(%) $-$ 9.1 3.0 19.1 3.3 $2.1 - 5.0$ 21.6 $-$ $2.1 - 5.0$ $2.1 - 5.0$ Sterile seeds/ siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%) $-$ 8.4 3.0 $1.2 - 4.6$ 3.0 $2.1 - 5.0$ Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%) 14.7 $12 - 16$ 8.4 2.7 $1.7 - 5.0$ $3.6 - 1.3$ 4.5 $2.5 - 0$ 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range CV(%) 0.267 $0.253 - 0.278$ 3.0 0.450 $0.400 - 0.496$ 0.423 $0.302 - 0.503$ 11.3 0.322 $0.307 - 0.336$ 2.8 Seed yield/plant (g)Mean Range Range CV(%) 8.66 $7.36 - 10.10$ 4.50 $2.10 - 6.60$ 6.65 $3.50 - 10.30$ $6.22 - 8.90$		CV(%)	4.8	8.2	9.6	5.8
Mean Siliqua length (cm)Mean Range CV(%) 3.45 $3.33 - 3.77$ 2.47 $1.84 - 3.30$ 1.83 $2.07 - 3.60$ $2.07 - 3.60$ $3.81 - 4.92$ 4.27 $3.81 - 4.92$ Beak length (cm)Mean Range CV(%) 0.49 9.0 0.84 14.0 0.90 12.0 1.57 $1.31 - 1.75$ Beak length (cm)Mean Range CV(%) $0.40 - 0.53$ 9.0 $0.65 - 1.13$ 14.0 $0.67 - 1.10$ 12.0 1.57 $1.31 - 1.75$ Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range CV(%) 235 9.1 371 19.1 437 27.4 110 10.7 Sterile seeds/ siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%) $-$ 31.0 3.3 21.6 $-$ $-$ Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range Range $12 - 16$ $1.7 - 5.0$ $3.0 - 6.8$ $3.0 - 6.8$ $19 - 26$ 9.2 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range CV(%) 0.267 3.0 0.450 $0.400 - 0.496$ 0.423 $0.302 - 0.503$ $0.307 - 0.336$ 2.8 Seed yield/plant (g)Mean Range Range $7.36 - 10.10$ $2.10 - 6.60$ $3.50 - 10.30$ $6.22 - 8.90$						
Siliqua length (cm)Range CV(%) $3.33 - 3.77$ $1.84 - 3.30$ $2.07 - 3.60$ $3.81 - 4.92$ Beak length (cm)Mean Range 0.49 0.84 0.90 1.57 Beak length (cm)Mean Range $0.40 - 0.53$ $0.65 - 1.13$ $0.67 - 1.10$ $1.31 - 1.75$ Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range 235 371 437 110 Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range $206 - 270$ CV(%) $278 - 465$ $218 - 608$ 218 - 608 $84 - 120$ Sterile seeds/ siliqua (no.)Mean Range $ 3.0$ CV(%) 3.3 $ -$ Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range $12 - 16$ CV(%) $1.7 - 5.0$ 31.0 $3.0 - 6.8$ 21.6 $19 - 26$ 25.0 Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%) 0.423 3.0 0.322 $3.02 - 0.503$ $0.307 - 0.336$ 2.50 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range CV(%) $0.400 - 0.496$ 3.0 $0.302 - 0.503$ $0.302 - 0.503$ $0.307 - 0.336$ 2.8 Seed yield/plant (g)Mean Range Range CV(%) 8.66 4.50 4.50 5.60 6.65 $5.62 - 8.90$		Mean	3.45	2.47	2.88	4.27
Let $CV(\%)$ 4.818.310.26.3Beak length (cm)Mean Range CV(%)0.49 9.00.84 0.65 -1.130.90 0.67 -1.101.57 1.31 -1.75 10.7Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range CV(%)235 9.0371 14.0437 218 - 608110 84 - 120 27.4Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range CV(%)235 9.1371 19.1437 27.4110 10.7Sterile seeds/ siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%)-3.0 3.03.3 2.1 - 5.0-Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%)12 - 16 8.41.7 - 5.0 3.0 - 6.83.0 - 6.8 9.219 - 26 9.2100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range CV(%)0.267 3.00.450 8.440.423 3.00.322 0.302 - 0.503 3.0307 - 0.336 2.8Seed yield/plant (g)Mean Range Range CV(%)8.66 Range 3.04.50 8.446.65 3.50 - 10.307.65 6.22 - 8.90	Siliqua length (cm)	Range	3.33 – 3.77	1.84 – 3.30	2.07 - 3.60	3.81 – 4.92
Beak length (cm)Mean Range CV(%) 0.49 $0.40 - 0.53$ 9.0 0.64 $0.65 - 1.13$ 14.0 0.90 $0.67 - 1.10$ 12.0 1.57 $1.31 - 1.75$ 10.7 Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range CV(%) 235 $206 - 270$ 9.1 371 $278 - 465$ 19.1 437 $218 - 608$ 27.4 110 $84 - 120$ 10.7 Sterile seeds/ siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%)- 3.0 $1.2 - 4.6$ 31.0 3.3 21.6 -Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%)- 2.7 $1.2 - 4.6$ 31.0 4.5 21.6 23.5 $19 - 26$ 9.2 Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%) 14.7 $12 - 16$ 8.4 2.7 36.1 4.5 25.0 23.5 $19 - 26$ 9.2 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range CV(%) 0.267 3.0 0.450 $0.400 - 0.496$ 0.423 $0.302 - 0.503$ $0.307 - 0.336$ 2.8 Seed yield/plant (g)Mean Range Range Range CV(%) 8.66 $7.36 - 10.10$ 4.50 $2.10 - 6.60$ 6.65 $3.50 - 10.30$ 7.65 $6.22 - 8.90$		CV(%)	4.8	18.3	10.2	6.3
Beak length (cm)Range Range CV(%)0.40 - 0.53 9.00.65 - 1.13 14.00.67 - 1.10 12.01.31 - 1.75 10.7Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range CV(%)235 9.1371 19.1437 278 - 465110 218 - 608 218 - 608 218 - 608 218 - 608110 84 - 120 10.7Sterile seeds/ siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%)-3.0 9.13.3 10.7-Sterile seeds/ siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%)-3.0 9.13.3 10.7-Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%)14.7 7 12 - 16 8.42.7 31.04.5 21.623.5 7 21.6Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%)14.7 8.42.7 3.0 - 6.84.5 9.23.0 - 6.8 9.2100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range CV(%)0.267 3.00.450 8.440.423 3.00.322 0.302 - 0.503 3.00 - 0.496Seed yield/plant (g)Mean Range Range Range CV(%)3.6 3.04.50 8.446.65 3.50 - 10.307.65 6.22 - 8.90		Mean	0.49	0.84	0.90	1 57
Eduction gar (eff)Range CV(%)0.160.050.07Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range CV(%)-3.03.3 </td <td>Beak length (cm)</td> <td>Range</td> <td>0.40 - 0.53</td> <td>0.65 –1 13</td> <td>0.50</td> <td>1.31–1.75</td>	Beak length (cm)	Range	0.40 - 0.53	0.65 –1 13	0.50	1.31–1.75
Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 235 $206 - 270$ 9.1 371 $278 - 465$ 19.1 437 $218 - 608$ $218 - 608$ $218 - 608$ $218 - 608$ $84 - 120$ 10.7 Sterile seeds/ siliqua (no.)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ -3.0 $1.2 - 4.6$ $2.1 - 5.0$ 21.6 -Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ -2.7 $1.2 - 4.6$ $2.1 - 5.0$ 21.6 -Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 12.7 $1.2 - 16$ $CV(\%)$ 4.5 $3.0 - 6.8$ 25.0 23.5 $19 - 26$ 9.2 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 0.267 3.0 0.450 8.44 0.423 $0.302 - 0.503$ 11.3 0.307 - 0.336 2.8 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 0.267 3.0 0.450 8.44 0.423 11.3 0.322 2.8 Seed yield/plant (g)Mean Range Range $7.36 - 10.10$ 2.10 - 6.603.50 - 10.30 $3.50 - 10.30$ 6.22 - 8.90	Doait long it (only	CV(%)	9.0	14.0	12.0	10.7
Siliquae/plant (no.)Mean Range CV(%)235 206 - 270 9.1371 278 - 465 19.1437 218 - 608 218 - 608 27.4110 84 - 120 10.7Sterile seeds/ siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%)-3.0 1.2 - 4.6 31.03.3 2.1 - 5.0 21.6-Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%)-2.7 1.2 - 4.6 31.04.5 2.1 - 5.0 21.6-Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%)14.7 12 - 16 8.42.7 1.7 - 5.0 3.6 - 10.304.5 2.1 - 6.8 9.223.5 19 - 26 9.2100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range CV(%)0.267 3.00.450 8.440.423 0.302 - 0.503 3.00.322 0.307 - 0.336 2.8100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range CV(%)0.267 3.00.450 8.440.302 - 0.503 3.02 - 0.503 3.50 - 10.300.322 6.22 - 8.90			0.0	11.0	12.0	1011
Siliquae/plant (no.)Range $CV(\%)$ $206 - 270$ 9.1 $278 - 465$ 19.1 $218 - 608$ 27.4 $84 - 120$ 10.7 Sterile seeds/ siliqua (no.)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ -3.0 $1.2 - 4.6$ 31.0 3.3 $2.1 - 5.0$ 21.6 -Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 14.7 $12 - 16$ $12 - 16$ $CV(\%)$ 2.7 $1.7 - 5.0$ $3.0 - 6.8$ 25.0 23.5 $19 - 26$ 9.2 Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 14.7 8.4 2.7 3.61 4.5 $3.0 - 6.8$ 25.0 23.5 $19 - 26$ 9.2 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 0.267 3.0 0.450 $0.400 - 0.496$ 8.44 0.302 - 0.503 11.3 0.322 $0.307 - 0.336$ 2.8 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 8.66 $7.36 - 10.10$ 4.50 $2.10 - 6.60$ 6.65 $3.50 - 10.30$ 7.65 $6.22 - 8.90$		Mean	235	371	437	110
CV(%)9.119.127.410.7Sterile seeds/ siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%)-3.0 1.2-4.6 31.03.3 2.1-5.0 21.6-Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%)14.7 12-16 8.42.7 1.7-5.0 3.0-6.8 25.04.5 19-26 9.2Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%)14.7 8.42.7 36.14.5 23.5 3.0-6.8 9.2100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range CV(%)0.267 3.00.450 8.440.423 0.302-0.503 3.010.322 0.307-0.336 2.8100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range CV(%)0.267 3.00.450 8.440.423 11.30.322 2.8Seed yield/plant (g)Mean Range Range 7.36-10.102.10-6.606.65 3.50-10.307.65 6.22-8.90	Siliquae/plant (no.)	Range	206 – 270	278 – 465	218 – 608	84 – 120
Sterile seeds/ siliqua (no.)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ -3.0 1.2-4.6 31.03.3 2.1-5.0 21.6-Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 14.7 12-162.7 1.7-5.0 3.0-6.84.5 30-6.823.5 19-26 9.2Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 0.267 8.40.450 0.400-0.4960.423 0.302-0.5030.322 0.307-0.336 2.8100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 0.267 3.00.450 8.440.423 3.00.322 0.302-0.503100-seed yield/plant (g)Mean Range Range8.66 7.36-10.104.50 2.10-6.606.65 3.50-10.307.65 6.22-8.90		CV(%)	9.1	19.1	27.4	10.7
Mean- 3.0 3.3 -Sterile seeds/ siliqua (no.)Range CV(%)- $1.2-4.6$ $2.1-5.0$ $2.1-5.0$ 21.6 -Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%) 14.7 $12-16$ 2.7 $1.7-5.0$ $3.0-6.8$ 4.5 23.5 Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range CV(%) $12-16$ 8.4 $1.7-5.0$ 36.1 $3.0-6.8$ 25.0 $19-26$ 9.2 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range CV(%) 0.267 3.0 0.450 $0.400-0.496$ 0.423 $0.302-0.503$ $0.307-0.336$ 2.8 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range CV(%) 0.267 3.0 0.450 $0.400-0.496$ $0.302-0.503$ $0.302-0.503$ 11.3 0.322 2.8 Seed yield/plant (g)Mean Range $7.36-10.10$ 4.50 $2.10-6.60$ 6.65 $3.50-10.30$ 7.65 $6.22-8.90$						
Sterile seeds/ siliqua (no.)Range $CV(\%)$ -1.2-4.62.1-5.0- $CV(\%)$ -31.021.6-Mean14.72.74.523.5Range12-161.7-5.03.0-6.819-26 $CV(\%)$ 8.436.125.09.2100-seed wt. (g)Mean0.2670.4500.4230.322100-seed wt. (g)Mean0.2670.400-0.4960.302-0.5030.307-0.336 $CV(\%)$ 3.08.4411.32.8Seed yield/plant (g)Mean8.664.506.657.65		Mean	-	3.0	3.3	-
CV(%)- 31.0 21.6 -Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean 14.7 2.7 4.5 23.5 Range $12-16$ $1.7-5.0$ $3.0-6.8$ $19-26$ $CV(%)$ 8.4 36.1 25.0 9.2 100-seed wt. (g)Mean 0.267 0.450 0.423 0.322 100 -seed wt. (g)Mean $0.253-0.278$ $0.400-0.496$ $0.302-0.503$ $0.307-0.336$ $CV(%)$ 3.0 8.44 11.3 2.8 Seed yield/plant (g)Mean 8.66 4.50 6.65 7.65	Sterile seeds/ siliqua (no.)	Range	-	1.2-4.6	2.1 – 5.0	-
Seeds/siliqua (no.)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 14.7 $12-16$ 8.4 2.7 $1.7-5.0$ 36.1 4.5 $3.0-6.8$ 25.0 23.5 $19-26$ 9.2 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 0.267 $0.253-0.278$ 0.450 $0.400-0.496$ 8.44 0.423 $0.302-0.503$ $0.302-0.503$ 11.3 0.322 $0.307-0.336$ 2.8 Seed yield/plant (g)Mean Range Range $7.36-10.10$ 4.50 $2.10-6.60$ 6.65 $3.50-10.30$ 7.65 $6.22-8.90$		CV(%)	-	31.0	21.6	-
Seeds/siliqua (no.)Range Range $CV(\%)$ 12 - 16 8.4 1.7 - 5.0 36.1 $3.0 - 6.8$ 25.0 19 - 26 9.2 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 0.267 3.0 0.450 0.450 0.423 $0.302 - 0.503$ 0.322 $0.307 - 0.336$ $0.307 - 0.336$ $0.400 - 0.496$ 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 0.267 3.0 0.450 $0.400 - 0.496$ 0.302 - 0.503 $0.302 - 0.503$ 0.307 - 0.336 $0.307 - 0.336$ Seed yield/plant (g)Mean Range8.66 $7.36 - 10.10$ 4.50 $2.10 - 6.60$ 6.65 $3.50 - 10.30$ 7.65 $6.22 - 8.90$		Maan	4 4 7	07	4 5	22 F
Seeds/singua (no.)Range $12 - 16$ $1.7 - 5.0$ $3.0 - 6.8$ $19 - 26$ $CV(\%)$ 8.4 36.1 25.0 9.2 100-seed wt. (g)Mean 0.267 0.450 0.423 0.322 $CV(\%)$ 3.0 8.44 11.3 2.8 $CV(\%)$ 3.0 8.44 11.3 2.8 Seed yield/plant (g)Mean 8.66 4.50 6.65 7.65 Seed yield/plant (g)Range $7.36 - 10.10$ $2.10 - 6.60$ $3.50 - 10.30$ $6.22 - 8.90$	Sooda/silique (po.)	Bengo	14.7	2.7	4.0	23.5
$CV(\pi)$ 0.4 30.1 23.0 9.2 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range $CV(\%)$ 0.267 $0.253 - 0.278$ 0.450 $0.400 - 0.496$ $0.302 - 0.503$ $0.302 - 0.503$ $0.307 - 0.336$ $0.307 - 0.336$ 100-seed wt. (g)Mean Range 8.66 $7.36 - 10.10$ 4.50 $2.10 - 6.60$ 6.65 $3.50 - 10.30$ 7.65 $6.22 - 8.90$	Seeds/siliqua (IIO.)		12 - 10	1.7 - 5.0	3.0 - 0.0	19 - 20
Mean 0.267 0.450 0.423 0.322 100-seed wt. (g) Range CV(%) 0.253 - 0.278 0.400 - 0.496 0.302 - 0.503 0.307 - 0.336 Seed yield/plant (g) Mean Range 8.66 4.50 6.65 7.65 Seed yield/plant (g) 7.36 - 10.10 2.10 - 6.60 3.50 - 10.30 6.22 - 8.90			0.4	30.1	25.0	9.2
100-seed wt. (g)Range $CV(\%)$ $0.253 - 0.278$ 3.0 $0.400 - 0.496$ 8.44 $0.302 - 0.503$ 11.3 $0.307 - 0.336$ 2.8 Mean 8.66 Range 4.50 	100-seed wt. (g)	Mean	0.267	0.450	0.423	0.322
CV(%) 3.0 8.44 11.3 2.8 Mean 8.66 4.50 6.65 7.65 Seed yield/plant (g) Range 7.36 – 10.10 2.10 – 6.60 3.50 – 10.30 6.22 – 8.90		Range	0.253 – 0.278	0.400 - 0.496	0.302 - 0.503	0.307 – 0.336
Mean 8.66 4.50 6.65 7.65 Seed yield/plant (g) Range 7.36 - 10.10 2.10 - 6.60 3.50 - 10.30 6.22 - 8.90		CV(%)	3.0	8.44	11.3	2.8
Mean 8.66 4.50 6.65 7.65 Seed yield/plant (g) Range 7.36 - 10.10 2.10 - 6.60 3.50 - 10.30 6.22 - 8.90						
Seed yield/plant (g) Range 7.36 - 10.10 2.10 - 6.60 3.50 - 10.30 6.22 - 8.90	Seed yield/plant (g)	Mean	8.66	4.50	6.65	7.65
		Range	7.36 – 10.10	2.10 - 6.60	3.50 – 10.30	6.22 – 8.90
CV(%) 11.0 37.8 28.8 10.0		CV(%)	11.0	37.8	28.8	10.0
				-		
Mean 63 47 45 37	Days to flowering	Mean	63	47	45	37
Days to flowering Range - $43-50$ $42-48$ -		Range	-	43 - 50	42 - 48	-
GV(%) - 4.0 3.7 -		UV(%)	-	4.0	3.1	-
Mean 133 118 114 97		Mean	133	118	114	97
Days to maturity Range - 114 – 123 112 – 118 -	Days to maturity	Rande	-	114 – 123	112 – 118	-
CV(%) - 2.6 2.4 -	· / - · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CV(%)	-	2.6	2.4	-

(1973) and Meng et al. (1998) also observed larger flowers in synthetic *Brassica* hexaploids than their parents. Average pollen fertility in hexaploids was found

to be lower than the parents. But it was observed that some of the hexaploids from Carinata-1 \times BARIBatishak-1 and Carinata-1 \times BARIChinashak-1 and their reciprocals,



Figure 1. Hexaploid plant with vigorous vegetative growth over triploid hybrid (F1) of crosses, A: Agrani × Carinata-1 and B: BARIChinashak-1 × Carinata-1.



Figure 2. Leaves of parents, triploid hybrids (F1) and hexaploid (6x), A: Carinata-1 × Agrani and B: Tori-7 × Carinata-1.

Agrani \times Carinata-1 and BAU-M/91 \times Carinata-1 had comparatively higher pollen fertility. Percent pollen fertility was reflected in siliqua setting that is, those C₂ plants had higher percentages of pollen fertility had also higher percentage of siliqua setting.

It was also observed that some hexaploids in all cross combinations had lower pollen fertility, which might be due to the presence of aneuploids with the hexaploids. The result shows similarity with the result of Sjödin and Glimelius (1989) in the population of synthetic *B. naponigra*. Intermediate number of primary branches per plant was found in the hexaploids from two crosses and their reciprocals (Carinata-1 × BARIBatishak-1 and Carinata-1 × BARIchinashak-1) while the rest had the lower number. Intermediate length of fruiting axis was observed in the hexaploids from Carinata-1 × BARIBatishak-1 and Carinata-1 × BARIChinashak-1 and their reciprocals and for the rest it was longer than both parents. In all hexaploids, it was observed that length of siliqua was shorter than both parents, but beak length was in between those of the parents.

Yield attributes and yield

The most important yield attribute that is, number of



Figure 3. Inflorescence and flowers of parents and hexaploid of crosses A and B: Tori-7 × Carinata-1; C and D: BARIChinashak-1 × Carinata-1.

siliquae per plant was observed to be higher in number in hexaploids than both parents except hexaploids from Carinata-1 × Safal. Number of seeds per siliqua, another important yield attribute, was found to be lower number in all hexaploids than parents. Comparatively higher number of seeds per siliqua was observed in the hexaploids from Carinata-1 × BARIBatishak-1 and Carinata-1 × BARIChinashak-1 and their reciprocals and in Carinata-1 × BAU-M/91. The lower mean number of seeds per siliqua in the hexaploids compared to their parents might be due to the presence of sterile seeds in the siliqua. Mean weight of 100-seed in the hexaploids was higher than both parents. Higher 100-seed weight might be due to lower number of seeds per siliqua.

Mean seed yield per plant in the hexaploids was lower than the parents though mean number of siliquae per

plant and mean 100-seed weight was higher than the parents. Some of the hexaploids from reciprocal of Carinata-1 and, cross × Agrani Carinata-1 × BARIchinashak-1, and Carinata-1 × BARIBatishak-1 with its reciprocal had higher seed yield than both parents. Lower mean seed yield per plant in the hexaploids was due to lower number of seeds per siliqua. In all hexaploids, days to flowering and days to maturity were in between the parents and closer to the B. carinata parent. Mean days to flower and maturity was observed to be the lowest that is, 39 and 111 days, respectively in the hexaploids from Tori-7 × Carinata-1. Hexaploids from BAU-M/91 × Carinata-1 took comparatively lower mean days to flower (43 days) and days to maturity (113 days) followed by Agrani x Carinata-1. But hexaploids from Carinata-1 × BARIBatishak-1 and its reciprocal, days to



Figure 4. Hexaploid with parents of Agrani × Carinata-1, A: Hexaploid plant with parents, B: Siliqua with axis of hexaploid (H_2) and parents and C: Siliquae of hexaploid and parents.

flowering and maturity were near to Carinata-1 having longer days to flower (63 days) and maturity (133 days).

Among the hexaploids from all the cross combinations, five hexaploid plants from BARIBatishak-1 \times Carinata-1 and two from each of Carinata-1 \times BARIBatishak-1 and

Agrani \times Carinata-1 were found to be promising because of having higher seed yield per plant than their parents (Table 3).

Wider variation for most of the characters studied in the hexaploids from all cross combinations might be due to

Hexaploid accessions	Siliqua/plant (no.)	Seeds/siliqua (no.)	100-seed weight (g)	Seed yield/plant (g)
BBS1×C1 -1	275	11.0	0.413	12.40
BBS1×C1 -2	270	10.8	0.421	12.11
BBS1×C1 -3	263	10.8	0.415	11.85
BBS1×C1 -4	271	10.6	0.406	11.57
BBS1×C1 -5	246	10.7	0.398	10.34
C1×BBS1-1	260	10.7	0.375	10.40
C1×BBS1-2	258	10.8	0.368	10.23
AG×C1-1	368	6.2	0.453	10.30
AG×C1-2	353	6.3	0.460	10.17

Table 3. Yield attributes and seed yield per plant of selected nine hexaploids.

simultaneous presence of aneuploids and euploids. In amphidiploids. formation of aneuploid synthetic simultaneously with euploids was reported to be a common phenomenon (Sjödin and Glimelius, 1989; Song et al., 1993; Choudhary et al., 2000; Tian et al., 2010). Aneuploid formation in the synthetic Brassica amphidiploids might have occurred due to the multivalent association at diakinesis and metaphase-I of meiosis as reported earlier (Prakash and Hinata, 1980; Sarla and Raut, 1988; Chevre et al., 1994; Chrungu et al., 1999) as there was neither genes (Ph) that preserve diploid chromosome behaviour in polyploids are known in wheat (Riley and Chapman, 1958; Sears and Okamoto, 1958) for suppressing homoeologous pairing (Yang and Röbbelen, 1994) nor cytoplasmic factors for regulating pairing (Busso et al., 1987) in Brassica.

Differences between the hexaploids obtained from the cross and reciprocal cross for different characters might be due to maternal effect as the developed hexaploids obtained from the cross and reciprocal cross possessed different cytoplasm that is, hexaploids obtained from cross possessed cytoplasm of B. carinata and those obtained from reciprocal possessed cytoplasm of *B. rapa*. Lower number of seed setting in the hexaploids might be due to lower female fertility or lack of balance between nucleus and cytoplasm or between parental genomes causing endosperm abortion resulting non-viable seeds along with normal viable seeds (Tokumasu, 1976; Primard et al., 1988; Takeda and Takahata, 1988; Song et al., 1993; Tian et al., 2010). Sarla and Raut (1988) observed a wide range of variation in artificially developed 40 B. carinata plants for morphological and yield contributing characters and reported that those wide variations were due to variation in chromosome number that is, presence of aneuploids along with the euploids.

Failure during meiosis and gamete formation may be due to translocations between different genomes (Tel-Zur et al., 2005), which leads to multivalent pairing, and reduced pollen viability. Formation of univalents or multivalents in the polyploids may have contributed to unequal segregation at anaphase-I of meiosis and consequently to a decrease in fertility (Tel-Zur et al., 2005; Qian et al., 2005). Tian et al. (2010) reported that chromosomal instability of the artificially developed *Brassica* hexaploid progeny may result from many factors, the most important of which might be irregular chromosome pairing followed by abnormal segregation. The results of this study clearly showed that it needs further screening and evaluation in the subsequent generations for selection of desirable hexaploid *Brassica* genotypes from the nine selected high yielding hexaploid plants having improved yield attributes and seed yield.

REFERENCES

- Adams KL, Wendel JF (2005). Polyploidy and genome evolution in plants. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol., 8: 135-141.
- Ammar K, Mergoum M, Rajaram S (2004). The history and evolution of triticale. In: Mergoum M, Macpherson HG (eds) Triticale improvement and production (FAO plant production and protection paper 179). FAO of the United Nations, Rome, pp. 1-9.
- Arnold ML (1997). Natural Hybridization and Evolution. Oxford University Press. New York.
- Bennett MD (2004). Perspectives on polyploidy in plants-ancient and neo. Bio. J. Linn. Soc., 82: 411-423.
- Blanc G, Wolfe KH (2004). Widespread paleopolyploidy in model plant species inferred from age distributions of duplicate genes. Plant Cell. 16: 1667-1678.
- Burton GW (1952). Quantitative inheritance in grass pea. Proc 6th. Int. Grassl. Cong., 1: 277-283.
- Busso C, Attia T, Röbbelen G (1987). Trigenomic combinations for the analysis of meiotic control in the cultivated *Brassica* species. Genome, 29: 331-333.
- Chevre AM, Eber F, Margale E, Kerlan MC, Primard C, Vedel F, Delseny M, Pelletier G (1994). Comparison of somatic and sexual *Brassica napus-Sinapis alba* hybrids and their progeny by cytogenetic studies and molecular characterization. Genome, 37(3): 367-374.
- Choudhary BR, Joshi P, Singh K (2000). Synthesis, morphology and cytogenetics of *Raphanofortii* (TTRR, 2n=38): a new amphidiploid of hybrid *Brassica tournefortii* (TT, 2n=20) x *Raphanus caudatus* (RR, 2n=18). Theor. Appl. Genet., 101(5-6): 990-999.
- Chrungu B, Verma N, Mohanty A, Pradhan A, Shivanna KR (1999). Production and characterization of interspecific hybrids between *Brassica maurorum* and crop brassicas. Theor. Appl. Genet., 98(3-4): 608-613.
- Gaeta RT, Chris PJ (2010). Homoeologous recombination in allopolyploids: the polyploid ratchet. New. Phytol., 186(1): 18-28.
- Getinet A, Rakow G, Downey RK (1996). Agronomic performance and seed quality of Ethiopian mustard in Saskatchewan. Can. J. Plant

Sci. 76: 387-392.

- Gomez-Campo C, Prakash S (1999). Origin and domestication. In: Go´mez-Campo C (ed) Biology of Brassica goenospecies. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp: 33-58.
- Gooding MJ, Davies WP (1997). Wheat production and utilization: system, quality and the environment. CAB international, UK. 355 pp.
- Guesdes-Pinto H, Darvey N, Carnide VP (1996). Developments in plant breeding Triticale: today and tomorrow. Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Netherlands.
- Leitch AR, Leitch IJ (2008). Genomic plasticity and the diversity of polyploid plants. Science, 320: 481-483.
- Levin DA (1983). Polyploidy and novelty in flowering plants. Am. Nat., 122(1): 470-476.
- Lewis WH (ed) (1980). Polyploidy: biological relevance. Plenum Press, New York. pp. 104–143.
- Malek MA (2006). Development of hexaploid *Brassica* through interspecific crosses. Ph.D. Thesis. Submitted to the Dept. of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh.
- Malek MA, Ismail MR, Rahman L, Hassan L and Das ML (unpublished). Development of trigenomic hexaploid *Brassica* from triploid (ABC) hybrids of *B. carinata* A. Braun and *B. rapa*. Euphytica (submitted and under review; Manuscript Number: EUPH5587).
- Malek MA, Rahman L, Das ML, Hassan L (2006). Development of interspecific hybrids between *Brassica carinata* and *B. rapa (B. campestris*). Bangladesh J. Agric. Sci., 33(1): 21-25.
- McNaughton IH (1973). *Brassica napocampestris* L. (2n = 58) I. Synthesis, cytology, fertility and general considerations. Euphytica, 22: 301-309.
- Meng J, Shi S, Gan Li, Li Z, Qu X (1998). The production of yellowseeded *Brassica napus* (AACC) through crossing interspecific hybrids of *B. campestris* (AA) and *B. carinata* (BBCC) with *B. napus*. Euphytica, 103: 329-333.
- Paterson AH (2005). Polyploidy, evolutionary opportunity and crop adaptation. Genetics, 123: 91-196.
- Prakash S, Hinata K (1980). Taxonomy, cytogenetics and origin of crop Brassicas, a review. Opera Bot., 55: 1-57.
- Primard C, Vedel F, Mathieu C, Pelletier G, Chèvre AM (1988). Interspecific somatic hybridization between *Brassica napus* and *Brassica hirta* (*Sinapis alba* L.). Theor. Appl. Genet., 75:546-552.
- Qian W, Chen X, Fu D, Zou J, Meng J (2005). Intersubgenomic heterosis in seed yield potential observed in a new type of *Brassica napus* introgressed with partial *Brassica rapa* genome. Theor. Appl. Genet., 110: 1187-1194.
- Ramsey J, Schemske DW (1998). Pathways, mechanisms, and rates of polyploid formation in flowering plants. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst., 29: 467-501.

- Ren JP, Dickson MH, Earle ED (2000). Improved resistance to bacterial soft rot by protoplast fusion between *Brassica rapa* and *B. oleracea*. Theor. Appl. Genet., 100: 810-819.
- Riley R, Chapman V (1958). Genetic control of the cytologically diploid behaviour of hexaploid wheat. Nature, 13: 713-715.
- Sarla N, Raut RN (1988). Synthesis of *Brassica carinata* from *Brassica nigra* ^ *Brassica oleracea* hybrids obtained by ovary culture. Theor. Appl. Genet., 76(6):846-849.
- Sears ER, Okamoto M (1958) Intergenomic chromosome relationships in hexaploid wheat. In: Proc 10th. Int. Cong. Genet., 2: 258-259.
- Sjödin C, Glimelius (1989). *Brassica naponigra*, a somatic hybrid resistant to *Phoma lingum*. Theor. Appl. Genet., 77: 651-656.
- Soltis DE, Soltis PS (1995). The dynamic nature of polyploidy genomes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 92(18): 8089-8091.
- Soltis PS, Soltis DE (2000). The role of genetic and genomic attributes in the success of polyploids. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA 97: 1072-1078.
- Song K, Tang K, Osborn TC (1993). Development of synthetic *Brassica* amphidiploids by reciprocal hybridization and comparison to natural amphidiploids. Theor. Appl. Genet., 86(7): 811-821.
- Takeda T, Takahata Y (1988). Further study on the hybridization between synthesized trigenomic hexaploid and cultivated species in genus *Brassica*. Cruciferae Newslett., 13: 16-17.
- Tel-Zur N, Abbo S, Mizrahi Y (2005). Cytogenetics of semifertile triploid and aneuploid intergeneric vine cacti hybrids. J. Hered., 96:124-131.
- Tian E, Jiang Y, Chen L, Zou J, Liu F, Meng J (2010). Synthesis of a *Brassica* trigenomic allohexaploid (*B. carinata* × *B. rapa*) de novo and its stability in subsequent generations. Theor. Appl. Genet., 121(8): 1431-1440.
- Tokumasu S (1976). The increase of seed fertility of *Brassicoraphanus* through cytological irregularity. Euphytica, 25: 463-470.
- Yang GS, Röbbelen G (1994). Effects of B genome on chromosome pairing among the 3 homologous genomes A, B and C in *Brassica*. J. Huazhong Agric. Univ., 13: 111-117.