#### provided by A IOI - African Journals Online

## academicJournals

Vol. 12(20), pp. 2869-2875, 15 May, 2013 DOI: 10.5897/AJB12.1231 ISSN 1684-5315 ©2013 Academic Journals http://www.academicjournals.org/AJB

# **African Journal of Biotechnology**

Full Length Research Paper

# Nature of gene action for fruit quality characters of tomato (Solanum lycopersicum)

Shirin Akhtar and P. Hazra\*

Department of Vegetable Crops, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur 741252, West Bengal, India.

Accepted 3 May, 2013

Nature of gene action for three important fruit quality characters of tomato viz., total soluble solids (TSS),  $\beta$ -carotene and lycopene contents were determined by analyzing one 7 x 7 half diallel population and six genetic populations ( $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $BC_1$  and  $BC_2$ ) of two cross combinations. The nature of gene action determined from two biometrical methods matched well and it appeared that the fruit quality characters were under the control of both fixable and non-fixable gene effects, with the non-fixable gene effects with gene interactions being more important. Diallel analysis revealed moderate narrow sense heritability estimates and 6-parameter model suggested duplicate epistasis as well as significant additive x additive type non-allelic interaction with negative sign for the characters, which will hinder the pace of progress through simple selection. Single seed descent method with progeny row testing and deferred selection will be the best breeding method to develop line bred varieties with good fruit quality character.

**Key words:** Gene action, diallel, generation mean, fruit quality, tomato.

#### INTRODUCTION

Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) belonas to nightshade family Solanaceae which is believed to consist of 96 genera and over 2800 species distributed in three subfamilies, Solanoideae (to which Solanum belongs), Cestroideae and Solanineae (Knapp et al., 2004). The cultivated tomato is widely grown around the world and constitutes a major agricultural industry and it is the second most consumed vegetable after potato. Genetic determinants of nutritional quality have long been studied. However, it is only recently that these studies have largely focused on single, or at most, a handful of metabolites, such as carotenoid content in tomato (Liu et al., 2003). Hence, there has been much renewed interest in the possibility of breeding not only higher yielding but also better quality crops. The compositional fruit quality of tomato is receiving increasing interest, particularly given the results of recent studies highlighting the nutritional importance of lycopene, flavonoids, and chlorogenic acid

in the human diet (Devaux et al., 2005; Dixon, 2005; Niggeweg et al., 2006; Rein et al., 2006). Today, fruit quality is a major focus of most tomato breeding programs, the major fruit quality traits of interest to both fresh market and processing tomato industries being fruit size, shape, total solids, lycopene, β-carotene, firmness, nutritional quality and flavour and other important fruit quality characteristics including pH, titratable acidity and vitamin contents (Foolad, 2007). Lycopene makes up approximately 80 to 90% of the total carotenoids in common cultivars of tomatoes (Shi and Le Maguer, 2000), the pigment that gives tomato its red color. There is considerable interest in the dietary role of lycopene in inhibition of heart disease (Rissanen et al., 2003) and reducing the risk of certain cancers, including prostate cancer (Clinton, 1998; Ansari and Gupta, 2003; Giovannucci, 2002; Wu et al., 2004; Stacewicz-Sapuntzakis and Bowen, 2005) and breast cancer (Sesso

**Table 1.** Genotypes of tomato employed in the investigation.

Genotype (variety/line)	Specific character or gene in it	Source
Berika	High lycopene containing variety	Institute of Physiology and Genetics, Bulgarian academy of Science, Sofia, Bulgaria
FEB-2	Early blight resistant variety	I.A.R.I., New Delhi
BCT-115	Dark green and high pigmented line containing dg gene	United States Department of Agriculture, USA.
BCT-119	high pigmented line containing hp gene	United States Department of Agriculture, USA
CLN B	Heat tolerant line low in carotenoid pigments	AVRDC, Taiwan
BCT-53	High yielding line developed by selection from a material collected from Assam	Department of Vegetable Crops, B.C.K.V., Mohanpur, West Bengal
Patharkutchi	Highly adapted local cultivar	Department of Vegetable Crops, B.C.K.V., Mohanpur, West Bengal

et al., 2005). Other carotenoids present in ripe tomato fruits include  $\beta$  carotene and small amounts of phytoene, phytofluene, dcarotene, z-carotene, neorosporene and lutein (Khachik et al., 2002).  $\beta$ -Carotene is the carotenoid recognized as a nutrient in tomato fruit due to its provitamin A activity. Each year, 750 million people suffer from vitamin A deficiency and a single serving of tomato products can supply in excess of 30% of recommended daily allowances. It has been amply justified that total soluble solids content which contain 50% carbohydrates (Helyes et al., 2006) is the most important indicator of the taste of tomato and the fruits containing soluble solids above 4.5 °Brix could be placed in the most desirable rank (Clement et al., 2008).

The proposition of candidate genes as well as QTLs has been put forward for different fruit quality traits like carotenoids (Liu et al., 2003), sugars, acid contents et al., 2004), antioxidant compounds (Rousseaux et al., 2005) and volatiles aromas (Tadmor et al., 2002). Because tomatoes represent a major contribution to dietary nutrition worldwide, there is a growing interest in the potential of genetic improvement for tomato antioxidant levels either by traditional breeding methods (Ronen et al., 2000; Zhang and Stommel, 2000) or by transgene incorporation (Giuliano et al., 2000; Romer et al., 2000). Improvement in tomato nutritional traits also offers the opportunity to determine basic information about the regulation of antioxidants in fruit crops. In this back drop, the present investigation has been outlined to determine the nature of gene action for the control of three most important fruit quality traits viz., total soluble solids, lycopene and β-carotene contents of the fruits by analyzing one 7 x 7 half diallel and two 6genetic populations.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The field experiments were conducted in three consecutive years (2008 to 2009 to 2010 to 2011) at the Central Research Farm,

Gayeshpur, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, West Bengal, India situated at 22°57′N lat and 88°20′E long with an average altitude of 9.75 m above the mean sea level. Seven homozygous line varieties and breeding lines viz., Berika, FEB-2, BCT-115, CLN B, Patharkutchi, BCT-53 and BCT-119 were utilized to develop two different genetic populations for the study of gene action (Table 1).

#### **Diallel population**

The seven parental lines were crossed in 7 x 7 half-diallel mating design during autumn-winter season (October to March, 2008-09) to produce 21 hybrids. These hybrids along with their parental lines were grown during autumn-winter season (October to March, 2009-10) under the day temperature range of 22.5 to 31.9°C and night temperature range of 8.4 to 22.4°C, the average day/night being 27.6/15.1°C in randomized block design with three replications keeping 20 plants per plot at 60 x 60 cm spacing. Three ripe fruits from 5 randomly selected plants per plot were harvested to make composite sample per replication for estimation of three fruit quality traits viz., TSS (°Brix),  $\beta$ -carotene (mg/100 g fresh weight), lycopene (mg/100 g fresh weight). Genetic components of variance for these characters were determined as per Hayman (1954).

### Six-genetic population

The experiments involved the six basic generations (P<sub>1</sub> and P<sub>2</sub> parent lines, the F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub>, and the BC<sub>1</sub> and BC<sub>2</sub>) of two crosses, Berika x BCT-115, Berika x FEB-2. The genetic populations (50 each of P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>1</sub>; 80 F<sub>2</sub> and 60 each of BC<sub>1</sub> and BC<sub>2</sub> of these three crosses were grown in two separate blocks without replication during autumn-winter season of 2010 to 2011 and data were recorded from all the plants of the six genetic populations. Composite fruit samples per plant were made taking three random ripe fruits per plant from all the genetic populations to estimate 3 fruit quality traits viz., TSS (°Brix), β-carotene (mg/100 g fresh weight) and lycopene (mg/100 g fresh weight) contents following standard spectrophotometric method as described by Sadasivam and Manickam (1996) in the Department of Vegetable Crops, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, India. The mean values, standard errors and variances of the different generations calculated for all the plants in each generation were used for scaling test. The genetic effects were estimated from progeny means as per method suggested by Mather and Jinks (1971) and the significance of the scales and gene effects were tested by using the 't' test. The A, B C and D scaling tests were done as per

**Table 2.** Analysis of variance from the half diallel cross.

Courses of verieties	Mean sum of squares			
Sources of variation	Degrees of freedom	TSS content	Lycopene content	β-carotene content
Replication	2	0.197	0.243	0.059
Diallel progenies	27	0.877**	3.215**	0.395**
Error	54	0.05	0.06	0.09

**Table 3.** Estimates of genetic components from diallel analysis.

Genetic component	TSS	Lycopene	β-carotene			
Genetic components of variation						
^ D	0.48 ± 0.11**	1.49 ± 0.14**	0.28 ± 0.02**			
- Ĥ₁	0.59 ± 0.16*	1.75 ± 0.35**	0.30 ± 0.05**			
$\hat{H}_2$	$0.43 \pm 0.13^*$	0.29 ± 0.08**	0.23 ± 0.05**			
$\hat{h}^2$	$0.15 \pm 0.08$ *	0.75 ± 0.21*	$0.58 \pm 0.03**$			
^ F	0.24 ± 0.26	1.60 ± 0.35**	0.25 ± 0.05**			
Ê	$0.00 \pm 0.04$	$0.00 \pm 0.05$	$0.00 \pm 0.01$			
Allied genetic parameters						
^ (Ĥ <sub>1</sub> / D) <sup>1/2</sup>	1.11	0.84	1.05			
$(\hat{H}_2 / 4\hat{H}_1)$	0.18	0.18	0.19			
$(\hat{h}^2 / \hat{H}_2)$	0.19	2.58	2.52			
KD/KR	1.58	2.24	2.52			
Heritability in narrow sense (%)	57.43	50.12	53.28			
Test of epistasis						
t <sup>2</sup> value	3.31	1.06	1.10			

<sup>\*</sup> and \*\* Significant at 5 and 1% level of significance, respectively.

Hayman and Mather (1955). The 'A' and 'B' scaling tests provided the evidence for the presence of additive x additive (i), additive x dominance (j) and dominance x dominance (l) types of gene interactions. The 'C' scaling test provided a test for 'l' type epistasis, whereas 'D' scaling test gave information about 'i' type of gene interaction.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Diallel analysis

The diallel analysis has been hailed by plant breeders as a long over-due biometrical technique for rationalizing the genetic study of continuous variation. Analysis of variance for the half diallel cross depicted highly significant differences among the diallel progenies and the parents for all the characters (Table 2) indicating the divergence of the parents and the progenies which served the basic prerequisite for diallel analysis.

#### Total soluble solids (TSS)

Both additive  $(\hat{D})$  and dominance  $(\hat{H}_1 \text{ and } \hat{H}_2)$  components were highly significant suggesting the importance of both additive and dominance gene action for conditioning of this character (Table 3). The dominance was reflected due to significant dominance

effect ( $\hat{h}^2$ ). The  $\hat{F}$  was positive (0.24) but low in magnitude suggesting somewhat majority of dominant alleles and minority of recessive alleles in the parents. The ( $\hat{H}_1/D$ )<sup>½</sup> exhibited somewhat complete dominance. The proportion of KD/KR (1.58) suggested majority of dominant alleles and minority of recessive alleles in the parents. The  $\hat{H}_2$  /4  $\hat{H}_1$  (0.18) suggested comparatively less symmetrical distribution of positive and negative dominant genes in the parents. The  $\hat{h}^2/\hat{H}_2$  (0.19) suggested that number of group of dominant genes for the control of this character appeared to be one. The

narrow sense heritability was moderate (57.43%).

#### Lycopene content

The additive  $(\stackrel{\circ}{D})$  and dominance  $(\stackrel{\circ}{H}_1)$  and  $\stackrel{\circ}{H}_2$  components were highly significant suggesting the importance of both additive and non-additive gene action for this character (Table 3). However, the magnitude of

 $\hat{H}_1$  and  $\hat{H}_2$  were somewhat higher than  $\hat{D}$  suggesting importance of non-additive gene action for conditioning of this trait. The dominance was reflected due to significant dominance effect  $(\hat{h}^2)$  which amply suggests the importance of non-additive gene action for conditioning of

this character. The  $\hat{F}$  was positive (1.60) suggesting that dominant alleles are more frequent than recessive alleles in the parents. The  $(\hat{H}_1/D)^{1/2}$  exhibited partial dominance (0.84). The proportion of KD/KR (2.24) suggested majority of the dominant alleles and minority of recessive alleles in the parents. The  $\hat{H}_2$  /4  $\hat{H}_1$  (0.18) suggested comparatively less symmetrical distribution of positive and negative dominant genes in the parents. The  $\hat{h}^2/\hat{H}_2$  (2.58) suggested that number of group of dominant genes appeared to be three. The narrow sense heritability was moderate (50.12%).

#### **B-Carotene** content

The additive (D) and dominance ( $\hat{H}_1$  and  $\hat{H}_2$ ) components were highly significant suggesting the importance of both additive and non-additive gene action for this character (Table 3); however, the magnitude of  $\hat{H}_1$ 

and  $\hat{H}_2$  were almost double of  $\hat{D}$  suggesting importance of non-additive gene action for conditioning of this trait. The dominance was reflected due to significant dominance effect  $(\hat{h}^2)$  which amply suggests the importance of non-additive gene action for conditioning of

this character. The  $\hat{F}$  was positive (0.25) but low in magnitude suggesting balanced distribution of dominant and recessive alleles in the parents. The  $(\hat{H}_1/D)^{1/2}$  exhibited almost complete dominance (1.05). The proportion of KD/KR (2.52) suggested majority of dominant alleles and minority of recessive alleles in the parents. The  $\hat{H}_2$  /4  $\hat{H}_1$  (0.19) suggested comparatively less symmetrical distribution of positive and negative dominant genes in the parents. The  $\hat{h}^2/\hat{H}_2$  (2.52) suggested that number of group of dominant genes appeared to be three. The narrow sense heritability was moderate (53.28%).

Perusal of data emanated from the expression of the characters in the diallel population clearly suggesting the involvement of polygenes in the control of three fruit quality characters of tomato. It has been suggested

earlier that in addition to the nine classically defined major genes (with a total 15 alleles) viz., old gold crimson and it's allele Beta-carotene; apricot; Delta, diospyros, green flesh; Green ripe; high pigment-1; high pigment-2; dark green; Intense pigment; modifier Beta-carotene; red colour in yellow fruit; sherry, tangerine; and yellow flesh (Stommel and Haynes, 1993; Tigchelaar, 1986), other genes that affect fruit pigmentation and colour probably exist and can be defined using quantitative methods (Sacks and Francis, 2001). Nature of gene action apparent from the present analysis for three fruit quality characters agreed well with the earlier observations of Potaczek and Michalik (1989) for carotenoid content; Li et al. (2006) for lycopene content; Garg et al. (2008) for total soluble solids (TSS), titratable acidity, TSS: acid ratio, pH and ascorbic acid content; Kumar et al. (1997) for TSS, ascorbic acid, lycopene and acidity and Mandal et al. (2009) for TSS and lycopene contents of the fruit.

The magnitude of dominance component ( $\hat{H}_1$  and  $\hat{H}_2$ ) and degree of dominance  $(\hat{H}_1/D)^{1/2}$  amply suggested the importance of non-additive genetic system for the expression of the fruit quality characters. Proportion of dominant and recessive alleles pooled over all parents (KD/KR) indicated dominant alleles in the parents. However, dominant genes having increasing and decreasing effects were asymmetrically distributed in the parents for these characters which are not helpful in selecting the desirable traits without losing other traits of interest. Knowledge of number of gene groups which exhibit dominance and are responsible for particular trait is important for genetic progress for selection. One gene group controlled the inheritance of TSS content and three gene groups controlled lycopene and β-carotene content of fruit juice indicating complex inheritance of carotenoid pigments in tomato. Findings of some earlier works that five loci with a positive effect on fruit colour were identified in Lycopersicon hirsutum Dunal accession LA 1777 (Bernacchi et al., 1998) and three in Lycopersicon pimpinellifolium (B. Juss.) Miller accession LA 1589 (Tanksley et al., 1996) supported the present findings.

Moderate narrow sense heritability estimates for these characters agreed well with several earlier reports (da Silveira and Maluf, 2002; Hanson et al., 2002; Rodriguez et al., 2004; Mohamed and Badr, 2004). Single plant selection is not effective unless heritability for the particular trait is high (Nyquist, 1991). Hence, single plant selection in the  $F_2$  generation will not be effective in improving these fruit quality characters. In order to develop line bred varieties with good fruit quality character, single seed descent with progeny row testing and selection method will be the best since backcrosses are not suitable for fixing such traits (Frimpong and Safo, 2006).

#### Generation mean analysis

It has already been established through diallel analysis

**Table 4.** Scaling test and generation mean analysis.

Model and effects	TSS content	Lycopene content	β-Carotene content					
Berika × FEB-2								
Scaling test (Hayman and Mather, 1955)								
Α	$0.550 \pm 0.247^*$	$-0.562 \pm 0.293$	$-0.003 \pm 0.095$					
В	-0.560 ± 0.216*	0.960 ± 0.365**	0.554 ± 0.103**					
С	$0.198 \pm 0.373$	$3.635 \pm 0.672**$	1.446 ± 0.203**					
D	$0.104 \pm 0.160$	1.619 ± 0.310**	0.447 ± 0.100**					
Six parameter model (Mather and Jinks, 1971)								
m	4.763 ± 0.060**	5.161 ± 0.131**	1.612 ± 0.043**					
d	0.535 ± 0.106**	-0.221 ± 0.165	-0.110 ± 0.051*					
h	-1.602 ± 0.351**	-3.523 ± 0.654**	-1.194 ± 0.207**					
i	-0.208 ± 0.321	$-3.237 \pm 0.620**$	-0.894 ± 0.200**					
j	0.555 ± 0.149**	-0.761 ± 0.195**	-0.279 ± 0.065**					
1	$0.217 \pm 0.565$	2.840 ± 0.943**	$0.343 \pm 0.108**$					
Non-allelic interaction	Could not be determined	Duplicate	Duplicate					
Berika × BCT-115								
Scaling test (Hayman	Scaling test (Hayman and Mather, 1955)							
Α	0.867 ± 0.253**	-1.414 ± 0.337**	-0.529 ± 1.347**					
В	$0.359 \pm 0.247$	-0.450 ± 0.415	$-0.351 \pm 0.370$					
С	$0.634 \pm 0.430$	$-1.051 \pm 0.700$	$-0.912 \pm 0.702$					
D	-0.296 ± 0.169	$0.407 \pm 0.324$	-0.016 ± 0.106					
Six parameter model (Mather and Jinks, 1971)								
m	4.865 ± 0.067**	4.649 ± 0.135**	1.440 ± 0.044**					
d	0.509 ± 0.104**	-0.622 ± 0.180**	-0.235 ± 0.058**					
h	$-0.765 \pm 0.378$ *	-1.140 ± 0.386**	0.400± 0.063**					
i	$0.592 \pm 0.338$	-0.813 ± 0.648	0.031 ± 0.212					
j	$0.254 \pm 0.153$	-0.482 ± 0.247	$-0.089 \pm 0.098$					
1	-1.819 ± 0.598**	2.677 ± 1.003**	0.849 ± 0.140**					
Non-allelic interaction	Complementary	Duplicate	Duplicate					

<sup>\*</sup> and \*\*Significant at 5 and 1% level of significance, respectively.

that fruit quality characters were governed, at least in combination by the polygenes. Results of the diallel analysis supported the importance of both additive and dominance genetic effects in conditioning of the characters; however, dominance gene effects were more important. The presence and absence of epistasis could be detected by the analysis of generation means using the scaling test, which measures epistasis accurately whether complementary (additive x additive) or duplicate (additive x dominance and dominance x dominance) at digenic level.

#### Total soluble solids

A simple additive/dominance model was inadequate to explain the gene effects because of the significance of A

and B scales in Berika x FEB-2 and only A scale in Berika x BCT-115 (Table 4). Additive and dominance components of genetic variance were significant but dominance variance was more important in both crosses. Only additive x dominance epistatic interaction effect was significant in Berika x FEB-2 and dominance x dominance interaction was significant for Berika x BCT-115, suggesting different epistatic interactions in two crosses. Dominance x dominance (I) interaction effect and additive x additive effect was of almost equal magnitude in Berika x FEB-2 while it was larger than additive x additive effect in Berika x BCT-115. The type of epistasis was 'complementary' for Berika x BCT-115 while it could not be determined in Berika x FEB-2, and dominance x dominance epistatic effect was nonsignificant in this cross.

### Lycopene content

A simple additive/dominance model was inadequate to explain the gene effects because of the significance of B, C and D scales in Berika × FEB-2 and only A scale in Berika × BCT-115. In Berika × FEB-2, only dominance genetic variance was significant, while in Berika × BCT-115, both additive and dominance components of genetic variation were significant and it was higher in magnitude than additive genetic variance (Table 4). In Berika × FEB-2, all the epistatic variances were significant while only dominance × dominance epistatic interaction effect was significant in Berika × BCT-115. Dominance × dominance (I) interaction effect was higher than additive × additive effect in Berika × BCT-115, while it was lower in magnitude than additive × additive effect in Berika × FEB-2. Type of epistasis was 'duplicate' for both crosses.

## β-Carotene content

A simple additive/dominance model was inadequate to explain the gene effects because of the significance of B, C and D scales in Berika x FEB-2 and only A scale in Berika x BCT-115. In both crosses, both additive and dominance components of genetic variation were significant and it was higher in magnitude than additive genetic variance. In Berika x FEB-2, all the epistatic variances were significant while only dominance x dominance epistatic interaction effect was significant in Berika x BCT-115 (Table 4). It amply indicated that inheritance pattern of both lycopene and β carotene content was almost similar which was established from diallel analysis also. Dominance x dominance (I) interaction effect was higher than additive x additive effect in Berika x BCT-115, while it was lower in magnitude than additive x additive effect in Berika x FEB-Type of epistasis was 'duplicate' for both crosses.

Li et al. (2006) through the combination analysis of six generations suggested that a major gene plus additivedominance-epistasis polygenes dominated inheritance of lycopene content in tomato. Presence of duplicate epistasis for lycopene and β-carotene content will decrease variation in the F2 and subsequent generations and will hinder the pace of progress through selection as suggested by Dhankar et al. (2003) and Dixit et al. (2006). Additive x additive type non-allelic interaction was significant but with negative sign indicating little scope of improvement through simple selection. Such proposition was also put forward analyzing the diallel population because of low to moderate narrow sense heritability estimates for the characters.

In conclusion, the nature of gene action determined from two biometrical methods matched well and it appeared that fruit quality traits were under the control of both fixable and non-fixable gene effects, with the nonfixable gene effects with gene interactions being more important. Single seed descent method with progeny row testing and deferred selection will be the best breeding method to develop line bred varieties with good fruit quality character.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Ansari MS, Gupta NP (2003). A comparison of lycopene and orchidectomy vs orchidectomy alone in the management of advanced prostate cancer. BJU Int. 92:375–378.
- Bernacchi D, Beck-Bunn T, Lopez EJ, Petiard V, Uhlig J, Zamir D, Tanksley S (1998). Advanced backcross QTL analysis of tomato. I. Identification of QTL for traits of agronomic importance from *Lycopersicon hirsutum*. Theor. Appl. Genet. 97:381-397.
- Causse M, Duffe P, Gomez MC, Buret M, Damidaux D, Zamir D, Gur A, Chevalier M, Lemaire-Chamley M, Rothan C (2004). A genetic map of candidate genes and QTLs involved in tomato fruit size and composition. J. Expt. Bot. 403:1671–1685.
- Clement A, Dorais M, Vernon M (2008). Multivariate approach to the measurement of tomato maturity and gustatory attributes and their rapid assessment by vis-NIR spectroscopy. J. Agric. Food Chem. 56:1538-1544.
- Clinton SK (1998). Lycopene: chemistry, biology, and implications for human health and disease. Nutr. Rev. 56:35–51.
- da Silveira MA, Maluf WR (2002). Genetic control of morphological traits in tomato fruits. Crop Breed. Appl. Biotechnol. 2:17-23.
- Devaux MF, Barakat A, Robert P, Bouchet B, Guillon F, Navez B, Lahaye M (2005). Mechanical breakdown and cell wall structure of mealy tomato pericarp tissue. Postharv. Biol.Technol. 37:209–221.
- Dhankar SK, Dhankar BS, Banerjee MK (2003). Gene actions for floral traits in tomato at high temperature. Veg. Sci. 30:42-44.
- Dixit GP, Tanveer H, Chandra S (2006). Generation mean analysis for grain yield related traits in field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Indian J. Genet. 66:147-148.
- Dixon RA (2005). Engineering of plant natural product pathways. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 8:329–336.
- Foolad MR (2007). Genome Mapping and Molecular Breeding of Tomato. Int. J. Plant Genom. 1:1–52.
- Frimpong A, Safo Kantanka O (2006). Inheritance of quantitative characters in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). Pak. J. Biol. Sci. 9:2770-2776.
- Garg N, Cheema DS, Dhatt AS (2008). Genetics of yield, quality and shelf life characteristics in tomato under normal and late planting conditions. Euphytica 159:275-288.
- Giovannucci E (2002). A review of epidemiologic studies of tomatoes, lycopene, and prostate cancer. Exp. Biol. Med. 227:852–859.
- Giuliano G, Aquilani R, Dharmapuri S (2000). Metabolic engineering of plant carotenoids. Trends Plant Sci. 5:406–409.
- Hanson PM, Chen JT, Kuo G (2002). Gene action and heritability of high-temperature fruit set in tomato line CL5915. Hort. Sci. 37:172-175.
- Hayman BI (1954). The theory and analysis of diallel crosses. Genetics 39:789-809.
- Hayman BI, Mather K (1955). The description of genetic interactions in continuous variation. Biometrics 11:69-82.
- Helyes L, Pek Z, Lugasi A (2006). Tomato fruit quality and content depend on stage of maturity. Hort Sci. 41:1400-1401.
- Khachik F, Carvalho L, Bernstein PS, Muir GJ, Zhao DY, Katz NB (2002). Chemistry, distribution, and metabolism of tomato carotenoids and their impact on human health. Exp. Biol. Med. (Maywood) 227:845–851.
- Knapp S, Bohs L, Nee M, Spooner DM (2004). Solanaceae- A model for linking genomics with biodiversity. Comp. Funct. Genome 5:285–291.
- Kumar TP, Tewari RN, Pachauri DC (1997). Line x tester analysis for processing characters in tomato. Veg. Sci. 24:34-38.
- Li, JS, Shen HL, Shi ZQ (2006). Analysis on the major gene and polygene mixed inheritance of lycopene content in fresh consumptive tomato fruit. Hereditas Beijing 28:458-462.
- Liu J, Cong B, Tanksley SD (2003). Generation and analysis of an artificial gene dosage series in tomato to study the mechanisms by which the cloned quantitative trait locus fw2.2 controls fruit size. Plant

- Physiol. 132:292-299.
- Liu YS, Gur A, Ronen G, Causse M, Damidaux R, Buret M, Hirschberg J, Zamir D (2003). There is more to fruit colour than candidate carotenoid genes. Plant Biotechnol. J. 1:195–207.
- Mandal C, Sarkar S, Hazra P 2009. Line x Tester analysis of combining ability in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). J. Crop. Weed. 5:53-57.
- Mather K, Jinks JL (1971). Biometrical Genetics: The Study Continuous Variations. Chapman and Hall Ltd., London, p. 382.
- Mohamed FG, Badr LAA (2004). Genetic studies on fusarium wilt resistance in tomato. Ann. Agril. Sci. Moshtohor 42:711-728.
- Niggeweg R, Kocher T, Gentzel M, Buscaino A, Taipale M, Akhtar A, Wilm M (2006). A general precursor ion-like scanning mode on quadrupole-TOF instruments compatible with chromatographic separation. Proteomics 6:41–53.
- Nyquist WE (1991). Estimation of heritability and predictions. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. 10:235-322.
- Potaczek H, Michalik H (1989). Breeding field tomato varieties with increased carotene content. Biuletyn Warzywniczy, Suppl.. 1:35-38.
- Rein D, Schijlen E, Kooistra T, Herbers K, Verschuren L, Hall R, Sonnewald U, Bovy A, Kleemann R (2006). Transgenic flavonoid tomato intake reduces C-reactive protein in human C-reactive protein transgenic mice more than wild-type tomato. J. Nutr. 136:2331–2337.
- Rissanen TH, Voutilainen S, Nyyss'onen K, Salonen R, Kaplan GA, Salonen JT (2003). Serum lycopene concentrations and carotid atherosclerosis: The Kuopio Ischaemic Heart Disease Risk Factor Study. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 77:133–138.
- Rodriguez GE, Carballo CA, Baca CGA, Martinez GA, Rosas RM (2004). Genetic parameters of mean fruit weight and their components of tomato. Acta Hort. 637:145-148.
- Romer S, Fraser PD, Kiano JW, Shipton CA, Misawa N, Schuch W, Bramley PM (2000). Elevation of the provitamin A content of transgenic tomato plants. Nat. Biotechnol. 18:666–669.
- Ronen G, Carmel-Goren L, Zamir D, Hirschberg J (2000). An alternative pathway to beta-carotene formation in plant chromoplasts discovered by map-based cloning of Beta and oldgold color mutations in tomato. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97:11102–11107.

- Rousseaux MC, Jones CM, Adams D (2005). QTL analysis of fruit antioxidants in tomato using *Lycopersicon pennellii* introgression lines. Theor. Appl. Genet. 111:1396–1408.
- Sacks EJ, Francis DM (2001). Genetic and environmental variation for tomato flesh colour in a population of modern breeding lines. J. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. 126:226-226.
- Sadasivam S, Manickam A (1996). Biochemical Methods (2<sup>nd</sup> edn.). New Age International Publisher, New Delhi. pp. 187-188.
- Sesso HD, Buring JE, Zhang SM, Norkus EP, Gaziano JM (2005). Dietary and plasma lycopene and the risk of breast cancer. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev. 14:1074–1081.
- Shi J, Le Maguer M (2000). Lycopene in tomatoes chemical and physical properties affected by food processing. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 40:1–42.
- Stacewicz-Sapuntzakis M, Bowen PE (2005). Role of lycopene and tomato products in prostate health. Biochem. Biophys. Acta. 1740:202–205.
- Stommel JR, Haynes KG (1993). Genetic control of fruit sugar accumulation in a *Lycopersicon esculentum* × *L. hirsutum* cross. J. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. 118:859-863.
- Tanksley SD, Grandillo S, Fulton TM, Zamir D, Eshed V, Lopez PJ, Beck-Bunn T (1996). Advanced backcross QTL analysis in across between elite processing line of tomato and its wild relative *L. pimpnellifolium*. Theor. Appl. Genet. 92:213-224.
- Tigchelaar EC (1986). Tomato Breeding. in Breeding of Vegetable Crops.(eds. M. Bassett). AVI Publishing Company, Inc., pp. 135-171.
- Wu K, Erdman JWJr, Schwartz SJ, Platz EA, Leitzmann M, Clinton SK, DeGroff V, Willett WC, Giovannucci E (2004). Plasma and Dietary Carotenoids, and the Risk of Prostate Cancer: A Nested Case-Control Study. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev. 13:260–269.
- Zhang Y, Stommel JR (2000). RAPD and AFLP tagging and mapping of Beta (B) and Beta modifier (Mo-B), two genes which influence beta-carotene accumulation in fruit of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). Theor. Appl. Genet. 100:368–375.