

African Journal of Biotechnology Vol. 11(22), pp. 6018-6026, 15 March, 2012
Available online at <http://www.academicjournals.org/AJB>
DOI: 10.5897/AJB11.1875
ISSN 1684-5315 © 2012 Academic Journals

Full Length Research Paper

Organelles genome stability of wheat plantlets produced by anther culture

Dhia S. Hassawi^{1*}, Saida A. Abu-Mallouh¹, Amal A. Al-Abbadi², and Mohamad A. Shatnawi¹

¹Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Agricultural Technology, Al-Balqa' Applied University, Al-Salt, 19117 Jordan.

²Department of Plant Production and Protection, Faculty of Agricultural Technology, Al-Balqa' Applied University, Al-Salt, 19117 Jordan.

Accepted 17 February, 2012

Plantlets derived from *in vitro* culture might exhibit somoclonal variation which is often heritable, and molecular variations may be generated *in vitro*. Since the direction of most studies is toward nuclear genome, there is a little known about the DNA of organelles. This study was conducted to test the genetic stability of wheat organelles genomes for plantlets produced by anther culture using restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analyses. One of the intergenic regions of cpDNA and one of mtDNA introns were amplified with polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The PCR products were then sequenced and digested with four restriction endonucleases (EcoR1, BamH1, Nde1 and Sac1). The amplified product from cpDNA was 1000 bp in size, and digested only with Nde1 into two bands with 650 and 350 bp. The amplified product from mtDNA was 1550 bp in size, and digested only with Sac1 into two bands with 1220 and 330 bp. The results obtained showed that no noticeable difference can be detected between doubled haploid plantlets and parental plants at the level of ctDNA and mtDNA organization. It can be concluded that *in vitro* culture by itself does not systematically generate a cytoplasmic variation in plant cells.

Key words: RFLP analysis, wheat plantlets, wheat anther culture, doubled haploids, genetic stability, mitochondria and chloroplast genome.

INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the most important staple food crops of the family *Poaceae*. Among the food crops, wheat is a common source of energy and proteins for the world population (Keresza et al., 2000). It is characterized by a large genome size (approximately 17000 Mb) thus making the improvement process by any method genetically challenging.

Haploid plants are very important in various research disciplines such as plant biotechnology, molecular genetics and traditional plant breeding; they provide useful information regarding recombination and genetic control of chromosomal pairing (Basu et al., 2011). Haploid plants have many uses in basic plant research such as cytogenetics, crop evolution, induced mutagenesis and on genetic transformations (Chawla, 2002; Folling and Olesen, 2002; Cuthbert et al., 2008; Touraev et al.,

2009). In breeding programmes of wheat cultivars, *in vitro* anther culture technique has been utilized to obtain haploid plantlets in the F₁ generation. However, as is the case for many members of the monocot species, not all wheat species respond to the *In vitro* conditions (Yasmin et al., 2009).

An efficient doubled-haploid production technology, inducing homozygosity, can greatly reduce the time and cost of cultivar development (Liu et al., 2002). The spontaneous duplication of chromosomes often occurs within anther culture-derived callus cells, resulting in the production of fertile, doubled haploid plantlets (Kyung-Moon and Baenziger, 2005; Smith, 2000). This technique speeds up the process of development of new cultivars by several years, in addition to simplifying and making the selection process more efficient (Ramos et al., 2000).

Wheat cultivars developed from doubled haploid technology have been released as dominant cultivars (Guzy-Wróbelska and Szarejko, 2003; Thomas et al., 2003; Humphreys et al., 2007a, b; Baenziger and

*Corresponding author. E-mail: dhassawi@yahoo.com.

Table 1. DNA sequences of the primers used in this study.

| Primer | Sequence | Reference |
|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| cp-rbcL | 5'-TTC GAG TTC GAG CCG GTA GAT A | Unlu and Sumer, 2005 |
| cp-Psal | 5'-CTA AGC CTA CTA AAG GCA CGA | |
| mt-nad1 exon B | 5'-GCA TTA CGA TCT GCA GCT CA | Sun, 2002 |
| mt-nad1 exon C | 5'-GGA GCT CGA TTA GTT TCT GC | |

DePauw, 2009; Touraev et al., 2009). These cultivars could form a basis for exploitation in molecular mapping, cytogenetics and transfer of genes of interest (Mujeeb-Kazi, 2005; Ceoloni and Jauhar, 2006; Touraev et al., 2009; Basu et al., 2010). Doubled haploids have been employed in releasing several barley cultivars (Muñoz-Amatriaín et al., 2008; Gomez-Pando et al., 2009) and oat lines (Kiviharju et al., 2005).

The chloroplast genomes vary in size from 35 to 217 kb, but the vast majority from photosynthetic organisms is between 115 and 165 kb. The chloroplast genome of wheat is 134.5 Kb (Ogihara et al., 2002). Most of the genes in chloroplast genome that code for proteins are mostly involved in photosynthesis or gene expression, with the remainder being transfer RNA or ribosomal RNA genes (Martin et al., 2002). The small amount of diversity observed within chloroplast genomes, relative to nuclear genomes, led some workers to suggest that chloroplast DNA (cpDNA) restriction fragment variants could be useful in constructing molecular phylogenies for studying inter and intraspecific relationships in plants (Amane et al., 2000). The mitochondrial genome is important in plant development, as well as in productivity (Siculella and Palmer, 1988), and extensive studies have been achieved to investigate its functions (Cooper, 2000). The wheat mitochondrial genome structure is a 452.5 Kb circular molecule that revealed a nucleotide-level evidence of intramolecular recombination (Ogihara et al., 2005).

The genetic information present in the plant cpDNA and mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) is of great interest in phylogeny and in population genetics, largely because of their characteristics of non-Mendelian inheritance. The coding regions of chloroplast genomes of higher plants are highly conserved across species and genera. However, the non-coding regions of the chloroplast genome have been described as highly variable (Ogihara et al., 1992). Therefore, by amplification and direct sequencing of these non-coding sequence regions, or simply by using a variety of restriction enzymes to explore the polymorphism, this information can be both used for phylogenetic and population genetic studies (Matyas and Sperisen, 2001).

Plantlets derived from *in vitro* culture might exhibit somoclonal variation, which is often heritable. The use of wheat *in vitro* culture could create genetic variability at the somatic cell level. This biotechnological approach requires a deeper study of the variability of nuclear,

chloroplast, and mitochondrial DNA sequences (Wang and Yen, 2005) and the genetic stability of cells cultured under the conditions of isolated growth and in artificial growth media.

Several strategies have been used to find the rearrangements of wheat DNA nucleotide sequences during *in vitro* tissue culture, to assess the genetic integrity, and to detect genetic stability of plantlets produced during *in vitro* tissue culture such as restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), random amplified polymorphism DNA (RAPD) and polymer chain reaction (PCR) especially inter simple sequence repeat (ISSR) and simple sequence repeat (SSR) methods have been used (Gerashchenkov et al., 2000; Leroy et al., 2000, 2001).

The aim of this study was to investigate the genetic stability of wheat plantlets produced via anther culture by testing the stability of organelles genomes of the produced plantlets using RFLP technique.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Development of doubled haploid plantlets

The doubled haploid plantlets used throughout this study were derived from anther culture of wheat, *T. aestivum*, cv. 'Acsad 65' (Hassawi et al., 2005). The regenerated plantlets were maintained by selfing for three generations (F₁, F₂, and F₃).

Isolation of genomic DNA

Total DNA was isolated from the control plant (Acsad 65) and from five replicates of each F₁, F₂, and F₃. Leaf tissues were taken from two-week old seedlings, after two days etiolation in the dark following micro CTAB method (Khan et al., 2004).

PCR analysis

Amplifications were conducted in a total volume of 25 µl that contained: 25 ng of template DNA, 0.5 µl of 10 mM dNTPs, 2.5 µl of 25 mM MgCl₂, 2.5 µl of 1X buffer, 1 unit of Taq polymerase, 1.0 µl of 10 µM of each forward and reverse primer (primers are listed in Table 1), and doubled distilled water to the final volume. PCR was used to amplify the chloroplast intron lies at the end of rbcL gene and beginning of psal gene, and to amplify the mitochondrial intron located between nad1 exon B and nad1 exon C using the following program: One cycle of 2 min at 94°C, 30 cycles of 50 s at 94°C, 40 s at 64°C, and 80 s at 72°C, followed by 7 min at 72°C. The

```

Acsad65 .....TATAACGTAAAGATAAAGAAGAGAAGGTATAAA 33
F3 ataagtag---aca-----t-a-----c 40

Acsad65 TAACAGAAACGAAATAAAAGGGGAAAAAATAAGTTTTGA 73
F3 ---a-a-g-aacg-at--ata--c-----cg-a- 80

Acsad65 AATGCAGTAATCTCTTTATTCTTCTAATTGATTGCAAT 113
F3 ..----- 118

Acsad65 TAAACTCCGGTCTGTCAATTTTAGAAAAAAGATTGAGC 153
F3 -----g-c--aa--tt---ttct----- 158

Acsad65 CGAATAAAAATAGATCATGATATGATCATGAGACTTGACA 193
F3 ----- 198

Acsad65 AATCGAGATTCGTCTATTCTATATATCTAGAATATATATA 233
F3 ----- 238

Acsad65 TTAAGGTATAATCCAATAAAGAAATCAAATAAAATAATA 273
F3 -----t----- 278

Acsad65 AAATTATCATATGATAA.ATGATAATGGAATCAAATACGC 312
F3 ----.-ag-t-t-c-t----- 317

Acsad65 AGTATTTACAGTTAATACTCTTCGTTTATTGGGAAAGAAT 352
F3 -----aa-a-g-t----- 357

Acsad65 CAATATACTTTTAATGTGCAATCGGGATTCCTAAGACAG 392
F3 -----c----- 397

Acsad65 AAATAAGCATTGGGTGCAACTCTCTTTGGTGTAAAGGT 432
F3 -----t-t----- 437

Acsad65 GGTAGCTGTGAATAGCCATCGACTACCTGGAAAAGGTAGA 472
F3 -----a----- 477

Acsad65 AGAATAGGACCTATTTTGGGCCATACAATGCATTACAGAC 512
F3 ----- 517

Acsad65 GTATGATCATTACCCTTCAACCGGGTTATTTTATTCCACT 552
F3 ----- 557

Acsad65 ACTAGATAGAGAAAAAACTAAAGGAGAATGAATGAAAAA 592
F3 t----- 597

Acsad65 AGACATAGGTTGGAAGTTAGACCTTTTTATAGGACTCTCT 632
F3 -----t----- 637

Acsad65 TTCAATTTCAAAAAGAGGACGTTTGAAACTTTTAAACAGG 672
F3 ----- 677

Acsad65 CGTAATCGTGAGTCAACAAGTGAAGTGAAGTGTGTGTA 712
F3 ----- 717

Acsad65 AAAAGAAGCATTTTTTTTTTACAGAATTTTTTCAAATA 752
F3 ----- 757

Acsad65 AAAATAAAAAATACAATACAATAGTCAATATTCCTTATAA 792
F3 ----- 797

Acsad65 TAGATATACTTAATTATATCATAAGAATCTTAAGATATTT 832
F3 ----- 837

Acsad65 TTCGACTAGATAGAAATAGTAAATT.GAATTGAGACACCT 871
F3 -----t-----a 877

Acsad65 ATCCAGACGGATAACTCTAACAAACCTTATATCGTCGTGC 911
F3 tc-at---at----ct-g....c--t.-g--- 913

Acsad65 CTT.TAGTCGGCGTA 925
F3 -a-....a..... 921

```

Figure 1. Alignment of chloroplast DNA sequence between mother plants and F₃ plantlets. F₁ and F₂ plantlets are not shown.

reactions samples were detected by agarose gel electrophoresis using 10 µl of the PCR products stained by ethidium bromide, and photographed under UV light.

Sequencing of PCR products

To ensure the right isolation of cpDNA and mtDNA regions, the PCR products were subjected for sequencing (Macrogen, Seoul, South Korea). Analysis of the sequences was performed using the

DNAMAN™ software (lynon Biosoft, Quebec., Canada and Version 5.2.9) and the BLAST service provided by the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/>).

Digestion of PCR products

DNAMAN™ software was used to digest DNA fragments sequences for both mitochondria and chloroplast fragments amplified




Figure 2. Digestion pattern of chloroplast DNA sequence for mother plant with restriction enzymes using DNAMAN software.




Figure 3. Digestion pattern of chloroplast DNA sequence for F3 plantlets with restriction enzymes using DNAMAN software.




Figure 4. Restriction fragment patterns of cpDNA by NdeI. Lane M: 100 bp ladder. Lane C: Mother plant. Lane F1a-F1e: First generation replicates. Lane F2a-F2e: Second generation replicates. Lane F3a-F3e: Third generation replicates.

amplified by PCR with 117 restriction enzymes available in this software. The restriction patterns were then printed to detect the differences between the mother plants (Acsad 65), F₁, F₂, and F₃ plantlets.

DNA fragments amplified by PCR were digested with the following four restriction enzymes: NdeI, EcoRI, BamHI, and SacI. The restriction reactions were performed in 20 µl total volume that contained: 1 µl of amplification product, 2 µl of 10X restriction buffer, 10 U restriction enzyme, 1 µl BSA, and doubled distilled water to the final volume. After incubation at 37°C for 24 h, restriction fragments were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis in 1.5% agarose gels and detected by staining with ethidium bromide.

RESULTS

The region of the chloroplast genome bounded to *rbcL* and *psaI* primers was successfully amplified by PCR reaction. The size of the amplified fragments for the mother plant, F₁, F₂, and F₃ plantlets was approximately 1000 bp; these fragments were sequenced (Figure 1, shows the sequence of mother plant and F₃) and digitised with DNAMAN software by 117 restriction enzymes. One hundred and eight (108) of these enzymes revealed no restriction sites within the sequenced fragments, while 9 of them showed restriction sites. The digestion patterns of the mother plant and F₃ are shown in Figures 2 and 3. To confirm these findings, restriction digestion reactions for the PCR products of the cpDNA for mother plant, F₁, F₂, and F₃ were conducted in the laboratory with four available restriction enzymes (EcoRI, BamHI, SacI, and NdeI). No restriction sites were found for EcoRI, BamHI, and SacI, while one restriction site observed for NdeI resulted in two bands with approximately 650 and 350 bp (Figure 4); these results are in agreement with the digestion patterns represented in Figures 2 and 3 for NdeI.

The mitochondrial *nad1* exon B and *nad1* exon C primers are anchored to the b and c exons; these primers amplify a fragment of approximately 1550 bp. The fragments of the mother plant, F₁, F₂, and F₃ plantlets were sequenced (Figure 5, shows the sequence of mother plant and F₃) and digitised with DNAMAN software by 117 restriction enzymes. Eighty-one (81) of

these enzymes revealed no restriction sites within the sequenced fragments, while 36 of them showed restriction sites. The digestion patterns of the mother plant and F₃ are shown in Figures 6 and 7. To confirm these findings, restriction digestion reactions for the PCR products amplified from mtDNA were digested in the laboratory with four available restriction enzymes (EcoRI, BamHI, SacI, and NdeI). No restriction sites were found in this region for EcoRI, BamHI, and NdeI; one restriction site observed for SacI resulted in two bands with approximately 1220 and 330 bp. These results are in agreement with the digestion patterns represented in Figures 6 and 7 for SacI.

DISCUSSION

The genetic information present in the plant cpDNA and mtDNA is of great interest in molecular genetics studies. Therefore, by amplification and direct sequencing of the non-coding sequence of these regions, or simply by using a variety of restriction enzymes to explore the polymorphism, this information can be used both for genetic investigation. This research was carried out in order to determine the usefulness of restriction site analysis of a PCR amplified products of cpDNA and mtDNA for measuring the stability of wheat plantlets produced via anther culture technique. For the examined genetic material, no visually detectable changes were observed among the chloroplast and mitochondrial PCR products when comparing the patterns of the mother plant (Acsad 65) to those of doubled haploid plantlets (F₁, F₂, and F₃) following separation on agarose gel. This is in agreement with the results of Rode et al. (1985) who mentioned that no noticeable difference can be detected between doubled haploid lines and parental line at the level of ctDNA and mtDNA organization; that is *in vitro* culture by itself does not systematically generate a cytoplasmic variation in plant cells.

The PCR fragment amplified with *rbcL* and *psaI* primers was 1000 bp in size; this size was obtained from each of the mother plant, F₁, F₂ and F₃ plantlets. When the

```

Acsad_65 ..CCAGGATATA.CAATCGAGCT..ATTCTATTGTGCGCC 35
F3      ...-c-ac---cag-----t----- 34

Acsad_65 TTGTGAGCACGTTTGGATCCGCGAAGGCAATCGCTCGGAT 75
F3      ----- 74

Acsad_65 CTTCCCC.TAACCCAACCCGGAACGGACCGGAGGGAACC 114
F3      ----- 113

Acsad_65 GCAGCATGAGGAATGTCCGCGTCTCGTCGCAAGGCTCATT 154
F3      ----- 153

Acsad_65 TTGAGTTTTGGGTCATAGGGCGGGCAGTGCAGTCCGGGGC 194
F3      ----- 193

Acsad_65 ACAAGGGTCTGTACTACCCAGGTGCGAAGAACCCCGGA 234
F3      ----- 233

Acsad_65 GGCGACTGCAATGAGCAAAAATGTCACTCACCGGCCTAAA 274
F3      ----- 273

Acsad_65 CGACGAGCAAACACTCGAACGTGAGAGCAAGGGATCACCC 314
F3      ----- 313

Acsad_65 AACGAATGGACGAGCTCCAAGGAGGGAGGAGAGGGGAGG 354
F3      ----- 353

Acsad_65 CAAGAACCATGCTTTCAGAGAAGTGGCGGTCCGAATCCAC 394
F3      ----- 393

Acsad_65 TCTGACAAAATAAAATAACAGACTAAGCCGTGCCGTAAGG 434
F3      ----- 433

Acsad_65 GGGGCATTCTCCACACGGGACGGGGCCAAGGCCTTCATGT 474
F3      ----- 473

Acsad_65 ATGGGTGACAGATCGGCCATAGGAGTACTCCGGGATATAC 514
F3      ----- 513

Acsad_65 ACCAGGGCAACTAATGTGCGAGCATACGATGATGCCGCCCG 554
F3      ----- 553

Acsad_65 TTTTCATTTCTGTAAGTCCCCGGCAGAGGAAAGGGCTGT 594
F3      ----- 593

Acsad_65 AGGTGATGGCGGTTCTGCTTCTTAGGGCGATGAAATCGT 634
F3      ----- 633

Acsad_65 TCCTATGGGATCGTGCGTGGCAGCTGGTATAGATGATGAT 674
F3      ----- 673

Acsad_65 GAAAGGCGGGCCGCTTGAACCGGGACCTATTCTCATAATA 714
F3      ----- 713

Acsad_65 GGCAGCAAGCAAAGCTAAATAGGAAAGGGGGCGACTGATG 754
F3      ----- 753

Acsad_65 ACTGCCTTTTTCTGTCAGAAATCAAAAAGGGTGAATGTGG 794
F3      ----- 793

Acsad_65 AGCTAATATGGCTGG.CTACAAGTATAGCCAAAGAAAGAT 833
F3      ----- 832

```

Figure 5. Alignment of mitochondrial DNA sequence between mother plants and F3 plantlets. F1 and F2 plantlets are not shown.

restriction enzyme *Nde*I was used to digest the 1000 bp fragment, the restriction pattern of cpDNA shows that this enzyme had a single restriction site within this fragment resulted in 2 bands with approximately 350 bp and 650 bp. This is in agreement with Unlu and Sumer (2005) and

Kucuk et al. (2006) who clarified that region of chloroplast genome bounded by *rbcL* and *psaI* genes was amplified by PCR reaction and produced 1000 bp with *T. aestivum*.

The PCR fragment amplified from mitochondrial genome by the primers nad1 exon B and nad1 exon C

```

Acsad_65 GAGACGAGACGGACTGTCAGAGGACGCAGCGGGACTACCA 873
F3 ----- 872

Acsad_65 GGGGAAAG...CCC GCCCCCGCTAGCTAA.CATAGATATC 909
F3 ----- 908

Acsad_65 TATTCT.CGGTGCGCATATTCGAG.ATTTAGAATCTCTTT 947
F3 ----- 946

Acsad_65 CC.GACCAGGCCAGGCCGAATCGGGCCACCACTTGGGATG 986
F3 ----- 985

Acsad_65 GG.AATGGCTCAGCCACATGCATCATTTGATGAAAGCAC 1025
F3 ----- 1024

Acsad_65 TCCAGTCCAGTCGCCTCCGATCAGTGGCTTGCAACCACC 1065
F3 ----- 1064

Acsad_65 GGACCGTAGCTCCTCACCAAATGCCCTGCGTTGGGGCAA 1105
F3 ----- 1104

Acsad_65 CACAGCACATGACTAGTTGCTCAAGGACCACACCCTCTC 1145
F3 ----- 1144

Acsad_65 GAGAGCAGGATGCCGGCCGAGATGGAGCGCCAACCTAGAC 1185
F3 ----- 1184

Acsad_65 TTTCTTGGGCTTGCCTTGCCCCAACACTAAATAAAGGG 1225
F3 ----- 1224

Acsad_65 CGGGCGCCGAAAAGGAAGCAGTGACGCCTTTTCGACGAAA 1265
F3 ----- 1264

Acsad_65 GCTTAGCTTGGTAGGCGCAGCTTACTCACCTACTCCCTT 1305
F3 ----- 1304

Acsad_65 AGACAATGCAATGCTCTGAACACGAAAGTTTGACAGTTCAG 1345
F3 ----- 1344

Acsad_65 CCCTTCTCCCATGCAGAGTCGCAGGCAGCGCCTCGGAT 1385
F3 ----- 1384

Acsad_65 AAAAGCACGGACGAGCCACATGCAGGGAAACTTGACCGTG 1425
F3 ----- 1424

Acsad_65 TGGTTCTGGCCGGGACCCCGGTATACTGTACTAATATGT 1465
F3 ----- 1464

Acsad_65 GTAGGTCCCTGTAATTCGAGTGAGATTGCATGGCGCAA 1505
F3 ----- 1504

Acsad_65 AGCAGATATGGTCCTG.ATTCCCTGTGCCCGTCATAATC 1544
F3 -----g-t--cc----t-----ttgt--- 1544

Acsad_65 C.TCGGGGGG 1553
F3 ta---t-- 1553
    
```

Figure 5. Contd.




Figure 6. Digestion pattern of mitochondrial DNA sequence for mother plant with restriction enzymes using DNAMAN software.




Figure 7. Digestion pattern of mitochondrial DNA sequence for F3 plantlets with restriction enzymes using DNAMAN software

was 1550 bp in size in the mother plant and also in the three plantlets generations. When using *SacI* to digest this fragment, a single restriction site for *SacI* existed, this resulted in two bands of 1220 bp and 330 bp. This is in agreement with Sun (2002) who stated that mitochondrial *nad1b* and *nad1c* primers amplify a fragment of 1600 bp in *Elymus* species. Sequence analysis revealed no variations within the sequences of the amplified fragments of both cpDNA and mtDNA. These findings indicate that F_1 , F_2 , and F_3 plantlets produced from anther culture remain stable and their genetic material showed no modifications compared to the mother plant.

In conclusion, the present study was based on PCR-RFLP analysis of two cpDNA fragments, and one mitochondrial *nad* gene DNA fragment. They have been shown to be informative for the analysis of stability of wheat plantlets generated by anther culture. Four restriction enzymes were used to digest these regions; one restriction site was found for each of *NdeI* with cpDNA and *SacI* with mtDNA. The cpDNA and mtDNA of wheat remain stable after anther culture, and the high rate of multiplication in callus stage did not cause notable changes within their DNA sequences. Anther culture can be used as an efficient method for the propagation of large number of wheat plantlets that are typical to their parent in their cpDNA and mtDNA. Several coding and noncoding regions within cpDNA and mtDNA of wheat plantlets need to be studied to confirm their genetic stability; also, it is important to test the stability of several

coding and noncoding regions within the nuclear genome of wheat plants after anther culture propagation. *In vitro* anther culture by itself does not involve systematically cytoplasmic variability, it can thus be concluded that the induction of cytoplasmic variability consecutive to *in vitro* anther cultures is not a general phenomenon. Never the less, plant cell culture by itself may sometimes generate genetic variability in cultured sub-clones as well as in regenerated plantlets; therefore, further studies are needed to confirm our findings.

REFERENCES

- Amane M, Ouazzani N, Lumanet R, Debain C (2000). Chloroplast-DNA variation in the wild and cultivated olives (*Olea europaea* L.) of Morocco. *Euphytica*, 116: 59-64.
- Baenziger PS, DePauw RM (2009). Wheat breeding: Procedures and strategies. In: B. F. Carver (ed.) *Wheat: Sci. Trade*. Wiley-Blackwell Publishing, Ames, IA.
- Basu SK, Datta M, Sharma M, Kumar A (2011). Haploid production technology in wheat and some selected higher plants. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.* 5(9): 1087-1093.
- Basu SK, Eudes F, Kovalchuk I (2010). Role of *recA/RAD51* gene family in homologous recombination repair and genetic engineering of transgenic plants. In: Kumar A, Sopory S (eds). *Applications of plant biotechnology: In vitro propagation, plant transformation and secondary metabolite production*. I. K. International Publishing House Pvt Ltd. New Delhi, India. Chapter 12. pp. 231-255.
- Ceoloni C, Jauhar PP (2006). Chromosome engineering of the durum wheat genome: Strategies and applications of potential breeding value. In: Singh RJ, Jauhar PP (eds.) *Genetic resources, chromosome engineering, and crop improvement*. CRC Press/Taylor

- and Francis, Boca Raton, FL. 2: Cereals, pp. 27-59.
- Chawla HS (2002). Introduction to plant biotechnology. 2nd edition. Sci. Publishers, Inc., UK.
- Cooper GM (2000). The Cell- A molecular Approach, 2nd edn. Sinauer Assoc Inc Sunderland.
- Cuthbert JL, Somers DJ, Brûlé-Babel AL, Brown PD, Crow GH (2008). Molecular mapping of quantitative trait loci for yield and yield components in spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 117: 595-608.
- Folling L, Olesen A (2002). Transformation of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) microspore-derived callus and microspores by particle bombardment. *Plant Cell Rep.*, 20: 629-636.
- Gerashchenkov G, Gorbunova V, Zarianova L, Rozhnova N, Bal V (2000). RAPD_PCR analysis of the variability of spring common wheat cultivar genomes and their androclinal double haploid form. *Genetika*, 36 (8): 1081-1087.
- Gomez-Pando LR, Jimenez-Davalos J, Eguiluz-de la Barra A, Aguilar-Castellanos E, Falconí-Palomino J, Ibañez-Tremolada M, Varela M, Lorenzo JC (2009). Field performance of new *in vitro* androgenesis-derived double haploids of barley. *Euphytica*, 166: 269-276.
- Guzy-Wróbelska J, Szarejko I (2003). Molecular and agronomic evaluation of wheat doubled haploid lines obtained through maize pollination and anther culture methods. *Plant Breed.* 122: 305-313.
- Hassawi DS, Qrunfleh I, Dradkah N (2005). Production of doubled haploids from some Jordanian wheat cultivars via anther culture technique. *J. Food Agric. Environ.* 3(1): 161-164.
- Humphreys DG, Townley-Smith TF, Czarnecki E, Lukow OM, Fofana B, Gilbert JA, McCallum BD, Fetch Jr. TG, Menzies JG (2007a). Kanata hard white spring wheat. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 87: 879-882.
- Humphreys DG, Townley-Smith TF, Czarnecki E, Lukow O, McCallum B, Fetch T, Gilbert J, Menzies J (2007b). Snowbird hard white spring wheat. *Can J Plant Sci.* 87: 301-305.
- Kemble RJ, Flavell RB, Brettell RIS (1982). Mitochondrial DNA analyses of fertile and sterile maize plants derived from tissue culture with the Texas male sterile cytoplasm. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 62: 213-217.
- Keresa S, Baric M, Sarcevic H, Marchetti S, Dresner G (2000). Callus induction and plant regeneration from immature and mature embryos of winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes. *Plant Breeding Sustaining the Future. XVIth EUCARPIA congress*, Edinburgh, Scotland.
- Khan IA, Awan FS, Ahmad A, Khan AA (2004). A Modified mini-prep method for economical and rapid extraction of genomic DNA in plants. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* 22: 89a-89e.
- Kiviharju E, Moisaner S, Laurila J (2005). Improved green plant regeneration rates from oat anther culture and the agronomic performance of some DH lines. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* 81: 1-9.
- Kucuk O, Selma GO, Sumer S (2006). RFLP of analyses of an intergenic spacer region of chloroplast DNA in some wild wheat species. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 5(22): 2058-2061.
- Kyung-Moon K, Baenziger SP (2005). A simple wheat haploid and doubled haploid production system using anther culture. *In vitro Cell. Dev. Biol. Plant*, 41(1): 22-27.
- Leroy X, Leon K, Hily J, Chaumeil P, Branchard M (2001). Detection of *in vitro* culture induced instability through Inter Simple Sequence Repeat Analysis. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 102 (6/7): 885-891.
- Leroy X, Leon K, Branchard M (2000). Plant genomic instability detected by microsatellite primers. *Electron. J. Biotechnol.* 3: 140-148.
- Liu W, Zheng MY, Konzak CF (2002). Improving green plant production via isolated microspore culture in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Plant Cell Rep.* 20: 821-824.
- Martin W, Rujan T, Richly E, Hansen A, Cornelsen S, Lins T, Leister D, Stoebe B, Hasegawa M, Penny D (2002). Evolutionary analysis of Arabidopsis, cyanobacterial, and chloroplast genomes reveals plastid phylogeny and thousands of cyanobacterial genes in the nucleus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 99: 12246-12251.
- Matyas G, Sperisen C (2001). Chloroplast DNA polymorphisms provide evidence for postglacial re-colonisation of Oaks (*Quercus spp.*) across the Swiss Alps. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 102: 12-20.
- Mujeeb-Kazi A (2005). Wide crosses for durum wheat improvement. In: Roy C, Nachit MN, DiFonzo N, Araus JL, Pfeiffer WH, Slafer GA (eds) Durum wheat breeding: current approaches and future strategies. Haworth Press, Inc. pp. 703-743.
- Muñoz-Amatriáin M, Castillo AM, Chen XW, Cistué L, Vallés MP (2008). Identification and validation of QTLs for green plant percentage in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) anther culture. *Mol. Breed.* 22: 119-129.
- Ogihara Y, Yamazaki Y, Murai K, Kanno A, Terachi T, Shiina T, Miyashita N, Nasuda S, Nakamura C, Mori N, Takumi S, Murata M, Futo S, Tsunewaki K (2005). Structural dynamics of cereal mitochondrial genomes as revealed by complete nucleotide sequencing of the wheat mitochondrial genome. *Nucleic Acid Res.* 33(19): 6235-6250.
- Ogihara Y, Isono K, Kojima T, Endo A, Hanaoka M, Shiina T, Terachi T, Utsugi S, Murata M, Mori N, Takumi S, Ikeo K, Gojobori T, Murai R, Murai K, Matsuoka Y, Ohnishi Y, Tajiri H, Tsunewaki K (2002). Structural features of a wheat plastome as revealed by complete sequencing of chloroplast DNA. *Mol. Genet. Gen.* 266: 740-746.
- Ogihara Y, Terachi T, Sasakuma T (1992). Structural analysis of length mutations in a hot-spot region of wheat chloroplast DNAs. *Curr. Genet.* 22: 251-258.
- Ramos LCS, Camargo CEO, Ferreira Filho AWP, Yokoo EY, Castro JL, Junior AP, Silva MR (2000). Dihaploid wheat lines developed via anther culture. *Sci Agric.* 57(1): 177-183.
- Rode A, Hartmann C, Dron M, Picardand E, Ouetier F (1985). Organelle genome stability in anther-derived doubled haploids of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L., cv. 'Moisson'). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 71(2): 320-324.
- Siculella L, Palmer JD (1988). Physical and gene organization of mitochondrial DNA in fertile and male sterile sunflower CMS-associated alterations in structure and transcription of the *atpA* gene. *Nucleic Acid Res* 16: 3787-3799.
- Smith RH (2000). Plant tissue culture, techniques and experiments. 2nd edn. Academic Press.
- Sun GL (2002). Interspecific polymorphism at non-coding regions of chloroplast, mitochondrial DNA and rRNA IGS region in *Elymus* species. *Hereditas*, 137:119-124.
- Thomas WTB, Forster BP, Gertsson B (2003). Doubled haploids in breeding. In: Maluszynski M, Kasha KJ, Forster BP, Szarejko I (eds) Doubled haploid production in crop plants: A manual. Kluwer Academic Publishers. Dordrecht, The Netherlands. pp. 337-349.
- Touraev A, Forster BP, Jain SM (eds) (2009). Advances in haploid production in higher plants. Springer Science Business Media B.V., The Netherlands. pp. 1-208.
- Unlu S, Sumer S (2005). PCR-based RFLP analysis of an intergenic spacer region in cpDNA of some wild wheat species. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 148: 305-310.
- Wang L, Yen Y, (2005). Molecular Basis of Somaclonal Variation in Wheat. *Mol. Plant Breed.* 3: 857-863.
- Yasmin S, Khan IA, Khatri A, Seema N, Shah Nizamani G, Arain, MA (2009). *In vitro* plant regeneration in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Pak. J. Bot.* 41(6): 2869-2876.