Full Length Research Paper

# Removal of nitrogen from anaerobically digested swine wastewater using an anoxic/oxic (A/O) process complemented with a sulfur-packed biofilter

# Gurung Anup, Kang Woo-Chang and Oh Sang-Eun\*

Department of Biological Environment, Kangwon National University (KNU), 192-1 Hyoja-dong, Chuncheon, Gangwondo 200-701, South Korea.

Accepted 17 December, 2010

A modified lab-scale anoxic/oxic process was designed incorporating an upflow sulfur-packed biofilter for the treatment of anaerobically digested swine wastewater. In this study, chemical oxygen demand (COD),  $NH_4^+$ -N and  $NO_x^-$ -N removal efficiencies were investigated. The experimental results showed that by increasing the internal recycle ratio from 1 to 3, the overall performance of the system improved. Organics removal efficiency was found to be fairly high and stable and the average total chemical oxygen demand (TCOD) removal efficiency ranged from 79 to 90%. This process removed up to 98% of the total  $NH_4^+$ -N from the nitrification reactor with proper pH control using excess alkalinity and a recycle ratio of 3. The average removal efficiency of  $NO_x^-$ -N in the anoxic reactor was above 80% with the poor effluent quality (25 mg/l). This high concentration of  $NO_x^-$ -N in the effluent of the anoxic reactor was removed by the sulfur-packed biofilter with the stable effluent concentrations between 0.4 and 4 mg/l. This result indicates that the sulfur-packed biofilter would be used as an efficient option for denitrification by autotrophic denitrifiers during swine wastewater treatment.

**Key words:** Biological nitrogen removal, nitrification, denitrification, chemical oxygen demand (COD), intermittent aeration, sulfur-packed bed reactor, swine wastewater, anoxic-oxic process, internal recycle.

# INTRODUCTION

Swine wastewater contains high amounts of organic matter and nutrients, and hence it is widely applied as fertilizer for increasing crop productivity (Deng et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2006). Generally, swine wastewater is treated anaerobically in order to reduce the level of pollutants and to recover methane gas (An et al., 2007; Deng et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2008). However, the inappropriate

Abbreviations: BNR, Biological nitrogen removal; AOB, ammonia oxidizing bacteria; NOB, nitrite oxidizing bacteria; SBR, sequencing batch reactor; MBR, membrane bioreactor; A/O, anoxic/oxic; IFAS, integrated fixed film activated sludge; SND, simultaneous nitrification and denitrification; PU, polyurethane; PVA, poly vinyl alcohol; HRT, hydraulic retention time; COD, chemical oxygen demand; TCOD, total chemical oxygen demand; SCOD, soluble chemical oxygen demand. discharge of swine wastewater containing excess inorganic nitrogen ( $NH_4^+$ -N,  $NO_2^-$ -N and  $NO_3^-$ -N) into natural waters causes the overgrowth of algae that ultimately promotes eutrophication of lakes and streams (Deng et al., 2009; El-Hoz and Apperley, 1996; Lim et al., 2009; Sumino et al., 2006). Therefore, post-treatment is necessary in order to remove nitrogen as well as organic matter from swine wastewater (Bernet and Beline, 2009; Bortone, 2009; Obaja et al., 2003; Waki et al., 2008).

Biological nitrification-denitrification is the most studied and applied biological nitrogen removal (BNR) method used to remove ammonium from swine wastewater (Cooper et al., 1994; Sliekers et al., 2002). Nitrification process consists of two steps that convert ammonium into nitrate by autotrophic bacteria under aerobic conditions (Lim et al., 2009). In the first step, ammonium is oxidized into nitrite by ammonia oxidizing bacteria (AOB) and converted to nitrate in the second step by nitrite oxidizing bacteria (NOB) (Aslan and Dahab, 2008). Denitrification subsequently converts nitrate into nitrogen

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: ohsangeun@kangwon.ac.kr. Tel: +82-33-250-6449. Fax: +82-33-241-6640.

Parameters	Range of values	Average value
TCOD (mg/l)	2152 - 3856	2878
SCOD (mg/l)	1900 - 3680	2632
NH4 <sup>+</sup> -N (mg/l)	400 - 700	522
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N (mg/l)	0.89 - 2.1	1.46
PO <sub>4</sub> P (mg/l)	2.23 - 7.24	4.6
SO <sub>4</sub> S (mg/l)	20.9 - 39.1	31.1
pH	8.04 - 8.86	8.49
Alkalinity (mg/l as CaCO3)	1456 - 2214	1912

Table 1. Characteristics of swine wastewater used in this study.

gas under anoxic conditions using organic matter as a source of carbon and also the electron donor (Shin et al., 2008; Sumino et al., 2006). The biological nitrificationdenitrification method is widely used in the sequencing batch reactor (SBR) (Obaja et al., 2003), membrane bioreactor (MBR) (Kim et al., 2008) and the anoxic/oxic (A/O) process (Fu et al., 2009) to remove nitrogen from wastewater. The A/O process is considered an effective BNR method (Fu et al., 2009) with high ammonia removal efficiency, in which the recirculation of mixed liquid containing NO<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup> -N (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> -N) from the oxic reactor is necessary as the denitrifying microbes utilize the nitrite or nitrate in the anoxic reactor as the electron acceptor to oxidize the organic matter (Cheng et al., 1996; Fu et al., 2009).

The A/O process helps to reduce the competition between nitrifiers and heterotrophs in the oxic zone as most of the organic material is consumed in the anoxic zone (Fu et al., 2009). However, the A/O process is guite expensive as the circulation of liquid requires additional energy and external carbon source must be added to the anoxic reactor if the swine wastewater does not contain enough organic matter to fully denitrify (Cervantes et al., 2001; Zhu et al., 2009). Therefore, further study of the A/O process is needed. Recently, autotrophic denitrifycation using elemental sulfur or reduced-sulfur compounds (S,  $S^{2-}$ ,  $S_2O_3^{2-}$ ,  $S_2O_6^{2-}$  and  $SO_3^{2-}$ ) as the electron donor has shown promise as an alternative to heterotrophic denitrification (Batchelor and Lawrence, 1978; Jang et al., 2005). Sulfur based autotrophic denitrification can reduce the operational cost significantly because sulfur is cheaper than other organic carbon sources (Lee et al., 2001).

In this study, we designed a modified lab-scale A/O process that is complemented with a sulfur-packed bed reactor for denitrification and an integrated fixed film activated sludge (IFAS) system for nitrification. The objective was to study the performance of a laboratory scale A/O system under various operating conditions. The anoxic reactor is intermittently aerated and hence the possibility of simultaneous nitrification and denitrification (SND) was evaluated in the anoxic reactor. This study also evaluates the possibility of enhancing the biological performance, especially nitrogen removal by the sulfur-packed biofilter.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Characteristics of feedstock solution

Anaerobically digested swine wastewater was collected from a local pig farm in Anseong, Gyeonggi Province, Korea. The wastewater was diluted 1:10 with tap water and suspended solids were filtered using a 1 mm diameter sieve. Characteristics of these diluted wastewaters are given in Table 1.

#### Lab-scale A/O process set-up

The schematic diagram of the modified lab-scale A/O process used in this study is shown in Figure 1. The lab-scale A/O system consisted of an anoxic reactor for heterotrophic denitrification complemented with an upflow anoxic sulfur-packed biofilter for autotrophic denitrification and an aerobic rectangular tank for nitrification. The effective working volume of the anoxic reactor, sulfur-packed biofilter and the nitrification reactor were 57, 8 and 47 L, respectively. The anoxic reactor was intermittently aerated (MASTER; A/S: 02-764-5556) (1 h aerating and 1 h non-aerating) at a flow rate of 2 L air/min so that SND will occur in the single reactor. The upflow sulfur-based biofilter was 90% packed with sulfur particles (2 to 4 mm in diameter).

The nitrification reactor was divided into three equal-sized parts to create a plug-flow system. High levels of organic matter and solids in the swine wastewater led to the wash-out of the nitrifying bacteria due to their slow growth and low reaction rate in comparison to heterotrophs (Vanotti and Hunt, 2000). In order to achieve good BNR efficiency, nitrifying bacteria must be able to oxidize ammonium ions completely. Therefore, fixed-film media were integrated into each compartment to support fixed biofilm growth and enhance nitrification. Two biocube (a free floating sponge media) namely polyurethane (PU) and poly vinyl alcohol (PVA) were used for spontaneous attachment of nitrifying bacteria (AOB and NOB) in the medium. The nitrification tank was further supplemented with PL control to keep the pH within the range of 7 and 8.5 using 0.1 N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and 1 N NaHCO<sub>3</sub>.

#### **Reactor operating conditions**

The seed sludge for the nitrification reactor was taken from the Hongcheon wastewater treatment plant, Gangwon Province, South Korea. The hydraulic retention time (HRT) was fixed at 15 days throughout the entire study. Experiments were designed to evaluate BNR with variable nitrogen loading using different internal recycle flow rates. The variable operating parameter was the internal recycle ratio. The internal recycle ratio, R, can be defined as the ratio between the recirculation of nitrified liquid recycle flow rate ( $Q_R$ ) and the influent wastewater flow rate ( $Q_{IN}$ ):



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the lab-scale A/O process used in this study.

Table 2. The operational parameters of the AO process.

Parameters	Phase I	Phase II	Phase III
Operating time (days)	1 - 30	31 - 60	61 - 90
Nitrate recycle ratio (nitrification reactor $\rightarrow$ anoxic reactor) <sup>a</sup>	1	2	3

<sup>a</sup>Based on influent flow rate ( $Q_B = 3.7, 7.4$  and 11.1 L/day).

$$\mathbf{R} = \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{R}}}{\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{IN}}} \tag{1}$$

With regard to the internal recycle flow  $(Q_R)$ , three different rates: 1, 2 and 3 with respect to the influent flow rate  $(Q_{IN})$  were tested throughout the experiment (Table 2). The influent flow rate was maintained at 3.7 L/day.

#### Analytical methods

Samples were taken from the influent to the lab-scale A/O plant, the anoxic reactor, the sulfur-packed biofilter and the mixed liquor in each compartment of the nitrification reactor. The samples were analyzed for different parameters such as temperature, pH, alkalinity (as the equivalent of CaCO<sub>3</sub>), chemical oxygen demand (COD), concentration of NH4<sup>+</sup>-N, nitrate (NO3<sup>-</sup>-N) and nitrite (NO2<sup>-</sup>-N). The pH was measured using a portable pH meter (Orion model 410A, Boston, USA). Total chemical oxygen demand (TCOD), soluble chemical oxygen demand (SCOD) and alkalinity were determined in accordance with standard methods (APHA, 1998). TCOD and SCOD were measured by a close reflux digestion and a titrimetric method. The liquid samples were filtered through low protein binding, non-pyrogenic membrane filters (Pall Corporation, USA) with 0.45 µm pore size prior to SCOD analysis. The concentration of NH4+-N was measured by an ammonia-gas sensing electrode (Orion model 9512) connected to a multimeter (Orion 5 Star Bechtop). The concentrations of NO3-N and NO2-N were measured by ion chromatography with suspended conductivity detention using an ion chromatograph (model DX-120, Dionex Corporation, Sunnyvale, Cal.) equipped with an IonPack® AG14 guard column (4 × 50 mm), and IonPack®AG14 analytical column (4 × 250 mm), a Dionex ASRS-II suppressor, a CDM-3 conductivity detector and an AS40 automated sampler. The eluent utilized was a mixture of 3.5 mM Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and 1.0 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and the flow rate was 1.00 ml/min. Liquid samples were filtered through sterile, non-pyrogenic hydrophilic membrane filters (Sartorius, Germany) with 0.20  $\mu$ m pore size prior to chromatographic analysis. DO was measured by DO meter SG6 (SevenGo<sup>TM</sup>Mettler Toledo AG, 8603 Schwerzenbach, Switzerland).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Organic material removal

The high concentration of the COD in the livestock wastewater makes it difficult to carry out biological treatment as it contains a large amount of non-biodegradable matter which cannot be easily broken down (Kim et al., 2008). Figure 2 presents the performance of the TCOD removal throughout the experiment. In the case of pre-denitrification, most of the organic material is consumed in the anoxic zone for denitrification and the remaining organic material will eventually be degraded aerobically in the nitrification reactor (Fu et al., 2009). The average TCOD



**Figure 2**. TCOD concentrations in the influent, anoxic and oxic reactors, and the TCOD removal efficiency during the operation.

removal efficiency was 85% when the average organic loading rate was 0.19 kg  $COD/m^3/d$ . This value is similar to a previous study carried out by Rim and Han (2000) who observed an average TCOD removal rate of 80 to 95% when the organic loading rate was maintained in the range of 0.4 to 3.1 kg  $COD/m^3/d$ .

Average TCOD removals were 79, 90 and 90% with effluent concentrations of  $611 \pm 156$ ,  $309 \pm 39$  and  $260 \pm 14$  mg/l during phases I, II and III, respectively. It was found that with increasing R value, the COD removal efficiency increased by 10% in the later phases (II and III). It can be concluded that the A/O system was very consistent in maintaining a fairly high and stable COD removal throughout the experimental period.

# NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N, NO<sub>2</sub> -N, and NO<sub>3</sub> -N removal

The nitrification process was observed by measuring the concentrations of  $NH_4^+$ -N,  $NO_2^-$ -N and  $NO_3^-$ -N in the effluent of the nitrification reactor. Figure 3 illustrates the performance of the A/O process in removing  $NH_4^+$ -N from the digested swine wastewater under various R.

During the nitrification process, the oxidation of  $NH_4^+$ -N releases hydrogen ions ( $H^+$ ) that decreases the pH in the nitrification reactor and could inhibit the nitrification efficiency (An et al., 2007; Vanotti and Hunt, 2000). Lack of alkalinity in the nitrification reactor could lead to poor  $NH_4+-N$  removal efficiency as alkalinity is consumed during nitrification (Yoo et al., 1999). In order to overcome this effect, sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO<sub>3</sub>) as an external source of alkalinity was added throughout the study.

Similarly, the temperature was maintained in the range of 20 to 25 °C using two heating coils as temperature is another critical environmental factor that could significantly impact the activity of nitrifying bacteria in the nitrification reactor (Zhang et al., 2009). Zhang et al. (2009) observed that the highest ammonium oxidation rate was obtained at temperature of 31 °C (4.7 mg NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N/L-h) and the lowest value was observed at a temperature of 15 °C (2.1 mg NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N/L-h).

During the phase I, the average NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N removal efficiency was 86% with the highest residual effluent concentration of 130 mg/l from the nitrification reactor. The optimum pH condition for nitrifying bacteria is 7.5 to 8.6 (Yoo et al., 1999). At phase I, the average pH value was  $6.9 \pm 0.55$  (Figure 4). So, the poor effluent quality in the nitrification reactor could be explained by the low pH value which was lower than the optimum pH value for nitrification. Excess alkalinity was supplied to the nitrifycation reactor by maintaining the alkalinity/NH4+-N ratio at 10:1. During phases II and III, the NH4<sup>+</sup>-N removal efficiencies increased to 96 and 98% with the effluent NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N concentrations of 22 and 10 mg/l, respectively. In the later two phases (II and III), the pH values were within the optimum pH range, that is,  $8.3 \pm 0.3$  and  $7.75 \pm 0.12$ (Figure 4) in which nitrifying bacteria can perform optimally.

The anoxic reactor was intermittently aerated so that SND could take place. The average DO concentration during aeration and non-aeration was 2.5 and 0.95 mg  $O_2/I$ , respectively. Figure 5 shows the concentration of  $NO_x$ -N in the anoxic and oxic reactors and the  $NO_x$ -N removal efficiency throughout the operating period. In



**Figure 3.** Variation of  $NH_4^+$ -N concentration in the influent, anoxic and oxic reactors, and the  $NH_4^+$ -N removal efficiency during the A/O process.



Figure 4. Variation of pH values in the anoxic and oxic reactors.

phase I, high concentration of  $NO_2$  -N accumulated in the effluent of the nitrification reactor along with  $NO_3$  -N. However, the  $NO_2$  -N concentration gradually decreased in later phases.  $NO_2$  -N accumulates when DO levels become low in the nitrification reactor. In some circumstances, the activity of *Nitrobactor* is inhibited even at the DO concentration of 7 mg/l (Munch et al., 1996). Average  $NO_x$  -N removal efficiencies of 73, 84, and 86% were obtained at phases I, II and III, respectively.

The highest  $NO_x$ -N removal efficiency of 86% was observed during phase III. The main reason for this result is that an increase in the R value increases the  $NO_x$ -N load supplied to the anoxic reactor which consequently increases the denitrifying activity in the denitrifying reactor. However, the effluent of the anoxic reactor contained relatively high concentration of  $NO_x$ -N (25 mg/l).



**Figure 5.**  $NO_2^-N$  and  $NO_3^-N$  concentrations in the anoxic and oxic reactors and  $NO_x^-N$  removal efficiency during the operation.



**Figure 6.**  $NO_x$  - N concentrations in the anoxic reactor, the sulfur-packed biofilter, and the  $NO_x$  - N removal efficiency in the sulfur-packed biofilter.

This could be due to the high DO levels in the anoxic reactor (0.95 mg/l non-aeration and 2.5 mg/l aeration). The denitrification efficiency increases initially with R even at a higher DO concentrations, but further increases in R shows an inhibitory effect on denitrification (Tan and Ng, 2008). An R value greater than 5 is not recommended in the A/O process (Baeza et al., 2004). The

average  $NO_x$ -N removal efficiency during the whole experiment was found to be 81% with relatively poor effluent quality with respect to  $NO_x$ -N.

The high NO<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup>N concentration in the effluent of the anoxic reactor was removed through a sulfur-packed biofilter. Autotrophic denitrification is an alternative to heterotrophic denitrification using *Thiobacillus* denitrifi-

**Table 3.** Mass balance of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N in the anoxic reactor.

Anoxic reactor	Phase I	Phase II	Phase III
Measured NH4 <sup>+</sup> -N (g/l)	0.252	0.232	0.112
Calculated NH4 <sup>+</sup> -N (g/l)	0.261	0.224	0.140

cations (Koenig and Liu, 2001). This bacterium can reduce nitrate to nitrogen gas by oxidizing either elemental or reduced sulfur compounds. The stoichiometric reaction using elemental sulfur as the electron donor is described by the following equation (Batchelor and Lawrence, 1978):

NO3<sup>+</sup> + 1.10S + 0.40CO2 + 0.76H20 + 0.08NH4<sup>+</sup> Thiobacillus denitrificans 0.5N2 + 1.10SO4<sup>2+</sup> + 1.28H<sup>+</sup> + 0.08C5H7O2N

Autotrophic denitrification occurs at pH values between 7.5 and 8.0 and at the temperature of 30 °C (Claus and Kutzner, 1985). During all operational phases, the pH was nearly close to the optimum pH range of  $8.17 \pm 0.2$ ,  $8.10 \pm 0.08$  and  $8.20 \pm 0.20$ , respectively. The high concentrations of NO<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup>-N from the effluent of the anoxic reactor was successively removed during phases I, II and III in the sulfur biofilter. The effluent of the sulfur biofilter contained the NO<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentrations of 1.2 to 5.3, 0.8 to 4.2 and 1.5 to 4.1 mg/l, respectively (Figure 6). It can be concluded that the sulfur-packed biofilter was effective in removing NO<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup>-N.

A model mass balance revealed that over 90% of the influent  $NH_4^+N$  was removed from the nitrification reactor. There was no oxidation of  $NH_4^+N$  in the anoxic reactor (Table 3). Along with aerobic nitrification, other physical processes such as ammonia vitalization or ammonia stripping could have been involved in removing  $NH_4^+N$  in the process. More than 80%  $NO_x^-N$  was removed in the anoxic reactor, whereas, an average of 9% of the  $NO_x^-N$  was removed from the sulfur biofilter.

# Conclusions

The A/O system was operated at three different recycle ratios to treat the digested swine wastewater. According to the results of this experiment, the average TCOD removal efficiencies were 79, 90 and 90% during phases I, II and III, respectively. Heterotrophic denitrification is responsible for reducing TCOD since heterotrophs utilize organic substrates as a source of carbon. The average total  $NH_4^+$  removal efficiency of the nitrification reactor was found to be 93% with effluent concentrations between 9 and 130 mg  $NH_4^+$  N/I. The average total  $NO_x^-$  removal efficiencies during phases I, II and III were found to be 73, 84 and 86%, respectively in the anoxic reactor. The effluent  $NO_x^-$  concentrations were lower than 5 mg/l in the sulfur biofilter throughout the operational

phases.

# Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant funded by the Korean Government (MOEHRD, Basic Research Promotion Fund) (KRF-2008-314-F00014) and by the Institute of Environmental Research at Kangwon National University (KNU).

## REFERENCES

- An JY, Kwon JC, Ahn DW, Shin DH, Shin HS, Kim BW (2007). Efficient nitrogen removal in a pilot system based on upflow multi-layer bioreactor for treatment of strong nitrogenous swine wastewater. Process Biochem. 42: 764-772.
- APHA (1998). Standards Methods for the Examination of water and wastewater, 20th edition. American Public Health Association. Washington, DC,USA.
- Aslan S, Dahab M (2008). Nitritation and denitritation of ammonium-rich wastewater using fluidized-bed biofilm reactors. J. Hazard. Mater. 156: 56-63.
- Baeza JA, Gabriel D, Lafuente J (2004). Effect of internal recycle on the nitrogen removal efficiency of an anaerobic/anoxic/oxic (A2/O) wastewater treatment plant (WWTP). Process Biochem. 39: 1615-1624.
- Batchelor B, Lawrence AW (1978). Autotrophic denitrification using elemental sulfur. J. Water Poll. Con. Fed. 50: 1986-2001.
- Bernet N, Beline F (2009). Challenges and innovations on biological treatment of livestock effluents. Bioresour. Technol. 100: 5431-5436.
- Bortone G (2009). Integrated anaerobic/aerobic biological treatment for intensive swine production. Bioresour. Technol. 100: 5424-5430.
- Cervantes FJ, De la Rosa DA, Gomez J (2001). Nitrogen removal from wastewaters at low C/N ratios with ammonium and acetate as electron donors. Bioresour. Technol. 79: 165-170.
- Cheng SS, Lin YT, Cheng SK (1996). Enhanced biodegradation of organic nitrogenous compounds in resin manufacturing wastewater by anoxic denitrification and oxic nitrification process. Water Sci. Technol. 34: 35-41.
- Claus G, Kutzner HJ (1985). Autotrophic denitrification by *Thiobacillus denitrifications* in a packed bed reactor. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 22: 289-296.
- Cooper P, Day M, Thomas V (1994). Process options for phosphorous and nitrogen removal from wastewater. Water Envion. J. 8: 84-92.
- Deng L, Chen H, Chen Z, Liu Y, Pu X, Song L (2009). Process of simultaneous hydrogen sulfide removal from biogas and nitrogen removal from swine wastewater. Bioresour. Technol. 100: 5600-5608.
- Deng LW, Zheng P, Chen ZA (2006). Anaerobic digestion and posttreatment of swine wastewater using IC-SBR process with bypass of raw wastewater. Process Biochem. 41: 965-969.
- El-Hoz M, Apperley LW (1996). Removal of phosphorus from secondary effluent by a matrix filter. Desalination, 106: 247-253.
- Fu Z, Yang F, An Y, Xue Y (2009). Simultaneous nitrification and denitrification coupled with phosphorus removal in an modified

anoxic/oxic-membrane bioreactor (A/O-MBR). Biochem. Eng. J. 43: 191-196.

- Jang AM, Bum M, Kim S, Ahn Y, Kim IS, Bishop PL (2005). Assessment of characteristics of biofilm formed on autotrophic denitrification. J. Micriobiol. Biotechnol. 15: 455-460.
- Kim HS, Choung YK, Ahn S, Oh HS (2008). Enhancing nitrogen removal of piggery wastewater by membrane bioreactor combined with nitrification reactor. Desalination, 223: 194-204.
- Koenig A, Liu LH (2001). Kinetic model of autotrophic denitrification in sulphur packed-bed reactors. Water Res. 35: 1969-1978.
- Lee DU, Lee IS, Choi YD, Bae JH (2001). Effects of external carbon source and empty bed contact time on simultaneous heterotrophic and sulfur-utilizing autotrophic denitrification. Process Biochem. 36: 1215-1224.
- Lee YH, Chung YC, Jung JY (2008). Effects of chemical and enzymatic treatments on the hydrolysis of swine wastewater. Water Sci. Technol. 58: 1529-1534.
- Lim ET, Jeong GT, Bhang SH, Park SH, Park DH (2009). Evaluation of pilot-scale modified A2O processes for the removal of nitrogen compounds from sewage. Bioresour. Technol. 100: 6149-6154.
- Munch EV, Lant P, Keller J (1996). Simultaneous nitrification and denitrification in bench-scale sequencing batch reactors. Water Res. 30: 277-284.
- Obaja D, Mac S, Costa J, Sans C, Mata-Alvarez J (2003). Nitrification, denitrification and biological phosphorus removal in piggery wastewater using a sequencing batch reactor. Bioresour. Technol. 87: 103-111.
- Rim JM, Han DJ (2000). Process development for nitrogen removal of swine waste. Water Sci. Technol. 42: 239-246.

Shin JH, Sang BI, Chung YC, Choung Yk (2008). A novel CSTR-type of hollow fiber membrane biofilm reactor for consecutive nitrification and denitrification. Desalination, 221: 526-533.

- Sliekers AO, Derwort N, Gomez JLC, Strous M, Kuenen JG, Jetten MSM (2002). Completely autotrophic nitrogen removal over nitrite in one single reactor. Water Res. 36: 2475-2482.
- Sumino T, Isaka K, Ikuta H, Saiki Y, Yokota T (2006). Nitrogen removal from wastewater using simultaneous nitrate reduction and anaerobic ammonium oxidation in single reactor. J. Biosci. Bioeng. 102: 346-351.
- Tan TW, Ng HY (2008). Influence of mixed liquor recycle ratio and dissolved oxygen on performance of pre-denitrification submerged membrane bioreactors. Water Res. 42: 1122-1132.
- Vanotti MB, Hunt PG (2000). Nitrification treatment of swine wastewater with acclimated nitrifying sludge immolized in polymer pellets. Am. Soc. Agric. Eng. 43: 405-413.
- Waki M, Yokoyama H, Ogino A, Suzuki K, Tanaka Y (2008). Nitrogen removal from purified swine wastewater using biogas by semipartitioned reactor. Bioresour. Technol. 99: 5335-5340.
- Yoo H, Ahn KH, Lee HJ, Lee KH, Kwak YJ, Song KG (1999). Nitrogen removal from synthetic wastewater by simultaneous nitrification and denitrification (SND) via nitrite in an intermittently aerated reactor. Water Res. 33: 145-154.
- Zhang L, Wei C, Zhang K, Zhang C, Fang Q, Li S (2009). Effects of temperature on simultaneous nitrification and denitrification via nitrite in a sequencing batch biofilm reactor Bioprocess Biosyst. Eng. 32: 175-182.
- Zhang Z, Zhu J, King J, Li W (2006). A two-step fed SBR for treating swine manure. Process Biochem. 41: 892-900.
- Zhu G, Peng Y, Zhai L, Wang Y, Wang S (2009). Performance and optimization of biological nitrogen removal process enhanced by anoxic/oxic step feeding. Biochem. Eng. J. 43: 280-287.