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#### Abstract

In this study, we aimed to demonstrate the importance and utility of polymorphic short tandem repeat (STR) found on the human X chromosome and to provide the first allelic frequency data of X-STR (X chromosomal) loci in the Turkish population. Blood samples were taken from unrelated individuals (135 males and 129 females) from different regions of the country. Primers were designed according to gene bank data (www.gdb.org) and synthesised by the Köln Blutgruppen Institute. The primers amplified the following loci on the X chromosome: DXS8377, DXS101, DXS6789, STRX-1 and HUMHPRTB. Our data showed that two loci, DXS8377 and DX101, had the highest number of alleles (18) and the polymorphism information content (PIC) values of these loci were 0.9 and 0.87 , respectively and were higher than those of other loci. In the other loci examined, 11 (STRX1), 10 (DXS6789) and 9 (HPRTB) alleles were detected and the PIC values of these loci were $0.78,0.68$ and 0.70 , respectively. The highest ( 0.899 ) and lowest ( 0.674 ) rate of heterozygosity was found in the DXS8377 and HPRTB loci, respectively. In all loci, the power of discrimination for female ( $\mathrm{PD}^{F}$ ) values were higher than the power of discrimination for male ( $\mathrm{PD}^{M}$ ) values. The locus with the highest PD ${ }^{\mathrm{F}}$ value was DXS8377 (0.9841). The mean exclusion change (MEC) value of this locus also proved to be the highest for both father-mother-child and father-child. The locus with the lowest MEC value was DXS6789. To use calculations of probabilities of genetic results for forensic purposes, allelic frequencies in individuals in the community should be determined. Our study provides the first data from the Turkish population.


Key words: X chromosomal (X-STR), forensic genetics, forensic sciences, DNA, kinship testing, paternity.

## INTRODUCTION

Short tandem repeat (STR) analysis is the most effective method to identify individuals for forensic purposes. Initially, only autosomal STRs were used, but later, gonosomal STRs have been introduced for use in criminal identification and paternity cases (Asicioglu et al., 2002, 2003; Liang et al., 2005). One of the gonosomal STRs, Y chromosomal STR (Y-STR), has proved to be particularly effective in paternity cases, if the

[^0]Abbreviations: STR, Short tandem repeat; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PIC, polymorphism information content; PD ${ }^{F}$, power of discrimination for females; $\mathbf{P D}^{\mathbf{M}}$, power of discrimination for males; MEC, mean exclusion chance.
child is male and the putative father cannot be determined. Y-STR is also useful in rape cases in which the DNA profile is mixed (Henke et al., 2001). After YSTR, studies have focused on X-STR (X chromosomal STR). At the beginning, only a small number of X-STR loci have been analysed with different populations (Lv et al., 2004; Hering et al., 2004; Pepinski et al., 2005), but at recent years, a growing number of X-STR loci have been reported for certain ethnic groups. (Asamura et al., 2006; Aler et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2009; Hwa et al., 2010; Mukerjee et al., 2010; Poetsch et al., 2010). X-STR multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) systems, including a commercially available X-chromosome amplification kit (MENTYPE ARGUS X-8, BIOTYPE, Dresden, Germany), have also been used at the majority of these studies (Becker et al., 2008; Zalan et al., 2008; Hedman et al., 2009; Tie et al., 2010). X-STR multiplexes
utilizing reduced-size amplicons for identity and kinship testing have also been an effective system for analyzing degraded DNA (Asamura et al., 2006; Diegoli and Coble 2010). X-STR polymorphisms can be analysed in all cases of forensic identification as an adjunct to autosomal STRs and this technique can be helpful, especially for resolving cases in which the biological parents are unknown. As in the case with all other STR analyses, allelic frequencies of X-STRs in the population in which the individual belongs should be identified for statistical analysis. Here, we demonstrate the importance and utility of polymorphic STR loci on the human X chromosome and provide the first allelic frequency data of X-STR loci of the Turkish population.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

DNA was isolated from healthy unrelated males (135) and females (129) who applied to the Biology Department of the Council of Forensic Medicine, Ministry of Justice, to resolve paternity conflicts. The subjects were carefully chosen from all geographical regions of the country to serve as representative samples of the entire population. DNA was isolated using the Chelex method. Chelex 100 (BIO-RAD Laboratories, Hercules, CA), a chelating resin, scavenges metal contaminants to a high degree of purity. The resin is composed of styrene divinylbenzene copolymers containing paired imminodiacetate ions that act as chelating groups in binding polyvalent metal ions. Using this method, DNA is recovered from whole blood samples of donors, consisting of an initial wash step and then boiling in a $5 \%$ suspepsion of Chelex 100 (Lincoln and Thomson, 1998). Amplification was performed using fluorescently labelled primers for the DXS8377, DXS101, DXS6789, STRX-1 and HUMHPRTB loci as described by Henke et al. (2001). A PCR touchdown protocol was used and the cycling conditions were as follows: Denaturation at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $8 \mathrm{~min}, 7$ cycles of denaturing at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 s , annealing at temperatures decreasing from 61 to $58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ decrease every cycle) for 60 s , extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 s and 23 repeats of the last cycle. The final extension step was $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . A capillary electrophoresis ABI Prism 310 genetic analyser was used for typing. The internal lane standard (ILS) 400 size standard was used and consisted of a total of 16 DNA fragments with sizes ranging from 60 to 400 bp . The DNA fragment sizes increased by 20 from 60 to 200 bp and by 25 from 200 to 400 bp.

## Data analysis

Heterozygosity was calculated based on the results from females (PowerStats V12 program). Other relevant data, such as polymorphism information content (PIC) (Salaru, 1993), power of discrimination for females $\left(\mathrm{PD}^{F}\right)$, power of discrimination for males (PD ${ }^{\text {M }}$ ) (Jeffreys et al., 1985), mean exclusion chance (MEC) for "father, mother and daughter" (Gill et al., 1985) and MEC for "father and daughter" values were estimated using a previously published equation (Kishi et al. 1996; Jeffreys et al. 1985).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Allelic frequencies of the loci investigated and their
statistical evaluation are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The two loci with the highest number of alleles were DXS8377 and DX101 and the highest PIC values ( 0.9 and 0.87 , respectively) were detected at these loci. We found that, STRX 1 had 11 alleles, DXS6789 had 10 alleles and HPRTB had 9 alleles and the PIC values of these loci were found to be $0.78,0.68$ and 0.70 , respectively. DXS8377 had the highest degree of heterozygosity at 0.899 and HPRTB had the lowest degree of heterozygosity at 0.674 . In all loci, the $P D^{F}$ value was higher than the $\mathrm{PD}^{M}$ value. The DXS8377 locus had the highest $P D^{F}$ value at 0.9841 . The locus with the lowest PD ${ }^{F}$ value was DXS6789.
Higher PIC, heterozygosity, PD and MEC values indicate the superiority of a locus in terms of its value to forensic investigation. Among the loci we analysed, the DXS8377 and DXS101 loci could be effectively utilised in forensic genetic investigations. The higher values obtained for these loci relative to the other loci are secondary in importance to the higher number of alleles and the more homogenous distribution of allelic frequencies in DXS8377 and DXS101 when compared with the other loci. Data from the same loci in different populations are in agreement with our results; the DXS8377 and DXS101 loci have been reported to be more informative than the other three loci analysed (Edelmann and Szibor, 2001; Chen et al., 2002; Zarrabeitia et al., 2002; Wiegand et al., 2003; Shin et al., 2004; Gomez et al., 2007; Martins et al., 2010).

Among the other three loci, STRX1 had higher values than HPRTB and DXS6789. However, the presence of only one base pair difference between alleles of this locus can complicate interpretations. The DXS6789 locus had a higher number of alleles and greater heterozygosity than the HPRTB locus, but with relatively lower statistical values. This result may be due to the fact that, up to $70 \%$ of the population has alleles 20 and 21 .
Data obtained by Bini et al. (2005) from samples taken from 556 Italians were identical to our results with respect to allelic variation and the frequencies of alleles at the DXS101 and HPRTB loci (Bini et al., 2005).
For locus STRX1 (DXS981), the reverse was true. Because of the paucity of alleles and their heterogeneous distribution in the Han population, the $\mathrm{PD}^{\mathrm{F}}(0.837), \mathrm{PD}^{\mathrm{M}}$ ( 0.691 ) and PIC ( 0.63 ) values were much lower than those found in the Turkish population (Zhang et al., 2004). In a study of 401 Koreans, approximately identical and even somewhat higher values were found (PD : 0.940 ; PD ${ }^{\mathrm{M}}: 0.831$; PIC: 0.806 ) at the STRX1 (DXS981) locus (Shin et al., 2005).
These results demonstrate that, a locus with insufficient informative value in one population can be beneficial in a different population. Allelic frequencies at each locus in question in every community should be determined so that, they can be utilised to calculate the probability of genetic results for forensic purposes. In this respect, our study provides the first data in the Turkish population.

Table 1. Allele frequencies of DXS8377, DXS101, DXS6789, STRX-1, HUMHPRTB in Turkish population.

| Allele number | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | f | n | $f$ | n | $f$ | n | $f$ | n | f | n | $f$ | n | f | n | $f$ | n | f | n | f | n | f | n | f |
| HPRTB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1 | 0.0074 | 1 | 0.0039 | 2 | 0.0051 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 0 | 0.0000 | 1 | 0.0039 | 1 | 0.0025 |  |  |  | TR X1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 17 | 0.1259 | 34 | 0.1318 | 51 | 0.1298 | 0 | 0.0000 | 1 | 0.0039 | 1 | 0.0025 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 48 | 0.3556 | 87 | 0.3372 | 134 | 0.3410 | 4 | 0.0296 | 0 | 0.0000 | 4 | 0.0102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 0.0815 | 32 | 0.1240 | 43 | 0.1094 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 48 | 0.3556 | 83 | 0.3217 | 131 | 0.3333 | 15 | 0.1111 | 31 | 0.1202 | 46 | 0.1170 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44 | 0.3259 | 83 | 0.3217 | 127 | 0.3232 |  |  |  | XS 6789 |  |  |  |  |  | XS 101 |  |  |
| 14 | 17 | 0.1259 | 39 | 0.1512 | 56 | 0.1425 | 24 | 0.1778 | 50 | 0.1938 | 74 | 0.1883 | 0 | 0.0000 | 1 | 0.0039 | 1 | 0.0025 | 2 | 0.0148 | 0 | 0.0000 | 2 | 0.0051 |
| 14.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 0.1259 | 35 | 0.1357 | 52 | 0.1323 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 4 | 0.0296 | 12 | 0.0465 | 16 | 0.0407 | 18 | 0.1333 | 18 | 0.0698 | 36 | 0.0916 | 8 | 0.0593 | 19 | 0.0736 | 27 | 0.0687 | 0 | 0.0000 | 13 | 0.0504 | 13 | 0.0331 |
| 15.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0000 | 5 | 0.0194 | 5 | 0.0127 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 0 | 0.0000 | 1 | 0.0039 | 1 | 0.0025 | 1 | 0.0074 | 3 | 0.0116 | 4 | 0.0102 | 5 | 0.0370 | 4 | 0.0155 | 9 | 0.0229 | 0 | 0.0000 | 5 | 0.0194 | 5 | 0.0127 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.0074 | 0 | 0.0000 | 1 | 0.0025 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0.0296 | 2 | 0.0078 | 6 | 0.0153 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.0074 | 1 | 0.0039 | 2 | 0.0051 | 6 | 0.0444 | 11 | 0.0426 | 17 | 0.0433 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0.0296 | 10 | 0.0388 | 14 | 0.0356 | 4 | 0.0296 | 12 | 0.0465 | 16 | 0.0407 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56 | 0.4148 | 119 | 0.4612 | 175 | 0.4453 | 1 | 0.0074 | 6 | 0.0233 | 7 | 0.0178 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42 | 0.3111 | 49 | 0.1899 | 91 | 0.2316 | 5 | 0.0370 | 4 | 0.0155 | 9 | 0.0229 |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 0.0963 | 43 | 0.1667 | 56 | 0.1425 | 6 | 0.0444 | 9 | 0.0349 | 15 | 0.0382 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 0.0444 | 9 | 0.0349 | 15 | 0.0382 | 6 | 0.0444 | 19 | 0.0736 | 25 | 0.0636 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0000 | 3 | 0.0116 | 3 | 0.0076 | 33 | 0.2444 | 47 | 0.1822 | 80 | 0.2036 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 | 0.1926 | 44 | 0.1705 | 70 | 0.1781 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | 0.1852 | 46 | 0.1783 | 71 | 0.1807 |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0.0370 | 15 | 0.0581 | 20 | 0.0509 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 0.0593 | 17 | 0.0659 | 25 | 0.0636 |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0.0296 | 5 | 0.0194 | 9 | 0.0229 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0000 | 2 | 0.0078 | 2 | 0.0051 |
| 31 |  |  |  | SS 8377 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0000 | 1 | 0.0039 | 1 | 0.0025 |
| 38 | 0 | 0.0000 | 2 | 0.0078 | 2 | 0.0051 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | 0 | 0.0000 | 2 | 0.0078 | 2 | 0.0051 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 1 | 0.0074 | 4 | 0.0155 | 5 | 0.0127 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | 7 | 0.0519 | 6 | 0.0233 | 13 | 0.0331 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 | 6 | 0.0444 | 12 | 0.0465 | 18 | 0.0458 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 | 8 | 0.0593 | 20 | 0.0775 | 28 | 0.0712 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1. Contd.

| Allele number | n | f | n | f | n | f | n | f | n | f | n | f | n | f | n | f | n | f | n | f |  | f |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44 | 13 | 0.0963 | 17 | 0.0659 | 30 | 0.0763 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | 17 | 0.1259 | 32 | 0.1240 | 49 | 0.1247 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | 20 | 0.1481 | 34 | 0.1318 | 54 | 0.1374 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | 15 | 0.1111 | 33 | 0.1279 | 48 | 0.1221 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | 13 | 0.0963 | 27 | 0.1047 | 40 | 0.1018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | 10 | 0.0741 | 16 | 0.0620 | 26 | 0.0662 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | 9 | 0.0667 | 29 | 0.1124 | 38 | 0.0967 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | 7 | 0.0519 | 8 | 0.0310 | 15 | 0.0382 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 | 6 | 0.0444 | 8 | 0.0310 | 14 | 0.0356 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | 2 | 0.0148 | 2 | 0.0078 | 4 | 0.0102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54 | 1 | 0.0074 | 5 | 0.0194 | 6 | 0.0153 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 | 0 | 0.0000 | 1 | 0.0039 | 1 | 0.0025 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Statistical parameters of DXS8377, DXS101, DXS6789, STRX-1 and HUMHPRTB loci for Turkish population.

| Parameter | DXS 6789 | STR X1 | DXS 8377 | DXS 101 | HPRTB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PIC | 0.6800 | 0.7800 | 0.9000 | 0.8700 | 0.7000 |
| Het. | 0.6900 | 0.6980 | 0.8990 | 0.8530 | 0.6740 |
| PD female | 0.8847 | 0.9371 | 0.9841 | 0.9758 | 0.8896 |
| PD male | 0.7140 | 0.8085 | 0.9082 | 0.8537 | 0.7145 |
| MEC trios | 0.6838 | 0.7842 | 0.9020 | 0.8639 | 0.6974 |
| MEC F/D | 0.5435 | 0.6627 | 0.8280 | 0.7721 | 0.5582 |

PIC: Polymorphism information content; Het: observed heterozygosity; PD: power of discrimination; MEC: mean exclusive change.

## REFERENCES

Aler M, Sanchez Diz, Gomez L, Carracedo A, Amorim A, Gusmao L (2007). Genetic data of 10 X-STRs in a Spanish population sample. Forensic. Sci. Int. 173(2): 193-196.
Asamura H, Sakai H, Kobayashi K, Ota M, Fukushima H (2006). MiniX-STR multiplex system population study in

Japan and application to degraded DNA analysis. Int. J. Lega Med. 120: 174-181.
Asamura H, Sakai H, Ota M, Fukushima H (2006). Japanese population data for eight X-STR loci using two new quadruplex systems. Int. J. Legal Med. 120: 303-309.
Asicioglu F, Akyüz F, Çetinkaya U, Canli MA (2003). Allele and haplotype frequencies of $Y$-short tandem repeat loci in

Turkey. Croatian. Med. J. 44(3): 310-314.
Asicioglu F, Akyüz F, Çetinkaya U, Yilmaz S, Koluacik S, Vural B, Ozbek U (2002). Turkish population data on nine short tandem repeat loci: HumCSF1PO, HumTHO1, HumTPOX, HumFES/FPS, HumF13B, HumVWA, D3S1358, D7S820, D16S539. Forensic. Sci. Int. 126: 252253.

Becker D, Rodig H, Augustin C, Edelmann J, Gotz F, Hering S, Szibor R, Brabetz W (2008). Population genetic evaluation of eight Xchromosomal short tandem repeat loci using Mentype Argus X-8 PCR amplification kit. Forensic. Sci. Int. Genet. 2(1): 69-74.
Bini C, Ceccardi S, Feri G, Pelotti S, Alu`M, Roncaglia E, Beduschi G, Caenazzo L, Ponzano E, Tasinato P, Turchi C, Buscemi L, Mazzanti M, Tagliabracci A, Toni C, Spinetti I, Domenici R, Presciuttini S (2005). Development of a heptaplex PCR system to analyze Xchromosome STR loci from five Italian population samples. A collaborative study. Forensic. Sci. Int. 29, 153(2-3): 231-236.
Chen MY, Wu FC, Meng LM, Cheng SM, Chao CH, Pu CE (2002). Population data on the X chromosome short tandem repeat loci HPRTB and AR in Taiwan. Forensic. Sci. Int. 12: 6171-6172.
Diegoli TM, Coble MD (2010). Development and characterization of two mini-X chromosomal short tandem repeat multiplexes. Forensic Sci Int Genet. Oct 11. [Epub ahead of print]
Edelmann J, Szibor R (2001). DXS101: a highly polymorphic X-linked STR, Int. J. Legal. Med. 114: 301-304.
Gill P, Jeffreys AJ, Werrett DJ (1985). Forensic application of DNA fingerprints. Nature. 318: 577-579.
Gomez I, Alves C, Maxzud K, Pereira R, Prata MJ, Sánchez-Diz P, Carracedo A, Amorim A, Gusmao L (2007). Analysis of 10 XSTRs in three African populations. Forensic. Sci. Int. Genet. 1(2): 208-211.
Hedman M, Palo JU, Sajantila A (2009). X-STR diversity patterns in the Finnish and the Somali population. Forensic. Sci. Int. Genet. 3(3): 173-178.
Henke J, Henke L, Chatthopadhyay P, Kayser M, Dülmer M, Cleef S, Pöche H, Felske-Zech H (2001). Application of Y-chromosomal STR haplotypes to Forensic Genetics. Croatian Med. J. 42(3): 292-297.
Hering S, Brundirs N, Kuhlisch E, Edelmann J, Plate I, Benecke M, Van PH, Michael M, Szibor R (2004). DXS10011: studies on structure, allele distribution in three populations and genetic linkage to further q-telomeric chromosome X markers. Int. J. Legal Med. 118(6): 313319.

Hwa HL, Lee JC, Chang YY, Yin HY, Chen YH, Tseng LH, Su YN, Ko TM (2010). Genetic analysis of eight population groups living in Taiwan using a 13 X -chromosomal STR loci multiplex system. Int. J. Legal Med. [Epub ahead of print].
Jeffreys AJ, Wilson V, Thein SL (1985). Individual specific fingerprints of human DNA. Nature, 316: 75-79.
Kishi K, Yasduda T (1996). Forensic applications of genetic polymorphisms detected in human body fluids (urine, semen and blood). Forensic. Sci. Int. 80(1-2): 89-97.
Liang W, Du H, Chen G, Meili LV, Zhang L (2005). Allele frequency distributions for 15 STR Loci in Chinese population. J. Forensic. Sci. 50(1): 230-231.

Lincoln PJ, Thomson J (1998). Forensic DNA Profiling Protocols. Humana Press Inc, Totowa, New Jersey, USA.
Lv M, Liang W, Wu M, Liao M, Zhou B, Jia Y, Zhang L (2004). Allele frequency distribution of two X-chromosomal STR loci in Han population in China. J. Forensic. Sci. 49(2): 418-419.
Martins JA, Silva RH, Freschi A, Paneto GG, Oliveira RN, Cicarelli RM (2010). X-chromosome genetic variation in Sao Paulo State (Brazil) population. Ann. Hum. Biol. 37(4): 598-603.
Mukerjee S, Ghosh T, Kalpana D, Mukherjee M, Sharma AK ( 2010). Genetic variation of 10 X chromosomal STR loci in Indian population. Int. J. Legal Med. 124(4): 327-230.
Pepinski W, Skawronska M, Niemcunowicz-Janica A, Koc-Zorawska E, Janica J, Soltyszewski I (2005) Polymorphism of four Xchromosomal STRs in a Polish population sample. Forensic. Sci. Int. 151(1): 93-95.
Poetsch M, Knop A, El-Mostaqim D, Rakotomavo N, von WurmbSchwark N. (2010). Allele frequencies of 11 X-chromosomal loci of two population samples from Africa. Int. J. Legal Med. [Epub ahead of print].
Salaru RNN (1993). Paternity investigation among known false trios: ABO, Rh, MNSs, Kell, Duffy, Kidd and HLA systems. J. Forensic. Sci. 38(6): 1482-1487.
Shin KJ, Kwon BK, Lee SS, Yoo JE, Park MJ, Chung U, Lee HY, Han GR, Choi JH, Kim CY (2004). Five highly informative Xchromosomal STRs in Koreans. Int. J. Legal. Med. 118: 37-40.
Shin SH, Yu JS, Park SW, Min GS, Chung KW (2005). Genetic analysis of 18 X-linked short tandem repeat markers in Korean population. Forensic. Sci. Int. 147: 35-41.
Tie J, Uchigasaki S, Oshida S (2010) Genetic polymorphisms of eight X-chromosomal STR loci in the population of Japanese. Forensic. Sci. Int. Genet. 4(4): 105-108.
Wiegand P, Berger B, Edelmann J, Parson W (2003). Population genetic comparisons of three X-chromosomal STRs. Int. J. Legal. Med. 117: 62-65.
Wu W, Hao H, Liu Q, Su Y, Zheng X, Lu D (2009). Allele frequencies of seven X-linked STR loci in Chinese Han population from Zhejiang Province. Forensic. Sci. Int. Genet. 4(1): 41-42
Zalan A, Völgyi A, Brabetz W, Schleinitz D, Pamjav H (2008). Hungarian population data of eight X-linked markers in four linkage groups. Forensic. Sci. Int. 175(1): 73-78. Zarrabeitia MT, Amigo T, Sañudo C, Zarrabeitia A, Lamuño DG, Rianch JAA (2002). New pentaplex system to study short tandem repeat markers of forensic interest on X chromosome. Forensic. Sci. Int. 129: 85-89.
Zhang M, L L, Liang WB, Zhou B, Liao M, Wu MY (2004). Allele frequency distribution of two X-chromosomal STR loci in the Han population in China. Forensic. Sci. Int. 1261: 145-147.


[^0]:    *Corresponding author. E-mail: fasicioglu@hotmail.com. Tel: +90 532 5203489. Fax: +90212 2512304.

